

Predictors of anxiety symptom trajectory in children with or without ID
from early childhood to adolescence

Naomi V. Rodas¹, Jan Blacher² & Bruce L. Baker¹

¹University of California, Los Angeles

²University of California, Riverside

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to: Naomi Rodas, M.A.
University of California, Los Angeles, Psychology Department, Los Angeles, CA 90095; naomi.rodas@ucla.edu.

Acknowledgements: We are indebted to our staff and doctoral student colleagues, and especially to the families who participated in our research study. The authors are also grateful to Dr. Denise Chavira for her consultation.

Funding: This research was supported by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Grant number 34879-1459 (PIs: Bruce Baker, Jan Blacher, Keith Crnic).

Abstract

Introduction: We examined the development of anxiety in youth with or without intellectual disabilities (ID). We also examined the effects of child delay status, temperament, ethnicity, and negative parenting on anxiety symptom trajectory.

Method: Participants were 177 families in (blinded). We employed latent growth curve modeling to examine the trajectory of anxiety symptoms (ages 3-13 years) and to examine the individual and interactive effects of variables in predicting age 3 anxiety and change in anxiety.

Results: Anxiety symptoms increased over time. Children with ID demonstrated higher levels of anxiety compared to typically developing (TD) children. Social fearfulness was positively associated with anxiety at age 3. Anxiety increased at a slower rate in Latino children. Negative parenting positively predicted rate of change in anxiety for children with ID but not TD children.

Conclusions: These findings inform early intervention targets for culturally diverse families of children with or without ID.

Keywords: anxiety, parenting, ethnicity, intellectual disability, risk/protective factors

Introduction

Internalizing disorders (i.e. anxiety, depression) comprise the most common mental health concerns among youth, with lifetime prevalence rates of 31% for anxiety (Merikangas et al., 2010). These disorders tend to emerge during early childhood and, if left untreated, are associated with various negative sequelae later in development. For example, anxiety symptoms in early childhood have been shown to be a risk-factor for anxiety and depression disorders during adolescence and even into adulthood (Beesdo-Baum & Knappe, 2012; Bittner et al., 2007). Anxiety also has a broader impact on social-emotional functioning for children. It has been implicated in sleep, physical, and academic difficulties over time (Alfano, Ginsburg, & Kingery, 2007; Mychailyszyn, Mendez, & Kendall, 2010). The profound impact that internalizing disorders have on the lives of children highlights the need to examine their development further.

Trajectory patterns of internalizing behavior problems have not been as extensively investigated as those for externalizing disorders. There is some evidence that internalizing behavior problems are less stable than externalizing behavior problems across childhood (Leadbeater & Hoglund, 2009; Sterba, Prinstein, & Cox, 2007), but findings regarding the stability of anxiety disorders across childhood have been inconsistent. Various studies have demonstrated that symptoms of anxiety remain relatively stable throughout development (e.g., Pine et al. 1998; Rapee, Schniering, & Hudson, 2009). Prospective longitudinal studies have reported anxiety

disorders in childhood to be predictive of later anxiety, as well as other mental health disorders into adolescence and adulthood (Copeland et al., 2013; Essau, Lewinsohn, Lim, Ho, Rohde, 2018). However, while predictors of course trajectories of anxiety have been examined in individuals with typical development (e.g., Asselmann & Beesdo-Baum, 2015; McLean, Asnaani, Litz, & Hofmann, 2011), there is a need to examine these processes in children at developmental risk. This will help to inform targets of early intervention for this population. Therefore, we examined how child characteristics and negative parenting relate to child anxiety in children with or without ID.

Developmental Delay Status and Anxiety

Children with intellectual disability (ID), aside from presenting with deficits in cognitive and adaptive functioning, also present with higher rates of comorbid psychopathology than their typically developing (TD) peers (Baker & Blacher, 2015; Einfeld, Ellis, & Emerson, 2011; Emerson & Hatton, 2007). Studies have found that anxiety disorders are significantly more prevalent in children with ID (Deb, Thomas, & Bright, 2001; Green et al., 2014). Prevalence rates of anxiety disorders range from 10% to 22% in youth with ID compared to rates of 3%-7% in TD youth (Dekker & Koot, 2003; Emerson, 2003). Research has focused extensively on the elevated level of externalizing disorders in children with ID; however, there is still much to be learned about internalizing disorders in this population. Few studies have examined trajectories of internalizing disorders in youth with ID. While there

is some evidence that TD and ID youth similarly demonstrate increases in anxiety symptoms throughout childhood and adolescence (e.g. de Ruiter, Dekker, Verhulst, & Koot, 2007; Green et al., 2014), further study of the developmental course is needed. The present study expands upon prior literature by examining the developmental course of anxiety symptoms from early childhood through adolescence in children with or without ID, as well as by examining predictors of anxiety symptoms trajectories. The examination of early risk factors for heightened anxiety symptoms throughout development could help to inform early interventions for children with ID, which in turn could help in preventing the negative sequelae that result from anxious symptomatology.

