# **UCLA**

# **UCLA Previously Published Works**

## **Title**

Corrigendum: Vaccine safety surveillance using routinely collected healthcare data—An empirical evaluation of epidemiological designs

## **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/83t4x28r

## **Authors**

Schuemie, Martijn J Arshad, Faaizah Pratt, Nicole et al.

## **Publication Date**

2022

## DOI

10.3389/fphar.2022.1088973

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <a href="https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>

Peer reviewed



#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Carlos Alves, University of Coimbra, Portugal

\*CORRESPONDENCE Martijn J. Schuemie, schuemie@ohdsi.org

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Pharmacoepidemiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

RECEIVED 03 November 2022 ACCEPTED 04 November 2022 PUBLISHED 24 November 2022

#### CITATION

Schuemie MJ, Arshad F, Pratt N, Nyberg F, Alshammari TM, Hripcsak G, Ryan P, Prieto-Alhambra D, Lai LYH, Li X, Fortin S, Minty E and Suchard MA (2022), Corrigendum: Vaccine safety surveillance using routinely collected healthcare data—An empirical evaluation of epidemiological designs. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13:1088973. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.1088973

## COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Schuemie, Arshad, Pratt, Nyberg, Alshammari, Hripcsak, Rvan. Prieto-Alhambra, Lai, Li, Fortin, Minty and Suchard. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Corrigendum: Vaccine safety surveillance using routinely collected healthcare data—An empirical evaluation of epidemiological designs

Martijn J. Schuemie<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*, Faaizah Arshad<sup>1,3</sup>, Nicole Pratt<sup>4</sup>, Fredrik Nyberg<sup>5</sup>, Thamir M Alshammari<sup>6</sup>, George Hripcsak<sup>1,7</sup>, Patrick Ryan<sup>1,2,7</sup>, Daniel Prieto-Alhambra<sup>8,9</sup>, Lana Y. H. Lai<sup>10</sup>, Xintong Li<sup>11</sup>, Stephen Fortin<sup>2</sup>, Evan Minty<sup>10</sup> and Marc A. Suchard<sup>1,3,12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Observational Health Data Sciences and Informatics, New York, NY, United States, <sup>2</sup>Observational Health Data Analytics, Janssen R&D, Titusville, NJ, United States, <sup>3</sup>Department of Biostatistics, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States, <sup>4</sup>Quality Use of Medicines and Pharmacy Research Centre, Clinical and Health Sciences, University of South Australia, Adelaide, SA, Australia, <sup>5</sup>School of Public Health and Community Medicine, Institute of Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden, <sup>6</sup>College of Pharmacy, Riyadh Elm University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, <sup>7</sup>Department of Biomedical Informatics, Columbia University, New York, NY, United States, <sup>8</sup>Centre for Statistics in Medicine, NDORMS, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, <sup>9</sup>Department of Medical Informatics, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, Netherlands, <sup>10</sup>O'Brien Institute for Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada, <sup>11</sup>Division of Medical Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester, United Kingdom, <sup>12</sup>Department of Human Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States

## KEYWORDS

vaccine safely, routinely collected data, adverse event, surveillance, methods

## A Corrigendum on


Vaccine safety surveillance using routinely collected healthcare data—An empirical evaluation of epidemiological designs

by Schuemie MJ, Arshad F, Pratt N, Nyberg F, Alshammari TM, Hripcsak G, Ryan P, Prieto-Alhambra D, Lai LYH, Li X, Fortin S, Minty E and Suchard MA (2022). Front. Pharmacol. 13: 893484. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.893484

In the published article, there was an error in Figure 4 and Figure 5 as published. After publication, the authors found that the positive control imputation multiplication was accidentally applied twice, meaning the intended multiplication of 1.25, 2, and 4 actually were  $1.25^2 = 1.5625$ ,  $2^2 = 4$ , and  $4^2 = 16$ , respectively. This means the type 2 error in Figure 4 and the time to 50% sensitivity in Figure 5 were underestimated. The corrected Figure 4 and Figure 5 appear below.


In the published article the same error was present in the Supplementary Material. This means the type 2 error and the time to 50% sensitivity were underestimated. The correct Supplementary Data Sheet S1 can be found in the Supplementary Materials.

Schuemie et al. 10.3389/fphar.2022.1088973



## FIGURE 5

Time to 50% sensitivity after calibration. For each method variation and vaccine group, the number of months of data needed to achieve 50% sensitivity based on the calibrated MaxSPRT in the Optum EHR database are shown, stratified by true effect size of the positive controls. HPV = Human papillomavirus, PS = Propensity Score, SCCS = Self-Controlled Case Series, SCRI = Self-Controlled Risk Interval, TaR = Time-at-Risk.



## FIGURE 4

Type 1 and 2 error before and after empirical calibration. For each method variation and vaccine group, the type 1 and 2 error before and after empirical calibration in the Optum EHR database are shown. The x-axis indicates the type 1 error (higher values to the left) and type 2 error (higher values to the right), based on the (calibrated) one-sided p-value. The dashed line indicates nominal type 1 error of 5%. HPV = Human papillomavirus, PS = Propensity Score, SCCS = Self-Controlled Case Series, SCRI = Self-Controlled Risk Interval, TaR = Time-at-Risk.

Schuemie et al. 10.3389/fphar.2022.1088973

The authors apologize for these errors and state that they does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar. 2022.1088973/full#supplementary-material