UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Longitudinal clinical and biomarker characteristics of non-manifesting LRRK2 G2019S carriers in the PPMI cohort.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/83h7p6sz>

Journal NPJ Parkinson's disease, 8(1)

ISSN

2373-8057

Authors

Simuni, Tanya Merchant, Kalpana Brumm, Michael C [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/83h7p6sz#author)

Publication Date

2022-10-01

DOI

10.1038/s41531-022-00404-w

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Check for updates ARTICLE **OPEN** Longitudinal clinical and biomarker characteristics o[f](http://crossmark.crossref.org/dialog/?doi=10.1038/s41531-022-00404-w&domain=pdf) nonmanifesting LRRK2 G2019S carriers in the PPMI cohort

Tanya Simuni D^{[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2347-1644)⊠}, Kalpana Merchant¹, Michael C. Brumm², Hyunkeun Cho², Chelsea Caspell-Garcia², Christopher S. Coffey², Lana M. Chahine³, Roy N. Alcalay^{4,5}, Kelly Nudelman <mark>O</mark>^{[6](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4040-1717)}, Tatiana Fo[r](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3775-5082)oud⁶, Brit Mollenhauer⁷, Andrew Siderowf⁸, Caroline Tanner <mark>O</mark>^{[9](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3775-5082)}, Hirotaka Iwaki¹⁰, Todd Sherer¹¹, Kenneth Marek¹² and Parkinson's Progression Marker Initiative Authors*

We examined 2-year longitudinal change in clinical features and biomarkers in LRRK2 non-manifesting carriers (NMCs) versus healthy controls (HCs) enrolled in the Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI). We analyzed 2-year longitudinal data from 176 LRRK2 G2019S NMCs and 185 HCs. All participants were assessed annually with comprehensive motor and non-motor scales, dopamine transporter (DAT) imaging, and biofluid biomarkers. The latter included cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) Abeta, total tau and phospho-tau; serum urate and neurofilament light chain (NfL); and urine bis(monoacylglycerol) phosphate (BMP). At baseline, LRRK2 G2019S NMCs had a mean (SD) age of 62 (7.7) years and were 56% female. 13% had DAT deficit (defined as <65% of age/sexexpected lowest putamen SBR) and 11% had hyposmia (defined as ≤15th percentile for age and sex). Only 5 of 176 LRRK2 NMCs developed PD during follow-up. Although NMCs scored significantly worse on numerous clinical scales at baseline than HCs, there was no longitudinal change in any clinical measures over 2 years or in DAT binding. There were no longitudinal differences in CSF and serum biomarkers between NMCs and HCs. Urinary BMP was significantly elevated in NMCs at all time points but did not change longitudinally. Neither baseline biofluid biomarkers nor the presence of DAT deficit correlated with 2-year change in clinical outcomes. We observed no significant 2-year longitudinal change in clinical or biomarker measures in LRRK2 G2019S NMCs in this large, well-characterized cohort even in the participants with baseline DAT deficit. These findings highlight the essential need for further enrichment biomarker discovery in addition to DAT deficit and longer follow-up to enable the selection of NMCs at the highest risk for conversion to enable future prevention clinical trials.

npj Parkinson's Disease (2022) 8:140 ; https://doi.org/[10.1038/s41531-022-00404-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41531-022-00404-w)

INTRODUCTION

Slowing or preventing the progression of Parkinson's disease (PD) remains a major unmet goal. To this end, therapeutic interventions that target pathogenic mechanisms in genetically-defined subgroups offer an attractive strategy. Along with GBA, pathogenic variants in the gene encoding leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) represent the most common genetic cause of PD, with G2019S being the most prevalent pathogenic variant. Not surprisingly, a pipeline of $LRRK2$ -targeted therapies is in clinical development^{[1](#page-7-0)}. . Although current studies target populations with manifest PD, intervention in the pre-manifest phase of the disease offers an opportunity for disease prevention. Thus, non-manifesting carriers (NMCs) of LRRK2 pathogenic variants are a unique prodromal PD population for disease prevention studies. However, to enable such trials two major knowledge gaps must be addressed. First, establish the trajectory of clinical and biomarker changes during the prodromal stage in LRRK2 NMCs and second, elucidate clinical outcomes and/or biomarkers that can identify the LRRK2 NMC subgroup at the highest risk of developing PD. The latter is especially critical because LRRK2 pathogenic variants have a low, variable $(25-40%)$ $(25-40%)$ $(25-40%)$ and age-modified lifelong penetrance². . Although there is a growing body of literature examining motor, non-motor and biomarker characteristics of $LRRK2$ NMCs^{[3](#page-7-0)–} , there are limited longitudinal data from large prospective studies. We have previously reported the presence of subtle motor and nonmotor signs of PD in LRRK2 NMCs compared to healthy controls (HCs) enrolled in the Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI) study in cross-sectional analysis but indicated that longitudinal data will be essential to confirm the findings and establish trajectory and baseline predictors of progression in that cohort^{[6](#page-8-0)}. .

The objectives of the present analysis were to: (1) systematically evaluate 2-year longitudinal change in clinical and dopamine transporter (123-I Ioflupane (DatScan®)) imaging (DATscan) characteristics of LRRK2 NMCs compared with HCs enrolled in the PPMI study; (2) report for the first time baseline and longitudinal data on an array of biofluid biomarkers; and (3) assess baseline predictors of progression.

RESULTS

Baseline demographic and DAT imaging characteristics

176 LRRK2 G2019S NMCs and 185 HCs were included in the analysis. Five NMCs and no HCs developed PD in the course of the study (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). Baseline demographics, PD family history, presence of hyposmia, presence of a DAT deficit, and percent of participants meeting MDS prodromal research criteria above 80% are presented in Table [1](#page-2-0). The demographic characteristics and comparison to HCs are consistent with our previous report^{[6](#page-8-0)}. . Considering that hyposmia is a significant prodromal risk for PD in general, University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification test (UPSIT)

¹Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, USA. ²The University of lowa, USA. ³University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, USA. ⁴Neurological Institute, Tel Aviv Sourasky Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel. ⁵Department of Neurology, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA. ⁶Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, USA. ⁷University Medical Center Goettingen Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany. ⁸The University of Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania, USA. ⁹University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, USA. 10National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, USA. 11The Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research, New York, USA. 12Institute for Neurodegenerative Disorders New York, New York, USA. *A list of authors and their affiliations appears at the end of the paper. [⊠]email: tsimuni@nmff.org

Data are mean (SD) or n (%) unless otherwise stated. DAT deficit defined as <65% age/sex-expected lowest putamen SBR. Ethnicity and race were missing for one LRRK2 G2019S subject. p values for SBR measures were adjusted for age and sex using inverse probability weighting; all other p values were found using chi-square and t-tests. LRRK2 G2019S converters reflect a subset of the overall LRRK2 G2019S group. UPSIT University of Pennsylvania Smell Identification Test, DAT dopamine transporter, SBR specific binding ratio, MDS International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society.

scores are presented as raw values and by different percentile cut offs. There was no difference in severe hyposmia (≤ 15 th percentile for age and sex) between NMCs and HCs but a higher percent of NMCs (41% vs 27%) had moderately reduced sense of smell. A minority (13%) of NMCs had a DAT deficit, which was not significantly different ($p = 0.0546$) compared to HCs. Only 7% of NMCs met MDS prodromal criteria.