Temperament and Anxiety

Temperament traits have been regarded as the core of personality and have been shown to have important associations with developmental psychopathology (Nigg, 2006). There are various key traits encompassing temperament, including: positive emotionality (i.e. variability in approach motivation, activity, and joy), negative emotionality (i.e. fearfulness and frustration/anger), and self-regulation (i.e. effortful attention which allows situation-appropriate modification of emotional responses and inhibition) (Gartstein & Rothbart, 2003; Putnam, Ellis, & Rothbart, 2001). Negative emotionality in early childhood has been found to be positively associated with internalizing behavior problems in later childhood (Rothbart & Bates, 2006). Additionally, anger/frustration have also been shown to predict

internalizing disorders (Oldehinkel, Hartman, De Winter, Veenstra, & Ormel, 2004). Fear, shyness, and emotional reactivity/inhibition have similarly been shown to be associated with concurrent and later anxiety problems (Klein, Dyson, Kujawa, & Kotov, 2012; Rapee, Schniering, & Hudson, 2009). These temperamental factors are thought to decrease an individual's ability to cope with emotional arousal, therefore, resulting in increased levels of anxiety.

Ethnicity and Anxiety

Furthermore, the depiction of the nation's youth is vastly transforming. This transformation is in part due to the dramatic increase in the Latino population. Latino Americans account for 17% of the U.S. population and this percent is rapidly growing (U.S. Census Bureau, 2010). Fry and Gonzales (2008) reported that one in five public school children are Latino, compared to one in eight children in the 1990s. Research with typically developing youth has also found that Latino American youth present with more symptoms of anxiety when compared to White youth (Pina and Silverman, 2004; Varela et al., 2004). Given the strong presence of Latino youth in the nation, coupled with their increased risk for psychopathology, it is important to examine the underlying mechanisms that are at play in the development of internalizing disorders for these youth.

Parenting and Anxiety

Research on the etiology of internalizing disorders in children with typical development has indicated the importance of early parent-child relationships (see Rose, Roman, Mwaba, & Ismail, 2018 for review). Hudson

and Rapee (2000) reported that observed interactions of mothers with anxious children were significantly less positive and encouraging than those of mothers with non-anxious children, supporting findings from retrospective questionnaire studies that have shown a relationship between parental rejection and child anxiety (McLeod, Wood, & Weisz, 2007; Wood, McLeod, Sigman, Hwang, & Chu, 2003). Parenting characterized as over solicitous, intrusive or controlling, and low in warmth has also been associated with greater child inhibition and anxiety (Degnan, Almas, & Fox, 2010).

Furthermore, parents of children with ID have been found to display higher levels of intrusive and negative parenting behaviors when compared to parents of children with TD (Blacher, Baker, & Kaladjian, 2013; Brown, McIntyre, Crnic, Baker, & Blacher, 2011; Rodas, Zeedyk, & Baker, 2016). Given that youth with ID appear to be at heightened risk for comorbid psychopathology, as well as for increased levels of negative parenting, it is important to examine whether parenting behaviors in early childhood have longitudinal effects on anxiety symptomatology. The current study expanded on the existing literature by examining the developmental trajectory of anxiety, as well as child and parent level predictors of anxiety symptom trajectory, in Latino and White children with or without ID.

Study Aims and Hypotheses

This study examined the development of anxiety symptoms, as well as the relationship of child delay status, child temperament, child ethnicity, and/or negative parenting to anxiety symptom trajectory from early

childhood to adolescence in youth with or without ID. The aims were: (1) to identify the developmental trajectory of anxiety symptoms from early childhood through adolescence, from 3 to 13 years of age; (2) to examine the extent to which anxiety symptom trajectory from early childhood through adolescence was predicted by (a) child delay status, (b) child temperament, (c) child ethnicity (Latino vs. White), and/or (d) negative parenting; and (3) to investigate whether child delay status moderated the effects of negative parenting on anxiety symptoms over time.

To summarize, we examined three child and one parent domain, each of which could potentially increase the child's anxiety risk. Based on prior research, we expected that children with ID would have more symptoms of anxiety than TD children. However, we expected that the *trajectories* of anxiety symptoms throughout development would be similar for the two groups (Green et al., 2014). We expected that dimensions of child temperament related to negative emotionality would be associated with increased levels of anxiety symptoms over time (Lengua, 2006; Oldehinkel et al., 2004). We also expected that Latino children would present with higher levels of anxiety. Lastly, we expected that negative parenting would relate to higher rates of anxiety symptoms, and more so for children with ID.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Participants were 177 families who participated in the (blinded for review), a longitudinal study conducted at three universities in (blinded for

review). We studied family processes in youth with ID or TD, from child age 3 through 13 years. The sample was comprised of families for whom there were at least two data points available across the span of child ages 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 13 years. Additionally, given the aims of the present study, we constrained the sample to participants from White (non-Hispanic) or Latino ethnic groups. Children who were biracial, half Latino, were included in the Latino group (9% of the sample)¹. Families of children with ID were recruited at child age 3 years from agencies that provided diagnostic and intervention services for persons with intellectual disabilities. Children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) were excluded from the present study, given that the sample of children with ASD were recruited during the adolescence phase of the larger study. Families of children with typical development (TD) were recruited through local preschools and daycare programs. Children were included in the ID sample if, at their age 5 laboratory visit, they were determined to have: (a) an IQ in the clinical or borderline range for ID, below 85 on the Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale (Thorndike, Hagen, & Sattler, 1986), and (b) a standard score below 85 on the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales (VABS; Sparrow, Cicchetti, & Balla, 2005). We combined those with IQs below 70 and those with IQs ranging from 71-84 (i.e., in the borderline range) in the ID group, using DSM IV criteria (APA, 2000). This decision was based on prior research demonstrating similarities in the difficulties faced by those with borderline intellectual functioning and those with ID (DSM-IV-TR,

¹ All analyses were conducted both including and not including biracial children. The two sets of results did not differ, and thus analyses were conducted with the inclusion of biracial children.

