Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

SYNTHESES AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURES OF DECAMETHYLMANGANOCENES

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8146b0w4>

Author

Robbins, J.L.

Publication Date

1978-10-01

SYNTHESES AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURES
OF DECAMETHYLMANGANOCENES

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

MAR 13 1979

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

John L. Robbins, Norman M. Edelstein,
Stephen R. Cooper and James C. Smart

October 1978

Prepared for the U. S. Department of Energy
under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782*

LBL-8334 c. 2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

SYNTHESES AND ELECTRONIC STRUCTURES OF DECAMETHYLMANGANOCENES[†]

John L. Robbins,^{**} and Norman M. Edelstein

Materials and Molecular Research Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
Berkeley, California 94720

and

Stephen R. Cooper and James C. Smart[‡]

Department of Chemistry
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

October 1978

ABSTRACT

The syntheses of $[(C_5(CH_3)_5)_2Mn]PF_6$, $(C_5(CH_3)_5)_2Mn$, and $Na[(C_5(CH_3)_5)_2Mn]$ are described. Magnetic susceptibility, infrared, electrochemical, NMR, and reactivity studies suggest the formulation of these complexes as low spin 16-, 17-, and 18-electron planar metallocenes. EPR spectra of the neutral complex are consistent with the $^2E_{2g}$ configuration determined for other low spin 17-electron metallocenes.

[†] This work was partially supported by the Division of Nuclear Sciences, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

[‡] Also with Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720.

[‡] Present address: Solar Energy Research Institute, Golden, CO 80401.

INTRODUCTION

The unusual magnetic properties, structural parameters, and reactivity of manganocene $[(n-C_5H_5)_2Mn \text{ or } Cp_2Mn]$ and 1,1'-dimethylmanganocene $[(n-CH_3C_5H_4)_2Mn \text{ or } (MeCp)_2Mn]$ have been extensively studied for over 20 years. While all other transition metal metallocenes are low spin complexes, Cp_2Mn exhibits both high spin $^6A_{1g}[e_{2g}^2a_{1g}^1e_{1g}^2]$ and low spin $^2E_{2g}[e_{2g}^3a_{1g}^2]$ ground states depending on the environment.¹⁻³ In the gas phase and in toluene solution $(MeCp)_2Mn$ exists in thermal equilibrium between high spin $^6A_{1g}$ and low spin $^2E_{2g}$ electronic configurations with the latter predominating at lower temperatures.^{2,3} Rettig and coworkers have suggested that Cp_2Mn is close to the high spin to low spin crossover point and the addition of electron donating alkyl groups to the rings stabilizes the low spin configuration.² This view is supported by our recent observation that the fully methylated derivative, decamethylmanganocene $((n-(CH_3)_5C_5)_2Mn \text{ or } (Me_5Cp)_2Mn)$ possesses a rigorously low spin, doublet electronic configuration up to at least 313 K.⁴

Structural studies of manganocenes have demonstrated the dependence of the metal to ring carbon distances ($R(M-C)$) on the spin state of the molecules. Gas phase electron diffraction studies by Haaland and coworkers revealed $R(M-C)$'s of 2.383(3) and 2.433(8) Å for Cp_2Mn and high spin $(MeCp)_2Mn$, respectively.^{5,6} These $R(M-C)$'s are the longest of all transition metal metallocenes and are comparable to the distance found in the ionic Cp_2Mg (2.339(4) Å).⁷ The $R(M-C)$ found for

the low spin form of $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$, $2.144(12)\text{\AA}$,⁶ is nearly $.3\text{\AA}$ shorter than the distances found in the high spin manganocenes. The shorter ring to metal distance observed for the low spin species is consistent with ligand field arguments which predict a higher ring to metal bond order in the low spin manganocenes.^{6,8}

The structures of $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}$ and $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ were recently determined by x-ray crystallography.⁸ Both molecules are normal, planar metallocenes with the rings in the staggered configuration and the $R(\text{M}-\text{C})$ of the Mn derivative, $2.112(3)\text{\AA}$, is very close to Haaland's gas phase values for low spin $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$. In addition, the crystal structure of $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ revealed distortions from the ideal D_{5d} symmetry exhibited by the Fe derivative. These distortions, involving a variation in both the metal to ring carbon ($2.105(2)$ to $2.118(2)\text{\AA}$) and ring carbon to ring carbon ($1.409(2)$ to $1.434(2)\text{\AA}$) distances, suggested a static Jahn-Teller distortion resulting from an orbitally degenerate electronic configuration. In the present work, EPR studies of $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ are described which support the formulation of the orbitally degenerate $^2E_{2g}$ ground state.

