

UC Berkeley
Dissertations, Department of Linguistics

Title

Aspects of Salinan Grammar

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8483x855>

Author

Turner, Katherine

Publication Date

1987

Aspects of Salinan Grammar

By

Katherine Turner
A.B. (University of California) 1978
M.A. (University of California) 1980
C.Phil. (University of California) 1984

DISSERTATION

Submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

in

Linguistics

in the

GRADUATE DIVISION

OF THE

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

Approved: *Wallace Chafe* *April 1, 1987*
 Chairman Date
 *Leanne J. Tilton* *April 20, 1987*
 *Stanna Vidals* *April 15, 1987*
 *William H. Jacobson, Jr.* *April 10, 1987* ..

DOCTORAL DEGREE CONFERRED
MAY 15, 1987

.....

Aspects of Salinan Grammar

copyright c 1987

Katherine Turner

Dedication

To Mrs. O., Barbara and the Doom-Pussy

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank and acknowledge my intellectual debt to Professor Mary R. Haas, who stimulated my interest in Salinan as well as many other areas of linguistics, and who continues to provide support. For their critical interest and encouragement I also wish to thank my husband, Victor Golla, and Dr. Kathryn Klar, Professor Margaret Langdon, Professor James Matisoff, Professor Richard Rhodes, Professor William Shipley, Professor Shirley Silver and Dr. Kenneth Whistler. For numerous conversations I thank Professor Madison Beeler, Mark Dyhr, Orin Gensler and Dr. David Shaul. I owe a special debt to Dr. Jesse O. Sawyer, Jr. for enculturation, ambience, friendship and severe, but justified, criticism.

My Ph.D. committee--Professor Wallace Chafe, Professor William H. Jacobsen, Jr., Professor Leanne Hinton and Professor Johanna Nichols--generously gave their time to many readings and consultations.

Grateful acknowledgement is made to the following persons and institutions for making various resources available: James Glenn; Ives Goddard; Richard Keeling; Elaine Mills; Olive Wollesen; the National Anthropological Archives and the Museum of American History, Smithsonian Institution; the Manuscript Division, Library of

Congress; the Georgetown University Archives; the Boston Athenaeum; Mission Santa Barbara Archives; and the Bancroft Library, University of California, Berkeley. I am especially grateful to the Regents' Dissertation Fellowship Committee, University of California, Berkeley.

For encouragement, support and other services too numerous to mention, my very sincere gratitude to my children, my husbands and to Mrs. W. B. Seegmiller.

Special thanks to Victor Golla for his excellent cartography.

Of course, none of these people or institutions is responsible for any errors or omissions.

Table of Contents

Dedication	page	i
Acknowledgements		ii
Table of Contents		iv
Map, Charts and Tables		xi
Abbreviations		xii
0 Introduction	page	1
0.1. Location		
0.2. Genetic affiliations		
0.3. Ethnohistory		
0.4. Sources for linguistic data		
0.41. Sitjar		
0.42. Mason		
0.43. Harrington		
0.44. Cabot and Dumetz		
0.45. Henshaw		
0.46. Jacobsen		
0.5. Method		
1 Phonology	page	11
1.1. Phonetic inventories		
1.11. Mason		
1.12. Harrington		
1.13. Jacobsen		
1.2. Discrepancies		

- 1.21. Velar fricatives
- 1.22. Affricates
- 1.23. Vowels
- 1.24. Stops
- 1.25. Fricatives
- 1.26. Nasals
- 1.27. Liquids
- 1.28. Glottalization
- 1.3. Phonemes
 - 1.31. Consonant clusters (2)
 - 1.32. Consonant clusters (3)
 - 1.33. Vowels

2 Noun morphology: overview page 68

- 2.1. Introduction to noun morphology
- 2.2. Inflectional morphology: pronominal possession
 - 2.21. Affixes
 - 2.22. Regular paradigm
 - 2.23. Change of initial vowel
 - 2.24. Exceptions
 - 2.241. Vowel changes
 - 2.242. -eʔ → -ayʔ
 - 2.243. Stress stays on stem vowel
 - 2.244. Stress shifts over two syllables
 - 2.245. Stress shifts over three syllables
 - 2.246. Unpredictable stem variants
 - 2.25. Nouns marking possession differently

- 2.251. Kinship terms
 - 2.252. Inalienable possession
 - 2.26. Types of noun stems
 - 2.3. Plurals
 - 2.31. -ten
 - 2.32. Comparison of Mason and Harrington
 - 2.33. -h- dual
 - 2.34. Suppletion
 - 2.35. Other plurals
 - 2.4. Roots
 - 2.5. Reduplication
 - 2.6. Men's and women's speech
 - 2.7. High and low speech
 - 2.8. Nouns derived from verbs
 - 2.81. Suffixation
 - 2.82. Agentives
 - 2.9. Affixes
 - 2.100. Diminutive
 - 2.111. Morphophonemics
- 3 Pronouns page 122
- 3.1. Personal pronouns
 - 3.2. Pronominal affixes
 - 3.21. Negative pronouns
 - 3.22. Interrogative pronouns
 - 3.3. Demonstrative pronouns

3.4. Indefinite pronouns

3.5. Interrogative pronouns

4 Verb morphology

page 139

4.1. Morpheme order

4.2. Verbs without prefixes

4.3. p- prefix

4.4. k- prefix

4.5. Verbs with both p- and k- prefixes

4.51. Characteristics of k- verbs

4.52. Characteristics of p- verbs

4.6. Inflectional verb morphology

4.61. Object, singular and plural

4.62. Plurality of agent

4.621. Other means of expressing the plural

4.622. Infixation

4.623. Partial suppletion

4.63. Aspect

4.64. Voice

4.65. Mood

4.651. Imperative

4.652. Interrogative

4.653. Optative

4.654. Hortative

4.66. Plurals

4.7. Derivational morphology

4.71. Affixal derivation

- 4.711. Verbs derived from nouns
- 4.712. Stress shift
- 4.72. Statives
 - 4.721. Use as verbs
 - 4.722. Use as nouns
- 4.8. Conjoining
- 4.9. Morphophonemics

5 Numerals

page 174

- 5.1. Two systems
 - 5.11. Numbers to 'ten'
 - 5.12. Numbers above 'ten'
 - 5.121. Decimal system
- 5.2. Unanalyzable morphemes
 - 5.21. Mason's etymologies
- 5.3. Analysis of individual numbers
 - 5.31. 'one' and 'five'
 - 5.32. 'two'
 - 5.33. 'three' and 'six'
 - 5.34. 'four' and 'eight'
 - 5.35. 'seven'
 - 5.36. 'ten'
 - 5.37. 'nine'
 - 5.38. 'sixteen'
 - 5.39. Other systems

5.4. Ordinals

5.41. 'first'

5.42. 'second'

5.43. 'third'

5.44. 'fourth'

5.5. Conclusions

6 Locatives

page 185

6.1. ?om-

6.2. Ško?

6.3. ma-

6.4. ʦa- ~ ra-

6.5. ʦo- ~ ro-

6.6. ʦe- ~ re-

6.61. -re

6.7. ʦoke

6.8. -romʔ

6.9. ?em-

7 Minor form classes

page 194

7.1. Conjunctions

7.2. Sentence connectives

7.3. Adverbs

7.4. Interjections

7.5. Quotative

8	Syntactic patterns	page 202
8.1.	Equational	
8.2.	Possessive	
8.3.	Locative	
8.4.	Existential	
8.5.	Word order	
8.6.	Metaphors	
9	Text	page 207
9.1.	Grammatical notes	
9.2.	Free translation	
9.21.	Mason's free translation	
9.22.	Background	
	Bibliography	page 233

Map

Salinan territory	page 2
-------------------	--------

Charts

Mason's consonants	page 12
Mason's vowels	13
Harrington's consonants	14
Harrington's vowels	15
Jacobsen's consonants	15
Jacobsen's vowels	16
Values for Mason's vowels	26
Values for Harrington's vowels	27
20th century phonemes	39
Native phonemes	40
Words for 'foot'	107-110

Tables

I Consonant clusters	page 44
II Initial consonant clusters	45
III Final consonant clusters	46
IV Medial consonant clusters	47
V Clusters occurring only at morpheme boundaries	48
VI Antoniaño cardinal numbers	175
VII Antoniaño ordinal numbers	181

Abbreviations

Agt	Agentive suffix	Section 2.82
AP	Articular prefix	2.9
Act	Active verb prefix	4.3, 4.5
Adv	Adverb	7.4
C	Continuing action	4.6
Con	Conjunction	7.1
Cl	Aspect clitic	4.63
D	Demonstrative	3.3
D _{sf}	Denominative suffix	4.711
H	Hortative	4.654
Imp	Imperative	4.651
Int	Interjection	7.4
IP	Interrogative pronoun	3.5
1sg	First person singular	3.1
2sg	Second person singular	3.1
3sg	Third person singular	3.1
1pl	First person plural	3.1
2pl	Second person plural	3.1
3pl	Third person plural	3.1
N _p	Nominal prefix	2.2
N _s	Noun stem	2.4
Neg	Negative	3.21
Num	Numeral	5
O	Optative	4.653
Pl	Plural	2.3 ff

Q	Question prefix	3.22
Qo	Quotative	7.5
S	Stative	4.4
SC	Sentence connective	7.2
S _{sf}	Stative suffix	4.72
St	Stative verb prefix	4.4
V	Verb with no prefixes	4.2
V _s	Verb stem	4
V _{sf}	Verbalizing suffix	2.81
V _z	Verbalizer prefix	4.71

0. Introduction.

0.1. Location. At the time of European contact the Salinan language was spoken in an area along the south central coast of California from just north of the present town of King City south to Paso Robles and east to Coalinga. Milliken's (1982) research with the California mission registers and recent archaeology by Gibson (1975 & 1982), Breschini & Haversat (1980), and Swernoff (p.c.) has refined Kroeber's (1912:415 & 1925:546-549) geographical distribution of Salinan speakers (Turner 1983b). The most significant alteration of Kroeber's boundaries is to move the southern coastal border 40 miles north and to suggest a western-eastern, rather than a northern-southern division between speakers of the two documented dialects of Salinan, Antoniaño and Migueliño, respectively. There is no linguistic evidence to support the possibility that there was a third dialect of Salinan, Playaño. The Salinans' neighbors at the time of European contact were the Esselen (Hokan stock), the Soledad Costanoan (Penutian stock), the Yokuts (Penutian), and the Northern, or Obispeño Chumash (Hokan), (map, page 2).

0.2. Genetic affiliations. The Salinan language is conventionally said to be an isolate within the Hokan stock (Kroeber 1904, Sapir 1917, Dixon & Kroeber 1919, Shipley 1973 & 1978, Jacobsen 1979 & 1986, Campbell & Mithun 1979 and Turner 1983a).



SALINAN TERRITORY AND ADJACENT AREAS

After Gibson (1982)

--- Boundary of Salinan territory according to Kroeber (1925)

⊕ Franciscan mission

Classification of Salinan as a member of the Hokan stock followed a preliminary period starting with Gallatin (1848), during which classifications of the numerous languages of North America (and, specifically, California) were attempted (Latham 1856, Gatschet 1877, Powell 1891, Dixon & Kroeber 1903, Kroeber 1910, Dixon & Kroeber 1913a & b, and Dixon & Kroeber 1919) in an effort to bring order to a "bewilderingly large number of languages" (Langdon 1974:4).

Genetic affiliation even with its closest geographical 'Hokan' neighbors cannot be established. It is possible that a pre-Salinan and proto-Chumash comparison may eventually reveal a relationship at a great time depth: there is such a dearth of linguistic data for Esselen that there is little possibility of establishing a genetic relationship between Salinan and Esselen or between Esselen and any other language family that has been suggested as a member of the Hokan stock.

Attempts to discover genetic relationships with other languages or language families in California have been fruitless. The result of my earlier historical, typological and areal study of Salinan (Turner 1983a) is that the Salinan language shows as much influence from its contacts with unrelated California languages as it does evidence of genetic affiliations with any other putative Hokan languages. It shares about the same number of look-alikes with Uto-Aztecan as it does possible cognates and borrowings

with Chumash. The study does establish the fact of continuous and long term Salinan occupation of, at least, the Salinans' historical territory, as well as continuous and long term contact between Salinan and Chumash and Uto-Aztecan languages.

0.3. Ethnohistory. The Salinan dialects disappeared as active media of communication within less than a century after the Franciscans introduced a mission in their territory. Mission San Antonio de Padua, the third mission established by the Franciscans in Alta California and the first in Salinan territory, was founded on July 14, 1771 by Father Junipero Serra with Fathers Miguel Pieras and Buenaventura Sitjar. San Miguel, Arcángel was established July 25, 1797 by Fr. Sitjar. These two missions have lent their names to the two attested dialects of Salinan: Antoniaño and Migueliño.

The Franciscans' proselytizing brought about the eventual eradication of Salinan aboriginal culture at all levels. There seem to have been some attempts made to preach in the native language, but the Franciscans' linguistic efforts were primarily directed toward instructing the Indians to speak and read Spanish. The native language was just one of the local curiosities for the padres. In order to evaluate the sincerity of their Indian converts, the missionaries required them to pray, recite, sing, count, confess and conduct most of their daily communication in Spanish (Engelhardt 1929 & 1972).

In 1813 the first datable report on the language of the area was recorded in answer to a royal interrogatorio. Priests from all the missions in Alta California responded to the questionnaire, and this is the source for some of the oldest historical information on the native languages of the coast of California.

Questions 3, 6, 7 & 10 dealt, in part, with language: the other 31 give some insight into the attitudes of the Spaniards to the Indians and vice-versa. Fr. Juan Bautista Sancho replied to the Interrogatorio for San Antonio on February 26, 1814. In answer to the third question he wrote,

"Two distinct languages are known to be spoken by the Indians. The dominant language is that of the site of the Mission, which is understood to the east, south, north, and the circumference of the west. The less important is spoken by those called Playaños, of the sea-coast, because it came from the shore or the ocean. They are few, and they not only understand the dominant idiom, but speak it to perfection. The generality of the Indians understand to a great extent the Spanish language, and they speak it with sufficient fluency, especially those who were born at the Mission. Those who have had opportunities to deal with the Spaniards excel, of course."

The answers to questions 6, 7 and 10 are as follows:

"In the boys born at the Mission and of better instruction, there is noticed much inclination to read and write in Spanish; but as for reading and writing in their own idiom little or no inclination has been observed, but we doubt not that with facility they would acquit themselves in the one as in the other language were not paper, pen, etc., lacking. On their antiquities nothing is known regarding writers or writings. Their whole writings consist of some line drawn on the soil when they want to commit something to memory which they alone understand. They know

from short and long lines interpolated, in which state are their accounts. They also make in place of the numerals knots in a string or cord, or notches in a stick, and this do even the most intelligent, when they give account of what has been committed to their charge, as for instance the fanegas of grain sowed or harvested, the number of cattle, etc. Futhermore there are some who know how to explain themselves with certainty when they are questioned on things, which with us it would be necessary to write down.

"On account of what has been expressed in number 3, it will suffice to say that what can be desired has been accomplished in the Spanish language and in the new Missions.

"This Mission has a catechism in the vernacular and the other works for the administration of the sacraments but nothing is approved formally by the Right Reverend Bishop" (Engelhardt 1972: 30-39).

The Fathers Juan Martin and Juan Cabot replied to the Interrogatorio April 15, 1814 from Mission San Miguel. In answer to the third question they wrote,

"The neophytes of this Mission speak four idioms or languages: a) that of San Antonio, which is reputed the principal one; b) that of the seashore, which is the one spoken by those collected on the sea-coast; c) the Tulareño, which is spoken in the Tulares region; d) and in the fourth place that spoken to the south of the Mission. As yet they understand little Castilian, and that much, thanks to the efforts of the Missionary Fathers."

The Tulareño referred to are Yokuts. The answers to questions 6, 7 and 10 are as follows:

"The little boys of the Mission in a few months learn anything, as reading in Spanish or Latin, and learn to read from manuscripts, to sing the plain as well as the figured music. Their ancestors had no idea whatever of paper or its equivalent.

"As the Indians have no aversion to Castilian, it seems a sufficient method to instruct them in the Castilian language, which method has been

observed, and particular care is taken to have them all speak to the Fathers at least in Castilian.

"In this Mission, although there is a Catechism in the chief language, it is not formally approved by the Bishop" (Engelhardt 1929:15-21).

0.4. Sources for linguistic data. There are more than twenty sources of linguistic information on Salinan, three quarters of them recorded in idiosyncratic transcriptions. Five are glossed in Spanish of the late 18th and early 19th century: these and other such confirmatory sources are listed in the bibliography.

0.41. Sitjar. The oldest source of purely linguistic information about Salinan is Fr. Buenaventura Sitjar's "Vocabulario de la Lengua de los Naturales de la Mision San Antonio, Alta California," published in Shea's Library of American Linguistics in 1861 but compiled much earlier in the century. The manuscript is in the Bancroft Library of the University of California, Berkeley. Sitjar lived in Salinan territory from 1771 until his death in 1808. The Vocabulario is arranged by Spanish nouns and verbs with most of the entries supplemented by a few inflected examples or sample sentences, as well as some grammatical notes by Shea. His corpus is useful as a confirmatory and supplementary linguistic source for more extensive and better recorded material.

0.42. Mason. J. Alden Mason (1918) is the author of

the only linguistic analysis of Salinan that has been published in the 20th century. His material consists of early 20th century grammatical analysis, texts from both dialects, and a stem list in which many of the verb forms are from Sitjar. Unfortunately, Mason did not have the ability to record the language accurately nor to analyze it grammatically. But his work remains the only analysis of Salinan that has been published. Sapir's review of this work (1920c) and his subsequent use of Mason's personal phonetic records of Salinan (1917, 1920a, 1920b, 1921 & 1925) in comparative Hokan studies has provided clues for much of my analysis of Salinan.

0.43. Harrington. The most valuable linguistic record was made by John Peabody Harrington. Harrington worked with speakers of both dialects in 1922 and again in 1931-32, collecting over 5,000 vocabulary items. His elicitations were based on previously elicited material, both published and in manuscript form. Their value lies in the fineness of his phonetic detail rather than in the originality of his elicitations. He had little interest in any aspect of language beyond its phonetics. In the mid 1930's he sent his nephew, Arthur Harrington, to make sound recordings of a speaker of the Miguileño dialect. Arthur was assigned the task of reeliciting some of the texts in the published work of J. Alden Mason.

Arthur Harrington recorded many hours of monolingual texts in Miguileño, but not the texts recorded by Mason.

0.44. Cabot & Dumetz. More limited, but basic, sources for linguistic information are found in the recordings of Frs. Dumetz and Pedro Cabot. In a manuscript composed in the first half of the 19th century and rediscovered recently in the Boston Athenaeum, they recorded about 90 pages of vocabulary, including many noun paradigms, for which this manuscript is the only source. Their contribution to the linguistic documentation of the language was intended as a teaching tool for future missionaries in Salinan territory. They wrote the Salinan glosses with vowels between the consonant clusters wherever Salinan had a consonant cluster not found in Spanish.

0.45. Henshaw. In 1884 Henry Henshaw worked with speakers of both dialects to fill in a BAE schedule of over 100 pages of vocabulary and sentences. There is a limited amount of material and it is inaccurately recorded where Salinan fricatives are involved, but some of the vocabulary and sentences can be found nowhere else.

0.46. Jacobsen. The most recent recording of Salinan was done in 1954, early 1955 and 1958 by William H. Jacobsen, Jr. He recorded both dialects, basing his preliminary elicitations on the Swadesh 1,000 word Penutian list (IJAL 1954). The language was in its terminal stages by the time that Jacobsen heard it and he has accurately recorded phonological and morphological simplification, as well as many noun and verb paradigms. Jacobsen developed a tentative phonemicization of Salinan,

as reported in Hester (1978:500, fn.). This agrees with the conclusions I have independently reached in regard to the phonemic status of voiceless stops and resonants.

0.5. Method. The materials present philological problems which require knowledge of the phonology of the native language of the recorder. One must be aware of the phonetic values for speakers of Spanish and English, particularly. For example, a Spanish-speaker such as Sitjar or Cabot and Dumetz used *x* to stand for the phone [š]; their *j* stands for a glottal fricative. A variety of consonant symbols are used to transcribe Salinan [ɬ]: *tr*, *trh*, *cr*, *sr*. Among Harrington's peculiarities was his use of *q* for the voiceless velar fricative.

A full discussion of the orthographic conventions used by Mason, Harrington and Jacobsen appeared in Turner 1980. For methods of reconstitution see Broadbent (1957) and Okrand (1980). The preponderance of the data on which my phonological analysis is based is from the recordings of Harrington and Jacobsen. Although the language was infrequently spoken by the 1930's, Harrington's attention to phonetic detail was so painstaking that his material compensates for the other sources of linguistic material and can be used to fill in gaps.

1 Phonology. Antoniaño is the dialect of Salinan upon which this study is based, principally because there is more paradigmatic material available for the dialect. The method of reconstitution is similar to Sylvia Broadbent's (1957), although Salinan data was primarily recorded by trained linguists. Marc Okrand, in an evaluation of Broadbent's reconstitution states, "Though reconstitution might not produce forms of as high quality as one might like, its results, and the process of obtaining them, do, nonetheless, lead to a greater understanding of the language as well as of the documents in which it is recorded" (Okrand 1980:176).

1.1. Phonetic inventories. The following phonetic inventories are taken from the entire corpora of Mason, Harrington and Jacobsen. They will be compared and the phones reconciled as far as possible.

1.1.1. Mason. Mason's description has full articulatory descriptions, but they are not reliable. The chart is from Mason (1918:8, 13) and preserves his terminology.

CONSONANTS

Labial Dental Alveolar Palatal Glottal

Stop

Sonant	b			g	
Intermed-surd	p	t	t̚	k	(ʔ)
Aspirate	p ^h	t ^h	t̚ ^h	k ^h	
Glottalized	p̚	t̚	t̚̚	k̚	

Spirant

Sonant	β			ɣ	
Surd	φ	s	c	x	h

Affricative

Surd		ts	tc		
Glottalized		t̚s̚	t̚c̚		

Nasal

Sonant	m	n		ŋ	
Surd	M	N			

Lateral

Sonant			l		
Surd			L		

Semi-vowel

Sonant	w		y		
Surd	W		Y		

VOWELS

ī		u
i	ɔ	o
ē		α
e		a

These charts are given by Mason as a summary to his articulatory descriptions. These descriptions will be utilized in the phonemics section (1.3). The (') is not given in Mason's chart but it appears throughout his stem list and the texts. Of special interest are his phonetic descriptions of the places of articulation; alveolar and palatal, in particular. 'Alveolar' should be post-alveolar and 'palatal' should be velar, of course.

1.12. Harrington. Harrington's inventory of consonants and vowels can be given using a conventional lay-out for places and manners of articulation. His notes contain no terms for place or manner of articulation.

CONSONANTS

Labial Dental Post-Alveolar Palatal Velar Glottal

Stops

Voiceless	p	t	tr	k ^w , k [?]
Aspirated	ph, p ^h	th, t ^h	trh, tr ^h	kh, k ^h
Gltzd.	p ^ʰ	t ^ʰ	tr ^ʰ	k ^ʰ
Voiced	b	d		g

Fricatives

Voiceless	s		c, ʃ	ʃ, ʃ, ʃ	h, h, h
				q	

Affricates

Voiceless		ts	tc, tʃ
Aspirated		tsh	tch, tʃh
Gltzd.		tʃ	tc ^ʰ , tʃ ^ʰ

Nasals

Voiced	m	n	ŋ
Gltzd.	m ^ʰ , m ^ʰ	n ^ʰ , n ^ʰ	

Liquids

Voiceless		ɬ, L, ɭ	
Voiced		l	r
Gltzd.		ɬ ^ʰ , ɭ ^ʰ	

Semivowels

Voiced	w		j, y
Gltzd.	w ^ʰ , w ^ʰ		y ^ʰ , y ^ʰ

VOWELS

i u
 e o
 ɛ ɔ
 a

Harrington's notes contain the information that "ɛ equals [ɛ] as in 'bed' and ɔ equals [ɔ] as in 'voll'."

1.13. Jacobsen's phones are presented in a similar fashion (and see Jacobsen's phonemicization in Hester (1978:500,fn.).

CONSONANTS

Labial Dental Post-Alveolar Palatal Velar Glottal

Stops

Voiceless	p	t	tr, t ^r , t̥	k	ʔ
Aspirated	p ^h	t ^h	t̥ ^h	k ^h	
Gltd.	p'	t'	t̥'	k'	
Voiced	b	d		g	

Fricatives

Voiceless	f	s, s̥	ʃ	x, x̥, x̥ ^w	h
Voiced	ɸ	ð		ɣ	

Affricates

Voiceless		ts	ʧ
Aspirated		ts ^h	ʧ ^h
Gltd.		ts'	tʃ̥, ʧ'

Labial Dental Post-Alveolar Palatal Velar

Nasals

Voiceless	M	N	
Voiced	m	n	ɲ
Gltd.	'm, m'	'n, n'	

Liquids

Voiceless		ɬ, L, ɭ	
Voiced		l	r

Semivowels

Voiced	w		y
Gltd.	'w, w'		'y, y'

VOWELS

i		u
I		U
e	ə	o
E		
ɛ	ɔ	
a		

This chart was compiled with articulatory information supplied by Jacobsen (p.c.). It should be noted that these phonetic inventories include voiced stops and fricatives which appear only in Spanish loan words (Turner 1977).

1.2. Discrepancies.

1.21. Velar fricatives. The greatest dissimilarities among these three

are found in velar fricatives (especially in medial position), vowels and glides, though other consonants and vowels will require discussion as well.

Harrington most frequently wrote a *q* corresponding to Mason's and Jacobsen's *x* in all phonetic environments. In 21 of 29 attestations with a medial velar, Mason and Jacobsen recorded *x* where Harrington writes *q*, *ḣ*, *x̣*, *h* and *ḥ*. Mary Haas (p.c.) has pointed out that Harrington regularly used those orthographic symbols for [x] during this period of his work. A few examples will illustrate his use of *q*.

	MASON	HARRINGTON	JACOBSEN
'bone'	axa·k'	?aqā·k, ?axā·k	?axā·k, ?axāk
'cousin'	e'sxa	?ēsqa, ?ēsḣa, ?esḣa	?ēsxa
'bird'	ca·xwe	fā·qe, cā·hwe	šā·xwI?, ša·xwÉ?

In one entry Mason writes *h*, Harrington *q* and *h* and Jacobsen has an *x*.

	MASON	HARRINGTON	JACOBSEN
'door'	laha·m	laqām, lahām	laxām

There are three entries where Jacobsen has an *h*. In the first it corresponds to Harrington's *q*, in the second to Mason's *h* and Harrington's *ḣ*, and in the

third to Mason's x and Harrington's h.

	MASON	HARRINGTON	JACOBSEN
'horseshoe'		triqaʔáʔjokowá·jo	tIšhaʔá·yoʔ kowá·yoʔ
'medicine'	tehoniˀ	tre·honíʔ	ʔehoníʔ
'poor'	ecxo·niʔ	ké·ho·neʔ	kí·šho·neʔ

In one case Mason records x and Jacobsen ɣ:

	MASON	JACOBSEN
'music'	aˀxa·tiˀʔ	ʔaxa·tíʔ

This could be phonetic backing due to the low back vowels which was perceived by Jacobsen but not by Mason.

In word initial position Mason records x and so does Jacobsen, with one exception, which is given last.

	MASON	HARRINGTON	JACOBSEN
'to blow'	xotʔ	qôʔ	xôtkoʔ ('he blew it')
'dog'	xutc	qotʃ, ɥôtc	xUč
'crane'	xalauˀʔ	ɥallôwʔ	xalóʔ
'mussel'	xaiiˀk	hayêkʔ	hayÍkʰ

In final position there is only one example of x. It is recorded by Mason and Harrington.

	MASON	HARRINGTON
'houses'	ʈa·ma·tenaˀx	tramā·tenaq
"	ʈa·ma·niLaˀx	tra·nelâq

I have interpreted the items discussed as containing phonetic [x] except in the words for 'medicine', 'poor' and 'mussel' where I posit [h] on the basis of Harrington's and Jacobsen's well-established phonetic reliability and agreement (see 1.28).

1.22. Affricates. A more serious point is the status of the affricates c ([tʂ]) and č ([tʃ̣]) and their glottalized counterparts c̣ and č̣'. In most words the unitary nature of the sounds is not in question. Although they were recorded as ts and tʃ or tc by Mason and Harrington, Jacobsen uniformly records them as ts, with and without the ligature, and č throughout his Salinan field notes. Although no recorder of the language uses a unitary symbol for the sound c ([tʂ]), this affricate is in less doubt than č (Jacobsen, p.c.).

'wind' tsa·kāy (JPH, WHJ)

'the part of my hair' sâ·kāy (JHP, WHJ)

'ridge' tsôīne (JPH, WHJ)

'one hole' ʃô·lokne (JPH)

'titmouse' tškō·to·to (JPH)

'worm/snake' (generic) ʃkōt (JPH)

'Pico Blanco' (placename) tšo·wé·m̃ (JPH)

'my calf' (of leg) ʃō·wañ (JPH)

Harrington occasionally placed a period after the t and before the s, ʃ, or c ([š]),

'a point' t.sō·t̃o

'my point' tsōt̃

'your (sg) point' tromtsōt̃

'his point' tsōt̃o

but compare:

'killdeer' stōt̃

'my killdeer' trēstōt̃

'his killdeer' trestōt̃o

This minimal pair shows that [ts] and [st] cannot be interchanged.

These near-minimal pairs illustrate that [ts] is distinct from [st], [s] and [š]; and that [tš] is distinct from [š], since all these forms were recorded by Harrington and can be treated as pairs, while an

amateur might have written [c] differently each time he heard the sound since there is no unitary symbol for it in English or Spanish.

The situation of t followed by š is more of a problem. In many cases č may be shown to be distinct from [s], [š] and [č] and č' from [t'], [č], [t̥], [š] or [s], (all examples are, again, from Harrington).

'water' tʃāʔ

'weasel' sāʔ

'my back' tretʃōm̄

'my mouth' trē·fom̄

'cricket' tʃē·l̄

'woodpecker' tšēl̄

'tarantula' kō·ʃōtʃ'

'frizzled' kō·ʃot'

'water' tʃāʔ

'California thrasher' tʃ'āʔ

'his dog' trētʃ'o

'did' tī·trho

'balding' tʃ'ātel

'dew' ʃatō·l

'red-shafted woodpecker' tʃ'am̃
 'wildcat' sam̃

However there are two instances showing the nonunitary nature of t followed by š: the inflectional paradigms for 'water' and 'dog'.

tʃāʔ 'water' (= ʔ-šāʔ)	
<u>Singular</u>	<u>Plural</u>
1 trétaʃ	trátretaʃ
2 trmétaʃ	trkôtaʃ
3 tretā·foʔ	tretā·foʔ

hoʦc 'dog' (sg)		hoʦtén 'dog' (pl)	
<u>Singular</u>	<u>Plural</u>		
1 tríʦ	tra·tríʦ		
2 trhmíʦ	trhkôʦ		
3 tríʦho	tríʦhá·to		

[Note appended by Harrington: "but cannot say tréhoʦc it always comes out tréʦc (ev. from tréhoʦc). Same is true for 3rd poss."]

These should be compared with the inflectional paradigms for 'name' and 'condor', respectively, in order to see the paradigmatic difference in these otherwise nearly identical words.

	<u>Attested</u>	<u>Reconstituted</u>	
	tras	ɥ-as	'name'
1	tra·ɥ	ɥ-a·š	
2	trmas	ɥ-m-as	
3	trá·so?	ɥ-ā·s-o? (see 2.22.)	
	títč	těč'	'condor'
1	trétetč	ɥ-ě-teč'	
2	-----	-----	
3	tretítčo?	ɥ-e-těč'-o?	