APA, 2000; Fenning, Baker, Baker, & Crnic, 2007; Van der Molen, Henry, & van Luit, 2013). Participants in the TD group had an IQ of 85 or above on the Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale, regardless of VABS score, and no previous history of a developmental delay or other disability.

Demographics

Table 1 shows participant demographics at child age 3 by child delay status (TD, ID), as well as by child ethnicity (White, Latino). The average child age for the entire sample was 35.0 months (SD= 2.9) at study intake, and there were more boys (60%) than girls. Mothers' mean age was 33.2 years. The socioeconomic status was generally high, with 48% of families having an annual household income (in 1998-2000) above \$50,000. Mothers' years of schooling averaged 15.0. Demographics for the TD and ID status groups were similar, though TD group mothers had significantly more years of education and were slightly older. Demographics for the White and Latino ethnicity groups followed a similar pattern with White mothers being significantly older and with more years of education than Latina mothers.

[Table 1 near here]

Procedures

The Institutional Review Boards of the participating universities approved study procedures. Participating mothers provided informed consent and the children provided assent as age-appropriate. The child's intellectual

and adaptive functioning levels were assessed during a research center visit at child age 5. Though we did obtain a measure of IQ at age 3, we elected to use the age 5 Stanford-Binet score due to its increased reliability and validity in measuring child IQ. Parenting data were coded from observations that were conducted in the lab at child age 3 years. Child temperament was assessed via mother's report at child age 3. Mothers completed measures of child anxiety symptoms at child ages 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 13 years. Families received an honorarium for their participation.

Measures

Stanford-Binet Intelligence Scale, 4th Edition (Thorndike, et al., 1986). This widely used instrument is particularly well suited for evaluating children with ID, because the examiner adapts the starting points according to the child's developmental level. The eight sub-tests most appropriate for 5-year-olds were administered (i.e., Vocabulary, Comprehension, Absurdities, Pattern Analysis, Copying, Quantitative, Bead Memory, and Memory for Sentences). The composite standard IQ score ($M = 100$; $SD = 16$) was used. High internal consistency has been reported (Glutting, 1989), and there is sufficient evidence for validity, as reported in the technical manual (Thorndike et al., 1986).

Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales (VABS; Sparrow, Cicchetti, & Balla, 2005). To assess child adaptive functioning, the VABS was administered to mothers as a semi-structured interview at child age 5. The overall adaptive composite ($M = 100$; $SD = 15$), which included communication, daily living

skills and socialization domains, was utilized. The VABS has an internal consistency from .75 to .80 and Cronbach's alpha of .93 (Sparrow et al., 2005).

Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) for Ages 1½-5 years and Ages 6-18 years (Achenbach, 2000; Achenbach & Rescorla, 2001). To assess child anxiety symptoms, mothers completed the CBCL at child ages 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 13. Two parent versions of the CBCL were used: the preschool version at child ages 3 through 5 years (for ages 1.5-5 years; 99 items), and the youth version at child ages 6 through 13 years (for ages 6-18 years, 113 items). Each item is rated as: 0 (not true), 1 (somewhat or sometimes true), or 2 (very true or often true). The CBCL yields a total problem score, broadband externalizing and internalizing scores, seven narrow-band scales, and DSM-oriented scales. The present study utilized T scores for anxiety problems ($M = 50$ and $SD = 10$). The CBCL subscales have been shown to have good validity and internal consistency, with alpha coefficients ranging from .78 to .84.

Parent-Child Interaction Rating System (PCIRS; Belsky, Crnic, & Gable, 1995). Negative parenting was coded from a lab observation of mother and child. A number of parent, child, and dyadic behaviors were videotaped during free play, three problem-solving tasks, and clean up. Pairs of coders rated each videotape. Each of the behaviors was rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1=not at all characteristic, 5= highly or predominantly characteristic). The scale considered both the frequency as well as the intensity of the

expressed behavior or affective expression. Reliability was defined as a criterion of over 70% exact agreement with the primary coder and 95% agreement within one scale point.

The present study examined the dimensions of Negative Affect and Intrusiveness. The dimension of *negative affect* referred to the verbal and behavioral expression of negative emotion, disapproval, and hostility. *Intrusiveness* referred to imposition of the mother's agenda on the child despite signals from the child that a different activity, level, or pace of interaction was needed. The dimension scale scores were converted to z scores, which were combined to create the Negative Parenting composite (Negative Affect + Intrusiveness). These factors have been established and replicated through factor analyses conducted in several different labs (Aber, Belsky, Slade, & Crnic, 1999; Fenning, Baker, Baker, & Crnic, 2007).

Toddler Behavior Assessment Questionnaire (TBAQ; Goldsmith, 1988; Goldsmith, Elliot, & Jaco, 1986). To assess child temperament mothers completed the TBAQ at child age 3 years. This 65-item questionnaire asks parents to report on the frequency of behaviors over the past month. Each item is rated on a 6-point scale ranging from 1 (never) to 6 (always); there is also a not applicable option for use when the respondent has not seen the child in a particular situation in the prior month. The TBAQ measures various components related to temperament, including: activity level, pleasure, social fearfulness, anger proneness, and interest/persistence. The present study examined the social fearfulness subscale. The dimension of social

fearfulness refers to distress, withdrawal, or signs of shyness in a novel social situation (e.g. “When your child was being approached by an unfamiliar adult while shopping or out walking, how often did your child show distress or cry?”). The internal consistencies reported for TBAQ scales range from .66–.89 (Goldsmith, 1996; Rothbart, Ahadi, Hershey, & Fisher, 2001).