Cp_2Mn and $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$ are among the most reactive of all metallocenes; they are pyrophoric, instantly hydrolyzed by water, and undergo ring exchange reactions characteristic of ionic cyclopentadienides.^{1,9,10} We noted earlier that while $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ is relatively inert with respect to ring loss, cyclic voltammetric results suggested the accessibility of both oxidized and reduced forms of the neutral complex.⁴

Previously we reported the chemical preparation and isolation of the novel decamethylmanganocene anion; we now describe the isolation of the decamethylmanganocene cation and the results of magnetic, electrochemical, and reactivity studies of the cation, anion, and parent neutral complex.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General

Reagent grade tetrahydrofuran (THF) was predried over CaH_2 . Hexane and THF were purified by distillation from sodium benzophenone ketyl and stored under nitrogen. Acetone was purified by distillation from anhydrous K_2CO_3 and stored under nitrogen. Spectroscopic grade acetonitrile was distilled from P_2O_5 and freeze-thaw degassed for optical and electrochemical studies. For EPR studies, spectroscopic grade toluene and methylcyclohexane were distilled from sodium and stored under nitrogen. 1,2,3,4,5-pentamethylcyclopentadiene¹¹ and decamethylferrocene¹² were prepared by literature procedures. All other chemicals were reagent grade and used without further purification.

Air sensitive solids were stored and manipulated in a Vacuum Atmospheres glove box equipped with a modified dry-train. Air-sensitive solutions and dry, deoxygenated solvents were transferred with 18-gauge stainless steel cannulae connected by polyethylene tubing (Clay-Adams, Intramedic, Fischer Scientific Co.). Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were carried out in dry, deoxygenated solvents under an inert atmosphere using standard Schlenk tube techniques. Solutions for

NMR, EPR, and optical studies were prepared and transferred to appropriate cells inside a glove box.

Infrared spectra were recorded with a Perkin Elmer 597 spectrophotometer which was calibrated with polystyrene. Samples were prepared as KBr pellets or mulls (Nujol or Kel-F) between CsI plates. Proton NMR spectra and magnetic susceptibility measurements by the Evans NMR method were recorded on a Varian T-60 spectrometer. Proton decoupled ^{13}C NMR spectra were obtained at 25 MHz in the pulsed Fourier transform mode with a Nicolet TT-23 spectrometer. All chemical shifts are reported in ppm(δ) with reference to tetramethylsilane. Optical spectra were recorded on a Varian Associates Cary-14 with a nitrogen-purged sample compartment.

Bulk magnetic susceptibility measurements were made on a PAR Model 155 vibrating sample magnetometer calibrated with $\text{HgCo}(\text{SCN})_4$ and equipped with a Janus Research Model 153 liquid helium dewar. Field strength was monitored with a George Associates rotating coil gaussmeter. Temperature was measured with a calibrated GaAs diode.

X-band EPR spectra of $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ in frozen toluene or methylcyclohexane solution ($\sim 0.1\text{M}$) or diluted in $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}$ at 10-15 K were obtained using a Varian E-12 spectrometer employing an Air Products Helitran cooling system mounted in the Varian room temperature cavity. The cavity frequency was measured with a Hewlett-Packard transfer oscillator and frequency counter, and the magnetic field with a proton NMR

gaussmeter. The spectra obtained have very broad lines for $g_{\perp}$ with linewidths on the order of 700G and 1200G for the toluene and methylcyclohexane glasses, respectively. The $g_{\parallel}$ linewidths were approximately 250G for the two samples. No spectra were observed at room temperature.

Cyclic voltammograms were recorded in the three-electrode configuration with a platinum disc working electrode, a platinum wire auxiliary electrode and a Ag/AgNO_3 (CH_3CN) reference electrode inside an inert atmosphere box. All potentials were referenced to the saturated calomel electrode (SCE) by measuring the ferrocene/ferricenium couple under identical conditions. Triangular waves were generated by the Princeton Applied Research (PAR) 175 Programmer in conjunction with the PAR 173 Potentiostat, and current-voltage curves were recorded on a Houston Omnigraphics 2000 x-y recorder. For controlled potential coulometry, a platinum basket working electrode was employed, and the current integrated with the PAR 179 Digital Coulometer.

Mass spectra were recorded on an AEI-MS 12 mass spectrometer equipped with a direct inlet system. Elemental analyses were performed by the Microanalytical Laboratory of the University of California, Berkeley. Melting points were determined on a Thomas-Hoover Unimelt apparatus and are uncorrected.

