# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Recent Work** 

### Title

INCLUSION OF NUCLEAR-STRUCTURE CALCULATIONS IN NUCLEON-NUCLEUS SCATTERING

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7x73r4wn

## Author

Weigel, Manfred.

Publication Date 1969-09-01

Submitted to Physical Review

UCRL-18994 Preprint

# INCLUSION OF NUCLEAR-STRUCTURE CALCULATIONS IN NUCLEON-NUCLEUS SCATTERING

RADIATION LABORATORY

NOV 5 1969

LIBRARY AND DOCUMENTS SECTION Manfred Weigel

September 1969

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48



#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

#### UCRL-18994

INCLUSION OF NUCLEAR-STRUCTURE CALCULATIONS IN NUCLEON-NUCLEUS SCATTERING

-iii-

## Manfred Weigel $^{\dagger}$

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720

September 1969

#### ABSTRACT

It is shown how one can use the results of a nuclear structure calculation in the theory of nucleon-nucleus scattering. We restrict ourselves to the simple case of nucleon scattering on a hole nucleus. The correlations of the ground state have been included.

#### INTRODUCTION

-1-

In a previous work<sup>1</sup> we have developed the general theory of nucleonnucleus scattering on a hole nucleus in the framework of linear response theory extending Migdal's approach<sup>2</sup> to the scattering problem. With a similar goal the unrenormalized RPA has been applied to the scattering problem using a schematic model.<sup>3</sup> The details and restrictions of these methods can be found in Refs. 1 and 3 as well as further references. It turns out that a calculation of the scattering process using an effective particle-hole interaction would be rather complicated, since one has to solve a complicated Fredholm problem.<sup> $\perp$ </sup> Therefore, one has so far studied the problem only in the framework of a schematic model<sup>1,3</sup> where the corresponding Fredholm determinant degenerates. But it is well known, that the schematic model is only a poor approximation to the real situation. For this reason we think one can obtain an improvement of the present status of the theory by including the results of the nuclear-structure calculation obtained with a normal effective particle-hole interaction. The deviations from the nuclear structure calculation--caused by the matrix elements of the interaction between continuum-bound and continuum-continuum single-particle states--will be treated in this work by a schematic approach. This implies that these special matrix elements can be approximately represented by a separable particle-hole force with the help of a fitting procedure to the real particlehole force. One may get further improvement using perturbation theory for the difference of the particle-hole force and the separable force as a final step. In the first section we give a short summary of the nucleon-nucleus scattering theory on a hole nucleus in terms of Migdal's renormalized quantities. The explicit treatment of the model will then be given in the second section.

\* See for instance Ref. 4.

#### I. GENERAL FORMALISM

It has been shown in Ref. 1, that the scattering state in the shell model representation is given by

$$\langle \phi_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{i}}^{(-)} | \Psi_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{j}}^{(+)} \rangle = (z_{\mathbf{i}} z_{\mathbf{k}} z_{\mathbf{p}} z_{\mathbf{j}})^{\perp/2} \langle 0 | \psi_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} \psi_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\mathrm{i}\eta}{\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{i}\eta} \psi_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} \psi_{\mathbf{j}} | 0 \rangle e^{\mathrm{i}(\delta_{\mathbf{p}} + \delta_{\mathbf{k}})}$$
(I.1)

and

$$\langle \phi_{r,i} | \Psi_{p,j}^{(+)} \rangle = (z_i z_r z_p z_j)^{-1/2} \langle 0 | \psi_i^{\dagger} \psi_r \frac{i\eta}{E-H+i\eta} \psi_p^{\dagger} \psi_j | 0 \rangle e^{i\delta_p}$$
 (I.2)

Here,  $|0\rangle$  denotes the normalized ground state of the compound system,  $(H|0\rangle = E_0(A)|0\rangle$ ,  $E_0(A) = 0$ , E is the energy of the scattering system  $(E := \epsilon_p - \epsilon_j)$ .  $\psi_{\mu}^{+}$  and  $\psi_{\mu}$  are the Schrödinger creation and annihilation operators, respectively, of a nucleon with the quantum number set  $\mu$  fixed by an independent particle Hamiltonian  $H_s$ . Asymptotically they behave as standing waves. With  $z_{\mu}$  we denote Migdal's renormalization constant. If it is necessary we will label the continuum states by p and k, the hole states by i and j, and the bound particle states by r and s ( $\delta_r = \delta_s = 0$ ). The independent-particle model states in (I.1) and (I.2) are defined by:

$$|\phi_{p,i}^{(+)}\rangle = \ell^{i\delta_{p}} (z_{i}z_{p})^{-1/2} \psi_{p}^{+}\psi_{i}|0\rangle$$
 (I.3)

and

$$|\phi_{r,i}\rangle = (z_i z_r)^{-1/2} \psi_r^{\dagger} \psi_i |0\rangle$$
 (I.4)

