Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Title COMMUNICATION: FROM MOLECULES TO MARS

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/7zp9m0d5>

Author Calvin, Melvin

Publication Date 1962-08-01

UCRL-10424

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

Berkeley, California

 $\ddot{}$

UCRL 10424

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

Lawerence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

COMMUNICATION: FROM MOLECULES TO MARS

Melvin Calvin

August 1962

COMMUNICATION: FROM MOLECULES TO MARS*

Melvin Calvin

Department of Chemistry & Lawrence Radiation Laboratory** University of California, Berkeley 4, California

Abstract

August 23, 1962

An analysis is made of the energetic, molecular, macromolecular and organizational steps which appear to be essential for the development of a living cell from a nonliving origin. Accepting the current view of the primitive atmosphere of the earth, experimental demonstration for the formation of the fundamental molecules of living organisms (amino acids, fatty acids, purines and pyrimidines) under the influence of available energy sources (ultraviok light, **ionishg** radiation and electric discharge) is presented.

The combination of these small units and the polymers via the universal dehydration condensation reaction under the influence of py rophosphate or carbon- to-nitrogen multiple bonds is experimentally provided. These macromolecules are shown to assume specific configuratione resulting from intrinsic factors in their structure, and mechanisms for information transfer and energy transfer by virtue of **these** ordered structures are described. The aggregation of bifunctional molecules at interfaces to **give** ordered membranous structures is indicated as the possible source for the cell wall enclosures, thus completing the prebiotic phase.

UCRL 10424

Since this sequence of events on a molecular level may be expected to occur whenever and wherever the initial conditions arise, we are led to **e** xpect the appearance of life of this type elsewhere in the universe. Some of **the** evidence **that** is presently at hand regarding such extraterrestrial life is presented, and the promise of **more** definitive information, at least **about the** solar system, seems to be forthcoming.

Tobe published in the AIBS Bulletin

Ib Presented at meeting of American Institute of Biological Sciences, Corvallis, Oregon, August 28, 1962.

^{**} **The** preparation of this paper was sponsored by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

COMMUNICATION: FROM **MOLECULES** TO **MARS**

M elvin Calvin

Department of Chemistry and Lawrence Radiation Laboratory"* University of California, Berkeley 4, California

INTRODUCTION

Ever since man became conscious of himself it seems he has been concerned with wonder at his own nature. In fact, the degree to which he has left evidence of this concern is frequently taken as a measure of his progress toward hurnanhood. Very early in his speculations about his own nature, he recognized that he was a member of a large class of objects on the surface of the earth which were called "living" as distinguished from those which were not.

Very soon, as a corollary or extension of his concern for this own nature, he therefore became interested in the nature of life and living things themselves. In the course of hishistory, both ancient and modern, he produced an enormous variety of notions about both the nature of life and his relation to it. But it has only been in the last century since the dominant success of Darwinian thought that man has been in a position to begin to devise patterns of thought within the framework of what we

^{**} The preparation of this paper was sponsored by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commiesion.

call rational science today. In fact, it **hae only** been in the laet **two** or three decades. that both the biochemical and **bi:** phyeical, as well as chemical and physical and astronomical, knowledge **has** reached the level that has enabled him to escape the requirement for use of such terms as "fields", "gradients", and "eeeences" and replace them with the more severely and accurately defined terms of chemical reaction, transformations, molecules and their interactions, crystals, and molecular films, about whose nature we need not gue **8s.**

This expanded particular knowledge about the atomic and molecular constituents of which living things are constructed, together with an increased understanding of the way in which these molecules (used in the most general sense) interact with each other, that is, communicate with each other so as to cooperate in the production of what **we** now recognize at least as living organisms, has had two very interesting results, among others. The first of these has been to stimulate scientists to create hypothetical schemes leading irom the primeval nonliving earth to the **1-7** present day and, consequently, induce them to devise experimental **areas** in their laboratories **for** testing some of these echemes at various points at which they might be amenable to experimental laboratory $8-10$ The degree of success of a variety of these experiments has, in turn, modified the original constructs, and even led to new experiments, both in biology and in chemistry, parlicularly the latter.

 $-2-$

Up until now, dl our knowledge about tho nature of tho extraterrestrial universe has been baaed entiroly upon tho infonnrtioa that **ba** been carried to us by electromagnetic radiation (until recently only visible light, now we may add radiofrequency waves) from elsewhere. However, we are about to begin (or, in fact, have already begun) **an ex**ploration of the space beyond the reaches of the earth's atmosphere and will soon be exploring not only our own satellite, the Moon, but our **two** nearest planetary neighbors, Venus and Mars. Hers we will be able to get direct first-hand information not only about the nature of the materials of which these objects are constructed, but also about the possible exietence on their surface either of matter which we **woulg** be willing to call living, or of matter which might have ultimately given rise to living things, or be the residue of living things. This will add immeasurably not only to our intellectural horizons but directly to the knowledge of the nature of the terrestrial organisms as well. We are even beginning to discuss seriously and make a few small attempts at communications with extraterrestrial organisms **who** might have not only our minimal power of understanding but perhaps even powers iar beyond those which we **know.** ¹¹

It therefore seems appropriate to say a few words both about the present state of our knowledge of chemical evolution on the earth and the generation **of** molecular communitiee which give rise to terrestrial organisms, as well as what little we know of the existence of corresponding organiams eleewhere.

-1-

TERRESTRIAL CHEMICAL EVOLUTION

What Is a Living System?

In any discuseion of such a broad, all-encompassing subject **ao** this we are always faced with the problem of trying to define the materlal **eyelam** to which we are willing to attribute the adjective "living." Personally, I feel that this has a certain degree of subjective arbitrariness about it, since there are those who would be willing to allow the use of this term for systems which would not be acceptable to others. **I'hie** peculiar characteristic of the problem immediately allows us to recognize that the qualities, or properties, which we require of a material system are of the nature of a continuous aggregation in time along which no sharp line of demarcation need necessarily exist. Rather than try to define that particular concatenation of properties of a molecular system which might be acceptable to everyone as living, I would rather $\mathbf{recognize}$ the difficulty of satisfying everyone, and simply take two of the qualities which everyone will agree are certainly basic attributes of a living system and try to describe the sequence of events which might have produced them from nonliving origins.

These two processes which I think most, if not all of us, would agree upon as being perquisites of present-day living systems are (1) their ability to transfer and transform energy in a directed way and (2) their ability to remember how to do this, once having learned

-4-

it, and to transfer or communicate that information to another eystem like itself which it can construct. Here we have, then, two of the principal problems of the biologist trying to underetand a living organism **in** molecular terms. These are, restated: (1) The transfer and transformation of energy and (2) the communication and transformation of information. In **the** larger sense, I suppose, the **second** ability includes the first. The genetic information contains not only the directions for the construction of new organisms but for their function as well, and it is this function which is largely a matter of energy transfer and transformation.

Molecular Construction

In any case, the materials of which the living organism is constructed are known to us (or at least a good many of them are), and one of the characteristics of these materials is the essential requirement that they be constituted, at least in part, of polymeric molecules in order that the information storage and transfer Le possible. **i'he** energy transformation as well seems dependent upon such macromolecular, or pseudocrystalloidal, structures. Cur evolutionary problem can now be defined in molecular terms as the devising of processes which will not only give rise to the simple molecular structural components of living things and, in addition, to macromoleculas associated with them, but to their functional relationshipa, that is, energy transformation relationships, as well.

