

UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

The Microbiota of the Extremely Preterm Infant

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7z33f79h>

Journal

Clinics in Perinatology, 44(2)

ISSN

0095-5108

Authors

Underwood, Mark A
Sohn, Kristin

Publication Date

2017-06-01

DOI

10.1016/j.clp.2017.01.005

Peer reviewed



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

The Microbiota of the Extremely Preterm Infant



Mark A. Underwood, MD, MAS*, Kristin Sohn, MD

KEYWORDS

- Microbiota • Dysbiosis • Intestinal tract • Skin • Oral cavity
- Necrotizing enterocolitis • Late-onset sepsis

KEY POINTS

- The intestinal microbiota of the extremely preterm infant differs dramatically from that of term infants, children, and adults with decreased diversity and high numbers of γ -proteobacteria and Firmicutes and low numbers of common commensal microbes.
- Alterations in the intestinal microbiota of the preterm infant precede the onset of necrotizing enterocolitis and sepsis.
- Altering the intestinal microbiota with diet, antibiotics, and prebiotic and probiotic supplements may be less effective in extremely preterm infants, prompting the need for novel approaches to dysbiosis in this population.

INTRODUCTION

Colonization of the fetal skin and intestinal tract begins in utero and is influenced by maternal microbial communities (particularly those that inhabit the distal intestinal tract, the mouth, the vagina, and the skin), timing of rupture of membranes, maternal genetic factors, medications and supplements. Colonization is further influenced by mode of delivery and postpartum environmental exposures and medical procedures, infant genetic factors, medications and supplements, enteral feeding, and maturity of the infant innate and adaptive immune systems. Breakthroughs in recent decades in the analysis of complex communities of bacteria and viruses and studies in germ-free and gnotobiotic animals have vastly expanded our understanding of the importance of interactions between host and microbe. The composition of the microbial community of the intestinal tract and skin impacts inflammatory pathways and is thus important in the pathogenesis of a wide variety of disease processes (**Box 1**).

Disclosure of Funding Sources and Conflicts of Interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. Dr M.A. Underwood has received funding from the National Institutes of Health (R01 HD059127).

Department of Pediatrics, University of California Davis, 2516 Stockton Boulevard, Sacramento, CA 95817, USA

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: munderwood@ucdavis.edu

Clin Perinatol 44 (2017) 407–427

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2017.01.005>

0095-5108/17/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

perinatology.theclinics.com

Box 1 Diseases and conditions in which the microbiota plays a role in pathogenesis	
Antibiotic-associated diarrhea	Traveler's diarrhea
Necrotizing enterocolitis	Infectious diarrheas
Preterm birth	Sepsis
Infant colic	<i>Clostridium difficile</i> colitis
Inflammatory bowel disease	Food and environmental allergies
Irritable bowel syndrome	Celiac disease
Obesity	Diabetes mellitus (types 1 and 2)
Atherosclerosis	Cancer
Atopic eczema	Psoriasis
Seborrhea	Rheumatoid arthritis
Alzheimer and other neurodegenerative diseases	Mood disorders, schizophrenia, and autism

Novel mechanisms by which the microbiota influences host immunity and inflammation have recently been described.¹⁻³

The importance of the intestinal microbiota in extremely preterm infants is most clearly evident in considering the risks of developing necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) and sepsis. The roles of the skin microbiota in sepsis risk and the oral microbiota in pneumonia risk are less clear. Perhaps most compelling is the role of colonizing microbes in shaping and influencing the developing innate and adaptive immune responses in extremely preterm infants and the long-term impact of these host-microbe interactions. An additional layer of complexity is emerging with the realization that nutrients (eg, human milk, infant formulas and fortifiers, vitamins and minerals) are consumed by both host and bacterial cells, often with keen competition and overlapping effects. Host-microbe-nutrient interactions are likely to be particularly important in such processes as growth, brain development, immune development, and disease risk for the most preterm infants. In this article, we use the terms microbiota to refer to the composition of bacteria in a given anatomic niche and dysbiosis to mean an alteration in the microbiota associated with disease. There is evidence of significant colonization of the extremely preterm infant with yeasts, bacteriophages, and other viruses,⁴ but discussion of these microbes is beyond the scope of this article.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE INFANT MICROBIOTA

In Utero

The development of tools to characterize the microbiota based on identification of bacterial DNA rather than relying on cultures has expanded understanding of the initial colonization of the neonate tremendously. **Table 1** summarizes the primary bacterial taxa that colonize the preterm infant. It has long been believed that the fetus grows in a sterile environment and that colonization begins at the time of rupture of the fetal membranes. More recent careful studies have shown that the amniotic fluid is not sterile, suggesting that colonization of the fetal skin and gut begins in utero.⁵ The role of microbes in triggering preterm labor is perhaps the most clinically relevant observation related to this observation. Chorioamnionitis has long been recognized as a trigger of preterm labor and neonatal infection (particularly in preterm infants). The preponderance of evidence suggests a causal relationship between maternal periodontal disease and preterm labor.⁶ For instance, the presence of specific bacteria (eg, *Peptostreptococcus micros* or *Campylobacter rectus*) in maternal gingival plaque was associated with increased risk of preterm delivery.⁷ Treatment of periodontal

Phylum	Class	Order	Family	Genus
Firmicutes	Bacilli	Bacillales	Staphylococcaceae	<i>Staphylococcus</i>
		Lactobacillales	Streptococcaceae	<i>Streptococcus</i>
			Enterococcaceae	<i>Enterococcus</i>
			Lactobacillaceae	<i>Lactobacillus</i>
	Clostridia	Clostridiales	Clostridiaceae	<i>Clostridium</i>
	Negativicutes	Selenomonadales	Veillonellaceae	<i>Veillonella</i>
	Mollicutes	Mycoplasmatales	Mycoplasmataceae	<i>Ureaplasma</i>
Proteobacteria	γ -Proteobacteria	Enterobacteriales	Enterobacteriaceae	<i>Klebsiella</i>
				<i>Escherichia</i>
				<i>Proteus</i>
				<i>Serratia</i>
		Pseudomonadales	Pseudomonadaceae	<i>Cronobacter</i>
			Moraxellaceae	<i>Pseudomonas</i>
				<i>Acinetobacter</i>
Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidetes	Bacteroidales	Bacteroidaceae	<i>Bacteroides</i>
Actinobacteria	Actinobacteria	Bifidobacteriales	Bifidobacteriaceae	<i>Bifidobacterium</i>
		Propionibacteriales	Propionibacteriaceae	<i>Propionibacterium</i>

disease during pregnancy is associated with decreased risk of preterm labor.⁸ The demonstration of the same microbes in the amniotic fluid and periodontal plaques in women delivering preterm,⁹ the observation that the most common bacterium identified in amniotic fluid from women delivering preterm is *Fusobacterium nucleatum* (a common oral microbe in adults),¹⁰ and the observation that dental infection with *Porphyromonas gingivalis* (a common bacterium in periodontal disease) causes preterm birth, low birth weight, and colonization of the placenta in mice¹¹ suggests actual colonization of the placenta and fetus.