By comparison with the definition of the renormalized response function

$$\widetilde{L}_{\alpha\mu\lambda\nu}(\omega) := -(z_{\alpha}z_{\mu}z_{\lambda}z_{\nu})^{-1/2} \langle 0| \{\psi_{\lambda}^{+}\psi_{\alpha}(\omega-H+i\eta)^{-1} \psi_{\nu}^{+}\psi_{\mu} -\psi_{\nu}^{+}\psi_{\mu}(\omega+H-i\eta)^{-1}\psi_{\lambda}^{+}\psi_{\alpha}\}|0\rangle$$
(I.5)

(1.8)

we obtained for (I.1) the following expression for the scattering states:

$$\langle s_0 | s \rangle = -i\eta \tilde{L}_{kjip}(E)$$
, (1.6)

$$\langle B_0 | S \rangle = -i\eta \tilde{L}_{rjip}(E)$$
 (1.7)

Here, we have introduced the abbreviations

$$|S\rangle := e^{-i\delta_{p}}|\Psi_{p,j}^{(+)}\rangle$$
$$|S_{0}\rangle := e^{-i\delta_{k}}|\phi_{k,i}^{(+)}\rangle$$
$$|B_{0}\rangle = |\phi_{r,i}\rangle$$

and

Assuming that the zero-order response can be represented by the quasi-particle shell model response and the effective particle-hole interaction ("irreducible vertex part") to be weakly energy-dependent in the considered energy region, we have derived the following equations for  $\tilde{L}^{-1}$ :

$$\tilde{L}_{\mu\alpha\beta\nu}(\omega) = \tilde{L}_{\mu\beta}^{s}(\omega) \{\delta_{\mu\nu}\delta_{\alpha\beta} - 2\pi \sum_{\rho\sigma} \tilde{I}_{\mu\rho\beta\sigma}(\omega) \tilde{L}_{\sigma\alpha\rho\nu}(\omega)\}, \quad (I.9)$$

or

$$\widetilde{L}_{\mu\alpha\beta\nu}(\omega) = \widetilde{L}_{\nu\alpha}^{s}(\omega) \{ \delta_{\mu\nu}\delta_{\alpha\beta} - 2\pi \sum_{\rho\sigma} \widetilde{L}_{\mu\rho\beta\sigma} \widetilde{I}_{\sigma\alpha\rho\nu}(\omega) \}$$
(I.10)

Here,  $\tilde{I}$  is the effective particle-hole interaction (irreducible vertex in the particle-hole-channel). The shell model response is defined by

$$\widetilde{L}_{\nu\mu}^{s}(\omega) := (n_{\nu} - n_{\mu}) \frac{n_{\nu} + n_{\mu}}{\omega + \varepsilon_{\mu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} - i\eta(n_{\nu} - n_{\mu})}$$
(I.11)

where  $n_v, n_\mu$  are the quasiparticle occupation numbers.  $\epsilon_v$  denotes the single particle energy in the quantum state v.

From the equations (I.6), (I.7), (I.9), and (I.11) we can now deduce the equations for the scattering states

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,S} = (n_{\nu} - n_{\mu}) \frac{1}{E_{S} + \epsilon_{\mu} - \epsilon_{\nu} - i\eta(n_{\nu} - n_{\mu})} \{ \delta_{p\nu} \delta_{j\mu}(-i\eta) - 2\pi \sum_{\sigma\lambda} \tilde{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda}(\omega) \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,S} \}$$
(1.12)

where  $\widetilde{\rho}_{_{\rm VIL}M}$  the matrix element of the quasi-particle density matrix

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M} = (z_{\nu}z_{\mu})^{-1/2} \langle 0 | \psi_{\mu}^{\dagger}\psi_{\nu} | M \rangle \qquad (I.13)$$