Incidentally, as one can see, 'condor' títč forms a minimal pair with 'my dog' trítč, showing the distinction between t and ɥ. However the problem of the analysis of tš as an affricate is well illustrated by the separation of the t from the š in the inflectional paradigm for 'water', as opposed to the non-possessed form: and, in the word for 'dog' by the dissimilation with t and š between the singular and the plural non-possessed forms. In inflection, Harrington's note points out that instead of the expected ɥ-ě- prefix denoting first person singular possession, a contraction, or elision of the first CV of the stem produces ɥěč' rather than the regular *ɥěxoč (see 2.241).

Another elision produces a possible č:

tʃék	(sg)	trfá·kel	(pl)	'knife'	(JPH)
(t)cek	"	tca·kel	"	"	(JAM)

Singular

- 1 tréʃek
- 2 trméʃek
- 3 treféko

More examples of ʃ followed by š as a result of the elision of a vowel are 'nail' and 'gill/tonsil':

-----	(sg)	ʃeléheʔ	(pl)	'nail'
-------	------	---------	------	--------

Singular

- 1 tréʃeʃeʔ
- 2 tr'méʃeleʔ
- 3 treceléwo ~ trceléwo

Singular

- 1 tréʃa·knel 'gill/tonsil'
- 2 -----
- 3 trʃa·knélo

Here the vowel of the t-e- prefixes is elided in the third person (see Section 2.241). A reason for this is shown by comparing the third person possessive forms for 'gill' and 'Lewis woodpecker'.

<u>Attested</u>	<u>Reconstituted</u>	
$\text{trfa}\cdot\text{kn}\acute{\text{e}}\text{lo}$	$\text{t}\text{-}\acute{\text{s}}\text{a}\cdot\text{kn}\acute{\text{e}}\text{l-o}$	'his gill'
$\text{trefa}\cdot\text{kn}\acute{\text{e}}\text{lo}$	$\text{t}\text{-e-}\acute{\text{s}}\text{a}\cdot\text{kn}\acute{\text{e}}\text{l-o}$	'his L.w.'

'Gill' has no non-possessed form, so it is always attested with the t- prefix. 'Lewis woodpecker' is attested with the non-possessed form $\text{f}\acute{\text{a}}\cdot\text{knel}$.

This pair is a morphologically relevant minimal pair showing that vowel-eliding and non-eliding affixes are lexically conditioned. If the vowel of the t-e- prefixes were not elided in the third person, these forms would be homophonous. (Of course, it is rather difficult to imagine a context in which 'his gill' and 'his Lewis woodpecker' are ambiguous.)

In the cases where there is evidence from the inflectional paradigm or the formation of a plural form, I analyze t followed by $\acute{\text{s}}$ as a consonant cluster. Lacking such evidence the occurrences of t followed by $\acute{\text{s}}$ is accepted as an occurrence of $\acute{\text{c}}$. There may be, instead, morphophonemic rules that $\text{ts} \rightarrow \text{c}$ and $\text{t}\acute{\text{s}} \rightarrow \acute{\text{c}}$ (see Section 2.111). Unfortunately, I have not been able to find any minimal pairs for contrast.

In cases where the vowel of the possessive t̄-e- prefixes is elided, the resulting juxtaposition of t̄ and ɣ̄ is treated as a cluster and not as ɣ̄ (see Section 2.26).

1.23. Vowels. Mason records 9 vowels which his articulatory descriptions suggest have the following values:

ī	=	[i]
i	=	[I]
ē	=	[e], [E]
e	=	[ɛ]
ə	=	[ə]
u	=	[U]
o	=	[>]
a	=	[a]
ɑ	=	[ʌ]

Harrington's six vowels are reconstituted below. He was aware, certainly, of the conventions adopted by the American Anthropological Association in September 1916, which were used by Mason in his work. Although Harrington never published any of his linguistic work on Salinan, it seems probable that he followed the broader conventions accepted by other linguists, although he was deliberately uncommunicative about his work (Walsh 1976 and James 1984).

i	=	[i]
e	=	[e]
ɛ	=	[ɛ]
u	=	[u]
o	=	[o], [ɔ]
a	=	[a]

Jacobsen records the twelve vowel phones listed previously.

There is general agreement among the three on frontness or backness of the vowels, with the following minor exceptions:

<u>Attested</u>		<u>Reconstituted</u>	
kacala ^ˀ	(JAM)	k(ˀ)ošo·l(ˀ)ô?	'grasshopper'
kʔocoʔlô?	(JPH)		
košo·lô?	(WHJ)		
lu·wa ^ˀ ?	(JAM)	lowá?	'man'
lowá?	(JPH)		
lUwá?	(WHJ)		
mɔtsʔwe ^ˀ l	(JAM)	močwél(ˀ)	'humming bird'
motsʔwélʔ	(JPH)		
mɛtsʔwél	(WHJ)		

<u>Attested</u>		<u>Reconstituted</u>	
matse [˘] ko	(JAM)	meč [˘] eko?	'chipmunk'
mets [˘] ík [˘] o	(JPH)		
mats [˘] íko?	(WHJ)		
icxe [˘] u	(JAM)	č [˘] ěšxe?	'foot'
trí [˘] f [˘] qe?	(JPH)		
č [˘] ě [˘] šxai,	č [˘] ε [˘] ·sxai?	(WHJ)	
t [˘] osik?	(JAM)	č [˘] eš [˘] ék?	'barn owl'
trí [˘] f [˘] ék?	treccek?	(JPH)	
t [˘] eš [˘] ék?	tí [˘] sík?	(WHJ)	
č [˘] o [˘] ·w [˘] at?	(JAM)	č [˘] owač?	'Indian'
tr [˘] o [˘] w [˘] etr?	(JPH)		
č [˘] Ů [˘] w [˘] at?	(WHJ)		
m [˘] akawí [˘] ?	(JAM)	makaw [˘] é?	'flower'
makawí [˘] ?	makawí [˘] ^y	(JPH)	
makawí [˘] ?	makawí	(WHJ)	

<u>Attested</u>	<u>Reconstituted</u>	
sməkʔai (JAM)	smák(ʔ)ay	'night'
smákʔaj (JPH)		
smákai̯ (WHJ)		

The first three are reconstituted as /o/, the next four as /e/ and the final two as /a/. /o/ includes phonetic [U] and [o]; /e/ includes phonetic [I], [e] and [ɛ]; and /a/ includes phonetic [ʌ] and [a]. An examination by Jacobsen (p.c.) of the older recordings suggests that in the original vowel system of Salinan /i/ and /u/ were gradually lowered to /e/ and /o/.

Glides are poorly recorded by Mason. In the absence of comparable entries by Harrington or Jacobsen, there can be only occasional glimpses of their presence in Mason's work.

<u>Attested</u>	<u>Reconstituted</u>	
ʔʔuʔʔaʔL (JAM)	ʔowʔál	'Indians'
trʔowtrʔál (JPH)		
uʔ (JAM)	ʔów	'face'
trów (JPH)		'my face'
ʔów (WHJ)		

<u>Attested</u>	<u>Reconstituted</u>	
ckʔoˀil (JAM)	škó(?)yel	'lung'
ʃkóʔjɛl (JPH)		
skoǰɛl (WHJ)		
ceniiˀ	ʃʔeneyêʔ	'fishhook'
ʃʔenijíʔ, ceneyíʔ (JPH)		
ʃɛniyíʔ (WHJ)		
askeˀt (JAM)	ʔá(ˀ)šwet	'my rib'
ʔášwet (JPH)		
ʔáˀšwet (WHJ)		

It is not the case, however, that all long vowels recorded by Mason are represented as glides plus a vowel by Harrington or Jacobsen, nor vice-versa. (However, in general, there is phonemic vowel length.)

1.24. Stops. Among the stops all three recorders are in orthographic agreement with respect to the voiceless, unaspirated; aspirated and glottalized stops. The post-alveolar ʈ is written as ʈ by Mason (and labelled incorrectly as alveolar); tr by Harrington; and as tr, tʳ, and then as ʈ by Jacobsen. In the course of his recordings, he quickly came to writing the sound as ʈ. Mason's description of this phone explains the tr

transcriptions (Mason 1918:12):

"The linguo-alveolar stop is one found in many Californian languages. The place of articulation is slightly more alveolar than for the dental but the difference is caused more by the manner of release than by place of articulation. The occlusion is firmer and more extensive, the release slower, causing a semi-affricative effect approximating *tc* and *ty*. It is practically identical, however, with the English combination *tr* but more truly affricative, a simple sound. The sonant variety is unknown in this position also, the most common forms being the intermediate and the unaspirated surd.

"In rapid speech in initial and intervocalic position, this form is frequently reduced to the rolled *r*. In the former case this is as in English, untrilled, the tip of the tongue merely approaching the roof of the mouth, but in the latter case there is a single flip of the tongue as in the Spanish single *r*. The palatogram record shows the typical grooved *r* occlusion.

"The other varieties, the aspirate and the glottalized articulations, need no comment. Both are pronounced more strongly than is the case with the dental *t*."

All the sources agree in recording the voiced stops *b* and *g*. Mason (1918:11) says only that [pe], the "demonstrative article," is [be] in rapid speech and that this is the only occurrence of [b]. However, Harrington and Jacobsen record [b] in many Spanish loan words and they record [d] as well, again in Spanish loan words. Jacobsen has ^mb in the entries ^mbó·te? 'boot, boat' and ^mbá·so? 'glass'. Mason and Jacobsen record β and φ found in some Spanish loans: φ is also attested as a variant of [p] in rapid speech. Mason accounts for both voiceless and voiced fricatives as follows: *k* and *p* become γ and φ

before a voiced sound (Mason 1918:10).

1.25. Fricatives. Discrepancies among the transcriptions of the voiceless velar fricatives have already been discussed. Harrington does not record the voiced velar fricative, but both Mason and Jacobsen record it in Spanish loan words.

All three record *s* to which Jacobsen adds *ʃ*. As has been seen in several examples already, *ʃ* is written as *c* by Mason and Harrington, and Harrington also writes *ʃ*. Harrington and Jacobsen recorded an aspirated variety of *c* and *č* not given by Mason.

1.26. Nasals. Harrington did not record the voiceless nasals heard by Mason and Jacobsen, nor the occasional *ŋ* before *x*, and sometimes *k*. Similarly, Mason did not record the glottalized versions of *m* and *n* and Jacobsen preferred to analyze them as clusters.

1.27. Liquids. All three recorded the voiceless lateral liquid. Mason writes *L*; Harrington *l̥*, *ɬ* and *L*; and Jacobsen writes *L*. All three agree on *l*. Mason lumped *r* with *ɬ*, but Harrington and Jacobsen heard it as distinct from *ɬ*. *r* is most frequent in word initial position and it never occurs in syllable final position. Early loans from Spanish have *l* for Spanish *r*, but loans coming into

Salinan later in the period of Spanish occupation have
r where Spanish has it, e.g.,

alós 'rice' (Spanish arroz)
palél 'barrel' (Spanish barril)
kapař·sa? 'barn' (Spanish caballeriza)

Only Jacobsen did not record the voiceless semivowels,
and only Mason did not record the glottalized varieties.
In the former case, Jacobsen did not happen to elicit
those words with voiceless semivowels. In the latter case
Mason simply did not hear them, to judge from his
somewhat erratic recording of any glottalization. A
few examples illustrate:

<u>Mason</u>	<u>Harrington</u>	<u>Jacobsen</u>	
ilpoi	lpōy?		'lake'
(k)loi	k?lō?j		'lame'
eřca·i~	trī·tʃ?a?y		'my neck'
tō·i	trō?i	tōi?	'seal'

As for the voiceless l, it is always recorded voiced
when it occurs between vowels. Harrington records many
dual recordings showing the voiced and voiceless l:

'sand'	'tar'	'knives'	'my calf'
tsʔənsel	tsʔwōsel	trfā·kel	tcʔékel
tsʔənsel̩	tsʔwōsi̩	trfā·kel̩	tcʔékel̩

so, in these cases *l* and *ɬ* are in free variation. *l* is often devoiced word initially before and after a voiceless consonant, but not in inflection when preceded by a prefix: *ɬkã* 'coyote', *ɬ-ê-lkã* 'my coyote'. A voiceless *l* becomes voiced at the end of a word when a suffix follows: *tʰl* 'one', *tʰl-tén* 'another'. Therefore I have concluded that *ɬ* is an allophone of *l* occurring before voiceless consonants word initially, sometimes word finally, but never between vowels.

1.28. Glottalization. Mason's recordings appear erratic compared to recordings of Antoniaño by Harrington and Jacobsen, although this may possibly reflect Mason's work with a speaker, or speakers, of a slightly different subdialect. Since Mason's principal informant, David Mora, was also Harrington's informant, I have compared the three twentieth-century linguists for their recordings of glottalization in several phonetic environments, and I have compared them for Mason's apparent tendency to

record metathesized segments or syllables (taking Harrington and Jacobsen as the base).

In the entire corpus there are attestations of a total of 85 glottalized resonants. In slightly less than half of these, Mason does not record glottalization where Harrington or Jacobsen do, but in 15% of them only Mason records glottalization. Examples of those cases where Mason did not record glottalization follow.

	MASON	HARRINGTON	JACOBSEN
'my son-			
in-law'	te ^ˈ leM	tré·le ^ˈ M	---
'my stomach'	skan	skón ^ˈ	---
'my tongue'	elpa· ^ˈ l	trépa ^ˈ l	ʔépal
		trépal ^ˈ	
'fire'	ʔa ^ˈ a ^ˈ u ^ˈ	tra ^ˈ áw ^ˈ	ʔa ^ˈ áu ^ˈ
'seal'	t ^ˈ o· ^ˈ i	tró· ^ˈ í ^ˈ	ʔó ^ˈ í ^ˈ
		tro ^ˈ j	
		tró ^ˈ í ^ˈ	

Examples of recordings in which only Mason attests to glottalization:

'to fall'	tom ^ˈ	któm	k ^{hə} ·tám ^ˈ
		'he falls off'	'he fell off'

	MASON	HARRINGTON	JACOBSEN
'arrow'	eʔoiʔyiN	tretóʔyin tręʔoʔjin trefóʔjen	ʔetó·yən
'bark'	awuʔʔL	tre·wél tra·wél	ʔá·wəl

The evidence for the unitary nature of glottalized resonants is sketchy from the paucity of the recorded data, but Harrington's attestations indicate an analysis of these as unitary phonemes, as the examples which follow illustrate.

- m̥: xóm' ~ hóm' ~ qo'm 'roadrunner'
 y̥: tró·ĩʔ ~ troʔj ~ tróʔj̥ 'seal'
 l̥: trépa'l ~ trépal'ʔ 'my tongue'
 w̥: sʔa·né'wo ~ sʔanéw'o 'his paternal grandmother'
 n̥: tšepén' 'short' ~ tšepén' 'spider'

The first four examples illustrate how Harrington wrote his multiple rehearsals with glottalization recorded both before and after the consonant. The last example, n̥, presents a near-minimal pair (since stress was not recorded for 'short') for these phones.

Taking the 142 recorded instances of glottalized obstruents and comparing the recordings, Mason does not record them one-third of the time. In other words, he is more likely to have recorded the same glottalized obstruents as were heard and recorded by Harrington and Jacobsen, but he records glottalized resonants, many of them final, more often than Harrington.

On the other hand, if one breaks down the occurrences of possible glottalized obstruents into their position within the word, Mason recorded initial glottalized obstruents two-thirds, or 67%, of the time, and medially and finally he agrees with Harrington and Jacobsen in half of the recordings.

In over 95% of the recordings of glottal stop before a vowel at the beginning of a word Mason does not record it, but he did record final glottal stop after a vowel at the end of a word 75% of the time when Harrington and Jacobsen record it.

In the comparison of these three for their recordings of glottalization, Mason most frequently recorded word final glottalized resonants. Mason recorded 15 glottalized resonants that Harrington did not from a total of 85 recorded in the entire corpus. Of the 142 recordings of glottalized obstruents, Mason recorded only 13 that the others did not, mainly initial or intervocalic [k̚]. In three cases Mason recorded [č] where Jacobsen recorded [č̚]. There are no instances of

Mason recording a glottal stop before a vowel at the beginning of a word where Harrington or Jacobsen do not. Similarly, there are no instances where Mason recorded a glottal stop after a vowel at the end of a word where the others do not.

Unfortunately there are no semantic categories (e.g., small animals) for the words in which Mason records glottalization differently from the others and there seem to be no regularities or tendencies to Mason's differences except for his more frequent recordings of final glottalized resonants. Fortunately, though, Harrington and Jacobsen agree with each other nearly all of the time. Therefore, Harrington and Jacobsen will be considered the standard, and Mason's discrepancies will be considered variants.

There are only seven attestations of words for which Mason had a metathesized version, so this is not a very serious problem for reconstitution. These metathesized recordings do not fall into a category or suggest a pattern either, but they do indicate caution in the use of Mason's recordings when they are unsupported by either or both Harrington and Jacobsen.

1.3. Phonemes. The phones recorded by Mason, Harrington and Jacobsen can be analyzed to give the following phonemic inventory for 20th century Salinan:

p	t	t̥	c	č	k	ʔ	i·	u·	
p̣	ṭ	ṭ̥	c̣	č̣	ḳ		e·	o·	e o
b	d				g		a·		a
			s	š	x	h			
m	n	l	r	y	w				
ṃ	ṇ	ḷ		ỵ	ẉ				

Stress is phonemic.

Examination of older recordings suggests the following

phonemic inventory for Salinan before the period of Spanish influence.

p	t	ɬ	c	č	k	ʔ	i	i·	u	u·
p'	t'	ɬ'	c'	č'	k'					
			s	š	x	h	a	a·		
m	n	l	r	y	w					
m'	n'	l'		y'	w'					Stress is phonemic.

The stop and affricate series occur plain and glottalized. The plain encompasses Mason's intermediate-surd and aspirated stops and surd affricates; Harrington's voiceless plain and aspirated stops and affricates; and Jacobsen's plain and aspirated stops and affricates. Both frequently are aspirated before consonants and word finally, when elicited in isolation. /ɬ/ is occasionally aspirated in a pretonic syllable.

There are two instances of p^h intervocalically (both are from Harrington's notes).

<u>Mason</u>	<u>Harrington</u>	<u>Jacobsen</u>	
sepo	sʔé·pho	sé·pxo	'doe'
ɬupa ^h ha	trophá		'day after tomorrow'

Comparison suggests that a velar fricative follows p in the first example, and that a phonemic /h/ follows p in

the second. The aspirated series of obstruents have been shown (Turner 1980) to be predictable positional variants of the plain series. This was suggested by Mason's corpus, as the following observation by Sapir (1920c:305-306) illustrates:

"It is not altogether easy to be clear, for instance, from his data whether the aspirated surds are an organically independent series or merely a secondary development of the intermediate-surds. The former is the impression conveyed in the phonetic portion of the paper, the latter as the data unfold themselves in the body of the work...Meanwhile it seems fairly clear that the great majority of the instances of Salinan aspirated surds are merely due to positional causes."

/p/ is infrequent.

The phone [t^h] occurs occasionally before resonant consonants and word finally.

Most noun stems are prefixed by ɬ-, so this phone occurs frequently in word initial position.

/k/ and /k'/ are easily established. /k/ occurs in word initial position since it is the stative verb prefix. Medially Harrington often records [kh] before resonants where Mason and Jacobsen have [k].

There is no occurrence of glottalized [r'] nor does [r] appear word finally. Although a phoneme /r/ must be proposed for the language, it is limited to a few demonstratives, the negative and to many borrowed Spanish words.

Minimal pairs, or near-minimal pairs, have been found for the following consonants and stress in order to support the phonemic analysis. Although the search has not been entirely successful in that occasionally a non-minimal pair is the best available, the examples given will serve to establish the distinctness of most of the phonemes.

/t/ - /t̥/: tete 'to be', tēte 'my otter'
 teč' 'condor', t̥eč' 'my dog'
 /t̥/ - /č'/: kō·šoť 'frizzled', kō·šóč' 'tarantula'
 /t̥/ - /t̥'/: ɬo·y' 'sealion', ɬo·y' 'wood tick'
 /t̥/ - /č'/: tē·ɬo 'did', t̥ēč'·o 'his dog'
 /s/ - /š/: ʔas 'my son', ʔaš 'elk'
 /č'/ - /č'/: čel' 'downy woodpecker', če·l' 'cricket'
 /č'/ - /š/: močwēl' 'hummingbird', mošwelet 'ivy'
 /n/ - /n̥/: ɬēpen 'my belly', ɬēpen' 'chunk of wood'
 /l/ - /l̥/: ɬol 'one', ɬo·l' 'grasshopper'

Stress:

ɬē·lek 'my mouth', ɬe·lék 'hole'
 ɬā·šax 'my liver', ɬa·šáx 'feathers'
 pá·taloʔ 'he danced', pa·táloʔ 'he loosened it'
 ʔēšxay 'rain', ʔešxáy 'dawn'
 kēšaʔ 'four', kešáʔ 'how much, how many?'

1.31. 276 two-consonant clusters are attested. Within these data /p̣/ and the glottalized resonants are not found as the second members of two-consonant clusters.

/p/ as the first member of a two-consonant cluster does not combine with /ʔ/, /p̣/, /č'/, /ḳ/ or /r/ in Antoniaño Salinan. It is doubled in some words borrowed from Spanish and recorded by Harrington. -pt-, -pk-, -p̣ṭ-, -p̣ṭ', -p̣č̣-, -ps-, -px-, -pn- and -pl- are found medially and at morpheme boundaries within a word. -p̣ṭ-, -pc-, -p̣ṣ̌-, -ph- and -pm- are found only at morpheme boundaries within a word. Only #px- is found initially. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster /p/ does not combine with /č/, /ʔ/, /p̣/, /č'/, /h/, /ỵ/ or /ẉ/. It does combine with /r/ in some words borrowed from Spanish. -ṭp-, -mp- and -lp- are found medially and at morpheme boundaries within a word. -tp-, -kp-, -ḳp-, -xp-, -np-, -wp-, -ṁp-, -ṅp- and -ḷp- are found only at morpheme boundaries within a word. -cp-, -ṭp-, -ṭp- and -yp- are found medially within a word and not at a morpheme boundary. #ṭp-, #c̣p-, #sp-, #šp-, #mp- and #lp- are found initially, and -mp# and -lp# are found finally. -rp- is found only in Spanish loan words. Only -mp- and -lp- are found initially, medially and finally in Antoniaño Salinan words, as well as at morpheme boundaries

↓ First → Second	p	t	ʈ	c	č	k	ʔ	p'	t'	ʈ'	c'	č'	k'	s	š	x	h	m	n	l	r	y	w	
p	S	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x				x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x
t	x					x		x						x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x
ʈ	x	x	x			x								x	x	x	x		x	x	x		x	x
c	x					x											x							
č		x				x											x		x	x				x
k	x	x	x	x	x			x	x	x	x			x	x			x	x	x	x	x	x	x
ʔ		x	x											x	x	x	x					x		
p'		x				x	x																	x
t'	x	x				x													x	x	x			
ʈ'	x	x				x								x					x	x	x			
c'	x	x				x													x	x				
č'		x				x													x	x				
k'	x	x				x								x	x				x	x	x	x		
s	x	x	x			x	x	x						x	x				x	x	x	x	x	x
š	x	x				x	x							x					x	x	x	x	x	x
x	x	x	x			x	x	x	x						x				x	x	x	x	S	x
h																			x	x	x			x
m	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	S	x
n	x	x	x			x	x	x	x					x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x
l	x	x				S	x							x	x	x	x	x	x	x			S	x
r	S	S				S								x										S
y	x	x				x	x							x					x	x	x	x	x	x
w	x	x	x											x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
m'	x	x				x																		
n'	x	x																						
l'	x	x				x																		
y'	x																							
w'	x																							

Table I Consonant Clusters

legend: x = cluster occurs

S = occurs only in Spanish loans

↓ First Second →	p	t	ʈ	c	č	k	ʔ	p'	t'	ʈ'	c'	č'	k'	s	š	x	h	m	n	l	r	y	w
p																x							
t						x								x	x	x	x					x	x
ʈ	x	x				x								x	x	x	x				x	x	
c																	x						
č						x											x						
k	x	x	x			x				x	x	x		x	x					x	x		
ʔ																							
p'																							
t'						x																	
ʈ'																							
c'	x					x														x	x		
č'						x																	
k'										x	x			x									x
s	x	x				x	x	x					x			x				x	x	x	
š	x	x				x	x						x			x				x	x		
x																							x
h																							
m	x																						
n																							
l	x	x				x							x	x	x					x	x		
r																							
y																							
w																							

Table II Initial Consonant Clusters

First ↓ Second →	p	t	ʈ	c	č	k	ʔ	p'	t'	ʈ'	c'	č'	k'	s	š	x	h	m	n	l	r	y	w	
p																								
t																								
ʈ																								
c																								
č																								
k																								
ʔ																								
p'																								
t'																								
ʈ'																								
c'																								
č'																								
k'																								
s																								
š																								
x																								
h																								
m																			x					
n																			x	x				
l																			x	x				
r																								
y																								
w																								
m'																								
n'																								
h'																								

Table III Final Consonant Clusters

↓ First → Second	p	t	ʈ	c	č	k	ʔ	p'	t'	ʈ'	c'	č'	k'	s	š	x	h	m	n	l	r	y	w
p		x			x	x		x	x	x				x		x				x	x		
t						x										x				x	x		
ʈ	x					x								x		x				x	x		
c						x																	
č						x												x					x
k		x				x								x	x			x	x	x		x	x
ʔ		x												x	x								
p'						x																	x
t'	x																	x	x				
ʈ'	x					x												x	x				
c'	x																						
č'	x																						
k'		x												x						x	x		x
s		x				x								x						x	x		x
š		x				x		x						x						x	x		x
x		x																					x
h																		x		x			x
m	x	x	x			x	x		x					x	x					x		x	x
n		x				x	x		x					x	x	x	x			x		x	
l	x	x	x			x		x	x					x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x
r																							
y	x	x												x						x	x		x
w		x						x	x					x									x
m,						x								x									
n,														x									
l,		x				x																x	
y,														x							x	x	x
w	x								x						x								

Table IV Medial Consonant Clusters

First Second	p	t	ʈ	c	č	k	ʔ	p'	t'	ʈ'	c'	č'	k'	s	š	x	h	m	n	l	r	y	w
p			x	x											x	x						x	x
t	x								x						x	x	x						
ʈ			x												x	x							
c																							
č		x																		x			
k	x		x	x				x	x											x		x	
ʔ			x														x	x				x	
p'		x				x																	
t'		x				x																x	
ʈ'		x																				x	
c'		x																					
č'		x																					
k'	x	x																					x
s			x										x										x
š																							x
x	x	x				x	x	x	x						x								
h																							x
m				x									x	x	x	x						x	
n	x																x	x	x				
l													x										
r																							x
y							x	x	x	x							x	x	x			x	
w	x		x														x	x	x				x
m'	x	x																					
n'	x																						
l'	x												x										
y'																							x
w'			x																				

Table V Clusters Occurring Only at Morpheme Boundaries

within a word.

As the first consonant in a two-consonant cluster /t/ does not combine with /t/, /t̥/, /c/, /č/, /ʔ/, /p̥/, /t̥/, /č̥/, /č'/, /k̥/ or /r/. -tk-, -tn- and -tl- occur medially and at morpheme boundaries within a word. -tp-, -t̥p̥-, -ts-, -tš- and -th- occur only at morpheme boundaries within a word. #tk-, #ts-, #tš-, #tx-, #tm-, #tw- occur initially and #ty- occurs only initially. As the second consonant in a two-consonant cluster /t/ does not combine with /t/, /c/ or /h/. It does combine with /r/ in Spanish loans. -pt-, -kt-, -ʔt-, -k̥t-, -st-, -št-, -xt-, -mt-, -nt-, -lt-, -yt-, -wt-, -řt- and -w̥t- are found medially and at morpheme boundaries within a word. -čt-, -p̥t-, -t̥t-, -t̥t̥-, -čt̥-, -č't-, -m̥t- and -y̥t- occur only at morpheme boundaries. #t̥t-, #kt-, #st-, #št- and #lt- occur initially and -nt# and -lt#, finally. -rp- is found only in Spanish loans and only -lt- is found initially, medially and finally.

/t̥/ as the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster does not combine with /c/, /č/, /ʔ/, /p̥/, /t̥/, /t̥/, /č̥/, /č'/, /h/ or /r/. -t̥p-, -t̥k-, -t̥s-, -t̥š-, -t̥x-, -t̥m-, -t̥l- occur both medially and at morpheme boundaries in a word. -t̥t̥- occurs only at morpheme boundaries within a word. #t̥p-, #t̥t-, #t̥k-, #t̥k̥-, #t̥s-, #t̥š-, #t̥x-, #t̥m-, #t̥n-,

#t̥y- and #t̥w- occur initially. #t̥k̥- occurs only word initially. Only -t̥l# occurs word finally. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster /t̥/ combines with /p/, /t̥/, /k/, /ʔ/, /s/, /x/, /m/, /n/, /l/ and /w/. -mt̥- and -lt̥- occur medially and at morpheme boundaries within the word. -pt̥-, -t̥t̥-, -kt̥-, -ʔt̥-, -st̥-, -xt̥- and -wt̥- occur only at morpheme boundaries, and only -nt̥# occurs finally.

As the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster /c/ only combines with /p/, /k/ and /x/. -cp- and -ck- occur medially and #cx- occurs initially. As the second consonant /c/ combines with /p/, /k/ and /m/ in a two-consonant cluster. Only -pc-, -kc- and -mc- occur at morpheme boundaries. #Cc- and -Cc# are not attested.

/č/ as the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster is found with -čk-, -čm- and -čw- medially and at morpheme boundaries; and -čt- and -čn- occur only at morpheme boundaries. #čk-, #čx- and #čw- are found initially and #čx- occurs only initially. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster /č/ is found with -kč- and -mč- medially and at morpheme boundaries in a word. -pč- and -p̥č- occur medially and -lč- occurs only in Spanish loan words. #kč- and #sč- are found initially and -Cč# is not attested.

/k/ does not occur with /k/, /ʔ/, /p̥/, /k̥/, /x/ or /h/ as the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster. #kč-, #kč̥-, #kč̥̥-, #ks-, #kš-, #km-, #kl-, #ky- and #kw- are found initially. -kt-, -kč-, -ks-, -kš-, -km-, -kn-, -kl-, -ky- and -kw- occur both medially and at morpheme boundaries within a word. -kp-, -kɸ-, -kc-, -kɸ̥-, -kɸ̥̥- and -kr- occur only at morpheme boundaries. -kč̥-, -ks-, -kš-, -km-, -kl-, -ky- and -kw- occur initially and medially as well as at morpheme boundaries within a word. -kč̥- and -kč̥̥- occur initially and at morpheme boundaries.

As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster, /k/ does not combine with /k/, /ʔ/, /k̥/, /h/, /w/, /n̥/, /y/ or /w̥/. -pk-, -tk-, -ɸk-, -ɸ̥k-, -sk-, -šk-, -mk-, -nk-, and -lk- occur both medially and at morpheme boundaries within a word: -ck-, -m̥k- and -l̥k- occur only at morpheme boundaries. #tk-, #ɸk-, #čk-, #ɸ̥k-, #č̥k-, #č̥̥k-, #sk-, #šk- and #lk- occur initially. -rk- appears only in Spanish loans. -tk-, -ɸk-, -ɸ̥k-, -sk-, -šk-, and -lk- occur initially and medially as well as at morpheme boundaries. -Ck# is not attested. -ck-, -m̥k- and -l̥k- occur only at morpheme boundaries, and -čk-, and -č̥k- occur both initially and medially. #č̥k- is found only initially and -p̥k-, -ɸ̥k-, -xk- and -yk- are found only at morpheme boundaries.

