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Abstract The major histocompatibility complex (MHC) plays a cardinal role in the defense of vertebrates against parasites and other pathogens. In some genes there are extensive and ancient polymorphisms that have passed from ancestral to descendant species and are shared among contemporary species. The polymorphism at the DRAI locus, represented by 58 known alleles in humans, has existed for at least 30 million years and is shared by humans, apes, and other primates. The coalescence theory of population genetics leads to the conclusion that the DRAI polymorphism requires that the population ancestral to modern humans has maintained a mean effective size of 100,000 individuals over the 30-million-year persistence of this polymorphism. We explore the possibility of occasional population bottlenecks and conclude that the ancestral population could not have at any time consisted of fewer than several thousand individuals. The MHC polymorphisms exclude the theory claiming, on the basis of mitochondrial DNA polymorphisms, that a constriction down to one or few women occurred in Africa at the transition from archaic to anatomically modern humans, some 200,000 years ago. The data are consistent with, but do not provide specific support for, the claim that human populations throughout the World were at that time replaced by populations migrating from Africa. The MHC and other molecular polymorphisms are consistent with a “multiregional” theory of Pleistocene human evolution that proposes regional continuity of human populations since the time of migrations of Homo erectus to the present, with distinctive regional selective pressures and occasional migrations between populations.

Species are populations of organisms reproductively isolated from other organisms. Speciation is the process by which two gene pools, say X and Y, derived from an ancestral pool, say Z, acquire species-specific genes that keep them from interbreeding. The ancestral species may persist, so that speciation may simply involve the divergence of a new species, say X, from the ancestral one, Z.

Species continuously evolve; after a time, a descendant gene pool, say Z', may be sufficiently different from the ancestral gene pool, Z, as to be considered a different species. Whether or not Z and Z' would exhibit reproductive isolation from each other, and thus meet the criterion for speciation, is an empirically meaningless question since the matter cannot be tested. But ancestral and descendant populations are given different species names if they are as different from each other as other contemporary species—for example, if Z' is as different from Z as it is from X or Y.

The process of speciation is notoriously refractory to investigation because it is complex and usually takes a long time, and also because speciation can happen in diverse ways (1–4). Moreover, genetic differentiation between species cannot be investigated with the classical methods of genetics. With Mendelian methods, the presence of a gene is established by observing segregation in the progeny of crosses; but crosses between individuals from different species are characteristically not possible. Fortunately, the advent of molecular biology has opened up the investigation of the genetic changes associated with the speciation process. Thus, the proportion of genes that change during speciation has been ascertained in a variety of organisms (5–8). Moreover, DNA and protein sequence information has facilitated the reconstruction of phylogenetic relationships. Yet the DNA in the gene pool of species holds a largely untapped wealth of genetic and evolutionary information. In this paper we explore DNA sequence polymorphism in current human populations in order to shed light on some aspects of human evolution, particularly on the size of human ancestral populations as they evolved from one to another species.

Speciation by Founder Effect

The theories of “founder effect” speciation propose that speciation often occurs after a founder event or bottleneck; that is, when a new population is established by a pair or very few individuals, as may happen in the colonization of an island, or when an established population declines severely so that extremely few individuals survive, from which a population expands again (9–15). These theories claim that founder events and drastic bottlenecks are associated with random genetic drift, inbreeding, and selective changes that refashion the gene pool (“genetic revolution,” ref. 10) and thus increase the likelihood that a new species will arise.

The prevalence of founder events (or severe bottlenecks) in speciation is a matter of great interest and acrimonious debate. Some authors argue that founder effects prevail (3, 4), whereas others reject on theoretical grounds the purported genetic consequences associated with drastically reduced population numbers (3, 4, 16, 17).