Preparation of Complexes

Bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)manganese(II) (Decamethylmanganocene).

1,2,3,4,5-pentamethylcyclopentadiene (2.05g, 15.1 mmol) in THF (150 mL) was cooled to -78°C (dry ice-ethanol) then treated with n-butyl lithium (6.3 mL, 2.4M in hexane, 15.1 mmol) added with a syringe. Upon warming to room temperature, white lithium pentamethylcyclopentadienide precipitated from a bright yellow solution. The stirred suspension was cooled to -78°C and anhydrous MnCl_2 (1.34g, 10.6 mmol) added against an N_2 counterstream. The mixture was slowly warmed to 40°C (ca 1 hour) then stirred an additional hour to produce a clear orange solution. Solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude orange-brown solid sublimed ($100^{\circ}\text{C}/10^{-5}$ torr) to yield the product as an air sensitive red-orange solid (1.87g, 76%). Crystallization from hexane gave orange prisms. Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{30}\text{Mn}$: C, 73.82; H, 9.29. Found: C, 73.96; H, 9.18. m.p. 292°C . ^1H NMR (60 MHz, C_6D_6) δ - 4.7(s), linewidth 200 Hz at half height; 320 K. Infrared (Nujol, Halocarbon Mulls): 2980 m, 2940 m, 2895 s, 2850 m, 2710 w, 1470 m, 1448 m, 1422 m, 1373 ms, 1355 w, 1065 m, 1023 s, 722 w, 588 w, 445 m, 361m cm^{-1} . Mass Spectrum (70 eV) [m/e (relative abundance)]: $(\text{P}+1)^+$, 326(8), P^+ , 325(38), 189(7), 137(12), 136(67), 135(27), 133(8), 122(11), 121(100), 120(11), 119(53), 117(7), 115(6), 108(10), 107(11), 106(11), 105(51), 103(8), 94(6), 93(28), 91(41), 83(5), 81(6), 79(6), 78(7), 77(22), 71(6), 69(7), 65(12), 57(11), 55(16), 53(13), 51(9).

Sodium bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)manganate(I).

Naphthalene (0.53g, 4.12 mmol) in THF (30mL) was stirred over freshly cut sodium (0.12g, 5.22 mmol) for 1 hour. The resulting solution of sodium naphthalide was added rapidly through a cannula to solid $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ (1.34 g, 4.12 mmol) producing a deep red solution. After stirring at room temperature for 15 minutes, solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting orange powder suspended in hexane (40 mL), filtered, washed with hexane, (2 x 20 mL) and dried in vacuo to yield $\text{Na}[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]$ as an orange pyrophoric powder (1.36g, 95%). Recrystallization from THF/hexane afforded bright orange needles which disintegrated to an orange powder upon drying. Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{30}\text{MnNa}$: C, 68.95; H, 8.68. Found: C, 68.19; H, 8.72. ^1H NMR (60 MHz, THF-d_8) δ - 1.87(s). ^1H ^{13}C NMR: (25 MHz, THF-d_8) δ - 8.54(s), 72.4(s). Infrared (Nujol mull, KBr pellet): 2950 s, 2860 s, 2730 m, 2710 w, 1450 s, 1400 m, 1380 s, 1165 w, 1067 w, 1030 s, 722 m, 580 w, 498 s, 389 m, 285 s, 250 m cm^{-1} .

Bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)manganese(III)hexafluorophosphate.

A mixture of $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ (1.41g, 4.3 mmol) and $[\text{Cp}_2\text{Fe}]\text{PF}_6$ (1.35g, 4.0 mmol) in acetone (50 mL) was stirred for 1 hour at room temperature to give a cherry red solution. After removal of solvent under reduced pressure, the product was suspended in hexane (20 mL), filtered and washed with additional hexane until washings were colorless (3 x 20 mL). Drying in vacuo yielded $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]\text{PF}_6$ as dark red microcrystals (1.6g, 85%).

Dark red prisms were obtained by recrystallization from acetone/hexane. $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]\text{PF}_6$ in acetone or acetonitrile solution is slowly hydrolyzed by water but the solid may be handled in air for short periods of time. Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{30}\text{MnPF}_6$: C, 51.07; H, 6.43; P, 6.58. Found: C, 51.21; H, 6.40; P, 6.39. ^1H NMR (60 MHz, $(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{CO}$) δ 3.13(s), linewidth = 18 Hz at 310K. Infrared (Nujol, Halocarbon mull): 2991 m, 2963 m, 2921 m, 1474 s, 1423 m, 1393 vs, 1069 m, 1022 s, 874 s, 840 vs, 722 w, 589 w, 540 vs, 505 m, 439 m, 230 w cm^{-1} .

Bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)iron(III)hexafluorophosphate.

In air, FeCl_3 (0.2g, 1.23 mmol) was added to a solution of $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}$ (0.52g, 1.61 mmol) in THF (20 mL) to give a blue-green solution of $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}]^+$. After stirring for 30 minutes at room temperature, solid NH_4PF_6 (0.5g, 3 mmol) was added and stirring continued for an additional 30 minutes. The resulting solid was filtered, washed with THF (2 x 10 mL) and H_2O (2 x 10 mL) then dried in vacuo to yield $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}]\text{PF}_6$ as air stable blue-green microcrystals (0.52g, 90% based on FeCl_3). Recrystallization from acetone gave blue-green prisms.

Anal. Calcd. for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{30}\text{FePF}_6$: C, 50.97; H, 6.42. Found: C, 51.12; H, 6.43. Infrared (Nujol, Halocarbon mulls) 2990 m, 2960 s, 2922 s, 2860 s, 1470 s, 1458 sh, 1420 m, 1390 s, 1380 s, 1072 m, 1023 s, 878 s, 843 vs, 778 w, 722 vw, 590 w, 558 vs, 532 m, 450 m, 348 m cm^{-1} . These values are in agreement with previously reported IR spectra of

$[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}]\text{PF}_6$.¹³

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Characterization

$(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ was prepared in high yield via the reaction of anhydrous MnCl_2 with $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})\text{Li}$ in THF. The crystalline solid decomposes slowly in air and solutions of the complex are extremely oxygen sensitive. $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ in THF solution does not react with FeCl_2 and is hydrolyzed only slowly (over a period of hours) by water. This behavior is in marked contrast to that of Cp_2Mn and $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$, both of which are pyrophoric solids, are instantly hydrolyzed by water and react rapidly with FeCl_2 in THF to yield the corresponding ferrocenes.^{1,9}

As both the thermodynamic and kinetic stability of metal complexes is dependent on spin state, it is worth noting that Cp_2Mn and $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$ possess thermally accessible high spin ($^6\text{A}_{1g}$) electronic configurations, while $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ exists solely in a low spin ($^2\text{E}_{2g}$) state (vide infra). In contrast to the high spin d^5 case, the low spin d^5 configuration possesses substantial crystal field stabilization¹⁴ with attendant increase in ring metal bond strength. The observation of shorter (by nearly $0.3\text{\AA}$) metal to ring carbon distances in the low spin manganocenes⁸ is consistent with these predictions.

The contrasting reactivity of high and low spin manganocenes parallels the situation observed in Mn(II) coordination chemistry. No crystal field activation energy for ligand

displacement is expected for high spin octahedral d^5 systems and accordingly, high spin Mn(II) complexes, such as $\text{Mn}(\text{phen})_3^{2+}$, are notoriously labile.¹⁵ In the low spin d^5 case, a significant activation energy prevails and low spin species such as $\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6^{4-}$ are relatively inert.^{15,16} While $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ is inert towards ring loss and hydrolysis, it does undergo reversible one-electron oxidation as well as reduction to yield isolable low spin 16- and 18-electron species in a fashion similar to $\text{Mn}(\text{CN})_6^{4-}$.^{17,18}

Cp_2Mn is unique among planar transition metal metallocenes in that no cationic derivative has been isolated. $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$, however, is readily oxidized by $[\text{Cp}_2\text{Fe}]^+$ in acetone to yield the dark red complex $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]^+$. The cyclic voltammogram of $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]\text{PF}_6$ (Fig. 1) shows that this compound is reduced in two reversible one-electron steps at -0.56 and -2.17 V vs SCE,¹⁹ with peak separations of 60 and 80 mV, respectively. The latter separation exceeds the theoretical value of 60 mV²⁰ because of its proximity to the cathodic wave of CH_3CN . Controlled potential coulometry on the first reduction established that $n = 0.98 \pm .02$ verifying the one-electron nature of the reduction. In acetone solution $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]^+$ is hydrolyzed slowly by H_2O but rapidly in the presence of strong acid.