Detailed studies of the microbiota of the placenta have shed some light on early colonization of the fetus. The placenta has a low bacterial load and is easily contaminated during vaginal delivery. Analysis of placentas obtained at term cesarean delivery without rupture of the fetal membranes showed similarities among the microbiota of the placenta, the amniotic fluid, and meconium, suggesting in utero gut colonization with changes in the infant fecal samples in the first 3 to 4 days after birth reflecting the acquisition of microbes in colostrum.¹² Colonization of the placenta with *Ureaplasma* species increases the risks of preterm labor and intraventricular hemorrhage in extremely preterm infants¹³ and of chorioamnionitis in moderate and late preterm infants.¹⁴

Shortly after birth, the neonatal microbiota in the *term* infant is heavily influenced by mode of delivery with vaginally delivered infants colonized with organisms from the maternal vagina and infants delivered by cesarean colonized with organisms from the maternal skin and no real differences in neonatal microbial communities among the mouth, nasopharynx, skin, and meconium.¹⁵ In *preterm* infants, the microbiota of the skin diverges from that of the stool and saliva by day 8 of life, and the microbiota of the saliva and stool diverge by day 15.¹⁶ In a study of the microbiota of meconium in preterm infants, *Staphylococcus* was the dominant genus and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* the most common species. Among those infants with gestational age less than 28 weeks, *S epidermidis* was present in meconium of 3 of the 4 infants delivered by cesarean and 1 of the 3 delivered vaginally.¹⁷

Fecal Microbiota

Changes in the fecal microbiota of the extremely preterm infant over the first weeks of life have been characterized.^{4,17–22} The following patterns are consistent across multiple studies: (1) bacterial diversity is low in meconium and increases over time; (2) an early dominance of Firmicutes (predominantly staphylococci, enterococci, and in some studies streptococci) changes to a dominance of Proteobacteria (predominantly Enterobacteriaceae); (3) *Clostridium* and *Veillonella* species appear late compared with term infants, with *Veillonella* least common in infants born at <27 weeks; (4) diet and antibiotic exposure have a lesser impact on the fecal microbiota in extremely preterm infants than is seen in term infants (eg, the human milk oligosaccharide [HMO]-consuming organisms, bifidobacteria and *Bacteroides*, are uncommon even in exclusively human milk-fed preterm infants); and (5) postmenstrual age significantly influences the fecal microbiota. These observations suggest that environmental factors and maturation of the host immune response are the primary shapers of the developing gut microbiota in preterm infants. It is worth noting how strikingly the fecal microbiota of the preterm infant differs from that of the healthy term infant with the former often containing 1 to 2 orders of magnitude higher levels of γ -Proteobacteria and the latter commonly dominated by bifidobacteria and *Bacteroides*.

Gastric Microbiota

Gastric aspirates have recently been studied using bacterial DNA techniques. Analysis of 22 neonates with an average gestational age of 27.7 weeks (± 2.8) demonstrated a relative paucity of species in the stomach, with *Bacteroides* spp predominant in the first 4 weeks of life and *Bifidobacterium* colonization significantly higher in infants receiving human milk. These results differ dramatically from studies of the fecal microbiota and raise the possibility that, although rare in the feces of preterm infants, the 2 genera of bacteria capable of consuming HMOs may be present in their small bowel.²³ In this study, *Helicobacter pylori* and *Ureaplasma* were not identified; however, a different study of 12 neonates with an average gestational age of 27 weeks (± 0.5) found the predominant species in the first week of life to be *Ureaplasma*, with a predominance of *S epidermidis* in subsequent weeks. By the fourth week, Proteobacteria and Firmicutes each accounted for 50% of the total gastric organisms.²⁴ The reasons for this disparity are unclear, but may represent differences in technique (denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis in the first study and direct sequencing of polymerase chain reaction [PCR]-amplified clones in the second), differences in population (both studies were performed in the United States, but diversity in maternal colonization with *Ureaplasma* may have played a role), or the relatively small numbers of infants analyzed.

Oral Microbiota

Investigations of the development of the oral microbiota in extremely preterm infants are limited. The largest study to date included 110 preterm infants with birth weight less than 1000 g with weekly oral swabs for the first 6 weeks of life, but used culture techniques rather than bacterial DNA-based approaches. At birth the oral swabs did not show significant growth of culturable bacteria, but by week one, 21 infants were colonized with methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), 6 infants had other pathogenic bacteria (*S aureus*, Enterobacteriaceae, *Escherichia coli*), 56 infants were colonized with “nonpathogenic bacteria” (*S epidermidis* was most common followed by *Corynebacterium*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Streptococcus*), and 22 infants still showed no significant growth of culturable bacteria. By 6 weeks, 60 of the infants

were colonized with MRSA. It is noteworthy that MRSA sepsis cases were less common in those infants with early oral colonization with the “nonpathogenic” microbes.²⁵ Smaller studies of preterm infants using culture-only technology have shown colonization of the mouth in the first 10 days of life with coagulase-negative staphylococci, enterococci, Enterobacteriaceae, *Pseudomonas*, and *Candida*.²⁶ A study using bacterial DNA-based technology included 1 infant with gestational age 24 weeks; the saliva microbiota differed from the other 4 preterm infants analyzed (gestational age 30–31 weeks) in that there were Enterobacteriaceae at days 8 and 10 and *Pseudomonas* and *Mycoplasma* at days 15, 18, and 21 that were not seen in the older preterm infants.¹⁶ We analyzed the oral microbiota of 7 preterm infants (gestational age 25–27 weeks) with bacterial DNA techniques at 3 time points in the first 5 days of life and found a predominance of Mycoplasmataceae and Moraxellaceae in the first 36 hours of life and Staphylococcaceae and Planococcaceae by day of life 5.²⁷

Skin Microbiota

The skin of the extremely preterm infant changes dramatically in the first weeks of life. The stratum corneum, which functions as the epidermal barrier, is nearly absent at 23 weeks' gestation, has a few cornified layers at 26 weeks, and is not fully mature until approximately 34 weeks' gestation.²⁸ When infants are born preterm, the epidermis matures fairly rapidly, and even the most immature neonate has functionally and histologically mature epidermis by approximately 2 weeks postnatal age.²⁹ Studies of the skin microbiota of the extremely preterm infant are limited. Several studies have demonstrated that pathogens commonly colonize the skin of preterm infants (mostly staphylococci, enterococci, Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonadales, and *Candida*) and that MRSA colonization is more common in the preterm infant; however, these studies were not designed to analyze the broader skin microbiota.^{30–32} The previously noted study comparing changes over time in the saliva, skin, and feces included 1 infant with gestational age 24 weeks. The skin of this infant was dominated by staphylococci during the time of testing (day 8 to day 21) and did not differ from the older preterm infants.¹⁶ Environmental factors that influence the skin microbiota include parental skin, feeding type, environmental surfaces and caregiving equipment, health care provider skin, and antibiotic use.³³

THE MICROBIOTA AND DISEASE RISK IN EXTREMELY PRETERM INFANTS

The Fecal Microbiota and Necrotizing Enterocolitis and Sepsis

The incidences of NEC and sepsis are highest in the most preterm infants, likely due to immaturity of intestinal and skin barriers and immaturity of immune responses. Cases and outbreaks of NEC have been associated with a striking variety of organisms (Table 2), suggesting that there is not a single organism responsible. The evidence that the early or colonizing microbiota of the intestine influences the risk for subsequent development of NEC and/or sepsis has become quite compelling. The observation that NEC is most common in infants born at less than 28 weeks gestation and most commonly occurs at 30 to 32 weeks corrected gestational age³⁴ suggests that maturation of the host immune response and/or maturation of the intestinal microbiota are important in NEC pathogenesis. The Paneth cells of the small intestine produce large quantities of antimicrobial peptides that shape the intestinal microbiota.³⁵ It is not likely coincidental that Paneth cells increase in numbers and become immune-competent at 29 weeks corrected gestational age.^{36,37} Lower fecal bacterial diversity and/or richness is common in extremely preterm infants and has been demonstrated