The knowledge of these matrix elements is equivalent to the knowledge of the states of the compound system. By  $|M\rangle$  we denote a scattering state  $|S\rangle$  as well as a bound state  $|B\rangle$ . Since we also want to calculate the effect of the continuum single-particle states on the bound states of the compound system, we have to give the system of equations for the matrix elements  $\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B}$ .<sup>1,2</sup> We derive these equations by inserting the spectral representation of the renormalized response function

$$\widetilde{L}_{\nu\sigma\mu\beta}(\omega) = -\sum_{M} \left\{ \frac{\widetilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M}\widetilde{\rho}_{\beta\sigma,M}^{*}}{\omega - E_{M} + i\eta} - \frac{\widetilde{\rho}_{\sigma\beta,M}\widetilde{\rho}_{\mu\nu,M}^{*}}{\omega + E_{M} - i\eta} \right\}$$
(1.14)

into Eq. (I.9) or (I.10) and taking the limit  $\omega \rightarrow \pm E_B$ . Then the pole terms give the following set of equations:

$$\{ E_{B} + \epsilon_{\mu} - \epsilon_{\nu} - i\eta(n_{\nu} - n_{\mu}) \} \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B} = -(n_{\nu} - n_{\mu}) 2\pi \sum_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{I}_{\nu\alpha\mu\beta} \tilde{\rho}_{\beta\alpha,B} , \qquad (I.15)$$

$$\{-E_{B}+\epsilon_{\mu}-\epsilon_{\nu}-i\eta(n_{\nu}-n_{\mu})\} \tilde{\rho}_{\mu\nu,B}^{*} = -(n_{\nu}-n_{\mu}) 2\pi \sum_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{I}_{\nu\alpha\mu\beta} \tilde{\rho}_{\alpha\beta,B}^{*}, \qquad (I.16)$$

UCRL-18994

$$\{E_{B}+\epsilon_{\mu}-\epsilon_{\nu}-in(n_{\nu}-n_{\mu})\} \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B}^{*} = -(n_{\nu}-n_{\mu}) 2\pi \sum_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\rho}_{\beta\alpha,B}^{*} \tilde{I}_{\beta\mu\alpha\nu} , \qquad (I.17)$$

$$\{-E_{B}+\epsilon_{\mu}-\epsilon_{\nu}-i\eta (n_{\nu}-n_{\mu})\} \tilde{\rho}_{\mu\nu,B} = -(n_{\nu}-n_{\mu}) 2\pi \sum_{\alpha\beta} \tilde{\rho}_{\alpha\beta,B} \tilde{I}_{\beta\mu\alpha\nu} , \quad (I.18)$$

which are equivalent to (I.12).  $\sum_{\alpha\beta}$  means summation over the discrete states as well as integration over the continuum states. Insertion of the spectral representation into the equation of motion also gives the completeness relation:

$$\sum_{M} \{ \tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M} \; \tilde{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,M}^{*} - \tilde{\rho}_{\sigma\lambda,M} \; \tilde{\rho}_{\mu\nu,M}^{*} \} = (n_{\mu} - n_{\nu}) \; \delta_{\nu\lambda} \delta_{\sigma\mu} \quad , \qquad (I.18)$$

which can be proved by complex integration.

#### II. TREATMENT OF THE MODEL

In our approach we want to take into account the solutions of a nuclear structure calculation. These solutions are known for many forms of the particle-hole interactions. They are the result of the diagonalization of Eqs. (I.15)-(I.18) in a finite space, since all single-particle quantum numbers are restricted to bound states only. We denote the states resulting from this procedure by  $|n\rangle$ , the corresponding density matrix elements are  $\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,n}$ , where  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  belong to the discrete part of the spectrum of  $H_{\rm S}$ . We assume in our further procedure, that the  $\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,n}$  are known since we can either obtain them by a standard procedure or we can use the results of a previous calculation. With the help of (I.18) we can express the unknown  $\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M}$  equivalently by the

See for instance Refs. 5-7.