Clinical and biological characteristics of the participants who developed PD ("phenoconverters")

5 NMCs developed clinically defined PD at the time of data analysis. Baseline demographic characteristics of these participants are presented in Table 1 and longitudinal clinical characteristics compared to the rest of the cohort are presented in Suppl. Table 4. Considering the small sample size, no statistical comparisons were performed. These participants were older and all females (Table 1). As expected, they had higher baseline and longitudinal Movement Disorders Society Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS) total and subscores. 4 of 5 had baseline DAT data available and all showed DAT deficit (Table 1). Only 2 of 5 had hyposmia and 3 of 5 met MDS prodromal criteria at baseline. The diagnosis of PD was made within 12 months of baseline in 4 participants and at 48 months in 1. None of the participants developed other neurodegenerative conditions.

LONGITUDINAL DATA

Clinical characteristics

Longitudinal change in a wide range of clinical characteristics in NMCs at the group level were compared to HCs (Table [2](#page-3-0)). Consistent with our previous report^{[6](#page-8-0)}, at baseline, NMCs scored significantly worse than HCs on numerous clinical scales. However, there was no significant longitudinal change in any of these

measures over 2 years. Since DAT deficit signifies the presence of presynaptic striatal dopaminergic deficiency, analyses of the longitudinal change in clinical characteristics in NMCs subdivided by DAT deficit (<65% versus above) (Table [3\)](#page-4-0) was performed. There was no significant group by time effect, indicating that there was no difference between groups in the longitudinal change from baseline to follow-up; though it is noteworthy that few participants had a baseline DAT deficit (13%). We repeated the same analysis dichotomizing the carriers on the baseline degree of hyposmia (≤15th percentile or above) and similarly did not observe a significant group by time effect (Suppl. Table 1).

DAT imaging

There also was no significant longitudinal decline in caudate $(p = 0.1929)$, putamen $(p = 0.0865)$ or striatum $(p = 0.0910)$ specific binding ratios (SBRs) in NMCs. There was a trend but no significant difference in SBR values at 2-year follow-up in NMCs compared to HCs at baseline after adjusting for multiple comparisons (Suppl. Table 2).

Biofluid biomarker characteristics

We analysed biofluid biomarkers in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) Abeta, total tau and phospho-tau; and serum urate and neurofilament light chain (NfL) (Table [4](#page-5-0)). There were no differences in any of these biomarkers between NMCs and HC at baseline and no difference in longitudinal changes between groups. Of note, CSF AD biomarkers are presented as median (range) values as well as above or below cut-offs established in AD literature for the sake of comparison and cross referencing^{[7,8](#page-8-0)}. We also ran analyses of urine bis(monoacylglycerol) phosphate (BMP) as a biomarker of lysosomal dysfunction previously shown to be elevated in LRRK2 cohorts^{[9](#page-8-0)}. Consistent with our published data, there was significant baseline elevation of all tested urinary BMP species in NMCs compared to HCs (Table [4](#page-5-0)). Relative to HCs, levels

Data are mean (SD) or n (%) unless otherwise stated. Five or fewer participants per group missed any one assessment except for LRRK2 G2019S carriers at year 1 (six missed the MDS-UPDRS total score and RBDSQ) and year 2 (10 missed the SCOPA-AUT; six missed the STAI). p values were found using generalized estimating equations (with inverse probability weighting to adjust for age and sex) modeling the change from baseline at follow-up (continuous outcomes) or the binary response at follow-up (categorical outcomes) while adjusting for the baseline value. Significance level for comparisons is $p < 0.0038$ (after Bonferroni correction).

*Exclusion criteria for healthy controls included a baseline MOCA score < 27, but a waiver was granted to one individual with a baseline score of 26. MDS-UPDRS Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale. MOCA Montreal Cognitive Assessment. GDS-15 Geriatric Depression Scale (15 item). SCOPA-AUT Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's Disease-Autonomic. QUIP Questionnaire for Impulsive-Compulsive Disorders in Parkinson's Disease. RBDSQ REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire.

for NMCs were higher by 3.8-fold (95% CI 3.1–4.5) for total di-18:1- BMP, 6.1-fold (95% CI 5.3–7.1) for total di-18:1-BMP, and 7.4-fold (6.4–8.6) for 2,2′-di-22:6 BMP. However, there was no longitudinal change in any BMP species in NMCs (Suppl Table 3). CSF alphasynuclein measures were not available, as they are still under analysis. The polygenic risk score did not differ between NMCs and HCs.

Association of biofluid biomarkers with clinical and DAT imaging characteristics

Utilizing MDS-UPDRS Part III score as a measure of evolving motor parkinsonism, none of the fluid biomarkers included in our array correlated with baseline or longitudinal change in MDS- UPDRS Part III (data not shown). We repeated the analysis looking at correlation between baseline polygenic risk score, biofluid biomarkers, and baseline DAT (mean striatum SBR). The only significant correlations were with the total di-22:6 (Spearman's rho = -0.28 ; 95% CI -0.42 to -0.13) and 2,2'-di-22:6 (-0.27; -0.41 to -0.12) BMP species (Table [5](#page-6-0)). However, there was no correlation of any baseline biofluid biomarker level, including polygenic risk score and BMP, with the 2-year change in mean striatum SBR (Table [5\)](#page-6-0). There was no significant effect of baseline serum urate level on change in any of the clinical characteristics (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

We present the longitudinal clinical, DAT imaging, and biofluid biomarker data for a large LRRK2 G2019S NMC cohort compared to HCs. To our knowledge, this is the largest comprehensive longitudinal dataset reported to date. These data prompt several novel observations that are highly relevant to future PD prevention trials.

Consistent with slow progression and low penetrance, only 5/ 176 carriers developed clinically defined PD. These observations highlight the essential importance of identifying clinical and biological markers for the enrichment of the NMC cohort to identify those with the greatest risk of developing PD for future therapeutic interventions. We have systematically explored a number of such predictors here.

We and others have previously reported higher prevalence of PD motor and non-motor features in LRRK2 G2019S NMCs compared to HCs in cross-sectional studies^{[3](#page-7-0)-[5,10](#page-8-0)-[12](#page-8-0)}. However, our data do not show any significant longitudinal change in these clinical outcomes. The most likely explanation is the relatively slow progression of the phenotypic changes, consistent with incomplete penetrance of this variant and lack of risk-based enrichment in this cohort.

