UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Applying human-centered design to the construction of a cirrhosis management clinical decision support system

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7wr731k7

Journal Hepatology Communications, 8(3)

ISSN

2471-254X

Authors

Ge, Jin Buenaventura, Ana Berrean, Beth <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2024

DOI

10.1097/hc9.00000000000394

Peer reviewed

DOI: 10.1097/HC9.00000000000394

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

OPEN



Applying human-centered design to the construction of a cirrhosis management clinical decision support system

Jin Ge¹ (D) | Ana Buenaventura² | Beth Berrean² (D) | Jory Purvis² | Valy Fontil³ (D) | Jennifer C. Lai¹ (D) | Mark J. Pletcher⁴ (D)

¹Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of California—San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

²School of Medicine Technology Services, University of California—San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

³Family Health Centers, NYU-Langone Medical Center, Brooklyn, New York, USA

⁴Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California—San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA

Correspondence

Jin Ge, Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of California—San Francisco, 513 Parnassus Avenue, S-357, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA.

Email: jin.ge@ucsf.edu

Abstract

Background: Electronic health record (EHR)-based clinical decision support is a scalable way to help standardize clinical care. Clinical decision support systems have not been extensively investigated in cirrhosis management. Human-centered design (HCD) is an approach that engages with potential users in intervention development. In this study, we applied HCD to design the features and interface for a clinical decision support system for cirrhosis management, called *CirrhosisRx*.

Methods: We conducted technical feasibility assessments to construct a visual blueprint that outlines the basic features of the interface. We then convened collaborative-design workshops with generalist and specialist clinicians. We elicited current workflows for cirrhosis management, assessed gaps in existing EHR systems, evaluated potential features, and refined the design prototype for *CirrhosisRx*. At the conclusion of each workshop, we analyzed recordings and transcripts.

Results: Workshop feedback showed that the aggregation of relevant clinical data into 6 cirrhosis decompensation domains (defined as common inpatient clinical scenarios) was the most important feature. Automatic inference of clinical events from EHR data, such as gastrointestinal bleeding from hemoglobin changes, was not accepted due to accuracy concerns. Visualizations for risk stratification scores were deemed not necessary. Lastly, the HCD co-design workshops allowed us to identify the target user population (generalists).

Conclusions: This is one of the first applications of HCD to design the features and interface for an electronic intervention for cirrhosis management. The HCD process altered features, modified the design interface, and likely improved *CirrhosisRx*'s overall usability. The finalized design for *CirrhosisRx*

Abbreviations: AASLD, American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; AGA, American Gastroenterological Association; CDS, clinical decision support; EHR, electronic health record; FHIR, Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources; GAI, generative artificial intelligence; HCD, human- and user-centered design; LOINC, Logical Observation Identifiers, Names, and Codes; SMART-on-FHIR, Substitutable Medical Applications and Reusable Technologies on Fast Health Interoperability Resources.

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases.

proceeded to development and production and will be tested for effectiveness in a pragmatic randomized controlled trial. This work provides a model for the creation of other EHR-based interventions in hepatology care.

INTRODUCTION

Cirrhosis and its complications are associated with significant morbidity, mortality, and health care utilization.^[1,2] Moreover, prior studies have indicated significant differences in inpatient mortality rates for patients with cirrhosis among different hospitals and medical centers, suggesting that there are substantial variations in practices and quality of care. These studies have also shown low adherence to national practice guidelines and guality measures.^[3–8] Multiple strategies, such as integrated care models, population health management, mandatory gastroenterology/hepatology consultation, education outreach, discharge bundles, and standardized templates,^[9,10] have been trialed to improve the quality of inpatient cirrhosis care. These approaches, however, may be cost-prohibitive and not scalable or generalizable across different institutions.

Clinical decision support (CDS) systems are informatics-driven interventions in the electronic health record (EHR) that provide clinicians with patient-specific information or recommendations.^[11-15] They are thought to change provider behaviors through improvements in workflow processes, presentation of pertinent information, and facilitation of clinical decision-making. They are an attractive strategy to improve guideline adherence due to potential low costs and scalable deployments across institutions. Several CDS systems have been demonstrated in the management of chronic liver diseases,^[16] including best practice advisory alerts and clinical dashboards for the treatment of chronic hepatitis B and C.^[17,18] workflow support for metabolic dysfunction-associated steatotic liver disease (formerly nonalcoholic fatty liver disease),^[19] and medication management.^[20,21] Adoptions and implementations of CDS for cirrhosis management, especially inpatient management, have been limited. The reasons are multifactorial: (1) cirrhosis and its complications impact multiple nonhepatic organ systems, (2) the delivery of guidelines-based cirrhosis care requires integrating disparate sources of EHR data, and (3) clinical workflows for cirrhosis care are complex and require coordination of multiple clinical specialties.[11,22,23]

To overcome these issues, human-centered design (HCD) is an approach that systematically engages with and prioritizes the needs and preferences of end-users in the development of a service or intervention. By addressing and emphasizing functional and usability considerations, HCD helps to construct interventions that end-users will *actually* use.^[24,25] In this study, we

applied principles from these approaches to design the interface and features for a CDS system for cirrhosis management, called *CirrhosisRx*.