As the cyclic voltammogram in Fig. 1 suggests $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ may also be reduced by one electron to an anionic derivative. Treatment of $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ with sodium naphthalide in THF gives

a solution of $\text{Na}[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]$,²¹ which is isolated as an orange, pyrophoric powder soluble in THF, 1,2-dimethoxyethane, and N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine, but insoluble in aromatic and hydrocarbon solvents. The electrochemical reductions of Cp_2V , Cp_2Cr , Cp_2Co , and Cp_2Ni have been reported but the reduced species were neither isolated nor characterized in solution.²² Therefore, $\text{Na}[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]$ represents the first example of a stable, isolable metallocene anion. $\text{Na}[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]$ reacts with CH_3CN , $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{CO}$, MeI , and H_2O to give $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ with no evidence for products derived from addition of the electrophile. The complex also reacts with FeCl_2 in THF again to give $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ with no detectable amount of $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}$.

$\text{Na}[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]$ is a diamagnetic 18-electron metallocene isoelectronic with $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}$. In Table I ^1H and proton decoupled ^{13}C NMR data for these compounds and $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})\text{Na}$ are compared. The similarity of ^1H and ^{13}C chemical shifts in the Mn(I) and Fe(II) complexes provides evidence for the π -sandwich structure in $\text{Na}[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]$. From the data in Table I it is clear that the chemical shift of the ring carbon is the most sensitive to electronic effects induced by variation of metal ion. The order of decreasing chemical shift, $\delta(\text{Na}) \gg \delta(\text{Fe}) > \delta(\text{Mn})$ follows the expected order of increasing metal-to-ring electron donation.

An X-ray crystallographic study has verified the planar metallocene structure for $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ in the solid state.⁸ Infrared spectra provide evidence for retention of this

structure for $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ in all three oxidation states. Mull spectra of the neutral complexes $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ and $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}$ are superimposable in the region 900 cm^{-1} to 4000 cm^{-1} with characteristic absorption between 2800 and 3000 cm^{-1} (4 bands), 1500 and 1350 cm^{-1} (5 bands) and 1000 to 1100 cm^{-1} (2 bands). Spectra of $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]\text{PF}_6$, $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}]\text{PF}_6$, and $\text{Na}[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]$ are similar, but more poorly resolved. Because these absorptions do not vary significantly in this series of compounds, they probably represent primarily ligand vibrational modes in the planar decamethylmetallocenes. Similarly, the reversibility observed in the cyclic voltammogram of $\text{Mn}(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2$ is consistent with simple oxidation reduction reactions in a series of complexes retaining the planar metallocene structure.

Magnetic Susceptibility

The metallocene molecular orbital energy level diagram²³ allows the possibility of a low spin ($^2\text{A}_{1g}[\text{e}_{2g}^4\text{a}_{1g}^1]$, $^2\text{E}_{2g}[\text{e}_{2g}^3\text{a}_{1g}^2]$) or high spin ($^6\text{A}_{1g}[\text{e}_{2g}^2\text{a}_{1g}^1\text{e}_{1g}^2]$) ground configuration in a d^5 system such as Cp_2Mn . Magnetic susceptibility studies have shown that the spin state of manganocene is sensitive to temperature, environment, and methyl substituents.

Solid Cp_2Mn undergoes a phase transition at 432 K from its low temperature brown form to a pink form. The pink form displays normal Curie behavior for an $S = 5/2$ molecule, as does Cp_2Mn in benzene and ether solutions or diluted in Cp_2Mg . Below the phase transition temperature, however, the susceptibility of Cp_2Mn shows a temperature dependence suggestive of

antiferromagnetism.¹ Bunder and Weiss's recent crystallographic study of the brown form showed that it does not consist of discrete Cp_2Mn molecules, but rather exhibits a polymeric zig-zag chain structure.²⁴ Crystalline $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$ was also found to be antiferromagnetic, but THF solutions obey the Curie-Weiss law for an $S = 5/2$ system.⁹ Rettig and coworkers demonstrated that the anomalous magnetic behavior of $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$ in toluene solution is due to a spin-state equilibrium with $\Delta H^\circ = -1.8 \pm .1 \text{ kcal mole}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^\circ = -5.8 \pm .6 \text{ e.u.}$ for the high to low spin conversion in toluene.²

The magnetic susceptibility measurements on solid $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$, $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}]\text{PF}_6$, and $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]\text{PF}_6$ indicate Curie-Weiss behavior ($\chi_m = C/(T-\theta)$) in each case. The results of these experiments, and the solution magnetic moments as determined by the Evans NMR method,²⁶ are summarized in Table II. For solid $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ our data reveal a temperature independent moment of $2.18\mu_B$ up to 117 K, in agreement with the solution moment measurement at 313 K. These data are consistent with the formulation of a low spin doublet ground state for $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$; the temperature independence of the moment indicates that thermal population of the ${}^6A_{1g}$ state is negligible up to 313 K. By comparison, a magnetic moment of $2.26\mu_B$ is obtained for the isoelectronic molecule $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}]\text{PF}_6$. Both these values are close to the magnetic moment of low spin $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$, ($\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.98\mu_B$ at 15 K) calculated from EPR spectral data.²