MDS prodromal research criteria were developed to identify participants with higher likelihood of prodromal PD^{[13](#page-8-0),14}. MDS prodromal criteria have shown a wide range of positive predictive values (19–81%) in prodromal cohorts and perform best in REM sleep behavior disorder (RBD) cohorts, consistent with the fact that they are heavily weighted for the presence of idiopathic RBD^{15-[17](#page-8-0)}. Only 7% of our NMCs met MDS prodromal criteria at baseline, which may explain the lack of meaningful progression in our cohort. On the other hand, only 60% of the converters met the MDS prodromal criteria at baseline suggesting that other risk factors may underlie the progression to clinical PD in LRRK2 G2019S carriers. MDS prodromal criteria have been applied in another LRRK2 G2019S cohort and showed 47% positive predictive value over 5 years^{[12](#page-8-0)}. In aggregate, these findings argue that MDS criteria are less sensitive in LRRK2 cohorts and additional variables

Data are mean (SD) or n (%) unless otherwise stated. DAT deficit defined as <65% age/sex-expected lowest putamen specific binding ratio (eight LRRK2 G2019S carriers are excluded due to missing baseline DAT data). Among subgroup with baseline DAT deficit, two or fewer participants missed any one assessment; among subgroup without baseline DAT deficit, five or fewer participants missed any one assessment except for the SCOPA-AUT at year 2 (seven missing values). p values were found using generalized estimating equations modeling the change from baseline at follow-up (continuous outcomes) or the binary response at follow-up (categorical outcomes) while adjusting for the baseline value. Significance level for comparisons is $p < 0.0038$ (after Bonferroni correction).

DAT dopamine transporter, MDS-UPDRS Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale, MOCA Montreal Cognitive Assessment, GDS-15 Geriatric Depression Scale (15-item), SCOPA-AUT Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's Disease-Autonomic, QUIP Questionnaire for Impulsive-Compulsive Disorders in Parkinson's Disease, RBDSQ REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire.

will be important to identify the population at the highest risk of progression. These findings also highlight the essential need for biomarker enrichment of LRRK2 G2019S NMC cohorts to identify participants at risk for progression.

The presence of DAT deficit is the strongest biomarker predictor of progression to clinically defined PD in RBD and hyposmic prodromal cohorts $18,19$ $18,19$ $18,19$ and should be an important enrichment biomarker for genetic NMC cohorts as well. Reduction of SBR values in LRRK2 G2019S NMCs compared to HCs was reported by other groups, though in smaller cohorts and cross-sectional observations^{[5](#page-8-0),[4](#page-8-0),[11](#page-8-0),20,21}. Only 13% of the LRRK2 G2019S NMCs had baseline DAT deficit, which is the likely explanation for the lack of significant progression using a range of outcome measures. However, there was no significant progression on any clinical measure even in the sub-group of participants with DAT deficit (Table 3). At least three possibilities may have contributed to this observation: the relatively small number of participants with DAT deficit at baseline, short observation period (2 years), or a slower progression rate in LRRK2 G2019S NMCs compared to other prodromal cohorts. Further clarification of this observation is of essential importance for experimental therapeutics and will require longer follow-up in larger cohorts. Enrichment based on DAT deficit was not applied in the original PPMI NMC cohorts as it was essential to establish the prevalence of such in the overall study population. Current PPMI enrollment criteria include enrichment based on DAT deficit; however, the cut-off value (60–80%) will be established from the prospective longitudinal study. Our analyses here indicate a major challenge for DAT deficit-based enrichment of LRRK2 NMC cohorts. Specifically, >80% of currently enrolled LRRK2 G2019S NMCs will not qualify for the high-risk prodromal group if we apply the cut-off of <65%

DAT deficit. Thus, additional enrichment strategies are required for the LRRK2 NMC prodromal group.

Hyposmia is a common prodromal feature in PD and has been used for staged enrichment of prodromal cohorts¹⁹. However, it is less common in LRRK2 PD and specifically in G2019S carriers⁴. . Consistent with our and others' previous reports, the prevalence of severe hyposmia in LRRK2 G2019S NMCs was low and baseline hyposmia did not associate with longitudinal change in any clinical variables. Notably, though, baseline hyposmia was associated with higher odds of baseline DAT deficit (odds ratio=3.52, 95% CI 1.10 to 11.27).

The strongest and most novel attribute of our dataset is the richness of biomarker characterization. We structured analyses to assess if any of the tested biomarkers: (1) separated NMCs from HCs; (2) demonstrated longitudinal change; or (3) were associated with baseline or longitudinal changes in DAT or motor parkinsonian features. None of the CSF or serum biomarkers tested had significant findings in any of these analyses. Confirming our previously reported data, NMCs had significantly elevated BMP levels at baseline compared to HCs^{[9](#page-8-0)}. Baseline BMP levels correlated with baseline mean striatum SBRs but did not show longitudinal change and did not correlate with the 2-year change in DAT values. In a separate analysis (not shown) there was no significant difference in BMP levels between LRRK2 NMCs and LRRK2 with PD. As such BMP is an important biomarker to study LRRK2-driven pathogenic mechanisms or modulation of LRRK2 activity by therapeutics (i.e., target modulation biomarker) but does not appear to be a biomarker of progression or risk to develop PD in prodromal individuals. CSF Abeta, total tau or p-tau levels did not differ between LRRK2 G2019S NMCs versus HCs. These indices are of interest considering that some LRRK2 carriers have tau rather than alpha-synuclein pathology 22 22 22 but a direct test

Table 5. Correlations between baseline biomarkers vs baseline and longitudinal change in DAT specific binding ratios among LRRK2 G2019S carriers.

| Baseline Biomarker | Correlation with baseline mean striatum SBR | | | Correlation with 2-year percent change from baseline in mean striatum SBR | | |
|-------------------------|---|----------------|-----------|--|----------------|-----------|
| | N Obs | Spearman's Rho | p value | N Obs | Spearman's Rho | p value |
| Polygenic risk score | 155 | -0.10 | 0.2304 | 115 | 0.03 | 0.7513 |
| Serum urate | 140 | 0.02 | 0.8513 | 101 | -0.01 | 0.8880 |
| CSF Abeta (quintile) | 143 | -0.06 | 0.4876 | 105 | 0.13 | 0.2016 |
| CSF tau (quintile) | 143 | 0.02 | 0.7903 | 105 | 0.15 | 0.1296 |
| CSF p-tau (quintile) | 143 | 0.07 | 0.3942 | 105 | 0.14 | 0.1511 |
| Serum NfL | 135 | 0.13 | 0.1342 | 114 | -0.03 | 0.7724 |
| Urine total di-18:1-BMP | 164 | -0.15 | 0.0523 | 119 | -0.06 | 0.5067 |
| Urine total di-22:6-BMP | 164 | -0.28 | $0.0003*$ | 119 | -0.03 | 0.7298 |
| Urine 2.2-di-22:6-BMP | 164 | -0.27 | $0.0005*$ | 119 | -0.04 | 0.6932 |

Correlation coefficients and p values were computed using Spearman partial rank-order correlations controlling for age and sex (correlations with polygenic risk score also controlled for the first five principal components of the genetic data; correlations with 2-year change in DAT also controlled for mean striatum SBR at baseline).

