

UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Measuring Creativity - Workshop Proposal

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/80c6p8hw>

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 41(0)

Author

Oltet,eanu, Ana-Maria

Publication Date

2019

Peer reviewed

Measuring Creativity - Workshop Proposal

Ana-Maria Oltețeanu (ana-maria.olteteanu@fu-berlin.de)

Human-Centered Computing, Freie Universität Berlin,
Germany

Keywords: creative cognition; creativity psychometrics; creative problem solving; computational modelling; computational creativity; creativity metrics and methods; intelligent systems; creativity assistive systems

Workshop Proposal

Various methods exist for measuring creativity, most of them in the form of creativity tests, like the Remote Associates Test [Mednick, 1962], the Alternative Uses Test [Guilford, 1956], TTCT [Kim, 2006], the Wallach-Kogan tests [Wallach and Kogan, 1965], insight problems [Maier, 1931, Duncker, 1945, Cunningham et al., 2009], etc.

However, the feasibility and dependability of various types of psychometric assessment and administration of measures, as pertaining to various creativity tasks, have recently been questioned and enriched [Beisemann et al., 2018, Hass, 2015, Hass et al., 2018, Hass and Beaty, 2018, Wilken et al., 2018]. The thought and work on the measurement of creativity are witnessing a new revival.

Recently, new methods of computationally creating stimuli for greater measurement accuracy have been developed [Oltețeanu et al., 2017, Oltețeanu, 2016, Oltețeanu and Yoopoo, 2017], inspired by artificial cognitive systems that solve creativity tests [Oltețeanu et al., 2018]. Such computational psychometrics methods have already shown to provide designs with greater control [Oltețeanu and Schultheis, 2017] and the computational resurrection of tests which were initially proposed theoretically [Oltețeanu et al., 2018].

This workshop will focus on building a red thread of discussion on the current state of creativity psychometrics, integrating topics on existing classic and novel, manual and computational methods of testing and measuring creativity. The following questions will be addressed:

- (i) What creativity measuring methods exist and what are their strengths and weaknesses?
- (ii) Which creativity factors are measured by the existing creativity methods? Is there an overlap of measuring methods for different factors? Are they factors for which no methods exist or current methods are not yet up to the task?
- (iii) What is the suitability of existing current methods for empirical testing versus computational modelling?
- (iv) How can comparability be ensured across creativity test item sets?
- (v) What creativity metrics and methods can be used in evaluating the computational modeling of creativity?
- (vi) What is the impact of artificial cognitive systems and their evaluation on creativity metrics? Of computational creativity systems and their evaluation?
- (vii) What are the new computational and automatized measures of creativity, and what is their role in the ecosystem of measures?
- (viii) Subjective and objective measures in creativity.

Workshop Duration and Organization

We propose a half a day workshop for the presentation, discussion and elaboration of creativity measuring methods. The workshop will involve three elements:

- (i) Three invited speakers from different backgrounds (Cognitive Psychology, Cognitive Neuroscience, Cognitive Systems - Computer Science) will present existing creativity measuring methods (details below).
- (ii) Short presentations of papers and posters will be accepted on the topic.
- (iii) The workshop will end with a panel discussion, focused on establishing future directions for methods and systems aimed at supporting creativity and problem solving.

Publication: The papers submitted for this workshop will be published as a CEUR-WS volume. If enough high quality papers are received, a Special Issue will be proposed by the organizer to the *Cognitive Systems Research* journal, or a topic proposal will be made to TopiCS in Cognitive Science.

Topics for this workshop will be centered around, but not limited to:

- Creative cognition
- Creativity measures and Tests
- Psychometrics for Creative Cognition
- Computational methods for measuring creative cognition
- Computational modelling
- Artificial creative cognitive systems
- Creative problem solving
- Computational Creativity
- Evaluation of natural and computational cognitive systems
- Associativity and Conceptual Spaces
- Semantic networks and semantic graphs
- Ill structured problem solving and Structured representations
- Knowledge discovery
- Creativity modeling approaches and their relation to evaluation, including Case based reasoning, Neural networks, Evolutionary algorithms
- Analogy and Metaphor
- Creative assistive systems

Speakers

- **Richard Hass** – Thomas Jefferson University, US. Talk topic: *Improving Measures on Creative Object Uses*. Background: Cognitive Psychology.
- **Evangelia Chryssikou** – Drexel University, US. Talk topic: *A standardized test for creativity based on the Alternative Uses Task*. Background: Cognitive Neuroscience.

- **Ana-Maria Oltețeanu** – Head of Cognitive Systems, Freie Universität Berlin– Talk topic: *Computational Measures of Creativity*. Background: Cognitive Systems – Computer Science.

Organizer - Short biography

Ana-Maria Oltețeanu is the Principal Investigator of the „Creative problem solving in cognitive systems” (CreaCogs) project funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) at the Freie Universität Berlin, Germany.

Ana-Maria has a cross-disciplinary background: she holds a PhD in Musicology (2011) and a *summa cum laude* Doctorate in Cognitive Systems and Artificial Intelligence (2016). Her thesis got nominated for the EurAI Dissertation Prize, and won the OLB 1st Prize for the best Doctoral Dissertation in Science in NW Germany in the last two years (2017).