The evolution from hominoid ancestors that lived a few million years ago to modern humans involved several transitional species, characterized by important biological changes, such as the evolution of bipedalism and a large brain. The issue arises whether these changes may have been associated with, and perhaps a consequence of, extreme population constrictions. It has been claimed, in particular, that a severe population bottleneck of only one pair or very few individuals preceded the evolution of modern humans. This claim has been erroneously founded on the inference that the mitochondrial DNA polymorphisms of modern humans can be traced to a single woman who lived some 200,000 years ago (18–20). As we shall show, DNA polymorphisms in the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) of humans and
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other primates manifest that no severe population bottleneck has occurred in human evolution.

The MHC

The MHC is an array of genetic loci that specify molecules which play roles in tissue compatibility and defense against foreign substances. The MHC is present in all mammals, birds, amphibians, and fishes, and it may in fact exist in all vertebrates (21, 22). MHC molecules present on the surfaces of certain cells bind fragments of proteins (antigens) and present them to thymus-derived lymphocytes (T cells) expressing T-cell receptors on their surfaces. The clone of T lymphocytes bearing receptors that match a particular combination of protein fragment and MHC molecule is stimulated, by the contact with the antigen-presenting cells, to proliferate and to initiate the specific arm of the immune response, including the secretion of specific antibodies. The MHC molecules thus protect against pathogens and parasites in general.

The recognition of protein fragments is mediated by a specialized groove on the surface of the MHC molecule, the so-called peptide-binding region (PBR) composed of some 50 amino acid residues (23–25). The composition of the amino acids in the PBR varies from one MHC molecule to another, and it is primarily this variation that is responsible for the tremendous polymorphism characteristic of the MHC molecules and their encoding genes. In people, as well as in some mammalian species (e.g., the house mouse), scores of alleles may exist at any one of several MHC loci, and some of the allelic pairs may differ by more than 100 nucleotide substitutions (26–29).

The human MHC, also referred to as the human leukocyte antigen (HLA) complex, consists of about 100 genes located on chromosome 6, extending over a DNA region that is four million nucleotide pairs in length. The MHC genes fall into two distinct classes, I and II, separated by a set of genes with functions mostly unrelated to the immune response (Fig. 1).

The origins of the MHC polymorphisms have been studied extensively in rodents (30–32) and primates (33–37). In both orders, convincing evidence has been obtained indicating that some of the allelic lineages at the MHC loci are several million years (Myr) old. We will review the allelic diversity found at one particular HLA class II locus, the DRB1 gene. Length constrains us from reporting other HLA loci, such as DPB1 and DQB1 (see Fig. 1), that lead to similar conclusions.

Trans-Specific Polymorphisms

We are interested in identifying trans-specific polymorphisms, that is, sets of allelic lineages that may have been passed from an ancestral species to its descendant species. Two ways are available for detecting trans-specific polymorphisms. The first method seeks to identify alleles in a species that are more different from each other than they are from alleles in a separate species. Consider a situation in which there are two alleles, 01 and 02, at a locus in species Z, which are both passed on to descendant species X and Y. In the new species the two alleles accumulate mutations, turning into alleles 0101, 0102, 0103, etc. and 0201, 0202, 0203, etc. which are different from one another and from the original alleles 01 and 02. If we compare these alleles, we find that some alleles of the same species, such as 0101 and 0201, are more different from each other than some alleles from different species, such as 0101 and 0103. If we now draw a tree depicting the descent of these alleles, it will not coincide with the phylogenetic tree of the species: the 01 and 02 alleles split from a common ancestor before the two species X and Y evolved from their common ancestral species Z.

