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## ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

Characterizing dynamics of DNA binding proteins; p53 and DinB homolog polymerase (Dbh)

by<br>Jenaro<br>Doctor of Philosophy in Pharmaceutical Sciences<br>University of California, Irvine, 2023<br>Associate Professor Melanie Cocco, Chair

Solution state NMR was used to study two DNA-binding proteins. Chapter one covers the different NMR techniques used, including hydrogen exchange, relaxation, chemical shift analysis, and temperature coefficients. In addition, I also implement circular dichroism and protein sequence analysis to supplement the NMR studies. Chapter two covers the p53 project, in which we compare protein dynamics between WT and rescue mutants to understand the mechanism of stabilization. We measured and compared dynamics from NMR hydrogen exchange rates of backbone amides and find that both rescue mutants impose a global stabilizing effect that dampens their motions in the betasandwich compared to WT DBD. We also compared NMR relaxation results to obtain flexibility information in the ps to ns timescale regime. Chapter three covers the dynamics of the $\underline{\operatorname{Din}} \underline{B} \underline{\text { homolog polymerase ( } \mathrm{Dbh} \text { ). This polymerase belongs to the Y-family of }}$ translesion DNA polymerases that can synthesize using a damaged DNA template. Since Dbh comes from the thermophilic archaeon Sulfolobus acidocaldarius, it is capable of functioning in a wide range of temperatures. Here we use NMR and circular dichroism to understand how the structure and dynamics of Dbh are affected by temperature $\left(2-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
magnesium and manganese. HX reveals that both the thumb and finger domains are very dynamic relative to the palm and LF domains. These trends remain true at high temperatures with dynamics increasing as temperatures increase from $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Notably, NMR and CD spectra show that Dbh tertiary structure cold denatures beginning at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and increasing in denaturation as the temperature is lowered to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with little change observed by CD. Chemical shift perturbation analysis in the presence and absence of magnesium and manganese reveals three ion binding sites, even in the absence of DNA.

## INTRODUCTION

We used different nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) methods to understand the dynamics of DNA-binding proteins. Protein dynamics play a critical role in protein stability and functionality. While X-ray crystallography can generate an image of the protein in a static state it doesn't provide a complete explanation of how proteins execute their biological functions. In contrast, several Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) techniques allow us to visualize protein motions which can give us further insight into the function and stability of proteins. NMR uses the magnetic properties of nuclei. Each element on the protein has a unique procession frequency that is dependent on its chemical environment. This includes the immediate bonding between neighbors and their spatial neighbors. The procession property can be measured when exposed to a strong magnetic field and exited with resonance frequency radio waves. Specific probing allows us to excite nuclei of interest, so they emit measurable signals. All my experiments follow the NMR signals of amine groups ( ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ).

I analyzed the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ - Heteronuclear Single-Quantum Coherence $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{HSQC}\right)$ spectra. These spectra have cross peaks for every covalently bonded ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ (amine group). We can measure the amine groups in the backbone of proteins formed between each amino acid, except for prolines. Given that amine groups are formed at each peptide bond, we get atomic resolution information from all areas of the protein. So, whether we are analyzing fast, slow dynamics, or ligand binding the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC NMR spectra allow us to get a highresolution analysis of the entire protein.

NMR can provide dynamics from a wide range of time scales. Proteins do not exist as singular structures but rather they are dynamic and capable of moving to perform their functions. All proteins have fast dynamics, while some use much slower motions for catalysis. Fast (ns-ps) dynamics can be accessed with relaxation NMR. This type of motion informs on internal motion and local flexibility. While slower (ms-s) motion can be accessed via hydrogen exchange experiments (HX). This type of monitions informs us on large domain motions and protein unfolding/folding.

## Chapter 1 Techniques Used to Understand DNA Binding Proteins.

## Chapter 1A: Hydrogen exchange (HX).

We implemented HX experiments to obtain slower dynamics that correspond to the folding and unfolding of proteins. HX takes advantage that amine hydrogens (amides) exchange with hydrogen in solution. We can distinguish between protein hydrogens and solution hydrogens by using different isotopic hydrogens; hydrogen $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and deuterium $\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$. Proteins containing amides with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ are exposed to a solution containing $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. are not static, they are in a dynamic state, sampling different confirmations. While sampling confirmations proteins undergo a breathing motion that allows amides to exchange
(Figure 1.1). Hydrogen exchange occurs at different rates depending on the local structure of the protein. For amides in unstructured regions, i.e., loop regions, and surface-exposed areas exchange occurs at fast rates, while amides in secondary structures have a slower exchange rate. I used the hydrogen exchange rate ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ ) to calculate the protection factor (PF), which is a stability measurement for the local protein structures. PF is a simple ratio between $\mathrm{krc}_{\mathrm{rc}} / \mathrm{k}_{\text {ex. }}$. Where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rc}}$ is the theoretical exchange rate of a backbone amide in random coil[1] and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ex }}$ is the experimental exchange rate calculated from fitting peak intensity for each residue at a different time point to a single exponential. By analyzing PF across the entire protein, we can reveal the parts of the proteins that are stable and dynamic. I used HX NMR to understand the dynamics of WT p53 and to see how it compares with the dynamics of the more stable cancer rescue mutants N235K and N239Y. I also used the same
technique to understand the dynamics of DinB homolog polymerase(Dbh) at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Chapter 1B: NMR Relaxation.

The second NMR technique I used was nuclear spin relaxation which monitors the reorientation of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond vectors to obtain backbone data in the ps-ms timescale [2].

Relaxation parameters R1, R2, and NOE are used to obtain order parameters ( $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ ) by using Lipari-


## Time

Figure 1.1 : Hydrogen exchange experiment concept. Protein with ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ is added to $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ is exchanges for ${ }^{2} \mathrm{D}\left({ }^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ in solution (top schematic). At the start of the experiment amides that are localized in unstructured region exchange immediately. As time progresses exchange occurs for all amides throughout the protein because proteins sample many conformations while in solution. At multiple timepoint ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-15 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{HSQC}$ spectra are collected. We monitor exchange by measuring peak intensity overtime (bottom spectra). We fit the data to exponential to obtain exchange rate (see figure $\mathbf{X}$ ) deduced dynamics of proteinsl

Szabo calculation [3] via ModelFree [4] [5]. Furthermore, I used FastModelFree[6] to iterate on the ModelFree calculations. I analyzed the relaxation data and obtained order parameters to understand the fast dynamics of WT p53 and rescue mutants N235K and N239Y. We also analyzed the relaxation parameters of CytR (Appendix A).

## Chapter 1C: Chemical shift mapping

Aside from dynamics we also used ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra to understand ligand binding and confirm protein mutations. This was done by monitoring peak displacement with chemical shift analysis. Peak perturbation reflects most changes that occur to proteins, whether its ligand binding, mutations, or structures change. By comparing WT vs experiment spectra, we can pinpoint what location in the protein experienced a chemical change. I performed chemical shift analysis to confirm the successful missense mutation in rescue mutants p53 N235K and N239Y. Furthermore, I used chemical shift analysis to confirm the binding of magnesium (Mg2+), and Manganese Mn2+ to specific regions in DBH. As you will see this technique yields precise locations on the protein thereby demonstrating the powerful resolutions obtained with NMR.

## Chapter 1D: Temperature coefficient.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra were also used to calculate the temperature coefficient (TC) of DBH. TC analysis is useful at highlighting the effects of temperature on local and global structural. TC values are the slope calculated from the linear fit of 1 H peak position vs temperature (K). They are great at distinguishing between amides involved in structural hydrogen bonding or amides exposed to the solution. It has been shown that amides not involved in intramolecular hydrogen bonding and amides sensitive to temperature
fluctuation have TC values below $-4.6 \mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$ [7-10]. Furthermore, group averaging across secondary structures and domains can give insight into temperature-dependent structural changes across the protein [11]. We used TC analysis to understand how temperature affects the individual domain of DBH polymerase. Furthermore, we show how PF and the average TC can be used together to highlight the dynamic regions of a protein.

In addition to ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra, I conducted other miscellaneous analyses to supplement my work on p53 and DBH. For p53 I conducted a protein sequence analysis across several species I analyzed circular dichroism (CD) for DBH to complement the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra experiments.
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## Chapter 2 Dynamics of p53 wild type and N235K and N239Y.

p53 is a transcription factor involved in apoptosis, senescence, and DNA repair. When inactivated, cells proliferate unchecked and lead to cancerous tumor growth. Mutations in the TP53 gene can cause inactivation of the p53 protein through a variety of mechanisms. Many of these missense mutations are disruptive due to the propensity of p53 to unfold (reviewed in [1]). The prevalence of mutated p53 in cancer has motivated researchers to find drugs that could restore function to these destabilized mutants $[2,3]$.

Some cancer-causing mutations in p53 can be counteracted by the introduction of additional point mutations known as rescue mutations [4]. N235K and N239Y are rescue mutations capable of restoring function to multiple prevalent p53 cancer mutants [5, 6]. This restorative ability of N 235 K and N 239 Y has motivated efforts aimed at understanding the mechanism of rescue [4, 6-10].

Both rescue mutations maintain or slightly increase the stability compared to that of wild-type p53. The stability of N 235 K is virtually the same as WT with a small increase in $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}$ reported as $0.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ or less [9, 10]. The stability of N 239 Y has been reported to increase more substantially compared to WT with DDG of ranging from $0.9-1.49 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ $[6,8,10]$. The crystal structures for both rescue mutations show that the structures are virtually identical compared to the WT structure [10]. Since the crystal structures do not reveal a structural mechanism for stabilization, we hypothesize that the mode of rescue may be dynamic in nature. The DBD is comprised of 190 residues (102-292) (Figure 2.1). The secondary structure within p53 includes a $\beta$-sandwich with Greek key topology, two helices, and a zinc-binding region. The $\beta$-sandwich consists of two anti-parallel $\beta$-sheets
with four (S1, S3, S8, and S5) and seven (S2, S2', S4, S6, S7, S9, and S10) strands that pack against each other to form a hydrophobic core [1]. Understanding the mechanisms that stabilize the DBD structure could guide future therapeutic interventions for cancers related to p53 instability.


Figure 2.1: Ribbon diagram of p53 DBD. domains and, highlighted in blue, the structure of the p53 DNA-binding domain (DBD) (PDB: $\mathbf{\text { 2XWR }}$ ) is shown. Most cancer mutations occur in the DBD. Loop 2 (L2) and loop 3 (L3) are highlighted in yellow and purple respectively [adapted from (11)].

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations [12] and graphical theoretical analysis of
DBD crystal structures [13] show p53 cancer mutants to be more flexible than WT.
Simulations have also shown that rigidity is restored by rescue mutants [12]. Most recently, an MD simulation performed by Pradhan and coworkers shows that cancer mutant
conformations undergo opening more readily compared to WT and rescue mutants [14]. This 'open' conformation is thought to expose a region of the protein that is prone to aggregation thereby promoting deleterious accumulation of p53 aggregates. It has also been suggested that p53 has evolved to be less stable than the more ancient p63 and p73 proteins as a mechanism of protein level control. Rapid degradation of p53 is believed to be enabled by enhanced rates of folding/unfolding in the cell with buried unsatisfied hydrogen bonds of polar groups playing a role in destabilizing the p53 DBD compared to p63 and p73 [1, 15]. Together these studies predict that dynamics may play a dominant role in p53 stability and consequently, ability to function.

We used solution state NMR spectroscopy to compare protein dynamics between the WT, N235K, and N239Y DBDs. We compared reversible unfolding dynamics by calculating protection factors from backbone amide hydrogen-deuterium exchange rates. In addition, we determined relaxation parameters (R1, R2, NOE) to obtain flexibility information in the ps to ns time scale. Protection factors show that both N235K and N239Y restore function by imposing a global stabilizing effect throughout the DBD. Interestingly, the distribution of amino acids stabilized by each rescue mutation are distinct: N 235 K strongly stabilizes the smaller sheet of the $\beta$-sandwich while N239Y results in extensive stabilization of both sheets. Relaxation data showed both rescue mutants effect dynamics of loop region across the DBD, imposing new flexibility compared to WT. We find that the effects of these suppressor mutations are far-reaching as we see changes that correlate with either more rigid or flexible local structures throughout the DBD.

## Chapter 2A: p53 rescue mutations do not change the overall structure of p53.

Although the crystal structures of WT and rescue mutants are very similar (RMSD $=0.48 \AA$ for N235K/WT and $0.39 \AA$ for N239Y/WT, overlay in, NMR chemical shifts may reveal changes to the local environments when the protein is free in solution. To investigate if these rescue mutations change the average solution structure, we performed a chemical shift analysis of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra. The spectra for the two p53 variants


Figure 2.2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra of wild-type p53 16 minutes after $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exposure. Peak assign to the corresponding amide. WT spectra is in excellent agreement with published p53 data. were well dispersed and our WT spectra were in excellent agreement with the published data WT p53 spectra [16] (Figures 2.2 and 2.3). Peak positions were compared between the published WT assignments and rescue mutant DBDs. We used $3 \mathrm{D}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-15 \mathrm{~N}$ TOCSY and NOESY and spectra to confirm assignments in N 235 K and N 239 Y and we were able to catalog chemical shifts for $84 \%$ and $82 \%$ of residues, respectively. Several peaks in the mutant spectra experience a significant chemical shift because of the residue substitution (Figure 2.3, Appendix B and C). We calculated the change in chemical shift ( $\Delta \delta_{\text {amide }}$ ) of each peak on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra using equation (8) from Williamson 2013 [17] (Figure 2.4). Overall, we found that most peaks remained relatively unchanged in both rescue mutants. N235K
had an average $\Delta \delta_{\text {amide }} \pm$ SD of $0.04 \pm$ 0.09 ppm , and that of N239Y was $0.03 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{ppm}$. The peaks with the largest chemical shift changes are located near the site of mutations, including residue 139, 141, 143, 196, 234-238 for N235K, and 139, 273, 275, 279, 235-241 for N239Y. This is expected due to the change in the local chemical environment caused by the introduction of the rescue mutation side chain. The lack of chemical shift changes further away from the mutation site suggests that N239Y retains the WT structure like what was seen in the crystal structure. In contrast, N235K has two peaks with modest chemical shift changes that are far from the site of mutation. These peaks


Figure 2.3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra of wild-type p53 (blue) overlayed with rescue mutant A.) N235K (orange) and B) N239Y(green). Peaks that experience significant shift are linked with arrows. All shifted peaks localized around mutation location, as expected. List of shifted peaks can be found on Appendix C.
correspond to residue $117\left(\Delta \delta_{\text {amide }}=0.14,19.9 \AA\right)$ and $125\left(\Delta \delta_{\text {amide }}=0.16,11.5 \AA\right)$, which are near to each other in the three-dimensional structure of p53 in the vicinity of L1 and S2.