Gram-Positive Bacteria	Gram-Negative Bacteria	Fungi	Viruses
<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	<i>Candida albicans</i>	Coronavirus
<i>Clostridium perfringens</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>	<i>Candida parapsilosis</i>	Coxsackie B2 virus
<i>Clostridium butyricum</i>	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	<i>Candida glabrata</i>	Rotavirus
<i>Clostridium neonatale</i>	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	<i>Aspergillus</i>	Adenovirus
<i>Clostridium difficile</i>	<i>Cronobacter sakazakii</i>	Mucoraceae	Torovirus
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	<i>Cronobacter muytjensii</i>		Astrovirus
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	<i>Shigella</i>		Echovirus 22
	<i>Salmonella</i>		Norovirus
			Cytomegalovirus

in some studies of infants with NEC compared with matched controls,^{22,38–40} although this is not universal.^{41,42} Studies investigating the fecal microbiota before the onset of NEC compared with matched controls are summarized in **Table 3**.^{40,41,43–54} These studies demonstrate the following: (1) colonization patterns differ between preterm infants who subsequently develop NEC and those who do not; (2) these differences are heavily influenced by maturation, NICU location, antibiotic exposure, and perhaps feeding type; (3) it remains unclear whether NEC risk is associated with the absence of potentially protective microbes (eg, *Propionibacterium*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Bacteroides*, or *Veillonella* species) or the dominance of potentially pathogenic microbes (eg, Enterobacteriaceae or *Clostridium* species); and (4) it remains unclear whether dysbiosis is the cause of NEC or a marker of alterations in host genetics or immune development. Two observations support the hypothesis that Enterobacteriaceae are important in the pathogenesis of NEC: (1) recognition of lipopolysaccharide in the cell wall of Gram-negative Enterobacteriaceae by Toll-like receptor 4 triggers a proinflammatory response and an influx of lymphocytes that in animal models is essential to the development of NEC,⁵⁵ and (2) Enterobacteriaceae have unique metabolic pathways by which they both trigger inflammation and use the products of the host inflammatory response as an energy source allowing them to outcompete other gut microbes.⁵⁶

Many of the organisms responsible for late-onset sepsis (LOS), including staphylococci, in extremely preterm infants originate in the intestinal tract.⁵⁷ Several studies have demonstrated organisms in the feces before or concurrent with the onset of LOS caused by the identical organism in extremely preterm infants.^{58–60} Decreased bacterial diversity and a predominance of staphylococci in early fecal specimens were associated with later sepsis in one small study of infants with gestational age 24 to 27 weeks.⁶¹

The Skin Microbiota and Sepsis

Efforts to decrease LOS with emphasis on the skin microbiota (eg, hand washing, protocols for line placement and care, early removal of central lines) have been partially successful, suggesting that a portion of these infections originate in the skin. Studies correlating skin colonization with LOS have relied on culture-based approaches and therefore likely give a limited view of the microbiota.⁶²

The Oral and Gastric Microbiota and Pneumonia

In critically ill adults and children, attention to oral care has been shown to decrease the risk of ventilator-associated pneumonia, suggesting that aspirated oral microbes

may play a role in pathogenesis. No studies to date have demonstrated similar decreases in ventilated preterm infants. Tracheal pepsin has been proposed as a marker of aspiration of gastric contents and appears to be common in preterm infants.⁶³ Bacterial DNA techniques have demonstrated an association between the gastric microbiota and chronic lung disease, with *Ureaplasma* the most common genus.⁶⁴

The Tracheal Microbiota and Chronic Lung Disease

The lower airway is not sterile in the preterm infant. Tracheal aspirates from very preterm intubated infants have predominantly been studied with culture-based techniques.⁶⁵ A study of 25 preterm infants using bacterial DNA techniques demonstrated a predominance of Actinobacteria, which decreased over time in infants who subsequently developed chronic lung disease (gestational age 26.2 ± 1.9 weeks) but remained stable over time in the infants who did not (gestational age 28.9 ± 1.4 weeks). In the former group, *Staphylococcus* increased over time and bacterial diversity was lower.⁶⁶ A study of 10 infants with birth weight 500 to 1250 g who were intubated for more than 21 days demonstrated a predominance of *Staphylococcus*, *Ureaplasma*, *Pseudomonas*, *Enterococcus*, and *Escherichia*.⁶⁷ In both culture-based and DNA-based studies there appear to be differences in the tracheal microbiota between infants who subsequently develop chronic lung disease and those who do not; however, distinguishing between colonization of the airway and infection remains challenging.

Environmental Microbes and Disease Risk

The impact of the NICU environment on colonization, immune responses, and risk for nosocomial infection in the extremely preterm infant has not been fully characterized. The composition of the surface and airborne microbiota is influenced by building design and utilization with hospital surfaces more likely to contain human pathogens than other office settings.⁶⁸ Two studies of NICU surfaces using bacterial DNA techniques, found significant diversity between NICUs and demonstrated common neonatal pathogens (eg, *Enterobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Streptococcus*, *Staphylococcus*, *Escherichia*, *Enterococcus*, *Acinetobacter*, and *Candida albicans*) on NICU surfaces.^{69,70} Intensive cleaning has been shown to significantly reduce the total microbial load and reshape the diversity toward nonpathogenic organisms. Interestingly, many of the common NICU enteric genera (*Enterococcus*, *Klebsiella*, *Escherichia*, and *Pseudomonas*) were not significantly altered by an intensive cleaning regimen, and routine cleaning of environmental surfaces with antibacterial wipes may be just as effective to reduce potentially pathogenic bacteria.⁷⁰

Examples of environmental studies of NICU infectious outbreaks are abundant. In one NICU, during high-risk respiratory syncytial virus season, 4% of clothing swabs, and 9% of environmental “high-touch” surface swabs (beds, side tables, countertops, chairs, tables, and computers) tested positive for the virus by PCR.⁷¹ Using DNA sequencing, a sink drain was shown to be the source of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* outbreak and replacing the sink and plumbing appeared to eradicate the outbreak.⁷² A *Burkholderia cepacia* outbreak, in which 12 neonates developed clinical and/or laboratory evidence of sepsis was traced to contaminated intravenous solution and water for humidification of ventilator circuits.⁷³ A cluster of *Bacillus cereus* colitis cases,⁷⁴ an extended-spectrum beta-lactamase *E coli* outbreak,⁷⁵ and case reports of Group B *Streptococcus* septicemia in preterm infants⁷⁶ have all been attributed to contaminated breast milk. *Cronobacter* species have been identified as a contaminant of powdered milk formulas, with sporadic outbreaks linked to NEC, bacteremia, and

Table 3
Studies of the fecal microbiota before the onset of NEC (only studies that included infants with gestational age <28 weeks are included)

	Gestational Age at Birth ^a	NEC	Controls	Meconium	Early Stools	Just Before NEC Onset
De la Cochetiere et al, ⁴³ 2004	24–29	3	9		<i>Clostridium perfringens</i> ↑	
Mai et al, ⁴¹ 2011	23–29	9	9		Firmicutes ↑ Actinobacteria ↓ Bacteroidetes ↓	Proteobacteria ↑
Stewart et al, ⁴⁴ 2012	24–28	7	21		Coagulase-negative staphylococci ↑ Enterococci ↓	
Smith et al, ⁴⁵ 2012	23–30	15	128	No differences at 3 time points: 0–5 d, day 10, and day 30		
Morrow et al, ⁴⁰ 2013	25.5 (1.8)	11	21	Propionibacterium ↓	Staphylococci ↑ Enterococci ↑ Enterobacteriaceae ↑	
Normann et al, ⁴⁶ 2013	22–25	10	16		Trends: Enterobacteriaceae ↑ Bacillales ↑ Enterococci ↓	
Torrazza et al, ⁴⁷ 2013	27.4 (2.6)	18	35	Klebsiella-like sp ↑	Proteobacteria ↑ Actinobacteria ↑ Bifidobacteria ↓ Bacteroidetes ↓	
Jenke et al, ⁴⁸ 2013	24–27	12	56		Lactobacilli ↑ <i>Escherichia coli</i> ↓	<i>E coli</i> ↑
McMurtry et al, ⁴⁹ 2015	27.2 (2.8)	21	74			Actinobacteria ↓ Clostridia ↓ <i>Veillonella</i> ↓ Streptococci ↓

Sim et al, ⁵⁰ 2015	25–28	12	36	<i>Klebsiella</i> ↑	<i>Klebsiella</i> ↑ Clostridia ↑
Zhou et al, ³⁹ 2015	24–31	12	26		Clostridia ↑ Staphylococci ↓
Heida et al, ⁵¹ 2016	24–29	11	22	<i>C perfringens</i> ↑ <i>Bacteroides dorei</i> ↑	<i>C perfringens</i> ↑ Staphylococci ↓
Warner et al, ²² 2016 ^b	26.0 (24.7–27.9)	46	120		γ-Proteobacteria ↑ Negativicutes ↓ Clostridia ↓
Ward et al, ⁵² 2016	26 (23–28)	7	37	No differences in samples from days 3–16. Days 17–22: Uropathogenic <i>E coli</i> ↑ <i>Veillonella</i> ↓	
Twin studies					
Stewart et al, 2013 ⁵³	26–30	5	5		<i>Escherichia</i> ↑
Claud et al, ⁵⁴ 2013		1	1		Proteobacteria ↑ <i>Veillonella</i> ↓

Arrows represent significant differences in NEC compared with control specimens.