 $-5-$

The most common elements of the universe, namely, hydrogen, carbon, oxygen and nitrogen, are also the most common ones in terrestrial living organisms, and some relationship between these atoms, the biologically important molccules and the polymers derived from them is shown in Figure 1. The chemical bonds which **must** be created in order tomanufacture the simple molecules required for the construction of living organisms, such as amino acids, sugars, purine-pyrimidine bases, fatty and hydroxy acid, and the like, involve a wide variety of types (see Figure 1 and Figure **3).** These include C-H, **N-13,** 0-H, C-N, C-0, C- **N,** C: O, and perhaps other less widespread types. The step from the simple molecules to the polymers appears to be primarily of a single type, namely, the formation of either a C- O or C-N (and possibly a P- O) bond with a concomitant loss, or elimination, of a water molecule; we shall come back to this remarkably uniform reaction later.

The catalytic and energy transferring functions which today are so highly efficient were presumably evolved in the same molecules and by similar mechanism from the very primitive energy transferring and catalytic functions oi ha primeval molecules and **ions** presant in the formation of the earth **itself.** ¹²

 $-3 -$

The Time Scale and the Starting Material

We **mut** now turn to the question of the starting material that was available to us and the time available to achieve the transformations from that starting material into the forms **we** now recognize.

The time available since the formation of the earth seems to be something of the order of 4.5 to 5 billion years, and the logarithmic chart, with some bench marks on it, is shown in Figure 2. It is intereting to note that the period marked Chemical Evolution, presum ably Leginning with the formation of the earth in its present shape, and the period marked Organic Evolution, beginning with the appearance of molecular syetems which we would call alive, are shown to overlap in the region of 2 billion years ago, roughly halfway through the age of the earth. An interesting calculation can be made concerning the two stages of evolution which might be separated **ac such** a point. **The** earliest stage of Chemical Evolution leading up to the appearance of the first micellular organisms would thus occupy some 2. 5 billion years. The climb from the primitive unicellular organisms to the modern multicellular organisms required the remaining 2 billion years.

There is now more reason for this particular division than was originally at hand when it **was** made. It now appears that unicellular organisms resembling blue-green algae in form have been found in strata **13,14** whose **age** is not less than i **.7** billion years.

 $-8-$

MU-9665-A

Figure *2.* Time scale **for** total evolution.

 \sim 100 μ m and an arrangement of the

 \sim

An estimate of the complexity of the unicellular system might be made in terms of the number of molecules that have to be organized to produce one. Roughly this amounts to 10^{10} molecules for a cell of approximately one micro diameter. Noc all of these molecules **are** different, that is, some of these 10^{10} molecules would be made up of a much smaller number of different varieties. A similar calculation for the next transition from the unicellular level to the intelligent organism such **as** man gives a corresponding number of cells in the whole organism, again 10^{10} . So it is not surprising that the time required to organize 10^{10} molecules into a single cell and the time to organize 10^{10} cells into a full-fled ged man should have been roughly the same order of magnitude.

The evolution of highly efticient mechanism for the storage and transfer of information (and energy conversion) took place during the first phase of this evolutionary time sequence and was dependent upon the properties inherent in the macromolecules (nucleic acids, proteins) which appeared during that period. Similarly, the evolution of efficient mechanisme for the control and ordered'development of the information contained in the transferred molecules **was** based **upon** the propertiea of the information transferring system itself, and probably took place largely during the second period, giving rise to all the phenomena of growth and differentiation of multicellular organisms **as** we know them

toddy. This requires, of course, that such control mechanisms were alrea **ry** inherently present, even in the unicellular organisms.

While we have developed, in the past decade, a more or less consistent understanding of the nature of information transfer on a molecular basis, we are only just beginning to understand the way in which that information manifests itself in growth, development and differentiation of cells and tissues on a molecular basis. This seeme to be a problem of molecular communication of the nucleus of the cell (the information storage vault with its environment through the intermediary of the cytoplasmic constituents (see Figure 17). It is quite obvious that an understanding of the mechanism of this communication problem is of great importance to use for practical as well as philosophical reasons.

We now return to the question of the natureof the actual organic starting materials from which all this was derived. Perhaps the simplest way to begin will be to recognize that the primeval earth had accumulated in it, or on it, the very same organic materials which are widespread throughout the solar system and the galaxy as well, namely, the very simplest compounds of carbon, hydrogen, oxygen and nitrogen.^{15,16} It is presumed that these were largely dominated by hydrogen and, therefore, were generally in their most reduced forms. These materials are represented by the molecules in the top row of Figure 3 and the molecules with which we have to work are thus methane, ammonia, water, **and** a large amount of hydrogen, and perhaps some carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide.

 $-11-$

Figure 3. Primeval and primitive organic molecules.

Enerev Sources

In order for these molecules to undergo transformations, a source of **energy** must be provided, and here we have a wide variety to choose from. The sources of energy and their possible availabilities are shown 17 in **Table** 1. The principal source of energy that could be used by such

TABLE I-ENERGY AVAILABLE FOR SYNTHESIS OF ORGANIC **COMPOUNDS**

an aggregation of molecules seems to be light of wavelength of 2000 A or shorter. Radioactivity in the earth's crust, electric discharges in the atmosphere and cosmic radiation are secondary and tertiary sources of energy for *these* transfornations as well.

At this stage in our knowledge of the chemical transformations induced by such high energy radiations, including ultraviolet, **the** re does not appear to be any marked systematic difference between the products from one type of high energy radiation and those of another, at least **among** theas. And so we will call equally upon experiments which **have** been performed with all of these energy sources.

Initial Transformations

The earliest experiments designed to test the notions here introduced **were** done using a high energy alpha particle beam on the cyclotron and were reported in 1951.⁸ Here it was shown that carbon dioxide dissolved in water and irradiated in the presence of ferrous iron and hydrogen could produce a variety of reduced carbon compounds, including formaldehyde and formic acid.

Since then similar experiments have been performed with other energy sources with the same starting materials and demonstrating not only that particles but ultraviolet light¹⁸ and gamma rays¹⁹ can produce reduced carbon under these conditions. The next major step was taken when Miller introduced ammonia into these reaction systems, together with reduced carbon in the form of methane. Here the energy source was electric discharge, and Miller was able to demonstrate very clearly **Y,10** the appearance of amino acids as major products under such conditions. Ult**rav**iolet light has been used for a similar demonstration. 20

The presence of HCN was inferred from the appearance of antino acids in Miller's early experiments. Its quantitative demonstration was achieved in an electron bombardment of a similar mixture of methane, ammonia, hydrogen.and water. 21 The importance of HCN as an early product in these reactions arises from the recent demonstration of the formation of adenine when an ammoniacal solution of HCN is allowed to stand. **22'23** A variety of other biologically important simple molecules has since been demonstrated to arise in solutions of ammonium cvanide **24,25** under very mild conditions. Thus we see that the molecules of **the** primeval earth shown in **the** first row of Figure **3** can very readily be - and in fact have been $-$ - transformed into the primitive organic molecules **shown** in the second row, by any of the energy sources which we know to have been available.

Not **only** can we gsnerate important biological n~oieculeu, **such** as amino acids and **purine** and pyrimidine **bases,** from HCN and others of **these** simple molecules by base catalysis, but the sugare themselves **are** now very earily derivable, again by **base** catalysis, from he formaldehyde generated by the methods just described. It has long been known that the treatment of aqueous formaldehyde with lime or limestone will produce a mixture of carbohydrates which has bean given the name formose (fi**gure** 4). More recently, this reaction has been examined with modern analytical tools and the preference for certain sugars **and** sugar configurations has been demonstrated. 26.27 That such four-, five-, six-,

 $-15-$

MU-27655

and seven-carbon sugars may be generated directly **by** electron bombardment of the methane -ammonia-water -hydrogen system was shown **by** comparison of radioautographs of the products of such an irradiation using $C^{14}H_A$ with the products of lime treatment of formaldehyde is shown in Figure $5.$ ²¹ That a wide variety of other compounds are also formed under these conditions has been demonstrated and is spectacularly evident **in** a radioautograph of these products shown in Figure 6. Most of these discrete spots have **not** yet **been** identified. The analysis of such a mixture is given in Table 2. A distribution of products resulting from the sparking of methane-ammonia-water-hydrogen mixture taken from Millar²⁸ is shownin **Table** 3.

