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## ABSTRACT

Previous work on generalizations of the ABFST multiperipheral model is extended to allow for vector meson exchange. The intercept of the Pomeranchon pole, the magnitude of asymptotic total cross sections and off-shell corrections to them are calculated.

Regge behavior is one of the several attractive consequences of multiperipheral models. ${ }^{l}$ However, when simple, but fairly realistic, versions of the model are studied quantitatively, it is found that the intercept $\alpha_{p}(0)$ of the Pomeranchon pole is too low. The original ABFST model has been the object of such studies yielding a value of $\alpha_{p}(0) \approx 0.3 .^{2}$ Neglect of interference terms has been established not to be the cause of the unsatisfactory Pomeranchon intercept. ${ }^{3}$ In Refs. 4-6, the model was enlarged to include the exchange of the full pseudoscalar meson octet. In particular, it was shown in Ref. 6 that, if implemented with reasonable off-shell corrections, exchange of the complete pseudoscalar meson octet is capable of generating a Pomeranchon trajectory with $\alpha_{p}(0) \approx 0.6$.

In this note, the model is extended further to allow for $p$ and $\omega$ exchange in addition to $\pi$ and $K^{7}$ To simplify the equations, it is assumed, following Hara, ${ }^{8}$, that asymptotic total crosssections are spin independent. 9 once this hypothesis has been made, the position of the Pomeranchon pole at $t=0$ and some total cross sections can be obtained by solving the following system of coupled integral equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i \pi}^{J}(u, v)=V_{i \pi}^{J}(u, v)+\frac{\sum_{k=\pi, \rho, \omega, k}}{16 \pi^{3}(J+1)} \int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{V_{i k}^{J}(u, w) A_{k_{\pi}}^{J}(w, v)}{\left(w-m_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (1), the subindices $i$ and $k$ label the type of particle, that is $i, k=\pi, p, \omega, K ; A_{i_{\pi}} J(u, v)$ denotes the forward-direction absorptive part for ( $i_{\pi}$ ) scattering projected onto the cross-channel isospin zero angular-momentum (J) plane; $u, v$, and $w$ are the
(off-shell) masses of the particles; ${ }^{10} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}_{\pi}}{ }^{J}(u, v)$ is the low-energy absorptive part;

$$
A_{i \pi}^{J}(u, v)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d s e^{-(J+1) \eta(s, u, v)} A_{A_{\pi}}(s, t=0 ; u, v),(2)
$$

$$
A_{i \pi}(s, t=0 ; u, v)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \frac{1}{2(u v)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} d J \frac{e^{(J+1) \eta(s, u, v)}}{\sinh \eta(s, u, v)}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X A_{i_{\pi}}{ }^{J}(u, v) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(s, u, v)=\cosh ^{-1}\left[\frac{s-u-v}{2(u v)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The projection $V_{i j}{ }^{J}(u, v)$ is obtained in the same way from $v_{i j}(s, t=0 ; u, v)$, which is parametrized as a sum of narrow resonances : ${ }^{2-6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i j}(s, t=0 ; u, v)=\sum_{k} \beta_{k} g_{i j}^{k} F_{i j}^{k}\left(q_{o f f}\right) \delta\left(s-m_{k}^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{k}$ is the appropriate $\operatorname{SU}(2) X U(1)$ crossing-matrix element ${ }^{4}$ times a factor of 2 if identical particles require it; $F_{i j}{ }^{k}\left(q_{o f f}^{k}\right)$ is the form factor for the ( $k i \pi$ ) vertex normalized so that $F_{i j}{ }^{k}\left(q_{o n}{ }^{k}\right)=1 \quad$ with

$$
q_{o f f}^{k}=\frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(m_{k}^{2}, u, v\right)}{2 m_{k}}
$$

$$
q_{o n}^{k}=\frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(m_{k}^{2}, m_{i}^{2} m_{j}^{2}\right)}{2 m_{k}}, \quad \lambda(x, y, z)=(x-y-z)^{2}-4 y z ; \text { and }
$$

$$
g_{i j}^{k}=\frac{16 \pi^{2} m_{k}{ }^{3} x_{1 j}{ }^{k} r^{k}}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(m_{k}{ }^{2}, m_{i}{ }^{2}, m_{\pi}{ }^{2}\right)}\left(2 J_{k}+1\right) \text {, where }
$$

$J_{k}, \Gamma^{h}$, and $x_{i j}{ }^{k}$ are the spin, total width, and elasticity (in the $i_{\pi}$ channel) of the resonance $k$. The form factor $F_{i_{\pi}}{ }^{k}$, in the spirit of a barrier penetration correction, has been discussed in Ref. 6, to which the reader is referred for details. In this note, the prescription for $F_{i j}{ }^{k}$ has simply been extended to the new resonances encountered, taking into account the appropriate values of the orbital angular momenta and adjusting the root mean square radius of interaction ${ }^{6,11}$ to be 0.4 Fermi. ${ }^{6}$ The resonances included in the calculation are exhibited, together with their characteristic parameters, in Table I. Bound state vertices, e.g., $\mathrm{pK} \bar{K}$, were found in Ref. 6 to have very littie effect.