Abbreviation: NEC, necrotizing enterocolitis.

^a Range or mean (SD) or median (interquartile range).

^b The associations were most strong for infants with gestational age at birth <27 weeks with strong time-by-NEC interactions.

meningitis.^{77,78} Bacteria also can colonize unlikely sources. *B cepacia* and *Enterobacter cloacae* have the ability to hydrolyze, render inactive, and proliferate in parabens, which are esters of para-hydroxybenzoic acid that are usually antimicrobial and used as preservatives in ultrasound gel (implicated in a *B cepacia* outbreak in a NICU).^{79,80} *Serratia marcescens* outbreaks in NICUs have been linked to stored water and incubator surfaces,⁸¹ the exit port of a high-frequency oscillatory ventilator,⁸² contaminated parenteral nutrition,⁸³ soap dispensers,⁸⁴ and baby shampoo.⁸⁵

MANIPULATING THE MICROBIOTA OF THE EXTREMELY PRETERM INFANT

Diet

Breast-fed *term* infants generally become colonized in the first weeks after birth with gut microbes that are able to consume HMOs and other human milk glycans (bifidobacteria and *Bacteroides*), whereas formula-fed infants tend to become colonized with a more diverse mixture of microbes. As noted previously, in the extremely preterm infant, the provision of human milk does not have a marked influence on the fecal microbiota with “human milk-consuming” microbes consistently either absent or present in low abundance across multiple studies. In a small study, neither the addition of a mixture rich in HMOs to preterm infant formula nor the “all-human diet” (human milk fortified with a fortifier made from donor human milk) led to a significant change in the fecal microbiota.⁸⁶ Nevertheless, careful analysis of the composition of HMOs in ingested milk and undigested HMOs in feces in preterm infants showed that different HMO structures are differentially consumed in the preterm gut with increased fucosylated HMOs in milk associated with a decrease in Proteobacteria in the infant feces.⁸⁷

Probiotics

To date, a total of 41 randomized placebo-controlled trials of probiotics in preterm infants have been published in English; 37 of these trials included NEC, sepsis, and/or death as an outcome. In spite of differences in probiotic choice and dose administered, several meta-analyses have reached the same conclusion: probiotics decrease the risk of NEC, death, and sepsis in preterm infants and decrease the time to full enteral feeding in preterm infants receiving human milk.^{88–90} In addition, there have been 11 cohort studies published in English comparing periods of no probiotic to periods of universal probiotic administration in preterm infants with a meta-analysis of these studies demonstrating a decrease in NEC and mortality with probiotic administration.⁹¹ **Table 4** summarizes the nonweighted results of the randomized controlled trials and the cohort studies.^{92–96} In spite of this astounding level of evidence and the relative lack of risk, routine probiotic administration is not recommended in the United States due to concerns from the Food and Drug Administration and other experts regarding the lack of commercial probiotic products that meet high standards of purity and viability. Whether these recommendations are justifiable given the incidence, cost, and severity of NEC and the relative paucity of evidence of harm associated with probiotic administration is hotly debated. In addition, it has been widely reported that although probiotic products appear to be beneficial for preterm infants with birth weight greater than 1000 g, data supporting a benefit for extremely low birth weight infants are lacking.²¹ **Tables 5** and **6** summarize the data available from the randomized controlled trials and the cohort studies for the smallest preterm infants, including unweighted totals and percentages.^{96–114} Although the level of support is not as compelling as that for larger preterm infants, these data suggest potential benefit and certainly no convincing evidence of harm for this population.

Number Enrolled		NEC Cases Stage 2 or 3		Culture-Positive Sepsis		Deaths	
Probiotic	Control	Probiotic	Control	Probiotic	Control	Probiotic	Control
7 randomized placebo-controlled trials with 200 or more preterm infants in each arm							
2520	2554	98	151	236	244	129	169
% of those reporting the outcome		3.9	5.9	10	11	5.1	6.6
7 cohort studies with 200 or more preterm infants in each group							
6779	5099	201	299	648	530	498	434
% of those reporting the outcome		3.0	5.9	11	13	7.3	8.5
37 randomized placebo-controlled trials (includes the infants in the 7 larger trials above)							
4710	4675	153	283	475	548	224	315
% of those reporting the outcome		3.3	6.2	12	14	5.1	7.2
11 cohort studies (includes the infants in the 7 larger studies above)							
7742	7592	224	408	737	667	556	493
% of those reporting the outcome		2.9	5.3	12	14	7.7	9.0

Details of 33 of the randomized controlled trials and 10 of the cohort studies are presented in [Tables 1](#) and [2](#) of Ref.⁹⁰ with the additional studies in Refs.^{91–94,108}

Antibiotics

Five clinical trials of oral administration of antibiotics that are unlikely to be absorbed systemically (gentamicin, kanamycin, or vancomycin) demonstrated a decrease in the incidence of NEC.¹¹⁵ Although this approach has been adopted in some NICUs, the concerns of emergence of resistant organisms have precluded widespread adoption. A recent report of the emergence of colistin-resistant extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae following oral administration to preterm infants for NEC prophylaxis underscores the validity of these concerns.¹¹⁶

Buccal Colostrum

Administration of colostrum directly into the buccal pouch has been proposed as oral hygiene to decrease the risk of ventilator-associated pneumonia in intubated neonates. To date, studies have shown an impact on the oropharyngeal lymphatic tissues¹¹⁷ and the oral microbiota,²⁷ a decrease in clinical sepsis,¹¹⁷ but no clear decrease in pneumonia. A multicenter trial of this intervention is under way.¹¹⁸

Cleaning Agents

Early studies of the value of environmental disinfection as a strategy to decrease hospital-acquired infections were limited and disappointing.¹¹⁹ More recent studies suggest that novel interventions may be helpful in interrupting and preventing infectious hospital outbreaks.¹²⁰ Demonstrations that chlorhexidine bathing is associated with decreased risk of hospital-acquired infections compared with soap and water¹²¹ have prompted widespread adoption of this practice for children and adults. For similar reasons, frequent use of hand-sanitizing gels and foams among health care providers has become widespread. Unfortunately, we have no data about the

Table 5

Randomized controlled trials evaluating probiotics published in English and specifically evaluating infants <1 kg