Experiment M22 "CH ₄ , NH ₃ , H ₂ O, PH ₃ (NH ₁ PO ₃) _x			
Acid fraction	\mathfrak{g}	45.5%	
Basic fraction	二	17.44%	separation on Dowex 1 and Dowex 50
Nonionic fraction	$=$	21.3%	
HCN	=	0.45% of total	
Adenine	$=$	0.203% of basic fraction	
	$=$	0.034% of total	
5-Aminoimidazole-carboxamide	$\frac{1}{2}$	0.105% of basic fraction	
	$=$	0.018% of total	
Lactic acid	$=$	2.21% of acid fraction	
	æ	0.99% of total	
The two unknown other dominating acids (not including lactic) account for 29% and 17.8%, respectively, of the acid fraction.			
Glycine	$=$	0.2% of basic fraction	
	$=$	0.03% of total	
a-Alanine	$=$	1.07% of basic fraction	
	$=$	0.18% of total	
Aspartic acid	$=$	0.2% of basic fraction	
	$=$	0.03% of total	
The two unknown (not urea or guanidine) dominating basic compounds (ninhydrin positive) account for 20.9% and 11.9% of the basic fraction.			

TA~IF 2- II)ESTIFICATION OF COMPOUNDS **FROM** IRRADIATION **EXPERIMENT FROM C¹⁴-LABELED METHANE, AMMONlA,** AND WATER

Figure 5. Neutral fraction of experiments M30 and M31 in comparison to "formose" from formaldehyde and CaO. Solvent: ethyl acetate-pyridine -water 10:3:3.

ZN-3217

Figure 6. Radioautograph of chromatogram of nonvolatile residue of experiment **M22.21**

Table 3. Yields in moles $(x10^5)$ from sparking a mixture of CH_A ,

NH₃, H₂O and H₂. 710 mg of carbon was added as CH_4 .

It is thus quite clear that any of these high energy radiation sources operating on the primeval molecules of the earth's surface can and do **produce** the simple organic materials, including many new carboncarbon bonds, from which **;he** polymeric materials may themselves ultimately be derived. 'These simple materials include amino **acids,** carbohydrates, purine-pyrimidine bases, fatty acida, hydroxy acids, alcohols and the like. It is from these, now, that we must begin to build both the **more** selective catalytic agents required for the more efiicient energy transformation as well as the macromolecules which help in this process and which are essential for information storage and communication.

The evolution of catalytic **syeteme,** such as the iron porphyrins, has **7** bean discussed in some detail eleewhercs. Suffice it to say that there **is** ample evidence for the gradual selection by autocatalytic mechanisms of increasingly more efficient catalytic eyetems. Notable among those is, of course, **the** ability of iron in various chemical combinations to act **as** a catalyat for a wide variety of redox reactions. **The** evolution of the iron porphyrins undoubtedly took place by an autocatalytic responsa to the **need** for **such** redox catzlyats, particularly **resulting** from the generation of hydrogen paroxids, and poseibl y oxygen, by the **high energy** radiation decomposition of water, and the escape of hydrogen.

Polymerization

One of the outstanding characteristics of living organisms has often **been** described as the high **degree** of organization which they show, and this particularly in *terms* of **the** specific macromolecule^ of which they are constructed. The formation of all of *these* macromolecules, specified in the **polymer column** of Figure 1, involves the **same** reaction, namely, the condensation of two simple molecules with the elimination of water between **them.** &'hen such molecules are polyfunctional, that is, **have** two functional **ends, they** can do this at both ends. It is clear that a polymer **results.** The essential feature of each of these reactions is ehown **in Figure 7.** The formation of lipid indicated on Figure 1 *but* not shown **on** Figure 7 is a simple esterification reaction involving the elimination

 $-21-$

POLYSACCHARIDES

NUCLNC ACIDS f3 **STAGES) RNA SHOWN** - **DNA LACKS OH ON** 2' **POS/T/ON**

Figure 7. Dehydration reactions leading to biopolymers.

of water between a fatty acid carboxyl and glycerol alcohol group. **We** have already seen how the monomeric materials required for the construction of these polymers may be formed.

In recent years it has been possible to demonstrate the direct nonenzymatic dehydration reaction in all of these systems to produce polymers. At first these reactions were carried out in nonaqueous media. but more recently they have been successful even in water, and it is the reagents which are capable of doing this which we must seek, since these are the very reactions which today are functioning in the living organism.

The principal agent for these dehydration reactions in the modern organism is the pyrophosphate linkage (at least this is the one we know today), generally in the form of adenosine triphosphate. The accumulation of polyphosphoric acid and its derivatives in simple organisms such as alga $\hat{\mathfrak{so}}^{(1),31}$ and veast²⁹/is also very suggestive. Some years ago Fox was able to demonstrate that the formation of polypeptides in a mixture of molten amino acids with excess glutamic acid was enhanced by the presence of phosphoric anhydride. $32, 33$ More recently, a still milder set of conditions was devised by Schramm, which was able to accomplish this dehydration, using a derivative of phosphoric anhydride made with dicthyl ether. 34 This reagent, whose structure is as yet not known, might very well be a di- or tetra-ethyl ester of tetrapolyphosphate derived from $P_{12}O_5$ (P_4O_{10}), as shown in Figure 8. Schramm has used this reagent

 $-23-$

 P_2O_5 (P_4O_{10})

" **POLYPHOSHATE ESTER"** (**First Stage)**

MU-27652

Figure 8. Formation and possible structure of "polyphosphate eter."

not only to make polypeptides from amino acids but to dehydrate simple monosaccharideti to produce polysaccharides **and,** finally, to induce a sequence of three dehydration reactions, shown in the bottom of Figure 7, leading from the purine base, into sugar, all the way to the polynucleotidse. As yet, the high polymers and the efficient reactiona have only been achieved in nonaqueous media such as dimethyl formamide.

Still another route to polymers in aqueous salution has recently **24** been demonstrated by Markham and his associates for polypeptides. Although the polymerization of HCN in an aqueous ammoniacal solution **ha8** long been known, it remained for the stimulus of questions such a8 the ones we have discussed to show not only the presence of purine and pyrimidine bases, **as** well as amino acids, in such a reaction mixture, but still more recently the demonstration of polypeptides as well. **23.24, ³⁵** That this was indeed a polymerization of preformed amino acids was demonstrated by adding labeled amino acids to the polymerization mixture and finding them in the polymers.

We can thus formulate still another dehydration mechanism involving the use of **HCN** as the dehydrating agent which would be analogous to the use of the carbodiimides for the same purpose (Figure 9). 36 It will be interesting to watch the development of our knowledge of modern biological systems to **see** if any such dehydration mechanisms as this are found among them.

 $-25-$

The primitive origin of these dehydrating agents is readily understood. We have already seen that HCN is one of the principal products of the interaction of high energy radiation with the primeval terrestri a1 atmosphere. It is also well known that any aqueous phosphate solution which is allowed to dry at temperatures approaching 100' C gives rise to the formation of a polyphosphate which itself is not extremely rapidly hydrolyzed upon redissolving. It is this resistance to immediate hydrolysis with water of both the pyrophosphate and the carbon-nitrogen multiple bond which gives rise to their specific ability to couple monomers with the absorption of water.

