UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Poverty, Depression, or Lost in Translation? Ethnic and Language Variation in Patient-Reported Outcomes in Rheumatoid Arthritis

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7xv0c56c

Journal Arthritis Care & Research, 68(5)

ISSN 2151-464X

Authors

Katz, Patricia P Barton, Jennifer Trupin, Laura <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2016-05-01

DOI

10.1002/acr.22748

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 May 01.

Published in final edited form as: Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2016 May ; 68(5): 621–628. doi:10.1002/acr.22748.

Poverty, depression, or lost in translation? Ethnic and language variation in patient-reported outcomes in rheumatoid arthritis

Patricia P. Katz, PhD¹, Jennifer Barton, MD², Laura Trupin, MPH¹, Gabriela Schmajuk, MD, MS¹, Jinoos Yazdany, MD, MPH¹, Pedro J. Ruiz, MD, PhD³, and Edward Yelin, PhD¹ ¹University of California, San Francisco

²Portland VA Medical Center

³California Pacific Medical Center

Abstract

Purpose—Despite advances in therapies, disparities in outcomes have been documented for rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients for both ethnicity and English-language proficiency. The goals of these analyses were to compare differences in RA patient-reported outcomes, by both self-identification of ethnicity and English language proficiency, and to identify factors that might explain differences among groups

Methods—Data collected through structured telephone interviews of a longitudinal cohort with physician-diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis (n=438); only women were included (n=335). Three groups were defined based on self-reported ethnicity and English proficiency: (white/English, n=219), (Hispanic/English, n=39), and (Hispanic/Spanish, n=77). Outcomes examined were patient-reported physical functioning, pain, and presence of moderate or severe fatigue. Multivariate regression analyses compared outcomes among groups adjusting for sociodemographic characteristics, health and disease factors, and depression.

Results—Hispanic/Spanish women had worse function, pain, and fatigue than either Englishproficient group. Depression was associated with all outcomes (p<0.0001), and accounted for greater differentials in scores than ethnicity/language proficiency. In interaction analyses, differences between women who were and were not depressed were greater for Hispanic/English than for Hispanic/Spanish. Non-depressed Hispanic/Spanish scores were significantly worse than non-depressed Hispanic/English; i.e., the impact of depression was less for Hispanic/Spanish because both depressed and non-depressed women in this group reported worse outcomes. After adjustment for sociodemographic factors and depression, language remained significantly associated with outcomes.

Conclusions—Disparities in patient-reported outcomes may be driven less by ethnicity than by sociodemographic or psychological factors. Measurement instruments that are not culturally appropriate and equivalent may also hamper meaningful analyses of disparities.

Corresponding author: Patricia Katz, PhD, Professor of Medicine and Health Policy, University of California, San Francisco, 3333 California Street, Suite 270, San Francisco, CA 94143-0920, patti.katz@ucsf.edu, Phone: 415-476-5971.

Background

Over the past decade, health outcomes for persons with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) have improved significantly in large part due to advances in therapies and treatment strategy. However, improvements in outcomes have not been universal for all persons with RA, particularly vulnerable populations defined by race and ethnicity, level of English language proficiency, immigrant status, and extent of education^{1–5}. As a result, disparities in outcomes such as pain, disease activity, and function have been documented^{5–7}. For example, a study of nearly 500 ethnically diverse RA patients revealed significantly worse disease activity and function scores among African Americans and non-US born Hispanics⁸ as well as patients with low English language proficiency.^{9,10} Similar effects have been demonstrated in other chronic conditions such as diabetes and asthma^{11–13}.

The "Hispanic paradox," in which Hispanics exhibit greater severity in clinical manifestations and have lower SES but have decreased risk of mortality, has been observed in RA¹⁴. However, several studies have noted that Hispanics rate their health worse than other groups, in spite of the "Hispanic paradox" in mortality^{15,16}, and differences in self-rated health between Spanish-speaking and English-speaking Hispanics have also been noted¹⁷. Data from the CORRONA registry (Consortium of Rheumatology Researchers of North America) also shows that Hispanics had significantly higher disease activity and worse functional status compared to whites, but were not less likely to achieve low disease activity or remission¹⁸.