METHODS

The activities described in this study were authorized by the Institutional Review Board at the University of California, San Francisco under Study #21-35233. Before embarking on this study, our team had conducted an evaluation of prior literature related to addressing quality gaps in cirrhosis care and determined a priori to create a CDS system for inpatient cirrhosis management. In this stage of the HCD process, therefore, we focused on designing the features and interface of the CDS system that could potentially address the needs of endusers. We organized our activities according to the British Design Council's "Double Diamond Model." We chose this model to frame and guide our HCD activities due to its broad acceptability in the design community and its wide applicability to various design problems, including software development.^[26,27] The Double Diamond Model divides the design process into 4 main phases (Discover, Define, Develop, and Deliver).^[28] Our activities covered the first 3 phases of the "Double Diamond Model" and are summarized in Figure 1.

Discovery: Literature review and gap analyses

We first evaluate gaps in the inpatient care of patients with cirrhosis through a review and evaluation of quality measures published by national practice societies, notably from the American Gastroenterological Association (AGA) and the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD), to determine potential clinical actions to be enacted by CirrhosisRx. We identified 5 quality measures published by the AGA and AASLD that were applicable to inpatient cirrhosis care and could be subject to intervention by CDS systems-either through presentation, aggregation, or organization of relevant clinical information and/or recommended order sets for standardizing management.^[29,30] We then conducted a targeted literature review to determine the existing compliance with these 5 guality measures and found significant variations and opportunities for improvement (Table 1).^[3–8]



FIGURE 1 Summary of HCD for the features and interface of *CirrhosisRx*. Abbreviations: CDS, clinical decision support; HCD, human-centered design; SMART-on-FHIR, Substitutable Medical Applications and Reusable Technologies on Fast Health Interoperability Resources; TBD, to be determined.

Discovery: Environment scan

To expedite the technical aspects of the design of *CirrhosisRx*, we leveraged prior work, specifically the design and technical expertise accumulated from *EngageRx*, a hypertension management CDS system designed and implemented at our institution. *EngageRx* was developed to help clinical providers with hypertension management in the outpatient setting through the automated incorporation of biometrics and medication records recorded in our EPIC-based EHR system.^[31] *EngageRx* was built on the Substitutable Medical Applications and Reusable Technologies on Fast Health Interoperability Resources (SMART-on-FHIR) application programming interface, which is a set of open specifications for creating

applications that could be implemented in any compliant EHR system.^[32] SMART-on-FHIR–enabled portability of CDS systems is particularly important for dissemination to other health and EHR systems.

Define: Wireframe

In this phase of the work, we assessed the technical feasibility and identified clinical workflow opportunities. We convened 2 initial focus groups via video teleconferencing that included 2 clinicians, 2 user-experience designers, 1 informaticist, and 2 programmers to brainstorm potential features and design a "wireframe" of the proposed *CirrhosisRx* CDS system. Wireframes are

TABLE 1 AGA/AASLD-recommended guidelines and quality measures applicable for inpatient cirrhosis care and previous adherence rates in the literature

#	Description	Numerator (admissions with action performed)	Denominator (addressable admissions)	Rates in previous literature
1	Patients with ascites should receive a diagnostic paracentesis if admitted for ascites or HE	#Admissions with paracenteses	#Admissions with ascites or HE	22%–58% ^[4,7,9]
2	Patients who are admitted with or develop GI bleeding should receive antibiotics within 24 h	#Admissions with antibiotics within 24 h	#Admissions with GI bleeding	39%–49% ^[4,7]
3	Patients with SBP should receive empiric antibiotics and i.v. albumin within 12 h	#Admissions with antibiotics/ albumin within 12 h	#Admissions with SBP	72%–77% ^[4,7]
4	Patients who present with upper GI bleeding should receive upper endoscopy (EGD) within 12 h	#Admissions with EGD within 12 h	#Admissions with GI bleeding	85% ^[8]
5	Patients with HE should receive lactulose	#Admissions with lactulose	#Admissions with HE	58%–95% ^[4–6]

Abbreviations: AASLD, American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases; AGA, American Gastroenterological Association; EGD, upper endoscopy; GI, gastrointestinal; SBP, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis.

3

concept sketches or visual blueprints of the interface and include only the most basic content and visuals. The clinical participants described scenarios in which *CirrhosisRx* is intended to be used while technical experts (userexperience designers, informaticists, and programmers) confirmed technical feasibility with regard to data needs. Due to its planned use of SMART-on-FHIR, all data requirements for *CirrhosisRx* were required to conform to the Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR) health information exchange standards.^[32,33] Following these workshops, we created an initial *CirrhosisRx* wireframe that would serve as the basis for our subsequent clinical collaborative-design workshops (Figure 2).