 $-26 -$

MU-27656

GENERATION OF ORDER AND NEW INFORMATION

Perhaps one of the most outstanding characteristics of ihe two biopolymers with which we are dealing, namely, the proteins and the nucleic acids, is the fact that once having been put together they have in their very structural relationships the intrinsic ability to arrange themselves in a highly ordered array. This arrangement is completely analogous to the crystallization of small molecules out of solution. However, in the case of the polymers it does not involve a precipitation from solution but simply an arrangement in a very highly ordered array of the long polymeric chain in which the individual units stand in a very specific and pseudocrystalline array with respect to each other. In the case of the polypeptide this array tends to be a helical one which is stabilized, at least in part, by the formation of hydrogen bonds between the amide hydrogen and the **amide** carbonyl several peptide residues removed. **³⁷** This structure is shown in Figure 10, and the demonstration that this structure is spontaneously formed may be made **in** a variety of **ways.** One such demonstration may be done with a synthetic polymer whose microstructure is completely known, namely, polyglutamic acid. Here it is easy to show that when the carboxyl groups at the ends of the side chains, represented in Figure 10 by **R1, R2,** ecc., **are all** ionized by adjusting the pH to something above 7, the electrostatic repulsion of these charged **ends** is sufficient to overcome the organizing force of the helix, and the

 $-28-$

MU-16147

Figure 10. Protein structure.

helix is destroyed. When the side chain carboxyls are neutralized, at pH's below 5, the helix can reform, and these two states are reflected in a variety of properties, among them the absorption spectrum (Figure 1 **1). 38** When the helix is formed. the paptide linkages interact with each other, giving rise to decrease of absorbance and splitting into two bands, which show very clearly in the curve below pH 5.

A similar molecular crystallization phenomenon occurs in the polynucleotides and may be demonstrated again by a wide variety of methods, of which I will here only use one, namely, the change in absorption spectrum when the polymer is ordered, as opposed to when it is disordered. Here the transition between order and disorder **is** also reversible and has been achieved by a change in temperatures (Figure 12). The curve at 99.4^oC shows the absorption primarily of the purinepyrimidine bases when there is relatively little interaction between them, as would be the case in the bases alone. When the temperature is lowered, the helical configuration is reformed, and the absorption decrease is shown by the absorption at 22.8° C. This decrease in absorption upon assuming the helical configuration, due to the interaction of the bases with each other lying in parallel planes, is known as hyperchromism and has recently been used as a measure of the degree of order in a particular sample. The polynucleotide system, however, has in it not only the tendency for intrinsic order in the form of the helix, but **because** of the nature of the bases and the geometry of their hydrogen bonds, intsr-

 $-30-$

Figure 11. Absorption spectrum of polyglutamic acid in both helical **and** random coil forms.

 $\label{eq:1.1} \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}) = \alpha_{\mathcal{A}}(\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}) = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$

Figure 12. Hyperchromism on nucleic acid.

action between them gives rise to a specificity in the pairing of the bases as shown in Figure 13 in which thymine pairs with adenine and cytosine pairs with guanine. This base pairing specificity, together with the interactions responsible for the helical structure, give rise to the principle of complemenrary base strands of nucleic acid upon which the whole structure of bioreplication is based. $39, 40$ Thus in Figure 14 the strand on the left induces the formation of the complementary strand, on the right, which, in turn, gives rise to the original strand. **This** type of molecular communication can thus account for the reproduction of molecules belonging to the same class, such as chromosome duplication involves. **This** influence of a particular **base** sequence on its own replication has long since been demonstrated in the requirement of the enzymatic synthesis of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA). 4^{1} for the presence of a primer DNA molecule which not only provides the information required for the synthesis of the new sequence but also catalyzes it.

A similar relationship has been demonstrated for ribonucleic acid (RNA) replication on a DNA template (messenger RNA). **4L,43** In fact, the catalytic and information control of the polymerization of bases to produce a polynucleotide has even been demonstrated in the nonenzymatic polymerization of uridine phosphate in the presence of polyadenylic acid; this is shown in F igure 15. **34** Here, again, I think it **ie** easy to **see** how primitive catalytic and information transfer systems on a molecular level may be evolved by a process

 $-33-$

Figure 13. Molecular drawing of components of DNA.

\n $\left\{\n \begin{array}{l}\n \text{Sugar} - T \quad \text{...H... A - sugar} \\ \text{Sugar} - G \quad \text{...H... C - sugar} \\ \text{Sugar} - G \quad \text{...H... C - sugar} \\ \text{Sugar} - G \quad \text{...H... T - sugar} \\ \text{Sugar} - A \quad \text{...H... T - sugar} \\ \text{Sugar} - C \quad \text{...H... G - sugar}\n \end{array}\n \right\}$ \n
--

MU-22814-A

Figure 14. Base pairing for DNA replication and RNA template formation.

\ **Schramm et al., 1962**

```
MU-27941
```
Figure 15. Polymerization of uridine monophosphate in the presence (A) and in the absence (B), of polyadenylic acid. (The decrease of free uridine monophosphate was measured chromatographically). Abcissa: Time (hours)

Ordinate: **70** free uridine monophosphate (referred to the amount of starting material).

of molecular selection, just as we have suggested its occurrence for the energy transfer catalytic systems.

The question of the relationship between the **linear** sequences **ai** bases in a nucleic acid and the linear sequenca of amino acids in **thz** protein, **as** shown in Figure **16,** is still with us. Ilowever, a great deal of progress has been made toward **rhe** elucidation of that molecular communication problem in recent months. $44,45,46$ A sequence of events as it now seems to take place is illustrated in Figure 17 by the reaction sequences from 1 to **1** I. The essential. recognition **fiiep** which involves the cross -over between tne two types of polymers is Step 6 in which the active amino acid is hung on one of the specific transfer RNA¹s, having a different and specific $-$ presumably three **base** -- sequence corresponding to aach amino acid, as shown in Group 8 of Figure 17. All the remaining communication steps involve base pairing of either DNA or RNA.

vv'e are only just now beginning to understand the mechanisms by which the nuclear DNA which gives rise to the messenger RNA (Step 2, RNA template, Figure 17) is controlled. This control mechanism is diagramatically represented in the upper left hand corner of Figure 17, according to the theories of Jacob and Monod. $47,48$ The essential feature here is the mechanism provided **for** the interaction of materials from outside the cell with the genetic apparatus in the nucleus via inducer or

 $-37-$

 $MU - 22814$

Figure 16. Structure of protein and nucleic acid.

 $MUB - 938 - A$

Figure 17.

co-repressor acting with the repressor substance which appears in the cytoplasm. Presumably it is through such mechanisms as this that the first and obvious external effects of what appear to be genetically controlled processes may be found. It may be that the thalidomide effect on the growth and differentiation of human embryos as well as the more longstanding problems such as drug addiction and the long term effects of insecticides will ultimately be understood in these terms.

The Next Level of Organization

Just as the ordered array observed in the structure of the polymer was dependent upon the monomers of which it is made and their arrangement, so the order in which polymers may themselves be organized is built right into the structure of the polymers. Here, however, we are on less clearly defined chemical and structural grounds and much more has yet to be done before we will understand its detailed mechanisms. However, that such is the case is amply demonstrable. For example, a solution of protein molecules made from collagen can be reprecipitated by simply adjusting the medium to produce large aggregates of these molecules easily visible in an electron microscope which have a structure indistinguishable from the original collagen fibrils (Figure 18). Similar "crystallization" phenomena may be observed with other shaped molecules on a somewhat higher level; for example, the crystallization of viruses, both of the rod and spherical type.

FILAMENTS OF COLLAGEN, a protein which is usually found acid. This electron micrograph, which enlarges the filaments 75.000
in long fibrils, were dispersed by placing them in dilute acetic times, was made by Jerome Gross o

times, was made by Jerome Gross of the Harvard Medical School.