/ʔ/ as the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster occurs medially and at morpheme boundaries within a word as -ʔt- and -ʔs-. -ʔt-, -ʔx-, -ʔh- and -ʔr- occur only at morpheme boundaries. Only -ʔʂ# occurs word finally. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster, #sʔ- and #ʂʔ- occur initially. -xʔ-, -mʔ-, -nʔ- and -yʔ- are found at morpheme boundaries. -Cʔ# is not attested.

/p̚/ as the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster is found as -p̚č- and -p̚y- medially and only -p̚t- and -p̚k- occur at morpheme boundaries within a word. #p̚C- does not occur. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster /p̚/ is not attested.

For /t̚/ as the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster, only -t̚m- and -t̚n- occur medially and at morpheme boundaries within a word. -t̚p- occurs medially; -t̚t-, -t̚k- and -t̚l- occur only at morpheme boundaries. #t̚C- and -Ct̚# are not attested. -pt̚-, -mt̚- and -lt̚- occur both medially and at a morpheme boundary within a word. -kt̚-, -xt̚-, -nt̚-, -yt̚- and -lt̚- occur only at morpheme boundaries and only -nt̚# occurs word finally.

For /t̚/ in two-consonant clusters, only #t̚k- occurs initially. -t̚p-, -t̚k-, -t̚m- and -t̚n- occur medially and -t̚t-, -t̚k-, -t̚n-, -t̚l- and -t̚y- occur at morpheme boundaries. -pt̚-, -nt̚-, -lt̚-, -wt̚- and -wt̚-

occur medially and -pʰ-, -tʰ-, -kʰ-, -xʰ-, and -lʰ- occur at morpheme boundaries. Only -nʰ# occurs word finally. -pʰ-, -nʰ-, -lʰ-, -wʰ- and -wʰ- occur medially.

As the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster, -čp- and -čw- occur initially and medially. #čk-, #čm-, #čn- and #čy- occur only initially. -čt- occurs only at a morpheme boundary and -čx- occurs medially. -čC# is not attested. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster, -pcʰ- occurs medially and at morpheme boundaries. -mcʰ- occurs only at morpheme boundaries. -kcʰ- occurs initially and at a morpheme boundary. -Ccʰ# is not attested.

As the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster, #čk-, #čx- and #čw- are found initially. -čk-, -čm-, -čn- and -čy- occur medially. -čt- and -čn- occur only at morpheme boundaries and -čC# does not occur. As the second member of a two-consonant cluster, -wč- and -mč- occur medially. -kč-, -sč-, -mč-, -lč- and -wč- occur at morpheme boundaries within a word. Only #kč- and #kč- occur initially. -Cč# is not attested.

As the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster /kʰ/ is found initially in #kʰ-, #kʰm-, #kʰl- and #kʰw-. -kʰt-, -kʰs-, -kʰn- and -kʰw- occur medially and -kʰp-, -kʰt-, -kʰn-, -kʰl- and -kʰr- occur at morpheme boundaries within a word. -kʰC# is not attested. As the second

consonant of a two-consonant cluster #tʰk-, #sk-, #ʃk- and #lk- occur initially. -sk-, -ʃk-, -mk-, -nk-, -lk-, -yk- and -yʰk- occur medially. -sk-, -mk- and -yʰk- occur only at morpheme boundaries within a word and -Ck# is not found.

As the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster, #sp-, #st-, #sč-, #sk-, #sʔ-, #skʰ-, #sx-, #sm-, #sn-, #sy- and #sw- are found initially. -st-, -sk-, -skʰ-, -sx-, -sh-, -sn-, -sl-, -sy- and -sw- are found medially. -sp-, -st-, -stʰ-, -sk-, -skʰ-, -sč-, -sx-, -sm-, -sn-, -sr- and -sy- occur at morpheme boundaries. -st-, -sk-, -skʰ-, -sx-, -sm-, -sy- and -sw- occur both initially and medially. -sC# is not attested. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster, only #ks-, #ts- and #tʰs- are found initially. -ps-, -tʰs-, -ks-, -ʔs-, -kʰs-, -ms-, -ns-, -ls-, -nʰs- and -wʰs- occur medially. -ps-, -tʰs-, -ks-, -ʔs-, -ms-, -ns-, -ls- and -rs- occur at morpheme boundaries within a word. -rs- occurs only at morpheme boundaries -Cs# is not attested.

/ʃ/ occurs initially as the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster with #ʃp-, #ʃt-, #ʃk-, #ʃʔ-, #ʃkʰ-, #ʃx-, #ʃm-, #ʃl- and #ʃw-. Only #ʃp- occurs only initially. -ʃt-, -ʃk-, -ʃtʰ-, -ʃkʰ-, -ʃx-, -ʃh-, -ʃm-, -ʃn- and -ʃw- occur medially. -ʃt-, -ʃk-, -ʃx-,

-šm-, -šn- and -šr- occur at morpheme boundaries within a word. Only -šr- occurs only at morpheme boundaries. -šC# is not attested. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster #tš-, #tʃš- and #kš- occur initially. -kš-, -ʔš-, -nš-, -lš-, -wš-, -mš- and -n̄š- occur medially and -pš-, -tš-, -tʃš-, -kš-, -xš-, -mš- and -lš- occur at morpheme boundaries. -ʔš# and -wš# occur word finally.

/x/ occurs initially as the first member of a two-consonant cluster only before /w/: -xw- also occurs medially. -xt-, -xn- and -xl- also occur medially. -xp-, -xʃ-, -xʧ-, -xk-, -xʔ-, -xʃʔ-, -xš-, -xm-, -xl- and -xr- occur at morpheme boundaries. -xy- occurs only in Spanish loan words. -xC# is not attested. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster, #px-, #tx-, #tʃx-, #cx-, #čx-, #č̣x-, #sx-, #šx- and #lx- occur initially. #cx-, #čx- and #č̣x- occur only initially. -px-, -tx-, -tʃx-, -č̣x-, -sx-, -šx-, -nx- and -lx- occur medially. -px-, -tʃx-, -ʔx-, -sx-, -šx-, -mx-, -lx- and -wx- occur at morpheme boundaries within a word. -ʔx-, -mx- and -wx- occur only at morpheme boundaries. -px-, -tx-, -tʃx-, -sx-, -šx- and -lx- occur both initially and medially. -Cx# is not attested.

/h/ does not occur as the first member of a two-

consonant cluster initially. -hn- occurs at morpheme boundaries within a word. -hm-, -hl- and -hw- occur medially. As the second member of a two-consonant cluster -ph-, -th-, -ʔh-, -mh-, -nh-, -ly-, -yh-, -wh- and -ɪh- occur at morpheme boundaries within a word. -sh-, -ʃh- and -lh- occur medially. -Ch# is not attested.

/m/ occurs with every consonant except /p/ as the first member of a two-consonant cluster. #mp- occurs initially. -mp-, -mt-, -mɸ-, -mč-, -mk-, -mʔ-, -mč-, -ms-, -ml-, -my- and -mw- occur medially and at morpheme boundaries within a word. -mc-, -mʔ-, -mč-, -mš-, -mx-, -mh- and -mn- occur at morpheme boundaries. -mr- occurs only in Spanish loan words. -mp# occurs word finally. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster #tm-, #ɸm-, #km-, #čm-, #km-, #sm- and #šm- occur initially. -ɸm-, -čm-, -km-, -ɸm-, -šm- and -lm- occur medially and at morpheme boundaries. -ɸm-, -čm-, -hm-, -ym- and -ɸm- occur medially. -pm-, -tm-, -sm-, -nm-, -wm- and -ɸm- occur at morpheme boundaries within a word. -Cm# is not attested.

As the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster /n/ does not appear initially. -nt-, -nk-, -ns- and -nl- occur medially and at morpheme boundaries in a word. -nč-, -nč-, -nk-, -nš-, -nx- and -ny- occur medially.

-np-, -nɸ-, -nʔ-, -nʔ-, -nh-, -nm- and -nn- occur at morpheme boundaries. -nt#, -nɸ#, -nʔ#, and -nʔ# are found word finally. -nc-, -nɸ', -nc', -nč- and -nr- do not occur. As the second member of a two-consonant cluster #ɸn-, #čn- and #sn- occur initially. -pn-, -tn-, -kn-, -ɸn-, -ɸn-, -čn-, -k'n-, -sn-, -šn-, -xn-, -ln-, -wn-, -l'n- and -y'n- occur medially and at morpheme boundaries. -ɸn- and -čn- occur medially. -čn-, -hn-, -mn-, -nn-, -rn-, -yn-, -m'n- and -w'n- occur only at morpheme boundaries within a word. -ɸn-, -čn- and -sn- occur both initially and medially. -Cn# is not attested.

/l/ does not occur as the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster with /c/, /č/, /p/, /ʔ/, /č/, /l/ or /r/. It is found initially: #lp-, #lt-, #lk-, #lk', #lx- and #lw-. -lp-, -lt-, -lɸ-, -lk-, -lɸ', -lɸ', -ls-, -lš-, -lx-, -lh-, -lm- and -ln- are found medially and at morpheme boundaries with a word. -lk', and -lw- are found medially. -lč- occurs only at morpheme boundaries. -lp# and -lt# occur at the end of a word. -lč- and -ly- are found only in Spanish loan words. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster #kl-, #k'l- and #šl- are found initially. -pl-, -tl-, -ɸl-, -kl-, -xl-, -ml-, -nl-, -yl- and -y'l- are found medially and at morpheme boundaries. -sl- and -hl- are found medially but not

at morpheme boundaries. -t̥i-, -t̥l-, -k̥l-, -ʃl- and -wl- are found at morpheme boundaries but not medially. -t̥l# occurs finally.

/r/ does not occur initially as the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster. At morpheme boundaries within a word only -rs- and -rn- are found. -rp-, -rt-, -rk- and -rw- are found in Spanish loan words. /r/ does not occur medially nor word finally as the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster /r/ is only found at the following morpheme boundaries within a word: -kr-, -ʔr-, -k̥r-, -sr-, -ʃr-, -xr-, -yr- and -wr-. -mr- occurs only in Spanish loan words. There are no attestations of #Cr- initially, -Cr- medially, nor does -Cr# occur word finally.

#yC- is not found nor is -yC#. -yp-, -yt-, -y^h-, -ym-, -yl- and -yw- occur medially. -yk-, -yʔ-, -yt̥-, -yh-, -yn- and -yr- occur only at morpheme boundaries within a word. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster #ty-, #t̥y-, #čy-, #sy- and #ky- are found initially. -ky-, -p̥y-, -čy-, -sy-, -my-, -ny- and -wy- occur medially. -py-, -t̥y- and -t̥y- occur at morpheme boundaries within a word. -xy- and -ly- occur only in Spanish loan words. -Cy# is not attested.

As the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster #wC- and -wC# are not found. -wt-, -wt̥-, -wt̥-, -wč-,

-wš-, -wn- and -wy- occur medially. -wp-, -wɸ-, -wx-, -wh- and -wr- occur only at morpheme boundaries within a word. As the second consonant of a two-consonant cluster #tw-, #ɸw-, #čw-, #kw-, #čw-, #kʷ-, #sw-, #šw-, #xw- and #lw- occur initially. -čw-, -kw-, -čw-, -kʷ-, -sw-, -šw-, -xw-, -hw-, -mw-, -lw- and -yw- occur medially. -pw-, -ɸw- and -yʷ- occur at morpheme boundaries within a word. -Cw# is not attested and -rw- occurs only in Spanish loan words.

/m/ does not occur in word initial or word final two-consonant clusters. -mk-, -mč- and -mš- occur medially; -mp-, -mt- and -mn- occur only at morpheme boundaries within a word and both sets may be triple consonant clusters.

/n/ does not occur in word initial nor word final two-consonant clusters, either. Only -ns- and -nš- occur medially; -np-, -nt- and -nm- occur only at morpheme boundaries within a word, so both of these sets may be triple consonant clusters, too.

/l/ does not occur word initially nor word finally in two-consonant clusters. -lk- and -ln- occur medially; -lp-, -lt-, -lʰ- and -lh- occur only at morpheme boundaries within a word.

/y/ does not occur as the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster word initially nor word finally. -yk-, -ym-, -yn- and -yl- occur medially. -yt- and

-y̥w- occur only at morpheme boundaries within a word.

/w̥/ does not occur in word initial nor final position as the first consonant of a two-consonant cluster. -wt̥-, -w̥t̥- and -w̥s- occur medially. -wn̥- occurs only at morpheme boundaries within a word.

The glottalized resonants do not combine with any other consonants as the second member of a two-consonant cluster. Looking at these glottalized resonants, then, all or some might be triple consonant clusters (see Section 1.28).

1.32. Consonant clusters (3). Two three-consonant clusters occur word initially with no morpheme boundary: #šk̥w- šk̥wá·laxék 'I cut myself', xeyó šk̥wálo 'he cut it' (from Jacobsen's notes), re-šk̥wéltek̥ 'cut oneself' (from Harrington); #sk̥m- sk̥máyo? 'gopher' (from Harrington). There are two further triple consonant clusters found initially, but a morpheme boundary is involved. The morpheme boundary is indicated by a dash (-). #t̥-šx̥ t̥-šx̥éxe? 'lots of tracks' and #s-k-l s-k-lápay 'three bright stars' (both from Harrington).

Nine triple consonant clusters are found medially without a morpheme boundary. -?šx̥-re?šx̥áy 'mañana, morning, tomorrow'; -?xw-

so?xwá? 'fawn'; -šxw- ?ášxwet 'my rib';
 -nčw- tančwá·nel 'star', tančwá·ntel 'stars',
 kánčwa·nle 'starry' (from Harrington); -lxw-
 tálxwal xeyó? 'he was working', talxwál 'work!',
 talxwalné 'a job' (from Jacobsen); -Īkn-
 ʔaĪknáyo 'little fork'; -ypk- haypké? 'digging
 stick'; -wtk- ʔowtké? 'salmon spear', ʔowtkelé?
 'salmon spears'; and -w̄sn- ʔekow̄snay' 'my
 collarbone' (from Harrington).

By far the greatest number of three-consonant clusters occur at morpheme boundaries. Abbreviations for citations are as follows: JAM, J. Alden Mason; T7,6, Text 7, line 6 from Mason (1918); JPH, John Peabody Harrington; WHJ, William H. Jacobsen.

p-t-m to-p-t-mešem-o 'from the drinking' T7,6
 p-sk' ʔo-p-skám-kás 'at the near only' T6,6
 p-šk' ʔo-p-škán 'by the hawk' T7,16
 p-lk' ro-p-lka 'to the coyote' T3,8
 t-xw t-xwen-to 'soon' T3,13
 k-š-l ka-k-š-l-ó?-ax 'both together' T6, 12
 k-š? ʔo-k-š?éney-ay' 'your (pl) fishhook' JPH
 k'-ks čátelo·mtek'-ksá 'was very cold' T4,30
 ?šk ʔ-a?šké·n-o ʔ-é·-lek 'the corner of my mouth'
 (from Spanish esquina 'corner') JPH
 ?-r-m ká?-r-mene? káʔowtené 'let's go get piñones'

JPH

- st-m tast-málox 'what thy desire' T6,11
- s-t-m ta·s-t-m-etxá 'what thy possession' T4,1
- st-k ká·st-k-á·me·tom' 'but we will try it' T3,27
- s-ť-y kás-ť-yax 'two fellows' JPH
- s-k-š tas-k-šówne·-nêk' 'but kindle will I' T1,6
- sč-m tasč-má 'what (did you say)?' WHJ
- š-t-m taš-t-m-etá·-wo 'what thy possession' T4,6
- šť-k sekčépkašť-kó-k-a·m-ko 'is good only that
not kill him' T5,3
- š-ť-m taš-ť-má·lox 'what thy desire' T3,4
- x-k-l kákšo-ťo?e-tax-k-lápay '23' WHJ
- mp-t se-k-á·mp-ten 'rises again' T8,22
- mp-k p-a·m-ámp-ko 'drew out' T7, 13
- m-p-č rá·m-p-čē·n-ť-ko 'then watches' T1,45
- m-p-ť rām-p-ťóxne·wo 'then rolled it' T8,13
- m-p-n rām-p-newo 'then seized' T8,10
- m-p-l rām-p-le·t-xo 'then throw them' T1,18
- m-p-y rām-p-yá·m-o 'then sees' T1,46
- m-t-k yo?-rām-t-kon-l-ox 'then arrived' T6,10
- m-ť-ť pe·lá·k' ško? ?om-ť-ťo·-ké·w-o pe·čá? 'an
island' ('that land in the middle of the
water') JPH
- m-ť-k hom-ť-káw' 'my body' JPH
- m-ťx hem-ťxapēš-o ť-óxol 'my back teeth' JPH
- m-ť-m ?em-ť-m-ē-kaw' 'your (sg) body' JPH
- m-k-ť ka-?-am-k-ťe?-leĕ' 'we can not' JPH
- m-k-č ram-k-čē·n-o? 'then content' T4,5

m-k-č	ram-k-čá·wye?	'then sought'	T1,30
m-ks	s-kam-ksa	'whirled around'	T1,8
m-k-š	?em-k-šó·lok-ne	'so that entered hole'	T1,12
m-k-m	řam-k-mêřow-lo	'then thick already'	T4,21
m-k-n	ře-p-ám-k-neř·ko	'to kill them'	T5,11
m-k-l	ram-k-lápay	'then three'	T6,7
	?om-k-lówa·-we	'are you married?'	JPH
m-ř-k	?em-ř-káten-o	'on his rump'	T3,2
m-km	ř-o-m-kmá·n	'your (sg) groin'	JPH
m-kw	?em-kwél	'the world'	JPH
m-sk	ř-o-m-skón	'your (sg) heart hollow'	JPH
m-sk'	ř-o-m-skáneř	'your (sg) rib'	JPH
m-sm	ko-m-sma-há-t	'ugly'	WHJ
m-sw	ř-o-m-swé·ktay	'your (sg) hat'	JPH
m-šp	ko-m-špokté	'he is bald'	JPH
m-šk	čá? rom-škém	'sea water'	JPH
m-šk'	ř-o-m-škáwe	'your (sg) heel'	JPH
m-lk'	ř-o-m-lkó·yo-ten	'your (sg) beard'	JPH
m-xw	ka·m-xwên	'arrived'	T1,37
m-p-n	yo?-rá·m-p-ne·wo	'he then seized it'	T7,7
nt-x	malént-řo?	'he remembers it'	WHJ
n-t-x	p-ekéle·n-t-xo	'whirled around'	T1,8
n-ř-k	rá·m-p-čé·n-ř·ko	'then watches'	T1,45
n-ks	wéten-ksá	'wished'	T1,11
lp-k	čé·xolp·ko hék' ?én	'I am going to skin it'	
		(animal)	JPH
lp-x	xomó ř-kolp-xáyo	'he is born bad'	JPH

lʔ-k	p-yamá·lʔ-kam hék	'I looked at you (pl)'	JPH
l-kw	mê-tʔol-kwél	'when one time'	T8,19
lʔ-k	p-â·lelʔ-ko	'asked him'	T6,7
lʔ-t-k	tafélʔ-t-ka	'with you (pl)'	JPH
rš-t	terš-tom	'therefore fell'	T4,31
rš-ʔ	terš-ʔé?	'therefore said'	T1,22
rš-č	yo?-térš-čép	'therefore good'	T5,8
rš-k	terš-keš?-pe?	'therefore thus'	T5,7
w-št	ʔo-p-a?-ke·w-štów	'in that her place girl'	T6,13

Clusters of four consonants are rare in the corpus. A careful search yields only the following three:

m-p-tx	ʔom-p-txa·w-o	'do you have it?'	JPH
m-ʔ-šk	râ·m-ʔ-ško?	'then was'	T8,5
m-ʔ-xw	râm-ʔ-xwen-eł-ax	'then they came'	T3,8

1.33. Vowels. Historically, Antonio Salinan had three phonemic vowels, short and long. The allophones of /e/, i.e., [i], [e] and [ɛ], occur in free variation according to Harrington's notes. Harrington often reelicited the same word many times to determine all possible pronunciations, and, if possible, to determine an exactly correct pronunciation. In many cases he simply could not pin down one "correct" rendering of a word. A few examples will illustrate the free variation of the

allophones of /e/.

[i] ~ [e]: tritʃú'm ~ tretčóm 'my back'

[ɛ] ~ [i]: trʃilé'o ~ trʃelélé'wo 'plant sp.'

The allophones of /o/, i.e., [u], [o] and [ɔ], similarly are recorded in free variation in Harrington's field notes:

[o] ~ [u]: trhkó'tc ~ trkútʃ 'your (pl) dog'

[ɔ] ~ [u]: trɔw ~ trúw 'my face'

trɔwhéno ~ truwhéno 'their faces'

This last example, 'their faces', is accompanied by Harrington's note, "or is this the form that ɔ takes?" with arrows pointing at the underlined uw, (see Section 1.23).

Therefore the high and mid short vowels do not contrast in native Antoniaño Salinan words. There are a few cases in which [i] is always recorded, but these are limited to a small number of attested frozen forms, although there is some evidence for a marginal contrast between short [i] and [e] in Jacobsen's data, especially before final ?:

mošé? 'coal'

lo·ší? 'birthday'

where stress may reveal an otherwise weakly attested

contrast. However, a possible minimal pair is suggested by an example from Jacobsen's notes where short [i] and [e] occur unstressed:

šká·we? 'heel' ~ šká·wi? 'his heels'

In addition to Harrington's multiple rehearsals which reflect free variation of the allophones of /e/ and /o/, it is possible to state the environments in which the [i] and [u] allophones are usually recorded.

$$/e/ \rightarrow [i] / \left. \begin{array}{l} \{ \text{ʃ} \} \\ \{ \text{č} \} \\ \{ \text{č} \} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$/ \left. \begin{array}{l} \{ \text{č} \} \\ \{ y \} \end{array} \right\} /$$

[ɛ] never occurs long and is in free variation with [e].

/o/ → [u] / ___labials (w, m, p, w')

[ɔ] never occurs long and is in free variation with [o].

The following minimal pairs and near-minimal pairs support the phonemic analysis.

/a/ - /a·/ ʃetá'lo 'shoulder', ʃetá·'lo 'his
shoulder'

/e/ - /e·/ čeĭ 'woodpecker sp.', če·ĭ
'cricket'

/o/ - /o·/ cōĭo 'his point', cō·ĭo 'point'

/a·/ - /e·/ ʦā·šax 'my liver', ʦē·šax 'my
feathers'

/a/ - /e/ ʔākataʔ 'blood', ʔékato 'his blood'

/e/ - /o/ ʦēšaʦ 'my saltwater bullhead', ʦōšat
'my tears'

All the examples are from Harrington's notes.

2. Noun morphology: overview of morphology.

Nouns, verbs and particles are the three morphologically defined word classes in Salinan. The morphology is characterized by prefixes, suffixes and infixes, and, sometimes, complex morphophonemics and active fusional processes such as vowel ablaut. Both nouns and verbs are inflected for singular and plural. Nouns are inflected to show the person and number of the possessor and verbs are inflected for number, aspect and pronominal reference of subject, agent and object. Numerals and demonstratives may be nominal or verbal. Affixation is extensive: a stem is rarely used without an affix. Most stems are nominal or verbal: some stems may be either.

2.1. Introduction to noun morphology: morpheme order in the noun maximally includes the following: (locative)-(articular prefix)-(stative prefix - stative)-(demonstrative)-(nominal article)-(possessive pronominal prefix)-noun stem-(possessive pronominal suffix)-(plural suffix)-(possessive pronominal suffix), for which examples follow.

ro-p-k-ósolol na-ṭ-ʔakaṭ 'of the straight tree'

ro-	locative prefix
-p-	articular prefix
-k-	stative prefix

-osolol-	stative verb, 'straight'
na-	demonstrative
-ṭ-	nominal article
-ʔakʔ	noun, 'tree'
wāk	'night heron'
ṭ-é-wak	'my night heron'
ṭ-	nominal article
-e-	singular or third person plural possessor
-wak	noun, 'night heron'
ṭ-e-wāk-o	'his night heron'
ṭ-	nominal article
-e-	singular or third person plural possessor
-wak-	noun, 'night heron'
-o	third person possessor

Most nouns are marked for alienable or inalienable possession, but there is a complete lack of gender class or surface case marking. Examples of a noun that may be either alienably or inalienably possessed are shown at the end of Section 2.52 with the words for 'dimple' and 'molar'.

2.2. Inflectional morphology: pronominal possession.

Although there are subcategories and a small set of exceptions, pronominal possession is morphologically marked by placement of stress, affixation and the

presence of the ʈ nominal article, which also occurs as a nominalizing prefix with verbs, as the initial prefix.

2.21. Affixes. The third person possessor is shown by penultimate stress, plus a combination of affixes: the ʈ nominal article immediately followed by the vowel $-e-$ preceding the noun stem, and the possessive suffix $-o$. Examples:

sanát'	'hide, skin'	ʈ -e-sanát'-o	'his hide'
ʈay	'whale'	ʈ -e-ʈáy-o	'his whale'
ʈa·šáx	'liver'	ʈ -e-šáx-o	'his liver'

(The deletion and replacement of the initial stem vowel in the last example is discussed in Section 2.23.) The $-o$ suffix follows stems ending in a consonant or replaces the vowel $/a/$. $-o$ becomes $-wó$ after stems ending in a vowel other than $/a/$:

ʈá·k'ata	'wood'	ʈ -e-k'át-o	'his wood'
ʈe·xoxóʔ	'brain'	ʈ -e-·xoxó-wó	'his brain'

For the plural third person possessed form a plural morpheme immediately follows the stem:

sanát'	'hide, skin'	ʈ -e-sanát'-él-o	'their hide(s)'
--------	--------------	---------------------------	-----------------

The $-el-$ plural morpheme is discussed in Section 2.32.

For the first person possessed form the stress falls on the first syllable, or the -e- prefix. The first person is also marked by the initial ʈ- prefix.

sanât'	'hide, skin'	ʈ-ê-sanat'	'my hide'
ʈay	'whale'	ʈ-ê-ʈay	'my whale'
ʈ-a·šâx	'liver'	ʈ-ê-·šax	'my liver'

For the plural first person possessed form, the first person singular prefixes are preceded by a ʈ-a- prefix:

sanât'	'hide, skin'	ʈ-â-ʈ-e-sanat'	'our hide(s)'
--------	--------------	----------------	---------------

The second person singular possessed form is also preceded by the ʈ- nominal article and the -ê- prefix, but an -m- prefix is placed after the ʈ-.

sanât'	'hide, skin'	ʈ-m-ê-sanat'	'your hide'
ʈay	'whale'	ʈ-m-ê-ʈay	'your whale'
ʈ-a·šâx	'liver'	ʈ-m-ê-·šax	'your liver'

Before a noun stem beginning with a vowel, the prefixes are ʈ-m-:

*ak'	'head'	ʈ-m-âk'	'your head'
*e·nʈ'	'nose'	ʈ-m-ê·nʈ'	'your nose'

*ow 'face' †-m-ōw 'your face'

(The starred forms are not attested since they are inalienably possessed body parts.)

This combination of affixes is †-o-m- (unstressed) mainly with inalienably possessed nouns, but not always, as shown by the first example:

†owtké? 'salmon spear' †-o-m-†ōwtkay' 'your salmon
spear'

*me·n 'hand' †-o-m-mē·n 'your hand'

*tō·s 'younger brother' †-o-m-tō·s 'your younger
brother'

For the second person plural possessed form, the †- nominal article is followed by -k-ō- and -o-k-:

sanāt' 'hide, skin' †-k-ō-sanat' 'your hide'

†owtké? 'salmon spear' †-o-k-†ōwtkay' 'your salmon
spear'

The †-o-k- order of prefixes is very poorly attested, so it is difficult to determine if this order occurs mainly with inalienably possessed nouns as the †-o-m- set of prefixes for the second person singular does.

This analysis is complicated by the presence of

the vowel -a- as the initial vowel in all first person plural possessed forms and of -o- in all second person plural possessed forms. (These vowels also appear as the initial vowel marking the subject of the verb in first and second person pronominal plurals: see Sections 4.651 and 4.654).

The following chart of the possessive pronominal affixes for the nouns examined so far includes the ʔ-nominal prefix.

	Singular	Plural
1	ʔ-ê-	ʔ-â-ʔ-e-
2	{ ʔ-m-ê- } { ʔ-o-m- }	{ ʔ-k-ô- } { ʔ-o-k- }
3	ʔ-e- -a → -o -o/C__ -wó/V(?)__ penultimate stress	all of the singular plus a plural marker

2.22. Regular paradigm. Following are examples of pronominal possessive affixation in stems of one, two and three syllables.

	Singular non-poss.	Plural non-poss.
	sanát'	sanat'-él 'hide, skin'
	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	‡-é-sanat'	‡-á·-‡-e-sanat'
2	‡-m-é-sanat'	‡-k-ó-sanat'
3	‡-e-sanát'-o	‡-e-sanat'-él-o
	Singular non-poss.	Plural non-poss.
	no attestation	čéns-el 'sand'
	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	‡-é-čéns-el	‡-á·-‡-e-čéns-el
2	‡-m-é-čéns-el	‡-k-ó-čéns-el
3	‡-e-čéns-él-o	‡-e-čéns-él-o

The potential ambiguity between plural possessed nouns and plural possessors is here exemplified by the plural, -el, expressed in the attested non-possessed form.

	Singular non-poss.	Plural non-poss.
	ṭây	no attestation 'whale'
	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ṭ-ê-ṭay	no attestation
2	ṭ-m-ê-ṭay	" "
3	ṭ-e-ṭây-o	" "

As illustrated in these examples of the regular and most common inflectional paradigm for possessed nouns, the affixes and accompanying identifying stress look like:

	Singular	Plural
1	ṭ-ê-	ṭ-â-ṭ-e-
2	ṭ-m-ê-	ṭ-k-ô-
3	ṭ-e- ' -o	ṭ-e- -(pl) ' -o

2.23. Change of initial vowel in some vowel-initial stems. A change of the initial vowel occurs in the possessed forms that follow, because the initial vowel of the noun stem is replaced by the appropriate vowel of the possessive paradigm (note that if the non-possessed stem ends in a vowel, it will become -o in the third person possessed forms):

	Singular non-poss.	Plural non-poss.	
	ṭ-ā·Kata	ṭ-ā·Katet	'wood'
	Singular poss.	Plural poss.	
1	ṭ-ē Kata	ṭ-ā-ṭ-e-·Kata	
2	ṭ-m-ē-Kata	ṭ-k-ō-·Kata	
3	ṭ-e-·Kāt-o	ṭ-e-Kat-ē·l-o	
	Singular non-poss.	Plural non-poss.	
	ṭ-a·Šax	no attestation	'liver'
	Singular poss.	Plural poss.	
1	ṭ-ē-·Šax	no attestation	
2	ṭ-m-ē-·Šax	" "	
3	ṭ-e-·Šáx-o	" "	

The identity of the stem-initial vowel is lost through its replacement by the paradigmatic vowels. It is a great pity that no plural forms of this word were recorded.

The vowels, however, simply reflect the vowels of the possessive paradigm, -e- for singular (all persons); -a- for first person plural, -o- for second person plural and back to -e- for third person plural. These vowels for first and second person plural are of overriding importance as will be

seen in the sections on verbal morphology (see Sections 3, 4.653 and 8.5 especially), but these vowels can apparently obscure the root of the non-possessed form of the stem, e.g. the root for 'house' is -am(a); and with metathesis, the word for 'water', ʦ-šaʔ, becomes quite opaque, since the vowel of the root may appear before or after the -š- depending on whether a non-possessed or possessed form of the word for 'water' occurs. Taking the stem of the non-possessed form as basic, then, the root for the word for 'water' is -ša(-) with metathesized -aš(-) as the form for possessed forms for the word.

Singular non-poss. ʦ-a·m 'house'.