Thus, N235K may impose some local structural perturbation in the proximity of this region near the DNA binding interface. In conclusion, both rescue mutants remain structurally similar in solution to p53 WT.



Figure 2.4 Confirming that rescue mutants do not change three-dimensional structure of p53. A) Overlay of p53 structures: WT (blue, PDB: 2XWR); N235K (orange, PDB: 4L09); N239Y (green, PDB: 4LOE). Crystal structure of WT and rescue mutants are superimposable suggestion that mechanism of recue is not do to structural differences. Mutation positions 235 and 239 are highlighted in cyan. Only minor changes are seen in side-chain positions near the site of mutation and in L6 in the static structures. B) Change in chemical shift $\Delta \delta$ amide for residues in N235K (top), and N239Y (bottom) compared to WT. The diagram above illustrates secondary structure elements. Red dashed lines indicate site of mutation. Blue lines indicate changes in chemical shifts that were significant in the


Figure 2.5 Hydrogen exchange rate for p53(A) ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-HSQC spectra of p53 wildtype (blue), N235K (orange), and N239Y (green) at different time points after transferred into $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Peaks were integrated at each time point over a period of two weeks and plotted as a function of time. Hydrogen exchange rates ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ ) were calculated from a fit to a single exponential. Representative data: (B) 135Cys hydrogen signal intensities in N239Y (green) and WT (blue) p53 DBD. (C) 204Glu hydrogen signal intensities in N235K (orange) and WT (blue) p53 DBD.

## Chapter 2B: Backbone dynamics of p53 via Hydrogen Exchange.

We assessed protection factors (PFs) by measuring the exchange rates of backbone amides. Since we know that WT, N235K and N239Y crystal structures are virtually superimposable and most chemical shifts are unaffected by these mutations, solvent accessibilities should be very similar. Consequently, differences in the rate of exchange should reflect the influence of the mutation on the local unfolding of that particular amide.

We determined $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ex }}$ by measuring peak volumes as a function of time after transfer into $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and fit the results to calculate the exchange rate (Figure 2.5). PFs were calculated by $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rc}} / \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}$, where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rc}}$ is the exchange rate of a backbone amide in a random coil and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ is the experimental exchange rate calculated from our data. $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rc}}$ was calculated based on equation 2b in Bai, et. al. [18] (Appendix D, E and F)

Obtaining PFs for residue across the entire protein gave us a thorough understanding of the protein dynamics. Our DBD construct is composed of 218 residues (94-312), 18 of which are prolines that do not have corresponding amide hydrogen. Out of the 200 amide signals possible, $\sim 140$ backbone hydrogens exchange with the solvent $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ within the dead time for this experiment ( $\sim 16$ minutes). We were able to obtain hydrogen-deuterium exchange rates for 54 residues of the WT, 48 residues of N 235 K , and 52 residues of N 239 Y . Protected residues are found throughout the secondary structures of the entire DBD in all variants (mapped onto the structure in(Appendix G ). We calculated the average PF for the top 10 protected residues for each protein $\left(\langle\mathrm{PF}\rangle_{\text {top }} 10\right)$. N 235 K had the highest $\langle\mathrm{PF}\rangle_{\text {top }} 10$ of $15.9 \times 10^{6}$, followed by N239Y with a $<\mathrm{PF}>\operatorname{top} 10$ of $9.2 \times 10^{6}$, and lastly the WT with the lowest $<\mathrm{PF}>_{\text {top }} 10$ of $5.6 \times 10^{6}$. Thirty-five amides were more protected in N235K than in the WT, and 45 amides had larger protection in N239Y compared to WT. Both rescue mutants protect
amides that are far from the site of mutations, including residue $156,259,268$, and 270 for both rescue mutants, plus 206 and 259 for N235K. The magnitude of increased PF varied between the two rescue mutants (Appendix H). Each rescue mutant had 18 residues with considerably higher (>2-fold) PF compared to WT. These highly protected amides are found throughout the $\beta$-sheet sandwich ( Figure 2.6). N235K has the most protected amide which belongs to 237 , with a protection factor of $45.9 \times 10^{6}$. Residue 268 was the highest protected amide for both WT and N239Y, with a protection factor of $17.0 \times 10^{6}$, and $23.6 \times 10^{6}$, respectively. In general, we found that the amides in the rescue mutations show a higher PF than the amides in WT, but the distribution is distinct for each rescue mutant.


Figure 2.6 Highly protected amides in rescue mutants. Positions where PF's increase two-fold in N235K and N239Y compared to wild-type p53. A) 18 backbone hydrogens of N235K more resistant to exchange correspond to residues 134, 141,143, 156, 157, 160, $162,163,204,206,216,217,218,237,238,255,256$, and 273 . These residues are highlighted in orange on the structure of the N235K rescue mutation (PDB: 4L09). B) 18-back-bone hydrogens of N239Y more resistant to exchange correspond to residues 127, $132,135,143,158,162,163,206,217,232,234,236,238,253,257,270,273$, and 275 . The residues are highlighted in green on the structure of the N239Y rescue mutation (PDB: 4LOE). Positions of rescue mutations are denoted by cyan spheres. Arrows point out cancer mutation positions known to be rescue by N235K and N239Y, respectively. The pattern of positions with increased protection are different between the two rescue mutants. Notably these mutants rescue different cancer mutations.


Figure 2.7: Exchange Rate Ratios, mutant/WT. Left panels show the structure of the p53 DBD with $\beta$-strands colored to correspond with PF ratios shown in right two panels. Middle and right panels show relative changes in exchange rates as a 3D bar graph representing $\beta$-stands organized by their respective position within the p53 protein. Graphs include the $\beta$-sandwich 'front' side on top A) (S6, S7, S4, S9, S10, S2', S2), and 'back' side on bottom B) (S1, S3, S8, S5). Bars with asterisks (*) have a mutant/WT ratio above 4. For N235K this includes residue 141(S3), 143(S3), 256 (S9) with ratios of $6.5,5.4$, and 4.6 , respectively. For N239Y this includes residue 206 (S6) with a ratio of 30. Larger ratios correlate with a larger increase of PF (slower rate of exchange) in rescue mutants - these positions are stabilized in the mutant compared to WT.

PF ratios reveal regions of increased stability in both rescue mutants compared to WT. Although PFs are usually plotted as a function of sequence number, we found it useful to plot the ratio of PFs (mutant/WT) by secondary structure unit. Figure 2.7 shows a 3D bar graph organized by $\beta$-strand for each $\beta$-sheet of the sandwich. We observe that N235K stability is increased on the side of the $\beta$-sandwich opposite to the mutation but only two positions on the side containing the mutation. This includes increase protection in strands S4, S6, S7 and parts of S9(254-256) and S10(265-268). We note that N235K also showed regions of decreased protection compared to WT including S3(144-146) and S8(232-236).

The same analysis was performed on N239Y; in contrast to N235K, we found that stability is increased on both sides of the $\beta$-sandwich in N239Y. All strands have residues with increased protection. In general, we observe that the rescue mutations impose long-range stability throughout the DBD; however, the patterns are distinct: N235K shows a clear trend of asymmetric stability while N239Y stability is more widely distributed throughout both sheets of the $\beta$-sandwich. Both rescue mutations maintain WT structure and enhanced DNA binding $[6,10]$ but prevent the unfolding of some cancer mutants compared to WT. Interestingly, the cancer mutations rescued are located throughout the DBD, in some cases far from the site of the rescue mutation (Figure 2.6). Here we show that rescue mutations N235K and N239Y dampen motions that lead to hydrogen-deuterium exchange in specific regions within the DBD.

Previous studies on N235K and N239Y indicate that both rescue mutations have farreaching effects on the DBD. The mechanism by which N235K restores stability and function has been explored through molecular simulations [9] and experimental methods [10]. Both studies attribute the increase in stability to newly formed salt bridges created by the lysine substitution. Wallentine and coworkers speculate that this newly formed salt bridge is sufficient to stabilize the entire $\beta$-sandwich [10]. Our data corroborate this speculation of far-reaching stability. We found that 35 amides were more protected in N235K than in the WT; most of which are spread through the $\beta$-sandwich including six residues located 15-23 $\AA \AA$ from the site of rescue mutation. Interestingly, the most protected amides in N235K are located on one side of the $\beta$-sandwich scaffold opposite to the site of mutation (Figure 2.7). In contrast, the regions that are destabilized by the rescue mutation are found on the same
side of the $\beta$-sandwich as the mutation. Thus, N 235 K acts as a fulcrum in increasing rigidity in one sheet but slightly destabilizing the other. The balance of increased protection in one region and decreased in another is consistent with only a very minor increase in thermal stability observed in N235K compared to WT [9, 10]. We found increases in protection factors for N 239 Y were uniformly distributed on both sides of the $\beta$-sandwich (Figure 2.7). This extensive protection indicates that the hydrophobic core is well stabilized and provides a rationale for the increase in thermal stability of $\sim 1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ observed in $\mathrm{N} 239 \mathrm{Y}[6,8,10]$

N 235 K and N 239 Y are both able to rescue cancer mutant V157 even though position 157 is $15 \AA$ away from position 235 , and $24 \AA$ away from position 239 ( 157 is shown in Figure 2.6). V157F is characterized as one of the strongest $\beta$-sandwich missense mutations since it destabilizes the WT DBD by $3.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}[7,9,26]$. It has been proposed that the substitutions of a small (valine) to a large (phenylalanine) hydrophobic residue disrupts hydrophobic packing of the DBD [26]. Our data show that both N235K and N239Y stabilize the distant region including position 157. In N235K amide 157 has a three-fold increase in PF compared to WT. In addition, 157 is found in the region of the protein that shows a clear trend of increased stability, including the surrounding strands 4, 7, and 9 (Figure 2.7). A similar trend is observed in rescue mutant N239Y. The increased rigidity in this region of the DBD counteracts the destabilization caused by V157F, allowing for the restoration of function and stability. This stabilizing effect is also likely to be true for other oncogenic mutations found in the same region. Danziger and coworkers found seven cancer mutants that can be rescued by N235K; these include: C141Y, V157F, R158L, Y205C, Y220C, V272M, and E286K [24]. The effect of rescue mutations on cancer mutants at positions 157, 158 were also confirmed in other studies [10, 25]. Five of the seven rescuable cancer mutants are
found on the $\beta$-sheet of N235K that we find to have increased protection. Position 141 is also one of the cancer mutants rescued by N 235 K and we find it to be one of the most protected residues in N235K, significantly higher than in WT. Our hydrogen exchange results show a strong correlation between positions with increased protection from hydrogen exchange that are predictive of distant cancer mutants rescued.

WT p53 DBD is inherently prone to aggregation and amyloid formation compared to other proteins of the same family [27]. These aggregation tendencies have also been shown to be increased in cancer mutations of p53 [28,29]. Several investigators have attributed this aggregation to transient exposure of sidechains and backbone hydrogen bonds of residues 251-257 in strand 9 (S9) [27, 29, 30]. Our results show that both rescue mutations significantly increase the stability of backbone amide hydrogen bonding in the 251-257 region of S 9 . N235K had an increase in protection for most residues in S 9 compared to WT, except for residues 253 and 257. In N239Y all residues in this region had an increase in protection, with the largest increase in protection observed for residues 253 and 257 . Thus, the pattern of protection in S 9 is unique to each rescue mutation. In combination, these rescue mutations could increase the protection of the entire S9 strand. N235K and N239Y together would impose an additive protective effect that could explain the synergistic stabilization previously reported in the global rescue motifs involving both rescue mutations [ $5,8,10]$.

## Chapter 2C: Fast dynamics of p53 investigated by Relaxation NMR.

NMR relaxation studies were performed to determine if these rescue mutants alter backbone dynamics in a fast timescale. Measurement of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NOE, longitudinal (R1), and transverse (R2) relaxation rates were used to obtain generalized order parameters ( $S^{2}$ ) for WT(Appendix I), N235K (Appendix J), and N239Y (Appendix K). Relaxation rates for some residues could not be obtained due to rapid signal decay (not enough points to fit) or significant signal overlap.

R2/R1 allows us to distinguish between fast (ps-ns) and slow ( $\mu \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{s}$ ) dynamics. Residues with R2/R1 greater than the limit of one standard deviation indicate a region of slow ( $\mu \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{ms}$ ) motions and those less than the limit of one standard deviation are fast (ps-ns) dynamics. Regions with slow dynamics are L6, and S10 for all variants. Additionally, both variants show signs of slow dynamics in the loop between S3-S4(147-155)


Figure 2.8. R2/R1 plot for qualitative analysis of back bone dynamics. Grey rectangles highlight mean values $\pm 1$ SD of all R2/. Colored data points highlight the residues that are outside the mean $\pm 1$ SD. Yellow vertical shaded rectangle highlights regions of slow dynamics (ms-ms). Similarly, blue shaded rectangle highlights regions of fast dynamics (ps-ns). Most trends are similar across all variants except for the loop between S3 and S4 were rescue mutants appear to have slowe dynamics.
(Figure 2.8). In addition, fast dynamics are observed in loop 1 , loop region immediately presiding S8, and, as expected, in the C-terminus. WT and rescue mutants share fast dynamic timescales in similar locations [19].

Order parameters allow for a more quantitative comparison of flexibility. Modelfree uses heteronuclear NOE, R1, R2 measurements and the protein structure to determine the backbone flexibility $[20,21]$. Using the quadric_diffusion program [22] we found that the best fitted diffusion tensor model for all variants was an axial symmetry model. WT, N235K, and N239Y have an axially symmetric tensor $\left(\mathrm{D}_{\| / \perp}\right)$ of $0.29,0.52$, and 0.40 respectively. The average S 2 values were 0.88 for $\mathrm{WT}, \quad 0.85$ for N 235 K , and 0.87 for N 239 Y with correlation times ( $\tau_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) of 14.7 ns for $\mathrm{Wt}, 14.1 \mathrm{~ns}$ for


Figure 2.9: Quantitative characterization of fast dynamics. Generalized order parameter ( $\mathbf{S}^{2}$ ) A) WT, B)N235K, and C) N239Y obtained via Modelfree analysis (21-23) of relaxation rates R1, R2, and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ NOEs at 800 MHz . Grey vertical rectangles highlight regions of flexibility. All variant show dynamics in the L6. N235K, and WT show dynamics in the second part of L2. Bothe rescue mutants are more dynamics S2'S3 and in the loop region S9-S10.

N235K, and 13.3 ns for N239Y. A plot of calculated $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ shows that the most flexible parts are in loop regions (Figure 2.9). Flexibility in L6 is conserved between all variants; however, the loop between S2'-S3 and S9-S10 shows distinct flexibility in the rescue mutants.