FIBRILS OF COLLAGEN formed spontaneously out of filaments chloride was added to the dilute acetic acid. These long fibrils are such as those shown $a\ b\ o\ v$ when I per cent of sodium identical in appearance with those of

$ZN-3215$

Figure 18. Structure of collagen. Top: filaments of collagen, a protein which is usually found in long fibrils, were dispersed by placing them in dilute acetic acid. This electron micrograph, which enlarges the filaments 75,000 times, was made by J. Gross of the Harvard Medical School. Bottom: Fibrils of collagen formed spontaneously out of filaments such as those shown above when 1% of sodium chloride was added tothe dilute acetic acid. These long fibrils are identical in appearance with those of collagen before dispersion.

Something resembling this crystallization of spherical viruses appears to show in the visible structures which can be seen in **:he** plant chloroplasts (Figure 19). Here is a visible ordered array of particles, each about 200 A in diameter. Whether this structure can be reconstituted from the separated particles remaina yet to be demonstrated. The relationship between the energy converting apparatus which the plants use to transform light energy and the energy converting apparatus which both plants and animals **me** for converting chemical energy is clearly ehown in Figure 20 in which are shown both the chloroplasts and the mitochondria in a single plant cell. That the two structures are functionally related, as well as structurally related, seems clear. ⁵⁰ Both involve very similar electron transport systems, such as the cytochromes, flavins and quinones as well as their associated proteins. More recently still another molecule in this electron transport chain has been demonstrated in Clostridium bacteria by Carnahan and his *5* 1 associates which seems to be very clearly related, if not identical, to the photopyridine nucleoride reductase which has been isolated from chloroplasts by San 13ietrc. some **years** ago. 52 **This** latter molecule was, according to San Pietro, the one responsible **for** the transfer of the initially photoexcited electron to pyridine nucleotide, and its demonstration in nonphotosynthetic organisms associated with hydrogen evolurion and absorption is just another link in the chain recognizing the very close structural, functional and evolutionary relation between the nonphoto-

 $-42-$

 $ZN-3218$

Figure 19. Electron micrograph of "quantasomes" **53** from spinach chloroplasts.

Tobacco chloroplasts showing quantasomes in section Weier, 1962

ZN-3216

Figure 20. Electron micrograph of Elodea chloroplasts, showing mictochondria (Buvat).

synthetic and the photosynthetic organisms, **but** on the molecular level. A laboratory demonstration of the coupling of these two molscular systems (hydrogen and pyridine nucieotide reactions) buch as exists naturally in some organisms (Scenedesmus)⁵⁴ has been published recently by Arnon and co-workers. **5 ⁵**

I hus **we** have in our molecular evolution very nearly reeched the morphological and anatomical level with which evolutionary studieb have long been concerned. It is not difficult to see that the molecular selection processes which we have called upon to develop both the energy transfer and information transfer systems on the molecular level are completely analogous to the selection systems that have been used on the much higher level of structure, and, more recently of biochemical function to demonstrate the nature of the evolutionary process under the pressure of natural selection.

The Cell Membrane

We cannot very well terminate the discussion of chemical and biological evolution without saying a few words relating the aarlier processes to the development of the cellular structure itself. The principal requirement would seem to be **some** mechanism for the appearance of a cellular membrane to encase all the biological apparatus which we have discussed, and much more besides. For this we must call upon the same kinds of spontaneous self-ordering molecular systems that we **have** used for the energy and information transferring processes earlier.

However, the development of our knowledge of such membrane systems, both in physical-chemical terms as well as in biological terms, is much more rudimentary than we would like to have it.

It is, nevertheless, a lready possible to demonstrate the same kind of ordering processes that have occurred for theother two functions. **The** molecules involved here, however, are not water soluble materials but rather water insoluble materials, or molecules, which have only one segment water soluble, the other segment being hydrophobic and more likely to interact with a similar hydrophobic fragment than with water. Thie **type** of structural feature is typical of the biologically widespread lipids which we now know to be important in the structure of biological membranes.

It has long been known that such bifunctional molecules form membranous layers spontaneously at the water-air interface -- for example, fatty acids, soaps, and the like. That such materials may form double layer membranes between water and a lipid layer has also been established. I would like to introduce here an example of this spontaneous membrane, or double membrane, formation, a **case** with which I **happen** to **he per** sonally interested, namely, the case of chlorophyll itself. Here the hydrophilic porphyrin head is attached to a hydrophobic, or lipophilic, phytol tail, and the two together **have** the essential element of structure which we mentioned earlier. In fact, if they are spread out either **at** a water-air interface or a water -oil interface they spontaneously take up an ordered array which might be thought of as a two-dimensional crystal

lattice in the **same** sense that the protein or nucleic acid helix might be called a one-dimensional crystal. 'This **was** demonstrated by the change in absorption spectrum shown in Figure 21. 56 The molecule in a solvent has a noticeably different spectrum from the molacde formed in **a** layer at a water-air interface or a water-oil interface. It is interesting to nore that the spectrum of these chlorophyll layers at either a water-air or water-oil interface is very nearly the same as the spectrum of chlorophyll in the quantasomes and lamellae shown in Figures 19 and 20, suggesting that here the chlorophyll is similarly **arrayed.**

Still more recently a much more complex membrane Etructure **has** been reconaituted from lipids of biological origin and shown not only to have a *60* A double layered structure but **to** have alectric and selective **57** functionality **as** well. I think that work of this sort will eventually demonstrate unequivocally that the membranc stracture results from the characteristics of the molecules of which the membrane is constituted.

i'ie musr leave **3;** this poin; our discussion of chemical and biological evolution on che surface of the **earth,** having arrived at the enclosing of the **ane** rgy transforming and information communicating apparatus within a cell wall. We have, it would appear, generated the essential elements for che developmaat oi multicallular organisms **as** well, and the sequence of events beginning not much iurther beyond this is actually recorded in the fossil record.

 $-47-$

Figure 21. Film spectra of chlorophyll at water-air interface, and solution spectrum.

EXTRATERRESTRIAL LIFE

It appears to me that the information we now have available regarding the nature of molecular evolution which leads up to something which ia unequivocally acceptable todl of us as a living organism is such as to impress one with the notion that such a sequence, at least in its earlier stages, results from the concatenation of molecules and energy sources, temperature and environment with it8 variation, which **the** primitive earth provided, and it is not a unique accident. If this be the case, we can surmise that given a corresponding set of molecules, temperature **and** environment anywhere dse in the universe, a similar sequence of events might **have** been expected to **occur. 58** This does not imply that the multicellular end-products that we know today would be identical elsewhere, since the evolutinnary process on the surface of the earth is far from having tried all the possible multiceLlular combinations imaginable. The time has simply not been available for this at the rate it can be done. This is not true for the simple atomic and molecular combinations of the earlier phases, the exploration of which took place at a much higher rate. However, the general pattern of transformation in the early phases would be similar, and in the later phases analogous, to those taking place on the earth, and we **have** only to answer the question: Are there similar environments elsewhere $?$

 $-49-$

Life Zlsewhere in the Solar System

'There are iwo kinds of direct information that we have today about such possibilities in our own solar system. **These** come to us in the form of electromagnetic -radiations, that is, light, both visible, infrared and possibly radio waves, and in the form of the meteorites which we presume have their origin in the asteroid belt between Mars and Jupiter.

The information that is available to us from our direct observations with visible and infrared light seems to suggest quite unequivocally that the Moon, having no: atmosphere, is not likely to have on it living organisms of the type we know. This is not to say that the Moon has not acted as a cold storage repository of both molecules and fragments which may have been biological, which it has captured in its long sweep through space **accompanying the earth.** $\begin{array}{c} 59,60 \\ 5$ Such materials captured by the earth it**self** would have been long since metamorphosed by the life on it, but may very well be in their primitive condition on the surface of the Moon.