Data Analytic Plan

We employed latent growth curve modeling (LGCM; i.e., growth models estimated within the Structural Equation Model framework) utilizing Mplus 7.0 (Muthén & Muthén, 1998-2015), to examine the developmental trajectory of anxiety symptoms (ages 3-13) and to examine the individual and interactive effects of our four key variables measured in early childhood (child delay status, temperament, and ethnicity, and also negative parenting) in predicting age 3 anxiety symptoms and change in anxiety. LGCM allows for examining individual differences in change over time, as well as examining what factors are associated with these changes (Cheong, MacKinnon, & Khoo, 2003; Raudenbush, 2001; Krull & Arruda, 2015). In LGCM, repeated measures of the outcome construct (i.e., anxiety symptoms) serve as indicators of latent growth factors. Parent reports of anxiety symptoms on the CBCL at child ages 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 13 were used as indicators to estimate latent factors (intercept and slope). The intercept factor was centered at child age 3. The linear slope factor represented the rate of change in anxiety symptoms (ages 3-13 years). All models initially included only the intercept before adding a linear slope latent factor. Next,

predictors were added into the model and continuous predictors were centered at the grand mean. Lastly, we ran a model examining whether child delay status moderated the effects of negative parenting. We utilized the comparative fit index (CFI; values at or above .95 indicate adequate fit) and the root-mean-square error of approximation (RMSEA; values at or below 0.05 = excellent fit, 0.05–0.09 = good fit, and over 0.10 = inadequate fit) (Hu and Bentler, 1999). Prior research has demonstrated that the standard chi-squared test may not be a reliable indicator of model fit (Hu & Bentler, 1998); therefore, we utilized the RMSEA. Models were estimated using the full information maximum likelihood (FIML) estimator in Mplus, which allows the inclusion of participants with only partial data present. Full Information Maximum Likelihood has been demonstrated to be a robust estimator (Enders & Bandalos, 2001; Schlomer, Bauman, & Card, 2010), leading to less biased estimates for coefficients and standard errors when compared to utilizing listwise deletion. As required for the use of FIML (Li, 2013), the sample met the assumption of missing completely at random (MCAR) based on Little's test of MCAR [$\chi^2(106) = 101.84, p = 0.59$].

Additionally, to aid in the interpretation and presentation of our findings, we include graphs utilizing Microsoft Excel. We constructed growth curves depicting the model in which negative parenting (assessed at age 3) predicted change in anxiety problems within each delay status group. Coefficients for the intercept and linear slope generated in each LGCM were

utilized to calculate expected values of anxiety at the mean and $\pm 1SD$ of negative parenting.

Results

LGCM Results

Change Over Time. The unconditional (without covariates) model indicated that anxiety symptoms were on average increasing from child ages 3-13 years. A positive linear slope was found to best capture yearly change in anxiety symptoms across ages 3-13 (Intercept: $B = 54.039$, $SE = 0.423$, $p < .001$; Slope: $B = 0.228$, $SE = 0.069$, $p < .05$). The unconditional model was determined to have good fit with the data, $CFI = .95$, $RMSEA = 0.06$.

Next, to examine the effect of child delay status, child temperament, child ethnicity, and negative parenting on change in anxiety symptoms over time, we fit a conditional model, and these factors were included as predictors. We controlled for family income and child sex in all analyses. Additionally, we initially controlled for mother's education and mother's age given the significant differences in the two delay status groups, though they did not significantly enter into the model. Therefore, we utilized sequential model adjustment to improve the model (Yoon & Kim, 2014), and removed mother's education and age in order to have a more parsimonious final model (Bentler & Mooijaart, 1989). The final model was determined to have good fit with the data, $CFI = .95$, $RMSEA = 0.05$.

Individual Effects. Child delay status and child temperament predicted initial levels (age 3) of anxiety symptoms (Table 2). Children with ID

presented with higher levels of anxiety than TD children. Also, higher levels of social fearfulness in toddlerhood were associated with higher levels of anxiety symptoms. The intercept was not predicted by child ethnicity, child sex, or negative parenting. Change over time (linear slope), however, was significantly predicted by child ethnicity and negative parenting. On average, the yearly change rate in anxiety symptoms from ages 3-13 was lower for Latino children than the yearly change rate of White children. Therefore, Latino children's anxiety symptoms increased at a slower rate when compared to White children. Moreover, higher levels of negative parenting were associated with a steeper increase in anxiety symptoms for youth over time.

[Table 2 near here]

Interaction Effects. Next, we examined whether child delay status moderated the effect of negative parenting on anxiety symptoms. Delay status did not moderate the effect of negative parenting on initial levels of anxiety symptoms at child age 3 (intercept; Table 3). However, delay status did moderate the effect of negative parenting on rate of change in anxiety symptoms over time (linear slope). For TD children, negative parenting was not significantly related to rate of change in anxiety symptoms from ages 3-13 ($B = 0.001$, $SE = 0.077$, ns). As depicted in Figure 1, children whose mothers varied in their levels of negative parenting, on average, all changed in their anxiety symptoms in a similar fashion across time. For the children with ID, negative parenting was significantly related to rate of change in

anxiety symptoms from ages 3-13 ($B = 0.279$, $SE = 0.103$, $p < .01$). As seen in Figure 2, high levels of negative parenting appeared to predict the greatest increase in anxiety from ages 3-13, whereas lower levels of negative parenting predicted the least steep increase in anxiety symptoms.