An example of trans-species polymorphism is depicted in Fig. 2. Here, two human alleles at the DRB1 locus (HLA-DRB1*0302 and *0701) differ in the exon encoding the PBR by 31 nucleotide substitutions, whereas one of them (HLA-DRB1*0302) differs from the corresponding chimpanzee allele (Patr-DRB1*0305) by 13 substitutions, and the other (HLA-DRB1*0701) differs from the corresponding chimpanzee allele (Patr-DRB1*0702) by 2 substitutions only. In terms of genetic distance (the number of nucleotide differences divided by the total number of sites compared), each of the two human alleles is more closely related to a chimpanzee allele than the two human alleles are to each other. This relationship is reflected in a family tree of the four alleles, which shows that the two human alleles diverged from a common ancestral gene before the ancestors of the human and chimpanzee species separated from each other around 6 Myr ago.

The second method for detecting trans-species polymorphism depends on knowing the rate of evolution (i.e., rate of nucleotide substitution) for the particular gene. Genetic distances between alleles can then be transformed into times since divergence from the ancestral allele (Fig. 3). (In reality, the two methods are equivalent. Thus, if 80 DRB1 alleles
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*Fig. 1.* Location of some polymorphic genes within the HLA complex in human chromosome 6. There are two sets of genes, class I and class II, separated by a region with unrelated genes. The number of alleles known at a locus is written below the box that indicates the location of the gene.
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*Fig. 2.* Trans-species polymorphism manifested by the genealogy of four alleles at the MHC DRB1 locus in humans (HLA) and chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes, Patr). The two HLA allelic lineages predict the divergence of the human and chimpanzee lineages. The lengths of the horizontal branches are roughly proportional to the genetic distance, or amount of genetic change, separating the alleles.
The two-parameter method was used to sequence the 58 human alleles, which would show that many human alleles are more closely related to alleles from other primates than to one another. One practical reason not to represent all 138 alleles here is the enormous size of the required figure.

**Evolutionary History of the DRBI Gene Locus**

Exon 2 of the \(DRBI\) gene consists of 270 nucleotides that specify all the \(\beta\)-chain amino acids involved in peptide binding. No fewer than 38 distinct human alleles have been identified that differ in the nucleotide sequences. Fig. 3 is a phylogenetic reconstruction of the 58 alleles.

The time scale has been determined by the "minimum-minimum" method (39, 40), which is based on the comparison between pairs of species that share the same divergence node, such as the three pairs orangutan-human, orangutan-gorilla, and orangutan-chimpanzee. The minimum distance observed in such a set of comparisons will correspond to alleles that diverge at, or close to, the time of the species divergence. (Alleles that were polymorphic at the time of the species divergence will show larger distances than the minimum-minimum value.) A plot of minimum-minimum distances versus the corresponding divergence times gives an estimate of rate of evolution.

As can be seen in Fig. 3, all 58 \(DRBI\) alleles have persisted through the last 500,000 years, but coalesce into 44 ancestral lineages by 1.7 Myr before present (B.P.), 21 lineages by 6 Myr B.P., and 10 lineages by 13 Myr B.P. It is apparent that the \(DRBI\) polymorphism is ancient, so that numerous alleles have persisted through successive speciation events. In order to pass 58 alleles through the generations, no fewer than 29 individuals are required at any time. As we shall presently see, the size of the human populations needs to be much greater than that in order to retain the \(DRBI\) polymorphisms over the last few million years.

**Persistence of Polymorphisms**

What are the conditions under which we can expect a trans-specific passage of gene polymorphisms? There are several factors that determine the fate of gene polymorphisms during speciation. We will consider three of them: the time \(t\) elapsed since the divergence of the species, the selective value \(s\) of the alleles, and the size \(N\) of the gene pool.

Alleles can have positive, negative, or no selective value relative to preexisting alleles. An allele with a negative selective value is usually eliminated from the gene pool soon after its appearance and does not contribute to polymorphism.

The fate of an allele with no selective value (a neutral allele) depends on chance only: the allele can drift and eventually become lost or fixed (i.e., replace all other alleles at that locus). Theory says that a neutral allele that eventually becomes fixed takes on average \(4N\) generations (with a very large standard error) from its appearance by mutation to its fixation (38, 41), where \(N\) is the effective population size (which for our purposes is roughly the number of gene pairs at a given locus that are passed on from one generation to the next). In a population with \(N = 10^4\) individuals and generation time = 15 years, it will take on the average 60 Myr for a new neutral allele to become fixed; a polymorphism will persist.
through that time. If the generation time is 1 year, the polymorphism will persist for 4 Myr. 