Both rescue mutants show increased flexibility in regions not observed in WT. S ${ }^{2}$ values indicate that both rescue mutants have increased flexibility in S2'-S3 loop (residue 136-140) and in the S9-S10 loop (259-263) (Figure 2.9). These rescue mutants are near the S2'-S3 loop in the tertiary structure of the DBD. This is highlighted by the increase in chemical shift changes within the S2'-S3 loop induced by both rescue mutants (Figure 2.4). The proximity of this loop to the rescue mutants provides a likely explanation for the change in flexibility. Interestingly, the $S^{2}$ plots show that S2'-S3 loop of N239Y is more flexible than in the WT(Figure 2.9); however as discussed above, we found slower rates of hydrogen exchange for the same region. From both results, we can conclude that this part of the DNA binding interface is resistant to unfolding but the loop has increased motions in the fast timescale in N239Y. In contrast, the S9-S10 loop is far from the sites of mutation ( $>24.6 \AA$ ). Chemical shift analysis does not indicate any changes to the local structure within the S9S10 loop (Figure 2.4). The increased flexibility seen in $S^{2}$ values in this distant location serves as evidence of the far-reaching effects that both N235K and N239Y impose on the DBD.

## Chapter 2D: Understanding the relevance of rescue mutants in nature.

To understand the prevalence of these mutations in nature we performed a comparative sequence analysis of p53 sequences in the UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot database.

Using the human p53 DBD sequence to search against, we found 3117 sequences in various
species. By selecting down to $50 \%$ identity, 2670 sequences remained. Asparagine present in the human sequence is also the predominant amino acid in positions 235 (95.92\%) and 239 (99.96\%). Only one variant at position 239 was found: 239 H substitution occurs in Chanos chanos (milkfish). In contrast, position 235 can tolerate a range of substitutions including A, K, R, S, and T. Several species of fish have a conserved serine (S) at 235 (Figure
2.10). Eleven species contained a $K$ or $R$ in their respective 235 position; most of these were mouse or rat species.


Figure 2.10. Comparative sequence analysis of p53 across selected species. Protein sequence alignment in the region around 235/239 of the human p53 DBD is shown. Both rat and mouse contain a lysine (K) in their respective position 235 . Other species also show variations at position 235; Lys, Arg, Ser, Thr, and Ala are found at 235 in various species. All species have a conserved asparagine (N) in position 239.

The p53 sequences of both mouse and humans have been studied and compared.
They are very similar in sequence ( $\sim 96.9 \%$ similarity) and structures (RSMD $<0.6 \AA^{2}$ ).
Khoo and coworkers measured and compared the stability of p53 DBD across a wide variety of species and concluded that p53 evolved to be less stable in humans $(48,49)$. The fact that tyrosine is never found as a substitute at position 239 in any species may be related to the requirement that p53 be degraded easily under certain cellular conditions. N 239 Y is strongly stabilizing and would limit the ability for cells to regulate p53 function.

The substitution of arginine to lysine at position 235 may have played a critical role in the evolution of p53 from a more stable form in some species to less stable in humans. Our sequence analysis revealed that both mice and rats contain a lysine in the 235 position. Consequently, the stabilizing groups within the p53 fold are distributed differently in these animals compared to human p53. Since 235 K is known to be more resistant to cancer mutations, animal studies of cancer using mice or rats should include the humanized p53 sequence.

Ten other positions are found to be conserved between rats and mice but different in humans. These include positions 110, 123, 133, 165, 185, 202, 210, 229 268, and 289. Out of these ten positions, three have been discovered to have stabilizing effects. These include L133, K235, D268. (4, 5, 34, 36). Interestingly, the N268D rescue mutation was discovered through sequence comparisons across species (5,50). Given that p53s in other species are more stable, sequence comparisons could guide the discovery of future rescue mutations.

In summary, we found that both N 235 K and N 239 Y have far reaching effects on the dynamics of the DBD. These rescue mutants increase the overall folding stability of the DBD as measured by hydrogen exchange, while at the same time modifying dynamics at specific loop regions. N 235 K has an asymmetric stabilizing effect on the b-sandwich with one sheet stabilized while imposing enhanced flexibility in the S2'-S3 and S9-10 loops. N239Y has a stabilizing effect on both sheets of the b-sandwich, reduces flexibility on L2, while imposing the same flexibility in S2'-S3 and S9-10 loops. The change in dynamics imposed by these rescue mutants should play a critical role in their ability to rescue cancer mutants. Therapeutic interventions can be guided by pursuing drugs that can recapitulate the
dynamics of these rescue mutants. Stabilization of residues by slowing the rate of hydrogen exchange may serve as a metric in the development of anticancer drugs to block p53 unfolding and aggregation.

## Material and methods:

## NMR data collection and sample preparation.

WT and mutant p53 constructs were provided by Rainer Brachman. ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled p53 DBDs were prepared similarly to published methods [16]. The DBD core domain of human p53 (94-312) and rescue mutants were transformed into BL21 E. Coli cells. Bacteria were grown at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-enriched Neidharts minimal media to a density of $0.8-1.0 \mathrm{OD}_{(550 \mathrm{~nm})}$. The temperature was lowered to $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and both IPTG and ZnCl 2 were added to a final concentration of 1 mM . Cells were allowed to grow for an additional 6-8 hours and then harvested by centrifugation and frozen. The frozen cell pellet was resuspended in 20 mM sodium phosphate, $\mathrm{pH} 7.2,10 \mathrm{mM}$ BME, 0.5 mM PMSF, and lysed using sonication. Cell debris were removed by centrifugation at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The supernatant was applied to a SP-sepharose column and the protein eluted with a gradient of $100 \mathrm{mM}-600 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$. In some cases, additional purification using a Superdex-75 gel filtration column was performed. Samples were dialyzed into 150 mM potassium chloride, 25 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.1 , 10 mM BME and concentrated to a protein concentration of $300-400 \mu \mathrm{M} .0 .5 \mathrm{mM}$ DSS was added as a chemical shift reference.

All NMR experiments were performed on Varian Inova 800 MHz at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. NMR data were processed using nmrPipe [36]. Residues assignments and rate measurements (using peak volumes) in all 2D HSQC spectra were accomplished using CcpNmr Analysis [37].
$3 D^{15} \mathrm{~N}-$ TOCSY-HSQC ( $\mathrm{t}_{\text {mix }}=75 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) and NOESY-HSQC ( $\mathrm{t}_{\text {mix }}=100 \mathrm{~ms}$ ) [38] were analyzed for assignment of backbone amide resonances. Published WT assignments [16] were confirmed in our WT sample and these were compared to spectra of N235K and N239Y.

Hydrogen-deuterium exchange experiments were performed with 550 ml samples that were lyophilized and fully suspended into an equivalent volume $99.9 \% \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ immediately before data collection ( $\sim 16 \mathrm{~min}$ after initiation of exchange). Since our intent is to compare exchange rates for the most stable positions between WT and two mutants, we chose lyophilization as the technique to transfer protein into $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to ensure that all samples would have the same final conditions (e.g., [HDO]). Two-dimensional ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ heteronuclear single quantum coherence (HSQC) NMR spectrum were recorded for each sample every 70 minutes for 24 hours, and then every 180 minutes for 48 hours at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. HSQC spectra were collected over a period of one month. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectra were also collected for $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ calibration using the DSS signal. Sealed samples were stored in a water bath at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ when not in the magnet. Fresh protein samples had an absorbance at 400 nm of 0.05 or less. During the exchange experiment, samples were assessed for aggregation by light scattering and were no longer used if the absorption at 400 nm exceeded 0.1.

## Calculation of chemical shift changes,

$\Delta \delta_{\text {amide }}$ was calculated using the changes in chemical shift of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ according to the following equation from Williamson 2013 [17]:

$$
\Delta \delta_{\text {amide }}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left[\delta_{H}^{2}+\left(\alpha \cdot \delta_{N}^{2}\right)\right]}
$$

Where $\delta_{H}$ is the change in chemical shift of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and $\delta_{N}$ is the change in chemical shift of ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ between WT and rescue mutant. $\alpha$ is the nitrogen scaling factor of 0.14 . Residues were considered to have a significant change in chemical shift if they had a $\Delta \delta_{\text {amide }}>0.075$ (blue dashed line in Figure 2.4). Value 0.075 was calculated by using $\delta_{H}=0.05$ and $\delta_{N}=0.25$, consistent with a previous analysis of p53 mutants [16].

## Relaxation analysis.

${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ longitudinal T1, transverse T2 relaxation and NOEs were measured using pulse sequences of Farrow, et al [39]. Spectra were recorded with relaxation delays of 10, 50, 100, $200,400,750,1000,1500 \mathrm{~ms}$ for T 1 and $10,30,50,70,90,110,130,150 \mathrm{~ms}$ for $\mathrm{T} 2 . \mathrm{T} 1$ and T 2 times were scrambled during acquisition and 10 ms time spectra were recorded at the beginning and end of both T1 and T2 experiments to confirm S/N had not changed. NOE spectra were recorded with a 5 -second irradiation and a 3 -second delay. R1 and R2 rates were obtained by measuring peak volume as a function of delay time and fitting them to a single exponential function with CcpNmr software [37]. Errors in relaxation rates were obtained through the covariance method in CcpNmr.

NMR S² were calculated by using Modelfree 4.15 [20, 21] in combination with FASTModelfree [40]. Initial estimation of Modelfree parameters was obtained through the programs pdbinertia, r2r1_tm, quadric_diffusion provided on the CoMD/NMR website [41]. Residues with NOE and C ${ }^{2}$ values less than 0.6 and 10, respectively, were excluded from quadric_diffusion calculation. We found no significant difference for $S^{2}$ results whether Modelfree analysis is performed with crystal or NMR structure files (Appendix N). Our final analysis used the Protein Data Bank (PDB) file 2FEI for all p53 variants. All FASTModelfree analyses used an NH bond length of $1.015 \AA$ and chemical shift entropy (CSA) value of -179
ppm [42] to allow us to compare to published analysis of WT p53 DBD measured at 600 MHz [see figure in 3 [43]]. FASTModelfree analysis proceeded until all parameters converged.

Sequence analysis.
The Uniprot database [44] was utilized to select and align p53 sequences from different species. Calculations of sequence identities/similarities were accomplished through the ExPASy alignment program [45].

Protein structure analysis.
UCSF Chimera [46] was used for protein visualization and analysis. Residue distances were calculated by measuring the distance between alpha carbons. Surface area accessibility was calculated with the areaSAS attribute tool from Chimera using amide hydrogens selected from NMR p53 DBD structure 2FEI.
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## Chapter 3: Dynamics of the Bypass Polymerase, DinB homolog (Dbh).

DinB homolog polymerase (Dbh) is produced by the thermophilic Archaea Sulfolobus acidocaldarius (S. acidocaldarius), therefore it is important to understand the effects of temperatures on Dbh. S. acidocaldarius grows optimally at temperatures close to $75-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and pH values between 2-4 [1] [2]. To adapt to extremely acidic environments, extremophiles possess efficient mechanisms to maintain homeostasis, such as proton pumps [3]. However, microorganisms do not have the ability to regulate cell temperature. Consequently, microorganisms require proteins adapted to function in extremely high temperatures. This thermal stability is prevalent in Dbh which has been shown to remain structurally and functionally sound up to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [4].

The function and efficiency of Dbh are known to be modulated by changes in temperature. This was clearly revealed in a primer extension study that showed that Dbh efficiency increases as temperature increased from $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [4]. Furthermore, in vivo studies conducted under physiological temperatures $\left(70-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for $S$. acidocaldarius Dbh reveal that the enzyme imposes a three base-pair frameshift on triple repeats of SacpyrE and SsopyrE which has never been observed in in vitro studies [5] which are not frequently performed at physiological conditions. Since the activity of Dbh is largely dependent on temperature changes we set out to understand how temperature influences Dbh dynamics, tertiary, and secondary structures. In addition, we explored how metal ions bind Dbh in solution and in the absence of DNA.

Dbh is a Y-family polymerase. The Y-family polymerase can synthesize across damaged DNA templates but with high error rates limited by fast off-rates[6]. Compared to
other families of polymerase Y-family polymerase replicates undamaged DNA with an error rate 10 - 100 fold higher [7] [8] [9] [10]. Despite being phylogenetically unrelated to other families of polymerase their structure can be likened to a right-hand conformation found in most polymerase, with the additions of a unique N -terminus refer to as the wrist or other various names (discussed below)
(Figure 3.1). Polymerases are complex molecular machinery highly dependent on dynamics to perform their functions that include binding substrates, synthesis, and translocation. Although the three-dimensional structure has been studied by several groups, the dynamics of Dbh have not been thoroughly explored.

The fact that Dbh crystallizes readily has facilitated the study of several static structures [11] [12] [13]and biochemical characterizations [14] [13] [15]. The first structures of Dbh were reported by Bo-Lu Zhou et al. [11], and Laura F. Silvian et al.[12]. Initially, these structures were reported to derive from Sulfolobus solfataricus (now Saccharolobus solfataricus [16]), however, through genomic sequencing it was discovered that they belong to S. acidocaldarius [14] [17] [18]. To prevent confusion the Dbh protein sequence studied here is identical to UniProt accessions P96022 and Q4JB80 [19]. With the distinction that this protein sequence contains a cystine to serine mutation on residue 31 to facilitate protein study at high concentrations without the risk of dimerization. The entirety
of this work used the mutated form of Dbh. The crystal structures revealed that Dbh is composed of a catalytic core that includes the finger domain, palm domain, and thumb domain (Figure 3.1). In addition, the core structure is connected to the little finger domain (LF) by an unstructured linker. This domain has been referred to by many names. It has been described as the LF in archaeal and bacterial [20] and the wrist domain[12], or Polymerase-associated domain (PDA) in eukaryotic Y-family polymerase [21]. For the remainder of this chapter this domain will be refer to as LF.

At this time, seven crystal structures of Dbh exist with only one study showing Dbh interacting with a metal ion (calcium) bound to the active site [13]. However, multiple related Y-family polymerases have been solved with two magnesium $\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right)$ in the active site [22] [6]. Notably these ions are always present when the structures are solved with DNA. As far as we know it has never been shown that Dbh binds multiple ions in the absence of DNA. Moreover, despite all the detailed structure data available on Dbh we still lack a good understanding of the dynamics of Dbh.