[Table 3 near here]

[Figure 1 and Figure 2 near here]

Discussion

The focus of this study was to examine the effect child delay status, child temperament, child ethnicity, and negative parenting have on longitudinally predicting anxiety symptom trajectory in youth with or without ID from early childhood to adolescence. We addressed important gaps in the literature by directly modeling change over time in anxiety symptoms, as well as examining predictors of anxiety trajectory, in Latino and White children with or without ID. Our first aim was to identify the developmental trajectory of anxiety symptoms from early childhood through adolescence. We utilized LGCM and found that, on average, anxiety symptoms increased from child ages 3-13 years. A positive slope best fit this trajectory, which is consistent with prior research that has examined change in anxiety symptomatology over time (e.g., Merikangas et al., 2010).

We then examined the main effects of three child characteristics (delay status, temperament, ethnicity), and/or negative parenting on (a) initial levels of anxiety symptoms in early childhood and, (b) change in anxiety over time from early childhood to adolescence. Child delay status predicted

initial levels of anxiety symptoms, such that children with ID presented with higher levels of anxiety symptoms than TD children. This is consistent with prior research that has demonstrated anxiety disorders to be more prevalent in children with ID (Deb, Thomas, & Bright, 2001; Green et al., 2014; Meltzer et al., 2000). Our study also corroborated and expanded upon prior research by finding that anxiety symptoms for children with TD or ID increased at a similar rate from early childhood through adolescence (de Ruiter et al., 2007; Green et al., 2014). Additionally, social fearfulness predicted initial levels of anxiety symptoms, such that higher levels of social fearfulness were associated with more anxiety at age 3. Our findings are in line with prior research, which has found temperament traits such as fear, shyness, and emotional reactivity/inhibition to be associated with anxiety symptomatology (Colder et al., 2002; Prior et al., 2000). Traits such as social fearfulness are thought to decrease an individual's ability to cope with emotional arousal, which in turn results in increased levels of anxiety.

Next, we examined the yearly change in anxiety symptoms from age 3 to 13 years, and found main effects for child ethnicity and negative parenting. Anxiety symptoms increased at a slower rate for Latino children as compared to White children. This finding was unexpected given that prior research with typically developing youth has shown that Latino youth present with more symptoms of anxiety when compared to White youth (Pina & Silverman, 2004; Varela et al., 2004). The discrepancy between our findings and others may be related to differences in study designs. Whereas prior

studies have examined levels of anxiety symptoms at a single time point, the present study examined the trajectory of anxiety symptoms longitudinally (examining rate of change from ages 3-13 years). Additionally, previous studies examining the differences in anxiety symptoms between White and Latino youth have focused on clinically anxious populations, whereas, the present study targeted children with a wide range of anxiety symptomology. Nonetheless, this finding could be due to the discrepant levels of mental health literacy among White and Latino parents (Alegria et al., 2002), leading Latino mothers to be less likely to identify symptoms of anxiety. This could particularly be the case early on in development, when a child's symptoms of anxiety are more likely to be misconstrued as externalizing behaviors (e.g., having a tantrum when feeling anxious). Further, this could also be due to cultural beliefs, such as familism, which has previously been shown to be a protective factor against internalizing symptoms in Latino youth (Smokowski & Bacallao, 2007). This strong family cohesion may provide Latino youth with a sense of security and warmth, in turn reducing symptoms of anxiety.

Further, we found a significant interaction effect between child delay status and negative parenting on change in anxiety symptoms age 3 to 13 years. For children with typical development, negative parenting did not significantly predict the trajectory of anxiety symptoms. However, for children with ID, higher negative parenting in early childhood predicted faster increases in anxiety symptoms from early childhood through adolescence. This is consistent with prior literature, showing that

environmental factors, such as parenting, have a greater impact on the well-being of children who are developmentally at high-risk (Denham et al., 2000; Fenning et al., 2007). Moreover, the effect of negative parenting on anxiety symptoms appears to be more pronounced as children enter middle childhood and continues into early adolescence. This could be due to the fact that anxiety is 4 to 10 times more likely to co-occur with externalizing behavior problems in children with ID (Green et al., 2014). Therefore, it may be more difficult to identify anxiety symptoms in early childhood, since children with ID may act out or be noncompliant when they become anxious due to their difficulties with emotion regulation and verbal expression. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine predictors of anxiety symptom trajectories in children with ID. The present findings demonstrate that experiencing negative parenting in early childhood has a longstanding effect on internalizing psychopathology well into adolescence for children with ID. This highlights the need for early intervention targeting parenting practices in children with ID.

Limitations and Future Directions

First, our small sample size of Latino mother-child dyads may have limited our ability to detect significant effects. Additionally, Hopefully future research by other investigators with larger samples will continue to examine anxiety symptomatology in Latino youth with ID. Second, we did not have information regarding other possible predictors, including immigration status, cultural values, or acculturation levels of our Latino sample. Future research

should examine whether acculturation levels and cultural values, such as *familismo*, moderate and/or mediate these relationships. Third, our study was limited by the fact that with this sample size we could not differentiate types of anxiety disorders. Future research should examine trajectories of various types of anxiety symptoms in culturally diverse children with ID. Lastly, we did not collect information regarding the specific diagnoses associated with ID for all of our children. Next steps could include examining whether these processes differ based on child's specific diagnoses.