Ana-Maria authored more than 30 papers on the topic of creative problem solving, of which five journal articles focus on developing artificial cognitive systems and computational measures for creativity psychometrics. Her book *Cogs in the Creative Machine* will be published by Springer in June 2019. Ana-Maria has reviewed more than 40 papers for over 20 international conferences and journals, and gave over 20 conference and invited talks on creative cognitive systems. Dr. Dr. Oltețeanu has been a program committee member of 15 workshops and conferences in the field. She organized and chaired 4 Symposia/Workshops/conference tracks, and is the editor of four volumes and special issues on creativity related topics. Together with Sebastien Helie, Ana-Maria will write the chapter on *Computational Models of Creativity* in the upcoming edition of *The Cambridge Handbook of Computational Cognitive Sciences*. Ana-Maria’s interests are related to natural and artificial cognitive systems, creative problem solving, cognitive modeling, computational psychometrics, knowledge discovery and spatial reasoning.

Recent Organizing and Editorial Experience

2018 - 2021 – Editorial Board member, Cognitive Systems Research Journal.

2018 – Organizer and Chair of the workshop *Computational Methods and Systems for the Cognitive Modelling and Support of Creativity and Creative Problem Solving*, at the Cognitive Science Conference in Madison, Wisconsin, 2018 (over 50 participants)

2018-2019 – Topic Editor for *Frontiers in Psychology-Cognitive Science* and *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Robotics*, for the Topic *Creativity from Multiple Cognitive Science Perspectives* (with Bipin Indurkha).

2018-2019 – Guest Associate Editor for *Frontiers in Psychology-Cognitive Science* and *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Robotics*, for the Topic *Creativity from Multiple Cognitive Science Perspectives* (with Bipin Indurkha).

2017-2018 – Guest editor of the Cognitive Systems Research journal, for the special issue on *Problem-solving, Creativity and Spatial Reasoning in Cognitive Systems* (with Zoe Falomir).

2017 – Editor of the *Proceedings of the 2nd Symposium on Problem-solving, Creativity and Spatial Reasoning in Cognitive Systems*, CEUR-Ws vol. 1869 (with Zoe Falomir).

2017 – Co-organized the *ProSocrates - Problem solving, creativity and spatial reasoning in cognitive systems* Symposium, at the Hanse Wissenschafts-Kolleg, Delmenhorst, Germany.

2016 – Co-organized the *ProSocrates - Problem solving, creativity and spatial reasoning in cognitive systems* Symposium, at the

German Cognitive Science Society conference - Space for Cognition, Bremen (Germany).

References

- Beisemann, M., Forthmann, B., Christian Bürkner, P., and Holling, H. (2018). Psychometric evaluation of an alternate scoring for the remote associates test. *The Journal of creative behavior*.
- Cunningham, J. B., MacGregor, J. N., Gibb, J., and Haar, J. (2009). Categories of insight and their correlates: An exploration of relationships among classic-type insight problems, rebus puzzles, remote associates and esoteric analogies. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, 43(4):262–280.
- Duncker, K. (1945). On problem solving. *Psychological Monographs*, 58(5, Whole No.270).
- Guilford, J. P. (1956). The structure of intellect. *Psychological bulletin*, 53(4):267.
- Hass, R. (2015). Feasibility of online divergent thinking assessment. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 46.
- Hass, R. and Beaty, R. (2018). Use or consequences: Probing the cognitive difference between two measures of divergent thinking. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9:2327.
- Hass, R., Rivera, M., and Silvia, P. (2018). On the dependability and feasibility of layperson ratings of divergent thinking. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9.
- Kim, K. H. (2006). Can we trust creativity tests? A review of the Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking (TTCT). *Creativity Research Journal*, 18(1):3–14.
- Maier, N. R. (1931). Reasoning in humans. II. The solution of a problem and its appearance in consciousness. *Journal of Comparative Psychology*, 12(2):181.
- Mednick, S. (1962). The associative basis of the creative process. *Psychological review*, 69(3):220.
- Oltețeanu, A.-M. (2016). In *Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Creativity, Concept Invention, and General Intelligence (C3GI2016)*, volume 1767, Osnabrück. CEUR-Ws.
- Oltețeanu, A.-M., Schottner, M., and Schuberth, S. (2018). Computationally resurrecting the functional remote associates test using cognitive word associates and principles from a computational solver. *Knowledge-Based Systems*.
- Oltețeanu, A.-M. and Schultheis, H. (2017). What determines creative association? revealing two factors which separately influence the creative process when solving the remote associates test. *The Journal of Creative Behaviour*.
- Oltețeanu, A.-M., Schultheis, H., and Dyer, J. B. (2017). Computationally constructing a repository of compound Remote Associates Test items in American English with comRAT-G. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*.
- Oltețeanu, A.-M., Falomir, Z., and Freksa, C. (2018). Artificial cognitive systems that can answer human creativity tests: An approach and two case studies. *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems*, 10(2):469–475.
- Oltețeanu, A.-M. and Yoopoo, K. (2017). Towards computationally creating multi-answer queries for the remote associates test. In *Proceedings of the 5th International Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Cognition*, volume 2090, pages 34–40. CEUR-Ws.
- Wallach, M. A. and Kogan, N. (1965). *Modes of thinking in young children: A study of the creativity-intelligence distinction*. Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Wilken, A., Forthmann, B., and Holling, H. (2018). Instructions moderate the relationship between creative performance in figural divergent thinking and reasoning capacity. *The Journal of creative behavior*.

Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges the support of the German Science Foundation (DFG) for the organization of this workshop, via the grant Creative Cognitive Systems (CreaCogs – OL 518/1-1).