DAT dopamine transporter. SBR specific binding ratio. CSF cerebrospinal fluid. NfL neurofilament light chain. BMP bis(monoacylglycerol)phosphate. *Significance level for comparisons is $p < 0.0056$ (after Bonferroni correction).

would require tau imaging studies in LRRK2 pathogenic variant carriers. Polygenic risk score also was not associated with the change in DAT.

We recognize that our study has several limitations. First, we do not have data on CSF monomeric or aggregated alpha-synuclein levels since the biosamples have not been fully analyzed for these markers. However, based on previous reports, we do not expect to see a significant longitudinal change in monomeric or aggregated alpha-synuclein²³. Alpha-synuclein seed amplification assays (SAA; also termed PMCA or RTQuIC), while still only qualitative, have a high potential to detect prodromal alpha-synuclein pathology^{[24](#page-8-0),[25](#page-8-0)} and thereby represent a promising biomarker for the enrichment of an at-risk population. In the PPMI cohorts, alpha-synuclein SAAs on the CSF and skin are being conducted currently and data will be shared once available. These studies could help identify the stage at which alpha-synuclein pathology develops in prodromal participants. SAA data will be of paramount importance in all prodromal cohorts, and specifically in LRRK2 carriers, to confirm the presence of alpha-synuclein driven pathology to select individuals appropriate for alpha-synuclein targeted interventions.

We and others have reported several LRRK2-associated biomarkers including blood metabolomics, urinary proteome, and inflammatory markers $26-29$ $26-29$ $26-29$. In addition, LRRK2-associated pharmacodynamic biomarkers have also been developed as reviewed recently^{27,[30](#page-8-0)}. Some of these distinguish *LRRK2* manifest and NMCs from sporadic PD and HC. However, none have been shown to correlate with longitudinal disease progression. Importantly, specific biomarkers/panels from these studies are being tested on PPMI biosamples to assess their utility as prognostic or progression markers. There are few pilot studies showing promising data on novel functional MRI sequence imaging biomarkers that will require further testing^{[31](#page-8-0)}. Digital biomarkers offer tremendous promise for baseline enrichment and longitudinal follow-up of the NMC cohorts 32 . These are being collected in PPMI and other cohorts and will be reported as the data become available. Lastly, our analysis was restricted to G2019S LRRK2 carriers. While G2019S is the most common LRRK2 pathogenic variant, it is not the only one. While we identified 16 R1441G NMCs, the sample size was too small to run any comparative analysis and considering data on difference in phenotypic characteristics and progression, we decided not to combine the analysis (see Suppl. Table 5). Other studies targeting diverse ethnic populations will have to address LRRK2 variant dependent variance in progression.

In conclusion, we did not identify baseline predictors or longitudinal change in clinical, DAT, and biofluid biomarker characteristics in a large LRRK2 G2019S NMC cohort. These findings are of essential importance for the research community and highlight the challenges of designing clinical trials in LRRK2 G2019S NMCs both from the feasibility standpoint (number of participants to be screened versus the number who will qualify) and essential need for further enrichment biomarker discovery in addition to DAT deficit.

More longitudinal data and larger comprehensive biomarker panels will be necessary to identify LRRK2 G2019S NMCs at the highest risk of progression. It also will be essential to define multimodal progression trajectories that ultimately predict the development of PD in a time period amenable to future disease prevention therapeutic interventions.

METHODS

Study design

Data used in the preparation of this manuscript were obtained from the PPMI database ([www.ppmi-info.org/data\)](http://www.ppmi-info.org/data). The aims and methodology of the study have been published elsewhere $33,34$ $33,34$. Study protocol and manuals are available at [www.ppmi-info.org/](http://www.ppmi-info.org/study-design) [study-design.](http://www.ppmi-info.org/study-design) The data used for this paper were downloaded on June 30, 2020 and reflect LRRK2 NMCs enrolled between September 2013 and May 2019 from 33 participating sites worldwide.

Participants

The LRRK2 NMC cohort enrolled male or female participants age 45 years or older at baseline with a LRRK2 pathogenic variant confirmed by the Genetic Coordination Core. Participants were excluded if they had a clinical diagnosis of PD based on established diagnostic criteria^{[35](#page-8-0)} or conditions that precluded safe performance of lumbar puncture. Recruitment of the NMC cohort was done via participating sites and a centralized recruitment initiative, described previously, specifically targeting first-degree relatives of PD patients of Ashkenazi Jewish descent^{[36](#page-8-0)}. The study was approved by the institutional review board at each site, and participants provided written informed consent. HCs were enrolled in PPMI based on previously published inclusion and exclusion criteria; notably, they were required to have a Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) score \geq 27 at enrollment³⁴. Due to

LRRK2 pathogenic variants testing for the NMC cohort

Genetic analysis was performed by the PPMI genetic core. Briefly, qualified individuals underwent screening for the LRRK2 G2019S pathogenic variants. Results were provided by phone by certified genetic counselors at Indiana University or by site-qualified personnel³⁶. In addition, LRRK2 carrier data from genetic platforms including whole genome sequencing were downloaded from the PPMI database to identify pathogenic variant carriers in the broader PPMI cohort. Our analysis focused on LRRK2 G2019S NMCs $(N = 176)$. As such, we excluded participants with other LRRK2 pathogenic variants including R1441G due to small sample size $(N = 16)$. We also excluded 19 LRRK2 NMCs and 2 HCs who had pathogenic variants of GBA1. In addition, a polygenic risk score (PRS) was constructed from 89 loci associated with PD risk, which excluded the G2019S variant, using previously published methodology³⁷.