All of these moments are significantly larger than the spin only value expected for an $S = 1/2$ molecule, $1.73\mu_B$. Deviations from this value probably represent the spin orbit contributions to the moment expected in orbitally degenerate d^4 , d^5 , and d^7 metallocenes.²⁷ Both the solid and solution moments of $[(Me_5Cp)_2Fe]PF_6$ are somewhat larger than the values for $(Me_5Cp)_2Mn$, which may reflect a greater degree of spin-orbit contribution in the Fe(III) system.

Like Cp_2Cr , $[(Me_5Cp)_2Mn]PF_6$ is a 16-electron metallocene with three possible ground state electronic configurations: $^3A_{2g}[e_{2g}^2 a_{1g}^2]$, $^3E_{2g}[e_{2g}^3 a_{1g}^1]$, and $^5E_{1g}[e_{2g}^2 a_{1g}^1 e_{1g}^1]$. Warren and Gordon recently reported moments of 3.23 and $3.17\mu_B$ for Cp_2Cr and $(MeCp)_2Cr$, respectively.²⁸ These values were consistent with an $S=1$ spin system ($\mu_{eff} = 2.83\mu_B$) with significant spin-orbit contributions to the moment. On the basis of this evidence and the results of UV-photoelectron studies, the orbitally degenerate $^3E_{2g}$ ground state has been assigned to the chromocenes.³ Our magnetic data for $[(Me_5Cp)_2Mn]PF_6$ are also consistent with a spin triplet configuration; thus this complex is a rare example of low spin Mn(III). The solution and solid state moments are only slightly greater than the spin only value for an $S = 1$ system, hence it is not possible to assign unambiguously either a $^3E_{2g}$ or $^3A_{2g}$ configuration.

EPR

EPR investigations confirm the dependence of the manganocene electronic structure on methyl substituents and environment noted in magnetic susceptibility studies. Cp_2Mn in toluene or methylcyclohexane glasses and diluted in Cp_2Mg exhibits EPR spectra characteristic of the high spin ${}^6\text{A}_{1g}$ configuration.² When Cp_2Mn is diluted in Cp_2Fe , Cp_2Ru , or Cp_2Os spectra consistent with the ${}^2\text{E}_{2g}$ configuration found in ferricenium systems¹³ are obtained.^{2b,25} As Ammeter has indicated, the ring to metal distances of Cp_2Mg and high spin Cp_2Mn are comparable so the high spin form is easily accommodated in such a lattice. The other metallocene hosts have much shorter ring to metal distances, thereby favoring the low spin form of Cp_2Mn ²⁵ (which is expected to have a distinctly shorter ring metal distance than the high spin form). For $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$, spectra characteristic of the ${}^2\text{E}_{2g}$ state are observed at 4.2 K in methylcyclohexane or toluene glasses and in host lattices of $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Fe}$ and $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mg}$.²

We have measured the low temperature EPR spectra of $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ both in toluene and methylcyclohexane glasses and diluted in $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}$ and found them consistent with the ${}^2\text{E}_{2g}$ configuration determined in other low spin manganocenes. Our results together with earlier results on low spin manganocenes, are listed in Table III. The g values of the complex in $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}$ are very close to those found for Cp_2Mn in d^6 metallocene hosts, but differ significantly in toluene and methyl-

cyclohexane solutions, falling between the values reported for Cp_2Mn and $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$. The sensitivity of g values to changes in the host observed here and for other low spin manganocenes is typical of metallocenes with orbitally degenerate ground states, for which Jahn-Teller distortions can perturb the g values. Both the nature and magnitude of such distortions can vary with environment so that the variation of g parameters with host matrix is not unexpected.