Implications

We know that anxiety has a broad impact on an individual's socioemotional functioning (e.g. Mychailyszyn et al., 2010). The examination of early risk factors for heightened anxiety symptoms throughout development helps to inform early interventions, which in turn could help in preventing the negative sequelae that result from anxious symptomatology. The present study's findings have implications for interventions with ethnically diverse children with or without intellectual disabilities. First, identifying children who are most at-risk for developing anxiety early on (e.g., children whose temperament or developmental risk predisposes them) could aid in tailoring early intervention services, in order to prevent the development of anxiety disorders in later childhood/adolescence. Additionally, parents, especially those of children with ID, would benefit from receiving psychoeducation regarding how anxiety symptoms present in early childhood. This would allow caregivers to more easily identify and target

these mental health concerns early on in their child's development. Lastly, interventions for families of children with ID should consider targeting negative parenting practices in early childhood as these have long term effects on child psychopathology.

References

- Aber, J. L., Belsky, J., Slade, A., & Crnic, K. (1999). Stability and change in mothers' representations of their relationship with their toddlers. *Developmental Psychology, 35*, 1038-1047.
- Achenbach, T.M. & Rescorla, L. (2000). *Manual for the ASEBA preschool forms & profiles*. University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth, and Families, Burlington, VT.
- Achenbach, T. M., & Rescorla, L. (2001). *Manual for the ASEBA school-age forms & profiles: An integrated system of multi-informant assessment*. Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth & Families.
- Alfano, C. A., Ginsburg, G. S., & Kingery, J. N. (2007). Sleep-related problems among children and adolescents with anxiety disorders. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 42*, 224-232.
- American Psychiatric Association (APA) (2000). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, DSM-IV, fourth edition text revision*. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association.

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental*

disorders, fifth edition (DSM-5®). Arlington, VA, American Psychiatric Association.

Bailey, D. B., Jr., Skinner, D., Correa, V., Arcia, E., Reyes-Blanes, M. E., Rodriguez,

P.,...Skinner, M. (1999). Needs and supports reported by Latino families of young children with developmental disabilities. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 104, 437-451.

Baker, B. L., & Blacher, J. (2015). Disruptive behavior disorders in adolescents with ASD:

comparisons to youth with intellectual disability or typical cognitive development. *Journal of Mental Health Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 8(2), 98-116.

Baker, B. L., Neece, C. L., Fenning, R. M., Crnic, K. A., & Blacher, J. (2010). Mental disorders

in five-year-old children with or without developmental delay: Focus on ADHD. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*, 39, 492-505.

Beesdo-Baum, K., & Knappe, S. (2010). Developmental epidemiology of anxiety disorders.

Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America, 21, 547-478.

- Bentler, P. M., & Mooijaart, A. B. (1989). Choice of structural model via parsimony: a rationale based on precision. *Psychological bulletin*, *106*(2), 315.
- Blacher, J., & Baker, B. L. (2007). Positive impact of intellectual disability on families. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, *112*(5), 330-348.
- Blacher, J., Baker, B. L., & Kaladjian, A. (2013). Syndrome specificity and mother-child interactions: Examining positive and negative parenting across contexts and time. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *43*(4), 761-774.
- Bittner, A., Egger, H. L., Erkanli, A., Costello, J., Foley, D. L., & Angold, A. (2007). What do childhood anxiety disorders predict? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *48*, 1174-1183.
- Broeren, S., & Muris, P. (2009). The relation between cognitive development and anxiety phenomena in children. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, *18*, 702-709.
- Brown, M. A., McIntyre, L. L., Crnic, K. A., Baker, B. L., & Blacher, J. (2011). Preschool children with and without developmental delay: Risk, parenting, and child demandingness. *Journal of Mental Health Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, *4*, 206-226.
- Chavira, V., Lopez, S. R., Blacher, J., & Shapiro, J., (2000). Mothers' attributions, emotions,

and reactions to the problem behaviors of their children with developmental disabilities. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 41, 245-252.

Cheong, J., MacKinnon, D. P., & Khoo, S. T. (2003). Investigation of mediational processes using parallel process latent growth curve modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 10(2), 238-262.

Deb, S., Thomas, M., & Bright, C. (2001). Mental disorder in adults with intellectual disability. 2: The rate of behaviour disorders among a community-based population aged between 16 and 64 years. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 45(6), 506-514.

Degnan, K. A., Almas, A. N., & Fox, N. A. (2010). Temperament and the environment in the etiology of childhood anxiety. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 51, 497-517.

Dekker, M. C., & Koot, H. M. (2003). DSM-IV disorders in children with borderline to moderate intellectual disability. I: Prevalence and impact. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 42, 915-922.

de Ruiter, K. P., Dekker, M. C., Verhulst, F. C., & Koot, H. M. (2007). Developmental course of

psychopathology in youths with and without intellectual disabilities.

Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 48, 498-507.

doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2006.01712.x.

Einfeld, S. L., Ellis, L. A., & Emerson, E. (2011). Comorbidity of intellectual disability and

mental disorder in children and adolescents: A systematic review. *Journal of Intellectual and Developmental Disability*, 36, 137-143.

Emerson, E. (2003). Prevalence of psychiatric disorders in children and adolescents with and

without intellectual disability. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 47, 51-58.