Study outcomes

All participants enrolled in PPMI undergo a standard test battery of assessments described in detail previously^{[7,8](#page-8-0)}. The clinical battery relevant to this analysis included the MDS-UPDRS, MoCA, 15-item Geriatric Depression Scale, Scale for Outcomes in PD-Autonomic (SCOPA-AUT), State and Trait Anxiety Scale (STAI), Modified Schwab and England Activities of Daily Living Scale, Questionnaire for Impulsive-Compulsive Disorders in Parkinson's Disease (QUIP), Epworth Sleepiness Scale (ESS), RBD Screening Questionnaire (RBDSQ) and UPSIT. UPSIT was analyzed based on age/sex-specific percentiles derived from normative data. All participants are expected to undergo DATscan at baseline and then every other year to assess dopamine transporter (DAT) binding analyzed according to the PPMI imaging technical operations manual [\(http://ppmi-info.org/](http://ppmi-info.org/))^{[8](#page-8-0)}. We applied quantitative DATscan analysis using previously described methods to determine the minimum putamen specific binding ratio (SBR) and <65% age/sex-expected lowest putamen SBR was used as a cut-off for DAT deficit³⁴. Of note, HCs were enrolled based on the visual assessment of DATscan (normal versus abnormal) as per regulatory approval of DATscan^{[38](#page-8-0)}. Visual and quantitative DAT have high but not 100% correlation^{[39](#page-8-0)}. Thus, some HCs had DAT deficit based on the quantitative analysis. Prodromal risk score was calculated based on previously published criteria^{[14](#page-8-0)}. PPMI collects an array of biofluid biomarkers [\(www.ppmi-info.org/](http://www.ppmi-info.org/access-data-specimens/specimens) [access-data-specimens/specimens\)](http://www.ppmi-info.org/access-data-specimens/specimens). Not all such measures are currently available since the analytes are measured in batches. The available biofluid biomarkers included CSF Abeta, total tau and phosphor(181)-tau; serum urate and NfL; and urine BMP. The analytical methods for monitoring these biomarkers have been published previously^{[7,9](#page-8-0),18}.

Phenoconversion

Progression to a clinically defined diagnosis of PD or other degenerative disorder (phenoconversion) is determined by the site investigator based on established diagnostic criteria. The clinical and biomarkers dataset of every phenoconverted participant is reviewed by a panel of experts (concensus committee) who adjudicate on the final diagnosis.

Statistical methods

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS/ STAT 15.1; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) and R version 4.1.3 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). Baseline demographics were compared using chi-squared and t tests (Fisher's exact and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests where appropriate) at $\alpha = 0.05$. Cross-sectional comparisons of biofluid and imaging biomarkers, conducted using the SAS/STAT CAUSALTRT procedure, applied inverse probability weighting methods to control for age and sex. Within LRRK2 G2019S NMCs, associations between baseline biofluids and striatal DAT SBR were assessed using Spearman partial rank-order correlations controlling for age and sex, with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) obtained using Fisher's z transformation.

All models were fit using generalized estimating equations (GEE) with an autoregressive correlation structure. For most clinical and biological outcomes, group mean trajectories did not support a linear trend assumption because the outcomes in years 1 and 2 were relatively comparable. Instead, we treated year 1 and year 2 as analogous "follow-up" visits (i.e., considered them equivalent with respect to time). To compare longitudinal changes between groups, GEE with inverse probability weighting to control for age and sex, conducted using the R geepack package (because an analagous SAS procedure was unavailable), modeled the change from baseline at follow-up (continuous outcomes) or binary response at follow-up (categorical outcomes) while adjusting for the baseline value. Within LRRK2 G2019S NMCs, the effects of baseline DAT deficit and hyposmia on longitudinal clinical changes were assessed using GEE, conducted using the SAS/STAT GENMOD procedure, modeling the change from baseline at follow-up (continuous outcomes) or binary response at follow-up (categorical outcomes) while adjusting for the baseline value. Additionally, change from baseline to follow-up in striatal DAT SBR (measured at baseline and year 2 only) and urine BMP (measured at baseline, year 1, and year 2) were assessed using one-way t tests and GEE, respectively.

For all applicable analyses, serum NfL and urine BMP values were log-transformed and CSF biomarkers were assigned to quintile categories or dichotomized using established cutoffs^{[7](#page-8-0)}. For ease of interpretation, the PRS was normalized by rescaling to mean=0 and standard deviation=1; also, analyses were adjusted for age, sex, and the first five principal components of the genetic data (representing population structure).

All statistical tests were two-sided. To account for multiple comparisons, we applied a family-wise error rate to each set of analyses. Specifically, a Bonferroni correction, computed as 0.05/ number of family-wise hypotheses tested per table, was applied to Tables [2](#page-3-0)–[5](#page-6-0) and applicable supplementary tables.

DATA AVAILABILITY

PPMI is an open access dataset. Data used in the preparation of this manuscript were obtained from the PPMI database [\(www.ppmi-info.org/data\)](http://www.ppmi-info.org/data). Study protocol and manuals are available at www.ppmi-info.org/study-design. The data used for this paper were downloaded on June 30, 2020.

Received: 2 June 2022; Accepted: 29 September 2022; Published online: 22 October 2022