Despite the differences in outcomes documented according to both ethnicity and English language proficiency^{8,14,18}, few studies have conducted a nuanced examination of those differences by performing an analysis that includes both variables. The goals of these analyses were to compare differences in RA outcomes, using both self-identification of ethnicity and English language proficiency, and to identify factors that might explain differences among groups.

Methods

Subjects

Subjects were participants in the UCSF Rheumatoid Arthritis Outcomes Study (RA-OS), for which data are obtained through annual structured telephone interviews. All RA-OS participants were recruited from rheumatology practices and clinics, and all had physiciandiagnosed RA. Interviews were conducted in English or Spanish, according to the preference of the participant, and collected information about RA symptoms and medications, other health conditions, functioning, psychological status, and sociodemographic characteristics. Annual re-interview rates have averaged 93% over the history of the RA-OS. The current manuscript uses data collected in 2012–2013. All procedures were approved by the UCSF Committee on Human Research, and participants provided verbal consent.

Ethnicity was self-reported in response to the question, "Are you Latino or of Hispanic origin or descent?" Race was also self-reported, with options of white, black or African-

American, Asian, Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, American Indian or Alaska Native, or other. Individuals could indicate more than one category.

438 individuals responded to interviews, 387 women and 51 men. Given that function and symptom reports have been found to differ by sex and that there were too few men to conduct analyses by ethnic and language proficiency groups (4 English-speaking Hispanic men, and 6 Spanish-speaking Hispanic men), only women were included in analyses. Further, an additional 52 women were excluded from analysis because they self-identified their race/ethnicity as other than Caucasian or Hispanic, leaving a total of 335 women in the analysis.

English language proficiency was assessed with the question, "Is English your preferred language?" English language proficiency was also inferred from the language in which the interview was conducted. For 98% (n=328) of the women, the interview was conducted in their stated preferred language. Primary analyses therefore used the language in which the interview was conducted to define English language proficiency, defining three ethnicity/ English-language-proficency groups: English-language proficient non- Hispanic whites (white/English, n = 219), English-language-proficient Hispanics (Hispanic/English, n = 39), and non-English-language-proficient Hispanics (Hispanic/Spanish n = 77). To assess the sensitivity of findings to the definition of English language proficiency, analyses were repeated after deleting responses of individuals for whom the interview was not conducted in their stated preferred language (n = 7).

Patient-reported RA outcomes

Two measures of function were examined, disability in valued life activities (VLAs) and functional limitations. VLA disability was measured with the Short Valued Life Activities scale (S-VLA)¹⁹, which consists of 14 items covering three domains of functioning: obligatory activities (required for independence), committed activities (represent major life roles such as family care and paid work), and discretionary activities (includes social, leisure, and recreational activities). For the VLA, individuals rate the amount of difficulty experienced in each activity on a 0 - 3 (no difficulty – unable) scale. Activities that are considered by the individual to be unimportant or that the individual does not perform for non-health-related reasons are not rated and not included in scoring. A score is calculated as the mean of all rated items, ranging from 0 - 3. Functional limitations were measured with the Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ)²⁰. The HAQ is the most commonly used measure of functioning in RA. Scores range from 0 - 3, using the same response scale as the S-VLA.

Pain and fatigue self-reports were also examined. Current pain was rated on 0 - 100 scale (no pain – worse pain imaginable)²⁰. Fatigue over the past two weeks was rated no fatigue, very mild, mild, moderate, severe, or very severe. For analyses, responses were dichotomized as moderate, severe, or very severe fatigue vs. none, very mild, or mild.

Other variables

Sociodemographic variables included age, income, and education. Low income was defined as a family income 125% of the federal poverty level based on household size. Education

was categorized as high school education or less vs. greater. Health insurance was coded as none, Medicaid, or other. Health literacy was estimated with three questions developed by Chew²¹, and was categorized as limited or not limited.

Depressive symptoms were assessed with the Patient Health Questionnaire, and a score 10 was used to indicate at least minor depression²². Comorbid conditions were presented as a list, and the total number tallied. For analysis, the number of comorbid conditions was categorized as 0 or 1 vs. 2 or more.