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʦ-é·ma	ʦ-á-ʦ-e·m
2	ʦ-m-é-·ma	ʦ-k-ó-·ma
3	ʦ-e-·m-ó	ʦ-e-·má-l-o

Singular non-poss. ʦ-šaʔ 'water'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʦ-é-ʦ-a·š	ʦ-á-ʦ-e-ʦ-aš
2	ʦ-m-é-ʦ-aš	ʦ-k-ó-ʦ-aš
3	ʦ-e-ʦ-á·š-o	ʦ-e-ʦ-á·š-o-tʔ

These examples serve to illustrate the interplay of morphophonemics, required by the vowels for the possessive paradigm, with metathesis to preserve the root vowel of the possessed noun. It is only with roots of one syllable that this pattern is necessary, however.

2.24. Exceptions. Regular possession markings have several exceptions which fall into the following overlapping classes:

I. Vowel Changes

- A. Vowel elided in the third person, singular and plural.
- B. Non-possessive stems ending in $-e^?$ become $-ay'$ in the possessed forms.

II. Stress

- A. Stress stays on the stem vowel in the possessed forms.
- B. Stress shifts over two syllable stems.
- C. Stress shifts over three syllable stems.

2.241. Vowel changes. In the first type of exception to the regular paradigm for possessed noun stems, the vowel is elided from the possessive pronominal $-e-$ prefix in the third person, singular and plural (see Section 1.22):

Singular non-poss. ʔ-etáʔol 'flute'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʔ-é-taʔol	ʔ-á--ʔ-e-taʔol
2	ʔ-m-é-taʔol	ʔ-k-ō-taʔol
3	ʔ-táʔol-o	ʔ-taʔol-tén-o

Singular non-poss. ʔ-eká·kel 'song'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʔ-é-ka·kel	ʔ-á--ʔ-ka·kel
2	ʔ-m-é-ka·kel	ʔ-k-ō-ka·kel
3	ʔ-ka·kél-o	ʔ-ka·k-lét-o

Note that even though there is elision of the vowel in the third person forms, the stress pattern, as established for the regular paradigm, is still maintained, unlike the examples for 'house' and 'water'. Note also the vowel elision in the second syllable of the first person plural possessed form for 'song'.

Another type of vowel elision involves the stem-final vowel:

Singular non-poss. ʦ-e-lék 'mouth'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʦ-é-lek	no attestation
2	ʦ-m-é-lek	" "
3	ʦ-é-lk-o	" "

2.242. -eʔ → -ayʔ. Another small set of only five nouns differentiates possessed from non-possessed forms by shift of stress accompanied by a change of final suffix from -eʔ to -ayʔ without the -e- prefix in the first person singular or third person singular and plural. Sapir (1917) had identified the -ayʔ suffix as "suffixed to verbs to form nouns" but this is not an explanation for the following:

Singular non-poss. šʔeney-éʔ 'fish hawk'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	šʔéney-ayʔ	ʦ-á-šʔeney-ayʔ
2	ʦ-o-m-šʔéney-ayʔ	ʦ-o-k-šʔéney-ayʔ
3	šʔeney-áyʔ-o	šʔeney-l-áyʔ-o

Singular non-poss. ʔ-owtk-é? 'salmon spear'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʔ-ówtk-ayʔ	ʔ-á·-ʔ-owtk-ayʔ
2	ʔ-o-m-ʔ-ówtk-ayʔ	ʔ-o-k-ʔ-ówtk-ayʔ
3	ʔ-owtk-áýʔ-o	ʔ-o·wtk-el-áýʔ-o

Singular non-poss. ʔ-ʔéwt-e? 'fuzz'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʔ-ʔéwt-ayʔ	ʔ-á-ʔ-ʔéwt-ayʔ
2	ʔ-o-m-ʔ-ʔéwt-ayʔ	ʔ-o-k-ʔ-ʔéwt-ayʔ
3	ʔ-ʔéwt-áýʔ-o	ʔ-ʔéwt-el-áýʔ-o

Singular non-poss. ʔ-olol-é? 'flute'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʔ-ólo·l-ayʔ	no attestation
2	ʔ-m-ólo·l-ayʔ	" "
3	ʔ-olo·l-áýʔ-o	" "

Singular non-poss. ma·w-ê? 'watch'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	má·w-ay'	no attestation
2	ɬ-o-m-má·w-ay'	" "
3	no attestation	" "

2.243. Stress stays on stem vowel. The following are examples illustrating the second set of exceptions to the regular possession markings involving stress placement. In the first set of examples the stress stays on the stem vowel in the possessed form naturally, since it is monosyllabic.

Singular non-poss. có·t'ó 'point'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	cóť	no attestation
2	ɬ-o-m-cóť	" "
3	cóť-o	" "

Singular non-poss.	(Unattested)	'sugar'
Singular poss.	Plural poss.	
1 k'ómáš	no attestation	
2 ɬ-o-m-k'ómáš	" "	
3 k'ómáš-o	" "	

(There is an unusual stress attested with the third person singular possessed form.)

Singular non-poss.	*ak'	'head'
Singular poss.	Plural poss.	
1 ɬ-ák'	no attestation	
2 ɬ-m-ák'	" "	
3 ɬ-ák'-o	" "	

Singular non-poss.	*me'n	'hand'
Singular poss.	Plural poss.	
1 mé'n	no attestation	
2 ɬ-o-m-mé'n	" "	
3 mé'n-o	" "	

The last two examples are inalienably, i.e. obligatorily,

possessed so I have supplied starred forms for the stems for the non-existent non-possessed forms.

2.244. Stress shift over two syllables. Examples of the stress shifting in the possessed forms over two syllable stems beginning with an initial ʈ-e- follow. Only the predictable first syllable stress marks the first person singular possessed form.

Singular non-poss.	ʈ-e·kě(·)n	'nest'
--------------------	------------	--------

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʈ-é·ken	ʈ-á-ʈ-e·ke·n
2	ʈ-m-é·ken	ʈ-k-ó·ke·n
3	ʈ-e·kě·n-o	ʈ-e·ke·n-láx-o

Singular non-poss.	ʈ-ekán	'paunch'
--------------------	--------	----------

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʈ-ékan	no attestation
2	ʈ-m-ékan	" "
3	ʈ-ekán-o	" "

2.245. Stress shift over three syllables. Examples of the shift of stress to mark possession by first person singular over three syllable words are:

	Singular non-poss.	‡-ekalét	'egg'
	Singular poss.		Plural poss.
1	‡-ékalet		no attestation
2	‡-o-m-‡-ékalet	"	"
3	‡-eká·lt-o	‡e‡e-‡-eká·lt-o	

	Singular non-poss.	‡-e·xoxó?	'brain'
	Singular poss.		Plural poss.
1	‡-é·xoxo?	‡-á·-‡-e·xoxo?	
2	‡-m-é·xoxo?	‡-k-ó·xo·xo?	
3	‡-e·xoxó-wo	‡-e·xoxo-ló-wo	

2.246. Unpredictable stem variants. The last category of exceptions to the regular marking for possession is an unpredictable variation of the stem. Among this small group of exceptions using the ‡-e- prefix are the following examples involving deletion of a syllable:

Singular non-poss. xoč 'dog'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʦ-é-č	ʦ-á-ʦ-e-č-at
2	ʦ-m-é-č	ʦ-k-ó-č-at
3	ʦ-é-č-o	no attestation

The only third person possessed form attested is really just a plural. The attestation is from Harrington and glossed in Spanish: ʦóʔo·čele? 'un monton de perros'.

Singular non-poss. ʦ-etóyēn 'arrow'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʦ-é-ten'-ay'	no attestation
2	ʦ-m-é-ten'-ay'	" "
3	ʦ-e-ten'-áy'-o	" "

Singular non-poss. ʦ-esxáyal 'urine'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ʦ-ésxal	no attestation
2	ʦ-m-ésxal	" "
3	ʦ-esxá·l-o	" "

2.25. Nouns marking possession differently. A small set of nouns do not use the combination of stress placement and affixation to mark pronominal possession. Within this set are many kinship terms; inalienably possessed nouns, such as body parts; some nouns derived from verbs; and some nouns whose stem begins with ʔ-a- . In most cases the stem is prefixed with ʔ-e- in the non-possessive, singular form.

2.251. Kinship terms. In possession marking of kinship terms the first person singular form consists of the bare stem. ʔ-m-é- or ʔ-o-m- is prefixed for second person singular, but the ʔa- prefix and the usual -o suffix are used for third person.

'elder sister'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	peʔ	no attestation
2	ʔ-o-m-péʔ	" "
3	ʔa-pé-wo	" "

'younger brother'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	tó·s	no attestation
2	t-o-m-tó·s	" "
3	?a-tó·s-o	" "

'younger sister'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	tón	no attestation
2	t-o-m-tón	" "
3	?a-tó·n-o	" "

2.252. Inalienable possession. Possession marking in inalienably possessed nouns does not use the -e- prefix for the first person singular possessor nor for the third person singular or plural, so pronominal possession follows the pattern:

	Singular	Plural
1	first syllable stress	ʔ-á(·)-
2	ʔ-m-ê-	ʔ-k-ô-
	ʔ-o-m-	ʔ-o-k-
3	penultimate stress	all of the singular,
	-o	plus a plural morpheme

Examples include:

'right hand'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	láṃ-ay'	ʔ-á'-lam'-ay'
2	ʔ-o-m-lám'-ay'	ʔ-o-k-lám'-ay'
3	lam'-áy'-o	lam'-el-áy'-o

This word shows the -ay' suffix with the stem lam 'to eat'. This fits Sapir's (1917) identification of -ay' (see Section 2.242: however, see Section 2.81 where another suffix, -ay, is discussed).

'part of (one's) hair'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	sā·k' -ay'	ṭ-ā'-sa·k'-ay'
2	ṭ-o-m-sā·k'-ay'	ṭ-o-k-sā·k'-ay'
3	sa·k' -āy'-o	sa·k'-el-āy'-o

It looks as if this word carries the same -ay' suffix, but sa·k' is not attested.

'nose'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ṭ-ē·nṭ'	ṭ-ā'-ṭ-e·nṭ'
2	ṭ-m-ē·nṭ'	ṭ-k-ō·nṭ'
3	ṭ-ē·nṭ'-o	ṭ-ē·nṭ'-o-t'

'face'

	Singular poss.	Plural poss.
1	ṭ-ow	ṭ-ā'-ṭ-ow
2	ṭ-m-ōw	ṭ-k-ōw
3	ṭ-ō·w-o	ṭ-ow-hēn-o ~ ṭ-ōw-o-t'

The difference from the regular pronominal possessive paradigm can be shown in the following examples of a stem used for both an alienably and an inalienably possessed noun. The alienable stem is given first and has a non-possessed attested form but no third person form is attested. The inalienable stem follows with, of course, no non-possessed form. Unfortunately no plural pronominally possessed forms were recorded.

	Singular non-poss.	‡-oxó1	'dimple'
	Singular poss.		Plural poss.
1	‡-é-‡-oxol		no attestation
2	‡-m-é-‡-oxol	"	"
3	no attestation	"	"

'molar'

	Singular poss.		Plural poss.
1	‡-óxo·1		no attestation
2	‡-m-óxo·1	"	"
3	‡-xó·1-o	"	"

2.26. Types of noun stems. 145 noun stems are attested for Antoniaño Salinan. 111 of these, 77%, are consonant-initial and 34, 23%, are vowel-initial stems (always preceded by t̄-).

The largest group of consonant-initial noun stems, or 29%, have the shape CVCVC; closely followed numerically, 25%, by stems of the shape CVC and the variant with a long vowel, CV·C, at 13%. These account for two-thirds of all the consonant-initial noun stems.

All the remaining consonant-initial stems are listed by frequency of their occurrence.

CV·CVC	9%
CVCCVC	9%
CV·CCVC	4%
CVCVCVC	4%
CVCV·C	3%
CVCV·CVC	2%
CVCV·CC	1%
CVCVCC	1%
CV·CV·C	1%
CV·CV·CVC	1%

This analysis is based upon stems which do not include the affricates [ts], [tš], [t̄s] and [t̄š] for purposes of comparing these stem shapes with the shapes of stems which are produced by an analysis of these

affricates as, a) consonant clusters (except at morpheme boundaries) or b) unit phonemes, in order to discover if the shapes of noun stems without these sounds are significantly altered by analyzing them as consonant clusters.

If [ts], [tʃ], [tʰs] and [tʃʰ] are analyzed as clusters, ten infrequently occurring shapes must be added to the list:

CCVC	6	occurrences
CCV·C	3	"
CCVCVC	2	"
CCCVC	2	"
CCV·CVC	1	occurrence
CCVCC	1	"
CCV·CC	1	"
CVCVCCV·C	1	"
CVCC	1	"
CV·CV·CC	1	"

If, on the other hand, [ts], [tʃ], [tʰs] and [tʃʰ] are analyzed as unit phonemes, six of the shapes of noun stems are further exemplified: CVC shapes receive seven more attestations; CVCVC, four; CV·C, three and one more attestation each for the shapes CV·CVC; CVCV·C and CV·CV·C. Only three infrequently occurring shapes must be added to the original list if the affricates are analyzed as unit phonemes:

CVCC, CCVC and CVCVCV·C.

Turning to the shapes of vowel-initial stems, the most frequently occurring group have the shape VCVC, 44%, or almost half. 24% of vowel-initial stems have the shape V·CVC, followed by VC and VCCVC at 9%, respectively; VCV·C 6% and V·C, VCC and V·CC all at 3%.

Again, this analysis is based upon stems not containing [ts], [tʃ], [tʰs] or [tʃʰ] for purposes of comparison with those which do contain them. Analyzed as consonant clusters, four stem shapes must be added to the list: V·CCVC, VCV·CC, VCCCV·C and V·CCV·C.

However, if [ts], [tʃ], [tʰs] and [tʃʰ] are analyzed as unit phonemes, no new stem types need to be recognized. V·CV·C is attested ten times with other consonants, VCV·C four and V·CVC three.

These data added to the discussion in Section 1.22 serve to persuade me that [ts], [tʃ], [tʰs] and [tʃʰ] should be analyzed as unit phonemes /c/, /č/, /cʰ/ and /čʰ/, except as noted in that Section.

2.3. Plurals. The fascinating array of plural suffixes can only suggest the richness of the native system. All parts of speech in Salinan may be marked for plurality. 'Plural' includes notions such as distributive, dual, collective, or iterative. Only a few examples are necessary to show the types of

plurals, all suffixes, because 'plural' was simplified to the suffix *-ten* in nearly all attested examples starting with Mason's work (1918). Under the influence of English and Spanish, comparatively regular plural forms were used increasingly as the language approached extinction. Unfortunately, very few 'plurals' were recorded by Fr. Sitjar, so most of the data comes from the 20th century, 150 years after the first Spanish influence on Salinan.

2.31. *-ten*, the most frequently occurring plural suffix, reflects the elicitations of animal terms. It is used simply as a suffix to the noun stem to indicate plurality for specific words for birds and animals, as well as a couple of plants, animal body parts and for several borrowings from Spanish for animal terms.

In verbs it is glossed as 'much, more, again, another' or 'also'. *-ten* seems to be an iterative plural when used with nouns, and the nouns are the words whose meaning is involved with hunting. *-ten* may also be suffixed to pronouns, e.g. *heḵ-tén*, 'me too', and to statives, e.g. *kečaʔ-tén* 'big (pl)'.

2.32. Comparison of Mason and Harrington. -tən
 may also occur with other suffixes, e.g. t̄-a·m
 'house', t̄-a·m-á·n-ten 'houses'. Mason (1918:22-
 23) was so intrigued by Salinan plurals that he
 included a list of the forms for the plural for
 'house' with the following observations.

"One of the most striking peculiarities of Salinan is the development of the plural. Every noun, verb, and adjective must display in its form its number, the plural of the verb conveying ordinarily the idea of repetition as frequentative or iterative, and frequently implying plurality of the pronominal subject or object.

"The methods of plural formation fall into several different types, but the details are very variant and almost inexplicable. The two principal methods are by suffixes and by infixes. As subdivisions may be differentiated the various elements employed, which are, generally speaking, composed of one or more of the three elements t, n, and l.

"The majority of stems permit of but one plural form, and it seems to be impossible to determine which of the many types of plural formation any given stem will follow. But certain other stems permit of several different forms, according to the several types of plural. It is probable that each of them carries a slightly different significance, such as distributive and iterative, but it has not been possible to differentiate them according to meaning. Thus the following plural forms of one stem, claimed to be of identical meaning were given..."

Harrington (1932-33) used Mason's list of plurals for 'house' as a basis for re-eliciting all the forms and glosses from one of Mason's informants, David

Mora. Harrington managed to clarify the list somewhat, since Mason identified each entry only as 'plural'.

Mason's forms are given on the left and Harrington's re-elicitations and glosses on the right. The hyphenation of the forms for morpheme boundaries in the Harrington forms is mine.

MASON	HARRINGTON	GLOSS 1932-33
ʧama·neL	ʧ-a·m-á·n-el	'a bunch of houses'
ʧamelax	ʧ-a·m-el-ax	'Dave does not use'
ʧa·ma·tén	ʧ-a·m-á·n-ten	'Did not V. without inserting -ten'.
ʧemhal	ʧ-e·m-hál-o	'their house (of a family)'
ʧa·ma·tenáx	ʧ-a·m-á·n-ten-ax	'the little bunch of houses over where Apolonio goes to shit'
ʧa·ma·niLáx	ʧ-a·m-á·n-l-ax	(no comment)
ʧamaNiLten	ʧ-am-an-él-ten	'The -ten is too much'

(The possessed pronominal paradigm is listed in Section 2.23. The 'V' in one of Harrington's glosses means 'volunteer'.)

The first form given in Mason's list, ʧama·neL, seems to be the form that is most easily translated as the usual English (or Spanish) plural. In fact,

-a·nel is a recognizable plural, occurring with many noun stems and glossed simply as 'plural'. The -el is segmentable, however, since it can occur alone as the only suffix to the stem of a mass noun, such as 'sand' or 'snow', (see Section 2.21 'hide, skin'). -a·n never occurs alone in nouns.

For the second form on Mason's list, Harrington's comment 'Dave does not use', indicates that -el- cannot be used with the stem for the word for 'house' with -ax. Examination of other occurrences of -ax (~ -ak) shows that it is an infrequently occurring adjective plural. So, combined with -el-, the suffix used with mass nouns, Harrington's comment is understandable.

The third form listed by Mason shows -ten preceded by -â·n- in Harrington's correction. The fourth plural example from Mason's list, ɬemhal, is also explained by Harrington's gloss. The fifth form, ɬa·ma·tenâx is an example of these three suffixes occurring together in a rare example. This clarifies the meaning of -a·n- somewhat, and it can be more accurately defined as a suffix used with indefinite plurals of nouns as an intensifier.

This analysis is borne out by Harrington's comment on Mason's last form, ɬamaNilten, 'the -ten is too much', showing that these three do not co-occur.

2.33. -h- dual. One more obvious plural is the dual -h(-), which is either suffixed immediately after the stem or, more commonly, infix in the stem with the repetition of the stem vowel.

mê·n 'my hand'

mêhen 'my two hands'

sônon 'my leg'

sônohon 'my two legs'

sokento 'eye' (from Mason's 1918 stem list)

sokehenet 'pl' " " " " "

A couple of examples with verbs, rather than nouns, serve to demonstrate the dual (or trial) nature of this morpheme:

k-sê-h-ne? 'they are walking' (two men)

St-V_S-pl-D_{Sf}

kâ-ha-w-lô keyôf 'two or three are asleep'

V_S-pl-V_S-Cl 3pl

2.34. Suppletion. There are a few suppletive plurals in Antoniaño Salinan as well:

lowáʔ 'man'

tá·m̄ 'men'

sep̄xá·ʔ 'child'

semtán 'children'

ʔás 'my son'

séʔemten 'my sons'

2.35. Other plurals. There are plural morphemes which may occur as the only plural affix with a noun stem. That is, a noun will have a plural gloss only with the addition of such a morpheme. These cases may be listed as follows:

SINGULAR	GLOSS	PLURAL	GLOSS
čá·kay	'wind'	čá·kay-ak	'winds'
mošéʔ	'flame'	moš-al-éʔ	'flames'
ʔówaʔ	'person'	ʔowʔ-á·l	'people'
ʔ-e-škém	'Tulareño'	ʔ-e-škm-ál	'Tulareños'
ʔ-ólet	'my tooth'	ʔ-ólet-al	'my teeth'
ʔešxáy	'dawn'	ʔešxáy-al	'pl'
šláʔ	'dish'	šlaʔ-at	'dishes'
škoyʔ-él-o	'his lungs'	škýl-áx-o	'their lungs'
ʔ-káten	'anus'	ʔ-káten-a·x	'pl'

SINGULAR	GLOSS	PLURAL	GLOSS
ʔašó·l'	'string'	ʔašo·l'-et	'strings'
ʧ-á·kʰata	'wood'	ʧ-á·kʰat-et	'lots of wood'
ʧ-é-škol	'my ear'	ʧ-e-škol-et	'pl'
ʧ-ká·w'-o	'his body'	ʧ-kaw'-éʔ	'their bodies, also sayable of a bunch sleeping'
ʧ-ʔépen'	'chunk of wood'	ʧ-ʔepe·n-eʔ	'pl'
skóko·ye	'crest'	skóko·y-l-e	'pl'
šʔeneyéʔ	'fish hook'	šéney-l-éʔ	'pl'
ʧ-e-šxaʔa·yéʔ	'shoe'	ʧ-e-šxaʔa·y-l-éʔ	'pl'
xátep	'corpse'	xát-l-ep	'pl'
ʧ-é·-ken	'my nest'	ʧ-é·-ke·n-lax	'pl'
keʧpóy	'hill'	keʧpóy-lax	'hills'
ʔáxwem'	'skin'	ʔaxwem'-lax	'pl'
čepén	'spider'	čepen-lax	'pl'
ʧ-etóy'en	'arrow'	ʧ-etóy'en-lax	'arrows'
k-é·šewče	'drunkard'	k-é·šewče·-lax	'pl'
ʧ-e·xoxóʔ	'(non-poss.) brain'	ʧ-exoxóʔ-lax	'pl but Dave never uses'
ʧ-ʔópoy	'knee'	ʧ-ʔopoy-lax	'pl'
ʧ-ʔépen	'belly'	ʧ-ʔepen-lax	'pl'
keʧepoy	'cedar'	keʧepoy-lax	'pl'
ʔaxá·k	'(non-poss.) bone'	ʔaxa·k-lét	'pl'
ʧ-ʔópok'	'arm'	ʧ-ʔopok'-lét	'pl'

SINGULAR	GLOSS	PLURAL	GLOSS
‡-oweyé?	'boat'	‡-oweye-lé?	'pl'
‡-owŭké?	'salmon spear'	‡-owŭke-lé?	'pl'
ske·te?	'toy'	ske·te-lé?	'pl'
makawé?	'flower'	makawe-lé?	'pl'
sáyo?	'eagle'	sayo-nél	'eagles'
lka	'coyote'	lka-nél	'pl'
kálak	'goose'	kalak-nél	'geese'
‡-élek	'hole'	‡-elek-nél	'holes'
?akata	'blood'	?akat-nél	'pl'
‡-á?áw	'fire'	‡-á·w-nel	'pl'
‡-e-ké?	'means you (he) has head like headlouse'	‡-e-ke-nel	'pl'
‡-ešék	'owl sp'	‡-ešek-nel	'pl'
šowá?	'skunk'	šowá-nel	'pl'
‡-ta·lák	'(non-poss.) horn'	‡-ta·lak-nel	'antlers'
čxo?	'earth'	čxo·-nel	'pl'
čopó?	'fog'	čopo-nel	'pl'
‡-e·šax	'feather'	‡-ešax-nel	'pl'
?at	'oak sp.'	?at-nel	'pl'
‡-é:-šxe?	'my foot'	‡-šxe·-plét	'pl feet'
kó·laŭe?	'penis'	kó·la-t-le?	'pl'
‡-ekes	'blanket'	‡-é·kse-t	'pl'
kowá·yo	'horse'	koyá·-ta	'pl'
‡-é-sšál	'plaything'	‡-é-šxal-táy	'pl'

SINGULAR	GLOSS	PLURAL	GLOSS
šo·n	'ghost'	šo·n-tel	'pl'
‡-ô·kena?	'day'	‡-ô·kena·-tel	'days'
ka?	'acorn'	ka?-tél	'pl'
?á·xol	'tule'	?axo·l-tél	'pl'
‡-é-la·?	'relative'	‡-é-la·-tel	'pl'
káme‡	'hunter'	káme‡-tene	'pl'
šal	'bud'	šál-tene	'pl'
lemé·m	'wasp'	lemé·m-teyax	'pl'
sá·mel	'Miguelero'	sá·mel-‡ám	'Migueleros'
čkámál	'dimple'	čkámál-t'	'pl'
lam	'food'	lám-xat	'pl'
?ek	'father'	?ek-xel	'pl'
k-šé·ke·‡e?	'slope'	k-šé·ke·‡-y-e?	'pl'

These forms were not attested with an indicated stress where it is not marked. Most of them come from Harrington's re-elicitations of Mason's (1918) stem list, and, in most cases Harrington only re-elicited those words in Mason's 'singular' column: he did not re-elicite most of the plurals. This may help to explain anomalies in the glosses, such as 'anus', 'knee' and 'arm', which one would expect to require the obligatorily possessed affixes. Mason tried to list uninflected stems.

It may be that several of these 'plural' affixes are related constellations; i.e. -el, -nel, -tel and -xel; -et, -let and -plet; -ax and -lax look like variants of each other as do -et and -e?, perhaps, but there are not enough attestations to state this with confidence.

Many of the plural suffixes co-occur and even trade positions with each other after the noun stem:

- | | | | |
|----|-------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1. | -at-ten | šóka?-at-tén | 'rivers' |
| | -ten-at | ?aš-ten-at | 'elks' |
| | | ?ašaḱ-tén-at | 'flints' |
| | | ča·kay-tén-at | 'winds' |
| | | šká·ḱ-ten-at | 'crows' |
| | | maḡ-ten-at | 'rabbits' |
| 2. | -ak-ten | ṭ-axa·y-ak-ten | 'bears' |
| | -a·n-ten-ax | ṭ-am-ā·n-ten-ax | 'bunch of houses' |
| 3. | -ax-nel | čxáp-ax-nel | 'stones' |
| | -a·n-el-ax | ṭ-am-a·n-el-áx | 'houses' |

Other co-occurrences of the 'plural' morphemes are:

- | | | | |
|----|---------|---------------|-----------|
| 4. | el-ak | maḡ-él-ak | 'animals' |
| 5. | -ak-nel | ṭ-a·šx-ak-nel | 'livers' |
| 6. | -al-ax | ṭ-exox-ál-ax | 'brains' |
| 7. | -at-el | smakay-at-él | 'nights' |

- | | | |
|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| 8. -ay-ak | k-lám-ay-ak | 'Goldfinches' |
| 9. -le-ten | lKa-le-ten | 'coyotes' |
| 10. -pax-nel | čxá-pax-nel | 'stones' |
| 11. -ta(·)-nel | lšé-ta-nel | 'years' |
| | kewel-tá·-nel | 'West people' |
| 12. -ten-ax | k-á·kel-ten-ax | 'songs' |

Only one fact emerges clearly from this intensive study: -ten and -nel do not co-occur.

2.4. Roots: nouns. It is possible to attempt to identify the root in only a few isolated cases, and this is rare in Salinan, because a) analytical problems are raised, especially with vowel-initial stems, by fusional processes and b) unusually full attestations of a form, both from a multiplicity of sources and with a variety of inflected and derived forms are necessary to begin. It is only once in a great while that a root may be provisionally discernable.

An example is the following discussion, which uses the stem for 'foot' as illustration. Since this is a vowel-initial stem, the possible complexities previously mentioned in Sections 2.2-2.241 should be taken into account. In addition the discussion involving the final vowel of the stem is not wholly

satisfying, but with these cautions in mind, the following discussion illustrates an attempt at this procedure.

On the immediately following pages I have reproduced all of the attestations of all words whose meaning (in translation) is in any way associated with the word for 'foot' in Antoniaño.

The first set of forms are from J. Alden Mason (1918), starting with five forms from his stem list on page 126. The one Antoniaño form for 'foot' is followed by four plural forms and then there are four textual citations in which the abbreviation 'T' stands for 'text' and 'line' for the line of the text on which the form appears in his publication.

The first thing that appears from the Mason data is that the forms which he gives for stems, when compared to his textual forms, lack the ɬ -prefix. The ɬ -prefix occurs with nouns as the nominal article (see Section 2.2), and he has correctly omitted it from his stem list.

Many of the forms have the -wo or -o suffix, indicating that the 'foot' is possessed by the third person (see Section 2.21).

At first glance, it seems that

From J. Alden Mason

icxe[˘]u /ešxēw/ 'foot' Stem list, p. 126.
 icxepa[˘]l /ešxe-pā[˘]l/ 'feet' Stem list, p. 126
 icxe[˘]e /ešxē-[˘]e/ " " " " "
 icxe[˘]xe' /ešxē-he[˘]/ " " " " "
 icxe[˘]ple[˘]t /ešxe[˘]-plēt/ " " " " "
 ɬicxe[˘]wu /ɬ-ešxē-wō/ 'his foot' Text 5, line 8.
 ti[˘]cxep[˘]le[˘]to /t-ēšxe-plēt-o/ 'his foot' Text 2, line 2.
 pe-ɬ-icxe[˘]p-le[˘]t-o /pe-ɬ-ešxep-lēt-o/ 'their feet'
 Text 1, line 16.
 ɬicxe[˘]he[˘] /ɬ-ešxē-he[˘]/ 'feet' (height) Text 8, line 4.

From Harrington

triɬqe[˘] /ɬ-ē-šxe[˘]/ 'my foot'
 kh[˘]sō[˘]k[˘]a trēçhe[˘] /k-čō[˘]ka ɬ-ē-šxe[˘]/ 'tengo comezones
 en los pies'
 tr[˘]mēɬqe[˘] /ɬ-m-ē-šxe[˘]/ 'tu (your sg) foot'
 triɬqe[˘]wo /ɬ-e-šxē-wō/ 'his foot'
 ɬā[˘]hkē[˘] triɬqe[˘]wo /šā[˘]k-e[˘] ɬ-e-šxē-wō/ 'his foot is
 all cracked up. Said of
 chillblains.'
 triɬqe[˘]wo qotɬ /ɬ-e-šxē-wō xoč/ 'dog's foot'
 triɬqe[˘]wo /ɬ-e-šxē-wō/ 'his track'

trʃqe·p' lét /t-šxe·-plét/ 'feet, good and used.

