UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Use of Calcium Channel Blockers and Breast Cancer Risk in the Women's Health Initiative

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7w60p79j

Journal Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention, 26(8)

ISSN 1055-9965

Authors

Brasky, Theodore M Krok-Schoen, Jessica L Liu, Jingmin <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2017-08-01

DOI

10.1158/1055-9965.epi-17-0096

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 August 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2017 August ; 26(8): 1345–1348. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-17-0096.

Use of calcium channel blockers and breast cancer risk in the Women's Health Initiative

Theodore M. Brasky^{1,†}, Jessica Krok-Schoen^{1,†}, Jingmin Liu², Rowan T. Chlebowski³, Jo L. Freudenheim⁴, Sayeh Lavasani⁵, Karen L. Margolis⁶, Lihong Qi⁷, Kerryn W. Reding⁸, Peter G. Shields¹, Michael S. Simon⁹, Jean Wactawski-Wende⁴, Ange Wang¹⁰, Catherine R. Womack¹¹, and JoAnn E. Manson¹²

¹Divisions of Cancer Prevention and Control (TMB, PGS, JKS) and Medical Dietetics and Health Sciences (JKS) The Ohio State University College of Medicine, Columbus, OH

²WHI Clinical Coordinating Center, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA

³Los Angeles Biomedical Research Institute at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA

⁴Department of Epidemiology and Environmental Health, School of Public Health and Health Professions, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY

⁵Memorial Healthcare System, Hollywood, FL

⁶HealthPartners Institute, Minneapolis, MN

⁷Division of Biostatistics, Department of Public Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of California-Davis, Davis, CA

⁸Department of Biobehavioral Nursing and Health Informatics, School of Nursing, University of Washington, Seattle, WA

⁹Department of Oncology, Karmanos Cancer Institute, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI

¹⁰Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

¹¹Departments of Preventive Medicine and Internal Medicine, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN

¹²Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

Abstract

Background—Use of calcium channel blockers (CCBs) has been associated with increased risk of breast cancer in some, but not all studies. Differences in reported associations from prior studies may be due, in part, to inadequate control of confounding factors.

Methods—Participants were 28,561 postmenopausal women from the Women's Health Initiative who reported use of either CCBs or other anti-hypertensive medications (AHM) at baseline; 1,402 incident breast cancer cases were diagnosed during 12 years of follow-up. Adjusted Cox

Corresponding Author: Theodore M. Brasky, PhD, The Ohio State University – James Comprehensive Cancer Center, 1590 N. High St., Suite 525, Columbus, OH 43201, Phone: (614) 293-3772, Theodore.Brasky@osumc.edu. [†]Equal contribution to this work

Brasky et al.

regression models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the associations between CCB use relative to other AHM use and breast cancer risk.

Results—Use of CCBs was not associated with breast cancer risk (HR 1.06, 95% CI: 0.94–1.20) relative to use of other AHM. Associations approximated the null value when CCBs were considered by duration of use, length of action, or drug class.

Conclusions—We provide additional evidence that CCBs do not influence breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women.

Impact—The results from this study, which includes strong control for potential confounding factors, cast doubt on increases in risk with CCBs.

Introduction

The use of calcium channel blockers (CCBs) has been recently been found to be associated with increased risk of breast cancer (1, 2), although inconsistently. The inconsistency may be due to differences inherent in study design, or inadequately controlled confounding, including factors related to prescription for CCBs. Indeed, a number of important risk factors are shared between hypertension and breast cancer, making interpretation of results from studies that do not restrict to hypertensive women challenging. Given the high prevalence of CCB use and their hypothesized potential to disrupt apoptotic pathways, additional high-quality prospective data are needed.

Here we examine the association between CCB use and breast cancer risk in the Women's Health Initiative (WHI), a large cohort of postmenopausal women. To further control for potential confounding, we compared CCB exposure with use of any other anti-hypertensive medication (AHM).