Most of the information on Y-family polymerase dynamics has originated from a related polymerase known as Dpo4, which has been studied to a greater extent. Dpo4 has over 90 crystal structures, and multiple MD simulations [23, 24] [25] [26] compared to Dbh with only seven crystal structures and no simulations. Dpo4 has been studied in multiple states which has allowed researchers to implement MD simulation to understand the dynamics of Dpo4. MD simulations have shown that Dpo4 remains conformational dynamics even after binding DNA [25]. Some of these dynamics may translate to Dbh but considering that Dbh and Dpo4 are only 54 \% identical [14] it may be that Dbh has unique
dynamic features consistent with the rate of reaction where Dbh is slower $\left(k_{p}=0.64 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right.$ at $\left.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ [27] than $\operatorname{Dpo4(} k_{p}=6.4 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $\left.26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ [28], and consistent with fidelity where Dbh has higher fidelity than Dpo4 [14]. Furthermore, these MD simulation studies conducted with Dpo4 in complex with DNA do not address the issue of temperature. Changes in temperature can have a large effect on the dynamics and function of Dbh. For example, it has been shown that changes in temperature affect the rate of opening and closing of other thermally stable polymerases such as Thermus aquaticus DNA polymerase [29]. In addition, we know that Dbh function is enhanced by increasing the temperature [4]. To truly understand Dbh dynamics we must first study it alone, in solution, and at a wide range of temperatures $\left(2-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

To understand how Dbh dynamics are affected by temperature we implement solution-state NMR spectroscopy, circular dichroism (CD), at a range of temperatures $\left(2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). In addition, we performed, chemical shift changes of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC of DBH in the presence and absence of magnesium ( $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ) and manganese $\left(\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}\right)$. To our knowledge, this is the first study to report Dbh directly interacting with $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$.

## Chapter 3A: Understanding how temperature affects DBH structure.

To determine if Dbh maintains its tertiary structure between 35 and $65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ we obtained ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-15 \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All spectra remained well dispersed and relatively similar across this range of temperatures (Figure 3.2). We did observe the normal phenomena of temperature dependent chemical shift changes as temperature increase [30]. We used this temperature dependent chemical shift to calculate TC (discussed later) to determine unfolding sensitivity to temperature changes. The
retention of well dispersed spectra at temperatures ranging between $35-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ informs us that Dbh retains a well folded tertiary structure throughout this range of temperatures.


Figure 3.2 Dbh is structurally stable at $\mathbf{3 5 - 6 5}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC of Dbh at $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (orange), $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (blue), $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (red), $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (green). Spectra do not exhibit drastic changes at this range of temperatures. The constant well dispersed spectra tell us that Dbh remains structurally similar across these temperatures. The shifting of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ peaks (X-axis) are normally shifted with temperature. We analyze the extent of the shift to obtain Temperature Coefficient.

We find that Dbh undergoes cold denaturation of tertiary structure below $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cold denaturation is a phenomenon that all proteins experience, however, it is extremely rare for it to occur above the freezing point of water $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)[31]$. We obtained ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC at cold temperatures $5-25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{-15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra show a change of tertiary structures starting at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and progressing as temperatures get colder ( $15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) (Figure 3.3). To determine if this is a complete loss of structure, we assessed CD spectra. CD is sensitive to


Figure 3.3 Dbh changes tertiary structure at cold temperatures. NMR ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{HSQC}$ spectra of Dbh at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dispersed peaks of the structured protein shift to the region where random coil signals resonate ( $8-9{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{ppm}$ ) at cold temperatures indicating a loss of tertiary structure.
changes in the secondary structures of protein, it can discriminate between a-helical, bsheet, and random coil. Using CD , we examined Dbh at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. CD revealed that the secondary structure of Dbh is consistent over this temperature range, similar to what has been reported for Dpo4[32] (Figure 3.4A). We deconvoluted the secondary structure content using Beta Structure Selection (BeStSeL) [33] and find a slight decrease in percent helicity and an increase in percent beta strand as temperature decreased (Figure 3.4B). Comparison of NMR and CD spectra show contrasting results at
A.

B.


Figure 3.4: Circular Dichroism spectra of Dbh polymerase. DBH retains its secondary structures at temperatures ranging from $2-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (A) CD scans (185-260 nm ) of DBH at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (orange), $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (grey), $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (green), $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (blue). The increase in signal in the region of $190-200 \mathrm{~nm}$ could indicate an increase in helical structure with increasing temperature. However, after a (B) detailed secondary structure analysis via Beta Structure Selection (BeStSeL)[33] we find that there is a slight decrease in percent helicity and an increase in percent beta strand as temperature decrease.
cold temperatures: Dbh losses its tertiary structure in NMR ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ HSQC spectra below 25
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but the protein maintains secondary structures down to $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 3.4). Together these data show that Dbh retains tertiary structure between $35-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and loses tertiary structures below $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while retaining secondary structure content down to $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This corresponds well with the fact the DBH crystals form best at room temperature in contrast to other proteins which crystallize well in the cold $[12,13,34]$. In addition, the loss of
tertiary structure at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ correlates well with the loss of catalytic efficiency observed at 22 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a primer extension study [4].

## Chapter 3B: Dbh dynamics via HX at 35 and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Dbh dynamics were probed at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by measuring amide hydrogen exchange and calculating protection factors at each temperature (Figure 3.5). As previously mentioned in the p53 section, protection factors allow us to determine what regions/domains of the protein are resistant to exchange, defining regions of rigidity. Amide hydrogens that are readily exposed to solvent will exchange at a higher rate compared to hydrogens that are sequestered away from solvent (i.e., buried in a hydrophobic core) or involved in a secondary structure. There are two mechanisms that could affect rates of amide exchange: changes in local unfolding kinetics or a structural change whereby an amide becomes more or less occluded from solvent. For proteins where the structure does not change significantly, differences in the rates


Figure 3.5: First HX spectra of Dbh at 35 and 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for Dbh. Spectra are from the first time point after initiation of exchange with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for DbH at (A) $35 \mathrm{C}\left(16\right.$ minutes) and (B) $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 15 minutes). Extreme fast exchange occurs for a lot amides at 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ signifying fast dynamics at this temperature.
of amide hydrogen exchange can reflect the influence of the temperature on the local unfolding of that amide.

Like in the p53 project we determined $k_{e x}$ by measuring peak volumes as a function of time after transfer into D2O and fit the results to calculate the exchange rate (Figure 2.4, Appendix 0). PFs were calculated by $k_{r c} / k_{e x}$, where $k_{r c}$ is the exchange rate of a backbone amide in a random coil and $k_{e x}$ is the experimental exchange rate calculated from our data. $k_{r c}$ was calculated based on equation 2b in Bai, et. al. [35]. Obtaining PFs from multiple amides in each domain gives us a thorough understanding of the dynamics throughout the Dbh structure. Our Dbh construct is composed of 353 residues, 15 of which are prolines that do not have corresponding amide hydrogen. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ we were able to obtain exchange data on 82 residues. 18 residues exchanged too fast to obtain sufficient points to calculate an exchange rate, and 26 remained stable throughout the experiment (Appendix $\mathbf{P}$ ). In contrast, at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ we obtained exchanged information on 43 residues. Seven of these exchanged too fast to obtain sufficient data to reliably fit exchange rates and 21 remained stable throughout the experiment (Appendix P).

As previously mentioned Dbh is produced in extremely hot environments $\left(\sim 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ therefore the dynamics we observed at temperatures close to Dbh's natural environment should reflect the natural state of the Dbh polymerase. In general, our protection factor data show that Dbh protein is considerably more dynamic at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. We mapped PFs at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on the crystal structure of Dbh (Figure 3.6) and a clear pattern of dynamics and stability emerged. PFs show that the palm domain and the little finger domain are the most stable parts of DBH at both temperatures (Figure 3.6). In
contrast, the dynamics of the thumb and finger domain are significantly different between this $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ we observe nine residues protected in the finger domain and six residues protected in the thumb domain. All this protection is lost at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ indicating that these domains become significantly more dynamic as the temperature is increased toward the physiological temperature of S. acidocaldarius.


Figure 3.6: Protection factors mapped onto Dbh x-ray structures (PDB 1K1S). (A) Dbh PFs at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (B) Dbh PFs at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A few residues were stable throughout the HX experiment. Amides that remained stable through-out the hydrogen exchange experiment where colored in red. In contrast, amides that exchanges too fast to measure exchange rate are colored in yellow.

The fingers domain is one of the most dynamic domains in Dbh , composed of
residues 20-77 (Figure 3.1). As previously discussed, the protection factor data shows this domain is more dynamic at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ this domain contained nine observable residues at the start of the HX experiment. Signals from two residues $(20,68)$
are present immediately after transfer to $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ but exchange too rapidly to determine exchange rates/PF. The remaining seven residues $(19,28,30,3350,55,74)$ are stable enough to obtain an exchange rate and protection factors (Figure 3.6, Appendix P). Two residues $(50,74)$ have relatively high PFs , indicating resistance to local unfolding at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Both residues are involved in secondary structure hydrogen binding. Solvent accessibility surface area (SASA) calculations showed these amides are not exposed to the solution. These residues are found 12.88 Å away from each other, indicating the domain's far reaching stability at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. On the other hand, at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ all residues in the finger domain exchange too fast to measure. These two HX experiments reveal that the finger domain of Dbh is significantly more dynamic at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The thumb domain is the most flexible domain in Dbh. It is composed of residues 172-236 (Figure 3.1). Compared to the other domains the thumb domain seems to be most dynamic regardless of temperature. This is deduced from the lack of protected amides (Figure 3.6). Nevertheless, like the finger domain the thumb domain shows the same trend with lower PFs at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the thumb domain contains 6 observable residues $(175,178,184,194,201,206)$ at the start of the HX experiments. Four residues $(175,178,184,206)$ exchanged too fast to calculate exchange rates. The remaining two residues $(194,201)$ have high protection factors at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Residue 194 is involved in a helical section of the protein and residue 201 is in an unstructured part of Dbh between two helices. Both residues 194 and 201 have no exposure to solvent (SASA $=0 \AA$ ). At $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ there are no protected residues in the Dbh thumb domain. In addition, TC data show that residue 176 is sensitive to temperature increase (TC value $=-6.61$ ). Although residue 176 is involved in an intra-molecular hydrogen bond within a helix, its TC value corresponds to an
amide that hydrogen bonds with water ( $<-4.6 \mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$, as discussed below). Together the protection factor data and TC calculation show that the thumb domain is a relatively flexible domain in the protein and that this feature increases with temperature.

The palm domain is the most stable domain in DBH (Figure 3.6). The palm domain is composed of residues $1-19,78-171$. This is a critical domain because it holds the active site for nucleotide extension. At $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ we observe protection for residues in the beta-sheet and two alpha helices that compose the palm domain (Figure 3.6). We observe a minor decrease in protection at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Much of the decrease in protection is localized near the major helices in the palm domain, which includes helix E and F and strand 6. In this region of the palm domain, we observe seven residues that lose complete protection $(84,85,88$, $91,136-138)$ and five residues lose over $70 \%$ of their protection $(89,92,106,108,124$, 135) In additional, TC data also shows this region in the palm domain is sensitivity to increase temperatures (discussed later).

Like the palm domain, the LF domain is the second most stable part of Dbh. The LF domain is composed of residues 237-344 (Figure 3.1). Like the other domains, the LF domain becomes slightly more dynamic at 50 C than at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. There were seven residues that exchanged too fast to measure the exchange rate at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. These same signals were nonexistent at the beginning of the $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ experiment. Additionally, three amides remained completely stable throughout the experiment at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ but did not have measurable exchange rates at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Furthermore, we observed 12 amides that experience a decrease in protection factor when going from $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Four of them lost all protection $(262,271$, $280,296)$ and seven lost more than $40 \%(266,285,291,304,318,320,322)$. It is worth
noting that we do observe 2 residues that remained stable throughout the experiment at 35 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(287,290)$. The only region that showed a uniform decrease in protection was helix $N(258-276)$ and helix $O$ (307-324) of the LF domain. All other changes in protection factors were evenly distributed throughout the LF domain (Figure 3.6).

HX reveals that Dbh is much more dynamic at 50 than $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dynamics increase for all domains with the palm domain being the least affected. Considering that Dbh is more efficient at higher temperatures we can conclude that dynamics play a crucial role in the efficiency of Dbh.

## Chapter 3C: Confirming Dynamics with Temperature Coefficients

TC values for proteins have been shown to be sensitive to temperature dependent global and local unfolding [30, 36][37]. Historically TC data for protein is analyzed at the individual amide level. Amides not involved in intramolecular hydrogen bonding and amides sensitive to temperature fluctuation have TC values below -4.6 ppb/K [36][38][39]. When we implement this form of analysis, we obtained TC value that agreed with the crystal structure but provided limited dynamic information. Residues with TC values below $-4.6 \mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$ belong to amides that aren't involved in hydrogen bonding and TC values more positive than $-4.6 \mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$ belonged to hydrogen bonding amides in secondary structure (Figure 3.7, Appendix P). Helix E (residue 77-94) of the palm domain with TC values more negative than $-4.6 \mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$. This included residues 82,86 , and 89 all of which are involved in hydrogen bonding and have no solvent exposure base of crystal structure 1K1S. This data indicates that this region of the palm domain is sensitive to increases in temperature. This correlates with our PF data that show that this specific region in the
palm domain becomes slightly more flexible when going from $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 3.6).

Together these two data sets
allow us to conclude that
although the palm domain is the most protected domain at both temperatures helix E (7794), and helix F(121-138) of the palm domain adopt flexibility as temperature increases.

Most recently, analysis of TC data has been performed in group averages of secondary structures [40]. It has been shown that this type of analysis is a rich source of information on temperature dependent
global stability [40]. We
averaged our TC data by
secondary
structures(Appendix Q) and
domain (Table 1). When
averaging by secondary
structure (i.e strand and helix)


Figure 3.7 TC values for DBH. (A) Bar graphs of temperature coefficient values for amides in Dbh. The red line is a threshold ( $-4.6 \mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$ ) for amides hydrogen bonding with water. Amides involved in intramolecular hydrogen bonding with TC values more negative than the threshold are susceptible temperature increase (B) TC values mapped on to the 3D structure of Dbh (PDB: 1K1S). Most residues with values more negative than $-4.6 \mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$ belong to amides that are expose to water. Except for a set of residues found in helix E (77-94) of the palm domain. Helix E has four residues which are involved in intramolecular hydrogen bonding but have TC values more negative than $-4.6 \mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$ threshold. This indicates this region is susceptible to unfolded as temperature increase. This dynamics in Helix E is also reflected in the protection factor data.
only limited structural information is obtained. In contrast, averaging the entire domains shows a clear trend that correlates with our hydrogen exchange PF data. We see the most dynamic domain the thumb domain has the most negative TC average of $-3.28 \mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$, followed by the second most dynamic finger domain with a TC domain average of -3.19 $\mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$. For the more stable domain the TC averages do not correlate with our PF data. TC average for the palm domain was $-2.77 \mathrm{ppb} / \mathrm{K}$ and -2.69 for the LF domain.