In this manner the intercept of the Pomeranchon pole is found to be $\alpha_{P}(0)=0.72$. [If off-shell corrections are removed, i.e., $F_{i j}{ }^{k} \equiv 1$, one obtains $\alpha_{P}(0)=0.32 .1$ The magnitude of the asymptotic total cross section is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{i \pi}(s, u, v)=\frac{1}{c_{i}} \frac{1}{s} A_{i \pi}(s, t=0, u, v) \approx \frac{1}{c_{i}} \frac{16_{\pi}^{3}\left(\alpha_{p}(0)+1\right)}{-\left.\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial J}\right|_{\mu=1}} \\
X \Phi_{i}(u) \Phi_{j}(v) s^{\alpha_{p}(0)-1} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ is a crossing matrix factor, $\mu(J)$ is the eigenvalue of the integral operator $\left(\mu\left(\alpha_{p}\right)=1\right)$,

$$
\Phi_{i}(u)=\frac{\psi_{i}(u)}{\left[(-u)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^{\alpha_{P}+1}} ;
$$

the eigenvector $\psi_{i}(u)$ satisfies

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\sum_{j=\pi, p, \omega, K}}{1 \sigma_{\pi}^{3}\left(\alpha_{P}+1\right)} \int_{-\infty}^{0} d v v_{i j} \alpha_{P}(u, v) \frac{\psi_{j}(v)}{\left(m_{j}^{2}-v\right)^{2}}=\mu\left(\alpha_{P}\right) \psi_{i}(u)  \tag{7}\\
\\
\sum_{j=\pi, \rho, \omega, K} \int_{-\infty}^{0} d u \frac{\psi_{j}^{2}(u)}{\left(m_{j}^{2}-u\right)^{2}}=1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Because $\mathrm{m}_{\pi}{ }^{2} \approx-0.02$, the asymptotic $\pi \pi$ cross section can be estimated by setting $u=v=0$ in (6). One finds $\sigma_{\pi \pi} \approx 27 \mathrm{mb}$. The off-shell behavior can be obtained from the $u$ dependence of $\Phi_{i}(u)$ exhibited in Fig. 1.

The results presented above may be useful for studies of the split Pomeranchon, ${ }^{12}$ a multiperipheral mechanism which provides some understanding of diffractive phenomena and allows one to impose selfconsistency conditions on the Pomeranchon singularity. In order for the split Pomeranchon scheme to agree with established phenomenological results, one has to have a "low energy" or "resonance" component of the multiperipheral kernel with properties similar to those of the kernel presented in this note, i.e., capable of generating an $\alpha_{p}(0)$ of approximately 0.7 with an asymptotic $\pi \pi$ total cross section
$\sigma_{\pi \pi} \approx 30 \mathrm{mb}$. The split Pomeranchon also requires that diffractive dissociation into high masses be significant but not too large. Quantitatively this is best expressed in terms of the dimensionless parameter ${ }^{13} \eta_{P P, P}$. The magnitude of $\eta_{P P, P}$ remains an unresolved problem, both theoretically and experimentally. ${ }^{13-15}$ The off-shell behavior of asymptotic total cross sections is important for theoretical estimates ${ }^{13,15}$ of $\eta_{P P, P}$, which employ, based on results of simpler multiperipheral models, off-shell corrections that fall fairly rapidly as a function of $u$. The remarkably flat behavior exhibited in Fig. 1 suggests that a somewhat larger value of ${ }^{\eta}{ }^{P P}, P$ can be expected.
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Iable I. Characteristic parameters of the resonances included in the calculation.

| Particle | $\mathrm{I}^{(\mathrm{G})}, \mathrm{Y}$ | m (MeV) | $\Gamma$ ( MeV ) | $J^{P}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i} j}{ }^{\mathrm{k}}=\Gamma_{\text {ij }} \Gamma^{\underline{k}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\epsilon$ | $0^{(+)}, 0$ | 765 | 450 | $0^{+}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\pi \pi}=1$ |
| $\rho$ | $1^{(+)}, 0$ | 765 | 125 | $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{yij}}=1$ |
| K* | $\frac{1}{2}, \pm 1$ | 892 | 50 | $1^{-}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{K} \pi}}=1$ |
| $\emptyset$ | $0^{(-)}, 0$ | 1019 | 4 | $1^{-}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{K} \mathrm{\bar{K}}}=0.8, \mathrm{x}_{\rho \pi}=0.2$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $1^{(-)}, 0$ | 1070 | 100 | $1^{+}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p} \mathrm{\pi}}=1$ |
| B | $1^{(+)}, 0$ | 1220 | 100 | $1^{+}$ | ${ }^{x_{\omega \pi}}=1$ |
| f | $0^{(+)}, 0$ | 1260 | 150 | $2^{+}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\text {ri }}=1$ |
| $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | $1^{(-)}, 0$ | 1300 | 80 | $2^{+}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{\pi}}=0.80, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k} \mathrm{\bar{K}}}=0.05$ |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{N}}$ | $\frac{1}{2}, \pm 1$ | 1410 | 96 | $2^{+}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{KJ}}=0.5$ |
| ${ }^{\prime}$ | $0^{(+)}, 0$ | 1514 | 73 | $2^{+}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\pi \pi}=0.1, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{K} \mathrm{\bar{K}}}=0.8$ |
| g | $1^{(+)}, 0$ | 1670 | 170 | $3{ }^{-}$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\pi \pi}=0.92, \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{K} \bar{K}}=0.08$ |

FIGURE CAPTION
Fig. 1. Behavior of $\Phi_{i}(u)$ [see Eq. (6)] as a function of $u$. The
normalization is arbitrary.