If a new allele $O1$ has a positive selective value (it has a selective advantage, $s$, relative to another allele, $O2$), the expected time to fixation is less than $4N$ generations and is, approximately, $(2/s)\log(2N)$ generations (38, 41, 42). In the case of balancing selection due to overdominance (i.e., when heterozygotes have higher fitness than either homozygote) or frequency-dependent selection (i.e., if an allele is at an advantage when it is rare but becomes disadvantageous when it gets common), a polymorphism can persist without fixation for as long as the selection pressure persists (43). Moreover, a balanced polymorphism will create a barrier that slows down or completely hinders the fixation of neutral mutations that are so closely linked to the positively selected site that recombination occurs between the two only rarely (44).

The average duration of a species in the paleontological record is 4 Myr (45). Balanced as well as neutral polymorphisms may well persist longer than it takes one species to evolve into a different species, or to diverge into two or more species.

**Evolutionary Substitutions and Coalescence Theory**

Now that we have defined the conditions for the passage of trans-species polymorphisms, we shall turn the argument around and attempt to extrapolate from the existing polymorphisms to the events converting an ancestral gene pool to a new pool.

The coalescence theory examines the genealogical relationships between genes (see refs. 46 and 47). According to this theory, all alleles present in an extant pool must have descended from a single allele (to which they coalesce). For neutral alleles in a random mating population, the mean coalescence time is given by $4N(1 - (1/i))$ generations, where $i$ is the number of sampled genes. For any two genes ($i = 2$), the mean coalescence time reduces to $2N$ generations; for a large number of genes, the mean coalescence time approaches $4N$ generations. These relationships are important because, if we know the time when alleles coalesce, we can estimate $N$, the mean number of individuals in the species.

The coalescence theory was originally developed for neutral genes (48–52) but has been recently extended to allelic genealogies under balancing selection (43, 53, 54). In the latter situation, the theory has the same mathematical structure as in neutral gene genealogy except for a time-scaling factor, $f_i$. This factor is a function of population size $N$, selected mutation rate $u$, and selection coefficient $s$, and is given approximately by the formula

$$f_i = \frac{\sqrt{2Ns}}{2Nu} \left[ \frac{Ns}{8\pi(Nu)^2} \right]^{-3/2}.$$

Given $i$ sampled genes, the coalescence theory permits one to estimate the number of distinct genes, $j$, that existed at a certain time, with time measured in $2Nf_i$ generations. The allelic phylogeny of the $DRB1$ locus (Fig. 3) shows 58 HLA distinct alleles, of which 10 lineages were already in existence 13 Myr ago. The complete set coalesces 30 Myr ago, that is, before the emergence of the hominoids.

If we assume an average generation time of 15 years, the coalescence would occur two million generations ago. In the case of neutral genes, the expected time to coalescence, given by $4N$ generations, yields a value of $N = 500,000$ individuals as the long-term mean effective size of the species. This estimate has a large variance (see below).

In the case of balanced selection, the population size required for the maintenance of a polymorphism is smaller. On the assumption of overdominance, with a heterozygote advantage on the order of 0.01, and $10^{-8}$ selected mutation rate per site per generation, the HLA polymorphism at the $DRB1$ locus requires a mean effective size of 100,000 individuals over the last 30 Myr (55). Computer simulations lead to estimates also on the order of $10^9$ individuals as the long-term size of the ancestral populations leading to modern humans (53, 56, 57).