REFERENCES

- 1. McFarthing, K., Rafaloff, G., Baptista, M. A. S., Wyse R. K., & Stott S. R. W. Parkinson's disease drug therapies in the clinical trial pipeline: 2021 update. J. Parkinsons Dis. 11, 891–903 (2021).
- 2. Lee, A. J. et al. Penetrance estimate of LRRK2 p.G2019S mutation in individuals of non-Ashkenazi Jewish ancestry. Mov. Disord. 32, 1432–1438 (2017).
- 3. Mirelman, A. et al. Nonmotor symptoms in healthy Ashkenazi Jewish carriers of the G2019S mutation in the LRRK2 gene. Mov. Disord. 30, 981-986 (2015).
- 4. Pont-Sunyer, C. et al. The prodromal phase of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2-associated Parkinson disease: clinical and imaging studies. Mov. Disord. 32, 726–738 (2017).
- 5. Artzi, M. et al. DaT-SPECT assessment depicts dopamine depletion among asymptomatic G2019S LRRK2 mutation carriers. PLoS ONE 12, e0175424 (2017).
- 6. Simuni, T. et al. Clinical and dopamine transporter imaging characteristics of nonmanifest LRRK2 and GBA mutation carriers in the Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI): a cross-sectional study. Lancet Neurol. 19, 71–80 (2020).
- 7. Irwin, D. J. et al. Evolution of Alzheimer's disease cerebrospinal fluid biomarkers in early Parkinson's disease. Ann. Neurol. 88, 574-587 (2020).
- 8. Blennow, K. et al. Predicting clinical decline and conversion to Alzheimer's disease or dementia using novel Elecsys Abeta(1-42), pTau and tTau CSF immunoassays. Sci. Rep. 9, 19024 (2019).
- 9. Alcalay, R. N. et al. Higher urine bis(Monoacylglycerol)phosphate levels in LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers: implications for therapeutic development. Mov. Disord. 35, 134–141 (2020).
- 10. Sierra, M. et al. Prospective clinical and DaT-SPECT imaging in premotor LRRK2 G2019S-associated Parkinson disease. Neurology 89, 439–444 (2017).
- 11. Bergareche, A. et al. DAT imaging and clinical biomarkers in relatives at genetic risk for LRRK2 R1441G Parkinson's disease. Mov. Disord. 31, 335–343 (2016).
- 12. Mirelman, A. et al. Application of the movement disorder society prodromal criteria in healthy G2019S-LRRK2 carriers. Mov. Disord. 33, 966-973 (2018).
- 13. Berg, D. et al. MDS research criteria for prodromal Parkinson's disease. Mov. Disord. 30, 1600–1611 (2015).
- 14. Heinzel, S. et al. Update of the MDS research criteria for prodromal Parkinson's disease. Mov. Disord. 34, 1464–1470 (2019).
- 15. Fereshtehnejad, S. M. et al. Validation of the MDS research criteria for prodromal Parkinson's disease: longitudinal assessment in a REM sleep behavior disorder (RBD) cohort. Mov. Disord. 32, 865–873 (2017).
- 16. Pilotto, A. et al. Application of the movement disorder society prodromal Parkinson's disease research criteria in 2 independent prospective cohorts. Mov. Disord. 32, 1025–1034 (2017).
- 17. Mahlknecht, P. et al. Prodromal Parkinson's disease as defined per MDS research criteria in the general elderly community. Mov. Disord. 31, 1405-1408 (2016).
- 18. Chahine, L. M. et al. Dopamine transporter imaging predicts clinically-defined alpha-synucleinopathy in REM sleep behavior disorder. Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol. 8, 201–212 (2021).
- 19. Siderowf, A. et al. Clinical and imaging progression in the PARS cohort: long-term follow-up. Mov. Disord. 35, 1550–1557 (2020).
- 20. Vilas, D. et al. Clinical and imaging markers in premotor LRRK2 G2019S mutation carriers. Parkinsonism Relat. Disord. 21, 1170–1176 (2015).
- 21. Wile, D. J. et al. Serotonin and dopamine transporter PET changes in the premotor phase of LRRK2 parkinsonism: cross-sectional studies. Lancet Neurol. 16, 351–359 (2017).
- 22. Kalia, L. V. et al. Clinical correlations with Lewy body pathology in LRRK2-related Parkinson disease. JAMA Neurol. 72, 100–105 (2015).
- 23. Mollenhauer, B. et al. Longitudinal analyses of cerebrospinal fluid alpha-Synuclein in prodromal and early Parkinson's disease. Mov. Disord. 34, 1354–1364 (2019).
- 24. Kang, U. J. et al. Comparative study of cerebrospinal fluid alpha-synuclein seeding aggregation assays for diagnosis of Parkinson's disease. Mov. Disord. 34, 536-544 (2019).
- 25. Russo, M. J. et al. High diagnostic performance of independent alpha-synuclein seed amplification assays for detection of early Parkinson's disease. Acta Neuropathol. Commun. 9, 179 (2021).
- 26. Dong, C et al. Plasma metabolite signature classifies male LRRK2 Parkinson's disease patients. Metabolites. 12, 149 (2022).
- 27. Melachroinou, K. et al. Elevated in vitro kinase activity in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 G2019S carriers: a novel enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay-based method. Mov. Disord. 35, 2095–3100 (2020).
- 28. Padmanabhan, S. et al. An assessment of LRRK2 serine 935 phosphorylation in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells in idiopathic Parkinson's disease and G2019S LRRK2 cohorts. J. Parkinsons Dis. 10, 623–629 (2020).
- Virreira Winter, S. et al. Urinary proteome profiling for stratifying patients with familial Parkinson's disease. EMBO Mol. Med. 13, e13257 (2021).
- 30. Kelly, K. & West, A. B. Pharmacodynamic biomarkers for emerging LRRK2 therapeutics. Front Neurosci. 14, 807 (2020).
- 31. Thaler, A. et al. Cerebral imaging markers of GBA and LRRK2 related Parkinson's disease and their first-degree unaffected relatives. Brain Topogr. 31, 1029–36 (2018).
- 32. Mirelman, A. et al. Arm swing as a potential new prodromal marker of Parkinson's disease. Mov. Disord. 31, 1527–1534 (2016).
- 33. Parkinson Progression Marker I. The Parkinson progression marker initiative (PPMI). Prog. Neurobiol. 95, 629–635 (2011).
- 34. Marek, K. et al. The Parkinson's progression markers initiative (PPMI) establishing a PD biomarker cohort. Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol. 5, 1460–1477 (2018).
- 35. Berg, D. et al. Movement disorder society criteria for clinically established early Parkinson's disease. Mov. Disord. 33, 1643–1646 (2018).
- 36. Foroud, T. et al. Novel recruitment strategy to enrich for LRRK2 mutation carriers. Mol. Genet Genom. Med 3, 404–412 (2015).
- 37. Iwaki, H. et al. Penetrance of Parkinson's disease in LRRK2 p.G2019S carriers is modified by a polygenic risk score. Mov. Disord. 35, 774–780 (2020).
- 38. Stephenson, D. et al. The qualification of an enrichment biomarker for clinical trials targeting early stages of Parkinson's disease. J. Parkinsons Dis. 9, 553–563 (2019).
- 39. Morbelli, S. et al. Striatal dopamine transporter SPECT quantification: head-tohead comparison between two three-dimensional automatic tools. EJNMMI Res. 10, 137 (2020).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Study Supported by PPMI—a public-private partnership—is funded by the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research funding partners 4D Pharma, Abbvie, Acurex Therapeutics, Allergan, Amathus Therapeutics, ASAP, Avid Radiopharmaceuticals, Bial Biotech, Biogen, BioLegend, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Calico, Celgene, Dacapo Brain Science, Denali, The Edmond J. Safra Foundaiton, GE Healthcare, Genentech, GlaxoSmithKline, Golub Capital, Handl Therapeutics, Insitro, Janssen Neuroscience, Lilly, Lundbeck, Merck, Meso Scale Discovery, Neurocrine Biosciences, Pfizer, Piramal, Prevail, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, Servier, Takeda, Teva, UCB, Verily, and Voyager Therapeutics. Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI) database [\(www.ppmi-info.org/data](http://www.ppmi-info.org/data)). For up-to-date information on the study, visit [www.ppmi-info.org.](http://www.ppmi-info.org)