Author	Country	Probiotic Species	n <1 kg		NEC Cases ≥ stage 2		Culture + Sepsis		Deaths	
			Pro	Pla	Pro	Pla	Pro	Pla	Pro	Pla
Costeloe et al, ⁹⁸ 2016	UK	<i>Bifidobacterium breve</i>	317	327	50	53	63	61	46	53
Kanic et al, ⁹³ 2015 ^a	Slovenia	<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> + <i>Enterococcus faecium</i> + <i>Bifidobacterium infantum</i>	13	17	0	5	8	6	3	3
Van Niekirk et al, ⁹⁹ 2015 ^a	South Africa	<i>Bifidobacterium infantis</i> + <i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	43	49	0	4	–	–	5	5
Sangtawesin et al, ⁹⁷ 2014 ^a	Thailand	<i>L acidophilus</i> + <i>Bifidobacterium bifida</i>	3	4	1	1	2	1	0	0
Tewari et al, ¹⁰⁰ 2015 ^a	India	<i>Bacillus clausii</i>	23	22	0	0	6	8	8	9
Oncel et al, ¹⁰¹ 2014	Turkey	<i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i>	93	103	5	9	6	19	11	17
Patole et al, ¹⁰² 2014 ^a	Australia	<i>B breve</i>	28	29	–	–	11	6	0	0
Totsu et al, ¹⁰³ 2014 ^{a,b}	Japan	<i>Bifidobacterium bifidum</i>	76	66	0	0	5	10	2	0
Jacobs et al, ¹⁰⁴ 2013 ^c	Australia + NZ	<i>B infantis</i> + <i>Streptococcus thermophilus</i> + <i>Bifidobacterium lactis</i>	235	239	10	14	53	58	–	–
Al-Hosni et al, ¹⁰⁵ 2012	US	<i>B infantis</i> + <i>L rhamnosus</i>	50	51	2	2	13	16	3	4
Mihatsch et al, ¹⁰⁶ 2010 ^d	Germany	<i>B lactis</i>	91	89	2	4	28	29	2	1
Rouge et al, ¹⁰⁷ 2009	France	<i>Bifidobacterium longum</i> + <i>L rhamnosus</i>	16	22	–	–	12	14	–	–
Underwood et al, ⁹⁶ 2009	US	<i>L rhamnosus</i> OR combination (<i>L acidophilus</i> + <i>B infantis</i> + <i>B longum</i> + <i>B bifidum</i>)	9	7	1	0	4	0	0	0
Lin et al, ¹⁰⁸ 2008 ^e	Taiwan	<i>L acidophilus</i> + <i>B bifidum</i>	102	79	4	7	28	14	0	6
Wang et al, ¹⁰⁹ 2007 ^a	Japan	<i>B breve</i>	11	11	0	0	–	–	–	–
Bin-Nun et al, ¹¹⁰ 2005 ^a	Israel	<i>B infantis</i> + <i>S thermophilus</i> + <i>B lactis</i>	25	17	2	6	4	10	6	9
Total			1140	1137	77	106	248	254	86	107
Percentage of those reporting the outcome			–	–	6.8	9.5	23	24	9.8	12

Abbreviations: NEC, necrotizing enterocolitis; Pla, placebo; Pro, probiotic.

^a Personal communication from the author.

^b Culture-positive sepsis at greater than 7 days of life.

^c In regression model, reduction of NEC significant in subgroup analysis of less than 1 kg infants (RR [relative risk] 0.73).

^d These infants were less than 29 weeks (birth weight <1.16 kg).

^e Death and NEC were significantly lower in the probiotic group for infants 500 to 750 g ($P = .02$).

Author	Country	Probiotic Species	NEC							
			n <1 kg		Cases ≥ Stage 2		Culture + Sepsis		Deaths	
			Pro	Con	Pro	Con	Pro	Con	Pro	Con
Guthman et al, ¹¹¹ 2016	Switzerland	<i>Lactobacillus acidophilus</i> + <i>Bifidobacterium infantis</i>	238	250	6	16	–	–	16	26
Janvier et al, ¹¹² 2014	Canada	<i>Bifidobacterium bifidum</i> + <i>Bifidobacterium breve</i> + <i>B infantis</i> + <i>B longum</i> + <i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i>	98	109	10	18	30	38	14	27
Hunter et al, ¹¹³ 2012	US	<i>Lactobacillus reuteri</i>	79	232	2	35	18	72	–	–
Luoto et al, ¹¹⁴ 2010	Finland	<i>L rhamnosus</i>	218	879	17	45	–	–	–	–
Total			633	1470	35	114	48	110	30	53
% of those reporting the outcome			–	–	5.5	7.8	27	32	8.9	15

Abbreviations: Con, control; NEC, necrotizing enterocolitis; Pro, probiotic.

long-term impact of these interventions on the skin microbiota or systemic absorption of these products for either the health care provider or the patient (particularly for highly vulnerable patients like the extremely preterm infant).

Emollients

Topical application of ointments, oils, or other emollients to the skin of the preterm infant has not demonstrated any significant decrease in rates of invasive infection or death.¹²² Studies of the impact of this approach on the skin microbiota are limited to culture studies, with 1 study showing no differences¹²³ and 2 studies showing nonspecific changes.^{30,124}

Functionalized Surfaces

Creation of novel surfaces that are resistant to colonization with potentially pathogenic microbes is a promising approach. Although this field is still in its infancy, the most promising result may be decreased surface contamination with viruses.¹²⁵ Isolettes with pathogen-resistant surfaces and medical devices coated with commensal or probiotic organisms may someday be commonplace.

SUMMARY

The study of microbes that colonize extremely preterm infants and the devices and surfaces with which they come in contact holds great promise for decreasing the high morbidity and mortality in this evolutionarily new population. Understanding

and preventing dysbiosis may be crucial to the prevention of common and devastating processes such as NEC, chronic lung disease, and sepsis, but also may impact growth, development, immune function, and risk for a broad variety of chronic diseases and conditions.

Best Practices

What is the current practice?

- The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends mother's own milk for preterm infants and pasteurized donor human milk if the mother is unable to provide sufficient milk
- In many countries, prophylactic probiotic supplements are routine for preterm infants

What changes in current practice are likely to improve outcomes?

- Increased utilization of probiotics that reach high standards of purity and viability will decrease NEC in infants with birth weight greater than 1000 g (Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, Oxford, 1a)
- Increased utilization of probiotics may decrease NEC and sepsis in smaller preterm infants (Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, Oxford, 1b) and may decrease risk of childhood and adult onset diseases (Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, Oxford, 5)
- Development of targeted approaches to decrease dysbiosis of the mouth, stomach, intestines, skin, and trachea may decrease diseases of extremely preterm infants associated with acute or chronic inflammation (Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine, Oxford, 5)

Data from Oxford Centre for Evidence-based Medicine – Levels of Evidence (March 2009). Centre for Evidence Based Medicine. Available at: <http://www.cebm.net/oxford-centre-evidence-based-medicine-levels-evidence-march-2009/>. Accessed March 6, 2017.

REFERENCES

1. Vatanen T, Kostic AD, d'Hennezel E, et al. Variation in microbiome LPS immunogenicity contributes to autoimmunity in humans. *Cell* 2016;165(4):842–53.
2. Taira R, Yamaguchi S, Shimizu K, et al. Bacterial cell wall components regulate adipokine secretion from visceral adipocytes. *J Clin Biochem Nutr* 2015;56(2):149–54.
3. Cani PD, Plovier H, Van Hul M, et al. Endocannabinoids—at the crossroads between the gut microbiota and host metabolism. *Nature reviews. Endocrinology* 2016;12(3):133–43.
4. LaTuga MS, Ellis JC, Cotton CM, et al. Beyond bacteria: a study of the enteric microbial consortium in extremely low birth weight infants. *PLoS One* 2011;6(12):e27858.
5. Wassenaar TM, Panigrahi P. Is a foetus developing in a sterile environment? *Let Appl Microbiol* 2014;59(6):572–9.
6. Boggess KA, Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine Publications Committee. Maternal oral health in pregnancy. *Obstet Gynecol* 2008;111(4):976–86.
7. Buduneli N, Baylas H, Buduneli E, et al. Periodontal infections and pre-term low birth weight: a case-control study. *J Clin Periodontol* 2005;32(2):174–81.
8. Lopez NJ, Smith PC, Gutierrez J. Periodontal therapy may reduce the risk of preterm low birth weight in women with periodontal disease: a randomized controlled trial. *J Periodontol* 2002;73(8):911–24.
9. Ercan E, Eratalay K, Deren O, et al. Evaluation of periodontal pathogens in amniotic fluid and the role of periodontal disease in pre-term birth and low birth weight. *Acta Odontol Scand* 2013;71(3–4):553–9.