If we assume a mean population size of $10^9$ individuals and a long-term generation time of 15 years, the expected coalescence for neutral alleles is 6 Myr, which is much less than the 30 Myr coalescence of the $DRB1$ alleles. Although the coalescence estimate has a large variance, it seems that either our ancestral population was even larger than $10^9$ or, as assumed, balancing selection accounts for the long-term persistence of the MHC polymorphisms. The presence of balancing selection is supported by the analysis of the DNA sequences of HLA alleles. In codons specifying amino acids of the PBR, variation at the first and second positions is significantly higher than at the third position, and this observation is taken as evidence that positive selection acts on the first two positions (58, 59). Moreover, Hill et al. (refs. 60–62; see ref. 63) have shown that MHC polymorphism may increase resistance to Plasmodium falciparum, the parasite responsible for malignant malaria.

The estimates of the mean of the selection coefficient, $s$, that maintains the MHC polymorphisms vary from locus to locus, but range from 0.0007 to 0.019 (64). It seems unlikely that the selection coefficient would be in any case much larger than 0.01–0.03, but even large selection coefficients do not allow for the long-term persistence of polymorphisms except in the presence of large populations. For example, only 7 alleles can be maintained in a population of $N = 1000$, even with overdominant selection as unreasonably large as 0.3 (Fig. 4 in ref. 56).

**Population Bottlenecks and the Origin of Modern Humans**

We have concluded, assuming an overdominant selective advantage of 0.01, that the long-term effective population size of the human lineage for the last 30 Myr is 100,000 individuals or larger. When population size oscillates, smaller numbers have a large effect on the value of $N$, since the effective population size is the average mean of the population size over time. Thus, at nearly all times the population must have consisted of 100,000 or more individuals. The question, nevertheless, arises whether an occasional population bottleneck may have occurred, and how small could a bottleneck be. It has been suggested that a very narrow population bottleneck occurred at the transition from archaic to modern Homo sapiens, some 200,000 years ago (see refs. 18–20).

The consequences of a bottleneck depend not only on the size, $N_b$, of the bottleneck, but also on the number, $b_q$, of bottleneck generations. The role of $b_q$ is particularly significant in species with limited capacity for population increase. The rate of growth of human populations throughout the Pleistocene is estimated at 0.02% per generation (ref. 65, p. 279).

A useful measure for evaluating the effects of a bottleneck is the ratio $N_b/b_q$, which if smaller than 10 will have drastic effects in reducing genetic variation (53). Thus a bottleneck of 100 individuals would substantially reduce genetic variation if it would last 10 or more generations. Balancing selection facilitates the persistence of polymorphisms through a bottleneck. But because alleles behave as neutral whenever $N_s < 1$, if the selection is weak, such as $s = 0.01$, $N$ has to be correspondingly large, at least 100, for selection to play a role. The persistence of HLA polymorphisms over millions of years requires that the size of human ancestral populations be at least $N_s = 10$ at all times (43). If $s = 0.01$, the minimum population size possible at any time would be.
The minimum number must have been in fact much larger, because human population bottlenecks cannot last just a few generations, since many are required for a human population to grow from 1000 to the long-term mean of 100,000 individuals.

We have explored how small the population bottlenecks would be by computer simulations (Table 1). If a bottleneck lasts 10 generations and we ignore the time required for a population to grow back to its mean size, the smallest bottleneck that allows the persistence of 40 allelic lineages, out of 50 present before the bottleneck, with a probability of 95%, is 270–300 individuals. If the number of alleles passing through the bottleneck is 60 as in DRB1 (and assuming 70 alleles before the bottleneck), the minimum population size is 458–490. When we take into account the time required for the population to recover to its average size, the minimum population size at the bottleneck becomes substantially larger. For example, if we assume a rate of population increase of 1% per generation (which is 50 times greater than the average rate of growth of human populations throughout the Pleistocene; ref. 65, p. 279), a minimum effective population size of 2120–2180 individuals is required for passing 60 out of 70 alleles. (Recovery is simulated only to a population size of 5000 individuals in this case; recovery to 100,000 individuals will further increase the minimum population size.) Selection has very little effect under these conditions (compare the two top rows or the two bottom rows in Table 1). Reducing the probability of passing the polymorphisms to some value smaller than 95% is also of little consequence (Fig. 4).