Table 3.1: TC averaged across the entire domain. Average excludes amides in loop regions, amides not involved in hydrogen bonds, and amides exposed to solvent. Structural analysis based on the Dbh crystal structure 1K1S. Dynamic regions have a TC average that is more negative compare to rigid regions

| TC average by domain |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Domain | TC average <br> (ppb/K) |
| Finger domain (20-77) | -3.19 |
| Palm domain (1-19, 78-171) | -2.77 |
| Thumb domain (172-236) | -3.28 |
| LF domain (246-344) | -2.69 |

Other groups have correlated TC and HX to obtain structural information on proteins [37][36]. It has been shown that protected amides (slow exchangers) average a less negative TC average compared to lower protected amides (fast exchangers) who have a more negative TC average [37]. Our TC average analysis only included amides in secondary structures (strands and helix). The analysis excluded amides in unstructured regions and amides that did not hydrogen bond and were exposed to water. This was done to avoid artificially decreasing our average TC by including naturally fast-exchanging
amides. Our TC values serve as a confirmation for our protection factor data. Together, these data sets allow us to conclude that the most flexible domains are the thumb and finger domains, in that specific order.

Looking back to 2002 primer extension studies [4] Dbh shows a decrease of primer extension efficiency when decreasing the primer extension temperature from $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and slightly lower loss of efficiency when comparing $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Our work suggests the decrease in efficiency when lowering the temperature from $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ might be attributed to the loss of flexibility we observed in both the NMR PF and TC results at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 3.6). Furthermore, we suggest that the decrease in efficiency seen when comparing $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ might be attributed to the loss of tertiary structure as observed in our HSQC spectra (Figure 3.3). When looking at the two-minute mark of the 2002 primer extension study we see a greater loss ( $\sim 32 \%$ ) in efficiency when going from $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, than when comparing $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\sim 22 \%)$. This tells us that dynamics plays a critical role in the efficiency of Dbh polymerase.

## Chapter 3D: Ion binding Dbh in solution

Our studies show that $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ interacts with Dbh in the absence of DNA. We analyzed HSQC spectra of Dbh in the presence and absence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ( Figure 3.8). When ligands, such as $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, bind proteins they change the local chemical environment, which causes chemical shifts for peaks of residues involved in ligand binding and for residues near the binding site. Therefore, residues with shifted peaks in the $\mathrm{Dbh}-\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ spectra inform us of local $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ binding. We found shifted peaks clustered in three distinct locations: 1 ) at the active site in the palm/finger domains, 2) in the thumb domain, and 3) in the little finger domain
(Figure 3.9). Notably, reported apo crystal structures of Dbh do not show binding of metal ions (PDB: 1IM4 [11], 1K1Q [12], 1K1S [12]). In contrast, Dbh in complex with DNA has been shown to bind one $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ [13]. We find $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ binds Dbh in the active site in solution and in the absence of DNA. The active site of Y-family polymerase consists of the palm domain surrounded by the finger domain and to a lesser extent the thumb domain [22] [13] [6]. We found that 10 of the residues that shifted in the presence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ localized in the active site. Seven of these are found in the palm domain and 3 in the finger domain (Appendix R).


Figure 3.8: Overlay of spectra with apo-Dbh and Dbh with $\mathbf{M g}{ }^{\mathbf{2 +}}$. Overlay of an ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-HSQC of Dbh at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (black) and without $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (red). Shifted peaks are circled in green and the labeled with the residue number and the one letter code of the residue. Shifted peaks in the Dbh- $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ spectra inform on local $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ binding. Chemical shift changes were localized in three regions of the 3D structures (see Figure 8).

Although they are in different domains, all residues are localized near the active site of Yfamily polymerase in the 3D structures (Figure 3.9). One $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ binds in the active site in Dbh/DNA structures [13]. This $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ion is chelated by Asp7, Phe8, and Asp105. Our solution-state NMR data show that $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ interacts with these same residues in Dbh even without DNA bound. Furthermore, these chelating residues are surrounded by residues Asp9, Ile139, Thr140, which also experience chemical shift changes in the presence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$. In addition, our data also show that $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ interacts with three residues that are near the active site but shifted towards the finger domain, this includes Ala13, Glu16, and Thr45. It might be that $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ binds more towards the finger domain when Dbh is in solution and not in the presence of DNA. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ also binds the thumb domain in the absence of DNA. HSQC of DBH in the presence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ shows three peaks shift in the thumb domain (Appendix R). As mentioned earlier other Y-family such as Dpo4 polymerase have been shown to crystalize with two $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions near this active site. This interaction can be attributed to a second $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, which is almost always shown to interact with DNA and the protein. Past work [22] show Dpo4 chelating $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ with residues 181-183 (182-184 Dbh). Our work suggests a slightly different binding site near residues 178, 201, and 204 in the absence of DNA. Two residues in this vicinity are positively charged Arg204 and Lys202. Arg204 shifted in the presence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, but the peak corresponding to Lys 202 is found in the crowed part of the spectra which could not be accurately measured. Both charged residues have potential salt bridge partners, however, one appears to be too far for the average salt bridge distance of 4 $\AA$ (204Arg-205Asp (5.2A) and 202Lys-180Asp (2.7 Å)). If they are indeed forming salt bridges the introduction of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ in this region could interrupt the salt-bridge partners which leads to significant peak shifts caused by the repulsion forces of two like charges.

We found that $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ binds Dbh's LF domain in the absence of DNA. When comparing the spectra with and without $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ we observe that four peaks from the LF domain shift in the presence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$. All four residues are localized within $14 \AA$ Arom each other in the three-dimensional structure. (Figure 3.9, Appendix R). Dpo4 has been shown to bind a $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion in the LF domain near Asp294 (PDB 2XCA) [22]. However, we found the Dbh


Figure 3.9: Residues affected by $\mathbf{M g}^{2+}$ mapped on to $\mathbf{D b h}$ structure. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ induced chemical shift changes map to three distinct locations when Dbh is in solution alone (without DNA and nucleotides). The shifted peaks from the overlayed ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{HSQC}$ of Dbh at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and without $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (Figure 3.7) were mapped on to the 3D structure of Dbh (PDB: 1K1S) shown as a ribbon diagram (top), and surface filled model (bottom). The shifted peaks were localized in three different locations: 1) at the active site in the palm/finger domain, 2 ) in the thumb domain, and 3 ) in the little finger domain.
binding site to be 23.5 Å (a-carbon of 294, 301) away from the reported binding site of Dpo4. This could be attributed to the fact that Dpo4 and Dbh are dissimilarities in the LF domain. Dbh and Dpo4 sequences have the lowest sequence similarity in the LF domain at 42.1\% similarity. Furthermore, a sequence alignment across 34 Y-family homologs showed that two of the shifted residues (Gly299, Lys301), are commonly mutated in other Y-family polymerase [41]. We suspect that the unique identity of the LF domain may create a $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ binding region only found in Dbh that has never been reported in Dbh or Dpo4. Another explanation for the lack of $\mathrm{Mg} 2+$ binding in the LF in other crystal structures can be caused by low occupancy $\mathrm{Mg} 2+$.


Figure 3.10: Overlay of spectra with apo-Dbh and Dbh with $\mathbf{M n}^{2+}$. Mn binds proteins like $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, however, it has paramagnetic properties that increase relaxation time which lead to disappearing of peaks for residues near the binding site. We observed 37 peaks disappeared in the presence of Mn. Most of them localized at near a predicted $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ binding sit. It is important to note that all residues that shifted in the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ spectra also disappeared in the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ spectra except for residue 282Met (Appendix R). Note to be able to see through the green spectra we changed the contour level from level multiplier from 1.1 to 1.4 for the green spectra on CCPNMR Analysis 2.5.

Due to the low electron number of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, it is difficult to visualize using crystallography if it has lower than 30 \% occupancy (43)


Figure 3.11: Residues affected by $\mathbf{M n}^{2+}$ mapped on to $\mathbf{D b h}$ structure. We mapped all the residues that disappeared from the Dbh + Mn HSQC spectra. We find that 36 of 37 residues localized in one of our three predicted $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ binding cites. $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ paramagnetic properties are far more reaching that $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ effect which is why we greater coverage for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ spectra. The only residue that did not localized near the binding site was Gly117. Gly117 is found $23.0 \AA$ to the nearest predicted binding site (Palm/finger domain). Residues that shifted in the presence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (Magenta), and Mn (green). Note the residues that shifted in $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ spectra also disappeared in the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ spectra. These residues were colored in magenta to show the localization.

We confirmed ion binding sites by comparing ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-1 \mathrm{H}$ HQC spectra with and without $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+} . \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ binds proteins similar to $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, however, $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ is paramagnetic, so instead of causing shifting of peaks, it increases relaxation time which leads to the disappearance of
peaks for residues near the binding site (16-25 $\AA$ ) [42]. By overlaying the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and nonmetal spectra we should see the absence of peaks of residues near the metal binding sites (Figure 3.10). The paramagnetic effect of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ is more far-reaching than chemical shift perturbations from $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$; consequently, the number of affected residues is increased in the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ samples compared to $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (Appendix R). We observed the disappearance of 37 residues that correlate well with our predicted $\mathrm{Mg}^{+2}$ binding sites except for one;(Gly117), which did not localize to any of our predicted binding sites (Figure 3.11). 23 residues that disappeared in the presence of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ are near the palm/finger binding site, 3 are found near the LF binding site, and 10 were in the thumb binding site. It is important to note that all residues that shifted in the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ spectra also disappeared in the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ spectra (Appendix R) except for residue Met282. It is possible that Met282 chemical shift changes with $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ are a result of long-range conformational change and this residue may not be involved directly in ion binding. Thus, the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ data serves as a secondary verification of our proposed ion-binding sites.

The $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ data show that there are three ion binding sites on Dbh . There is currently an active debate on whether the DNA synthesis mechanism requires two or three ions for synthesis. The third ion has been suggested to be involved in the active site interacting with the incoming nucleotide. Our experiment did not involve DNA or freefloating nucleotide. However, a third binding site is apparent even without DNA bound.

We have shown how a wide range $\left(2-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of temperature affect the tertiary struture and the dynamics of Dbh. In addition, we- characterized metal binding in solution.

Through NMR and CD spectra we showed that Dbh tertiary structure cold denatures beginning at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and increasing in denaturation as the temperature is lowered to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with little change observed by CD. We used hydrogen exchange protection factors, and temperature coefficient to reveal that both the thumb and finger domains are very dynamic relative to the palm and LF domains. These trends remain true at high temperatures with dynamics increasing as temperatures increase from $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Chemical shift perturbation analysis in the presence and absence of magnesium and manganese reveals three ion binding sites, even in the absence of DNA. In contrast, these bound metals are not apparent in any Dbh crystal structures of the protein without DNA. Two ion binding sites are confirmed to be near the active site, as reported in other Y-family polymerases, and we report a novel ion binding site in the LF domain.

## Material and methods:

Protein expression: The Dbh gene was incorporated into the vector pKKT7-H (a derivative of pKK233, Promega) containing an N-terminal His6 tag (MHHHHHHLVPRGM). Quick-change mutagenesis (Stratagene) was used to change Cys31 to Ser to eliminate the potential formation of disulfide bonds. Transfected E. coli BL21 cells were grown in 1L Neidhart's minimal media [44] at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ containing 1 g 15 N ammonium chloride $(15 \mathrm{~N}$ labeled samples), expression was induced by the addition of 1mM IPTG. Protein was expressed for 5 hours; subsequently, the cells were harvested by centrifugation and frozen at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dbh was purified from cell lysate by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography under native conditions, and then dialyzed into buffer ( 20 mM HEPES, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ EDTA, $50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaN} 3, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then one change of buffer without EDTA (20mM HEPES, 100 mM
$\mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaN} 3, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ). To prepare the NMR samples, Dbh protein was concentrated to at least 0.5 mM and transferred into a $5-\mathrm{mm}$ Shigemi tube. $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added to the sample for a final concentration of $10 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$.

## NMR experiments:

Hydrogen exchange: Samples of $100 \%{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled Dbh ( 0.5 mM or higher concentration) were transferred into deuterated NMR buffer (20mM HEPES, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaN} 3$, pD 7.5), using a P10 desalting column equilibrated with the deuterated buffer. The sample was transferred to a Shigemi NMR tube and immediately placed in an 800 MHz Varian Inova NMR spectrometer, containing an xyz triple resonance probe, equilibrated at 35 or $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After shimming and tuning the magnet, the acquisition of the first $15 \mathrm{~N}-1 \mathrm{H}$ TROSY-HSQC (Pervushin et al., 1997) spectrum was started approximately 15 minutes after insertion of the protein sample into the magnet. Additional ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}-{ }^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra were measured sequentially every 147 minutes and 13 seconds (2.454 hours), except for the last five spectra at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for which additional scans were taken ( 2 x for the 17 th through 20 th spectra, 4 x for the 21 st spectrum) to improve the signal-to-noise ratio. A total of 20 spectra were collected at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Due to the additional length of acquisition in the last five spectra at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the acquisition of the $18^{\text {th }}, 19^{\text {th }}, 20^{\text {th }}$, and 21 st spectra was started 4.907 hours after the start of the previous spectra. Representative spectra for various time points at both temperatures are shown in (Figure 5). The data were processed using NMRPipe [45], and analyzed using CCPNMR Analysis [46] (RRID:SCR_016984). The peak intensity was plotted as a function of time and fit a single-order exponential-decay function $\left(I(t)=I_{0}\right.$ $\times e^{-k t}$ ) to extract the exchange rate. Representative rate fits to the experimental data are
displayed in (Figure 5). To obtain the protection factors the hypothetical exchange rate for the amide proton in a random coil conformation was calculated, corrected for the effect of side chain identity to the left and right of the amide proton according to [47] [35].

Temperature Coefficient (TC): Conventional $2 \mathrm{D}-{ }^{-15} \mathrm{~N}-{ }^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ HSQC spectra were taken at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, on samples containing at least $0.5 \mathrm{mM}{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-labeled Dbh in 20 mM HEPES, $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ EDTA, pH 7.5 , with $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The data were processed with NMRpipe[45], and visualized using CCPNMR Analysis 2.5 (RRID:SCR_016984, [46]. H chemical shift (ppm) for all peaks in Figure 3.2 were plotted as a function of temperature (K) and fit to a straight line. The slope*1000 for each fit was used as TC for each residue. The standard error of the slope was used as TC uncertainty. Only fits with $\mathrm{R}^{2}>0.75$ were used. Non-linear fits were not used, a total of 10 non-linear TC values were discarded. The TC average for each domain only includes amides located in secondary structure regions, and excluded amides in loop regions and amides that are exposed to solvent based on the 1K1S crystal structure.

Ion chemical shift: All samples were made with 20 mM HEPES, $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaN}$, at pH 7.5. The $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ sample contained 5 mM NaCl. The $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ contained X mM of Mn ??. Data was analyzed with CCPNMR Analysis [46] (RRID:SCR_016984).