Materials and Methods

Study population

Information about the WHI methods have been published (3). From 1993–1998, 161,808 postmenopausal women, ages 50–79 years, were recruited into an observational study (OS) and one or more clinical trials (CT). Women were followed to 2005 and, via an extension study, to 2010. For the present analysis, we excluded at baseline women who: had prevalent breast cancer (n=5,551); did not self-report a history of hypertension (n=95,530), were non-users of CCBs or other AHM (n=26,840) or who used CCBs in combination with other AHM (n=5,325) or were missing these data (n=1); leaving n=28,561 for analysis.

Data collection

Participants attended baseline screening visits, during which they completed extensive baseline questionnaires. Height and weight were measured by clinical staff. In-person medication inventories were obtained by review of participants' pill containers at baseline and year 3 in the OS and additionally in years 1, 6, and 9 in the CT. CCBs were sub-classified into dihydropyridines or non-dihydropyridines and short-acting or long-acting. Duration of medication use was categorized as <5 years, 5–9.9 years, and 10 years. Other

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 August 01.

AHM data (including diuretics, ACE inhibitors, adrenergic receptor antagonists, angiotensin II receptor antagonists, renin inhibitors, and vasodilators) were obtained in an identical manner.

Case ascertainment

Incident, first-primary, invasive breast cancers were self-reported annually in the OS and semi-annually in the CT until 2005 and annually thereafter. Cases were confirmed by medical record review by physician-adjudicators. After a median follow-up of 12.7 years, 1,402 invasive breast cancers were identified. Breast cancer subtypes, defined here as joint expressions of ER, PR, and HER2, were abstracted from medical records.

Statistical analyses

Cox proportional hazards models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the associations between baseline CCB and breast cancer risk relative to other AHM. Categories of CCB duration were compared to the same categories of other AHM in regression models. Regression models were adjusted *a priori* for breast cancer risk factors thought to potentially confound associations and CT randomization. We performed several sensitivity analyses: 1) in the WHI-CT, CCB and other AHM use were treated as time-varying in regression models; 2) We additionally examined associations of CCB use versus non-use (n=156,255) in the larger WHI cohort (including women *without* hypertension; n=156,255) in order to compare our findings with others that did not account for confounding by shared risk factors.

Results

Despite their statistical significance, differences by medication for participants' baseline characteristics were small (Table 1). Compared to other AHM use, CCB use was not associated with breast cancer risk (HR 1.06, 95% CI: 0.94–1.20) (Table 2). No associations were observed when CCB use was stratified by length of action or drug class. When cancers were stratified on molecular subtype defined by ER, PR, and HER-2, CCB use was associated with elevated risk of triple-negative breast cancers (HR 1.60, 95% CI: 1.04–2.48). In the sensitivity analysis, time-varying CCB use was also not associated with breast cancer risk (HR 0.99, 95% CI: 0.78–1.26). When CCB use was contrasted against non-use (thus, insufficiently controlling for shared hypertension/breast cancer risk factors; n=156,255), associations were elevated (HR 1.30, 95% CI: 0.84–2.02). When we restricted the comparison to women who reported prevalent hypertension (n=60,726; HR 1.08, 95% CI: 0.98–1.18) and who used 1 AHM (n=33,886; HR 1.08, 95% CI: 0.98–1.20) the association was attenuated.

Discussion

We observed no association between CCB use and breast cancer risk in the WHI. Although these results contrast with recent case-control analyses (1, 2) and an early (4) (but not later (5)) report from a prospective study, our findings of no association are compatible with recent data from several prospective cohorts (6–8). Although a recent case-control study

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 August 01.

Brasky et al.

among Spanish women reported higher postmenopausal breast cancer risk associated with CCB use (OR 1.72, 95% 1.05–2.80) (1), it was neither restricted to hypertensive women nor were CCBs compared to users of other anti-hypertensive medications, leaving a strong possibility for confounding. A >2-fold higher risk reported by Li et al. (2) persisted after restriction of the analysis to hypertensive women; however the referent group included women with untreated hypertension. No study has examined associations with breast cancers characterized by molecular subtypes; the elevated association observed here may be due to chance but warrants consideration.

The advantages of this study include its comprehensive collection of medication use, and its strong control of confounding by restriction of the analysis to women with hypertension and comparing CCB use to that of other AHM. Further, attrition bias was minimized with near-complete follow-up in the WHI.