The conclusion follows that human ancestral populations could never have been smaller than two or three thousand individuals at any time over the last several million years. This conclusion might be strengthened by taking into account that extensive polymorphisms exist at other HLA loci (and at other genes as well). For example, 63 primate alleles are known of the DQB1 gene, 17 of them in humans. As many as 14 DQB1 human alleles predate the origin of Homo erectus, 9 alleles predate the divergence of the human and chimpanzee lineages, and 7 alleles predate the divergence of the human and orangutan lineages. Another instance is the occurrence of multiallelic polymorphisms in the β-globin family that yield at least 17 haplotypes, the coalescence of which goes back to 450,000 years B.P. or earlier (66) and would be consistent with an effective population of 10,000 individuals through that time span.

Theories of Human Origins

The origin of anatomically modern humans, Homo sapiens sapiens, occurred around 200,000 years B.P. The transition

Table 1. Minimum population size at a bottleneck

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>s</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Alleles through bottleneck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>270–300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>292–302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>460–470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>750–790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>980–1010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>1540–1560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>2120–2180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>2140–2180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The two columns on the right give the minimum number of individuals required for passing either 40 (out of 50) or 60 (out of 70) alleles, with a 95% probability. The initial bottleneck is always 10 generations. In some cases it is assumed that the population grows at a rate R per generation before reaching the equilibrium size N. The selective value due to overdominance is s. Each value is based on 300 computer simulations.

Fig. 4. Probability that a number of alleles will persist after a bottleneck lasting 10 generations, as a function of population size at the bottleneck. The graphs represent averages of 300 computer simulations. (A) Probability that 40 alleles will persist out of 50 present at the start of the bottleneck; alleles are neutral (s = 0) and the population grows at a rate R = 1.01 per generation until it reaches a size N = 10,000 individuals. (B) Probability that 60 alleles will persist out of 70 present at the start of the bottleneck; heterozygotes have 1% fitness advantage over homozygotes (s = 0.01); R = 1.01; N = 5000. A probability of 95% requires minimum population sizes of 1540–1600 (A) and 2140–2180 (B) individuals. The probability increases sharply with population size and, thus, the minimum size is only moderately reduced for probabilities less than 0.95.

from H. erectus to H. sapiens happened around 500,000 years B.P., and the emergence of H. erectus occurred in Africa somewhat earlier than 1.7 Myr B.P. (67, 68). The hominid lineage diverged from the chimpanzee lineage about 6 Myr B.P., and the orangutan lineage diverged from the lineage of African apes and humans about 13 Myr B.P. H. erectus spread out of Africa shortly after its emergence from Homo habilis. Fossil remains of H. erectus are known from Indonesia (Java), China, and the Middle East, as well as Africa (68). H. erectus fossils from Java have been dated 1.81
± 0.04 and 1.66 ± 0.04 Myr B.P. (67). There are three models concerning the geographic origin of modern humans: candelabra, African replacement, and multiregional (Fig. 5).

The candelabra model was proposed by Carleton S. Coon (69), who argued that "Homo erectus evolved into Homo sapiens not once but five times, as each subspecies, living in its own territory, passed a critical threshold from a more brutal to a more sapiens state." Moreover, the threshold was crossed at different times, the Caucasoid race becoming sapiens first, whereas the Congoloids reached the sapiens condition some 200,000 years later. Coon's proposal was effectively criticized by several authors who pointed out the impossibility that the same species would evolve independently twice, let alone five times, in different regions and at different times (70). The candelabra model is, moreover, contradicted by fossil (65, 71) and molecular (ref. 53, pp. 13 and 19) evidence.