Develop: Clinical collaborative-design workshop round 1

Following the development of our low-fidelity wireframes, we convened the first of 2 rounds of co-design workshops via video conference. A collaborative-design (or "co-design") workshop is defined as one where participants (and potential end-users) work with the design team to discuss existing practices, jointly explore ideas, and modify mock-ups or prototypes.^[24,34,35] We recruited 20 clinicians of various training backgrounds including internal medicine residents; gastroenterology and advanced/transplant hepatology fellows; attending hospitalists. gastroenterologists, and hepatologists; and advanced practice practitioners. These clinicians practiced at a community-affiliated academic practice, an academic medical center, and a Veterans Health Administration hospital. Recruitment was conducted through a snowball sampling method in which existing participants would refer other potential participants to the investigators. Approximately half of the clinicians were self-identified generalists, and the other half were specialists. We convened four 60-minute co-design workshops through video teleconferencing with screen sharing enabled in the first round with 5 to 7 participants (with a rough half/half mix in generalists and specialists) in each. We conducted the first round of co-design workshops with semi-structured interviews. To elicit information and reach a consensus, we utilized the nominal group technique, in which participants wrote down initial thoughts/responses to questions, and shared them with the broader group, followed by discussion and consensus building, to reach final conclusions.^[36]

Specifically, we asked the clinical participants regarding the following topics:

- Approaches and workflows for managing a patient who presents to the hospital with newly diagnosed cirrhosis or a complication of cirrhosis.
- (2) Sources and location of data within the EHR to help synthesize clinical information and methods of retrieval.
- (3) Identify difficulties in accessing relevant information within the EHR system that would help them with clinical decision-making.
- (4) Features of a potential CDS system that would ease difficulties in accessing information in each user's respective EHR system.

At the near end of each of the 4 co-design workshops, we shared the most updated version of the design prototype for group feedback. We used the iteratively



FIGURE 2 Initial wireframe for *CirrhosisRx* at the time of technical feasibility assessment. Abbreviations: MELD-Na, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease Sodium Score; NACSELD-ACLF, North American Consortium for the Study of End Stage Liver Disease Acute on Chronic Liver Failure Score.

updated design prototype to discuss specific appearances of CDS elements and proposed features, such as data tables, visualizations, and recommended order sets. After each co-design workshop, we reviewed the teleconference recordings along with notes transcribed during the session. We then conducted thematic analyses of the recordings and notes from each workshop to determine the most pertinent recommendations and features to be included in the next iteration of the design prototype.

Develop: Individual task-flow surveys

Following the 4 co-design workshops in round 1, we sent all participants individual task-flow surveys (Table 2). Task flow in user-experience generally refers to the sequence of steps or actions that a user must go through to complete a specific task. The purpose of a task-flow survey is to elicit the users' experiences and feedback as they move through certain aspects of the design. In the case of *CirrhosisRx* design, our survey concerned how users would move through and use the individual features, such as the data tables, visualizations, and recommended order sets, of the CDS. Our goal was to elicit input regarding how each feature would be integrated into their workflow for cirrhosis care and a rating of whether they would be using each individual feature.

Develop: Clinical co-design workshop round 2

Utilizing the individual feedback that we received from the task-flow surveys, we refined the design prototype and conducted a second round of co-design workshops with all participants from round 1. We convened two 60minute co-design workshops through video teleconferencing with screen sharing enabled in the second round with 7 to 10 participants (with a rough half/half mix in generalists and specialists) in each. During the round 2 co-design workshops, the design team reviewed the prototype thus far and reported high-level summaries of the task-flow survey results. We then used the consensus-building method of collaborative mapping to obtain additional feedback on the design by asking users to describe, map, and chart out their potential interactions with the design prototype through the screen-sharing functionalities of the teleconference platform.^[37] Specifically, we asked participants to give detailed feedback on the positioning of various features and elements within the design. It was through this process that we also discussed where the final design would be located within the EHR system and how it might best be accessed by clinicians during their usual workflow. As in the first round of co-design workshops, we reviewed the teleconference recordings along with notes transcribed during the workshop for thematic analyses at the conclusion of each workshop.

RESULTS

The final interface and feature design prototype for CirrhosisRx from our co-design workshops is presented in Figure 3. This HCD-driven co-design exercise revealed several key insights that affected *CirrhosisRx's* ultimate design. During the technical feasibility, our use of the FHIR standard (to be compatible with SMART-on-FHIR) set limitations on potential features to be included in the CDS system. For instance, structured data, such as discrete labs, vital signs, and pro-forma documentation with established Logical Observation Identifiers, Names, and Codes (LOINC) codes, were the most feasible to incorporate. Unstructured data, such as clinical notes and pathology reports, were significantly harder to parse and therefore were not considered for incorporation, at least in the initial version of CirrhosisRx. These initial technical feasibility reviews set boundaries to guide further discussions with clinicians and end-users in the 2 rounds of clinical co-design workshops.