E.g., if Dave gathers up feet in a bunch in a butcher shop and brings them home and says that all he has are feet.'

trʃqéqe? /t-šxéxe?/ 'un monton de rastros, lots of tracks'

qája? trʃqéqe? /xáya? t-šxéxe?/ 'lots of tracks, not used of feet.'

qája? trʃqe·p' léto tra?á? /xáya? t-šxe·-plét-o t-a?á?/ 'lots of deer tracks'

trečómó trécxe? /t-ečóm-o t-é-šxe?/ 'el lomo del pie, instep'

tre·léco trécxe? /t-e·léš-o t-é-šxe?/ 'the bottom of my foot'

trichá?a·yí? /t-e-šx-a?a·y-é?/ 'shoe'

trichá?a·ylí? /t-e-šx-a?a·y-l-é?/ 'shoes'

trifqa?á?jo kowá·jo /t-e-šx-a?áy-o kowá·y-o/ 'horseshoe'

From Padres Cabot and Dumetz (/// = blot)

Tixjeu /t-e-šxew/ 'pies'

tixje /t-e-šxe/ 'mio pie'

temixje /t-e-m-ešxe/ 'tuo pie'

Za///xje /t-a---šxe/ 'nuestro pie'

Zucuxje /t-o-k-ošxe/ 'vuestro pie'

tixjebilito /t-e-šxe-pelet-o/ 'pies' (pl)
 tixjebelet /t-e-šxe-pelet/ 'mio pie' (pl)
 temixjebelet /t-e-m-ešxe-pelet/ 'tuo pie' (pl)
 Za///xjebilit /ṭ-a---šxe-pelet/ 'nuestro pie' (pl)
 Zucuxjebilit /ṭ-o-k-ošxe-pelet/ 'vuestro pie' (pl)

From Fr. Sitjar

tixjeplip /t-e-šxe-plep/ 'mis pies'

From Pinart

tešxe /t-e-šxe/ 'foot'

From Henshaw

Ti-ce[˘]-hě /t-e-šéhe/ 'foot' (No. 83)

From Merriam

Tish[˘]-rě /t-é-šre/ 'foot'
 Te-chōm[˘]-tish[˘]-kě /t-e-čō·m t-é-ške/ 'instep'
 Kālestish[˘]-shup /k-e(·)les t-é-ššop/ 'sole'
 Ap[˘]-pe-li tish[˘]-re /áppelay t-é-šre/ 'toes'

From Jacobsen

tíšxε? /t-é-šxe?/ 'foot'

tíšhI? /t-é-šhe?/ 'foot'

té·šxai? /t-é-sxay?/ 'foot'

ṭéšxai /ṭ-é-šxay/ 'foot'

ṭíšhə?ay /ṭ-é-šh-a?ay/ 'shoe'

šxexí? /šxexé?/ 'shoe'

tíšxa?ai /t-é-šx-a?ay/ 'my shoe'

tIšha?á·yo? kowá·yo? /t-e-šh-a?á·y-o? kowá·y-o?/
'horseshoe'

Mason successfully segmented off the ʈ- prefix, but he left part of the third person possessor suffix on the stem for 'foot'.

Looking at his plural forms from the stem list, there are four forms given. The first two /ešxe-pá·1/ and /ešxé-ʔe/ are not elucidated by further data from any other source, and none of the forms is identified as anything but 'plural'. Mason's textual citations reflect his fourth plural. I have put them in Mason's own segmentation to show that in the first text, which is the only one he gives a segmentation for, he identifies the stem as /-ešxep-/ not with final u or w. He identified -let- as 'plural'. One must look at the other data to determine a more precise definition for these plural forms. Given the Mason data a working hypothesis for the stem would be /-ešxe-/ or /-ešxeʔ/.

The first two forms from the field notes of John Peabody Harrington give the words for 'my foot' and 'my feet' (in 'my feet itch'). These two forms show no morphological difference between the singular and plural forms for the first person singular possessor of one or two feet, so they do not shed any new light on Mason's plurals.

Segmenting off the initial ʈ- prefix, the stem is very much like the one inferred from the Mason data,

/-ešxe-/. The third Harrington form shows the -m- prefix just after the ʈ- prefix for second person singular possessor of the noun (Section 2.21). The next four forms show the third person possessive suffix, as well as adding 'track' to the meaning of 'foot'.

Next we come to the only plural form for 'foot' which Harrington was able to elicit and find that it is identical to the last plural form given by Mason, /-plet/. Harrington's recording of his informants comments help to shed a little light on the meaning of this plural form as well. It is not dual, it may be collective, and it is inanimate, or, perhaps, formerly animate.

Then there are three forms giving the plural of the 'foot' word in its meaning as 'track'. The first two show the form given by Mason as one of the plurals in his stem list, /ʈ-šxéxe?/ 'lots of tracks'. There are two additional bits of information in these forms: first, that the reduplicated plural is not available for the meaning 'foot', and, second, that the stem /-ešxe-/ reflects the root, tentatively, /-šxe-/. This seems to indicate that the -plet plural form is one or more morphemes indicating either the animate, or formerly animate, plural of the word for 'foot' and the reduplicated form is for the plural inanimate, or 'track'.

Harrington has a couple of forms in which the stem for 'foot' is used with other forms: 'instep', which is the stem for 'back' with the third person possessor suffix; and the form for 'the bottom of my foot'.

Finally, Harrington has some forms for 'shoe'. After segmenting off the t - prefix, $/-e\check{s}x-/$ remains plus $-a^?a(\cdot)y-e^?$, $-l-e^?$ or $-o$ for 'shoe', 'shoes' and 'horseshoe', so the root $/-\check{s}xe-/$ must be shortened to $/-\check{s}x-/$.

Following the Harrington list, an almost complete paradigm for the word for 'foot' is supplied from the Boston Athenaeum manuscript by Frs. Cabot and Dumetz. The parallel strokes show an ink blot on the original, but apparently this occurred at the time of composition because nothing seems to be omitted. Unfortunately, Cabot and Dumetz did not mark stress, but this is a relatively minor complaint because of the invaluable paradigmatic information which is lacking from any other source. It confirms the deletion of the initial vowel of the root for 'foot' since that vowel obviously carries information about the person and number of the possessor (and see Section 2.21), as can be seen from the first and second person plural forms.

The form from Fr. Sitjar shows a final $-p$, which can be explained as a misprint for t in the published version of his vocabulary. This, then, is identical to the second form in the plural part of

the Cabot and Dumetz forms, showing the same plural formation as Harrington's form.

Pinart's form shows a *t* rather than the *ʈ*-prefix. Henshaw has the same problem, as well as putting a vowel between the *ʃ* and the fricative recorded as *h*.

Merriam, like Henshaw, has trouble with the velar fricative. His attempts to record it, however, give us some insight into its phonetic character to his 'English ear'. Merriam has *r*, *s* and *k* for [x].

From William H. Jacobsen's material we have two new pieces of information. First, it is clear that as the language reached its terminal stages, the *ʈ*-prefix could be deleted, and, secondly, that there is a great deal of phonological decay. Compare Harrington's form for 'shoe' /*ʈ-e-ʃx-aʔa-y-éʔ*/ with either of the two forms Jacobsen was able to elicit: /*ʈ-é-ʃh-aʔay*/ or /*ʃxexéʔ*/, for instance.

It should be borne in mind that both Harrington and Jacobsen are entirely reliable phonetically. So, this serves as an example of the phonological and morphological simplification, or neutralization, that was taking place as the language became moribund.

2.5. Reduplication. Grammatical reduplication to indicate iterative or collective is possibly used with verbs, e.g. *tayay'* 'to smell', and statives, e.g. *keḗpelel* 'striped' meaning 'several instances' or 'repetitive'. Use of reduplication for this purpose is very limited, however.

More commonly lexical reduplication is used in descriptive terms for birds and some animals, e.g. *čekček* 'fish hawk', *čémčem* 'bat', *šawšawš* 'snake sp'. Examples of reduplication using less than the entire stem are final VC, e.g. *la·čáč* 'slug', *xa·čá·č* 'pajaro pinto'; final CV, e.g. *swakaká* 'lizard'; initial CV, e.g. *skókoy* 'marsh/pigeon hawk'.

2.6. Men's and women's speech. There is only one attestation, which is dubious in itself, for evidence of men's and women's speech in Antoniaño Salinan. The elicitation is from Harrington: *ṭók'ewē* 'my cuñada, woman says this to woman, used only between women'. This is an affinal kin term, which may depend on the sex and the age of the speaker and a man may possibly say this when speaking to a woman or in the second or third person.

2.7. High and low speech. There is a little more evidence, however, for the use of 'high' versus 'low' speech. This usually involves the elision of a vowel for the 'high' form of the word, e.g. ʔekalét 'egg' (low), ʔeká·lt 'egg' (high) and sánaʔél 'hide, skin' (low), sanʔél 'hide, skin' (high), but, again the attestation is only by Harrington.

2.8. Nouns derived from verbs. Derivation of the noun from a verb is, perhaps, best exemplified by the form for 'to talk'. The stem is sa, as in k-sá 'he is taking', k-sá·-tel-lo 'they are talking'. This stem undergoes a vowel ablaut to se· for the stem for 'language', e.g. ʔ-á·-se·-tel 'my language', ʔ-á-t-a·-se·-tel 'our language'.

2.81. Suffixation. There are other methods employed besides vowel ablaut of the stem vowel to derive nouns from verbs, e.g., suffixation: lam 'to eat', lam-ây 'food', lám-xat 'my food'; and sok-ay 'to kick', sok-ây-kes 'floor'.

2.82. Agentives. The agentive suffix -mak may be placed directly after the verb stem:

p-éntel hák' 'he is making fun of us'

k-éntel-mak 'a mocker'

or it may follow a derivational suffix:

k-āmece 'he lies'

k-āmece-n 'a lie'

k-āmece-n-mak 'liar'

Note that the derived noun is preceded by the k- (stative) prefix, which is discussed in Section 4.4.

Two other agentive suffixes used with nouns derived from verbs should be mentioned: -a·č and -e?š.

Both are final suffixes:

k-āmeṭ-e 'he is hunting'

k-āmeṭ-a·č 'hunter'

k-āmeṭ-a·h-a·č re-šwān' 'fisherman'

k-mólox-a·č 'leaper, hopper'

k-sé?h-a·č 'mocking'

k-sé?h-le-a·č 'proud'

lešxay-ṭel-e?š 'rainmakers'

ṭ-e-p-xāṭ-e?š 'public latrine'

ṭ- nominal prefix

-e- possessive prefix

-p- articular prefix

-xaṭ- 'excrement'

-e?š agentive suffix

2.9. Affixes. The prefix *pe-* ~ *p-* prefixed to nouns is the articular prefix occurring in connected speech in Mason's (1918) texts and in some phrases, translated as 'the'. Examples may be found starting with Section 3.3 and in the accompanying text, Section 9.

The prefix *l-* is found with nouns and was glossed as 'seasonal' by Mason (1918:22):

l-pál 'summer'
l-té 'manzanita'
l-ká 'coyote'
l-né 'summer'
l-xóy' 'plant sp.' (Spanish 'jamatay')
l-pónen 'blackberry'
l-šé 'year'

Since an animal and a couple of plants appear to have this prefix, 'seasonal' does not seem to cover the case, but there are few attestations, so a precise identification is not possible and they do not appear without *l-*.

There is a well-attested *s-* prefix for animals:

s-káṭa·lakne 'fish sp.'
s-kayá? 'raccoon'
s-kayá? 'skunk sp.'
s-ko·kō? 'butterfly'
s-ló·t 'gull'

s-matê·xan 'quail'
 s-nā·k 'kangaroo rat'
 s-tamkâ·l 'bat'
 s-tô·t' 'killdeer'

and many more as already listed by Sapir (1925:498-9)
 and see Section 4.7.

A poorly attested but probably related prefix is
 c̣'- with only two examples:

c̣'-kô·to·to 'plain titmouse'
 c̣'-pe·le·l-tên 'butcher birds'

There is also a prefixed s- or š- diminutive:

s-ʔaxâ·k-o šwân' 'little fish bone'
 bone-his fish

š-kâwyo 'fetlock' (kowâ·yo? 'horse')

Suffixes include -tel, -way and -yeʔš. -tel
 has only three examples:

lowaʔ-tel 'old, disused'
 lowaʔ-tel čxâʔ 'an old rock'
 lowaʔ-tel ṭ-â·m 'an old house'

but its exact semantics is unclear compared to the
 one attestation of -yeʔš, which seems to have a
 meaning of 'old' or 'deserted'.

ṭ-é-·mā-yeʔš 'a deserted house or rancheria'

-way seems to act as a nominalizer in its one attestation:

k-wál 'it swelled up'

k-wál-way 'swelling, irritation'

2.100. Diminutive. There is one other possible example in Salinan (see brief examples in 2.9) of a diminutive. The following is the one example of consonant diminutivism:

k-sōy^ʔ-ne 'little tassel'

k-šōy^ʔ-ne 'tassel'

k-sōy^ʔ-te-ne 'bis tassles'.

However, this may indicate only a change of size.

2.110. Morphophonemics: review. In the preceding discussion of pronominal possession, the following morphophonemic processes were exemplified in third person possessed forms: -a → -o, Sections 2.21-2.252; -oʔ + -wo → -wō, Sections 2.21, 2.245 and 2.251.

Vowel elision from the possessive pronominal prefix in the third person is discussed in Section 2.241 and elision of the stem vowel is exemplified in Section 2.241.

Vowel lengthening in the stressed penultimate syllable of the third person possessed forms is exemplified in Sections 2.23, 2.244, 2.245 and 2.246.

Vowel insertion in the second person possessed forms in the absence of stress or when the first vowel of the noun stem is o: $\text{t-m-} \rightarrow \text{t-o-m-}$ in the singular and $\text{t-k-} \rightarrow \text{t-o-k-}$ in the plural is exemplified in Sections 2.21, 2.22, 2.242, 2.243, 2.245, 2.251 and 2.252.

The influence of the vowels a in the first person plural and o in the second person plural is first discussed in Section 2.21.

Stress shift has been discussed and exemplified in Sections 2.243-2.245.

Ablaut of the stem vowel is exemplified in the derivation of nouns from some verbs in Section 2.8.

In the Section on affricates (1.22) the possibility of a morphophonemic rule $\text{ts} \rightarrow \text{c}$ and $\text{tš} \rightarrow \text{č}$ was mentioned.

3. Pronouns.

3.1. Personal pronouns. Salinan has an aspect system expressed in the personal pronouns for first person singular and plural. For the first person singular they are *heĸ'*, imperative (most often durative); *neĸ'*, perfective non-past (often glossed 'future'); and *leĸ'*, perfective (or completed action). For the first person plural they are *haĸ'*, *naĸ'* and *laĸ'*, respectively. (*heĸ'* may either precede or follow the verb, though the unmarked case is after the verb.)

heĸ' k-ʔames 'I shout'
 lsg St-V_s

heĸ' šó·k-oʔ 'I tore it'
 lsg V_s-3sg

heĸ' p-oxó·m-oʔ 'I am hiding it'
 lsg Act-V_s-3sg

xá·ʔa heĸ' 'I am crying'
 V_s lsg

k-éšem heĸ' 'I am drinking'
 St-V_s lsg

xá·ʔa neĸ' 'I am going to cry'
 V_s lsg

méʔek neĸ' 'I am going to run'
 V_s lsg

k-šétep nék' 'I am going to die'

St-V_s lsg

k-lám nék' 'I am going to eat'

St-V_s lsg

xá·ťa lék' 'I cried'

V_s lsg

k-ěšem lék' 'I drank'

St-V_s lsg

p-ěšem-o? lék' 'I drank it'

Act-V_s-3sg lsg

k-ěča lék' 'I got up already'

St-V_s lsg

p-é·šx-o? lék' 'I already ate it'

Act-V_s-3sg lsg

k-ónox lék' 'I arrived already'

St-V_s lsg

hák' k-lam-hál 'we eat'

lpl St-V_s-pl

k-lám-hal hák' 'we are eating'

St-V_s-pl lpl

k-ěš-t-em hák' 'we are drinking'

St-V_s-pl-V_s lpl

k-šét-1-ep nāk' 'we are going to die'

St-V_s-pl-V_s 1pl

ké-ya-1 nāk' 'we are going'

V_z-V_s-pl 1pl

k-on-1-ox lāk' 'we arrived already'

St-V_s-pl-V_s 1pl

k-ěš-t-em lāk' 'we drank'

St-V_s-pl-V_s 1pl

The examples are organized to show the first person pronouns, singular and plural, with their variable positions both before and after the verb, and in the sub-order imperfective, perfective non-past and perfective (completed action). I have used only attested examples, which accounts for the fewer examples of nāk': there are only five.

A variant of the first person singular independent pronoun should be mentioned although there is only one attestation of it: a variant of hék', the imperfective first person singular pronoun. Compare:

ké-yax hék' 'I am coming'

V_z-V_s 1sg

kê-yax heʔʒeʔ 'I am coming alright' (with Harrington's
 V_Z-V_S lsg note: "-ʒ added when vexed").

Harrington wrote these first person pronouns as separate words, before or after the verb, when they are stressed. When they are not stressed they only appear after the verb and suffixed to it (see Section 3.2), and the first consonant drops when the verb ends with a consonant. The first person pronouns appear before the verb as a method of topicalization, apparently. Jacobsen wrote them as verbal suffixes.

hék' and hák', the first person singular and plural, respectively, are the most commonly occurring, or unmarked, forms. The forms nék' and lék' for the first person singular and the forms nâk' and iâk' for the first person plural reflect the initial consonants of the aspect clitics noʔ (future) and loʔ (completed action), (see Section 4.63). Whether or not these forms are stressed, they appear only after the verb.

It should also be mentioned here that Jacobsen (p.c.) rarely heard these forms with the final glottalized [k'], only e.g. [hek].

The second person independent personal pronouns are *móʔ*, singular and *môm*, plural, expressing no aspect. The third person singular is *xeyóʔ* and the third person plural is *xeyótʔ*, which also express no aspectual notions. Instead, a set of clitics are used with these pronouns, as well as with the first person pronouns (see Section 4.63, Aspect). Note the enclitic *loʔ*, completed action, found usually with third person. Unlike the first person, there is no easily discernable trace of the aspectual clitics fusing with the second and third person independent pronouns. However, it should be noted that the *o* of the second person forms together with the *e* and *a* of first person singular and plural is a pattern seen also in pronominal possession of nouns (see Section 2.21). Examples of second and third person independent pronouns:

móʔ xá·ʔa 'you were crying'

2sg *V_s*

k-éšcm móʔ 'you are drinking'

St-*V_s* 2sg

k-šétep no-móʔ 'you are going to die' (see Section 4.63)

St-*V_s* Cl-2sg

k-éšem-loʔ móʔ 'you drank'

St-*V_s*-Cl 2sg

k-ěš-t-em móm 'you (pl) are drinking'

St-V_s-pl-V_s 2pl

k-šét-1-ep-no? móm 'you (pl) are going to die' (see 4.63)

St-V_s-pl-V_s-Cl 2pl

p-ěšx-o-ť-móm-ta? 'you (pl) are going to eat it'

Act-V_s-3sg-pl-2pl-Cl

p-ěšx-o-lo? móm 'you (pl) already ate it'

Act-V_s-3sg-Cl 2pl

k-ěš-t-cm-lo? móm 'you (pl) drank'

St-V_s-pl-V_s-Cl 2pl

xeyō? xá·ťa 'he is crying'

3sg V_s

xeyō? k-ěšem 'he drank it'

3sg St-V_s

k-ěšem xeyō? 'he is drinking'

St-V_s 3sg

k-šétep-nō? 'he is going to die'

St-V_s-Cl

p-ěšx-o-ta? 'he is going to eat it'

Act-V_s-3sg-Cl

k-ěšem-lo? 'he drank'

St-V_s-Cl

k-šētep-lō? 'he died already'

St-V_S-Cl

p-ēšx-o-lō? 'he already ate it'

Act-V_S-3sg-Cl

k-ēš-t-em 'they are drinking'

St-V_S-pl-V_S

k-šēt-l-ep 'they died'

St-V_S-pl-V_S

k-šēt-l-ep-no? 'they are going to die'

St-V_S-pl-V_S-Cl

k-ēš-t-em-lo? 'they drank'

St-V_S-pl-V_S-Cl

p-ēšx-o-t'ō-lō? 'they already ate it'

Act-V_S-3sg-pl-Cl

Again, the examples are organized to illustrate the second person, singular and plural, with their variable positions both before and after the verb, and in the sub-order imperfective, perfective non-past and perfective. The examples of third person pronouns which follow are organized in the same fashion. From these examples it should be noted that the third person pronoun need not be expressed in either the singular or the plural.

A table of the pronouns, then, looks like this:

Independent personal pronouns

	Singular	Plural
1	hékʼ	háKʼ
2	móʔ	móm
3	(xeyóʔ)	(xeyóʔʼ)

3.2. Pronominal affixes. A table of the pronominal verbal affixes is given below:

	Subject pronouns		Object pronouns	
	Singular	Plural	Singular	Plural
1	-ekʼ	-aKʼ	-ek	-tak, -hak, -kan
2	m-	k-	-kaʔ	kan
3	-oʔ	∅	-(k)oʔ	-otʼ

(see Section 3.1 for discussion of first person subject suffixes). Whatever the first vowel of the verb stem, it is replaced by a in the first person plural and by o in the second person plural. The plural pronominal forms are all accompanied by a separate plural

morpheme. As with the nouns, plurals associated with the verb are made up of t, l and n. There is a great deal of interaction with the aspectual clitics (see Section 4.63). Examples of the pronominal affixes follow, with the verb stem ma 'to give' ordered according to first, second and third persons, singular and plural agents and parallelly ordered pronominal patients. -ṭ- represents the indirect object 'it' (in translation). Unfortunately I have few other attestations of verbs requiring an indirect object, or dative, in the English translation, so little is known about its uses and function in Salinan.

mā-ka? lēk' 'I gave it to you (sg)'

V_S-2sg 1sg

ma-t-kan lēk' 'I gave it to you (pl)'

V_S-pl-2pl 1sg

mā-ṭ-ka? hēk' 'I gave it to him'

V_S-3sg -3sg 1sg
i.o.

mā-ṭ-el-ko? lēk' 'I gave it to them'

V_S-3sg -pl-3sg 1sg
i.o.

mō? ma-tak 'you(sg) give it to us'

2sg V_S-pl

mā-ka-lo? 'he gives it to you(sg)'

V_S-2sg-C1

mā-ka? lāk' 'we gave it to you (sg)'

V_S-2sg 1pl

hāk' mā-ḥ'-ko? 'we give it to him'

1pl V_S-3sg -3sg
i.o.

móm mā-tak 'you (pl) give it to us'

2pl V_S-1pl

OR

mā-hak-le móm 'you will give it to us'

V_S-1pl-C1 2pl

mā-ko? hék' 'they give it to me'

V_S-3sg 1sg

where no plural is expressed. Compare:

xeyō? mā-hak' 'he will give it to us'

3sg V_S-1pl

The paradigm is incomplete unfortunately, but it was chosen as the best attested example of a transitive verb paradigm without an active or stative prefix (Sections 4.3-4.52).

3.21. Negative pronouns. Negative constructions often are preceded by the negative particle *káraʔ* and the following pronominal affixes before an active verb (see Sections 4.3-4.52):

Negative pronominal subject affixes

	Singular	Plural
1	<i>kéʔ-</i>	<i>káʔ-</i>
2	<i>kóm-</i>	<i>kók-</i>
3	<i>kóp- -oʔ</i>	<i>kóp- -otʔ</i>

In addition the first vowel of the verb stem becomes *a* in the first person plural and *o* in the second person plural. Examples:

káraʔ kéʔ-ešax 'I did not eat it'

Neg 1sg-V_s

káraʔ kóm-ešax 'you (sg) did not eat it'

Neg 2sg-V_s

káraʔ kóp-ešx-oʔ 'he did not eat it'

Neg 3sg-V_s-3sg

káraʔ káʔ-ašax 'we did not eat it'

Neg 1pl-V_s

kāra? kōk-ošax 'you (pl) did not eat it'

Neg 2pl-V_S

kāra? kōp-ešx-oť 'they did not eat it'

Neg 3sg-V_S-3pl

Before a stative (see Sections 4.4-4.51) the negative pronominal prefixes are:

	Singular	Plural
1	kēk-	kāk-
2	kōm-	kōk-
3	kōk-	kōť-

kāra-kek-?o·kē? 'I did not vomit'

Neg-1sg-V_S

kāra-kom-šon 'you (sg) did not/are not shave/d'

Neg-2sg-V_S

kāra-kōk-?o·kē? 'he did not vomit'

Neg-3sg-V_S

kāra-kāk-omať-el-ek 'we did not buy it'

Neg-1pl-V_S-pl-V_S

kok-ošay 'don't raise it! (pl)'

2pl-V_S

kāra? koṭ-am-ay' 'they can't'
 Neg 3pl-V_S-pl

Before a verb with neither the k- or p- prefix (see Sections 4.2-4.52), the negative pronominal affixes are:

	Singular	Plural
1	ke-	ka-
2	kom-	kok-
3	ko-	ko-

kāra? kē-čokox 'I am not scared'
 Neg 1sg-V_S

kāra? kom-čokox 'you (sg) are not scared'
 Neg 2sg-V_S

kāra? ko-čokox 'he is not scared'
 Neg 3sg-V_S

kāra? kâ-čok-t-ox 'we are not scared'
 Neg 1pl-V_S-pl-V_S

kāra? kók-čok-t-ox 'you (pl) are not scared'
 Neg 2pl-V_S-pl-V_S

kāra? ko-čok-t-ox 'they are not scared'
 Neg 3pl-V_S-pl-V_S

3.22. Interrogative pronouns. Questions are prefixed by

Singular

- 1 ?e-
- 2 ?om-
- 3 ?o-

in the singular. There are no attestations of the interrogative pronouns for the plural, but one would suspect ?ok- for the second person plural and ?a- for the first person plural, based on the morphophonemic importance of these vowels to indicate the second and first person, plural. The paradigm also bears a close resemblance to the negative paradigm for verbs prefixed with neither k- or p-.

3.3. Demonstrative pronouns. na? 'this/these' and pa? 'that/those' are not inflected. When they occur alone they appear as na? and pa? before the noun they refer to. They may also be incorporated into the verb complex after an initial prefix (e.g. the sentence connective ʔam- ~ ram-), or appear as the initial prefix. In both cases (-)na- and (-)pa- are without the final glottal stop.

pa? lowá? 'that man'

D N_S

re-na-smākay 'through this night'

Loc-D-N_S

lê-ya-x ma-čén pa? šmēš 'throw that cat outside!'

V_S-pl-V_S Loc-Loc D N_S

na-ṭ-ā·m 'this house'

D-N_p-N_S

pa-lowá? 'that man'

D-N_S

3.4. Indefinite pronouns. yo? is often translated as 'he', 'one' or 'it'. yo? can appear alone in a phrase or affixed. . Examples of the occurrences of yo?:

?o-yo? 'is that him?' 'is that it?'

Q-D

kō-yo? 'not that!'

Neg-D

yo·-pe? 'that is'

D-AP

yo·-ná? 'this is'

D-D

yô·-pá? 'that one'

D-D

yo-rá·m-pá? 'over there'

D-SC-D

k-má·y-re yô? 'he lives over there'

St-V_S-Loc D

yo? appears to be derived from the third person singular pronoun, xeyô?, but the mechanics of that derivation and the function of xe- are far from clear.

ta·š-nel, 'what-pl', (see Section 3.5) is glossed as 'some' or 'the others'. Harrington also has an attestation of one form which may give more phonetic detail:

rāšra?ké 'something'.

There are no forms in the entire Antoniaño Salinan corpus which are glossed 'any', the only clue to such an indefinite pronoun being rake 'anywhere' (Mason 1918:Text 1, line 28), rasrake 'anything' (Mason:Text 5, line 9) and tá·raké 'anyone' (Mason:Text 3, line 53). Apparently there is a stem rake

but it is attested only in these examples.

3.5. Interrogative pronouns. The interrogative pronouns 'who' and 'what' are ta(.) and ta(.)š, respectively. They are not inflected, except as mentioned in the previous section (3.4), and each of them is always the first prefix.

tā·š ʔo-māʔat ʔ-aʔoʔeʔ 'what does the moon bring?'

IP Loc-V_s N_p-N_s

tā·-ten ta-peʔ 'who is that?'

IP-pl IP-AP

ta·-p-yem-oʔ 'who knows?'

IP-Act-V_s-3sg

tā·š-ten 'what again?'

IP-pl

4. Verb morphology. Verbs are either stative or active, indicated by the prefixed k- (stative) or p- (active). The ʈ- prefix appears as a nominalizer, as Sapir noted in his (1920c:308) review of Mason (1918). All of these prefixes are replaced by m- in the second person singular and by k- in the second person plural.

4.1. Morpheme order. Morpheme order within the verb complex is as follows: (independent pronoun)-

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} (k-) \\ p- \\ \{ \begin{array}{c} \text{ʈ-} \\ m- \\ k- \end{array} \} \end{array} \right) -$$

verb stem-(plural object)-(object pronoun)-subject pronoun (if other than third person)-(aspect)-(independent pronoun). In addition plurality of the subject or a plural verb stem may be indicated by an infix within the singular stem. All of these possible occurrences are exemplified and discussed in the sections which follow: the morpheme order is listed here as an overview.

4.2. Verbs without prefixes. There are a small set of verbs which take no prefixes. Most verb stems in Salinan are vowel-initial, but a few stems with an initial affricate (c, c', č and č'), and the fricatives ʃ and x and the glottalized ʈ' take no prefixes, even in the second person singular

imperative. There are other verbs with these initial consonants which do take prefixes (see Section 4.8). The set also includes verbs with an initial *m* for the stem. Examples are *ma* 'give', as shown in Section 3.2, which also illustrates the use of the pronominal affixes. A complete list of the verbs which take no prefixes follows:

<i>ma</i>	'give'
<i>ma·t</i>	'kill'
<i>maʔa</i>	'carry'
<i>male·nt</i>	'remember'
<i>maxo·waʔ</i>	'rest'
<i>mal</i>	'tell, show'
<i>ma·w</i>	'bring'
<i>maʔek</i>	'run'
<i>me(·)s</i>	'smell'
<i>mokop</i>	'drown'
<i>moʔox</i>	'tighten'
<i>mo·t</i>	'watch'
<i>šxalo</i>	'afraid'
<i>šamle</i>	'close the eyes'
<i>škwal</i>	'cut'
<i>šo·t</i>	'drive'
<i>šap</i>	'extinguish'
<i>šokay</i>	'kick'

ša·xataʔ	'open (one's) mouth'	ʃoxon	'snore'
šowal	'plow'	ʃopokʔ	'tie'
škwel	'throw'		
		xot	'blow'
ca·sene	'chew'	xoy	'breathe'
		xa·ʔa	'cry'
čoʔox	'frighten'	xwelʔ	'hit (with a stick'
čkak	'cough'	xoʃop	'pass'
čexolp	'peel'	xap	'die'
čaxa·lte	'spit'	xans	'sell'
čop	'wash'	xačapʔ	'split'
ča(·)hom	'wash'		
če·le	'wrap up'		
če·xenʔ	'angry'		
čapa	'clap'		
ʃat	'bite'		
ʃakʔ	'break'		
ʃak	'cut'		
ʃokʔ	'crack'		
ʃokot	'pierce'		
ʃotoy	'pound'		
ʃaʔa-x	'pour'		
ʃaʔa-p	'spill'		

The examples to follow will show that many statives are intransitive, but some appear to be transitive: see e.g. 'drink', 'eat' Section 3.1, 'to smoke out' Section 4.61 and 'wound' Section 9. Similarly, many actives are transitive, but some appear to be intransitive: see e.g. 'whisper' Section 4.3, 'enter' Section 7.3 and 'hear' Section 9.

4.3. p- prefix. Examples of verbs with the p-active prefix are:

p-ayê·m-o? 'he carried it'
Act-V_S-3sg

p-ayê·m-o? hāk' 'we are carrying it'
Act-V_S-3sg 1pl

p-apaw-êk 'I caught it'
Act-V_S-1sg

p-apâw-o? 'he caught it'
Act-V_S-3sg

p-olṭê-ko lēk' 'I cut it'
Act-V_S-3sg 1sg

p-olṭē-ko-lo? 'he cut it'

Act-V_s-3sg-3sg

p-akāsel hēk' 'I am whispering'

Act-V_s 1sg

mō? p-akāsel 'you (sg) are whispering'

2sg Act-V_s

xeyō? p-akāsel 'he is whispering'

3sg Act-V_s

From the last example, 'whisper', it may be observed that p- prefixed verbs are not always transitive and do not always have objects. Some verbs with the p- prefix are inherently transitive, such as 'caught', and 'carry'. In the example of 'I caught it', only the subject pronoun is expressed, but in the examples of 'carry' the object is expressed, following immediately after the verb stem.

J. Aiden Mason in his (1918) analysis of Salinan verb prefixes k- and p- had tentatively suggested that the distinction was intransitive-transitive. Sapir, who had a personal correspondence with Mason and access to Mason's phonetic files, felt that Mason had mis-analyzed a basically stative-active distinction.