Emerson, E., & Hatton, C. (2007). Mental health of children and adolescents with intellectual

disabilities in Britain. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 191, 493-499.

Enders, C. K., & Bandalos, D. L. (2001). The relative performance of full

information maximum likelihood estimation for missing data in structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*,

8, 430-457.

Fenning R. M., Baker J. K., Baker B. L. & Crnic K. A. (2007) Parenting children with borderline

intellectual functioning: A unique risk population. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 112, 107-21.

- Fry, R., & Gonzales, F. (2008). One-in-Five and Growing Fast: A Profile of Hispanic Public School Students. *Pew Hispanic Center*.
- Gartstein, M. A., Putnam, S. P., & Rothbart, M. K. (2012). Etiology of preschool behavior problems: Contributions of temperament attributes in early childhood. *Infant Mental Health Journal, 33*(2), 197-211.
- Gartstein, M.A., & Rothbart, M.K. (2003). Studying infant temperament via the revised infant behavior questionnaire. *Infant Behavior and Development, 26*, 64-86.
- Goldsmith, H. H. (1996). Studying temperament via construction of the toddler behavior assessment questionnaire. *Child Development, 67*, 218-235.
- Green, S. A., Berkovits, L. D., & Baker, B. L. (2015). Symptoms and development of anxiety in children with or without intellectual disability. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology, 44*, 137-144.
- Hudson, J.L., & Rapee, R.M. (2001). Parent-child interactions and anxiety disorders: An observational study. *Behaviour and Research Therapy, 39*, 1411-1427.
- Klein, D. M., Dyson, M. W., Kujawa, A. J., & Kotov, R. (2012). Temperament and internalizing disorders. In M. Zentner & R. L. Shiner (Eds.), *Handbook of temperament* (pp. 541-561). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

- Krull, J. L., & Arruda, E. H. (2015). Growth curve modeling. *The Encyclopedia of Clinical Psychology*. Retrieved from <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/9781118625392.wbecp570/full>
- Li, C. (2013). Little's test of missing completely at random. *Stata Journal*, 13(4), 795-809.
- Marquis, W. A., & Baker, B. L. (2014). An examination of Anglo and Latino parenting practices: Relation to behavior problems in children with or without developmental delay. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 35(2), 383-392.
- McLean, C.P., Asnaani, A., Litz, B.T., Hofmann, S.G. (2011). Gender differences in anxiety disorders: prevalence, course of illness, comorbidity and burden of illness. *Journal of Psychiatric Research* 45, 1027-1035.
- McLeod, B. D., Wood, J. J., & Weisz, J. R. (2007). Examining the association between parenting and childhood anxiety: A meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 27(2), 155-172.
- Merikangas, K. R., He, J.-P., Burstein, M., Swanson, S. A., Avenevoli, S., Cui, L., . . . Swendsen, J. (2010). Lifetime prevalence of mental disorders in U.S. adolescents: Results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication-

Adolescent supplement (NCS-A). *Journal of American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 49, 980–989.

Murray, L., Creswell, C., & Cooper, P. J. (2009). The development of anxiety disorders in childhood: An integrative review. *Psychological Medicine*, 39(9), 1413–1423.

Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (1998-2015). *Mplus user's guide* (7th ed.). Los Angeles, CA:

Muthén & Muthén, Mychailyzyn, M. P., Mendez, J. L., Kendall, P. C. (2010). School functioning in youth with and without anxiety disorders: Comparisons by diagnosis and comorbidity. *School Psychology Review*. 39, 106-121.

Nigg, J.T. (2006). Temperament and developmental psychopathology. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 4, 395–422.

Oldehinkel, A.J., Hartman, C.A., DeWinter, A.F., Veenstra, R., & Ormel, J. (2004). Temperament profiles associated with internalizing and externalizing problems in preadolescence. *Development and Psychopathology*, 16, 421–440.

Pina, A. A., & Silverman, W. K. (2004). Clinical phenomenology, somatic symptoms, and distress in Hispanic/Latino and European American youths with anxiety disorders. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 33, 227–236.

- Pine, D. S., Cohen, P., Gurley, D., Brook, J., & Ma, Y. (1998). The risk for early adulthood anxiety and depressive disorders in adolescents with anxiety and depressive disorders. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 55(1), 56-64.
- Prior, M., Smart, D., Sanson, A., & Oberklaid, F. (2000). Does shy inhibited temperament in childhood lead to anxiety problems in adolescence? *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 39, 461-468.
- Putnam, S.P., Ellis, L.K., & Rothbart, M.K. (2001). The structure of temperament from infancy through adolescence. In A. Elias & A. Angleitner (Eds.), *Advances/proceedings in research on temperament* (pp. 165-182). Lengerich, Germany: Pabst Scientist.
- Rapee, R. M., Schniering, C. A., & Hudson, J. L. (2009). Anxiety disorders during childhood and adolescence: origins and treatment. *Annual review of clinical psychology*, 5, 311-341.
- Rodas, N. V., Chavira, D. A., & Baker, B. L. (2017). Emotion socialization and internalizing behavior problems in diverse youth: A bidirectional relationship across childhood. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 62, 15-25.
- Rodas, N. V., Zeedyk, S. M., & Baker, B. L. (2016). Unsupportive parenting and internalising behaviour problems in children with or without intellectual disability. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 60(12), 1200-1211.