We provide here additional evidence that CCBs do not broadly influence breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services grants HHSN2682011000046C, HHSN268201100001C, HHSN268201100002C, HHSN268201100003C, and HHSN268201100004C.

References

- Gomez-Acebo I, Dierssen-Sotos T, Palazuelos C, et al. The Use of Antihypertensive Medication and the Risk of Breast Cancer in a Case-Control Study in a Spanish Population: The MCC-Spain Study. PLoS One. 2016; 11(8):e0159672. [PubMed: 27508297]
- Li CI, Daling JR, Tang MT, et al. Use of Antihypertensive Medications and Breast Cancer Risk Among Women Aged 55 to 74 Years. JAMA Intern Med. 2013; 173(17):1629–1637. [PubMed: 23921840]
- 3. The Women's Health Initiative Study Group. Design of the Women's Health Initiative clinical trial and observational study. Control Clin Trials. 1998; 19(1):61–109. [PubMed: 9492970]
- 4. Fitzpatrick AL, Daling JR, Furberg CD, et al. Use of calcium channel blockers and breast carcinoma risk in postmenopausal women. Cancer. 1997; 80(8):1438–47. [PubMed: 9338468]
- 5. Saltzman BS, Weiss NS, Sieh W, et al. Use of antihypertensive medications and breast cancer risk. Cancer Causes Control. 2013; 24(2):365–71. [PubMed: 23224328]
- Devore EE, Kim S, Ramin CA, et al. Antihypertensive medication use and incident breast cancer in women. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2015; 150(1):219–29. [PubMed: 25701121]
- Wilson LE, D'Aloisio AA, Sandler DP, et al. Long-term use of calcium channel blocking drugs and breast cancer risk in a prospective cohort of US and Puerto Rican women. Breast Cancer Res. 2016; 18(1):61. [PubMed: 27378129]
- Grimaldi-Bensouda L, Klungel O, Kurz X, et al. Calcium channel blockers and cancer: a risk analysis using the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). BMJ Open. 2016; 6(1):e009147.

Table 1

Distribution of selected baseline characteristics of WHI participants by baseline CCB use, as compared with other AHM use, in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study and Clinical Trials, n=28,561.

Characteristic	Other AHM Use (n=20,510), <i>n</i> (%)	CCB Use (n=8,051), n (%)
Demographics and Anthropom	etrics	
Age, mean (SD)	64.97 (6.94)	65.36 (7.00)
Education		
High school graduate	5,359 (26.32)	2,217 (27.72)
Some college	8,133 (39.94)	3,111 (38.90)
College or advanced degree	6,870 (33.74)	2,670 (33.38)
Race/Ethnicity		
White	16,527 (80.58)	5,679 (70.54)
Black	2,436 (11.88)	1,517 (18.84)
Hispanic	611 (2.98)	314 (3.90)
Asian/Pacific Islander	510 (2.49)	357 (4.43)
Other	426 (2.08)	184 (2.29)
Body mass index, kg/m ²		
<25	4,606 (22.66)	1,887 (23.64)
25-29.9	6,857 (33.73)	2,776 (34.77)
30	8,867 (43.62)	3,320 (41.59)
Lifestyle Characteristics		
Physical activity, MET-hrs/wee	k	
Inactive	3,706 (18.45%)	1,510 (19.17)
>0-6.7	6,422 (31.96%)	2,494 (31.66)
6.8–16.6	5,327 (26.51%)	2,094 (26.58)
16.6	4,637 (23.08%)	1,780 (22.59)
Smoking, pack-years		
Never smoker	10,654 (53.75)	4,041 (52.03)
>0-7.4	3,008 (15.18)	1,170 (15.06)
7.5–23.0	2,829 (14.27)	1,126 (14.50)
23.1	3,329 (16.80)	1,430 (18.41)
Alcohol consumption, servings	/week	
0	9,792 (47.90)	4,116 (51.25)
0.2–0.8	3,951 (19.33)	1,500 (18.68)
0.9–3.7	3,238 (15.84)	1,137 (14.16)
3.8	3,463 (16.94)	1,278 (15.91)
Medical History and Reproduce	tive Health	
Number of 1 st degree relatives	with breast cancer	
None	16,177 (85.20)	6,428 (85.31)
1	2,506 (13.20)	995 (13.21)
2	304 (1.60)	112 (1.49)
Breast cancer screening		

Breast cancer screening

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 August 01.