The 2 rounds of co-design workshops gave insights collected through consensus building (nominal group technique and collaborative mapping) and thematic analyses on which features were most desirable for endusers (Table 3). For instance, our team had initially envisioned automated detection of clinical events, such as gastrointestinal bleeding, based on real-time EHR data as a potential feature for *CirrhosisRx*. This vision, however, was abandoned due to the potential for inaccuracies in algorithms used in the CDS. Moreover, participants reported that "less complex" information aggregation tasks, such as centralizing relevant clinical information into one location, were the most important features of the CDS. With regard to the organization of clinical information-our users strongly preferred organization into 6 commonly recognized "decompensation domains" or generally encountered clinical scenarios in the management of hospitalized patients with cirrhosis, for example, encephalopathy, varices, ascites, renal, nutrition, and infection. Four of these domains, namely encephalopathy,^[38] esophageal varices,^[39] ascites, and renal dysfunction,^[40] are widely accepted as "traditional" decompensations of cirrhosis. Among the user groups, however, there was increasing recognition that nutrition and infection are also important decompensation domains. It was thought that nutrition and infection may become the focus of future quality measures.[41-45]

Visualizations, such as longitudinal displays of commonly used risk stratification scores like the Model for End-Stage Liver Disease score,^[46] were found to be helpful but not absolutely necessary: many subspecialized gastroenterologists and hepatologists do not actively calculate Model for End-Stage Liver Disease during their

TABLE 2 Task-flow survey questions and responses (N = 17 respondents)

Task-flow survey question	Description	Summary statistic or representative responses/quotes
For the "Summary of Complications" feature, how likely are you to incorporate this feature in your daily workflow?	Rated on a Likert Scale 1–5 corresponding to "Extremely Unlikely" to "Extremely Likely"	4.71 (SD 0.46)
For the "Summary of Complications" feature, what information did you find useful in helping you with your workflow?	The "Summary of Complications" refers to the organization of clinical date into 6 decompensation domains (eg, encephalopathy, varices, ascites, renal, nutrition, and infection)	 Representative Generalist Comments: "Having most of what you need for a cirrhosis patient in one place." "I really appreciated that it had the current medications and most recent imaging. Saves me a lot of time. Also, the flow helps me make sure I remember to address all these issues." Representative Specialist Comments: "Helpful features included the recent lab values and the 'last/ most recent' pertinent study pertaining to each individual section. Additionally, the current medications are helpful as well." "I love that it prompts doses of medications and suggests orders. This is going to help the interns and streamline the order process. It will make our rounding faster, and patients can get started on medications ASAP."
For the "Summary of Complications" feature, what other information would be useful to you to make what kinds of decisions?		 Representative Generalist Comments: "Would be helpful to have decision support guidance on, say, discontinuing non-selective beta blocker if they have SBP with a link. Just some nudges." "I like this how it is. I would say that the outgoing links seems are consequences of complications, that is, esophageal varices, has an outgoing link to EV bleed order set." Representative Specialist Comments: "If space permits, can consider time/date stamp on when the current medication was ordered/changed (e.g., helpful with the antibiotics section as it would allow for day #, as some of patients may have several antibiotics on board), thus, would help provide a better bird's eye glance." "I would move infection up in the order because that is applicable to every cirrhosis patient. Less so the varices (which I think should be moved down). Personally, in terms of how common, I would order it as: Infection, AKI, Ascites, HE, Varices." "It would be nice to add MAP to the "Renal Dysfunction" section as a way to help assess the likelihood of HRS and to assess treatment response once it is initiated."
For the "Laboratory Trend" feature (graphs), how likely are you to incorporate this in your workflow?	Rated on a Likert Scale 1–5 corresponding to "Extremely Unlikely" to "Extremely Likely"	4.30 (SD 0.75)
For the "Laboratory Trend" feature (graphs), what information did you find useful in helping you with your workflow?	The "Laboratory Trend" refers to visualizations and graphs of calculated risk scores (MELD)	 Representative Generalist Comments: "As a hospitalist, I don't use MELD-Na on a daily basis." "The trend is helpful to have the provider begin considering the urgency of transplant evaluation and, perhaps, guide conversations re: prognosis with patients and families." "It is nice that meld is calculated for the user and displayed in a linear time series. I would find this helpful for a quick look at how the patient is doing from MELD standpoint." Representative Specialist Comments: "I like the various trend selections (quarter, month, week)." "I'm not sure about this. I feel like big trends are useful—but worried that I will interpret small changes are more clinically significant than they really are."
For the "Laboratory Trend" feature (graphs), what other information would be useful to you to make what kinds of decisions?		Representative Generalist Comments: "The trend information is very helpful, and when looking at the complications, may be helpful in titrating medications for primary or secondary prophylaxis of complications. So, as an example, if able to have a similar type of graph for the trend of the creatinine (to assess for likelihood of

TABLE 2. (continued)