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors met the following authorship criteria (1) Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of the data, (2) Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content, (3) Final approval of the completed version, (4) Accountability for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved: Tanya Simuni, MD, Kalpana Merchant, PhD, Michael C. Brumm, MS Hyunkeun Cho, PhD, Chelsea Caspell-Garcia, MS Christopher S. Coffey, PhD, Lana Chahine, MD, Roy N. Alcalay, MD, MS, Kelly Nudelman, PhD, Tatiana Foroud, PhD Brit Mollenhauer, MD, Andrew Siderowf, MD, Caroline M Tanner, MD PhD, Hirotaka Iwaki, Todd Sherer, PhD, Ken Marek, MD. Research officers (T.S.) at MJFF were involved in the study design, interpretation of results, review/revision of this manuscript, and decision to submit this manuscript for publication.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Tanya Simuni, MD has served as a consultant for 4D Pharma, Acadia, AcureX, AskBio, Amneal, Blue Rock Therapeutics, Caraway Therapeutics, Critical Path for Parkinson's Consortium (CPP), Denali, Michael J Fox Foundation, Neuroderm, Sanofi, Sinopia, Sunovion, Roche, Takeda, UCB, Vanqua Bio and Voyager. Dr. Simuni served on the ad board for Acadia, AcureX, AskBio, Amneal, Denali, Sunovion, Roche. Dr. Simuni has served as a member of the scientific advisory board of 4D Pharma, Neuroderm, Sanofi and UCB. Dr. Simuni has received research funding from Amneal, Biogen, Roche, Neuroderm, Sanofi, Prevail and UCB and an investigator for NINDS, MJFF, Parkinson's Foundation. Michael C. Brumm, MS reports no disclosures. Hyunkeun Ryan Cho, PhD reports no disclosures. Chelsea Caspell-Garcia, MS reports no disclosures. Christopher S. Coffey, PhD receives funding from NINDS, NHLBI, and the Michael J. Fox Foundation. He is also a paid consultant to MJFF. Andrew Siderowf, MD has been a consultant to the following companies in the past year: Biogen, Merck, Denali, Wave Life Sciences and Prilenia Therapeutics. He has received grant funding from the Michael J. Fox Foundation and NINDS. Tatiana Foroud, PhD receives funding from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), The Michael J. Fox Foundation, the US Department of Defense. Kelly Nudelman, PhD receives funding from the National Institutes of Health (NIH), the Michael J. Fox Foundation, the National Collegiate Athletic Association, the United States Department of Defense, the United States Army Medical Research Acquisition Activity, the National Alzheimer's Coordinating Center, the Indiana State Department of Health, the Lilly Endowment Incorporated, and Biohaven Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Brit Mollenhauer, MD is employed by Parcacelsus Kliniken Germany and the University medical center Goettingen; BM has received independent research grants from TEVA-Pharma, Desitin, Boehringer Ingelheim, GE Healthcare and honoraria for consultancy from Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Roche, AbbVie, TEVA-Pharma, Biogen and for presentations from GlaxoSmithKline, Orion Pharma, TEVA-Pharma and travel costs from TEVA-Pharma. BM is member of the

executive steering committee of the Parkinson Progression Marker Initiative and the Systemic Synuclein Sampling Study of the Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research and has received grants from the BMBF, EU, Parkinson Fonds Deutschland, Deutsche Parkinson Vereinigung, Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research, Stifterverband für die deutsche Wissenschaft, and has scientific collaborations with Roche, Bristol Myers Squibb, Ely Lilly, Covance and Biogen. Caroline M. Tanner, MD, PhD is an employee of the San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center and the University of California – San Francisco. She receives grants from the Michael J. Fox Foundation, the Parkinson's Foundation, the Department of Defense, BioElectron, Roche/Genentech, Biogen Idec and the National Institutes of Health, compensation for serving on Data Monitoring Committees from Biotie Therapeutics, Voyager Therapeutics and Intec Pharma and personal fees for consulting from Neurocrine Biosciences, Adamas Therapeutics, Biogen Idec, 23andMe, Alexza, Grey Matter and CNS Ratings. Lana M. Chahine, MD receives research support from the Michael J. Fox Foundation, UPMC Competitive Medical Research Fund, and the University of Pittsburgh, support as site investigator for a study sponsored by Biogen, receives research support from the National Institutes of Health, receives consulting fees from Grey Matter Technologies, receives royalties from Elsevier (for authorship), and receives royalties from Wolters Kluwel (for authorship). Roy Alcalay, MD MS, receives research support from the NIH, DoD, Parkinson's Foundation, MJFF. He received consultation fees from Avrobio, Caraway, Merck, GSK, Ono Therapeutics, Sanofi and Takeda. Hirotaka Iwaki, MD, PhD is a consultant employed by Data Tecnica International, whose participation in this is part of a consulting agreement between the US National Institutes of Health and said company. Todd Sherer, PhD is employed by The Michael J. Fox Foundation. Kenneth Marek is a consultant for MJFox Foundation, GE Healthcare, Biogen, Prothena, Roche, Neuropore, US Worldmeds, Proclara, Oxford Biomedica, Prevail, UCB, Neuraly, Lysosomal Therapetic, Inc, Neuroderm, Denali, Takeda W81XWH-06-1-0678 Establishing an 'at risk' cohort for Parkinson Disease Neuroprevention using olfactory testing and DAT imaging, DOD, Investigator 10/1/06–09/30/19; Parkinson Progression Marker Initiative (PPMI), Michael J. Fox Foundation, Principal Investigator; DAT imaging in LRRK2 family members, the Michael J. Fox Foundation, Principal Investigator 1/15/10–1/14/23. Ownership in Invicro, LLC.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41531-022-00404-w>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Tanya Simuni.

Reprints and permission information is available at [http://www.nature.com/](http://www.nature.com/reprints) [reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints)

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons \bigcirc \widehat{cc} Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

© The Author(s) 2022

PARKINSON'S PROGRESSION MARKER INITIATIVE AUTHORS

STEERING COMMITTEE Kenneth Marek¹², Andrew Siderowf¹³, John Seibyl³, Christopher Coffey¹³, Caroline Tanner ^o Duygu Tosun-Turgut⁹, Tanya Simuni D^{[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2347-1644)⊠}, Leslie M. Shaw¹³, John Q. Trojanowski¹³, Andrew Singleton¹⁰, Karl Kieburtz¹⁹, Arthur Toga¹⁴, Brit Mollenhauer¹⁶, Douglas Galasko¹⁷, Lana M. Chahine³, Werner Poewe¹⁸, Tatiana Foroud¹⁵, Kathleen Poston²⁰, Susan Bressman²¹, Alyssa Reimer¹¹, Vanessa Arnedo¹¹, Adrienne Clark¹¹, Mark Frasier¹¹, Catherine Kopil¹¹, Sohini Chowdhury¹¹ and Todd Sherer¹¹

STUDY CORES Kenneth Marek¹², Cynthia Casaceli²², Ray Dorsey²², Renee Wilson²², Sugi Mahes²², John Seibyl¹², Christina Salerno¹², Christopher Coffey¹³, Chelsea Caspell-Garcia², Monica Ahrens², Michael Brumm², Hyunkeun Ryan Cho², Janel Fedler², David-Erick LaFontant³, Ryan Kurth², Arthur Toga¹⁴, Karen Crawford¹⁴, Tatiana Foroud¹⁵, Paola Casalin²³, Giulia Malferrari²⁴, Mali Gani Weisz²⁴, Avi Orr-Urtreger²⁴, John Trojanowski¹³, Leslie Shaw¹³, Andrew Singleton¹⁰, Tatiana Foroud¹⁵, Tatiana Foroud¹⁵, Thomas Montine²⁰, Tatiana Foroud¹⁵, Chris Baglieri²⁵ and Amanda Christini²⁵