We have used the model developed by Maki and Berry²⁹ to calculate values of the orbital reduction factor, k' , and the parameter δ which is a measure of the departure from axial symmetry (Table III). The g values for the ${}^2\text{E}_{2g}$ state are given by

$$g_{\parallel} = 2 + 4k'(1 - \zeta^2)/(1 + \zeta^2)$$

$$g_{\perp} = 4\zeta/(1 + \zeta^2)$$

$$\zeta = \left(\frac{\delta}{\xi}\right) / \left\{ 1 + \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{\delta}{\xi}\right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \right\}$$

and $\xi = k'\xi_0$, in which we have followed Switzer, et al. and used 305 cm^{-1} for the value of ξ_0 , the spin-orbit coupling constant for the bare metal ion Mn^{+1} .²

The k' and δ values obtained for $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ and Cp_2Mn in a variety of hosts are quite similar but differ significantly from those determined for $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$. The parameter δ is much larger for $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$ which may be due to the relatively low symmetry of this molecule. The k' values are also

smaller in the more symmetric manganocenes, implying a greater delocalization of the unpaired electron in $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ and Cp_2Mn than in $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$. The reduction of k' can result from either increased covalency or dynamic Jahn Teller effects. The low k' values observed for Cp_2Mn relative to $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$ were attributed to increased dynamic Jahn Teller coupling in Cp_2Mn ²⁵ and this may be the case here. The crystal structure of $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ revealed static distortions involving metal-ring carbon and ring carbon-ring carbon distances, but no evidence was found for dynamic Jahn Teller distortions.⁸ If this result holds for $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ in the matrices employed in the EPR studies, then the low k' values must result from increased covalency in the $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ system.

CONCLUSIONS

Our magnetic studies of decamethylmanganocene indicate that permethylation of the Cp ring results in exclusively low spin behavior, in contrast to other manganocenes where high spin states are thermally populated. From this result we conclude that the ligand field strength of the Cp ring is significantly enhanced by the complete replacement of the hydrogens with electron-donating methyl groups. The low spin configuration of $(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$ renders it inert towards ring displacement and hydrolysis but the complex does undergo reversible oxidation and reduction to give low spin 16- and 18-electron species for which no analogs exist in the other manganocenes. Further

studies on other first row transition metal decamethylmetallocenes are now in progress.

Table I. ^1H and ^{13}C NMR Data for Diamagnetic Decamethylmetallocenes.^a

	^1H	^{13}C ^b		SOLVENT
		ring C	methyl C	
$\text{Na}[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]$	1.83	72.4	8.5	THF-d_8
$(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}$	1.70	78.4	9.6	C_6D_6
$(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})\text{Na}$	2.01	105.1	11.8	THF-d_8

a) All values in ppm (δ) vs. tetramethylsilane.

b) Proton decoupled.

Table II. Magnetic Susceptibility Data for Decamethylmetallocenes.

			SOLID		SOLUTION	
Molecule	C	θ^b	μ_{eff}^a	Temperature Range ^b	μ_{eff}^a	Temperature ^b
$(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}$	0.59	0	$2.17 \pm .1$	4.2 to 117	$1.97 \pm .1$	313^c
$[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Fe}]\text{PF}_6$	0.63	0	$2.25 \pm .1$	4.2 to 70	$2.40 \pm .1$	310^d
$[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]\text{PF}_6$	1.18	-4	$3.07 \pm .1$	4.2 to 65	$2.90 \pm .1$	310^d

a) Values in Bohr magnetons.

b) Temperatures in degrees K.

c) Measured in toluene solution.

d) Measured in acetone solution.

Table III. EPR Results for the Low-Spin Manganocene Type Compounds

COMPOUND	$g_{\parallel}$	$g_{\perp}$	k^a	$\xi (\text{cm}^{-1})$	$ \delta (\text{cm}^{-1})$	$2(\xi^2 + \delta^2)^{1/2} (\text{cm}^{-1})$	REFERENCE
(Me ₅ Cp) ₂ Mn in toluene glass 12°K	3.26 ± .01	1.68 ± .02	.58	177	274	652	This work
(Me ₅ Cp) ₂ Mn in methylcyclohexane glass 12°K	3.36 ± .01	1.42 ± .04	.48	147	148	417	This work
(Me ₅ Cp) ₂ Mn in (Me ₅ Cp) ₂ Fe 12°K	3.508 ± .004 ^b	1.17 ± .01	.47	142	102	350	This work
Cp ₂ Mn in Cp ₂ Fe at 4.2°K	3.519 ± .004	1.222 ± .010	.48	146 ^a	113 ^a	369	2b
Cp ₂ Mn in Cp ₂ Ru at 4.2°K	3.548 ± .004	1.069 ± .020	.46	140 ^a	88 ^a	330	25
Cp ₂ Mn in Cp ₂ Os at 4.2°K	3.534 ± .004	1.126 ± .01	.46	142 ^a	96 ^a	342	25
(MeCp) ₂ Mn in toluene glass at 4.2°K	2.887	1.900	.71	217	659	1388	2a
(MeCp) ₂ Mn in methylcyclohexane glass at 4.2°K	2.909	1.893	.70	215	630	1331	2a
(MeCp) ₂ Mn in (MeCp) ₂ Mg at 4.2°K	3.00 ± .02	1.889 ± .002	.76	232 ^a	667 ^a	1412	2b
(MeCp) ₂ Mn in (MeCp) ₂ Fe at 4.2°K	3.06 ± .02	1.850 ± .002	.70	213 ^a	518 ^a	1120	2b

^a) These values have been recalculated using the Maki and Berry theory.