Task-flow survey question	Description	Summary statistic or representative responses/quotes
		 HRS), potassium (to titrate dosing of furosemide/ spironolactone), and sodium (to see which direction it has been trending), that may benefit decision making." Representative Specialist Comments: "Additional ways to improve this section is for additional drop-down selection of different labs (e.g., Hgb, Cr, AST, ALT, Tb, etc.) along with the MELDNa composite score (though can also complete in the results/lab section as flow chart)." "An AFP trend would be helpful if the patient had HCC."
For the "Laboratory Results" feature, how likely are you to incorporate this in your workflow?	Rated on a Likert Scale 1–5 corresponding to "Extremely Unlikely" to "Extremely Likely"	4.35 (SD 0.68)
For the "Laboratory Results" feature, what information did you find useful in helping you with your workflow?	The "Laboratory Results" refers to the data table feature of multiple commonly used laboratory studies used in the management of cirrhosis	 Representative Generalist Comments: "It's helpful to see all the most important relevant data." "Need a few values if we have them. If the patient is new of course then still at least two columns are kind of necessary to understand the patient's outpatient baseline. On that note, it is actually helpful to have color coding in the labs based on the setting of the encounter they are attached to. If all outpatient labs are green and inpatient labs are blue, helps me see quickly that they patient suddenly has new hyponatremia." Representative Specialist Comments: "Not sure yet. I'm so used to the interface of labs where I normally look at them that I'm not sure this is that useful." "The 30-day historical range is good, though for creatinine/ bili/sodium/INR it would be nice to also have a sense of the trend in terms of which direction each lab value has been going in."
For the "Laboratory Results" feature, what other information would be useful to you to make what kinds of decisions?		 Representative Generalist Comments: "When seeing such a table it can be difficult to have to go through line by line. Would be helpful if it's broken down based on CBC/BMP/Fluid etc. That way you can find what you need quickly and note what is missing." "Some other lab complications are useful for us to know about especially if they can be explained by the cirrhosis: cytopenias especially come to mind." Representative Specialist Comments: "The platelet count would be useful!"
From the list below, which best describes your thinking about the overall concept?		"This would be slightly better than what I am currently using"—53% "I need it because nothing else solves this problem"—47%
Who else do you think this tool would be useful for?		Generalists (Hospitalists, Primary Care Providers, Trainees, and etc.)—75% Specialists (Gastroenterologists, Hepatologists, and etc.)— 25%

Abbreviations: MELD, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; MELD-Na, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease Sodium Score.

information-gathering. On-demand calculators for other well-known risk scores, but less commonly used than Model for End-Stage Liver Disease, such as Maddrey's Discriminant Function and the Lille Score,^[47] were appreciated by end-users.

During our co-design workshops and task-flow survey, a major theme that emerged was a significant dichotomy among provider groups (generalists vs. specialists) with regard to desired features (Table 3). For instance, there was mixed feedback regarding the incorporation of info-buttons or links to reference materials for educational purposes. Generalists were largely in favor of such links to established evidence while specialists were less enthusiastic. Eliciting the differences in use-cases and use-workflows also highlighted the importance of identifying the most applicable audience for *CirrhosisRx*. We concluded that *CirrhosisRx* should be focused on the generalist user population rather than specialists who may be far more familiar with recommended guidelines for the inpatient management of cirrhosis.



FIGURE 3 Final *CirrhosisRx* design prototype after co-design workshops. Abbreviations: MELD-Na, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease Sodium Score; NACSELD-ACLF, North American Consortium for the Study of End Stage Liver Disease Acute on Chronic Liver Failure Score.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we described the HCD process for *CirrhosisRx*, a CDS system intended to improve the inpatient management of cirrhosis and its complications. The process allowed us to identify features that would be relevant for different types of clinical users and workflows. Moreover, our 2 rounds of co-design workshops allowed us to focus on the best-intended user

population, which we identified to be generalist practitioners rather than specialists. Finally, discussions in our co-design workshops indicated significant enthusiasm regarding the existing features of the CDS (data tables, visualizations, and recommended order set), but also future features that would be built into *CirrhosisRx*.

To our knowledge, our application of HCD principles to design the features and interface of a CDS system is one of the first for cirrhosis management. There are, TABLE 3 Key findings and themes extracted from co-design workshops for CirrhosisRx

Key theme	Description
CDS logic accuracy	Accuracy of data presented to the user is paramount—inaccurate data or guidance would lead to abandonment of the application.
Data organization	Inpatient cirrhosis care is often framed in terms of each decompensation; therefore, information should be organized by "decompensation domains."
Notifications	Best practice advisories and "pop-ups" are not desirable, if decision support is recommended, the notification should be a "nudge"
Customizability	Customizability based on provider type or individual user to have different features would be ideal if technically feasible.
Automated calculations	Automated calculation of commonly utilized risk stratification scores, such as Maddrey's Discriminant Function, Lille Score, and VOCAL-Penn would be helpful
Visualizations	Longitudinal visualization of MELD-Na would be a helpful feature, but calculated risk mortalities within 90 d at each score range would have been more helpful for workflow.
Reference materials	Info-buttons or links to reference materials were favored by generalists, but not specialists.