Duration of RA was based on self-reported year of diagnosis or self-reported age at diagnosis. Current use of specific RA medications was queried and responses categorized as current use of biologic therapy, non-biologic DMARD, and prednisone.

Analysis

Differences among the three ethnicity/language groups (white/English, Hispanic/English, Hispanic/Spanish) were first assessed using chi square analyses and analyses of variance (ANOVA) with post-hoc means comparisons. Sequential multivariate linear and logistic regression analyses were then used to compare outcomes among the groups after adjustment for (1) sociodemographic characteristics (age, low income, low education, health insurance source, limited health literacy), (2) health and disease factors (comorbid conditions, RA duration, current use of DMARDS, current use of biologic therapy, and current dose of prednisone), and (3) depression. Because we were particularly interested in potential differences in the relationships between depression and outcomes by language group, we tested for language×depression interactions in models that included the Hispanic participants only.

Results

Subject characteristics

There were significant differences among the groups in all demographic variables examined (Table 1). However, except for age, the differences were predominantly noted between the Hispanic/Spanish group and the two English-speaking groups. Whites were older and more likely to be retired. Spanish-speaking Hispanics were more likely to have low education and low income, less likely to have been born in the United States, more likely to have no health insurance or insurance through Medicaid, and more likely to have limited health literacy. Depression was more prevalent in the Spanish-speaking group.

Differences in patient-reported outcome measures across ethnic/language groups

The Hispanic/Spanish group had worse function, higher levels of pain, and was more likely to have moderate to severe fatigue than either English-proficient group. There were no significant differences in these outcomes between English-proficient Hispanics and whites.

In the multivariate analyses, after accounting for sociodemographic characteristics, health and disease factors, and depression, a significant difference in VLA disability between the Hispanic/Spanish and white/English groups still existed (Table 2). Depression was strongly associated with greater VLA disability (Figure 1), and accounted for a greater differential in

scores than ethnicity/language proficiency. Increasing age and disease duration and prednisone use were also significantly associated with VLA disability.

After accounting for sociodemographic characteristics, the difference between the Hispanic/ Spanish and white/English groups in HAQ scores was no longer significant (Table 2). As with VLA disability, depression was strongly associated with higher HAQ scores, and increasing age and disease duration and prednisone use were also significantly associated with HAQ.

For pain and fatigue ratings, after accounting for sociodemographic characteristics, the differences between the Hispanic/Spanish and white/English groups were no longer significant (Table 2). Depression was significant associated with both pain and fatigue ratings.

Examination of means for the depressed and non-depressed women in each ethnicity/ language group suggested that an interaction might exist between depression and language, such that depression had a larger effect on outcomes in the Hispanic/English group. We therefore tested for language by depression interactions only among the Hispanic participants. Interactions were noted between depression and ethnicity/language for all outcome measures (p<.10). Adjusted means were calculated based on fully adjusted multiple regression models, and Figure 2 shows adjusted mean scores for the English- and Spanishspeaking Hispanics. In each case, the difference between women who were and were not depressed was greater for the English-speaking group than for the Spanish-speaking group. Further, for all measures, the non-depressed Spanish-speaking group's score was significantly worse than the non-depressed English-speaking group. In other words, the impact of depression was less for Spanish-speaking Hispanics because both depressed and non-depressed women in the latter group reported worse outcomes. In each of these models, the main effect for language remained significant (p<.05).

Discussion

This analysis found statistically and clinically significant decrements in patient-reported RA outcomes of function, pain, and fatigue for Hispanic women with limited English-language proficiency compared to English-proficient women regardless of ethnicity. In contrast, there were no substantive differences between outcomes of English-proficient whites and English-proficient Hispanics.

Sociodemographic differences clearly played a significant role in explaining disparities in patient-reported outcomes. There were large differences in sociodemographic characteristics of the Hispanic/Spanish group compared to both English-proficient groups – they had lower education levels, were more likely to have low incomes, low health literacy, and have no health insurance or Medicaid, and less likely to have been born in the US. Low education and low income have each consistently been linked to poor health outcomes, and these characteristics did account for some of the differences between the Spanish- and English-speaking groups.