Our next nearest planetary neighbors, however, have somewhat better prospects. Venus in the smaller orbit near the sun is definitely warmer, but it does have **an** atmosphere and is very nearly the same size as the earth. Its atmosphere seems to be occupied, at least at its higher level, by a cloud impenetrable to visible light. **We,** therefore, cannot **see** the actual solid surface of the planet. I shall not try to review here the evidence for the nature of the Cytherean atmosphere. A perusal of *6* 1 various reviews on the subject leaves the impression that we do not really know what the quality of that atmosphere is in an unequivocal fashion and we are thus not severely limited in our conclusions.

 $-50-$

The optical data that are available to us about the surface of Mars **are** somawhat more extensive. Here it has been long since recognized that there is a seasonal variation in the visible color of various parts of the suriace of the planet. There is a polar white cap which advances and recedes with **the** season, and with it there are changes at lower latitudes involving advance and recession of a dark area approximately bordering the polar white cap and a light area beyond it. **l'he** dark area is often called grean and the light area red, although this is more a subjective phenomena that a spectroscopic one.

Recently the astronomer Sinton has examined the light and dark areas with the infrared spectromeier on the 200-inch telescope, and **has seen** a larger absorption in the **3. 3** u region in the dark area of the planet than in the light area. 62 lhis he has interpreted to mean that the dark area does indeed contain C-H linkages, since the 3.5 u region i.e., near 3000 cm^{-1} , corresponds approximately to C-H absorption. If this is indeed true and if the intensity of these $C-H$ components of rhe infrared absorption (reflection) do indeed vary with the seasons **as** do the visible changes, then Sinton's observations might very well be the best evidence we have for the existence of organic life as we know it on 6 **3** the surface of Mars. Salisbury **has** even gone so **far** as to sugges: it;. most probable nature to be a broad leafed plant. However, we are very limited in our ability to get such information from the earth's surface. The resolving power of the telescopes with respect to the geography' of M_A rs is limited when the light has to go through an infrared spectrometer as wall.

 $-51-$

'The identification of the IR binds with **C-H** frequencies leaves much to be desired, and the identification **of** the polar white cap as a snow cap has also been recently called into question. It has been suggested that the white cap is not frozen water at all but may very well be frozen **6 4 N204** instead. Casting even more uncertainty is the fact that some of the 3.5 u abeorption seems to correspond fairly closely with one of the **⁶**5 **NOZ** abaorptione, but there is not enough of it. Further than this, if there were a large amount of NO in the atmosphere of Mars with a small amounts of NO₂, the blue-green (or dark) color might very well be due to the condensation of small amounts of N_2O_3 whose infrared spectrum is not yet precisely known. Beyond this, we have observed that a variety **of** inorganic carbonates give reflections in this same 3.5 **u** region. **6 6** We **are** thus left with a high degree of uncertainty about the possible existence of carbon-based life on Mars.

However, we need not remain in this ignorance for very long. Many of us will undoubtedly have unequivocal answers to the questions nearest celestial we have raised about all three of our *fineighbors* -- Mars, Venus and the Moon -- certainly **within** the next **decade and** possibly much sooner, in **view** of what **has** happened in the last year and particularly in the last month. We will certainly have orbiting telescopes which will not be hampered by the content and fluctuation of the earth's atmosphere, and **we** will thua be able to have a very close look at the optical propertiee, particularly of Mars, in regions which are today inaccessible to us.

 $-52-$

We can also expect very soon to know something of the possible existence of organic material captured on the surface of the Moon, and of its nature. **\be** will probably no^ have to wait for a man to land on the surface of the Moon to **know** the **answer** to rhis question, since it can be instrumented; it is being instrumented in our country and very likely elsewhere as well. Various types of detecting devices for organic matter and its character will be landed on the surface of the Moon, and will telemeter their findings back to us. Shortly after that we will undoubtedly have men either bringing us back pieces of the Moon or at least telling us of what it is made. A similar sequence of observations will take place with respect to Venus and Mars. However, the time scale will presumably be somewhat longer.

Meteorite Observations

In the meantime, **we have another source** of information about the construction of our neighbors in the solar system. If **we** accept the current apparent notion that the origin of the meteorites lies somewhere in our solar system (presumably in the asteroid belt), then a chemical examination of their constitution provides **us** with some information concerning what such bodies must be constructed of. Aside from their inorganic constituents, which are chemically not greatly different from terrestrial matter (althoug mineralogically and physically they are), a certain number of such meteorites contain organic matter. This has been known for over one hundred years. However, it is only in recent years that the examination of that organic material **has** been possible on the

 $-53-$

micro scale and with precision and detail that has allowed new conclusions to be drawn as to their origin.

Some years **ago** we examined the organic constituents of one recently fallen meteorite (Murray, 1950), and came to the conclusion that it not only contained hydrocarbons (at least up to C_{12}), which were purely incidental to our search, but also ultraviolet-absorbing material which resembled heterocyclic bases going into the construction of nucleic **67** If These we interpreted to be representing some of the organic compounds of the primitive solar system upon which chemical evolution operated.

More recently a more detailed examination of the nature of the hydrocarbons in meteorites has been made in the mass spectrometer. **68** These seem to have an alternation in hydrocarbon size as well as a dominance of the C_{21} fragments which suggested their origin in lipids and steroids. If indeed these materials come in with the meteorites from extraterrestrial sources and do not have their origin on the earth, that would be very good evidence for the existence of primitive organisms elsewhere.

A further, more spectacular conclusion has been drawn from a microecopic examination of a number of meteorites, particularly Orgueil and Mokoia. 69,70 Particles of various specific and apparently nonrandom shapes have been claimed as being the fossil remains **of** extraterrestrial microorganisms. 'This result has been disputed and **the** reeults variously interpreted. **We** have had a look at some of the ground

 $-54-$

up bits of Orgueil and have seen many more or less regular structures, one of which is shown in Figure *22.* **1** feel that we must reserve judgment **as** to the nature of these particles71 as wall **as** to their origin. 7 2

Perhaps in the next decade we will not have to depend upon these randpm and accidental messengers **from** outer space but will be **able** to go and collect samples, if not at will at least with some effort, and thus have a more likely chance to arrive at an unequivocal answer to this important question.

Life in Other Galactic Systems

On the scale of the entire universe we can explore the possibility of the existence of life only statistically. Accepting the current most popular theories of the origin of the stars and the planets around them in terms of a gravitationally condensing cloud of molecules $(H_2, CH_4,$ H_2O , NH_3) and dust (the heavier elements in various combinations), one canmake the statistical assertion that planetary systems are widespread throughout the universe. One can even go beyond this and asserr that there will be a finite probability of many oi these extraterrestrial systems containing earth-like planets in those essential aspects for the evolution of life, that is, temperature, size, and molecular environment. **7 ³**

Thus we are led to the conclusion that there must exisc elsewhere in the **universe** not one but many terrestrial type planets upon which, we therefore conclude, will have evolved living systems of a kind that **we** would recognize as such. Given the time scale of 5 to 10 billion years,

ZN-3233

Figure 22. Electron micrograph of ground up particles from Orgueil meteorite.

it is clear that some of these living communicies may have existed much longer than the one on the earth, and, therefore, one might expect that mu1 ticellular organisms having **had** more time may have progrer; **s** ed far beyond the systems that we know here. Of course, there will be many which have not existed as long, **as** well, but it is the former which intrigues our imagination more profoundly.

The kind of intelligence we can recognize as man has existed on the **a** surface of the earth for/very short period in these terms, and we therefore might expect that in terms of the ability to communicate, some of these other planetary systems may be inhabited by organisms far more skillful and knowledgeable chan we. This kind of reasoning has already **led** to an attempt to listen with our own newly acquired radiotelescopes for messages from such organisms. T4.11 for messages from such organisms. This has, as yet, been fruitless. Howeva; a bit of careful and controlled imagination as to how we might achieve such contact has led one of our radioastronomers⁷⁵ to invent a possible message which might have arrived from outside the solar system, and I give it to you for your own deciphering.