Abbreviations: MELD-NA, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease Sodium Score.

however, several limitations to our study. As noted in the methods section, we had decided a priori to focus on the development of a CDS system as the potential solution to inconsistent care and practice variation in the inpatient management of cirrhosis. As such, we only applied the HCD principles and processes to the design of the CDS system and we did not utilize HCD methods in the exploration of alternative strategies for improving inpatient cirrhosis care. Second, the terminology and wording posed in our task-flow survey may have biased responses to positive results. We are aware of this limitation and will carefully construct future surveys and questionnaires related to the evaluation of CirrhosisRx to minimize bias and be more neutral. Finally, at the time of the finalization of the prototype design, we did not have a version of CirrhosisRx implemented in the testing (protected sandbox) environment in our institution's EHR to demonstrate real-time integration of the application. We anticipate further iterative testing as we complete software development and production, and move toward live implementation of CirrhosisRx.

Despite these limitations, we believe that the future evaluations (both effectiveness and implementation) of *CirrhosisRx* will be pivotal in establishing whether CDS systems for cirrhosis management could: (1) increase recommended care and improve guideline adherence in cirrhosis; and (2) improve hospitalization outcomes, such as 30-day readmissions and in-hospital mortality. Pursuant to this, we are in the process of kicking off a pragmatic randomized controlled trial within our institution to test *CirrhosisRx* in a rigorous manner.

The advent of generative artificial intelligence (GAI) technologies in the past year also opens the potential for their applications in CDS systems. Our group had previously demonstrated several potential use-cases for GAI and large language models in clinical hepatology.^[48] Large language models have been used to refine CDS logic by improving the specificity of alerts in advisory notices.^[49] Initial applications of GAI will likely be in

drafting and editing notes and reports, but will ultimately shift toward improving the quality of information for tasks. One potential implementation of GAI technologies in CDS systems, such as *CirrhosisRx*, could be the real-time clinical decision augmentation (eg, recommendations for labs, imaging, or medication orders for next steps in workup or management) detected within the text of the written assessment and plan. A feature like this would be similar to the "auto-complete" function seen in word processing software or search engines, except this would be implemented in a clinically focused context. The future integration of GAI technologies within CDS for clinical application, however, will need to consider issues concerning patient privacy and ongoing concerns about confabulation and hallucinations observed in large language models.^[48,50] Moreover, there are also outstanding questions regarding liability and whether GAI clinical tools would be regulated medical devices. At this time, CirrhosisRx is not designed or envisioned to include GAI capabilities or algorithms.

In conclusion, this is one of the first studies to describe the process for incorporating HCD-driven design principles into prototype creation of a CDS for the management of cirrhosis. The co-design processes with potential users significantly altered planned features for *CirrhosisRx*, the overall design of the interface, and likely improved the overall usability of the *CirrhosisRx* application. We hope that this work provides a framework for the creation and design of future EHR-based interventions, such as those in the future with GAI capabilities, in hepatology care.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Jin Ge: manuscript concept and design; data collection and analysis; drafting of the manuscript; and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Ana Buenaventura, Beth Berrean, and Jory Purvis: data collection and analysis; drafting of the manuscript; and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Valy Fontil: drafting of the manuscript; and critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content. Jennifer C. Lai and Mark J. Pletcher: manuscript concept and design; drafting of the manuscript; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content.

FUNDING INFORMATION

The authors of this study were supported in part by the KL2TR001870 (National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, Jin Ge), the AASLD Anna S. Lok Advanced/Transplant Hepatology Award AHL21-104606 (AASLD Foundation, Jin Ge), P30DK026743 (UCSF Liver Center Grant, Jin Ge and Jennifer C. Lai), K23HL136899 (National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Valy Fontil), UL1TR001872 (National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, Mark J. Pletcher), and R01AG059183/K24AG080021 (National Institute on Aging, Jennifer C. Lai). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or any other funding agencies. The funding agencies played no role in the analysis of the data or the preparation of this manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Jin Ge receives research support from Merck and Co; and consults for Astellas Pharmaceuticals. Valy Fontil created a clinical decision support technology platform with potential plans to commercialize it. Jennifer C. Lai receives research support from Flagship Pioneering, Pliant, and Vir; consults for Genfit; and serves on an advisory board for Novo Nordisk. The remaining authors have no conflicts to report.

ORCID

Jin Ge https://orcid.org/0000–0003–1574–1525 *Beth Berrean* https://orcid.org/0000–0002–7414– 4280

Valy Fontil https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0795-3237 Jennifer C. Lai https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2092-6380

Mark J. Pletcher https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6966-1312

REFERENCES

- Hirode G, Saab S, Wong RJ. Trends in the burden of chronic liver disease among hospitalized US adults. JAMA Netw Open. 2020; 3:e201997.
- Desai AP, Mohan P, Nokes B, Sheth D, Knapp S, Boustani M, et al. Increasing economic burden in hospitalized patients with cirrhosis: Analysis of a national database. Clin Transl Gastroenterol. 2019;10:e00062.
- Ghaoui R, Friderici J, Visintainer P, Lindenauer PK, Lagu T, Desilets D. Measurement of the quality of care of patients admitted with decompensated cirrhosis. Liver Int. 2014;34:204–10.
- 4. Tapper EB, Aberasturi D, Zhao Z, Hsu C-Y, Parikh ND. Outcomes after hepatic encephalopathy in population-based

cohorts of patients with cirrhosis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2020; 51:1397–405.