## Circular Dichroism:

$200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $0.28 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Dbh protein in buffered solution ( 50 mM sodium phosphate, 100 mM $\mathrm{NaCl}, 50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ EDTA, pH 7.5 ) were transferred into a CD cuvette with a 1 mm path length. The cuvette was placed in the sample cell Jasco J-810 spectropolarimeter equipped with a Peltier temperature controller, and the cell was calibrated at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A spectrum was
collected at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from 260 nm to 185 nm , with a scan rate of $50 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{min}$, data points were collected every 0.5 nm and 10 total scans. The cell was then cooled at a rate of $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with the ellipticity value measured at 208 nm every $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then after a full spectrum was taken at $7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the same parameters as above. The sample was then removed from the CD cuvette, the cuvette was cleaned, and a second $200 \mu \mathrm{~L} 0.28 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Dbh protein sample was transferred into the cuvette. The cuvette was placed into the cell equilibrated at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, another full CD spectrum was taken at this temperature, and the sample was heated to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a rate of $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, with the ellipticity value at 208 nm taken every $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. One full spectrum was then taken at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After adjustments to the chiller unit, a third 0.28 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ Dbh sample was used to collect full CD spectra again at $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Protein visualization: We used UCSF chimera to visualize Dbh [48]. Structure 1K1S was used for all analyses [12]. Amides, hydrogen bonds, and solvent accessibility were obtained using chimera default settings.
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## Summary and Conclusion

Protein dynamics play a different role between the two proteins studied here. In p53 dynamics highlight regions of instability because p53 exists in a balance where a single missense mutation can destabilize (observed in cancer mutations) or stabilize it (in the case or rescue mutations). On the other hand, dynamics in Dbh highlight regions of functionality. Previous studies show that polymerases need to be dynamic to perform their function. More specifically we know that Dbh functions more efficiently at high temperatures, and in my work, we see that high temperatures increase dynamics for Dbh.

Here we have used solution-state NMR to understand the dynamics of two different proteins. By using hydrogen exchange, we reveal that rescue mutants N235K and N239Y have far-reaching effects on the dynamics of the DBD. Interestingly each rescue mutant is distinct: N235K strongly stabilizes the smaller sheet of the $\beta$-sandwich while N239Y results in extensive stabilization of both sheets. With the same HX technique, we revealed that the most dynamic domain in Dbh is the thumb domain, followed by the finger domain, and finally the LF domain. With the palm domain being the most rigid domain in the entire protein. We confirmed the dynamics of each domain through temperature coefficient analysis.

Chemical shift analysis was used to gain different insights into each protein. In the p53 study chemical shift analysis showed that each rescue mutant did not change the structure of the protein in solution. In the Dbh project, we used chemical shift analysis to pinpoint three different binding sites for divalent ions on the Dbh. Two ion binding sites
are confirmed to be near the active site, as reported in other Y-family polymerases, and we report a novel ion binding site in the LF.

Through NMR relaxation we were able to show regions with fast motion in the three different p53 DBDs. We see that while fast dynamics are conserved across all p53 variants in loop6, we also observed fast dynamics unique to rescue mutants near and far from the DNA binding region (S2'-S3 and S9-S10 regions).

To supplement our NMR analysis, we performed additional studies in each project. For p53 we performed protein sequence analysis that reveal that mutation N235K exists in rats and mice. Since 235 K is known to be more resistant to cancer mutations, animal studies of cancer using mice or rats should include the humanized p53 sequence. In the Dbh project, we supplemented our NMR with CD. This supplemental study exposed that while tertiary structures in Dbh cold denature the secondary structures remain unchanged by lowered temperatures.

Appendix A: R1, R2, and NOE values for CytR DNA binding domain in the presence of udp half-site DNA at $\mathbf{8 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ field strength.

| Residue \# | NOE + error | R1 + error | R2+ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $0.39 \pm 0.03$ | $1.34 \pm 0.06$ | $10.66 \pm 0.09$ |
| 10 | $0.57 \pm 0.03$ | $0.95 \pm 0.03$ | $15.75 \pm 0.25$ |
| 11 | $0.82 \pm 0.03$ | $0.96 \pm 0.07$ | $19.6 \pm 0.18$ |
| 12 | $0.75 \pm 0.11$ | $1.1 \pm 0.36$ | $13.99 \pm 1.57$ |
| 13 |  |  |  |
| 14 | $0.86 \pm 0.02$ | $0.92 \pm 0.05$ | $23.89 \pm 0.42$ |
| 15 | $0.77 \pm 0.04$ | $0.85 \pm 0.03$ | $23.14 \pm 0.25$ |
| 16 | $0.92 \pm 0.03$ | $0.87 \pm 0.04$ | $21.67 \pm 0.32$ |
| 17 | $0.89 \pm 0.02$ | $0.87 \pm 0.03$ | $24.21 \pm 0.24$ |
| 18 | $0.87 \pm 0.02$ | $0.82 \pm 0.01$ | $21.02 \pm 0.28$ |
| 19 | $0.88 \pm 0.02$ | $0.9 \pm 0.04$ | $23.14 \pm 1.01$ |
| 20 | $0.85 \pm 0.03$ | $0.82 \pm 0.04$ | $26.18 \pm 0.29$ |
| 21 | $0.9 \pm 0.02$ | $0.85 \pm 0.05$ | $27.91 \pm 0.63$ |
| 22 | $0.87 \pm 0.05$ | $1 \pm 0.08$ | $26.46 \pm 1.13$ |
| 23 | $0.87 \pm 0.03$ | $1.15 \pm 0.08$ | $22.12 \pm 0.9$ |
| 24 | $0.94 \pm 0.03$ | $1.26 \pm 0.1$ | $28.9 \pm 1.24$ |
| 25 | $0.89 \pm 0.03$ | $1.16 \pm 0.08$ | $22.46 \pm 0.58$ |
| 26 | $0.93 \pm 0.03$ | $0.93 \pm 0.05$ | $23.56 \pm 0.66$ |
| 27 | $0.92 \pm 0.03$ | $1.07 \pm 0.06$ | $21.12 \pm 0.44$ |
| 28 | $0.94 \pm 0.03$ | $1.17 \pm 0.08$ | $24.53 \pm 0.35$ |
| 29 | $0.9 \pm 0.03$ | $1.01 \pm 0.07$ | $22.73 \pm 0.24$ |
| 30 |  |  |  |
| 31 | $0.94 \pm 0.03$ | $0.99 \pm 0.05$ | $21.89 \pm 0.58$ |
| 32 | $0.8 \pm 0.03$ | $0.97 \pm 0.03$ | $19.7 \pm 0.5$ |
| 33 |  |  |  |
| 34 | $0.81 \pm 0.02$ | $0.86 \pm 0.03$ | $23.21 \pm 0.49$ |
| 35 | $0.78 \pm 0.03$ | $0.98 \pm 0.06$ | $23.56 \pm 0.62$ |
| 36 | $0.86 \pm 0.03$ | $1.1 \pm 0.05$ | $27.65 \pm 0.49$ |
| 37 | $0.93 \pm 0.04$ | $1.16 \pm 0.12$ | $24.44 \pm 0.68$ |
| 38 | $0.87 \pm 0.03$ | $0.91 \pm 0.06$ | $26.68 \pm 0.46$ |
| 39 | $0.89 \pm 0.02$ | $0.92 \pm 0.05$ | $27.19 \pm 0.28$ |
| 40 | $0.93 \pm 0.03$ | $0.98 \pm 0.06$ | $25.32 \pm 0.22$ |
| 41 | $0.94 \pm 0.03$ | $0.87 \pm 0.05$ | $27.32 \pm 0.3$ |
| 42 | $0.84 \pm 0.03$ | $0.85 \pm 0.04$ | $25.73 \pm 0.37$ |
| 43 | $0.89 \pm 0.03$ | $0.86 \pm 0.05$ | $26.93 \pm 0.53$ |
| 44 | $1.02 \pm 0.04$ | $1.02 \pm 0.05$ | $19.85 \pm 0.41$ |
| 45 | $0.86 \pm 0.03$ | $0.76 \pm 0.03$ | $25.99 \pm 0.72$ |


| $0.97 \pm 0.02$ | $0.77 \pm 0.03$ | $27.16 \pm 0.42$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.83 \pm 0.03$ | $0.81 \pm 0.03$ | $27.6 \pm 0.42$ |
| $0.9 \pm 0.03$ | $0.78 \pm 0.03$ | $26.53 \pm 0.24$ |
| $0.89 \pm 0.02$ | $0.82 \pm 0.04$ | $27.94 \pm 0.63$ |
| $0.83 \pm 0.03$ | $0.84 \pm 0.02$ | $16.72 \pm 0.89$ |
| $0.89 \pm 0.03$ | $0.85 \pm 0.04$ | $28.6 \pm 0.29$ |
| $0.92 \pm 0.03$ | $0.86 \pm 0.03$ | $24.75 \pm 0.74$ |
| $-0.17 \pm-0.03$ | $1.98 \pm 0.15$ | $4 \pm 0.05$ |
| $0.69 \pm 0.04$ | $0.91 \pm 0.01$ | $21.66 \pm 0.35$ |



Residue Number

Quantitative analysis of relaxation parameters of CytR DNA binding domain in the presence of udp halfsite DNA (R2/R1 and R2*). The diagram above the graphs illustrates CytR secondary structures. Dotted line is the rolling average of all value in each respective graph. R2/R1 analysis (above graph) distinguish between fast (ps-ns) and slow (ms-us) motions. Helix 3 appears exhibit slow motions. R2*R2 analysis distinguishes residues affected by chemical exchanged. Residues 24,36-37 are the most affected by Chemical exchange. Further analyses was not possible do to the effect of DNA on overall protein tumbling and the lack CytR+DNA solved structure.

## Appendix B. Peak assignment for rescue mutants

A)N235K and B)N239Y. N235K spectra at 27 minutes after initiation of exchange with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and N239Y spectra 17 minutes after initiation of exchange with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
A.



Appendix C: List of residues with significant perturbation in rescue mutants. Chemical Shifts of residues that showed significant change in peak positions compared to WT (from spectra in figures 2.3, appendix B).

|  | WT |  | N235K |  | N239Y |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residue <br> $\#$ | H position <br> (ppm) | ${ }^{15}$ N position <br> (ppm) | H position <br> (ppm) | ${ }^{15} \mathbf{N}$ position <br> (ppm) | H position <br> (ppm) | ${ }^{15}$ N position <br> (ppm) |
| 117 | 8.67 | 111.24 | 8.65 | 111.76 | - | - |
| 124 | 7.48 | 120.32 | 7.51 | 119.99 | - | - |
| 125 | 9.63 | 123.66 | 9.59 | 123.05 | - | - |
| 126 | 8.72 | 128.94 | 8.77 | 129.24 | - | - |
| 137 | 8.61 | 125.53 | 8.50 | 125.60 | - | - |
| 138 | 9.03 | 123.57 | - | - | 9.05 | 123.03 |
| 139 | 7.50 | 117.77 | 7.59 | 118.21 | 7.35 | 117.14 |
| 141 | 9.30 | 126.79 | 9.09 | 129.57 | - | - |
| 143 | 9.11 | 125.70 | 9.02 | 126.38 | - | - |
| 175 | 8.00 | 116.30 | 8.00 | 116.63 | - | - |
| 180 | 8.83 | 120.35 | 8.85 | 120.07 | - | - |
| 186 | 8.18 | 124.78 | 8.23 | 124.48 | - | - |
| 187 | 8.54 | 109.33 | 8.52 | 109.62 | - | - |
| 196 | 8.84 | 121.94 | 8.85 | 121.55 | - | - |
| 199 | 8.78 | 110.83 | 8.77 | 111.56 | - | - |
| 200 | 8.22 | 117.32 | 8.22 | 117.60 | - | - |
| 203 | 6.87 | 118.33 | 6.90 | 118.89 | - | - |
| 217 | 9.24 | 130.54 | 9.28 | 130.86 | - | - |
| 234 | 8.38 | 122.94 | 8.74 | 123.45 | - | - |
| 235 | 9.06 | 117.56 | 8.56 | 115.98 | - | - |
| 236 | 10.07 | 121.65 | 9.85 | 121.37 | 9.97 | 121.13 |
| 237 | 8.60 | 116.83 | 8.58 | 115.16 | 8.60 | 115.72 |
| 238 | 7.33 | 120.27 | 7.37 | 120.77 | - | - |
| 239 | 8.45 | 118.33 | 8.41 | 118.04 | 8.45 | 117.88 |
| 240 | 9.60 | 120.16 | - | - | 9.63 | 119.64 |
| 241 | 8.13 | 109.49 | - | - | 7.96 | 108.95 |
| 242 | 7.80 | 122.18 | - | - | 7.91 | 122.29 |
| 273 | 8.55 | 127.70 | - | - | 8.60 | 127.36 |
| 275 | 9.99 | 124.27 | - | - | 10.25 | 125.45 |
| 277 | 8.74 | 115.75 | - | - | 8.83 | 115.88 |
| 279 | 8.89 | 104.80 | - | - | 8.92 | 105.25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix D: Measured exchange rate $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ex}}\right)$, the calculated exchange rate of amide in random coil ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rc}}$ ), and protection factors( PF ) for WT p53 amides.
$k_{\text {ex }}=$ observed exchanged rate; $k_{\text {ex }}$ was obtained from the rate of decay. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ errors correspond to deviation away from the regression line.
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rc}}=$ calculated exchange rate of that residue in a random coil, according to \{Molday, 1972 \#1\}.
$\mathrm{PF}=$ Protection factors were calculated by dividing the exchange rate of the residue in a random coil by the observed hydrogen exchange rate ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rc}} / \mathrm{kex}^{\mathrm{x}}$ ).