10. Han YW, Shen T, Chung P, et al. Uncultivated bacteria as etiologic agents of intra-amniotic inflammation leading to preterm birth. *J Clin Microbiol* 2009; 47(1):38–47.
11. Ao M, Miyauchi M, Furusho H, et al. Dental infection of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* induces preterm birth in mice. *PLoS One* 2015;10(8):e0137249.
12. Collado MC, Rautava S, Aakko J, et al. Human gut colonisation may be initiated in utero by distinct microbial communities in the placenta and amniotic fluid. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:23129.
13. Olomu IN, Hecht JL, Onderdonk AO, et al. Extremely low gestational age newborn study I. Perinatal correlates of *Ureaplasma urealyticum* in placenta parenchyma of singleton pregnancies that end before 28 weeks of gestation. *Pediatrics* 2009;123(5):1329–36.
14. Sweeney EL, Kallapur SG, Gisslen T, et al. Placental infection with *Ureaplasma* species is associated with histologic chorioamnionitis and adverse outcomes in moderately preterm and late-preterm infants. *J Infect Dis* 2016;213(8):1340–7.
15. Dominguez-Bello MG, Costello EK, Contreras M, et al. Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010;107(26):11971–5.
16. Costello EK, Carlisle EM, Bik EM, et al. Microbiome assembly across multiple body sites in low-birthweight infants. *MBio* 2013;4(6):e00782-13.
17. Moles L, Gomez M, Heilig H, et al. Bacterial diversity in meconium of preterm neonates and evolution of their fecal microbiota during the first month of life. *PLoS One* 2013;8(6):e66986.
18. Schwiertz A, Gruhl B, Lobnitz M, et al. Development of the intestinal bacterial composition in hospitalized preterm infants in comparison with breast-fed, full-term infants. *Pediatr Res* 2003;54(3):393–9.
19. La Rosa PS, Warner BB, Zhou Y, et al. Patterned progression of bacterial populations in the premature infant gut. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014;111(34):12522–7.
20. Ferraris L, Butel MJ, Campeotto F, et al. Clostridia in premature neonates' gut: incidence, antibiotic susceptibility, and perinatal determinants influencing colonization. *PLoS One* 2012;7(1):e30594.
21. Warner BB, Tarr PI. Necrotizing enterocolitis and preterm infant gut bacteria. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2016;21(6):394–9.
22. Warner BB, Deych E, Zhou Y, et al. Gut bacteria dysbiosis and necrotising enterocolitis in very low birthweight infants: a prospective case-control study. *Lancet* 2016;387(10031):1928–36.
23. Patel K, Konduru K, Patra AK, et al. Trends and determinants of gastric bacterial colonization of preterm neonates in a NICU setting. *PLoS One* 2015;10(7):e0114664.
24. Milisavljevic V, Garg M, Vuletic I, et al. Prospective assessment of the gastroesophageal microbiome in VLBW neonates. *BMC Pediatr* 2013;13:49.
25. Shimizu A, Shimizu K, Nakamura T. Non-pathogenic bacterial flora may inhibit colonization by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in extremely low birth weight infants. *Neonatology* 2008;93(3):158–61.
26. Makhoul IR, Sujov P, Ardekian L, et al. Factors influencing oral colonization in premature infants. *Isr Med Assoc J* 2002;4(2):98–102.
27. Sohn K, Kalanetra KM, Mills DA, et al. Buccal administration of human colostrum: impact on the oral microbiota of premature infants. *J Perinatol* 2016; 36(2):106–11.

28. Visscher MO, Adam R, Brink S, et al. Newborn infant skin: physiology, development, and care. *Clin Dermatol* 2015;33(3):271–80.
29. Evans NJ, Rutter N. Development of the epidermis in the newborn. *Biol Neonate* 1986;49(2):74–80.
30. Erdemir A, Kahramaner Z, Yuksel Y, et al. The effect of topical ointment on neonatal sepsis in preterm infants. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2015;28(1):33–6.
31. Choi Y, Saha SK, Ahmed AS, et al. Routine skin cultures in predicting sepsis pathogens among hospitalized preterm neonates in Bangladesh. *Neonatology* 2008;94(2):123–31.
32. Huang YC, Chou YH, Su LH, et al. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* colonization and its association with infection among infants hospitalized in neonatal intensive care units. *Pediatrics* 2006;118(2):469–74.
33. Hartz LE, Bradshaw W, Brandon DH. Potential NICU environmental influences on the neonate's microbiome: a systematic review. *Adv Neonatal Care* 2015;15(5):324–35.
34. Yee WH, Soraisham AS, Shah VS, et al. Incidence and timing of presentation of necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm infants. *Pediatrics* 2012;129(2):e298–304.
35. Salzman NH, Bevins CL. Dysbiosis—a consequence of Paneth cell dysfunction. *Semin Immunol* 2013;25(5):334–41.
36. Heida FH, Beyduz G, Bulthuis ML, et al. Paneth cells in the developing gut: when do they arise and when are they immune competent? *Pediatr Res* 2016;80(2):306–10.
37. McElroy SJ, Underwood MA, Sherman MP. Paneth cells and necrotizing enterocolitis: a novel hypothesis for disease pathogenesis. *Neonatology* 2013;103(1):10–20.
38. Wang Y, Hoenig JD, Malin KJ, et al. 16S rRNA gene-based analysis of fecal microbiota from preterm infants with and without necrotizing enterocolitis. *ISME J* 2009;3(8):944–54.
39. Zhou Y, Shan G, Sodergren E, et al. Longitudinal analysis of the premature infant intestinal microbiome prior to necrotizing enterocolitis: a case-control study. *PLoS One* 2015;10(3):e0118632.
40. Morrow AL, Lagomarcino AJ, Schibler KR, et al. Early microbial and metabolomic signatures predict later onset of necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm infants. *Microbiome* 2013;1(1):13.
41. Mai V, Young CM, Ukhanova M, et al. Fecal microbiota in premature infants prior to necrotizing enterocolitis. *PLoS One* 2011;6(6):e20647.
42. Mshvildadze M, Neu J, Shuster J, et al. Intestinal microbial ecology in premature infants assessed with non-culture-based techniques. *J Pediatr* 2010;156(1):20–5.
43. de la Cochetiere MF, Piloquet H, des Robert C, et al. Early intestinal bacterial colonization and necrotizing enterocolitis in premature infants: the putative role of *Clostridium*. *Pediatr Res* 2004;56(3):366–70.
44. Stewart CJ, Marrs EC, Magorrian S, et al. The preterm gut microbiota: changes associated with necrotizing enterocolitis and infection. *Acta Paediatr* 2012;101(11):1121–7.
45. Smith B, Bode S, Skov TH, et al. Investigation of the early intestinal microflora in premature infants with/without necrotizing enterocolitis using two different methods. *Pediatr Res* 2012;71(1):115–20.