Depression also played an important role in explaining disparities in outcomes. Depression was significantly more prevalent among the Hispanic/Spanish group, and was the variable most strongly related to all outcomes in multivariate analyses. Other research has noted high rates of depression among Hispanics in the general population and among Spanish-speaking RA patients, in particular, and has found a strong association of depression with patient-reported outcomes^{7,23}. Depression is generally reported to be present in 15–40% of persons with RA; Hispanic patients appear to have rates at the high end of this range.

The high prevalence of depression and its impact on RA symptoms suggests that Spanishspeaking RA patients are in particular need of effective treatments for depression. However, cultural barriers to appropriate and effective depression treatment may exist for Spanishspeaking Hispanics. A recent qualitative study reported that 89% of Spanish-speaking RA patients said they would not disclose depression, and 80% said they would not be willing to take medication for depression²⁴. While 80% said they would be willing to try psychotherapy for depression, this avenue of treatment is not routinely available in public or safety-net settings, where many Spanish-speaking Hispanics in the US receive their care²⁵. Because depression is associated with RA patient global assessments²⁶, which are an integral part of most RA disease activity scores, there is also a potential for comorbid depression to affect decisions regarding treatment for RA and to impact the results of clinical research and trials.

Notably, however, even though depression was significantly higher among the Spanishspeaking Hispanic group and depression was strongly associated with each outcome, depression did not explain the difference in outcomes between the two Hispanic groups. The non-depressed Spanish-speaking Hispanics had worse outcomes than the English-speaking Hispanics, even after accounting for socioeconomic, health, and disease characteristics.

Are the disparities we noted due to differences in disease status, to reporting bias, to measurement issues, or to other factors? It is difficult to separate assessment of disease status from patient-reports of pain or function from global assessments, because these are often inputs to the assessment of disease status. There is some evidence that Hispanics may have lower pain threshold in experimental settings compared to non-Hispanic whites. However, effect sizes in these studies were small^{27,28}, and could not explain the large differences we found.

Our finding that English-proficient Hispanics and whites had similar outcomes suggests that factors related to acculturation may play an important role, although the mechanism by which this occurs is unclear. Some have noted that extremely positive ratings of health may be viewed culturally by Hispanics as boasting, and thus be unacceptable¹⁵. However, a systematic problem with the measurement of patient-reported outcomes in different languages may also exist. Sudano found substantial differences in mean scores of the SF-36 v2 for Spanish-speaking Hispanics vs Caucasians and English-speaking Hispanics²⁹ and suggested that the lack of linguistic equivalence for the response options was at least partially responsible. Bzostek and colleagues noted that the language of interview was a critical measure of acculturation, and that translation issues may account for some of the differences observed¹⁵. While many of the outcome measures used in rheumatology have

been translated, few have undergone testing for cultural equivalency or differential scale performance. Psychometric experts have concluded that more attention is needed to resolve measurement issues in vulnerable populations, specifically to evaluate the transferability of health outcomes measures to diverse population groups³⁰.

This study does have limitations. In our sample, the number of English-speaking Hispanics was relative small; a larger sample may have yielded more variation in responses. The majority of the Spanish-speaking participants were recruited from a public hospital rheumatology clinic, and based on the general characteristics of patients in that hospital, were primarily from Mexico and Central America, which may limit generalizability. In addition, in our sample, language, sociodemographic characteristics, and literacy were clustered, so the ability to separate the effects of one of these factors may have been limited. The S-VLA has undergone a standard translation – back-translation process, but has not undergone a test of cultural equivalence. However, standard Spanish translations of the HAQ and the pain rating were used. We lacked clinical measures of disease activity or severity or radiographic damage, so differences in patient-reported outcomes could represent actual differences in disease status. However, it is important to note that patient-reported components are included in many of the standard disease activity measures (such as Disease Activity Scale with 28-Joint Counts (DAS-28), so these measures may be affected by the same reporting disparities that we found.