"The use of the perplexing verbal prefixes p- and k- suggests a fundamental generic

classification of verbs. Mason himself doubtfully describes the p- verbs as transitive, the k- verbs as intransitives (e.g. k-enai 'to hurt oneself', p-enai 'to wound'). This is the most obvious explanation but there are many difficulties in the way of its acceptance. That p- verbs embrace such ideas as 'to think' and 'to circle around' seems to suggest that the proper basis of classification is not so much transitive and intransitive as active and static, as in Haida-Tlingit, Siouan, and Chimariko. A more intensive study of the Salinan material, supplemented eventually by comparison with Chumash, Yuman, Seri, and, possibly, Coahuiltecan-Tonkawa (cf. Comecrudo pa- verbs and Seri, like Salinan adjectives in k-), will doubtless clear up this fundamental problem of Salinan morphology" (Sapir 1920c: 307-308).

"In the first place Mason's account of the function of the Salinan k- and p- elements does not strike me as quite hitting the mark. He calls them 'intransitive' and 'transitive' prefixes respectively, yet, as he himself remarks, 'many cases are found in which p- introduces an intransitive phrase'. An examination of his verb material leads to the feeling that the k- verbs prefix primarily characteristic static verbs, i.e. verbs of state, quality (adjectives), and non-agentive process (e.g. 'to wake up', 'to snow', 'it is hot', 'to be fat'), also passives and reflexives (examples of 'transitives' with k- are probably merely inadequately translated passives, e.g. 'they sought him' is to be understood as 'he was sought'). Practically all Salinan adjectives have k-. Verbs with p- are evidently active, whether transitive or not (e.g. 'to think', 'to circle around', 'to try', 'to heat', 'to wound', 'to seize'). Naturally, it is often a matter of idiom whether a verbal idea is conceived of in terms of action or state, but the nature of the Salinan classification of verbs seems clear enough. This classification seems to be a deep-rooted Hokan feature, while the Penutian languages classify their verbs into true transitives. Neither Yana nor Chimariko use k- or p- prefixes, but the distinction of active and

static verbs is made by other means. In Chimariko (as in Siouan) they are distinguished by differences of pronominal treatment, in Yana by differences of stem vocalism" (Sapir 1921:69-70).

4.4. k- prefix. Stative verbs express intransitive states or change of state, such as 'burn', 'die', 'finish' and 'laugh'.

k-šétep nék' 'I am going to die'

St-V_s lsg

k-šétep 'he died'

St-V_s

k-éyeta lék' 'I'm laughing'

St-V_s lsg

k-éyeta hék' 'I laughed'

St-V_s lsg

k-éyeta 'he laughed'

St-V_s

k-sé·ne? hék' 'I am walking'

St-V_s lsg

k-sé·ne? 'he is walking'

St-V_s

4.5. Verbs with both k- and p- prefixes. There are a few verbs which may take either of the two prefixes:

k-ô·maye 'it began'

St-V_S

p-omá·ye 'he started it'

Act-V_S

k-éwe·n-ax 'he returned'

St-V_S

p-ewé·n-t-xo? 'he brought it back'

Act-V_S-?-3sg

k-ápe·l 'it was filled'

St-V_S

p-ape·nék-o? 'it covered me'

Act-V_S-1sg-3sg

k-énay 'he was wounded'

St-V_S

p-enây·ko? 'he hit it'

Act-V_S-3sg

k-la·we? 'it left'

St-V_s

p-law-o? 'he left her'

Act-V_s-3sg

k-aké·1-o? 'it hung there'

St-V_s-3sg

p-ake·1-o? 'he hung it up'

Act-V_s-3sg

4.51. Characteristics of k- verbs. The following examples of verb stems further illustrate the interplay of the k- and p- prefixes with vowel ablaut.

k-yem 'was seen'

p-yem 'look at, see' (transitive)

p-yam 'know' (transitive)

p-ya·m 'see, find' (transitive)

k-a(·)m 'to be able', 'to be killed'

p-a(·)m 'to be able', 'to kill' (transitive)

k-sa(·) 'to talk', 'to speak'

p-se· 'to tell' (transitive)

k-a(·)š 'to sit', 'to sit down'

p-a·š 'to put (it) down' (transitive)

By examining verbs which may appear with either prefix, it becomes clearer that k- may be used as a detransitivizer, as in:

k-ōxo·m-a 'he hides'

St-V_s-?

p-oxō·m-o? 'he hid it'

Act-V_s-3sg

k- may be used, secondly, to show that the subject of the verb is independent of the unstated agent, as in:

k-ālok' 'it is broken'

St-V_s

p-alō·k-o? hēk' 'I broke it'

Act-V_s-3sg 1sg

k-ō·may'e 'it began'

St-V_s

p-omā·y'e 'he started it'

Act-V_s

And, finally, in the stative, of course, the

subject is characterized by a state, change of state or quality (see Section 4.8).

4.52. Characteristics of p- verbs. p- verbs are primarily active. p- is used with transitive verbs to distinguish the agent and patient and to indicate the causative (the agent causes the patient to be in the k- state). Compare:

k-énay 'he was wounded'

St-V_S

p-enây-ko? 'he hit it'

Act-V_S-3sg

With the p- prefix a verb becomes active, an agent is added to the valence.

4.6. Inflectional verb morphology: object marking.

As seen in Section 4.2, the pronominal object morpheme follows immediately after the verb stem. The examples for singular object given in the preceding paragraphs and for the verb ma 'to give' (Section 3.2) should be compared with the following:

ma-t-kan lék' 'I gave it to you (pl)'

V_S-pl-2pl 1sg

mā-tak-le-mo? 'you (sg) give it to us' or

V_S-1pl-C-2sg

mo? ma-tak 'you (sg) give it to us'

2sg V_S-1pl

mā-^ʔel-ko? lēk 'I gave it to them'

V_S-3sg -pl-3sg 1sg
d.o.

mā-hak 'give us!' (sg, imp)

V_S-1pl

mā-t-el-tak 'give it to us!' (pl, imp)

V_S-3sg -pl-1pl
d.o.

k-mā-hak 'give it to us!' (pl, imp)

2pl imp-V_S-1pl

(see Section 4.651, Imperative).

These examples with the examples for ma 'to give' from Sections 3.2 and 4.62 are all of the attestations for this verb.

4.61. Object, singular and plural. When a plural object occurs, the mark of plurality follows the stem and an independent personal pronoun may be employed

for the subject, rather than the appropriate pronominal suffix, as in the second example of 'you (sg) give it to us'.

A brief example of plurality of the subject and object is from the partial paradigm collected by Harrington:

k-áxo·t-e? 'lots of men smoke one animal'

St-V_s-S_{sf}

k-áxo·t-en '2 or 3 fellows go to smoke out animals'

St-V_s-pl?

k-áxo·t-el-ax 'lots of men smoke lots of animals'

St-V_s-pl

These examples are given to illustrate the difficulties of trying to sort out the plural morphemes and their referents (see Section 2.3 for a full discussion).

Also, 'to smoke out' would seem to be an active and transitive verb, so it seems strange that the k- prefix should be used. However, the examples are included for completeness.

4.62. Plurality of agent. The plurality of the agent can be shown using the same verb as Section 3.2 and 4.6:

ma-ka? lāk' 'we gave it to you (sg)'

V_S-2sg 1pl

which illustrates that plurality does not need to be marked for the agent as subject.

4.621. Other means of expressing the plural. There are two other means of expressing plurality in the verb: an infix may be inserted in the verb stem to show plurality of the stative subject or, in the other case, a partially suppletive stem may be used for the plural.

4.622 Infixation. When an infix is used to indicate the plurality of the subject of a stative verb, the infix will usually be placed before the second consonant of the singular stem:

k-1ō·1 'it burned'

St-V_S

k-1o-x-1 'they burned'

St-V_S-pl-V_S

k-ā·mp 'he came out'

St-V_S

k-ā·m-ele-p 'they came out'

St-V_S-pl-V_S

k-olpax 'it grew'

St-V_s

k-ól-ta-pax 'they grew'

St-V_s-pl-V_s

but the following examples will not allow the formulation of a regular rule in light of having so few attestations:

k-ěšem 'he drinks'

St-V_s

k-ěš-t-em 'they drink'

St-V_s-pl-V_s

k-ónox 'he arrives'

St-V_s

k-ón-l-ox 'they arrive'

St-V_s-pl-V_s

4.62 3. Partial suppletion. There are only a few examples of the use of a partially suppletive stem used to indicate plurality. In all three cases the x infix before the t of the stem results in -xl-.

ke-yó·t-e hék' 'I moved'

Vz-V_S-S_{Sf} 1sg

ke-yo-xl-e lák' 'we moved'

Vz-V_S-pl-S_{Sf} 1pl

šó·t-o? 'he drove it'

V_S-3sg

šó-xl-o? 'he drove them'

V_S-pl-o?

šó·t 'drive it away!' (sg, imp)

V_S

šo-xla? 'drive them away!' (pl, imp)

V_S-pl

The last example 'to drive away', demonstrates, perhaps, that it need not be the subject of the verb which dictates the partial suppletion for plurality.

There is only one recorded attestation of fully

suppletive forms:

tečaypxayō 1ō? na-ta?ā 'one sheep is lambing now'

? C1 D-Adv

kaseyā 1ō? 'the sheep is going to lamb'

? C1

Harrington comments that "the above is a high word seldom used by informant. The low word that informant uses commonly is (the second form)."

4.63. Aspect. 1o?, which occurs as an affix and as a free morpheme, is used with third person verbs in most attestations to express completed action, but note that it is attested with other persons and even with no pronominal reference at all:

k-epčā? hēk' 1ō? 'I am cold'

St-V_S 1sg C1

Harrington notes that 1o? may be omitted, and then one would say:

k-epča-lék' 'I am cold'

St-V_S-1sg

(see Section 3.1).

kāra? lō? ké?-ya 'I am going to stay'

Neg Cl 1sg-V_S

lo-p-čaxēl 'already snow'

Cl-AP-N_S

lo-k'olop 'already much'

Cl-Adv

(see Section 7.4 for adverbs and Section 3.1 for aspectual interaction with the first person independent pronouns.)

no? is usually suffixed to a verb in the third person and refers to future, punctual or present time:

kē-·yax-nō? 'he will come'

3sg-V_S-Cl

kē-·yax-lō? 'he came'

3sg-V_S-Cl

lo? and no? also appear as separate unbound morphemes following immediately after the verb but not suffixed to it. Their status as enclitics is enhanced by the fact that no? may occur as a reduplicated particle, no·nō?, translated as 'just now, a little while ago'. However, no? is mainly attested with third person singular verbs and as a reduplicated particle, while

lo? is attested with other persons and without pronominal reference. no? may occur alone, as in

k-ča·lxe·le nō? 'it is going to snow'

St-V_s Cl

xōlom nō? na-pā·lte 'the pail is leaking'

V_s Cl D-N_s

There is a third particle (-)ta? suffixed to the pronoun in most cases, but similarly found suffixed to any part of speech. It is most common, however, suffixed to an independent pronoun or the verb and its meaning is to indicate an action to be attempted in the near future:

mō·m-ta? 'you (pl) soon'

2pl-Cl

mē?-k-onxa-ta? 'this evening'

Loc-St-V_s-Cl

hēk' mō·t-ko-ta? 'I am going to watch it'

1sg V_s-3sg-Cl

xānse hēk'-ta? 'I am going to sell it soon'

V_s 1sg-Cl

hēk' ʔa?āp-ko-ta? 'I am going to spill it'

1sg V_s-3sg-Cl

p-oxō·m-o? hēk'-ta? 'I am going to hide it pretty soon'
Act-V_s-3sg 1sg-Cl

hēk' k-sā?-ta? 'I will talk'
1sg St-V_s-Cl

k-ēšem hēk'-ta? 'I will drink'
St-V_s 1sg-Cl

xwēn-ta? 'today he is coming'
V_s-Cl

There is a particle ʔē·n, which most often occurs with the first person singular independent pronoun hēk', indicating some type of future reference in translation. Its meaning is so close to nék' that I can not be more specific. ʔē·n may appear just before or after the hēk' with a verb and is always glossed as 'I'm going to (verb)'. Sapir identified ʔē·n as an adverb meaning 'yet, still' and this does seem to be its meaning when used in those cases without the first person singular pronoun:

ran-kō-ʔešxay-a-ksa·-ē·n 'then not dawned more yet'
SC-Neg-V_s-?-Adv-Cl

ksa· ʔe·n kōlop 'more yet much'
Adv Cl Adv

(both examples are from Mason's 1918 Antoniaño texts and I have reproduced his morpheme-by-morpheme English translation).

The more common use of $\text{?é}\cdot\text{n}$ is shown in the following examples:

p-etá·k-o? hék' $\text{?é}\cdot\text{n}$ 'I am going to make bread'

Act-V_s-3sg 1sg Cl

k-éšem hék' $\text{?é}\cdot\text{n}$ 'I will drink'

St-V_s 1sg Cl

kára? ké-šon- $\text{?é}\cdot\text{n}$ 'I didn't shave yet'

Neg 1sg-V_s-Cl

k-sé·ne hék' $\text{?é}\cdot\text{n}$ 'I am going to walk'

St-V_s 1sg Cl

me- 'once', 'next' is prefixed to nouns and verbs in a state of progress and is translated as 'when' or 'during'.

me-ťol-1-šé 'next year'

Cl-Num-N_p-N_s

me-1-pál 'in the summer'

Cl-N_p-N_s

k-sé·ne hék' mé-šak 'I am walking'

St-V_s 1sg Cl-?

mé-ta·š-nel 'sometimes'

Cl-IP-pl

mé-yem-an-e-l-k 'when I see them'

Cl-V_S-pl-lsg-pl-lsg

-me may also be found as a suffix with the same meaning:

ṭam-alamxal-ṭo-mé 'then we ate next'

SC-V_S-Loc-Cl

halá-ṭo-me 'shoot now!' (sg)

V_S-Loc-Cl

4.64. Voice. Verbal inflection for an active verb has already been discussed (see Section 4.52). There is some very slight evidence for a causative -te, identified as such by Sapir (1917) and by Mason (1918:49), but there is only one attestation which may be so construed:

kára? ló? ké?-škon-te 'I am blind'

Neg Cl lsg-N_S-?

possibly 'cause not to see' since the noun stem means 'eye'.

4.65. Mood. Inflection for a simple declarative sentence has already been discussed (see, e.g. Sections 4.3 and 4.4).

4.651. Imperative. The singular imperative form is usually the bare stem of the verb and the plural imperative is further inflected for plurality:

m-axné? 'bet!' (sg)

2sg-imp-V_s

k-axné-1 'bet!' (pl)

2pl imp-V_s-pl

m-etāk 'make it!' (sg)

2sg imp-V_s

k-otāk 'make it!' (pl)

2pl imp-V_s

mēt 'try!' (sg)

V_s

k-mēt 'try!' (pl)

2pl imp-V_s

These three examples illustrate the three variations for the form of the imperative. In the first examples, 'bet', the second person singular m- precedes the verb

stem and in the plural imperative, the second person plural *k-* precedes the verb stem with a plural *-l* immediately after the verb.

In the second example, 'make it', (an inherently transitive verb), the singular example is formed in the same manner, but the plural imperative shows the vowel ablaut characteristic of the second person plural, and there is no plural morpheme needed in addition.

In the third example, 'try', the verb stem begins with an *m-*, so the bare stem represents the second person singular imperative and only the second person plural *k-* is used to indicate the plural imperative.

A puzzling illustration of the reflexive that does not fit the pattern is from a single attestation with a comment from Harrington:

k-cōp-ek *ʔ-k-ōw* 'ye wash yer faces!' (pl, imp)
 2pl imp-V_s-1sg N_p-2pl-N_s

"This is the form addressed to youngsters--not usable addressed to grownups."

k-cā·xom-el 'wash your faces!' (pl, imp)
 2pl imp-V_s-pl

When *ma-* is used with verbs it gives them an imperative meaning:

má-mašál 'light it!' (sg)

Loc-V_S

lé-ya-x ma-čén 'throw it out!' (sg)

V_S-pl-V_S Loc-N_S

(see Section 6.3 for *ma-* used as a locative.)

4.652. Interrogative. A verb is inflected for the interrogative by prefixing ʔe- , ʔom- or ʔo- to the entire verbal construction. This is discussed in Section 3.22.

4.653. Optative. The optative may be expressed as a separate verb or as palatalization of the final consonant. Following are examples of the lexicalized form 'to want', a^1 :

$\text{p-a}^1\text{-xo}^?$ $\text{h}\acute{\text{e}}\acute{\text{k}}^?$ 'I wanted it'

Act- V_s -3sg 1sg

$\text{xey}\acute{\text{o}}^?$ $\text{p-a}^1\text{-xo}^?$ 'he wanted it'

3sg Act- V_s -3sg

$\text{p-}\acute{\text{e}}\acute{\text{š}}\text{em-o}$ $\text{l}\acute{\text{e}}\acute{\text{k}}^?$ 'I drank it'

Act- V_s -3sg 1sg

p-a^1 $\text{h}\acute{\text{e}}\acute{\text{k}}^?\text{-re-}\acute{\text{e}}\acute{\text{š}}\text{em}$ 'I want to drink'

Act- V_s 1sg-Loc- V_s

Palatalization is used to indicate a desire for the action of another verb:

k-âxla? 'he is fighting'

St-V_S

?om-k-âxl^ya? 'do you (sg) want to fight?'

Q-2sg-St-V_S-0-V_S

k-êšxala? 'he played'

St-V_S

k-êšxal^ya? 'he wants to play'

St-V_S-0-V_S

ke-ya 'he went'

V_Z-V_S

xeyô? ke-ya-t^ya? 'he wanted to go'

3sg V_Z-V_S-0

There is nothing in the last example, ke-ya, to get palatalized, so a consonant is inserted. Whether this is the underlying form of the optative morpheme which only surfaces in these conditions or whether the consonant is phonologically determined is unknown because of poor attestations. -t^y is not found anywhere else in the data.

The examples above show that a lexicalized optative is used with p- verbs and final palatization is used with k- verbs.

4.654. Hortative. The hortative is expressed with the first person plural as a prefix to the verb:

ka-čĕk-ax 'let's kill it'

H-V_S-3sg

ka-yā-1 ro-mĕ? 'let's go!'

H-V_S-pl Loc-Loc

ka-ke-ye-tYā? 'let's ride' (we want to ride!)

H-V_Z-V_S-pl-V_S

4.66. Plurals. Statives may be inflected for plural notions just as verbs and nouns are:

čĕp 'good'

S

k-čĕp 'it is good'

St-S

čĕp-ha·k 'good (pl)'

S-pl

(xāya? čĕp-ha·nĕ? 'many thanks')

(Adv S-pl)

k-ečá·? 'big'

St-V_s

k-eča?-tén 'big (pl)'

St-V_s-pl

šówet 'black'

S

šówet-lax 'black (pl)'

S-pl

k-šówet 'it is black'

St-S

(šowá? 'skunk')
(N_s)

4.7. Derivational morphology.

4.71. Affixal derivation.

4.711. Verbs derived from nouns. A few verbs derived from nouns are 'to kneel', derived from 'knee'; 'to tie', derived from 'arm' and 'to fall', derived from 'back' (body part). In these examples derivation is accomplished by means of verbalizing suffixes added to the body part noun stem:

*-ečomí 'back'

ečom-nox 'to fall'

*-ʔopok 'arm/wing'
 ʔ-ʔopokʔ-âyo 'one to tie (anything)'

*-opoy 'knee'
 ʔ-ôpoʔ-ltek-êk 'I'm kneeling'
 ʔ-ôpoʔ-lteʔ 'he kneeled down'

4.712. Stress shift. In verbs derived from non-body part nouns, stress seems to be a part of the derivational mechanism:

lâʔ 'duck' (generic)
 k-lâʔ-neʔ 'a swim'
 laʔ-nêʔ 'swim!' (sg)

used with the suffix -ne(?) identified by Sapir (1917) as a "denominative suffix apparently durative intransitive."

Shift of stress and the possibility of derivation by means of reduplication have already been mentioned as derivational mechanisms (see Section 2.5).

4.72. Statives. The term 'stative' is used to refer to the category of adjective. An adjective in Salinan is simply a stative verb with its k- prefix, as in:

k-â·w-e? 'hot'

St-V_s-S_{sf}

k-tâ·y' 'it smelled'

St-V_s

k-čó?o·y 'is is hazy'

St-V_s

k-šâ·to·l-e? 'it is dewy'

St-V_s-S_{sf}

k-čo·n-e? 'he is dirty'

St-V_s-S_{sf}

k-čâtel 'it is cold (weather)'

St-V_s

k-ťo?oy-ě? 'it is dusty'

St-V_s-S_{sf}

k-pâť 'hard'

St-V_s

k-eřpêlel 'spotted'

St-V_s

k-ťâ 'he is bald'

St-V_s

Not all statives in Salinan need be prefixed with

k-, however. The k- prefix simply indicates the verbal stative nature of the adjective. Other derivational suffixes that occur with adjectives:

syō-k' 'sweet'
 syō-hol 'salty'
 syō-hol-ō? 'it is sour'

There is a well-attested adjectival s- prefix for adjectives and for animals (see Section 2.9).

Examples of the prefix with adjectives:

s-ke·ntoy' 'small, thin'
 s-mat 'beautiful'

4.721. Use as verbs. As may be observed from some of the examples in Section 4.72, statives may be used as verbs (predicates) by suffixing -e(?) to the stem, again originally noted by Sapir (1917) as a suffix that "makes denominative verbs." His examples are:

šwan' 'fish' (generic)

N_s

k-šowa·n'-e? 'to be fishing'

St-N_s-S_{sf}

Other examples are:

ča·kây 'wind'

N_s

ča·kay'-e? 'wind is blowing'

N_s-S_{sf}

k-šâ·to·l-e? 'it is dewy'

St-N_s-S_{sf}

k-pâ·y-e? 'it is cloudy'

St-N_s-S_{sf}

kô-yo·t-e? pe-škém 'the ocean is very calm'

Neg-V_s-S_{sf} AP-N_s

‡-ôpent 'my fat'

N_p-N_s

‡-opent-ê? 'fatness'

N_p-N_s-S_{sf}

k-âme‡-a·č 'hunter'

St-V_s-Agt

k-ámeṭ-e? 'he is hunting'

St-V_s-S_{sf}

4.722. Use as nouns. As modifiers of nouns the adjective preceds the noun it modifies:

k-máṭa·l ṭ-ák-o? sáyo 'white-headed eagle'

St-V_s N_p-N_s-3sg N_s

white head-its eagle

4.8. Conjoining. Conjoining verbal compounds is accomplished by juxtaposition connected with the sentence connective ṭam- ~ ram-:

?aha·tel-ê-râ·m-eča 'he is going to play first and

V_s-S_{sf}-SC-V_s then is going to stop'

play-first-then-stop

4.9. Morphophonemics: review. In the preceding two sections (3-4), the following morphophonemic processes have been observed: the first consonant of the first person pronouns is dropped when they are suffixed to a verb ending with a consonant and the pronominal affix is unstressed (Section 3.2.); the morphophonemic vowels /a/ for first person plural and /o/ for second person plural examples are found in Sections 4.64, 4.651 and 4.653 especially; and the uses of stress in the derivation of verbs from

nouns is exemplified in Section 4.712. In Section 4.623 there is a small amount of evidence for a possible $t \rightarrow 1/x$ __.

5. Numerals. The table on the following page shows the first sixteen cardinal numbers for Antoniaño Salinan. Three of the recordings show the ke-verbalizer prefix (see Sections 4.71, 4.711 and 4.721) in the words for 'one', 'four' and 'seven'. Also segmentable is a plural suffix (Section 2.3) in the forms for 'six' and 'eight'. In the numbers above 'ten', the particle *tax* appears, meaning 'and'. In 'nine' the prefix *te-* is present.

5.1. Two systems. The recordings show two counting systems: a quaternary multiplicative system to 'sixteen', which is certainly the basic pattern, shared with neighboring Chumash and reflected also in the Yuki and Kato systems in Northern California. The second system is decimal.

5.11. Numbers to 'ten'. All the recordings agree on the numbers from 'one' to 'ten'. The recordings of Thomas Coulter (1834) have been included here as further evidence of a basic quaternary multiplicative system. (His recordings of the Chumash of Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo were used by Madison Beeler in his (1964) article on 'Ventureñ (Chumash) Numerals', Kathryn Klar in her (1980) article on 'Northern Chumash Numerals' and by Dixon and Kroeber in their (1907) article on 'The Numeral Systems of

- 1 /((ke-)tʰol
- 2 /kakeše/ ~ /kakešo/ (1834) /kákšo/
- 3 /((k-)lâ-pay/
- 4 /kê-šaʔ/
- 5 /ʔôl-ɬaw/
- 6 /pay-â·nel/
- 7 /((ke-)têʔ/
- 8 /ša-ʔâ·nel/
- 9 /tete-ɬôʔe/
- 10 /ɬôʔe/
- 11 /ɬôʔe-tax-tʰôl/
- 12 /lâ-pay-kša/ ~ /ɬôʔe-tax-kákšo/
- 13 /lâ-pay-kša-tax-tʰol/ ~ /ɬôʔe-tax-k-lâ-pay/
- 14 /wošôšo/ ~ /ɬôʔe-tax-kêša/
- 15 /lâ-pay-ʔol-ɬaw/ ~ /ɬôʔe-tax-ôl-ɬaw/
- 16 /kpeš/ ~ /ɬôʔe-tax-pay-â·nel/

Table VI Antoniaño Cardinal Numbers

the languages of California'.) He gives the numbers to 'sixteen'. Coulter and Mason (1912) show the older quaternary system of counting above 'ten'.

5.12. Numbers above 'ten'. Coulter and Mason agree on 'three-four' for 'twelve', 'three-four-and-one' for 'thirteen', /wošóšo/ for 'fourteen', 'three-five' for 'fifteen' and /kpeš/ for 'sixteen'. This is clearly a quaternary multiplicative system with differences only in detail from the quaternary systems of Chumash, Yuki and Kato. This was recognized by Dixon and Kroeber (1907) in their study of California numeral systems, even though their analysis of the Salinan system was based only on the short vocabulary collected by Coulter in 1834.

5.121. Decimal system. The decimal counting system is shown in the other recorded forms for 'twelve', 'thirteen', 'fifteen' and 'sixteen': 'ten-and-two' /ʔóʔe-tax-kākšo/, 'ten-and-three' /ʔóʔe-tax-k-la-pay/, 'ten-and-five' /ʔóʔe-tax-ól-ʔaw/ and 'ten-and-six' /ʔóʔe-tax-pay-á·nel/. These seem to be newer forms imposed on the native counting system through European contact.

Above 'sixteen' the counting system for Antoniaño is decimal and additive in all of the recordings.

For the numbers 'one' to 'eight' we have the older native system. From 'nine' to 'eleven' we have only a decimal system based on 'ten' and, with 'twelve',

we begin to get two recordings for the numbers to 'sixteen'.

5.2. Unanalyzable morphemes. The apparently unanalyzable morphemes in the Salinan counting system are the words for 'one', 'two', 'three', 'four', 'five', 'seven', 'ten', 'fourteen' and 'sixteen'.

5.21. Mason's etymologies. In his 1912 'Ethnology of the Salinan Indians' J. Alden Mason suggested etymologies for these numbers. 'one' [t^oL] means 'all alone', 'two' [ka^kcu] means 'half of four', 'three' [kLa^pai] means 'it is three', 'four' [kⁱca[?]] is identified as 'the smaller unit: the root is [ca[?]] with the [k-] prefix. 'five' [o^Ltau] 'appears to contain the same root as ten and is said by Dr. Henshaw to refer to the fists. which is very probable. It would then be [(t)o^L-t^ao], one-his-fist!. He says that 'six' [payāⁿeL] is derived from 'three' by adding a plural and that 'seven' [te[?]] is 'simple'. 'eight' [caaⁿeL] means 'fours', 'nine' [te^tte^oe] is 'one-from-ten', and 'ten' [t^oe] is analogous with 'five' and may be one of the numerous Salinan forms, 'fists'. 'eleven' [t^oe-ta^x-t^oL] means 'one-and-ten', 'twelve' [t^oe-ta^x-ka^kcu] is 'two-and-ten'.

This is as far as Mason went and he refers to Coulter (1834) for the numbers 'eleven' to 'sixteen'. Of all Mason's etymologies, only one bears close

scrutiny.

5.3. Analysis of individual numbers.

5.31. 'one' and 'five'. The words for 'one' and 'five' do indeed show some similarity. The common element /ʔol/, /(t)ʔol/, which may be assumed to mean 'one', appears in the numeral 'one' with initial /t̥/ and in 'five' with initial /ʔ/. Mason assumed, from Henshaw, /ʔol/ to be a reduction of /t̥ol/, preposed to an element meaning 'fist'. However, a further possibility is that the numeral 'ten' is related to 'five' through metathesis: /t̥oʔ^e/a(1)/ from */ʔol-taw/ 'five'. If so, however, an earlier form for 'five' is indicated.

This would, in turn, suggest the possibility that the form for 'one' /t̥ol/, may not be basic, but a contraction of */te-ʔol/.

5.32. 'two'. 'Two' /kâkeš/ (and variants), is both unanalyzable and isolated within the system. It is the only numerical lexeme in Salinan whose 'Hokan' parentage seems possible, being relatively easily accommodated within Sapir's (1925:418) reconstructions: *axwa-, *axwaka, *axwasku. The morpheme appears nowhere else among Salinan numerals.

5.33. 'three' and 'six'. 'Three' /lâpay/ contains an element /pay/ that is also contained in the word for 'six'. In the word for 'six' /pay/ is, as I have indicated, followed by a plural suffix which recurs

in the forms for 'eight'.

Here we have a striking similarity to Uto-Aztecan where the word for 'three' in the protolanguage is reconstructed as *pahi. (This form occurs throughout Takic, e.g., Luiseño /pa·hi/.) This was first mentioned by William H. Jacobsen fifteen or more years ago.

5.34. 'four' and 'eight'. 'Four' /keša?/ is easily segmentable into proclitic kē- and a stem, /ša?/. This stem is found in the word for 'eight' followed by the same plural suffix as in the word for 'six'.

Once again, there is a striking parallel in Uto-Aztecan, here confined to Takic; Luiseño /wasá?/ 'four'. This Takic form also seems to be connected with the otherwise unanalyzable numeral 'fourteen' /wošóšo/.

5.35. 'seven'. 'Seven' /te?/ is a simple unanalyzable stem, about which nothing more can be said at present. (It is, perhaps, worthy of note, though, that both 'seven' and 'fourteen' are unanalyzable. Does this hint at some sort of septenary count?)

5.36. 'ten'. 'Ten' /tōʔe/, as I have noted above, seems to be a metathesized form of 'five'. Metathesis could be functioning here as a sort of pluralizer (parallel to the pluralization of 'three' in 'six' and of 'four' in 'eight').

5.37. 'nine'. 'Nine' is the word for 'ten' with a preceding /te(·)te-/, which may be a reduplication of /te-/, but this has no standing as a regular prefix.

It seems to mean 'less'.

5.38. 'sixteen'. 'Sixteen', the end of the count in the quaternary system, i.e., 'four-fours', has two recorded forms, one of which /kpeš/, appears to be a simple unanalyzable stem. It is possible, however, that k-, here, as in some of the lower numerals is simply stative k-. We will return to this form when we consider the ordinals.

5.39. Other systems. Two more subsystems are barely discernable in these numbers to sixteen: The first is the system involving the forms for 'three' and 'six', although 'nine' and 'twelve' show no participation in such a system in Salinan. These number names were borrowed from Uto-Aztecan, after all.

The other barely discernable system which may have existed in the native counting system is quinary, and is reflected in one of the attested forms for 'fifteen': /lá-pay-ʔol-ṭaw/, 'three-fives'. Although 'ten' does not show a form 'two-fives' a quinary system may be reflected by the 'three-fives' form.