- Rose, J., Roman, N., Mwaba, K., & Ismail, K. (2018). The relationship between parenting and internalizing behaviours of children: A systematic review. *Early Child Development and Care, 188*(10), 1468-1486.
- Rothbart, M. K., Ahadi, S. A., Hershey, K., & Fisher, P. (2001). Investigations of temperament at 3-7 years: The children's behavior questionnaire. *Child Development, 72*(5), 1394-1408.
- Rothbart, M.K., & Bates, J.E. (2006). Temperament. In W. Damon, R. Lerner, & N. Eisenberg (Eds.), *Handbook of child psychology: Vol. 3. Social, emotional, and personality development* (6th ed., pp. 99-166). New York: Wiley.
- Schlomer, G. L., Bauman, S., & Card, N. A. (2010). Best practices for missing data management in counseling psychology. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 57*(1), 1.
- Smokowski, P. R., & Bacallao, M. L. (2007). Acculturation, internalizing mental health symptoms, and self-esteem: Cultural experiences of Latino adolescents in North Carolina. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development, 37*(3), 273-292.
- Sparrow, S. S., Cicchetti, D. V., & Balla, B. A. (2005). *Vineland scales of adaptive behavior* (2nd ed.). Circle Pine, MN: American Guidance Service.

- Sterba, S. K., Prinstein, M. J., & Cox, M. J. (2007). Trajectories of internalizing problems across childhood: Heterogeneity, external validity, and gender differences. *Development and Psychopathology, 19*, 345–366.
- Thorndike, R.L., Hagen, E.P. & Sattler, R.L. (1986). *Stanford-Binet intelligence scale: Fourth edition*, Riverside Publishing, Itasca, IL.
- U.S. Census Bureau. (2010). *Annual estimates of the resident population by sex, race, and Hispanic origin for the United States: April 1, 2000 to July 1, 2009*. Retrieved from <http://www.census.gov/popest/national/asrh/NC-EST2009-srh.html>
- Varela, R. E., Vernberg, E. M., Sanchez-Sosa, J. J., Riveros, A., Mitchell, M., & Mashunkashey, J. (2004). Anxiety reporting and culturally associated interpretation biases and cognitive schemas: A comparison of Mexican, Mexican American and European American families. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 33*, 237–247.
- Wood, J. J., McLeod, B. D., Sigman, M., Hwang, W. C., & Chu, B. C. (2003). Parenting and childhood anxiety: Theory, empirical findings, and future directions. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 44*(1), 134-151.
- Yoon, M., & Kim, E. S. (2014). A comparison of sequential and nonsequential specification searches in testing factorial invariance. *Behavior research methods, 46*(4), 1199-1206.

Table 1. Study Demographic characteristics by status (TD, ID) and ethnicity (White, Latino).

	Child Delay Status			Child Ethnicity		
	TD (N= 113)	ID (N= 64)	<i>t</i> or χ^2 status	White (non- Hispanic) (N= 126)	Latino (N= 51)	<i>t</i> or χ^2 ethnicity
Child IQ (SD)	103.5 (11.2)	60.6 (16.3)	<i>t</i> = 18.65***			
Child Sex (% Male)	61.9	57.8	$\chi^2 = .29$	62.7	54.9	$\chi^2 = 0.92$
Mother's age	33.9 (5.6)	31.9 (5.7)	<i>t</i> = 2.32*	33.8 (5.7)	31.7 (5.6)	<i>t</i> = 2.18*
Mother's education	15.5 (2.6)	14.0 (2.1)	<i>t</i> = 4.36***	15.4 (2.5)	13.9 (2.3)	<i>t</i> =3.43**
Income (% > \$50,000)	53.6	39.1	$\chi^2 =$ 3.43 ⁺	53.6	35.3	$\chi^2 =$ 4.86*

Note. ⁺*p*<.10 **p*<.05, ***p*<.01, ****p*<.001

Table 2. Individual Effects Latent Growth Curve Model

	<i>Intercept at age 3</i>		<i>Linear Slope ages 3-13</i>	
	B	SE	B	SE
Effect of: Child Sex	0.66	0.85	-0.23 ⁺	0.13
Household Income	-0.42 ⁺	0.23	-0.01	0.03
Delay Status	1.85*	0.97	0.01	0.16
Ethnicity	1.24	0.93	-	0.14
Temperament	1.01*	0.45	0.53***	0.07
Negative Parenting	-0.47	0.37	-0.09	0.06
			0.13*	0.06

Note. ⁺*p*<.10 **p*<.05,

p*<.01, *p*<.001

Table 3. Delay Status and Negative Parenting Interaction Latent Growth Curve Model

	<i>Intercept at age 3</i>		<i>Linear Slope ages 3-13</i>	
	B	SE	B	SE
Effect of: Child Sex	0.52	0.85	-0.19	0.13
Household Income	-0.39 ⁺	0.23	-0.02	0.03
Delay Status	2.21*	0.99	-0.09	0.16
Ethnicity	1.00	0.94	-0.48**	0.14
Temperament	1.13*	0.45	-0.11	0.07
Negative Parenting	0.04	0.50	0.03	0.07

Status x Parenting	-1.16	0.73	0.24*	0.12
--------------------	-------	------	-------	------

Note. * $p < .10$ ** $p < .05$, *** $p < .001$




Figure 1. Negative parenting in predicting change in anxiety problems among TD children.




Figure 2. Negative parenting in predicting change in anxiety problems among children with ID.