 $-57-$

An Example of a Message That Might be Received from Another Civilization in Space

 $1\ \ 1\ \ 1\ \ 1\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 1\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 1\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 1\ \ 1\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 1\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 1\ \ 0\ \ 1\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \ 0\ \$ 100000001100101111101011111100010011111001 $1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1$ 001000111100101111

> **Frank Drake National Radio Astronomy Observatory** Green **Dank, West** Virginia

REFERENCES

For reviews of the general theories of the origin of life on the earth, see:

- 1. A. I. 0 arin, " The Origin of Life, " 3rd Eng. ed. , Oliver & Boyd, London, England (1957). See also earlier edition (1935) edited by *S. Margulis*, Macmillan, New York.
- $2.$ Collection of essays on "The Origin of Life ", New Biology, NO. 16 (1954), Penguin Books, London, Zngland.
- A, I. Oparin, ed. , **"The** Origin of Life on the Earth", Pergamon $3.$ Press, London, England, 1960.
- $4.$ M. Florkin, ed., "Some Aspects of the Origin of Life", Yergamon Press, London, England, **1961.**
- H. Gaffron, The Origin of Life. The Graduate Journal, Vol. 4, 5. H. Gaffron, The Origin of Life. The Graduate Journal, Vol.
82 (1961). Also, The Origin of Life, Perspectives in Biol.
Med. 3, 163 (1960).
S. W. Fox, How Did Life Begin? Science, 132, 200 (1960). 82 (1961). Also, The Origin of Life, Perspectives in Biol. & Med. 3, 163 (1960).
- 6.
- $7.$ Melvin Calvin, "Chemical Evolution, University of Oregon Press, Eugene, 1961.

Some refrences to the earlier laboratory experimentation in this field are 8-10:

- 8. W. M. Garrison, D. **C.** Morrison, J. G. Hamilton, A. **A.** Benson w. M. Garrison, D. C. Morrison, J. G. Hammon, A. A. Denson
and M. Calvin, Reduction of Carbon Dioxide by Ionizing Radiation.
Science, <u>114</u>, 416 (1951).
- 9. S. L. Miller, Production of Some Organic Compounds under Possible Primitive Earth Conditione. **J.** Am. Chem. Soc. - **77#** 2351 (1955).
- 10. S. L. Miller and H. C. Urey, Organic Compound Synthesis on the Primitive Earth. Science, 130, 245 (1959).
- Su-Shu Huang, Problems of Transmission in Interstellar Commun-11. ications, manuscript in press, 1962.
- M. Calvin, Chemical Evolution and the Origin of Life. American 12. Scinntist, 44, 248 (1956).
- A. S. Tyler, E. S Barghoorn and L. P. Barrett, Anthracit Coal $13.$ from Precambrian Upper Huronian Black Shale of Iron River District Geol. Soc. Amer. Bull., 68, 1293 (1957).
- Private communication from E. S. Barghoorn at Woodring Confer-14. ence on 'Major Biologic Innovations and the Geologic Record", June 1961; reported by P. H. Abelson and P. E. Cloud, Jr., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 47, 1705 (1961).
- H. C. Urey, "The Planets", University of Chicago Press, 15. Chicago, Illinois, 1952.
- H. Holland, On the Chemical Evolution of the Earth's Atmosphere. $16.$ J. Geophys. Res., 29, 2536 (1961).
- 17. A. J. Swallow, "Radiation Chemistry of Organic Compounds", Pergamon Press, London, England, 1960, p. 244.
- 18. N. Getoff, Reduktion der Kohlensaure in wasseriger Losung unter Einwerkung von UV-Licht. Z. Naturforsch. 17b, 87 (1962).
- 19. a.N. Getoff, G. Scholes and J. Weiss, Reduction of Carbon Dioxide in Aqueous Solutions under the Influence of Radiation. Tetrahedron Letters, No. 18, 17 (1960).

b. N. Getoff, Synthese organischer Stoffe aus Kohlensaure in wasseriger Losung unter Einwerkung von Co⁶⁰ π -Strahlung. Int. J. Appl. Rad. & Isotopes, 13, 205 (1962).