The disparities we noted exist in the context of growing acceptance of the importance of measuring patient-reported outcomes and of shifts in the US population toward larger numbers of individuals of Hispanic ethnicity, many of whom have limited English-language proficiency. The development of the vast majority of patient-reported outcomes measures has been conducted within "majority" populations; i.e., English-speaking, well educated non-Hispanic whites. While the methods of translating measures for use in non-English-speaking populations have improved, very few of these efforts have included examinations of cultural or conceptual equivalence or understandability of language. PROs need to be measured and tracked over time because they provide important information about patients' functioning, quality of life, and well-being, but our results illustrate one of the challenges of using and interpreting patient-reported outcome measures in diverse populations.

The findings from our study demonstrate that ethnicity cannot be considered a unidimensional concept. The effects of ethnicity may be outweighed by the effects of sociodemographic factors or culturally-based interpretations³¹. Factors such as depression may be construed as external to ethnicity, but disparities in prevalence may amplify ethnic differences. Each of these factors suggests a different solution to better measurement of patient-reported outcomes. To address sociodemographic issues, ensuring that patient-reported measures are constructed at appropriate levels of literacy and health literacy is critical. To address depression, culturally appropriate and effective methods of identification and treatment of depression need to be developed and implemented. And, to address cultural differences, patient-reported outcomes measures need to be tested not only for accurate translations, but also for cultural equivalence of concepts and response options. Culturally appropriate and equivalent measurements will be needed to conduct meaningful analyses of the extent and source of apparent disparities.

Future research on measurement of patient-reported outcomes should place examination and achievement of cultural and linguistic equivalence at high priority. Both in the US and internationally, many patient-reported outcomes have been developed with the input or collaboration of patients, but many legacy measures have not. Even measures that have included patient collaborators most often include patients who are well-educated and speak the majority language. While such collaboration ensures that the patient's voice is included, it does not ensure that the measures are appropriate for patients with low literacy, non-majority language, low education, or who are otherwise socially, demographically, or economically vulnerable.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by NIH/NIAMS grant P60 AR053308 and by the Rosalind Russell Medical Research Center for Arthritis.

References

- Schmajuk G, Schneeweiss S, Katz J, Weinblatt M, Setoguchi S, Avorn J, Levin R, Solomon D. Treatment of older adult patients diagnosed with rheumatoid arthritis: improved but not optimal. Arthritis Rheum. 2007; 57:928–934. [PubMed: 17665462]
- Jacobi C, Mol G, Boshuizen H. Impact of socioeconomic status on the course of rheumatoid arthritis and on related use of health care services. Arthritis Rheum. 2003; 49:567–573. [PubMed: 12910565]
- Hernández-Garcia C, Vargas E, Abásolo L, Lajas C, Bellajdell B, Morado I, Macarrón P, Pato E, Fernández-Gutiérrez B, Bañares A, Jover J. Lag time between onset of symptoms and access to rheumatology care and DMARD therapy in a cohort of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 2000; 27:2323–2328. [PubMed: 11036824]
- 4. Sokka T, Kautiainen H, Pincus T, Toloza S, da Rocha Castelar Pinheiro G, Lazovskis J, Hetland M, Peets T, Immonen K, Maillefert J, Drosos A, Alten R, Pohl C, Rojkovich B, Bresnihan B, Minnock P, Cazzato M, Bombardieri S, Rexhepi S, Rexhepi M, Andersone D, Stropuviene S, Huisman M, Sierakowski S, Karateev D, Skakic V, Naranjo A, Baecklund E, Henrohn D, Gogus F, Badsha H, Mofti A, Taylor P, McClinton C, Yazici Y. Disparities in rheumatoid arthritis disease activity according to gross domestic product in 25 countries in the QUEST-RA database. Ann Rheum Dis. 2009; 68:1666–1672. [PubMed: 19643759]
- 5. Bruce B, Fries J, Murtagh K. Health status disparities in ethnic minority patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a cross-sectional study. J Rheumatol. 2007; 34:1475–1479. [PubMed: 17552045]
- Suarez-Almazor M, Berrios-Rivera J, Cox V, Janssen N, Marcus D, Sessoms S. Initiation of diseasemodifying antirheumatic drug therapy in minority and disadvantaged patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 2007; 34:2400–2407. [PubMed: 17985405]
- Margaretten M, Barton J, Julian L, Katz P, Trupin L, Tonner C, Graf J, Imboden J, Yelin E. Socioeconomic determinants of disability and depression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care & Research. 2011; 63(2):240–246. [PubMed: 20824800]
- Barton J, Trupin LD, Gansky S, Tonner C, Margaretten M, Chernitskiy V, Graf J, Imboden J, Yelin E. Racial and ethnic disparities in disease activity and function among persons with rheumatoid arthritis from university-affiliated clinics. Arthritis Care Res. 2011; 63:1238–1249.
- Hirsh J, Boyle D, Collier D, Oxenfeld A, Nash A, Quinzanos I, Caplan L. Limited health literacy is a common finding in a public hospital's rheumatology clinic and is predictive of disease severity. J Clin Rheumatol. 2011; 17:236–241. [PubMed: 21778910]
- Caplan L, Wolfe F, Michaud K, Quinzanos I, Hirsh J. Strong association of health literacy with functional status among rheumatoid arthritis patients: a cross-sectional study. Arthritis Care Res. 2014; 66:508–514.