- Bajaj JS, O'Leary JG, Tandon P, Wong F, Kamath PS, Biggins SW, et al. Targets to improve quality of care for patients with hepatic encephalopathy: Data from a multi-centre cohort. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2019;49:1518–27.
- Kanwal F, Kramer JR, Buchanan P, Asch SM, Assioun Y, Bacon BR, et al. The quality of care provided to patients with cirrhosis and ascites in the Department of Veterans Affairs. Gastroenterology. 2012;143:70–7.
- Alves da Silva J, Falcão D, Maia L, Guedes TP, Garrido M, Novo MI, et al. Acute variceal bleeding and out-of-hours endoscopy: Evaluation of an emergency care setting according to Baveno VI guidelines adherence. Dig Liver Dis. 2021;53:1320–6.
- Patel N, Silvey S, O'Leary JG, Morgan T, Patton H, Rogal SS, et al. Early paracentesis is associated with better prognosis compared to late or no-paracentesis in hospitalized veterans with cirrhosis and ascites. Liver Transpl. 2023;29:919–27.
- 9. Tapper EB. Challenge accepted: Confronting readmissions for our patients with cirrhosis. Hepatology. 2016;64:26–8.
- Moghe A, Yakovchenko V, Morgan T, McCurdy H, Scott D, Rozenberg-Ben-Dror K, et al. Strategies to improve delivery of cirrhosis care. Curr Treat Options Gastroenterol. 2021;19:369–79.
- Sutton RT, Pincock D, Baumgart DC, Sadowski DC, Fedorak RN, Kroeker KI. An overview of clinical decision support systems: Benefits, risks, and strategies for success. NPJ Digit Med. 2020;3:17.
- Kao D, Larson C, Fletcher D, Stegner K. Clinical decision support may link multiple domains to improve patient care: Viewpoint. JMIR Med Inform. 2020;8:e20265.
- Weiner SJ, Schwartz A, Weaver F, Galanter W, Olender S, Kochendorfer K, et al. Effect of electronic health record clinical decision support on contextualization of care: A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2022;5:e2238231.
- Petersen C, Smith J, Freimuth RR, Goodman KW, Jackson GP, Kannry J, et al. Recommendations for the safe, effective use of adaptive CDS in the US healthcare system: An AMIA position paper. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2021;28:677–84.
- Pletcher MJ, Flaherman V, Najafi N, Patel S, Rushakoff RJ, Hoffman A, et al. Randomized controlled trials of electronic health record interventions: Design, conduct, and reporting considerations. Ann Intern Med. 2020;172(11 suppl):S85–91.
- Ge J, Fontil V, Ackerman S, Pletcher MJ, Lai JC. Clinical decision support and electronic interventions to improve care quality in chronic liver diseases and cirrhosis. Hepatology. 2023. doi: 10.1097/HEP.000000000000583
- Mehta SJ, Torgersen J, Small DS, Mallozzi CP, McGreevey JD, Rareshide CAL, et al. Effect of a default order vs an alert in the electronic health record on hepatitis C virus screening among hospitalized patients: A stepped-wedge randomized clinical trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2022;5:e222427.
- Tapp H, Ludden T, Shade L, Thomas J, Mohanan S, Leonard M. Electronic medical record alert activation increase hepatitis C and HIV screening rates in primary care practices within a large healthcare system. Prev Med Rep. 2020;17:101036.
- Spann A, Bishop KM, Weitkamp AO, Stenner SP, Nelson SD, Izzy M. Clinical decision support automates care gap detection among primary care patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatol Commun. 2023;7:e0035.
- Mudireddy PR, Mull NK, Williams K, Bushen JL, Kasbekar N, Krok K, et al. Impact of a clinical decision support intervention on albumin utilization and appropriateness of use in an academic healthcare system. medRxiv. 2021. doi: 10.1101/2021.04.05. 21254943
- 21. Mayorga CA, Rockey DC. Clinical utility of a standardized electronic order set for the management of acute upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage in patients with cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2013;11:1342–8.