-5-

UCRL-18994

$$\tilde{\rho}_{ik,S} = -\frac{\lambda F_S w_{ik}}{E_S + \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_i} \left\{ 1 - \lambda \sum_n |F_n^0|^2 \frac{2E_n}{E_n^2 - E_S^2} \right\}$$
(II.18)

-8-

where

$$F_{S} = \frac{w_{pj}}{1 + \left\{\sum_{ki} \lambda |w_{ki}|^{2} \left(\frac{1}{E_{S} + \varepsilon_{k} - \varepsilon_{i}} - \frac{1}{E_{S} - \varepsilon_{k} + \varepsilon_{i} + i\eta}\right)\right\} \left[1 - \lambda \sum_{n} |F_{n}^{0}|^{2} \frac{2E_{n}}{E_{n}^{2} - E_{S}^{2}}\right]^{(II.19)}$$

Use of the normalization condition for the state  $|B\rangle$  gives  $F_{B}$  as follows

$$F_{B}^{2} = \lambda^{-2} \left\{ \left[ 1 - \lambda \sum_{n} |F_{n}^{0}|^{2} \frac{2E_{n}}{E_{n}^{2} - E_{B}^{2}} \right]^{2} \sum_{ki} |w_{ki}|^{2} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{E_{B} - \epsilon_{k} + \epsilon_{i}} \right)^{2} - \left( \frac{1}{E_{B} + \epsilon_{k} - \epsilon_{i}} \right)^{2} \right] \right\} \right\}$$
$$+ \sum_{r,i} \left[ \left| \sum_{n} \left( \frac{F_{n}^{0}}{E_{B} + E_{n}} \tilde{\rho}_{ir,n}^{*} + \frac{F_{n}^{0*}}{E_{B} - E_{n}} \tilde{\rho}_{ri,n} \right) \right|^{2} - \left( \sum_{n} \left( \frac{F_{n}^{0}}{E_{B} + E_{n}} \tilde{\rho}_{ri,n}^{*} + \frac{F_{n}^{0*}}{E_{B} - E_{n}} \tilde{\rho}_{ir,n} \right) \right|^{2} \right] \right\}^{-1}$$
(II.20)

Now, all unknown quantities have been expressed in terms of the solutions of the nuclear structure problem and the matrix elements between scatteringscattering states or scattering-bound states, respectively. The (complex) resonances are given by the solutions of Eq. (II.16). From (II.17) and (I.8) we can immediately now read off the wanted expressions for the S-matrix and the T-matrix obtaining:

$$S_{ki,pj}(E) = e^{2i\delta_{p}} \delta_{kp}\delta_{ij} - 2\pi i \delta(E_{pj}-E_{ki}) T_{ki,pj}(E_{pj})$$
(II.21)

with

$$T_{ki,pj}(E) = e^{i\left(\delta_{p}+\delta_{k}\right)} \lambda w_{pj} w_{ki}$$

$$\frac{1 - \lambda \sum_{n} |F_{n}^{0}|^{2} \frac{2E_{n}}{E_{n}^{2} - E^{2}}}{1 + \left\{\lambda \sum_{p',j'} |w_{p'j'}|^{2} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon_{p'}-\epsilon_{j'}-E-i\eta} + \frac{1}{\epsilon_{p'}-\epsilon_{j'}+E}\right]\right\} \left(1 - \lambda \sum_{n} |F_{n}^{0}|^{2} \frac{2E_{n}}{E_{n}^{2} - E^{2}}\right)}$$

$$(II.22)$$

Our formulas reduce to the corresponding ones of Ref. 3, where a different method has been used, if we neglect Migdal's renormalization and use a schematic model for the finite RPA, too. We are going to perform some calculations with the described method in the oxygen- and calcium-region using density-dependent forces for the bound-state calculation.<sup>6</sup>

The author would like to thank Dr. L. Garside, Professor N. Glendenning, and Professor C. Shakin for helpful discussions. The Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Germany supported this work by a grant.

#### FOOTNOTES AND REFERENCES

\*Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission. <sup>†</sup>On leave from the Sektion Physik der Universität Munich, Munich, Germany.

- M. Weigel, Nucl. Phys. (to be published); Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report UCRL-18907.
- 2. A. B. Migdal, "Theory of Finite Fermi Systems and Applications to Atomic Nuclei," (Interscience Publishers, New York, 1967).
- 3. K. Dietrich and K. Hara, Nucl. Phys. Alll, 392 (1968).

R. H. Lemmer and M. Veneroni, Phys. Rev. 170, 883 (1968).

4. H. J. Mikeska, Z. Physik <u>177</u>, 441 (1964).

- 5. G. E. Brown, L. Castillejo, and J. A. Evans, Nuc. Phys. <u>22</u>, 1 (1961).
- P. K. Haug and M. Weigel, Phys. Letters (to be published); P. Haug, Thesis, University of Munich, 1969.
- 7. V. Gillet, Proceedings of the International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi" Course XXXVI (1966), (Academic Press, New York, 1966).

#### LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor. TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

• •

.

.

.