The African replacement model proposes that modern humans first arose in Africa somewhat prior to 100,000 years B.P. and from there spread throughout the world, replacing elsewhere the preexisting populations of H. erectus or archaic H. sapiens (72–74). One extreme version of this hypothesis (the Noah's Ark model) proposes that the transition of archaic to modern H. sapiens was associated with a very narrow bottleneck, consisting of only two or very few individuals who are the ancestors of all modern mankind.

The Noah's Ark model is supported by an interpretation of mitochondrial DNA analysis showing that the diverse mitochondrial DNA sequences found in modern humans coalesce to one ancestral sequence, the "mitochondrial Eve" or "mother of us all," that existed in Africa about 200,000 years ago (18–20). This conclusion has been challenged on grounds concerning (i) whether the coalescence is to Africa, (ii) the time of the coalescence, and (iii) the inference of a population bottleneck (e.g., ref. 75). The actual date of coalescence depends on assumptions about evolutionary rates. Based on a time of divergence between humans and chimpanzees of 6 Myr, the time to coalescence for mitochondrial DNA polymorphism has been recently estimated at 298,000 years B.P., with a 95% confidence interval of 129,000–536,000 years (76).

The inference that a narrow bottleneck occurred at the time of the coalescence is based on a confusion between gene genealogies and individual genealogies. Gene genealogies gradually coalesce towards a unique DNA ancestral sequence, whereas individual genealogies increase by a factor of 2 per generation: an individual has two parents in the previous generation, four ancestors in the generation before that, and so on. (The theoretical number of ancestors for any one individual becomes enormous after some tens of generations, but "inbreeding" occurs: after some generations, ancestors appear more than once in the genealogy.)

As we pointed out above, assuming an effective population of N individuals, mean coalescence is 4N generations for nuclear polymorphisms. Mitochondrial DNA is haploid and maternally inherited; hence, the mean coalescence is 2Nf, where Nf is the number of mothers. If we assume 20 years for a human generation throughout the Pleistocene, 298,000 years to coalescence implies an effective mean population size of 7450 mothers or an effective population size of 14,900 humans. The 95% confidence time estimate of 129,000–536,000 years yields 6,450–26,800 generations, corresponding to a mean effective population size of 6,450–26,800 individuals.

Thus there is one more factor to take into account in the calculations just made. We have used mean estimates to coalescence time, but these estimates have large variances. When the sample of genes is large, the standard deviation of the mean for nuclear genes is larger than 2N (ref. 41, eq. 13.74); for mitochondrial DNA it is larger than N/2. The 95% confidence interval for the number of generations to coalescence will correspondingly extend at the upper end to more than 2N (53,600) generations for mitochondrial DNA.

Thus the mitochondrial DNA sequence data are consistent with a mean effective population size between somewhat less than 10,000 and more than 50,000 individuals throughout the Pleistocene. This population size is, in turn, consistent with the estimate based on the HLA-DRB1 polymorphism of a mean population size of 100,000 individuals for human ancestors over the last 30–40 Myr. The mitochondrial DNA data are also consistent with the result that no bottleneck smaller than several thousand individuals could have happened in hominid history.

The multiregional model emphasizes regional continuity in the evolution from H. erectus to archaic H. sapiens, and later to anatomically modern humans. Several variations of this model have been formulated (71) that differ in the relative role given to two factors: (i) the prevalence of Africa in the gradual emergence of modern features, and (ii) the amount of migration between regions. At one extreme, the "African hybridization and replacement model" proposes that modern humans first evolved in Africa, but their dispersal throughout the world was accompanied by certain amount of hybridization with indigenous premodern populations (77, 78). A variant that might be called the "Levantine hybridization and replacement model" is statistically supported by a correlation analysis of morphological traits that favors the Middle East over Africa as the origin of modern human traits (79). At the other extreme, some authors deny a recent African origin for modern humans while emphasizing regional continuity and gene exchange throughout Africa, Europe, and Asia (80, 81). Other authors have proposed an intermediate "assimilation model" that gives preponderance to Africa in the emergence of anatomically modern traits but attributes major importance to gene flow and differential selection pressures resulting in morphological differentiation among regions (65, 82, 83).