- Schillinger D, Grumbach K, Piette J, Wang F, Osmond D, Daher C, Palacios J, Sullivan G, Bindman A. Association of health literacy with diabetes outcomes. JAMA. 2002; 288:475–482. [PubMed: 12132978]
- Lopez-Quintero C, Berry E, Neumark Y. Limited English proficiency is a barrier to receipt of advice about physical activity and diet among Hispanics with chronic diseases in the United States. J Am Diet Assoc. 2009; 109:1769–1774. [PubMed: 19782177]
- Wisnivesky J, Kattan M, Evans D, Leventhal H, Musumeci-Szabó T, McGinn T, Halm E. Assessing the relationship between language proficiency and asthma morbidity among inner-city asthmatics. Med Care. 2009; 47:243–249. [PubMed: 19169126]
- 14. Molina E, Haas R, Del Rincon I, Battafarano D, Restrepo J, Escalante A. Does the "Hispanic paradox" occur in rheumatoid arthritis? Survival data from a multiethnic cohort. Arthritis Care Res. 2014; 66:972–979.
- Bzostek S, Goldman N, Pebley A. Why do Hispanics in the USA report poor health? Soc Sci Med. 2007; 65:990–1003. [PubMed: 17574713]
- Liang J, Quinones A, Bennett J, Ye W, Xu X, Shaw B, Ofstedal M. Evolving self-rated health in middle and old age: how does it differ across black, Hispanic, and white Americans? J Aging Health. 2010; 22:3–26. [PubMed: 19952367]
- Brewer J, Miyasato G, Gates M, Curto, Hall S, McKinlay J. Contributors to self-reported health in a racially and ethnically diverse population: focus on Hispanics. Ann Epidemiol. 2013; 23:19–24. [PubMed: 23149066]
- Greenberg J, Spruill T, Shan Y, Reed G, Kremer J, Potter J, Yazici Y, Ogedegbe G, Harrold L. Racial and ethnic disparities in disease activity in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Amer J Med. 2013; 126:1089–1098. [PubMed: 24262723]
- Katz P, Radvanski D, Allen D, Buyske S, Schiff S, Nadkarni A, Rosenblatt L, Maclean R, Hassett A. Development and validation of a short form of the Valued Life Activities Disability Questionnaire for rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care & Research. 2011; 63:1664–1671. [PubMed: 21905253]
- 20. Fries J, Spitz P, Kraines R, Holman H. Measurement of patient outcome in arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 1980; 23:137–145. [PubMed: 7362664]
- 21. Chew L, Bradley K, Boyko E. Brief questions to identify patients with inadequate health literacy. Fam Med. 2004; 36:588–594. [PubMed: 15343421]
- 22. Kroenke K, Spitzer R, Williams J. The PHQ-15: validity of a new measure for evaluating the severity of somatic symptoms. Psychosom Med. 2002; 64:258–266. [PubMed: 11914441]
- Karpouzas G, Dolatabadi S, Moran R, Li N, Nicassio P, Weisman M. Correlates and predictors of depression in vulnerable US Hispanics with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res. 2012; 64:1274–1281.
- Withers M, Moran R, Nicassio P, Weisman M, Karpouzas G. Perspectives of vulnerable US Hispanics with rheumatoid arthritis on depression: awareness, barriers to disclosure and treatment options. Arthritis Care Res.
- 25. Health, United States, 2013. Tables 124 and 125. Hyattsville, MD: 2014. National Center for Health statistics.
- 26. Cordingley L, Prajapati R, Plant D, Maskell D, Morgan C, Ali F, Morgan A, Wilson A, JD I, The Biologics in Rheumatoid Arthritis Genetics and Genomics Study Syndicate (BRAGGS). Barton A. Impact of psychological factors on subjective disease activity assessments in patients with severe rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res. 2014; 66:861–868.
- Rahim-Williams B, Riley J, Herrera D, Hastie B, Fillingim R. Ethnic identify predicts experiental pain perception in African Americans and Hispanics. Pain. 2007; 129:177–208. [PubMed: 17296267]
- Rahim-Williams B, Riley J, Williams A, Fillingim R. A quantative review of ethnic group differences in experimental pain response: Do biology, psychology, and culture matter? Pain Medicine. 2012; 13:522–540. [PubMed: 22390201]
- Sudano J, Perzynski A, Love T, Lewis S, Murray P, Huber G, Ruo B, Baker D. Measuring disparities: Bias in the Short Form-36v2 among Spanish-speaking medical patients. Medical Care. 2011; 49:480–488. [PubMed: 21430580]