^b) ⁵⁵Mn hyperfine coupling observed. $A_{\parallel} = 61.9 \pm .3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $A_{\perp}$ not resolved.

XBL 791-8054

REFERENCES

1. Wilkinson, G.; Cotton, F.A.; Birmingham, J.M., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 1956, 2, 95-113.
2. (a) Switzer, M.E.; Wang, R.; Rettig, M.F.; Maki, A.H., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 7669-7674.
(b) Ammeter, J.H.; Bucher, R.; Oswald, N. ibid., 1974, 96, 7833-7835.
3. Evans, S.; Green, M.L.H.; Jewitt, B.; King, G.H.; Orchard, A.F., J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2, 1974, 70, 356-376.
4. Smart, J.C.; Robbins, J.L., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 3936-3937.
5. Almenningen, A.; Haaland, A.; Motzfeldt, T., Selected Topics in Structure Chemistry, Universitetsforlaget:Oslo, 1967; p. 105.
6. Almenningen, A.; Haaland, A.; Samdal, S., J. Organomet. Chem., 1978, 149, 219-229.
7. Haaland, A.; Lusztyk, J.; Novak, D.P.; Brunvoll, J.; Sarovieysky, K.B., Chem. Commun., 1974, 54-55.
8. Freyberg, D.P.; Robbins, J.L.; Raymond, K.N.; Smart, J.C., J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press.
9. Reynolds, L.T.; Wilkinson, G.W., J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., 1954, 9, 86-92.
10. Switzer, M.E.; Rettig, M.F., Chem. Commun., 1972, 687-688.
11. Threlkel, R.S.; Bercaw, J.E., J. Organometal Chem., 1977, 136, 1-5.

12. King, R.B.; Bisnette, M.B., J. Organomet. Chem., 1967, 8, 287-297.
13. Duggan, D.M.; Hendrickson, D.N., Inorg. Chem., 1975, 14, 955-970.
14. Orgel, L.E., An Introduction to Transition Metal Chemistry, second edition, Wiley and Sons: New York, NY, 1960, 78-79.
15. Basolo, F.; Pearson, R.G., Mechanisms of Inorganic Reactions, second edition, Wiley and Sons: New York, NY, 1967, 145-148.
16. Taube, H., Chem. Rev., 1952, 50, 69-110.
17. Cooke, A.H.; Duffus, H.J., Proc. Phys. Soc. A, 1955, 68, 32-38.
18. Goldenberg, N., Trans Faraday Soc., 1940, 36, 847-856.
19. In Ref. 4, the value of the second potential was erroneously reported as -2.50 V.
20. Nicholson, R.S.; Shain, I., Anal. Chem., 1964, 36, 706-723.
21. Similar reactions with Cp_2Mn or $(\text{MeCp})_2\text{Mn}$ did not yield tractable products.
22. (a) Geiger, W.E., Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 2632-2634.
(b) Holloway, J.D.L.; Bowden, W.L.; Geiger, W.E., Jr., ibid., 1977, 99, 7089-7090.
23. Warren, K.D., Structure and Bonding (Berlin), 1976, 27, 45-159.
24. Bänder, W., Dissertation, 1974, Universität Hamburg.
25. Ammeter, J.H., J. Magn. Reson., 1978, 30, 299-325.

26. Evans, D.F., J. Chem. Soc., 1959, 2003-2005.
27. Warren, K.D., Inorg. Chem., 1974, 13, 1317-1324.
28. Gordon, K.R.; Warren, K.D., Inorg. Chem., 1978, 17,
987-994.
29. Maki, A.H.; Berry, T.E., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87,
4437-4441.

FIGURE CAPTION

Figure 1. Cyclic voltammogram of $[(\text{Me}_5\text{Cp})_2\text{Mn}]\text{PF}_6$ in CH_3CN on Pt disc electrode with 0.1M $[(\text{n-Bu})_4\text{N}]\text{BF}_4$ electrolyte. Scan rate = 100 mV sec^{-1} .

XBL 786 - 4047

Figure 1

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720