The paleontological data favor some form of the multiregional model, but one that gives preponderance to Africa (or the Levant, according to ref. 79) as the locus where modern human traits first appear (71). There is evidence of regional
continuity, and also of the incorporation of traits from one into another population (65, 71).

**Molecular Evidence and the Multiregional Model**

Does the molecular evidence favor any particular model of the origin of modern humans? The HLA evidence contradicts the Noah’s Ark model and evinces that the ancestral population of modern humans was at no time smaller than several thousand individuals, a result also consistent with the mitochondrial DNA data.

Two recent molecular studies favor some degree of regional continuity over complete African replacement. The first study concerns polymorphisms in the genes for green and red visual pigments. Color vision in animals is mediated by light-sensitive pigments consisting of a chromophore covalently linked to a protein moiety (opsin). The genes coding for opsins in the red and green pigments are located on the long arm of chromosome X, whereas the one for the blue pigment is on chromosome 7 (84). In humans, the red and green opsin genes are highly homologous and consist of six exons. The duplication of these two genes has been dated to 30–40 Myr B.P., shortly after the divergence of the Old- and New-World primates.

The green and red opsin genes have now been sequenced in a sample of 16 chimpanzees, 7 gorillas, and 4 orangutans, yielding a total of 14 biallelic polymorphic sites (all in either exon 2 or 4) (84). Six of these polymorphisms are also found in humans, which indicates that they are of ancient origin predating the divergence of humans and apes.

One of these trans-specific polymorphisms involves the amino acid residue 65, which in the green opsin gene of orangutans and humans can be either threonine or isoleucine (84). The relevant result is that this polymorphism has been found in Caucasians (the Ile-65 allele in 4 out of 120 individuals) but not in a sample of 56 individuals of African ancestry and 49 of Asian ancestry (84). It is possible that the Ile-65 allele may eventually be found in African populations. It is also possible that it may have been lost from African and Asian populations in recent times, that is, after the emergence of modern humans. But since this polymorphism is millions of years old, loss of the allele over the long period since the migration of *H. erectus* out of Africa is more likely than a recent loss. In the replacement model, migrants from Africa colonize other parts of the world and replace any preexisting populations within the last 200,000 years. It would seem unlikely that the polymorphism would have been passed on to Caucasian populations and become thereafter lost in the larger African population. Thus, the opsin polymorphism argues (mildly) against a complete replacement of the Caucasian gene pool by African populations.

The second example concerns an autosomal recessive disorder in lipid metabolism due to the absence of apolipoprotein C-II, the physiological activator of lipoprotein lipase, a key enzyme in very low density lipoprotein metabolism. Two deleterious alleles, one from a Venezuelan Caucasian family and one from a Japanese family, share a frameshift mutation suggesting common ancestry (85). These two mutants diverged from the normal allele at least 2 Myr B.P. (85). The persistence of two defective alleles over such a long time is a puzzle, perhaps a consequence of small heterozygote advantage. But this persistence (i) argues against extremely small population bottlenecks throughout Pleistocene human history, and (ii) favors the conclusion that European and Asian *H. erectus* have contributed to the gene pool of modern *H. sapiens* (85).

We are grateful to Drs. W. M. Fitch, R. R. Hudson, and Y. Satta for reading the manuscript and offering suggestions, and to Dr. E. Barrio for help with the figures. Research in molecular evolution by the senior author is supported by National Institutes of Health Grant GM42397. A.E. is supported by a fellowship from the Banco Interamericano de Desarrollo–Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas Program, Venezuela.