- Garvin JH, Ducom J, Matheny M, Miller A, Westerman D, Reale C, et al. Descriptive usability study of cirrods: Clinical decision and workflow support tool for management of patients with cirrhosis. JMIR Med Inform. 2019;7:e13627.
- Bright TJ, Wong A, Dhurjati R, Bristow E, Bastian L, Coeytaux RR, et al. Effect of clinical decision-support systems: A systematic review. Ann Intern Med. 2012;157:29–43.
- Melles M, Albayrak A, Goossens R. Innovating health care: Key characteristics of human-centered design. Int J Qual Health Care. 2021;33(suppl_1):37–44.
- Wheelock A, Bechtel C, Leff B. Human-centered design and trust in medicine. JAMA. 2020;324:2369–70.
- 26. Tschimmel K. Design thinking as an effective toolkit for innovation. ISPIM Conf Proc. 2012:1.
- The structure of design revolutions: Kuhnian paradigm shifts in creative problem solving on JSTOR. 2010. Accessed December 4, 2023. https://www.jstor.org/stable/20627842
- Framework for innovation: Design Council's evolved Double Diamond—Design Council. 2023. Accessed May 1, 2023. https:// www.designcouncil.org.uk/our-work/skills-learning/tools-frameworks/framework-for-innovation-design-councils-evolved-double-diamond/
- Kanwal F, Kramer J, Asch SM, El–Serag H, Spiegel BMR, Edmundowicz S, et al. An explicit quality indicator set for measurement of quality of care in patients with cirrhosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2010;8:709–17.
- Kanwal F, Tapper EB, Ho C, Asrani SK, Ovchinsky N, Poterucha J, et al. Development of quality measures in cirrhosis by the practice metrics committee of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology. 2019;69:1787–97.
- EngageRxISOM Tech. 2023. Accessed March 16, 2023. https:// tech.ucsf.edu/engagerx
- Mandel JC, Kreda DA, Mandl KD, Kohane IS, Ramoni RB. SMART on FHIR: A standards-based, interoperable apps platform for electronic health records. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2016;23:899–908.
- Braunstein ML, ed. Pre-FHIR interoperability and clinical decision support standardsIn: Health Informatics on FHIR: How HI7's New API Is Transforming Healthcare. Springer International Publishing; 2018:151–77.
- Bird M, McGillion M, Chambers EM, Dix J, Fajardo CJ, Gilmour M, et al. A generative co-design framework for healthcare innovation: Development and application of an end-user engagement framework. Res Involv Engagem. 2021;7:12.
- Altman M, Huang TTK, Breland JY. Design thinking in health care. Prev Chronic Dis. 2018;15:E117.
- Harvey N, Holmes CA. Nominal group technique: An effective method for obtaining group consensus. Int J Nurs Pract. 2012; 18:188–94.
- Bødker S, Grønbæk K. Design in action: From prototyping by demonstration to cooperative prototypingln: Greenbaum J, Kyng M, eds. Design at Work: Cooperative Design of Computer Systems. CRC Press; 2020:197–218.
- Vilstrup H, Amodio P, Bajaj J, Cordoba J, Ferenci P, Mullen KD, et al. Hepatic encephalopathy in chronic liver disease: 2014 Practice Guideline by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases and the European Association for the Study of the Liver. Hepatology. 2014;60:715–35.

- Garcia-Tsao G, Abraldes JG, Berzigotti A, Bosch J. Portal hypertensive bleeding in cirrhosis: Risk stratification, diagnosis, and management: 2016 practice guidance by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology. 2017; 65:310–35.
- Biggins SW, Angeli P, Garcia-Tsao G, Ginès P, Ling SC, Nadim MK, et al. Diagnosis, evaluation, and management of ascites, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis and hepatorenal syndrome: 2021 practice guidance by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology. 2021;74:1014–48.
- Lai JC, Tandon P, Bernal W, Tapper EB, Ekong U, Dasarathy S, et al. Malnutrition, frailty, and sarcopenia in patients with cirrhosis: 2021 practice guidance by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology. 2021;74: 1611–44.
- Merli M, Berzigotti A, Zelber-Sagi S, Dasarathy S, Montagnese S, Genton L, et alEuropean Association for the Study of the Liver. Electronic address: easloffice@easloffice.eu, European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines on nutrition in chronic liver disease. J Hepatol. 2019; 70:172–93.
- Tonon M, Angeli P, Piano S. Bacterial infections in cirrhosis. Infect Microb Dis. 2021;3:117–24.
- Jalan R, Fernandez J, Wiest R, Schnabl B, Moreau R, Angeli P, et al. Bacterial infections in cirrhosis: A position statement based on the EASL Special Conference 2013. J Hepatol. 2014;60: 1310–24.
- Strnad P, Tacke F, Koch A, Trautwein C. Liver—Guardian, modifier and target of sepsis. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017;14:55–66.
- Kim WR, Biggins SW, Kremers WK, Wiesner RH, Kamath PS, Benson JT, et al. Hyponatremia and mortality among patients on the liver-transplant waiting list. N Engl J Med. 2008;359: 1018–26.
- Maddrey WC, Boitnott JK, Bedine MS, Weber FL, Mezey E, White RI. Corticosteroid therapy of alcoholic hepatitis. Gastroenterology. 1978;75:193–9.
- Ge J, Lai JC. Artificial intelligence-based text generators in hepatology: ChatGPT is just the beginning. Hepatol Commun. 2023;7:e0097.
- Liu S, Wright AP, Patterson BL, Wanderer JP, Turer RW, Nelson SD, et al. Using Al-generated suggestions from ChatGPT to optimize clinical decision support. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2023; 30:1237–45.
- Ji Z, Lee N, Frieske R, Yu T, Su D, Xu Y, et al. Survey of hallucination in natural language generation. ACM Comput Surv. 2022.

How to cite this article: Ge J, Buenaventura A, Berrean B, Purvis J, Fontil V, Lai JC, et al. Applying human-centered design to the construction of a cirrhosis management clinical decision support system. Hepatol Commun. 2024;8:e0394. https://doi.org/10.1097/ HC9.000000000000394