5.4. Ordinals. The first five ordinal numerals, next page, from the Antóniño dialect are shown in their original orthographic forms since reconstitution should be based on more than one attestation, and in the case of the ordinals, we are limited to Henshaw's recordings, with a couple of interesting exceptions, which will be discussed later.

first	te-lu [˘] (Henshaw)
first one	a-ʔe [˘] é1 (Jacobsen)
second	to [˘] -kí [˘]
third	trûp-pec [˘] -cu
fourth	ti-trā-ku [˘] (Henshaw)
northwest	tiʔaku (Mason)
fifth	trup-péct

Table VII Antoniaño Ordinal Numbers

The two other recordings are a second recording of 'first' from Jacobsen (1954) and a second recording of 'fourth' from Mason. Mason, however, glossed the word as 'northwest' (perhaps the fourth of the traditional directions).

These forms, besides being imperfectly recorded, are structurally puzzling. To some extent, though, they confirm the analysis of the cardinal numbers.

5.41. 'first'. 'First' te-lu^h, a-ʔe^hé1, if we take Jacobsen's recording as authoritative, partly confirms the supposition that the basic shape of the word for 'one' is /ʔV1/. The prefixes ʔe- may or

may not be related to the hypothesized *te-* prefix in the cardinal /t'ol/.

5.42. 'second'. 'Second' *to'-kĩ'* appears to contain the element *-ke* from the cardinal, possibly with a prefix *to-* or *ʔo-*.

5.43. 'third'. 'Third' *trup-pec'-cu* and 'fifth' *trup-péct* are evidently based on the same stem element, quite likely the same as *occus* in the cardinal /kpeš/, 'sixteen', again, with the prefix *ʔo-* (see Section 6.5).

5.44. 'fourth'. 'Fourth' *ti-trā-ku'* (*tiʔaku*) is not easily relatable to the cardinal /kešaʔ/ (a morphophonemic alternation between [š] and [ʔ] is not otherwise attested). It possibly contains a prefixed *te-* but apparently not *ʔe-*.

5.5. Conclusions. I have noted that the root morphemes for '3', '4' and '14' are close look-alikes to or shared forms with Uto-Aztecan. This is consistent with the data I gathered for a paper on areal characteristics of south central California (Turner 1983a). I identified many ancient linguistic ties between Salinan and Uto-Aztecan. I found that there are more lexical look-alikes between Salinan and Uto-Aztecan than there are between Salinan and any other language or language family in California, though contact with all historically present languages of the area is

evident.

Salinan lexical resemblances with Takic and Numic lend a little support to Whistler's hypothesis (p.c.) of a movement of Chumash-speaking people from the interior of California.

The linguistic evidence suggests Chumash as the first intrusive movement of a population between Salinan and Uto-Aztecan speakers. (The Salinan-speaking group were later pushed farther south and west by Yokuts expansion.)

Ancient and long-term contact is clearly shown for Salinan and Uto-Aztecan, with linguistic contact continuing with various Uto-Aztecan languages after their differentiation.

6.0. Locatives.6.1. ʔom- meaning 'on' or 'over' as in:

yōʔ-om-paʔ 'over there'

D-Loc-D

ʔom-t-ʔá·kat 'on (the) stick'

Loc-N_p-N_s

is homophonous with the question prefix formation for second person singular. It is better exemplified in full phrases.

k-ōʔololnox ra·m-mēm lá·kʔ ʔom-škēmSt-V_s SC-? N_s Loc-N_s

it sank ground sea

'it sank way to the bottom of the sea'

kēm t-tēna·y nāʔ ʔom-šō·k-eʔ-e

Loc N_p-V_s N_s Loc-Loc-?-S_{sf}

place that hits sun here

'the sun hits it right here'

ke· hēkʔ ʔom-ʔo-me·lo škēm

V_s 1sg Loc-Loc-Adv N_s

go I along sea

'I go along the beach'

ʔom-ɬe-kěw-oʔ 'on his side'

Loc-Loc-Loc-3sg

on-Loc-place-his

ʔom-čěn 'outside'

Loc-N_s

čěn (from the previous example) itself means 'a flat' and can be intensified:

čěn-kčāʔ 'a big flat'

N_s-S

Similarly ʔom- may accompany another morpheme, kew:

ʔom-kěw 'to where'

Loc-Loc

ʔol-kěw 'near place'

Num-Loc

ma-skā·m-kew 'to near him'

Imp-Adv-Loc

ʔo-p-kew 'at the place'

Loc-AP-Loc

A fuller example of *kew* from Harrington:

čép-e ?é·n kēw ʔ-saʔ kʷēl

S-S_{Sf} Cl Loc N_p-N_s N_s

good will place talk people

'they are fixing up the telephone (what the people talk with)', literally: 'make-good future what talk people'.

6.2. škoʔ Although its precise definition is unclear as demonstrated by the following examples, *škoʔ* can be suffixed (cliticized) to verbs to indicate ongoing activity.

k-éyeʔa škóʔ 'he is laughing'

St-V_s Loc

k-sáʔ hék' škóʔ 'I am speaking'

St-V_s 1sg Loc

ʔám-te-škoʔ 'then he was'

SC-Loc-Loc

škoʔ can also function as a verb stem as indicated by the example below:

škô?-ra? aské·n pe-xôč

-Loc N_S AP-N_S

corner the-dog

'the dog is in the corner (of the room)'

which appears to be a copular use of škô?.

6.3. ma- is prefixed to nouns and means 'when' or 'where' (cf Section 4.63 Aspect):

ma-kêw-o? 'where they {are}'

Loc-Loc-3sg

ma-kêw 'to him' (where he was)

Loc-Loc

ma-ṭ-kak-o? 'at the top'

Loc-N_p-N_S-3sg

ma-k-lé·he? 'downhill'

Loc-St-V_S

6.4. ṭa(?) - ~ ra(?) acts as a preposition before a noun, meaning 'in', 'on', 'along' and 'with'. With a verb ra? seems to behave as an infinitivizer, or nominalizer.

ra-mošé? 'in flames'

Loc-N_S

m-âx-ra?-ṭ-m-ô-nayé 'put it on your (sg) waist'

2sg-V_S-Loc-N_p-2sg-2sg-N_S

k-é-šāīa? ra?-lā-k' 'it grows along the ground'

St-V_S Loc-N_S

ra?-mé-t-el-ak' 'to beat us'

Loc-V_S-pl-lpl

ra?-skām 'to be close'

Loc-Adv

ra?-čēk' 'to see'

Loc-V_S

6.5. to(?)- ~ ro(?)- also acts as a preposition before nouns with the meanings 'of', 'to', 'in' and 'by'.

ro-pé-ṭol-ṭ-eyā 'of every one'

Loc-AP-Num-N_p-N_S

of-the-one-every

ro-p-kewél 'to the west'

Loc-AP-N_S

to-the-west

ro-p-lk̄a 'to the coyote'

Loc-AP-N_s

to-the-coyote

ro-p-kew-hã·l 'in the places'

Loc-AP-Loc-pl

in-the-place-pl

ro-p-ɬ-e·m-ō? 'by his house'

Loc-AP-N_p-N_s-3sg

by-the-house-his

Obviously these locative adverbs intersect with the meanings of the indefinite pronouns, and there are not enough attestations to straighten them out, although a careful study of Mason's (1918) texts may help to clarify the situation.

There is also not enough information to say anything about the possible modals, although there do exist a couple of glosses with the word 'must'.

6.6. ɬe- ~ re- In Mason's (1918) published texts of Antoniaño Salinan told by David Mora (as opposed to one of the other informants), there appear the prefixed elements ɬe- and re-. These appear to be prepositions, usually glossed by Mason as 'to'.

ʧe-hék' 'to me' (Text 3, line 23)

Loc-1sg

ʧe-ʧ-ya 'to go' (Text 1, line 47)

Loc-N_p-V_s

ʧe-šétep 'to die' (Text 2, line 4)

Loc-V_s

ʧe-skám 'to come near(er)' (Text 2, line 22)

Loc-Adv

There is also an example from the elicitations by Harrington (also from David Mora), that confuse the issue:

kára? lamáy' ʧe-ʧ-á·m 'there isn't any food in the
Neg N Loc-N_p-N_s house'

As for the variant re-:

re-mák-1-op 'to drown (them)' (Text 3, line 14)

Loc-V_s-pl-V_s

re-pá·ta 'to dance' (Text 1, line 7)

Loc-V

And from Jacobsen's notes:

ʔo-p-âl-o(?) re-lâm 'does he want to eat?'

Q-Act-V_S-3sg Loc-V_S

6.61. -re. Mason (1918) glosses the suffix -re as 'here'

m-ân'-tên'-re 'come here, too' (Text 1, line 19)

2sg imp-V_S-pl-Loc

And Harrington has:

k-má·y-re yó? 'he lives here'

St-V_S-Loc D

ško?-hêk'-re 'I am here' ('aquí estoy')

Loc-1sg-Loc

6.7. ʔoke is a clitic glossed in the texts as 'within', 'in' or 'inside'. From its few attestations it seems to be a locative adverb.

ʔom-ʔôke 'to within' (Text 3, line 52)

Harrington has one or two examples of ʔô·ke as well:

k-ʔô·pek ram-ʔô·ke 'to order to sweep the house

2pl imp-V_S SC-Loc inside'

k-c'ép t'ó·ke-na? 'it is a clear day'

St-S Loc-N_S

(as well as the example in Section 8.6 Metaphors).

6.8. -rom' occurs only three times in Mason's (1918) texts and not at all in the elicitations of anyone else. The only text in which it is specifically glossed, Mason translates as 'wherefrom'.

ranke-rom' 'then wherefrom?' (text 1, line 26)

Adv-Loc

no-k-é·š-rom' '(it) seems' (Text 4, line 29)

?-St-V_S-Loc

kā·s-tkā·-mē·t-rom' 'but we will try it' 'Text 3,
Adv-?-V_S-Loc line 27)

(for the adverbial nature of kā·s, see Section 7.3).

6.9. ?em- acts as a preposition before nouns and is glossed 'on' or 'in' in Mason's (1918) texts. There is an attestation by Harrington, as well, however:

k-é·l no' ?em-kwél 'the earth is dry'

St-V_S Cl Loc-N_S

7. Minor Form Classes.

7.1. Conjunctions. The particle glossed as 'and' has already been mentioned in the section on numerals (Section 5). In Mason's (1918) texts, 'tan- prefixed to a verb is translated as 'and' and 'well'.

tas- is glossed by Mason as 'but'. However in attestations from Harrington and Jacobsen tas- is apparently 'what':

tas-ṭ-m-ēlek' 'at what are you (sg) laughing?'

N_p-2sg-V_s

tas-nō-pe? 'what happened?'

Cl-AP

from Harrington and:

tās-lo? 'what time is it?'

Cl

from Jacobsen.

7.2. Sentence Connective. A sentence connective has been referred to (Section 4.71), ṭam- ~ ram- usually translated as 'then' in Mason's (1918) texts. terš-, 'therefore' appears rarely (examples in Section 3.3 and following.)

7.3. Adverbs. The majority of adverbial notions are

expressed as free morphemes. The exceptions are a prefix and one suffix: ake(·)- an interrogative adverb and -e·ya·te? 'always'.

aké-ṭ-o-m-té·yó? 'how thy ability?'

N_p-2sg-2sg-N_s

ake·-m-hala 'what to use?'

2sg-V_s

ake·-m-xála-nôn 'what to use just now?'

2sg-V_s-Cl

(All of these examples come from the texts in Mason 1918 and are his translations.)

There is only one example of the suffix -e·ya·te?.

p-ox-nek'e·ya·te? 'enter will I always'

Act-V_s-1sg-Adv

again, from Mason's (1918) texts.

The remaining adverbs are those expressed as free morphemes. To take them in alphabetical order, kas is usually translated as 'only' when occurring alone, the glosses occurring with kas as a prefix or suffix suggest a further refinement of that gloss:

šó·ka? kās re?-ya 'he follows along the creek'

N_s Adv Loc-V_s

has kas related to the gloss 'along'.

an-kās-no-steyōwēn 'only just now beautiful'

SC-Adv-C1-S

has kas related to the gloss 'only' as do

keyō'-kas 'only him'

3sg-Adv

ṭam-kās-ko? 'then only'

SC-Adv-3sg

kās-skomo 'only small'.

Adv-Adv

However, there are three occurrences in Mason's texts where he translates kas as 'but':

kas-p-ām-ko? 'but killed'

Adv-Act-V_s-3sg

kas-kēra 'but not'

Adv-Neg

ran-kā's-na 'then but this'

SC-Adv-D

And, finally, there is an interesting occurrence of kas from Jacobsen's notes:

hek-kas 'I myself'

1sg-Adv

where kas seems to have an emphatic meaning, but this may be misleading in light of another example from his notes:

keyó·-kas 'only him'

3sg-Adv

Like the two preceding adverbs ksa occurs as a free morpheme and also affixed but always with the meaning of 'more'.

k-ʔá·noʔ ksá hék' 'I am very weak'

St-V_s Adv 1sg

ke-ʔ-xáwet ksá p-aKatá 'a person is very yellow'

V_z-N_p-S Adv AP-N_s (jaundice)

yoʔ pe ské·ten a-ksá ʔ-k-ê·seleʔ

D AP S ʔ-Adv N_p-St-S

he the little more all

'the youngest child in the family'

k-apetnap ksá 'it is full' (of water)

St-V_s Adv

k-ê·l ksá hék' 'I am dried up'

St-S Adv 1sg

mas occurs frequently in Mason's (1918) texts,
meaning 'more' (a borrowing from Spanish).

má's occurs as a free morpheme as in the following,
meaning 'maybe':

sé?-ko? ma's hék' 'maybe I am going to talk to him'
V_s-3sg Adv 1sg

xá·ta ma's nék' 'I think I am going to cry'
V_s Adv 1sg
(xa·ta nék' 'I am going to cry')

ménačo 'why' also occurs as a prefix without
the -o:

ménačo t-k-ō-ašem 'why are you (sg) not drinking?'
Adv N_p-2sg-2sg-V_s

ménač'-rom-xá·ta 'why are you (sg) crying?'
Adv-Loc-V_s

Unlike the previous adverb, mešak, 'continually'
always appears as a free morpheme in Mason's texts.

p-eké·n-tx-o? méšak 'he whirled continually'
Act-V_s-?-3sg Adv

čá·we? méša·k yó? 'he looked continually'
V_s Adv D

The adverb *taʔa* 'now' is derived from *taʔ*
(see Section 4.63 Aspect):

xwên-taʔ 'today he is coming'

V_S-Cl

xwên-taʔa '(is higher language)' (Harrington)

V_S-Adv

Other examples are:

k-âxap na-taʔá 'he died just now' (= *no·nó*, see
St-V_S D-Adv Section 4.63)

and an attestation from Harrington which illustrates
its use and offers a comment:

na-taʔá 'now', common word, 'just now', 'today'.
D-Adv

skám is a frequently occurring adverb meaning
'close'. It may be written as a free morpheme or
affixed to another adverb:

skám-ksa 'close more'
Adv-Adv

xayaʔ is also well-attested, meaning 'many' or
'much':

xāya? 'many'

Adv

xāya? čep-a·nē? 'many thanks'

Adv S-pl

xāya? ʔ-šxēxe? 'lots of tracks'

Adv N_p-N

xāya? ʔ-šxep-let-o ʔ-aʔā? 'lots of deer tracks'

Adv N_p-N_s-pl-3sg N_p-N_s

There is only one attestation (from Harrington) of the final adverb in this list ʔóteš 'maybe'

ʔóteš-a·m-ko? 'maybe I can't'

Adv-V_s-3sg

This gloss is supplemented by Harrington: 'I'm afraid to tackle (lifting a big load)'.
 6

7.4. Interjections. Very little data is available about other minor form classes such as interjections. There are two interjections in Mason's texts: ay and aha 'yes'.

7.5. Quotative. In the texts collected by Mason (1918) there is a quotative particle ʔe?, although there is no trace of this quotative in the recordings

of Antoniaño outside the texts.

rām-ṭe?-tak' 'then (he) said to them'

SC-Qo-pl

rām-ṭe? 'then (he) said'

SC-Qo

terš-ṭê? 'therefore (he) said'

Con-Qo

kō-ṭe? 'not said'

Neg-Qo

8. Syntactic patterns.

8.1. Equational. There is no copula: Antoniaño
Salinan uses parataxis.

‡-ê-č-o? Juan na? 'this is John's dog'
N_p-N_p-N_s-3sg John D

yo-nā-‡-etoyen 'this is my arrow'
D-D-N_p-N_s

8.2. Possessive constructions. As well as the example
just given, a noun may be verbalized and used with a
subject pronoun to include possession:

‡-é-šxa? 'my money'
N_p-1sg-N_s

ke-šxá·?-e hék' 'I have some money'
V_z-N_s-D_{sf} 1sg

k-lom '(have) a wound'
St-V_s

k-lóm-t-e· hék' 'I have a wound'
St-V_s-?-D_{sf} 1sg

k-lóm-t-e· mó? 'you (sg) have a wound'
St-V_s-?-D_{sf} 2sg

Another possessive construction uses the verb stem -etxaw 'have' with the p- prefix, so the verb is active and transitive:

p-etxāw hēk' 'I have it'

Act-V_s 1sg

p-etxāw xeyō? 'he has it'

Act-V_s 3sg

hāk' p-etxāw 'we have it'

1pl Act-V_s

In simple possessed constructions, such as 'John's dog', it is the possessed noun which is affixed and the possessor, the bare stem. The possessor follows the possessed noun.

8.3. Locative phrases: ško(?) 'here'. ško(?) may be used in the locative construction 'X is here':

škō? hēk' 'I am here'

Loc 1sg

škō? lēk' 'I am here already'

Loc 1sg

(see Section 6.2).

8.4. Existential. Constructions translated in this

way in English use juxtaposition in Salinan.

kāra? kō-k-ʔaṭ-e 'there is no grass'

Neg Neg-St-S-D_{Sf}

kāra? ṭ-acō-pe? 'there is no moon'

Neg N_p-N_s

k-yōt-e? lā·k' 'there is an earthquake'

St-V_s-D_{Sf} N_s

lo·l ʔom-kwél 'there is a forest fire'

V_s Loc-N_s

xāya? ṭ-kāt-et om-ṭ-a·k-ē?

Adv N_p-N_s-pl Loc-N_p-N_s-D_{Sf}

'there is much wood on the road'

8.5. Word order. Word order is free, although morpheme order within the verb or noun complex is rigid. In unmarked constructions word order is VOS and VSO is used for emphasis and topicalization, as will be seen in the interlinear text (Section 9).

8.6. Metaphors. The following metaphors have been culled from the Harrington notes:

k-ěseňá? pa? ná? 'the sun is sick' = eclipse
 St-V_s D N_s
 is-sick sun

k-ěta·ha? †-šá? ro·-?ákata
 St-V_s N_p-N_s Loc-N_s
 becomes water blood
 'the blood turns out water' = dropsy

ke-†-xáwet ksá pa-?akata
 V_z-N_p-S Adv D-N_s
 be-yellow very blood
 'a person is very yellow' = jaundice

kom-špok-t-ě †-ák-o?
 Neg-N_s-?-D_{sf} N_p-N_s-3sg
 no-fur head-his
 'no fur his head' = bald

p-etxá·w-o? keřmél rom-†-e-·čay'-o?
 Act-V_s-3sg N Loc-N_p-N_p-N_s-3sg
 (he)-has-it ball in-neck-his
 'he has a ball in the neck' = goiter

c'ép-e? ?én k'ew t̄-sa? kwél

S-D_{Sf} Cl Loc N_p-V_s N_s

good will talk people

'what the people talk with' = telephone

9. Text with grammatical analysis. The text I have chosen is the first Antoniaño Salinan text published in Mason (1918) in which he gives a complete grammatical morpheme-by-morpheme analysis, interlinear glosses and segmentation of the morphemes. I have used his published text as well as his original field notebook to transcribe the text phonemically according to my reconstitution. In several cases I have used a more accurate segmentation and I have re-translated many of his glosses, both from the Spanish he supplied in the original notebook and from the English of the published version. I have completely re-analyzed the text and provided a more accurate free translation as well.

I have re-titled the text "The Killers," following Henshaw's (1884) title, rather than following Mason's (1918) published title "Prairie-Falcon, Raven and Coyote" or the title in his original notes, "Coyote, Raven and Skunk." The text appears to include four related stories about mythical killers who are identified in the stories as Coyote, Skunk, Little Birds (children of Skunk), Raven, Hawk, Bear and Mouse.

Nothing has been added to or deleted from the Antoniaño Salinan text Mason elicited from David Mora on June 6, 1916.

The Killers

k-lápay pe-xeyō·ṭ rām-ṭ-yá·-tel¹
 St-Num AP-3pl SC-N_p-V_s-pl
 There were three (of) them. Then, as they went along,

xōṭ-l-op ro-p-ṭ-e·m-ō rá·m-ṭe?
 V_s-pl-V_s Loc-AP-N_p-N_s-3sg SC-Qo
 they passed his (Skunk's) house. Then he said

pe-lkâ k-čĕk na-šte·-lwâ?
 AP-N_s St-V_s D-N_p-N_s
 the Coyote, "He appears this old man

k-šawenâ·-ne p-o-lō-x ṭa-ṭō·ke
 St-V_s-D_{sf} Act-V_s-pl-V_s Loc-Adv
 to be a dancer. (You, pl) Enter inside,

p-o-lō-x rām-ṭe?-tak²
 Act-V_s-pl-V_s SC-Qo-pl
 enter." Then (Skunk) said to them,

k-āš-el o-sma-ha-t-ĕl
 St-V_s-pl Int-V_s-pl-V_s-pl
 "(You, pl) be seated (who are so) handsome."

rām-ṭe? p-a·tá en-čĕn'
 SC-Qo Act-V_s Loc-V_s
 Then (Coyote) said, "Dance so that (you) are seen

ro-pa-tā·mā? sma-ha-t-él rām-ṭe?
 Loc-AP-N_S V_S-pl-V_S-pl SC-Qo
 by the men (who are) handsome." Then (Skunk) said

ay ṣte-lwā?-lék'³ ṣe mé·t-o-nék'
 Int N_p-N_S-lsg V_S-3sg-lsg
 "Ay! I am an old man but I will try

ā?-a·m-ko ṭe?-ṣā·wena·-ne k-ā·we-yak-ṣe?⁴
 Con-V_S-3sg Loc-V_S-D_{Sf} St-V_S-?
 if (I am) able to be a dancer. It is very hot

nā-tā· tas-k-ṣówn-e·-nék'
 D-Adv Con-St-V_S-D_{Sf}-lsg SC-V_S
 now, but I will light (the fire)." Then he tried

re-p-ā·ta rām-p-eṭe·k-o ṭ-e-céw-o
 Loc-Act-V_S SC-Act-V_S-3sg N_p-N_p-N_S-3sg
 to dance. Then he made his tail

rām-ṭ-eṣa·k ṭe-p-ā·ta p-ekéle·n-t-xo
 SC-N_p-V_S-3sg Loc-Act-V_S Act-V_S-pl-3sg
 raised to dance. He whirled it around

mēša·k ṭo-p-ké·w-o ta·mā
 Adv Loc-AP-Loc-3sg N_S
 continually. "Where are (the) men?"

sḱam-ksá mēša·k ṭo-p-ṭ-o-hén-o
 Adv-Adv Adv Loc-AP-N_p-N_S-pl-3sg
 (He came) closer continually to (where) their faces (were)

pe-ta·má ʦ-káten-o pe-šowá rām-ʦeʔ-takʔ
 AP-N_S N_p-N_S-3sg AP-N_S SC-Qo-pl
 the men, his anus the Skunk. Then (Skunk) said

yax⁵ ra-skám ksá yax-tén⁶
 V_S Loc-Adv Adv V_S-pl
 "(You, pl) Come close more. (You, pl) Come

ksá ra-ská·m-ksá wé-ten-ksá p-á·l-xo
 Adv Loc-Adv-Adv Loc-pl-Adv Act-V_S-3sg
 more closer here still more." He wanted

ʦe-p-ál-o ram-xála?⁷
 Loc-Act-V_S-3sg SC-V_S
 to attack. And (Raven) hit (Skunk)

ʦo-pe-k-á·we čxáʔ k-éna·y-ok ksa
 Loc-AP-St-V_S N_S St-V_S-3sg Adv
 and the hot stone wounded him severely

em-k-šó·lok-ne ram-méheʦ-e·nxa?⁸
 Loc-St-V_S-D_{sf} SC-V_S-?
 in hollow place (i.e., anus). Then (Skunk) ran around.

rām-ʦeʔ ahá pe-lká xomó
 SC-Qo Int AP-N_S S
 Then he said, "Yes the Coyote (is) bad

lwá? xáya? ʔe-má·ʔ-o
 N_s Adv Loc-V_s-3sg
 man, many have been killed."

rám-ʔ-xwene·-lax pe-se·matán
 SC-N_p-V_s-pl AP-N_s
 Then they arrived the (Little Birds) children
 (of Skunk)

ram-ʔe? pe-škan semtán
 SC-Qo AP-N_s N_s
 Then said the Hawk, "Children,

ʔe-ské·ntoy? ménako ʔ-o-k-sónon
 Loc-V_s Adv N_p-2pl-2pl-N_s
 (They are) too little why your legs?

kara? mas⁹ kō-ʔ-ape·l na-mé·n
 Neg Con Neg-N_p-V_s D-N_s
 Not but (they) don't fill this hand."

rám-me·t-e? ʔe-ʔá·p-o-yax pe-ʔ-e-šxe·p-lét-o
 SC-V_s-D_{sf} Loc-V_s-3sg-pl AP-N_p-N_p-N_s-pl-3sg
 Then (he) tried and felt them their feet

ram-kō-ʔ-apel rám-ʔe?-tawlayk¹⁰
 SC-Neg-N_p-V_s SC-Qo-pl
 and they did not fill it. Then he said to them

ra-kéra?-tên-lo kera-ló râm-mofox-o
 Loc-Neg-pl-C1 Neg-C1 SC-V_S-3sg
 "Not yet, no." Then he squeezed (their feet).

râm-p-le-t-x-o rom-țoke kwá·p
 SC-Act-V_S-pl-V_S-3sg Loc-Adv N_S
 Then he threw them into sweathouse.

m-ál-o·l tã·so¹¹ rom-țó·ke ț-ã·w'
 V_S-pl-V_S Adv Loc-Adv N_p-N_S
 They flew helplessly inside (the) fire.

râm-țe?-tak' pe-1ká mán'tên're
 SC-Qo-pl AP-N_S V_S-pl-Loc
 Then said the Coyote, "Come here."

râm-țe? ța-ménačo țe-ya-tên té·le?
 SC-Qo Loc-Adv Loc-V_S-pl V_S
 Then he said, "Why go? Hurry

p-óx-ra? ram-kó-neka?
 Act-V_S-Loc SC-Neg-V_S
 enter." And (they) did not obey him.

râm-ț-espax k-lé-yáya-x
 SC-N_p-V_S St-V_S-pl-V_S
 Then (Coyote) grabbing (them), he threw them

rom-ṭó·ke ṭ-á·w' ram-k-ló·l-ro-pé
 Loc-Adv N_p-N_s SC-St-V_s-Loc-D
 into (the) fire. And they were burned by him

pe-lká xomó lwá? p-á·l-xo
 AP-N_s S N_s Act-V_s-3sg
 the Coyote bad man. He wanted

ra?-ám-el-ay' terš-ṭé? p-o-ló-x
 Loc-V_s-pl-pl Con-Qo Act-V_s-pl-V_s
 to kill them. Therefore (he) said, (You, pl) "Enter,"

kó-ṭe? ksá xomó lwá? p-á·l-xo
 Neg-Qo Adv S N_s Act-V_s-3sg
 Not (he) said more. Bad man. He wanted

ṭa-ám-el-á·y-še?
 Loc-V_s-pl-pl-?
 to kill them.

yó?-rám-ṭ-ya·-tel rá·m-k-ólo·le
 D-SC-N_p-V_s-pl SC-St-V_s
 Then they left. Then he played (flute)

ṭ-yá pe-lá? p-ésn-ay'-ax
 N_p-V_s AP-N_s Act-V_s-pl-V_s
 going the Raven. (They) heard (it)

ʔáha·téʔ ʔo-p-ʔ-olol-ay'-o pe-láʔ
 N_S Loc-AP-N_p-N_S-D_{Sf}-3sg AP-N_S
 music of his flute the Raven.

rám-ʔeʔ-tak' tá·s-ten nō-peʔ
 SC-Qo-pl Con-pl D-AP
 Then (people) said "What is this, this which

k-ʔámes ʔa-kó-yoʔ no-k-ʔáxa·ʔe lwáʔ
 St-V_S Loc-Neg-D Cl-St-V_S N_S
 calls which is not (the) music (of) man?

rankē-rom' k-e·nóx-o pe-k-ʔáxa·te
 Adv-Loc St-V_S-3sg AP-St-V_S
 Then where (does) it come (from) the music?"

ʔe-téšop-ax ksá pe-ʔaha·téʔ
 Loc-V_S-pl Adv AP-N_S
 they heard (clearly) very the music.

ram-kó-tešt-o ksá rake
 SC-Neg-V_S-3sg Adv Loc
 And not seen more anywhere,

ra-ko-ʔ-ya'-lo-raké seneʔ ksá
 Loc-Neg-N_p-V_S-Cl-Loc V_S Adv
 not anywhere. Walking more

ʦe-pāsia·1-ten¹² yoʔ-k-ālepʔ pe-lāʔ
 Loc-V_S-pl D-St-V_S AP-N_S
 to stroll again and he was lost the Raven.

ram-kó-yem-aʔ¹³ a-k-a·s-o mēnaʔo
 SC-Neg-V_S-3sg Con-St-V_S-3sg Adv
 And they didn't see him. Wondered why

ʦe-k-ālepʔ ram-k-ča·weʔ
 Loc-St-V_S SC-St-V_S
 "(is he) lost?" And looked (for him).

ram-kó-yem-amʔ ke-ʦe·-kēwʔ-o ča·weʔ
 SC-Neg-V_S-? V_Z-Loc-Loc-3sg V_S
 And (could) not find where (he) was. Sought

mēša·k

Adv

all the time.

yó-ram-male·nt-ayʔ-ax ʦe-nó·nanax
 D-SC-V_S-pl-V_S Loc-V_S
 Then (Hawk) remembered to gather up

pe-ʦ-axā·y-ox-ten ča·weʔ nó ʦ-a·penyaʔ
 AP-N_p-N_S-pl-pl V_S Cl N_p-V_S
 the bears. Seek will to gather.

yo-rám-ṭ-āna·t-ay'-ax ṭe-xóṭop
 D-SC-N_p-V_s-pl-V_s Loc-V_s
 Then he permitted them to pass (him).

ša·xáta?¹⁴ ša·kén'-o
 V_s V_s-3sg
 "Open (your) mouth!" he pointed (with his arrow)

ṭ-yā rá·m-p-yax-te-ko ʔol-tén
 N_p-V_s SC-Act-V_s-pl-3sg Num-pl
 (as they) came. Then bring another.