- Stewart S, Napoles-Springer A. Advancing health disparities research: can we afford to ignore measurement issues? Med Care. 2003; 41:1207–1220. [PubMed: 14583684]
- 31. O'Neill J, Rader T, Guillemin F, Boonen A, Christensen R, Lyddiatt A, Pardo J, Welch V, Singh J, Tugwell P. Including health equity considerations in development of instruments for rheumatology research: an introduction to a novel OMERACT pardigm. J Rheumatol. 2014; 41:150–152. [PubMed: 24128775]

Significance and Innovation

- Significant decrements in patient-reported RA outcomes of function, pain, and fatigue were found for Hispanic women with limited English-language proficiency compared to English-proficient patients regardless of ethnicity. In contrast, there were no substantive differences between outcomes of English-proficient white and English-proficient Hispanic women.
- Sociodemographic characteristics accounted for a substantial portion of the differences in outcomes between English- and Spanish-speaking participants.
- Depression was significantly higher among the Spanish-speaking Hispanic women and was strongly associated with each outcome, but did not explain the difference in outcomes between the two Hispanic groups. Even after controlling for depression and sociodemographic factors, language remained a significant predictor of outcomes.
- Disparities in patient-reported outcomes may be driven less by ethnicity than by sociodemographic or psychological factors, or by measurement instruments that are not culturally appropriate and equivalent.



Figure 1. Impact of depression on patient-reported outcomes

Note: All differences between not depressed and depressed significant at p<.0001. From multiple regression analyses adjusting for ethnicity, language, other sociodemographic characteristics (age, income, education, health insurance, health literacy), and additional health and disease characteristics (comorbid conditions, RA duration, medication use).









Not depressed Depressed

Figure 2. Adjusted mean scores for RA outcomes for English-speaking and Spanish-speaking Hispanic women: Illustration of interactions

Note: From multiple regression analyses adjusting for ethnicity, language, other sociodemographic characteristics (age, income, health insurance, health literacy), and additional health and disease characteristics (comorbid conditions, RA duration, medication use).