SITE INVESTIGATORS David Russell¹², Ca[r](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3775-5082)ol[i](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2347-1644)ne Tanner ⁹, Tanya Simuni D^{[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2347-1644)⊠}, Nabila Dahodwala¹³, Brit Mollenhauer¹⁶, Douglas Galasko¹⁷, Werner Poewe¹⁸, Nir Giladi²⁴, Stewart Factor²⁶, Penelope Hogarth²⁷, David Standaert²⁸, Robert Hauser²⁹, Douglas Galasko, Werner Poewe, Isir Gilaar, Josewalt Factor, Fernandez Hogardi, Joseph Jankovic³⁰, Marie Saint-Hilaire³¹, Irene Richard¹⁹, David Shprecher³², Hubert Fernandez³³, Katrina Brockmann³⁴, Liana Rosenthal³⁵, Paolo Barone³⁶, Alberto Espayc³⁷, Dominic Rowe³⁸, Karen Marder³⁹, Anthony Santiago⁴⁰, Susan Bressman⁴¹, Shu-Ching Hu⁴², Stuart Isaacson⁴³, Jean-Christophe Corvol⁴⁴, Javiar Ruiz Martinez⁴⁵, Eduardo Tolosa⁴⁶, Yen Tai⁴⁷ and Marios Politis⁴⁸

COORDINATORS Debra Smejdir¹², Linda Rees¹², Karen Williams², Farah Kausar⁹, Karen Williams¹, Whitney Richardson¹³, Diana Willeke¹⁶, Shawnees Peacock¹⁷, Barbara Sommerfeld²⁶, Alison Freed²⁷, Katrina Wakeman²⁸, Courtney Blair²⁹, Stephanie Guthrie³⁰, Leigh Harrell²⁹, Christine Hunter³⁰, Cathi-Ann Thomas³¹, Raymond James³¹, Grace Zimmerman¹⁹, Victoria Brown³², Jennifer Mule³³, Ella Hilt³⁴, Kori Ribb³⁵, Susan Ainscough³⁶, Misty Wethington³⁷, Madelaine Ranola³⁸, Helen Mejia Santana³⁹, Juliana Moreno⁴⁰, Deborah Raymond⁴¹, Krista Speketer⁴², Lisbeth Carvajal⁴³, Stephanie Carvalo⁴⁴, Ioana Croitoru⁴⁵, Alicia Garrido⁴⁶ and Laura Marie Payne⁴⁷

INDUSTRY AND SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY BOARD (ACKNOWLEDGMENT) Veena Viswanth⁴⁹, Lawrence Severt⁴⁹, Maurizio Facheris⁵⁰, Holly Soares⁵⁰, Mark A. Mintun⁵¹, Jesse Cedarbaum⁵², Peggy Taylor⁵³, Kevin Biglan⁵⁴, Emily Vandenbroucke⁵⁵, Zulfiqar Haider Sheikh⁵⁵, Baris Bingol⁵⁶, Tanya Fischer⁵⁷, Pablo Sardi⁵⁷, Remi Forrat⁵⁷, Alastair Reith⁵⁸, Jan Egebjerg⁵⁹, Gabrielle Ahlberg Hillert⁵⁹, Barbara Saba⁶⁰, Chris Min⁶¹, Robert Umek⁶², Joe Mather⁶³, Susan De Santi⁶⁴, Anke Post⁶⁵, Frank Boess⁶⁵, Kirsten Taylor⁶⁵, Igor Grachev⁶⁶, Andreja Avbersek⁶⁷, Pierandrea Muglia⁶⁷, Kaplana Merchant⁶⁸ and Johannes Tauscher⁶⁹

¹³University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ¹⁴Laboratory of Neuroimaging (LONI), University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA. ¹⁵Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN, USA. ¹⁶Paracelsus-Elena Klinik, Kassel, Germany. ¹⁷University of California, San Diego, CA, USA. ¹⁸Innsbruck Medical University, Innsbruck, Austria. ¹⁹University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA. ²⁰Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ²¹Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA. ²²Clinical Trials Coordination Center, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA. ²³BioRep, Milan, Italy. ²⁴Tel Aviv Medical Center, Tel Aviv, Israel. ²⁵Blackfynn, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ²⁶Emory University of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA. ²⁷Oregon Health and Science University, Portland, OR, USA. ²⁸University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA. ²⁹University of South Florida, Tampa, FL, USA. ³⁰Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA. ³¹Boston University, Boston, MA, USA. ³²Banner Research Institute, Sun City, AZ, USA. ³³Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH, USA. ³⁴University of Tuebingen, Tuebingen, Germany. ³⁵Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD, USA. ³⁶University of Salerno, Salerno, Italy. ³⁷University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA. 38Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia. 39Columbia University, New York, NY, USA. 40The Parkinson's Institute, Sunnyvale, CA, USA. 41Beth Israel Medical Center, New York, NY, USA. ⁴²University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA. ⁴³Parkinson's Disease and Movement Disorders Center of Boca Raton, Boca Raton, FL, USA. ⁴⁴Hospital Pitie-Salpetriere, Paris, France. ⁴⁵Hospital Donostia, San Sebastian, Spain. ⁴⁶Hospital Clinic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain. ⁴⁷Imperial College London, London, UK. ⁴⁸King's College London, London, UK. ⁴⁹Allergan, Dublin, Ireland. ⁵⁰Abbvie, North Chicago, IL, USA. ⁵¹Avid Radiopharmaceuticals, Inc, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ⁵²Biogen Idec, Cambridge, MA, USA. ⁵³BioLegend, Dedham, MA, USA. ⁵⁴Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, USA. ⁵⁵GE Healthcare, Princeton, NJ, USA. ⁵⁶Genentech, San Francisco, CA, USA. ⁵⁷Genzyme Sanofi, Cambridge, MA, USA. ⁵⁸GlaxoSmithKline, Brentford, UK. ⁵⁹H. Lundbeck A/S, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁶⁰Institut de Recherches Internationales Servier, Neuilly-sur-Seine, France. ⁶¹Merck and Co, Kenilworth, NJ, USA. ⁶²Meso Scale Diagnostics, Rockville, MD, USA. ⁶³Pfizer Inc, Cambridge, MA, USA. ⁶⁴Piramal Group, Mumbai, India. ⁶⁵F. Hoffmann-La Roche Limited, Basel, Switzerland. ⁶⁶Teva Pharmaceutical Industries, Petah Tikva, Israel. ⁶⁷UCB Pharma, Brussel Belgium, Belgium. ⁶⁸TransThera Consulting, Portland, OR, USA. 69Takeda, Osaka, Japan.