ša·xáta? p-šá·ken'-o
 V_s Act-V_s-3sg
 "Open (your) mouth!" He pointed it (arrow):

ṭ-yā pe-xwén xoṭóp yax-ték-ten ʔol
 N_p-V_s AP-V_s V_s V_s-?-pl Num
 coming, arriving, passing. "Bring another one."

rám-ṭe? pe-seló·y k-?ámes ṭe-yâx
 SC-Qo AP-N_s St-V_s Loc-V_s
 Then said the Mouse he shouted to come.

rám-ṭe? k-âxay¹⁵ te-lwa-nê
 SC-Qo St-V_s N_p-N_s-D_{sf}
 Then (Mouse) said "I am afraid. (Bear is very) strong!"

rác-?ames¹⁶ šó·1 ʧ-yâx te-lwa-né
 SC-?-V_S V_S N_p-V_S N_p-N_S-D_{Sf}
 he cried. He climbed up going vigorously

k-a·-m-xwén pe-ʧ-axây' râm-ʧe? pe-selô·y
 St-1pl-1pl-V_S AP-N_p-N_S SC-Qo AP-N_S
 arrived the Bear. Then said the Mouse,

k-âxko? na se-te-lwa-né râm-ša·ke·n-e
 St-V_S D ?-N_p-N_S-D_{Sf} SC-V_S-D_{Sf}
 "Careful! This is heavy." Then pointed at (Mouse)

ram-ʧe?-tak' ša·xâta? râm-ʧe?
 SC-Qo-pl V_S SC-Qo
 and said, "Open (your) mouth!" Then said (Mouse)

tépen ʧ-ôle·ʧ k-â?a·m-ko ʧe-šâ·xata?
 V_S N_p-1sg-N_S St-V_S-3sg Loc-V_S
 "Hurts my tooth. Not able to open (my) mouth."

ša·tâta? skomó kas ram-k-nêka?
 V_S Adv Adv SC-St-V_S
 "Open (your) mouth (a) little only" and (Mouse) obeyed.

p-etâk'-o k-šó·lok-ne kâs-skomó pe-ʧ-é·lk-o
 Act-V_S-3sg St-V_S-D_{Sf} Adv-Adv AP-N_p-N_S-3sg
 (He) made opening very small his mouth.

rām-ṭe?-tak' ā-em-yā rām-hala?
 SC-Qo-pl Con-Loc-V_S SC-V_S
 Then (Mouse) said, "Go ahead." Then used

om-škay'-o lk'el-ṭe-pa-ksa-t-yā¹⁷ rām-ṭe?-tak'
 N_p-N_S-3sg V_S-pl-?-Adv-N_p-V_S SC-Qo-pl
 his talons (and) went rolling down. Then said

pe-selō-y ma?ā škō?-ra? mo?-p-yem'-ō
 AP-N_S V_S Loc-Loc 2sg-Act-V_S-3sg
 the Mouse, "Carry (him) here. You know

ṭ-o-m-té-w' ké-ša-k ma?a-wo p-e-sē-l-xo
 N_p-2sg-2sg-N_S V_Z-V_S V_S-3sg Act-V_S-3sg
 your strength." Went carried the whole thing

ksā-še?¹⁸ ram-kō-p-a-m-ko k-epčō-p-lo
 Adv-? SC-Neg-Act-V_S-3sg St-V_S-Cl
 more. And (he) could not. (He was) tired

rā-m-p-čē-nṭ-ko em-kwél a-k-ā-s-o
 SC-Act-V_S-3sg Loc-N_S Con-St-V_S-3sg
 Then (Mouse) looks through (the) world wonders (who)

ō-yem-a? rām-p-yā-m-o pe-ṭ-ō-w-o
 Int-V_S-? SC-Act-V_S-3sg AP-N_p-N_S-3sg
 sees it. Then sees it his face

k-šó·menxa? k-ólafe? ʔo-p-ʔ-ó·w-o
 St-V_s St-V_s Loc-AP-N_p-N_s-3sg
 stretched. He is ashamed of his face

rome-p-yā·m-o ram-k-óney-e? ʔe-ʔ-yā
 Loc-Act-V_s-3sg SC-St-V_s-D_{sf} Loc-N_p-V_s
 when sees it. And he is afraid to go

ma-kéw-o pe-xāya? rá·m-p-ox
 Loc-Loc-3sg AP-Adv SC-Act-V_s
 where (there are) many. Then he goes

ro-p-ʔóke káʔ k-óho·m-a? ʔo-p-ʔ-ololay'·o
 Loc-AP-Adv N_s St-V_s-? Loc-AP-N_p-V_s-3sg
 inside grass hiding his shame.

kó-yem-a?-lo kas yo?-lo-p-ʔ-ya-t'-ay'-o¹⁹
 Neg-V_s-?-Cl Adv D-Cl-Act-N_p-V_s-?-?-3sg
 Not seen any more (no) more he is gone.

9.1. Grammatical Notes.

1 rām-ṭ-yā'-tel

ram- is the sentence connective, usually translated 'then', but translated as 'and' when unstressed, see Sections 4.7 and 7.2.

-ṭ- is the nominalizing prefix for verbs, see Section 4.0. Notice how wide-spread nominalized verbs are in this text.

-yā'- verb stem 'to come/go' used extensively in this text.

-tel plural suffix, indicating the plurality of the subject, see note 5.

2 rām-ṭe?-tak'

ram-, see note 1.

-ṭe?- is the quotative particle occurring frequently in this text, see Section 7.6.

-tak' is a plural suffix.

3 šte-lwá?-lék'

šte- is a prefix used only with the word for 'man', meaning 'old'.

-lwá?- 'man'.

-lék' first person perfective aspect suffixed by Mason (1918) to the noun.

4 k-á·we-yak-še?

k- is the stative verb prefix.

-a·we-yak stative verb 'hot' (recorded as k-á·wyak

by Jacobsen). The -yak is probably segmentable but is of unknown meaning and function.

-še? unknown. Mason gives a confusing account in his grammatical analysis of this text. še? is found in other parts of the text as an affix and not glossed. On page 49 Mason (1918) defines -še? as both 'desiderative' and 'substantive', and še- as 'old, aged' on page 21. Besides the two examples of -še? and the one example of še- in this text, there are three other textual attestations, (given with Mason's glosses: še?-lo 'already' (Text 3, line 3), ra-mô·m-še? 'then you (pl)' (Text 3, line 26) and k-lo-x-l-a-no-še? 'burnt almost' (Text 23, line 8). The only other attestation than Mason's is kôk'-šê? 'vomit' from Harrington. (Compare kô·k'-ê-t-o? 'his vomit' from Harrington.)

5 yax is the plural form of the verb stem 'to come/go'.

6 yax-tên

yax- (see the immediately preceding note).

-ten is the iterative plural, 'again, also'.

See Sections 2.31-2.33.

7 ram-xâla?

ram- is the sentence connective, see note 1.

xâla? is the verb stem 'to hit' (transitive).

8 ram-mêheŕ'-e·nxa?

ram- see note 1.

-meheɸ- is the verb stem 'to run around'.

-e·nxa? is unknown.

9 kara? mas

kara? is the negative

mas is an adverb borrowed from Spanish 'more',

see Section 7.3.

10 rām-ɸe?-tawlayk

ram- see note 1.

-ɸe?- is the quotative, see note 2.

-tawlayk is unknown, but a plural, at least, can be inferred.

11 tã·so adverb? This word is not listed in Mason's

(1918) vocabulary or attested elsewhere. In

his free translation Mason uses the word

'blindly', he uses the words 'kept on trying'

in his original notebook and he uses the word

'helplessly' in the published text. (The

recordings of the word for 'blind' are simply

the word for 'eye' with a negative prefix, or

an entirely different stative verb: šamle.)

12 ɸe-pāsea·l-ten

ɸe- is a locative prefix, see Section 6.6.

-pāsia·l I have not segmented the Salinan p-

prefix usually occurring in active verbs

because the verb has been borrowed from

Spanish pasear 'to stroll'.

13 ram-kō-yem-a?

ram- see note 1.

-ko- is the negative prefix.

-yem- is the verb stem 'to see', but see Section 4.51.

-a? Mason glosses this as a passivizer and when -a? occurs following yem, he glosses it as 'seen' in his texts (1918). In his grammar (p. 48) Mason defines -a? as 'passive, reflexive'. However, other recordings by Harrington and Jacobsen suggest that this analysis is incorrect, e.g.

kâra? kê?-yem-a? 'they did not see me' and
 Ćé-xeñ-a? 'I am angry' from Harrington and
 k-â·l-ten-a? 'they are fighting' and
 m-onop-â? 'move!' from Jacobsen.

There is nothing passive or reflexive about these examples, though the function and meaning of -a? remains obscure.

- 14 ša·xâta? This is the imperative form of the verb stem 'to open one's mouth'. Cf. šâxata? 'his mouth is open'. There is an interesting demonstration of otherwise unattested derivational suffixes with this stem:

šax 'to eat'

šax-anlop 'to yawn'

šax-ata? 'to open one's mouth'.

- 15 k-âxay

k- is the stative verb prefix.

-axay is a verb 'to be afraid'. This stem may take either k- or p- prefixes:

k-axay 'I am afraid'

p-axay-o? 'he feared it' (transitive). See Section 4.5 for verbs with the k- and p- prefixes.

16 rāc-ʔames

rac- seems to be the sentence connective from ram- plus c. This should be a morpheme boundary of m, k and glottal stop.

-ʔames is the verb stem 'to cry'.

17 lkél-ʔe-pa-ksa-t-yā, this form is opaque:

ške(·)l- is the verb stem 'to roll'

-ʔe- an iterative plural?

-pa- unknown

-ksa- adverb 'more'

-t- possibly a nominalizing prefix with verbs

-ya the verb stem 'to come/go'.

18 ksā-še?

ksa- adverb 'more', see Section 7.3.

-še? see note 4.

19 yo?-lo-p-ʔ-ya-t-ay-o

yo?- is an indefinite pronoun, see Section 3.4.

-lo- glossed here by Mason as 'already' in the same sense as that discussed in Section 4.63, Aspect. However, this is the only occurrence

of this morpheme in this position, before the verb stem, so this analysis is in doubt.

-p- is the active verb prefix, see Section 4.3.

-ṭ- is the nominalizing prefix

-ya- is the verb stem 'to come/go'

-t̥- is unknown

-ay̥- is also unknown, but see Section 2.242.

-o? 3sg.

9.2 Free translation

The three going along passed by the house of Skunk. Then the Coyote said, "This old man (Skunk) appears to be a dancer. Come on inside, come on." Then (Skunk) said, "Sit down, my beauties." The (Coyote) said, "Dance so that these handsome men may see you." The (Skunk) said, "All right, but I am an old man. I will try to dance if I can. It is very hot, but I will light the fire and then try to dance." Then he raised his tail to dance, whirling it closer and closer to the men's faces. Then Skunk said, "Come closer. Come closer and closer." Then (Raven) hit (Skunk) with a hot stone and it entered (Skunk's) anus. He ran around. Then it was said, "The Coyote is a bad man. He has killed a lot of people."

When the children (of Skunk, Little Birds) arrived, (Hawk) said, "Children, why are your legs so thin? They wouldn't fill my hand." Then he tried to feel their feet and they did not fill his hand. Then he said, "No, they don't." He squeezed them tight and threw them into the sweathouse. They flew around into the fire.

Then the Coyote said, "Come here, too. Why not go too? Hurry up and go in." They did not obey. He grabbed them and threw them in the fire, and they were burned by Coyote Bad Man. He wanted to kill a lot of people. That is why Coyote said, "Enter." He didn't

say any more. He was a bad man who wanted to kill people.

Leaving, they heard flute music. They heard the music of Raven's flute. And people cried over and over again, "What is this? It is not human music. Where is the music coming from that we hear?" Then (Raven) disappeared and was not seen again. They wondered where he had gone. They looked for him, but they didn't find where he was.

Then (Hawk) remembered to gather up the bears. He went to find them and gather them up. He made them pass by him. "Open your mouth" he said, pointing. As they went by him one by one he pointed with his arrow and said, "Open your mouth." As they passed he said to Mouse, "Bring another," and he shouted at them to come. Mouse cried, "I am afraid, it's too heavy." Bear climbed up easily. Mouse said, "Careful! This one is strong." Hawk pointed with his arrow again and said, "Open your mouth." The Mouse said, "My tooth hurts. I can not open my mouth."

Hawk pointed again and said, "Then open your mouth only a little bit." Mouse obeyed and opened his mouth only a little bit and said, "Go ahead."

The Hawk used his talons and the Bear went rolling down. Hawk told the Mouse, "Carry him here. You know you can do it." Mouse went and tried to carry the Bear, but he couldn't. He was too tired.

Everyone watches Mouse now and sees that his face is stretched (from dragging Bear). Mouse is ashamed of his face and is afraid of going out in public. He hides his shame in the grass and is not seen by people. He hides from the world.

9.21 Mason's free translation

The three friends Prairie-Falcon, Raven and Coyote passed by the house of Skunk. Then Coyote said, "Let's go in and see this old man, the dancer. Come on in!" He wanted Skunk to kill them. They went inside and Skunk said, "Sit down, my good fellows!" Then said Coyote, "Please dance, so that these gentlemen may see it." Skunk said, "All right; I am getting pretty old, but I'll try to dance. But it's very hot; I'll light the fire and then try to dance!" Then he straightened his tail out, lifted it and began to dance. He whirled around, continually bringing his anus closer to the faces of the friends. "Come closer!" he cried. "Come closer!" For he wished to shoot his poison at them. Then Raven threw a hot stone at him so that it entered his anus. He ran around in pain. Then he cried out, "Yes, this Coyote is a bad man; many has he killed."

Then came the children of Skunk who were little birds. Prairie-Falcon said, "Children, why are your legs so thin? They wouldn't fill my hand!" He seized their legs to feel them and they did not fill his hand. "No," he said, "There is no more." He seized them and threw them into the sweathouse. Blindly they flew around into the fire.

Then said Prairie-Falcon to Coyote, "Come here also!" "Why should I come?" asked Coyote, frightened. "Come on! Hurry up!" But the Coyote did not obey. And Prairie-Falcon seized him and threw him into the fire when he was burnt. A bad man was Coyote; he wished the others to be killed and so said "Enter!" at the house of Skunk. But he said no more; he was a bad man for wanting the others to be killed.

Away they went, Raven playing his magic flute. All the people heard the music of Raven's flute and said, "What is that noise? Surely it is not human music! From where comes this music?" More clearly sounded the music but suddenly it ceased and was not heard anywhere. The people wandered about but could not find him; Raven had been lost. "Why do you think he has lost himself?" they asked. They hunted for him but could not find him; they hunted him everywhere. Then Prairie-Falcon bethought himself of the bears and decided to collect them; he sought them out and gathered them together, for he suspected that one of them had eaten Raven. He made them pass by him one by one. "Open your mouth!" he ordered and pointed with his arrow at them. Then he inspected their mouths. One by one they went and another came. "Open your mouth!" and he pointed again. "Bring another one!" Finally there remained only one; Prairie-Falcon told Mouse to call him to come. But Mouse said, "I am afraid! He is

too strong!" At last Bear came, climbing up and shouting loudly. Then said the Mouse, "Be careful! This one is very strong!" Prairie-Falcon ordered him "Open your mouth!" and pointed his arrow. "My tooth hurts," said Bear. "I can't open my mouth!" Then he pointed his arrow again. "Open it just a little ways!" Bear obeyed and opened his mouth a very little bit. "Go ahead!" he said. Then Prairie-Falcon stuck in his talons and Bear went rolling down, dead. Then said Prairie-Falcon to Mouse, "Carry him away! There he is; you know your strength!" Mouse endeavored to carry Bear away whole, but he could not; he became tired. Therefore his nose is stretched out and he goes through the wood watching who notices it. He is ashamed of his face. When he sees it he is afraid to go where there are many people. Therefore he keeps in the grass and hides for shame. And he is never seen; he went away.

9.22 Background. In Mason (1912) "Ethnology of the Salinan Indians" (p.193-4) he gives an account of the mythology of the Salinans, which was collected by Henry Henshaw in 1884. The following is Henshaw's version of this story.

"'Here's another one, and he has a very powerful weapon, said the Hawk. They went and found Skunk in his hole, but when he heard the noise he came out and turned his tail to them. 'Now is the time,' whispered the Hawk. 'Now be ready,' said the Raven. 'I'm going to try first,' and he threw a stone at the Skunk. The latter turned his tail and fired. Hawk and Raven got their flute and guitar while a crowd of people came up behind. Suddenly the Skunk made a great smoke. 'Look out! Get away before the smoke reaches you!' At last they managed to kill the Skunk and went in search of new victims."

Bibliography

- Applegate, Richard. 1972. Ineseño Chumash Dictionary. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Department of Linguistics, University of California, Berkeley.
- Beeler, Madison S. 1961. Northern Costanoan. *International Journal of American Linguistics*, Vol. 27, pp. 191-197.
- 1964. Ventureño Numerals. William Bright, ed., *Studies in Californian Linguistics*. University of California Publications in Linguistics, Vol. 34. Berkeley and Los Angeles. Pp. 13-18.
- Beeler, Madison S. and Kathryn Klar. n. d. Cruzeño Chumash Dictionary. Unpublished manuscript.
- Breschini, Gary S. and Trudy Haversat. 1980. *La Cueva Pintada: A Technical Report on Documenting the Rock Paintings at National Register Site CA-MNT-256*. Coyote Press. Salinas, California.
- Bright, William O. 1954. Salinan Field Notes. Unpublished manuscript.
- 1956. Glottochronologic Counts of Hokaltecan Material. *Language*, Vol. 32, pp. 42-48.
- 1968. A Luiseño Dictionary. University of California Publications in Linguistics, Vol. 51. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Bright, William O. and Jane Hill. 1967. The Linguistic History of the Cupeño. Dell Hymes and William Bittle, eds., *Studies in Southwestern Ethnolinguistics: Meaning and History in the Languages of the American Southwest*. The Hague: Mouton. Pp. 351-371.
- Bright, William O. and Marcia Bright. 1969. Archaeology and Linguistics in Southern California. Anwar S. Dil, ed., *Variation and Change in Language: Essays by William Bright*. Stanford University Press, 1976. Stanford, California. Pp. 189-206.
- Broadbent, Sylvia M. 1957. Rumsen I: Methods of Reconstitution. *International Journal of American Linguistics*, Vol. 23, pp. 275-280.
- Cabot, Padre Pedro and (Pedro) Dumetz. n. d. A Vocabulary of the (illegible) words from Mission San Antonio taken in the handwriting of (illegible). A. S. Taylor, Nov. 1853. Given to the Boston Athenaeum by Mrs. Schoolcraft, March 6, 1871. \$2B7 C11.
- Callaghan, Catherine A. 1962. Comparative Miwok-Mutsun with Notes on Rumsen. *International Journal of American Linguistics*, Vol. 28, pp. 97-107.
- Campbell, Lyle and Marianne Mithun. 1979. Introduction: North American Indian Historical Linguistics in Current Perspective. Campbell and Mithun, eds., *The Languages of Native America: Historical*

- and Comparative Assessment. University of Texas Press, Austin. Pp. 23-30, 33-34 and 41-43.
- Coulter, Thomas. 1834. Salinan vocabulary. Albert S. Gallatin, ed., Hale's Indians of North-west America, and Vocabularies of North America. Transactions of the American Ethnological Society, Vol. II, 1848, New York. Page 129.
- Crawford, Judith. 1976. Seri and Yuman. Margaret Langdon and Shirley Silver, eds., Hokan Studies: Papers from the First Conference on Hokan Languages (1970). The Hague: Mouton. Pp. 305-324.
- de la Cuesta, Fr. Felipe Arroyo. 1821. Vocabulary of Salinan, transcription by Albert S. Gatschet, National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C. BAE Ms. 850.
- 1833. Lecciones de Yndios. H. H. Bancroft Collection, University of California, Berkeley. Ms. C-C 63b.
- Dixon, R. B. and A. L. Kroeber. 1903. The Native Languages of California. American Anthropologist, Vol. 5, pp. 21-26.
- 1907. Numeral Systems of the Languages of California. American Anthropologist, Vol. 9, pp. 663-690.
- 1913a. New linguistic families in California. American Anthropologist, Vol. 15, pp. 647-655.
- 1913b. Relationship of the Indian Languages of California. Science, Vol. 37, page 225.
- 1919. Linguistic Families of California. University of California Publications in Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. 16, No. 3, pp. 47-118.
- Engelhardt, Fr. Zephyrin. 1929. San Miguel, Arcangel: The Mission by the Highway. Mission Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara. Pp. 15-21.
- 1972. San Antonio de Padua: The Mission in the Sierras. Ballena Press, Ramona, California. Pp. 30-39.
- Galiano, Dionisio Alcalá. 1802. Relacion del Viage por las Goletas Sutil y Mexicana en el año 1792 para reconocer el Estrecho de Fuca. Madrid.
- Gallatin, Albert S. 1848. Hale's Indians of North-west America, and Vocabularies of North America. Transactions of the American Ethnological Society, Vol. II. New York. Page 129.
- Gatschet, Albert S. 1877. Indian Languages of the Pacific States and Territories. Magazine of American History, Vol. I, No. 1, pp. 145-171.
- Gibson, Robert O. 1975. Preliminary Analysis of Ethnohistoric Data on Northern Salinan. Unpublished manuscript.
- 1982. Ethnogeography of the Salinan People:

- A Systems Approach. Unpublished Master's Thesis, California State University, Hayward.
- Greenberg, Joseph H. and Morris Swadesh. 1953. Jicaque as a Hokan Language. *International Journal of American Linguistics*, Vol. 19, pp. 216-222.
- Gursky, Karl-Heinz. 1974. Der Hoka-Sprachstamm. Eine Bestandsaufnahme de Lexicalischen Beweismaterials. *Orbis*, Vol. 23, pp. 170-215.
- Haas, Mary R. 1963. Shasta and Proto-Hokan. *Language*, Vol. 39, pp. 40-59.
- 1964. California Hokan. William Bright, ed., *Studies in Californian Longuistics*. University of California Publications in Linguistics, Vol. 34. Berkeley and Los Angeles. Pp. 73-87.
- Harrington, John Peabody. 1912-1923. Field Notes on Chumash. National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C.
- 1913. Notes on Esselen. Paper presented at the Meeting of the San Francisco Society of the Archaeological Institute at Berkeley, Nov. 1913. Also presented at the Joint Session of the San Francisco Society of the Archaeological Institute of America and the Anthropological Section of the Pacific Division of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, Dec. 2, 1916. Now in the National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C.
- 1917. Note. *American Anthropologist*, Vol. 19, page 154.
- 1922 and 1932-33. Field Notes on Salinan. National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C.
- Heizer, Robert F. (ed.) 1952. California Indian Linguistic Records. *Anthropological Records*, Vol. 15, No. 1. Berkeley.
- Henshaw, Henry H. 1880 and 1884. Vocabulary collected at San Miguel and San Antonio. National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution, Washington D. C. BAE Ms. 3077a and 3077b.
- 1888. Esselen Vocabulary. National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. BAE Ms. 382.
- Hester, Thomas Roy. 1978. Salinan. Robert F. Heizer, ed., *Handbook of North American Indians*, Vol. 8: California. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C. Pp. 500-504.
- Jacobsen, William H., Jr. 1954, 1955 and 1958. Salinan Field Notes. Unpublished manuscript.
- 1958. Washo and Karok: An Approach to Comparative Hokan. *International Journal of American Linguistics*, Vol. 24, pp. 195-212.
- 1979. Hokan Inter-Branch Comparisons. Lyle Campbell and Marianne Mithun, eds., *The Languages of*

- Native America: Historical and Comparative Assessment. University of Texas Press, Austin. Pp. 545-591.
- 1986. Washo Linguistic Prehistory. James Redden, ed., Occasional Papers on Linguistics, No. 13. Southern Illinois University, Carbondale. Pp. 33-58.
- James, Carolyn. 1984. A Field Linguist who Lived his Life for his Subjects. Smithsonian Magazine, April, 1984. Pp. 153-174.
- Klar, Kathryn. 1973a. Northern Chumash. Unpublished manuscript.
- 1973b. Obispeño Chumash Lexicon. Unpublished manuscript.
- 1974. Southern California Areal Linguistics. Special Field Examination, Department of Linguistics, University of California, Berkeley.
- 1980. Northern Chumash Numerals. Kathryn Klar, Margaret Langdon and Shirley Silver, eds., American Indian and Indoeuropean Studies: Papers in Honor of Madison S. Beeler. Werner Winter, ed., Trends in Linguistics, Studies and Monographs 16. The Hague: Mouton. Pp. 113-119.
- Klein, Sheldon. 1959. Comparative Mono-Kawaiisu. International Journal of American Linguistics, Vol. 25, pp. 233-238.
- Kroeber, A. L. 1901. Salinan Field Notes. Unpublished manuscript on file in the Survey of California and Other American Indian Languages, Department of Linguistics, University of California, Berkeley.
- 1904. Languages of the Coast of California South of San Francisco. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 28-50.
- 1910. The Chumash and Costanoan Languages. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. 9, pp. 237-271.
- 1925. The Esselens and Salinans. Handbook of the Indians of California. California Book Company, Ltd. Berkeley. Pp. 546-549.
- 1963. Yokuts Dialect Survey. Anthropological Records, Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 177-252.
- Kroeber, A. L. and George William Grace. 1960. The Sparkman Grammar of Luiseño. University of California Publications in Linguistics, Vol. 16. Berkeley and Los Angeles. Pp. 118-121.
- Kroeber, A. L. and Henry H. Henshaw. 1912. Salinan Family. F. W. Hodge, ed., Handbook of American Indians North of Mexico. Bulletin 30, Part 2, Bureau of American Ethnology, Washington, D. C. Page 415.
- Langdon, Margaret. 1974. Comparative Hokan-Coahuiltecan Studies: A Survey and Appraisal. Janua Linguarum,

- Series Critica 4. The Hague: Mouton
- Lapérouse, Jean Francois Galaup. 1797. Voyage de la Pérouse autour du monde, Vol. II (recorded in 1786). Paris. Pp. 247-292.
- Latham, Robert G. 1856. On the Languages of Northern, Western, and Central America. Transactions of the Philological Society of London of 1856. London. Pp. 57-115.
- Mason, J. Alden. 1910. Salinan File Slips. American Philosophical Society, Philadelphia.
- 1912. The Ethnology of the Salinan Indians. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. 10, No. 4.
- 1918. The Language of the Salinan Indians. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. 14, No. 1.
- McLendon, Sally. 1964. Northern Hokan (B) and (C): A Comparison of Eastern Pomo and Yana. William Bright, ed., Studies in Californian Linguistics, Vol. 34. Berkeley and Los Angeles. Pp. 126-144.
- Merriam, C. Hart. 1902 and 1933. Vocabularies of North American Indians. The Manuscript Division, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C.
- 1902 and 1933. Field Check Lists. The Manuscript Division, Library of Congress, Washington, D.C.
- Miller, Wick R. 1967. Uto-Aztecan Cognate Sets. University of California Publications in Linguistics, Vol. 48. Berkeley and Los Angeles.
- Milliken, Randall. 1982. Personal Name Distributions, Language Boundaries and Inter-language Relationships in Proto-historical Central California. Paper read at the Conference on Far Western Languages and Prehistory, Santa Cruz, California.
- Okrand, Marc. 1974. A Survey of Studies in California Penutian Languages. Special Field Examination, Department of Linguistics, University of California, Berkeley.
- 1980. Rumsen II: An Evaluation of Reconstitution. Kathryn Klar, Margaret Langdon and Shirley Silver, eds., American Indian and Indoeuropean Studies: Papers in Honor of Madison S. Beeler. Werner Winter, ed., Trends in Linguistics, Studies and Monographs 16. The Hague: Mouton. Pp. 169-182.
- Pieras, Rev. Miguel and Buenaventura Sitjar. n.d. Prayer Book from Mission San Antonio. National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D. C. BAE Ms. 1082.
- Pinart, Alphonse. 1878. California Indian Vocabularies. H.H. Bancroft Collection, University of California, Berkeley. Ms. C-C 62:3.

- Powell, John W. 1891. Indian Linguistic Families of America North of Mexico. 7th Annual Report of the Bureau of American Ethnology, Washington, D.C. Pp. 7-142.
- Sapir, Edward. 1917. The Position of Yana in the Hokan Stock. University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. 13, pp. 1-34.
- 1920a. The Hokan and Coahuiltecan Languages. International Journal of American Linguistics, Vol. I. Pp. 280-290.
- 1920b. A Note on the First Person Plural in Chimariko. International Journal of American Linguistics, Vol. I, pp. 291-294.
- 1920c. Review of "The Language of the Salinan Indians" by J. Alden Mason. International Journal of American Linguistics, Vol. I, pp. 305-309.
- 1921. A Supplementary Note on Salinan and Washo. International Journal of American Linguistics, Vol. 2, pp. 68-72.
- 1925. The Hokan Affinity of Subtiaba in Nicaragua. American Anthropologist Vol. 27, pp. 402-435 and 491-527.
- Scouler, John. 1841. Observations on the Indigenous Tribes of the North West Coast of America. Royal Geographic Society Journal, Vol. 11, pp. 215-251. Salinan vocabulary pp. 247-251.
- Shaul, David. 1982a. Esselen Structural Prehistory. Proceedings of the Eighth Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society, Berkeley. Pp. 205-218.
- 1982b. A Phonemic Analysis of Esselen. Proceedings of the 1981 Hokan Workshop and Penutian Languages Conference, ed. by James E. Redden. Occasional Papers in Linguistics No. 10. University of Southern Illinois, Carbondale. Pp. 1-10.
- 1983. Esselen Noun Thematic Suffixes. Proceedings of the 1982 Conference on Far Western American Indian Languages, held at the University of California, Santa Cruz, ed. by James E. Redden. Occasional Papers in Linguistics No. 11. University of Southern Illinois, Carbondale. Pp. 42-48.
- Shaul, David, Katherine Turner and James Collins. 1984. Esselen Linguistic Materials. Kansas Working Papers in Linguistics Vol. 9. University of Kansas, Lawrence. Pp. 127-140.
- Shipley, William F. 1973. California. Current Trends in Linguistics Vol. 10, The Hague: Mouton.

- 1978. Native Languages of California. Robert F. Heizer, ed., Handbook of North American Indians, Vol. 8: California. Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. Pp. 80-82 and 89-90.
- Silver, Shirley. 1964. Shasta and Karok: A Binary Comparison. William Bright, ed., Studies in Californian Linguistics. University of California Publications in Linguistics, Vol. 34. Berkeley and Los Angeles. Pp. 170-181.
- Sitjar, Fr. Buenaventura. 1861. Vocabulario de la Lengua de los Naturales de la misión San Antonio, Alta California. Shea's Library of American Linguistics, Vol. 7. New York
- Swadesh, Morris. 1954. 1,000-word list for Penutian. International Journal of American Linguistics, Vol. 20. Pp. 130 ff.
- Taylor, Alexander S. 1860-1863. California Notes: The Indianology of California. California Farmer, Vols. 13-20. Salinan vocabulary 2-22-60 and Vol. 13, No. 10, 4-27-60. Newspaper preserved H.H. Bancroft Collection, University of California, Berkeley.
- Turner, Katherine. 1977. Spanish loans in Salinan. Unpublished manuscript.
- 1980. The Reconstituted Phonemes of Salinan. Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology, Papers in Linguistics, Vol. 2. Pp. 53-91.
- 1983a. Areal and Genetic Affiliations of the Salinan. Kansas Working Papers in Linguistics, Vol. 8, No. 2. University of Kansas, Lawrence. Pp. 215-246.
- 1983b. Meeting on Central and Southern California Areal Linguistics and Prehistory. Occasional Papers in Linguistics Vol. 11. Southern Illinois University, Carbondale. Pp. 49-54.
- 1984. Report on California Areal Prehistory Conference, Sept. 3-4, 1983, held at the University of California, Berkeley. International Journal of American Linguistics, Vol. 50. Pp. 249-251.
- Turner, Katherine and David Shaul. 1981. J. P. Harrington's Esselen Data and "The Excelen Language". Journal of California and Great Basin Anthropology, Papers in Linguistics, Vol. 3. Pp. 95-124.
- Walsh, Jane MacLaren. 1976. John Peabody Harrington: The Man and his California Indian Fieldnotes, ed. by Lowell John Bean. Anthropological Papers No. 6. Ballena Press. Ramona.
- Whistler, Kenneth W. 1980. An Interim Barbareño Chumash Dictionary. Unpublished manuscript.
- Yates, L.G. and G.H. Gould. 1887. Salinan and Chumashan Vocabularies. National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. BAE Ms.

4239.