46. Normann E, Fahlen A, Engstrand L, et al. Intestinal microbial profiles in extremely preterm infants with and without necrotizing enterocolitis. *Acta Paediatr* 2013;102(2):129–36.
47. Torrazza RM, Ukhanova M, Wang X, et al. Intestinal microbial ecology and environmental factors affecting necrotizing enterocolitis. *PLoS One* 2013;8(12):e83304.
48. Jenke AC, Postberg J, Mariel B, et al. S100A12 and hBD2 correlate with the composition of the fecal microflora in ELBW infants and expansion of *E. coli* is associated with NEC. *Biomed Res Int* 2013;2013:150372.
49. McMurtry VE, Gupta RW, Tran L, et al. Bacterial diversity and *Clostridia* abundance decrease with increasing severity of necrotizing enterocolitis. *Microbiome* 2015;3:11.
50. Sim K, Shaw AG, Randell P, et al. Dysbiosis anticipating necrotizing enterocolitis in very premature infants. *Clin Infect Dis* 2015;60(3):389–97.
51. Heida FH, van Zoonen AG, Hulscher JB, et al. A necrotizing enterocolitis-associated gut microbiota is present in the meconium: results of a prospective study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2016;62(7):863–70.
52. Ward DV, Scholz M, Zolfo M, et al. Metagenomic sequencing with strain-level resolution implicates uropathogenic *E. coli* in necrotizing enterocolitis and mortality in preterm infants. *Cell Rep* 2016;14(12):2912–24.
53. Stewart CJ, Marrs EC, Nelson A, et al. Development of the preterm gut microbiome in twins at risk of necrotising enterocolitis and sepsis. *PLoS One* 2013;8(8):e73465.
54. Claud EC, Keegan KP, Brulc JM, et al. Bacterial community structure and functional contributions to emergence of health or necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm infants. *Microbiome* 2013;1(1):20.
55. Egan CE, Sodhi CP, Good M, et al. Toll-like receptor 4-mediated lymphocyte influx induces neonatal necrotizing enterocolitis. *J Clin Invest* 2016;126(2):495–508.
56. Winter SE, Baumler AJ. Dysbiosis in the inflamed intestine: chance favors the prepared microbe. *Gut Microbes* 2014;5(1):71–3.
57. Tarr PI, Warner BB. Gut bacteria and late-onset neonatal bloodstream infections in preterm infants. *Semin Fetal Neonatal Med* 2016;21(6):388–93.
58. Shaw AG, Sim K, Randell P, et al. Late-onset bloodstream infection and perturbed maturation of the gastrointestinal microbiota in premature infants. *PLoS One* 2015;10(7):e0132923.
59. Taft DH, Ambalavanan N, Schibler KR, et al. Center variation in intestinal microbiota prior to late-onset sepsis in preterm infants. *PLoS One* 2015;10(6):e0130604.
60. Carl MA, Ndao IM, Springman AC, et al. Sepsis from the gut: the enteric habitat of bacteria that cause late-onset neonatal bloodstream infections. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014;58(9):1211–8.
61. Madan JC, Salari RC, Saxena D, et al. Gut microbial colonisation in premature neonates predicts neonatal sepsis. *Arch Dis Child* 2012;97(6):F456–62.
62. Ponnusamy V, Perperoglou A, Venkatesh V, et al. Skin colonisation at the catheter exit site is strongly associated with catheter colonisation and catheter-related sepsis. *Acta Paediatr* 2014;103(12):1233–8.
63. Garland JS, Alex CP, Johnston N, et al. Association between tracheal pepsin, a reliable marker of gastric aspiration, and head of bed elevation among ventilated neonates. *J Neonatal Perinatal Med* 2014;7(3):185–92.

64. Oue S, Hiroi M, Ogawa S, et al. Association of gastric fluid microbes at birth with severe bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Arch Dis Child* 2009;94(1):F17–22.
65. Young KC, Del Moral T, Claire N, et al. The association between early tracheal colonization and bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *J Perinatol* 2005;25(6):403–7.
66. Lohmann P, Luna RA, Hollister EB, et al. The airway microbiome of intubated premature infants: characteristics and changes that predict the development of bronchopulmonary dysplasia. *Pediatr Res* 2014;76(3):294–301.
67. Mourani PM, Harris JK, Sontag MK, et al. Molecular identification of bacteria in tracheal aspirate fluid from mechanically ventilated preterm infants. *PLoS One* 2011;6(10):e25959.
68. Kembel SW, Jones E, Kline J, et al. Architectural design influences the diversity and structure of the built environment microbiome. *ISME J* 2012;6(8):1469–79.
69. Hewitt KM, Mannino FL, Gonzalez A, et al. Bacterial diversity in two neonatal intensive care units (NICUs). *PLoS One* 2013;8(1):e54703.
70. Bokulich NA, Mills DA, Underwood MA. Surface microbes in the neonatal intensive care unit: changes with routine cleaning and over time. *J Clin Microbiol* 2013;51(8):2617–24.
71. Homaira N, Sheils J, Stelzer-Braid S, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus is present in the neonatal intensive care unit. *J Med Virol* 2016;88(2):196–201.
72. Davis RJ, Jensen SO, Van Hal S, et al. Whole genome sequencing in real-time investigation and management of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* outbreak on a neonatal intensive care unit. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2015;36(9):1058–64.
73. Paul LM, Hegde A, Pai T, et al. An outbreak of *Burkholderia cepacia* bacteremia in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Indian J Pediatr* 2016;83(4):285–8.
74. Decousser JW, Ramarao N, Duport C, et al. *Bacillus cereus* and severe intestinal infections in preterm neonates: putative role of pooled breast milk. *Am J Infect Control* 2013;41(10):918–21.
75. Nakamura K, Kaneko M, Abe Y, et al. Outbreak of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* transmitted through breast milk sharing in a neonatal intensive care unit. *J Hosp Infect* 2016;92(1):42–6.
76. Salamat S, Fischer D, van der Linden M, et al. Neonatal group B streptococcal septicemia transmitted by contaminated breast milk, proven by pulsed field gel electrophoresis in 2 cases. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 2014;33(4):428.
77. Xu F, Li P, Ming X, et al. Detection of *Cronobacter* species in powdered infant formula by probe-magnetic separation PCR. *J Dairy Sci* 2014;97(10):6067–75.
78. van Acker J, de Smet F, Muyldermans G, et al. Outbreak of necrotizing enterocolitis associated with *Enterobacter sakazakii* in powdered milk formula. *J Clin Microbiol* 2001;39(1):293–7.
79. Hutchinson J, Runge W, Mulvey M, et al. *Burkholderia cepacia* infections associated with intrinsically contaminated ultrasound gel: the role of microbial degradation of parabens. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2004;25(4):291–6.
80. Nannini EC, Ponessa A, Muratori R, et al. Polyclonal outbreak of bacteremia caused by *Burkholderia cepacia* complex and the presumptive role of ultrasound gel. *Braz J Infect Dis* 2015;19(5):543–5.
81. Morillo A, Gonzalez V, Aguayo J, et al. A six-month *Serratia marcescens* outbreak in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin* 2016;34(10):645–51.
82. Macdonald TM, Langley JM, Mailman T, et al. *Serratia marcescens* outbreak in a neonatal intensive care unit related to the exit port of an oscillator. *Pediatr Crit Care Med* 2011;12(6):e282–6.