- W. E Groth and H. v. Weyssenhoff, Photochemical Formation of $20.$ Organic Compounds from Mixtures of Simple Gases. Planet. Space Sci. 2, 79 (1960).
- C. Palm and M. Calvin, Primordial Organic Chemistry. I. $21.$ Compounds R sulting from Electron Irradiation of $C^{14}H_4$. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 84, 2115 (1962).
- J. Oro and S. S. Kamat, Amino Acid Synthesis from Hydrogen 22. Cyanide under Possible Primitive Earth Conditions. Nature, $190, 442$ (1961).
- J. Oro and A. P. Kimball, Synthesis of Purines under Possible $23.$ Primitive Earth Conditions. I. Synthesis of Adenine. Arch. Biochem. Biophys, 94, 217 (1961).
- $24.$ **C.** U. Lowe, M. W. Rees and R. Markham, Synthesis of Complex Organic Compounds from Simple Prscursore: Formation of Amino Acids, Amino Acid Polymers and Purines from NH₄CN. Manuscript, submitted to Nature, Aug. 1962.
- J. Oro, Studies in Experimental Organic Cosmochemistry. $25.$ Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci., in press.
- hyde into Carbohydrates. Ann., **635, 145 (1960).**
Naturally Occurring Photosyntheses. IV. Conver
hyde into Carbohydrates. Ann., **635**, 145 (1960). 26. R. Mayer and L. Jaschke, Model Experiments Contributing to Naturally Occurring Photosyntheses. IV. Conversion of Formalde-
- hy de into Carbohy drates. Ann., 635, 145 (1960).
E. Pfeil and H. Muckert, Formation of Sugars fr
by Action of Alkalis. Ann., 641, 121 (1961). 27. E. Pfeil and H. Muckert, Formation of Sugars from Formaldehyde
- 28. N. H. Horowitz and S. L. Miller, Modern Concepts of the Origin of Life, in press, 1962. Fortschritte der Naturstoffe, L. Zechrneister, ed.
- 29. **J.** P. W iame, Occurrence and Physiological Behavior of **Two** Metaphosphate Fractions in Yeast. J. Biol. Chem. 178, 919 (1949).
- 30. M. Goodman, D. F. Bradley and M. Calvin. Phosphorue and Photosynthesis. I. Differences in the Light and Dark Incorporation of Radiophosphate. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75, 1962 (1953).
- $31.$ S. Miyachi, Inorganic Polyphosphate in Spinach Leaves. J. Biochem. (Japan), 50, 367 (1961).
- S. W. Fox, K. Harada and A. Vegotsky, Thermal Polymerization
of Amino Acids and a Theory of Biochemical Origin. Experientia.
15, 81 (1959). $32.$ of Amino Acids and a Theory of Biochemical Origin. Experientia,
- **5. \V** Fox and S. **Yuyama,** Abiotic Production of Primitive Protdn $33.$ and Formed Microparticles. Ann. N. Y Acad. Sci. in press.
- $34.$ G_n Schramm, H. Grotsch and W Pollmann, Non Enzymatic Synthesis of Polysaccharides, Nucleosides, Nucleic Acids and the Crigin of Self-Reproducing Systems. Angew. Chem. Inc. Ed. $1, 1 (1962).$
- $35.$ J. Oro, Synthesis of Adenine from Ammonium Cyanide. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 2, 407 (1960).
- J. Oro, Synthesis of Adenine from
Biophys. Res. Comm. 2, 407 (19
4. G. Khorana, "Some Recent Dephosphate Esters of Biological In
York, N.Y., 1962, p. H. G. Khorana, ¹¹Some Recent Developments in the Chemistry of $36.$ Phosphate Esters of B~ological hicrest", John ,viley k Sons, **Kew**
- 37. L. Pauling, R. **3.** Corey and G. Eranson, The Structure of Proteins: Two Hydrogen-Eonded Helical Configurations of the Polypeptide Chain. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 37, 205 (1961).
- **38.** I. Tinoco, Jr., A. Halpern and \ni . T. Simpson, The Relation between Conformation and Light Absorption in Polypeptides and Proteins, in "Polyamino Acids, Polypeptides and Proteins", ed. by M. A. Stahrnann, University of Wisconsin **Press,** Madison, 1962.
- 39. J. D. **Watson** and F. I-I. C. Crick, General hplications of the Structure of DNA. Nature, 171, 964 (1953).
- 40. J. Marmur **and** D. Lowe, Strand Separation and Specific Recombination in DNA: Biological Studies. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 46, 453 (1960). P. Doty, J. Marmur, J. Eigner and C. Schildkraut, Strand Separation a~d Specific Recombination in **DXA:** Physical-Chemical Studies. Froc. Nat. Acad. Sci. - 46, **461** (1960).
- 41. A. Kornberg, et al., *Enzymatic Synthesis of Deoxyribonucleic* 453 (1960). P. Doty, J. Marmur, J. Eigner and C. Schildkrau
Strand Separation and Specific Recombination in DNA: Physica
Chemical Studies. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 46, 461 (1960).
A. Kornberg, et al., Enzymatic Synthesis of D A. Kornberg, et al., Enzymatic Synthesis of Deoxyribonucle
Acid. I. J. Biol. Chem., 233, 163 (1958). II, J. Biol. Che
233, 171 (1958)l III, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 44, 633 (1958);
IV, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 44, 641 (1958).
- 42. S. Ochoa, D. **P.** Burma, H. Xroges and J. *3.* Weill, DNA Dependent Incorporation of Nucleotides from Nucleoside Triphos-S. Ochoa, D. P. Burma, H. Kroger and J. D. Weill, DN
Dependent Incorporation of Nucleotides from Nucleoside I
phates into RNA. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 47, 670 (1961).
- 43. S. Brenner, F. Jacob and M. Meselson, An Unstable Intermediate Carrying I_n formation from Genes to Ribosomes for Protein Synphates into KNA, Froc. Nat. Ac.
S. Brenner, F. Jacob and M. Me
Carrying I_n formation from Genes
thesis. Nature, 190, 576 (1961).
- 44. P. Lengyel, *3.* F. Speyer and S. **Ochoa,** Synthetic Poljrnucleoiideo and the Amino Acid Code. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 47, 1936 (1961).
 And the Amino Acid Code. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 47, 1936 (1961).
- 45. **F.H.C.** Crick, L. Barnett, *S.* Brenner and R. J. Watts-Tobin, P. Lengyel, J. P. Speyer and S. Ochoa, Synthetic Polynucleotide.
and the Amino Acid Code. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 47, 1936 (1961).
F.H.C. Crick, L. Barnett, S. Brenner and R. J. Watts-Tobin,
General Nature of the Genetic Cod 1227 (1961).
- 46. J. H. Matthae and M. W. Nirenberg, Characteristics and Sta-J. H. Matthae and M. W. Nirenberg, Characteristics and S
bilization of DNA-SE Sensitive Protein Synthesis in E. coli
Extracts. Proc. Nat. *Acad. Sci.* 47, 1580 (1961).
- 47. F Jacob and J. Monod, On the Regulation of Gene Activity. Shiracis: 1100: 1121: 11323: 1301. 111, 1000 (1990).
F Jacob and J. Monod, On the Regulation of Gene Act
Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant Biel. 26, 193 (1961).
- $48.$ J. Monod and F. Jacob, Teleonomic Mechanisms in Cellular Metabolism, Growth and Differentiation. Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol. 26, 389 (1961).
- 49. R. B. Park and N. G. Pon, Correlation of Structure with Function for Spinaces oleracea Chloroplasts. J. Mol. Biol. 3, 1 (1961).
- 50. Melvin Calvin, Evolution of Photosynthetic Mechanisms. Perspectives in Biol. & Med., $5, \frac{1}{14}$ (1962).
- $51.$ L. E. Mortenson, R. C. Valentine and J. E. Carnaham, An Electron Transport Factor for Clostridium pasteurianum. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 7, 448 (1962).
- $52.$ A. San Pietro, Photochemical Reduction of Triphosphopyridine Nucleotide by Illuminated Chloroplasts, in WLight and Life", ed. by W. D. McElroy and B. Glass, Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore, Md., 1961, p. 631.
- $53.$ Melvin Calvin, The Path of Carbon in Photosynthesis, Science, 135, 879 (1962).
- 54. H. Gaffron , On Dating Stages in Photochemical Evolution, in "Horizons in Biochemistry", ed. by M. Kasha & B. Pullman, Academic Press, New York, N.Y., 1962.
- 55. D. I. Arnon, Nature, August 1962.
- 56. H. J. Trurnit and G. Colmano, Chloroplast Studies. I. Absorption Spectra of Chlorophyll Monolayers at Liquid Interfaces. Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 31, 434 (1959).
- P. Mueller, D. O. Rudin, H. T. Tien and W. C. Wescott, $57.$ Reconstitution of Cell Membrane Structure in vitro and Its Transformation into an Excitable System. Nature, 194, 979 (1962).
- Melvin Calvin, Round Trip from Space. Evolution, 13, 362 (1959). 58.
- Carl Sagan, Indigenous Organic Matter on the Moon. Proc. Nat. 59. Acad. Sci. 46, 393 (1960).
- 60. Edward Anders. The Moon as a Collector of Biological Material. Science, 133, 1115 (1961).
- Carl Sagan, Origin and Planetary Distribution of Life. Radiation 61. Research, 15, 174 (1961).
- W. M. Sinton, Further Evidence of Vegetation on Mars. $62.$ Science, 130, 1234 (1959).
- 63. J. W. Salisbury, Martian Biology. Science, 136, 17 (1962).
- 64. C. C. Kiess, S. Karrer and H. K. Kiess, A New Interpretation of Martian Phénomena. Pubs. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 72, 256 (1960).
- 65. W. M. Sinton An Upper Limit to the Concentration of NO_2 and N_2O_4 in the Martian Atmosphere. Pubs. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 73, 125 (1961).
- 66. Rea and Belsky, unpublished observations in this laboratory.
- 67. S. K. Vaughn and M. Calvin, Extraterrestrial Life: Some Organic Constituents of Meteorites and Their Significance for Possible Extraterrestrial Biological Evolution. "Space Research", ed. by H. Kallman, Vol. 1, 1171 (1961), North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam.
- 68. B. Nagy, W. G. Meinschein and D. J. Hennessy, Mass Spectroscopic Analyses of the Orgueil Meteorite: Evidence for Biogenic Hydrocarbons. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 93, 25 (1961).
- B Nagy, G. Claus and D. J. Hennessy, Organic Particles Em-69. bedded in Minerals in the Orgueil and Ivuna Carbonaceous Chondrites. Nature, 193, 1130 (1962).
- M. H. Briggs and G. B. Kitto, Complex Organic Micro-Structures 70. in the Mokoia Meteorite. Nature, 193, 1127 (1962).
- F. Fitch, H. P. Schwarcz and E. Anders, 'Organized Elements" 71. in Carbonaceous Chondrites. Nature, 193, 1124 (1962).
- 72. H. C. Urey, Origin of Life-like Forms on Carbonaceous Chondrites. Nature, 193, 1119 (1962).
- 73. Harlow Shapley, "Of Stars and Men", Beacon Press, Boston, 1958.
- 74. F. D. Drake, Project Ozma. Sky & Telescope, 19, No. 3 (1960).
- 75. F. D. Drake, Periodic Communications of the Order of the Dolphins, 1962.

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- **A.** Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in his report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.