| Residue | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {ex }}\left(\mathbf{1 0 ^ { - 4 } ) / \text { min }}\right.$ | Error | $\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{rc}}\left(\mathbf{1 0 ^ { - 4 } ) / \mathbf { m i n }}\right.$ | PF $(\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{6})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110Arg | 1.24 | 0.11 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.81 |
| 112Gly | 4.38 | 0.30 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.43 |
| 127Ser | 5.16 | 0.49 | $4.27 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.83 |
| 132Lys | 5.81 | 0.30 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.53 |
| 133Met | 2.98 | 1.43 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.70 |
| 134Phe | 3.39 | 0.54 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.36 |
| 135Cys | 4.48 | 0.19 | $7.76 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.73 |
| 138Ala | 26.20 | 5.27 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.04 |
| 139Lys | 6.32 | 0.15 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.23 |
| 141Cys | 4.37 | 0.31 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 2.45 |
| 143Val | 1.07 | 0.10 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.17 |
| 144Gln | 1.50 | 0.15 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.90 |
| 145Leu | 0.99 | 0.09 | $6.76 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.68 |
| 146Trp | 0.65 | 0.09 | $3.89 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.60 |
| 156Arg | 0.71 | 0.08 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 4.34 |
| 157Val | 0.53 | 0.06 | $5.37 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 1.02 |
| 158Arg | 0.70 | 0.09 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 2.02 |
| 159Ala | 0.41 | 0.06 | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 6.64 |
| 160Met | 0.67 | 0.08 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 2.38 |
| 162Ile | 0.90 | 0.09 | $3.02 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.34 |
| 163Tyr | 37.70 | 3.64 | $5.13 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.01 |
| 195Ile | 1.14 | 0.13 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.16 |
| 196Arg | 1.27 | 0.16 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.91 |


| 204Glu | 4.58 | 0.18 | $3.63 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.08 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 206Leu | 30.50 | 4.95 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.02 |
| 215Ser | 6.60 | 0.51 | $5.25 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.79 |
| 216Val | 0.83 | 0.07 | $6.46 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.78 |
| 217Val | 1.12 | 0.09 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.21 |
| 218Val | 0.53 | 0.05 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.45 |
| 220Tyr | 1.65 | 0.10 | $5.01 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.30 |
| 2321le | 0.93 | 0.09 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.51 |
| 234Tyr | 0.89 | 0.07 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.35 |
| 235Asn | 1.34 | 0.11 | $5.62 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 4.18 |
| 236Tyr | 1.24 | 0.11 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.47 |
| 237Met | 1.17 | 0.20 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.52 |
| 238Cys | 15.00 | 0.93 | $8.71 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.58 |
| 252Leu | 0.70 | 0.11 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.36 |
| 253Thr | 0.56 | 0.08 | $8.51 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 1.53 |
| 254Ile | 0.93 | 0.10 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.52 |
| 2551le | 0.54 | 0.08 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.33 |
| 256Thr | 0.41 | 0.06 | $8.13 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 2.00 |
| 257Leu | 0.61 | 0.08 | $6.76 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 1.11 |
| 258Glu | 0.41 | 0.06 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.75 |
| 259Asp | 1.47 | 0.08 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 13.30 |
| 265Leu | 0.36 | 0.12 | $2.63 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.72 |
| 266Gly | 11.00 | 0.56 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.17 |
| 268Asn | 0.49 | 0.06 | $8.32 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 17.03 |
| 270Phe | 0.90 | 0.07 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 2.07 |
| 271Glu | 28.60 | 5.38 | $5.76 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.02 |
| 272 Val | 1.51 | 0.13 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.15 |
| 273Arg | 3.36 | 0.19 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.42 |
| 275Cys | 4.26 | 0.19 | 4.90E+06 | 1.15 |
| 283Arg | 1.88 | 0.27 | $3.24 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.72 |
| 286Glu | 42.40 | 4.12 | $3.55 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.01 |

Appendix E: Measured exchange rate $\left(k_{e x}\right)$, the calculated exchange rate of amide in random coil( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rc}}$ ), and protection factors(PF) for N235K p53 amides.
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}=$ observed exchanged rate; $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ was obtained from the rate of decay. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ errors correspond to deviation away from the regression line.
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rc}}=$ calculated exchange rate of that residue in a random coil, according to \{Molday, 1972 \#1\}.
$\mathrm{PF}=$ Protection factors were calculated by dividing the exchange rate of the residue in a random coil by the observed hydrogen exchange rate ( $\mathrm{krc}_{\mathrm{rc}} / \mathrm{kex}$ ).

| Residue | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {ex }}(\mathbf{1 0 - 4}) / \mathbf{m i n}$ | Error | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {rc }}\left(10^{-4}\right) /$ min | PF (106) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110Arg | 1.10 | 0.09 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 2.04 |
| 112Gly | 5.37 | 1.09 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.35 |
| 132Lys | 5.29 | 1.24 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.58 |
| 134Phe | 1.62 | 0.47 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.74 |
| 135Cys | 5.20 | 1.25 | $7.76 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.49 |
| 139Lys | 8.58 | 0.65 | $1.48 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.17 |
| 141Cys | 0.67 | 0.58 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 16.03 |
| 143Val | 0.21 | 0.05 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.91 |
| 144Gln | 3.69 | 1.38 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.37 |
| 145Leu | 1.65 | 0.28 | $6.76 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.41 |
| 146Trp | 1.18 | 0.25 | $3.89 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.33 |
| 156Arg | 0.33 | 0.04 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 9.48 |
| 157Val | 0.17 | 0.03 | $5.37 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 3.09 |
| 158Arg | 0.53 | 0.08 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 2.68 |
| 159Ala | 0.20 | 0.03 | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 13.14 |
| 160Met | 0.26 | 0.05 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 6.14 |
| 162lle | 0.45 | 0.14 | $3.02 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.67 |
| 163Tyr | 14.60 | 4.51 | $5.13 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.04 |
| 195Ile | 0.69 | 0.09 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.27 |
| 196Arg | 0.96 | 0.28 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.20 |
| 204Glu | 1.54 | 0.10 | $3.63 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.24 |
| 206Leu | 14.50 | 4.37 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.03 |
| 215Ser | 4.58 | 0.53 | $5.25 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.15 |
| 216Val | 0.21 | 0.03 | $6.46 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 3.10 |


| 217Val | 0.29 | 0.05 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.81 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 218Val | 0.14 | 0.02 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 1.66 |
| 220Tyr | 1.43 | 0.10 | $5.01 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.35 |
| 232Ile | 1.63 | 0.21 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.29 |
| 234Tyr | 1.63 | 0.21 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.74 |
| 235Lys | 1.53 | 0.16 | $2.19 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.43 |
| 236Tyr | 1.17 | 0.21 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.98 |
| 237Met | 0.04 | 0.10 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 45.94 |
| 238Cys | 6.00 | 0.42 | $8.71 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.45 |
| 252Leu | 0.52 | 0.08 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.48 |
| 253Thr | 0.66 | 0.16 | $8.51 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 1.29 |
| 254Ile | 0.68 | 0.10 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.70 |
| 255Ile | 0.19 | 0.04 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.94 |
| 256Thr | 0.09 | 0.03 | $8.13 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 9.17 |
| 257Leu | 0.67 | 0.07 | $6.76 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 1.01 |
| 258Glu | 0.23 | 0.03 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 1.35 |
| 259Asp | 0.98 | 0.05 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 19.94 |
| 265Leu | 0.35 | 0.09 | $2.63 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.76 |
| 266Gly | 10.10 | 1.28 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.18 |
| 268Asn | 0.25 | 0.03 | $8.32 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 33.16 |
| 270Phe | 0.69 | 0.19 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 2.70 |
| 272Val | 2.08 | 0.14 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.11 |
| 273Arg | 1.09 | 0.22 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.29 |
| 275Cys | 6.60 | 0.74 | $4.90 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.74 |
| 283Arg | 1.85 | 0.18 | $3.24 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.75 |

Appendix F: Measured exchange rate ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}$ ), the calculated exchange rate of amide in random coil( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ), and protection factors( PF ) for N 239 Y p53 amides.
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}=$ observed exchanged rate; kex was obtained from the rate of decay. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ errors correspond to deviations away from the regression line.
$\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rc}=}$ calculated exchange rate of that residue in a random coil, according to \{Molday, 1972 \#1\}.
$\mathrm{PF}=$ Protection factors were calculated by dividing the exchange rate of the residue in a random coil by the observed hydrogen exchange rate ( $\mathrm{krc} / \mathrm{kex}$ ).

| Residue | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {ex }}\left(\mathbf{1 0}^{-4}\right) /$ min | Error | $\mathbf{k}_{\text {rc }}\left(10^{-4}\right) /$ min | PF $\left(10^{6}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 110Arg | 0.96 | 0.07 | $2.24 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 2.33 |
| 112Gly | 4.41 | 0.34 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.42 |
| 127Ser | 2.43 | 0.24 | $4.27 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.76 |
| 132Lys | 1.93 | 0.09 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.60 |
| 133Met | 1.67 | 0.27 | $2.09 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.25 |
| 134Phe | 1.92 | 0.34 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.63 |
| 135Cys | 1.36 | 0.06 | $7.76 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 5.72 |
| 138Ala | 10.90 | 1.29 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.09 |
| 141Cys | 2.94 | 0.29 | $1.07 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 3.65 |
| 143Val | 0.53 | 0.06 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.35 |
| 144Gln | 1.06 | 0.11 | $1.35 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.27 |
| 145Leu | 0.66 | 0.08 | $6.76 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 1.02 |
| 146Trp | 0.45 | 0.07 | $3.89 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.87 |
| 156Arg | 0.65 | 0.08 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 4.79 |
| 157Val | 0.35 | 0.05 | $5.37 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 1.54 |
| 158Arg | 0.33 | 0.06 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 4.25 |
| 159Ala | 0.26 | 0.04 | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 10.45 |
| 160Met | 0.41 | 0.05 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 3.89 |
| 162Ile | 0.35 | 0.05 | $3.02 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.85 |
| 163Tyr | 13.70 | 1.11 | $5.13 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.04 |
| 193His | 2.46 | 0.33 | $2.57 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.04 |
| 195Ile | 0.60 | 0.06 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.31 |
| 196Arg | 1.02 | 0.12 | $1.15 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.13 |
| 204Glu | 3.12 | 0.14 | $3.63 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.12 |
| 206Leu | 0.80 | 0.14 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.60 |
| 215Ser | 5.29 | 0.49 | $5.25 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.99 |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| 216Val | 0.47 | 0.04 | $6.46 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 1.38 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 217Val | 0.47 | 0.06 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.49 |
| 218Val | 0.31 | 0.05 | $2.34 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.76 |
| 220Tyr | 1.75 | 0.11 | $5.01 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.29 |
| 230Thr | 46.57 | 6.14 | $4.90 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.11 |
| 232Ile | 0.44 | 0.05 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 1.08 |
| 234Tyr | 0.35 | 0.05 | $1.20 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 3.46 |
| 235Asn | 0.81 | 0.07 | $5.62 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 6.96 |
| 236Tyr | 0.47 | 0.06 | $1.82 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 3.91 |
| 238Cys | 3.15 | 0.21 | $8.71 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 2.77 |
| 252Leu | 0.44 | 0.06 | $2.51 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.57 |
| 253Thr | 0.27 | 0.04 | $8.51 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 3.19 |
| 254Ile | 0.69 | 0.11 | $4.79 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.70 |
| 255Ile | 0.40 | 0.04 | $1.78 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.45 |
| 256Thr | 0.30 | 0.04 | $8.13 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 2.69 |
| 257Leu | 0.30 | 0.04 | $6.76 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 2.24 |
| 258Glu | 0.35 | 0.05 | $3.09 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.89 |
| 259Asp | 1.74 | 0.07 | $1.95 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 11.18 |
| 265Leu | 0.60 | 0.13 | $2.63 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.44 |
| 266Gly | 12.80 | 0.90 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.15 |
| 268Asn | 0.35 | 0.06 | $8.32 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 23.60 |
| 270Phe | 0.43 | 0.05 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 4.32 |
| 272Val | 0.80 | 0.06 | $2.29 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.29 |
| 273Arg | 0.98 | 0.11 | $1.41 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 1.44 |
| 275Cys | 1.38 | 0.09 | $4.90 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 3.54 |
| 283Arg | 3.26 | 0.08 | $3.24 \mathrm{E}+06$ | 0.99 |
| 286Glu | 38.20 | 1.69 | $3.55 \mathrm{E}+05$ | 0.01 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix G: Positions resistant to immediate hydrogen exchange

Positions resistant to immediate hydrogen exchange mapped onto the WT p53 structure; protected residues highlighted in blue. Mutated location highlighted in sky blue and labled.


## Appendix H: Bar graph of protection factors for WT and rescue mutants.

35 amide hydrogens had an increase in protection factors in the rescue mutant N235K (orange) compared to WT p53 (blue). A total of 45 amide hydrogens show an increase in protection factors in the rescued mutant N239Y (green) compared to WT. Residues 193 and 230 are protected in N239Y but exchange too fast to be detected in WT or N235K.


Residue Number

Appendix I: R1, R2, NOE, and $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{2}}$ values for Wildtype p53 DBD at 800 MHz field strength.

* Signal present up to .030 seconds
** Signal present up to .050 seconds
$\dagger$ Modelfree calculation did not assign a model to residue.
Blank spaces correspond to residues with relaxation values that could not be obtained.

| Residue \# | R1 $\pm$ error $\left(\mathbf{s}^{-1}\right)$ | R2 $\pm$ error $\left(\mathbf{s}^{-1}\right)$ | NOE $\pm$ error | S2 $\pm$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | $1.485 \pm 0.361$ | $30.43 \pm 0.654$ | $0.795 \pm 0.010$ | + |
| 100 | $1.318 \pm 0.284$ | $28.36 \pm 2.246$ | $0.765 \pm 0.012$ | $0.791 \pm 0.066$ |
| 101 | $1.260 \pm 0.275$ | $31.81 \pm 1.290$ | $0.907 \pm 0.006$ | + |
| 102 | $1.209 \pm 0.254$ | $33.77 \pm 4.850$ | $0.657 \pm 0.010$ | $0.788 \pm 0.089$ |
| 103 | $1.087 \pm 0.162$ | $28.69 \pm 0.811$ | $0.711 \pm 0.010$ | $0.857 \pm 0.027$ |
| 104 |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | $1.383 \pm 0.287$ | $35.68 \pm 1.806$ | $0.717 \pm 0.009$ | $0.645 \pm 0.141$ |
| 106 |  |  |  |  |
| 107 | $1.255 \pm 0.233$ | $33.81 \pm 2.730$ | $0.820 \pm 0.009$ | + |
| 108 | $1.048 \pm 0.213$ | $42.69 \pm 3.544$ | $0.977 \pm 0.010$ | + |
| 109 |  |  |  |  |
| 110 | $1.056 \pm 0.206$ | $31.40 \pm 4.243$ | $0.830 \pm 0.013$ | $1.000 \pm 0.080$ |
| 111 | $0.806 \pm 0.119$ | $33.32 \pm 1.186$ | $0.745 \pm 0.010$ | $0.905 \pm 0.047$ |
| 112 | $0.773 \pm 0.184$ | $* *$ | $1.000 \pm 0.018$ |  |
| 113 |  | $28.78 \pm 2.079$ |  |  |
| 114 | $0.979 \pm 0.197$ | $28.25 \pm 1.185$ | $0.775 \pm 0.011$ | $0.848 \pm 0.036$ |
| 115 | $1.718 \pm 0.671$ | $24.75 \pm 3.405$ | $0.379 \pm 0.016$ | $0.854 \pm 0.091$ |
| 116 |  |  |  |  |
| 117 | $1.561 \pm 0.461$ | $30.35 \pm 4.726$ | $0.779 \pm 0.018$ | + |
| 118 | $1.990 \pm 0.508$ |  | $0.923 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 119 |  |  |  |  |
| 120 |  |  |  |  |
| 121 | $2.192 \pm 0.573$ | $29.47 \pm 3.104$ | $0.732 \pm 0.014$ |  |
| 122 |  |  |  |  |
| 123 | $1.407 \pm 0.434$ | $34.21 \pm 3.314$ | $1.000 \pm 0.027$ |  |
| 124 | $1.172 \pm 0.201$ | $29.86 \pm 0.850$ | $0.876 \pm 0.009$ | $1.000 \pm 0.024$ |
| 125 | $0.923 \pm 0.148$ | $28.53 \pm 0.271$ | $0.854 \pm 0.009$ | $1.000 \pm 0.008$ |
| 126 | $1.355 \pm 0.274$ | $26.99 \pm 2.243$ | $0.913 \pm 0.012$ | $1.000 \pm 0.063$ |
| 127 | $0.884 \pm 0.240$ | $26.60 \pm 3.197$ | $0.866 \pm 0.015$ | $1.000 \pm 0.080$ |
| 128 |  |  |  |  |
| 129 |  |  |  |  |