Table 1

Participant characteristics

	White, English interview (n = 219)	Hispanic, English interview (n = 39)	Hispanic, Spanish interview (n = 77)	р*
Sociodemographic characteristics				
Age, years	63.5 ± 12.4	56.3 ± 13.8	56.9 ± 13.8	<.0001
Education HS	16.9 (37)	28.2 (11)	93.5 (72)	<.0001
Low income	9.6 (21)	10.3 (4)	39.0 (30)	<.0001
Working	37.9 (83)	41.0 (16)	52.6 (40)	.08
Retired	46.6 (102)	30.8 (12)	18.4 (14)	<.0001
Born in US	87.2 (191)	84.6 (33)	2.6 (2)	<.0001
If not born in US, time in US (years)	43.7 ± 13.4	48.7 ± 10.9	23.5 ± 12.9	<.0001
	n = 27	n = 6	n = 75	
Limited health literacy	18.3 (40)	10.3 (4)	61.0 (47)	<.0001
Health insurance				
No insurance	0.9 (2)	2.6 (1)	9.1 (7)	.001
Medicaid	2.7 (6)	5.1 (2)	62.3 (77)	<.0001
Health and RA characteristics				
PHQ score	4.4 ± 4.3	4.4 ± 5.0	8.3 ± 5.1	<.0001
Depression, PHQ 10	15.1 (33)	15.4 (6)	33.8 (26)	.001
Comorbid conditions, 2	16.0 (35)	18.0 (7)	22.1 (17)	.48
RA duration, years	25.6 ± 12.)	24.0 ± 11.3	16.2 ± 12.4	<.0001
RA medications				
Current use, DMARD	68.0 (149)	64.1 (25)	81.8 (63)	.05
Current use, biologic	45.7 (100)	66.7 (26)	45.5 (35)	.05
Current use, prednisone	42.9 (94)	41.0 (16)	62.3 (48)	.01
RA outcomes				
Fatigue, moderate or sevee	51.1 (112)	48.7 (19)	79.2 (61)	<.0001
Pain rating	29.8 ± 27.3	31.2 ± 31.9	47.6 ± 28.6	<.0001
HAQ	1.125 ± 0.69	1.125 ± 0.87	1.33 ± 0.79	.10
VLA difficulty	0.75 ± 0.60	0.63 ± 0.63	1.12 ± 0.79	<.0001
Self-rated health (excellent, very good, good)	58.0 (127)	61.5 (24)	23.4 (18)	<.0001
Global rating	68.3 ± 26.3	72.6 ± 24.3	50.0 ± 26.8	<.0001

* p-value from ANOVA or chi-square analysis. Values presented as % (n) or mean \pm standard deviation

Table 2

Unadjusted and adjusted mean scores on patient-reported outcomes for English-language proficient non-Hispanic whites (white/English), English-language-proficient Hispanics (Hispanic/English), and non-Englishlanguage-proficient Hispanics (Hispanic/Spanish)

	White, English interview (reference group)	Hispanic, English interview	Hispanic, Spanish interview		
VLA disability score					
Unadjusted	0.77	0.63	1.12***		
Multivariable model 1	0.80	0.74	1.10*		
Multivariable model 2	0.78	0.72	1.02*		
Multivariable model 3	0.77	0.72	1.06*		
Health Assessment Questionnaire					
Unadjusted	1.12	1.13	1.41 **		
Multivariable model 1	1.12	1.20	1.38 ^		
Multivariable model 2	1.13	1.22	1.34		
Multivariable model 3	1.12	1.22	1.37 ^		
Pain rating					
Unadjusted	31.1	31.2	47.6****		
Multivariable model 1	32.7	33.5	40.1		
Multivariable model 2	33.0	34.6	38.4		
Multivariable model 3	32.7	34.5	39.7		
Percent with moderate/severe fatigue					
Unadjusted	53.0	48.7	80.3 ***		
Multivariable model 1	51.0	55.1	70.8 [^]		
Multivariable model 2	56.8	51.4	69.3		
Multivariable model 3	55.3	50.2	70.5 ^		

Multivariable model 1: Adjusted for sociodemographic characteristicss (age, income, education, health insurance, health literacy) Multivariable model 2: Model 1 + additional health and disease characteristics (comorbid conditions, RA duration, medication use) Multivariable model 3: Model 2 + depression (PHQ score)

P-values represent differences from white/English group.

^ р<.10

** p<.01

p<.001

**** p<.0001