83. Arslan U, Erayman I, Kirdar S, et al. *Serratia marcescens* sepsis outbreak in a neonatal intensive care unit. *Pediatr Int* 2010;52(2):208–12.
84. Buffet-Bataillon S, Rabier V, Betremieux P, et al. Outbreak of *Serratia marcescens* in a neonatal intensive care unit: contaminated unmedicated liquid soap and risk factors. *J Hosp Infect* 2009;72(1):17–22.
85. Madani TA, Alsaedi S, James L, et al. *Serratia marcescens*-contaminated baby shampoo causing an outbreak among newborns at King Abdulaziz University Hospital, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. *J Hosp Infect* 2011;78(1):16–9.
86. Underwood MA, Kalanetra KM, Bokulich NA, et al. Prebiotic oligosaccharides in premature infants. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2014;58(3):352–60.
87. Underwood MA, Gaerlan S, De Leoz ML, et al. Human milk oligosaccharides in premature infants: absorption, excretion, and influence on the intestinal microbiota. *Pediatr Res* 2015;78(6):670–7.
88. Aceti A, Gori D, Barone G, et al. Probiotics and time to achieve full enteral feeding in human milk-fed and formula-fed preterm infants: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients* 2016;8(8):471.
89. Rao SC, Athalye-Jape GK, Deshpande GC, et al. Probiotic supplementation and late-onset sepsis in preterm infants: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2016;137(3):e20153684.
90. Alfaleh K, Anabrees J. Probiotics for prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2014;(4):CD005496.
91. Olsen R, Greisen G, Schroder M, et al. Prophylactic probiotics for preterm infants: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Neonatology* 2016;109(2):105–12.
92. Vongbhavit K, Underwood MA. Prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis through manipulation of the intestinal microbiota of the premature infant. *Clin Ther* 2016;38(4):716–32.
93. Kanic Z, Micetic Turk D, Burja S, et al. Influence of a combination of probiotics on bacterial infections in very low birthweight newborns. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* 2015;127(Suppl 5):S210–5.
94. Xu L, Wang Y, Wang Y, et al. A double-blinded randomized trial on growth and feeding tolerance with *Saccharomyces boulardii* CNCM I-745 in formula-fed preterm infants. *J Pediatr (Rio J)* 2016;92(3):296–301.
95. Manzoni P, Meyer M, Stolfi I, et al. Bovine lactoferrin supplementation for prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis in very-low-birth-weight neonates: a randomized clinical trial. *Early Hum Dev* 2014;90(Suppl 1):S60–5.
96. Underwood MA, Salzman NH, Bennett SH, et al. A randomized placebo-controlled comparison of 2 prebiotic/probiotic combinations in preterm infants: impact on weight gain, intestinal microbiota, and fecal short-chain fatty acids. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2009;48(2):216–25.
97. Saengtawesin V, Tangpolkaiwalsak R, Kanjanapattankul W. Effect of oral probiotics supplementation in the prevention of necrotizing enterocolitis among very low birth weight preterm infants. *J Med Assoc Thai* 2014;97(Suppl 6):S20–5.
98. Costeloe K, Hardy P, Juszczak E, et al. Probiotics in Preterm Infants Study Collaborative Group. Bifidobacterium breve BBG-001 in very preterm infants: a randomised controlled phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 2016;387(10019):649–60.
99. Van Niekerk E, Nel DG, Blaauw R, et al. Probiotics reduce necrotizing enterocolitis severity in HIV-exposed premature infants. *J Trop Pediatr* 2015;61(3):155–64.

100. Tewari VV, Dubey SK, Gupta G. *Bacillus clausii* for prevention of late-onset sepsis in preterm infants: a randomized controlled trial. *J Trop Pediatr* 2015; 61(5):377–85.
101. Oncel MY, Sari FN, Arayici S, et al. *Lactobacillus reuteri* for the prevention of necrotising enterocolitis in very low birthweight infants: a randomised controlled trial. *Arch Dis Child* 2014;99(2):F110–5.
102. Patole S, Keil AD, Chang A, et al. Effect of *Bifidobacterium breve* M-16V supplementation on fecal bifidobacteria in preterm neonates—a randomised double blind placebo controlled trial. *PLoS One* 2014;9(3):e89511.
103. Totsu S, Yamasaki C, Terahara M, et al, Probiotics Study Group in Japan. Bifidobacterium and enteral feeding in preterm infants: cluster-randomized trial. *Pediatr Int* 2014;56(5):714–9.
104. Jacobs SE, Tobin JM, Opie GF, et al. Probiotic effects on late-onset sepsis in very preterm infants: a randomized controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 2013;132(6): 1055–62.
105. Al-Hosni M, Duenas M, Hawk M, et al. Probiotics-supplemented feeding in extremely low-birth-weight infants. *J Perinatol* 2012;32(4):253–9.
106. Mihatsch WA, Vossbeck S, Eikmanns B, et al. Effect of *Bifidobacterium lactis* on the incidence of nosocomial infections in very-low-birth-weight infants: a randomized controlled trial. *Neonatology* 2010;98(2):156–63.
107. Rouge C, Piloquet H, Butel MJ, et al. Oral supplementation with probiotics in very-low-birth-weight preterm infants: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2009;89(6):1828–35.
108. Lin HC, Hsu CH, Chen HL, et al. Oral probiotics prevent necrotizing enterocolitis in very low birth weight preterm infants: a multicenter, randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 2008;122(4):693–700.
109. Wang C, Shoji H, Sato H, et al. Effects of oral administration of *Bifidobacterium breve* on fecal lactic acid and short-chain fatty acids in low birth weight infants. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr* 2007;44(2):252–7.
110. Bin-Nun A, Bromiker R, Wilschanski M, et al. Oral probiotics prevent necrotizing enterocolitis in very low birth weight neonates. *J Pediatr* 2005;147(2):192–6.
111. Guthmann F, Arlettaz Mieth RP, Bucher HU, et al. Short courses of dual-strain probiotics appear to be effective in reducing necrotising enterocolitis. *Acta Paediatr* 2016;105(3):255–9.
112. Janvier A, Malo J, Barrington KJ. Cohort study of probiotics in a North American neonatal intensive care unit. *J Pediatr* 2014;164(5):980–5.
113. Hunter C, Dimaguila MA, Gal P, et al. Effect of routine probiotic, *Lactobacillus reuteri* DSM 17938, use on rates of necrotizing enterocolitis in neonates with birthweight < 1000 grams: a sequential analysis. *BMC Pediatr* 2012;12:142.
114. Luoto R, Matomaki J, Isolauri E, et al. Incidence of necrotizing enterocolitis in very-low-birth-weight infants related to the use of *Lactobacillus GG*. *Acta Paediatr* 2010;99(8):1135–8.
115. Bury RG, Tudehope D. Enteral antibiotics for preventing necrotizing enterocolitis in low birthweight or preterm infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001;(1):CD000405.
116. Strenger V, Gschliesser T, Grisold A, et al. Orally administered colistin leads to colistin-resistant intestinal flora and fails to prevent faecal colonisation with extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing enterobacteria in hospitalised newborns. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2011;37(1):67–9.
117. Lee J, Kim HS, Jung YH, et al. Oropharyngeal colostrum administration in extremely premature infants: an RCT. *Pediatrics* 2015;135(2):e357–66.

118. Rodriguez NA, Vento M, Claud EC, et al. Oropharyngeal administration of mother's colostrum, health outcomes of premature infants: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials* 2015;16:453.
119. Dettenkofer M, Wenzler S, Amthor S, et al. Does disinfection of environmental surfaces influence nosocomial infection rates? A systematic review. *Am J Infect Control* 2004;32(2):84–9.
120. Donskey CJ. Does improving surface cleaning and disinfection reduce health care-associated infections? *Am J Infect Control* 2013;41(5 Suppl):S12–9.
121. Swan JT, Ashton CM, Bui LN, et al. Effect of chlorhexidine bathing every other day on prevention of hospital-acquired infections in the surgical ICU: a single-center, randomized controlled trial. *Crit Care Med* 2016;44(10):1822–32.
122. Cleminson J, McGuire W. Topical emollient for preventing infection in preterm infants. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;(1):CD001150.
123. Pabst RC, Starr KP, Qaiyumi S, et al. The effect of application of aquaphor on skin condition, fluid requirements, and bacterial colonization in very low birth weight infants. *J Perinatol* 1999;19(4):278–83.
124. Nopper AJ, Horii KA, Sookdeo-Drost S, et al. Topical ointment therapy benefits premature infants. *J Pediatr* 1996;128(5 Pt 1):660–9.
125. Mannelli I, Reigada R, Suarez I, et al. Functionalized surfaces with tailored wettability determine influenza a infectivity. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* 2016; 8(24):15058–66.