Characteristic	Other AHM Use (n=20,510), <i>n</i> (%)	CCB Use (n=8,051), n (%)
Never	541 (2.65)	214 (2.67)
Ever	19,857 (97.35)	7,792 (97.33)
Age at menarche, years		
10	1,464 (7.16)	574 (7.15)
11–12	8,845 (43.25)	3,377 (42.08)
13–14	8,249 (40.34)	3,277 (40.83)
15	1,891 (9.25)	797 (9.93)
Age at menopause, years		
<47	6,983 (35.87)	2,877 (37.82)
47–51	6,486 (33.31)	2,414 (31.73)
52	6,001 (30.82)	2,317 (30.45)
Parity		
Never pregnant	2,239 (10.97)	867 (10.83)
1	1,764 (8.65)	698 (8.72)
2–4	12,932 (63.38)	5,052 (63.11)
5	3,468 (17.00)	1,388 (17.34)
Age at first birth, years		
Never pregnant	2,239 (12.16)	867 (12.07)
<20	2,877 (15.62)	1,234 (17.18)
20–29	11,876 (64.48)	4,545 (63.27)
30	1,427 (7.75)	537 (7.48)
Duration of unopposed esti	rogen therapy, years	
<4	14,628 (71.32)	5,823 (72.33)
4–12	2,549 (12.43)	968 (12.02)
12	3,333 (16.25)	1,260 (15.65)
Duration of combined horr	none therapy, years	
<2.5	17,419 (84.93)	6,992 (86.85)
2.5–7	1,507 (7.35)	514 (6.38)
8	1,584 (7.72)	545 (6.77)

AHM, anti-hypertensive medications; CCB, calcium channel blocker

Author Manuscript

Table 2

Associations of baseline CCB use versus other AHM use with breast cancer risk in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study and Clinical Trials, n=28,561.

				and from ano to momente armana	
	AHM Use	CCB Use	>0 to 4.9	5.0 to 9.9	10
Any calcium-channel blockers	kers				
n cases/n non-cases	1,008/19,502	394/7,657	256/5,349	103/1,601	35/707
HR (95% CI) ²	1.00 reference	1.06 (0.94–1.20)	1.01 (0.87–1.18)	1.33 (1.03–1.71) 1.05 (0.72–1.52)	1.05 (0.72–1.52)
Action					
Short-acting					
n cases/n non-cases	1,008/19,502	112/2,139	72/1,506	32/450	8/192
HR (95% CI) ²	1.00 reference		1.08 (0.88–1.32) 1.03 (0.80–1.32) 1.35 (0.91–2.02)	1.35 (0.91–2.02)	0.91 (0.44–1.86)
Long-acting					
n cases/n non-cases	1,008/19,502	284/5,548	184/3, 878	73/1,159	27/520
HR (95% CI) ²	1.00 reference	1.06 (0.92–1.22)	0.99 (0.84–1.18)	0.99 (0.84–1.18) 1.33 (1.00–1.76) 1.08 (0.71–1.63)	1.08 (0.71–1.63)
Drug Class					
Dihydropyridines					
n cases/n non-cases	1,008/19,502	152/3,390	118/2,614	24/564	10/212
HR (95% CI) ²	1.00 reference	0.96 (0.81–1.15)	1.00 (0.81–1.22)	0.87 (0.56–1.36)	0.92 (0.48–1.78)
Non-dihydropyridines					
n cases/n non-cases	1,008/19,502	242/4,300	138/2,765	79/1,039	25/496
HR (95% CI) ²	1.00 reference	1.13 (0.98–1.31)	1.01 (0.83–1.23)	1.54 (1.17–2.02)	1.09 (0.71–1.66)

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 August 01.

² Adjusted for baseline age (time variable), WHI-CT intervention assignment, education, race, BMI, physical activity, smoking, alcohol, and breast cancer screening.