| 130 | $0.933 \pm 0.192$ | $76.46 \pm 22.161$ | $0.930 \pm 0.011$ | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 131 |  |  |  |  |
| 132 | $0.923 \pm 0.160$ | $34.34 \pm 1.178$ | $0.730 \pm 0.009$ | $0.858 \pm 0.062$ |
| 133 | $0.820 \pm 0.205$ |  | $0.775 \pm 0.021$ |  |
| 134 | $0.892 \pm 0.114$ | $30.62 \pm 3.896$ | $0.817 \pm 0.013$ | $1.000 \pm 0.060$ |
| 135 | $1.061 \pm 0.205$ | $32.10 \pm 2.578$ | $0.784 \pm 0.009$ | $0.872 \pm 0.071$ |
| 136 | $0.953 \pm 0.174$ | $29.92 \pm 5.053$ | $0.764 \pm 0.011$ | $0.940 \pm 0.078$ |
| 137 | $0.860 \pm 0.150$ | $27.96 \pm 1.204$ | $0.743 \pm 0.013$ | $0.872 \pm 0.038$ |
| 138 | $0.690 \pm 0.164$ | $28.16 \pm 3.482$ | $0.885 \pm 0.016$ | $0.906 \pm 0.089$ |
| 139 | $1.054 \pm 0.204$ | $27.36 \pm 1.265$ | $0.820 \pm 0.010$ | $0.898 \pm 0.033$ |
| 140 | $0.996 \pm 0.163$ | $30.71 \pm 1.633$ | $0.785 \pm 0.008$ | $0.879 \pm 0.042$ |
| 141 | $0.925 \pm 0.190$ | $36.04 \pm 2.876$ | $0.907 \pm 0.013$ | $1.000 \pm 0.054$ |
| 142 |  |  |  |  |
| 143 | $0.827 \pm 0.069$ | $29.74 \pm 3.598$ | $0.642 \pm 0.010$ | $0.848 \pm 0.031$ |
| 144 | $1.371 \pm 0.230$ |  | $0.541 \pm 0.009$ | $0.289 \pm 0.279$ |
| 145 | $0.692 \pm 0.093$ | $39.78 \pm 3.833$ | $0.855 \pm 0.013$ | $1.000 \pm 0.060$ |
| 146 | $0.878 \pm 0.158$ | $29.38 \pm 1.939$ |  |  |
| 147 | $0.950 \pm 0.098$ | $30.32 \pm 1.871$ | $0.711 \pm 0.008$ | $1.000 \pm 0.038$ |
| 148 | $0.893 \pm 0.153$ | $28.80 \pm 2.982$ | $1.000 \pm 0.014$ | $\dagger$ |
| 149 | $0.865 \pm 0.145$ | $27.82 \pm 1.366$ | $0.918 \pm 0.007$ | $\dagger$ |
| 150 | $0.979 \pm 0.167$ | $28.69 \pm 0.651$ | $0.832 \pm 0.006$ | $0.932 \pm 0.018$ |
| 151 |  |  |  |  |
| 152 |  |  |  |  |
| 153 |  |  |  |  |
| 154 |  |  |  |  |
| 155 | $0.870 \pm 0.124$ | $32.19 \pm 2.627$ | $0.689 \pm 0.007$ | $0.934 \pm 0.055$ |
| 156 | $0.823 \pm 0.106$ | $31.88 \pm 0.328$ | $0.830 \pm 0.010$ | $0.937 \pm 0.011$ |
| 157 | $0.774 \pm 0.078$ | $30.59 \pm 1.577$ | $0.858 \pm 0.011$ | $0.979 \pm 0.037$ |
| 158 | $1.037 \pm 0.201$ | $36.18 \pm 5.200$ | $0.896 \pm 0.011$ | $1.000 \pm 0.083$ |
| 159 | $1.009 \pm 0.270$ | $33.48 \pm 3.200$ | $0.833 \pm 0.013$ | $1.000 \pm 0.053$ |
| 160 | $1.040 \pm 0.178$ | $33.32 \pm 1.551$ | $0.983 \pm 0.014$ | $\dagger$ |
| 161 | $0.759 \pm 0.168$ | ** | $0.609 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 162 | $0.887 \pm 0.173$ | ** | $0.864 \pm 0.011$ |  |
| 163 | $1.114 \pm 0.299$ | * | $0.978 \pm 0.023$ |  |
| 164 |  |  |  |  |
| 165 |  |  |  |  |
| 166 |  |  |  |  |
| 167 |  |  |  |  |
| 168 |  | $32.56 \pm 0.841$ |  |  |
| 169 | $1.164 \pm 0.257$ | $38.47 \pm 2.541$ | $0.849 \pm 0.010$ | $1.000 \pm 0.046$ |


| 170 | $1.885 \pm 0.445$ |  | $0.645 \pm 0.010$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 171 | $1.265 \pm 0.262$ | $35.89 \pm 2.385$ | $0.836 \pm 0.007$ | $1.000 \pm 0.054$ |
| 172 | $1.123 \pm 0.206$ | $31.12 \pm 2.398$ | $0.835 \pm 0.010$ | $1.000 \pm 0.051$ |
| 173 | $1.220 \pm 0.170$ | * | $0.871 \pm 0.019$ |  |
| 174 | $1.208 \pm 0.277$ | $37.97 \pm 4.934$ | $1.000 \pm 0.011$ | $\dagger$ |
| 175 | $1.256 \pm 0.365$ | ** | $0.638 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 176 |  |  |  |  |
| 177 |  |  |  |  |
| 178 |  | $30.25 \pm 0.508$ | $1.000 \pm 0.006$ |  |
| 179 | $0.845 \pm 0.094$ | $34.63 \pm 4.812$ | $0.871 \pm 0.011$ | $1.000 \pm 0.061$ |
| 180 | $1.100 \pm 0.195$ | $37.32 \pm 0.588$ | $0.855 \pm 0.010$ | $1.000 \pm 0.014$ |
| 181 | $0.984 \pm 0.166$ | $38.27 \pm 1.342$ | $0.888 \pm 0.010$ | $1.000 \pm 0.022$ |
| 182 | $1.325 \pm 0.231$ | $33.77 \pm 0.584$ | $0.826 \pm 0.008$ | $\dagger$ |
| 183 |  |  |  |  |
| 184 | $1.862 \pm 0.468$ |  | $0.855 \pm 0.011$ |  |
| 185 | $0.959 \pm 0.191$ | $30.68 \pm 1.257$ | $0.824 \pm 0.008$ | $0.938 \pm 0.029$ |
| 186 | $1.831 \pm 0.361$ | $25.83 \pm 0.958$ | $0.876 \pm 0.009$ | $0.776 \pm 0.027$ |
| 187 | $1.544 \pm 0.275$ | $27.05 \pm 1.468$ | $0.669 \pm 0.008$ | $0.540 \pm 0.131$ |
| 188 | $1.250 \pm 0.223$ | $25.80 \pm 1.758$ | $0.544 \pm 0.007$ | $0.641 \pm 0.118$ |
| 189 | $1.000 \pm 0.172$ | $25.45 \pm 2.785$ | $0.944 \pm 0.017$ | $\dagger$ |
| 190 |  |  |  |  |
| 191 |  |  |  |  |
| 192 | $1.266 \pm 0.273$ | $21.52 \pm 2.605$ | $0.776 \pm 0.011$ | $0.675 \pm 0.071$ |
| 193 | $1.133 \pm 0.278$ | $36.58 \pm 1.683$ | $0.837 \pm 0.011$ | $1.000 \pm 0.028$ |
| 194 |  |  |  |  |
| 195 | $1.669 \pm 0.747$ |  | $0.815 \pm 0.022$ |  |
| 196 | $0.821 \pm 0.150$ |  | $0.719 \pm 0.013$ |  |
| 197 |  |  |  |  |
| 198 | $0.830 \pm 0.116$ | $28.91 \pm 1.925$ | $0.725 \pm 0.010$ | $0.904 \pm 0.042$ |
| 199 | $1.069 \pm 0.193$ | $26.88 \pm 0.249$ | $0.782 \pm 0.010$ | $0.889 \pm 0.010$ |
| 200 | $1.137 \pm 0.195$ | $26.18 \pm 0.654$ | $0.779 \pm 0.007$ | $0.798 \pm 0.069$ |
| 201 | $1.060 \pm 0.314$ | $29.00 \pm 1.992$ | $0.807 \pm 0.019$ | $0.851 \pm 0.053$ |
| 202 | $0.799 \pm 0.098$ | $31.51 \pm 1.085$ | $0.836 \pm 0.008$ | $1.000 \pm 0.021$ |
| 203 | $0.844 \pm 0.088$ | $28.17 \pm 1.721$ | $0.653 \pm 0.007$ | $0.837 \pm 0.033$ |
| 204 | $0.839 \pm 0.057$ | $31.78 \pm 1.478$ | $0.795 \pm 0.010$ | $0.906 \pm 0.024$ |
| 205 | $0.755 \pm 0.105$ | $33.81 \pm 1.180$ | $0.890 \pm 0.010$ | $1.000 \pm 0.021$ |
| 206 | $0.968 \pm 0.169$ | $33.20 \pm 3.624$ | $0.656 \pm 0.012$ | $0.815 \pm 0.072$ |
| 207 |  |  |  |  |
| 208 | $1.005 \pm 0.186$ | $20.76 \pm 1.623$ | $0.636 \pm 0.008$ | $0.761 \pm 0.058$ |
| 209 | $0.955 \pm 0.213$ | $30.69 \pm 2.027$ |  |  |


| 210 | $1.116 \pm 0.156$ | $28.50 \pm 1.283$ | $0.692 \pm 0.007$ | $0.824 \pm 0.072$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 211 | $0.870 \pm 0.173$ | $27.78 \pm 1.765$ | $0.756 \pm 0.015$ | $0.911 \pm 0.044$ |
| 212 | $0.986 \pm 0.130$ | $33.73 \pm 4.092$ | $0.807 \pm 0.012$ | $0.862 \pm 0.042$ |
| 213 | $1.062 \pm 0.255$ | $32.79 \pm 0.978$ |  |  |
| 214 | $1.530 \pm 0.371$ | $27.03 \pm 7.639$ | $0.830 \pm 0.017$ | $1.000 \pm 0.127$ |
| 215 | $1.065 \pm 0.218$ | * | $0.802 \pm 0.014$ |  |
| 216 | $1.323 \pm 0.276$ | $30.35 \pm 1.261$ | $0.761 \pm 0.006$ | $0.659 \pm 0.088$ |
| 217 | $0.824 \pm 0.166$ | $29.17 \pm 5.349$ | $1.000 \pm 0.012$ | + |
| 218 | $0.869 \pm 0.111$ | $35.61 \pm 4.136$ | $0.844 \pm 0.011$ | $1.000 \pm 0.064$ |
| 219 |  |  |  |  |
| 220 | $0.778 \pm 0.090$ | $25.48 \pm 2.771$ | $0.919 \pm 0.010$ | $\dagger$ |
| 221 | $0.694 \pm 0.066$ | $34.36 \pm 2.672$ | $0.766 \pm 0.008$ | $0.948 \pm 0.025$ |
| 222 |  |  |  |  |
| 223 |  |  |  |  |
| 224 | $0.982 \pm 0.124$ | $24.56 \pm 0.762$ | $0.482 \pm 0.006$ | $0.790 \pm 0.026$ |
| 225 |  |  |  |  |
| 226 | $1.173 \pm 0.222$ | $25.92 \pm 0.488$ | $0.303 \pm 0.009$ | $0.755 \pm 0.017$ |
| 227 |  |  |  |  |
| 228 | $1.476 \pm 0.368$ | $24.11 \pm 2.166$ | $0.528 \pm 0.009$ | $0.664 \pm 0.065$ |
| 229 | $1.143 \pm 0.219$ | $30.11 \pm 1.104$ | $0.805 \pm 0.006$ | $0.867 \pm 0.025$ |
| 230 | $1.375 \pm 0.193$ | $16.88 \pm 0.410$ | $0.727 \pm 0.007$ | $0.452 \pm 0.017$ |
| 231 | $0.909 \pm 0.143$ | $26.67 \pm 0.665$ | $0.891 \pm 0.009$ | $0.962 \pm 0.022$ |
| 232 | $0.773 \pm 0.092$ | $31.50 \pm 1.835$ |  |  |
| 233 | $1.212 \pm 0.167$ | $13.47 \pm 0.814$ | $0.570 \pm 0.007$ | $0.360 \pm 0.026$ |
| 234 | $0.870 \pm 0.164$ | $26.40 \pm 3.284$ | $0.813 \pm 0.013$ | $0.909 \pm 0.052$ |
| 235 | $0.804 \pm 0.132$ | $32.41 \pm 2.290$ | $0.769 \pm 0.010$ | $0.933 \pm 0.041$ |
| 236 | $0.889 \pm 0.224$ | $27.46 \pm 2.910$ | $0.667 \pm 0.015$ | $0.889 \pm 0.066$ |
| 237 | $0.993 \pm 0.222$ | ** | $0.789 \pm 0.019$ |  |
| 238 | $0.922 \pm 0.149$ | $38.71 \pm 2.767$ | $0.828 \pm 0.008$ | $0.966 \pm 0.037$ |
| 239 | $0.910 \pm 0.196$ | * | $0.821 \pm 0.020$ |  |
| 240 | $0.817 \pm 0.265$ | $30.28 \pm 4.720$ | $1.000 \pm 0.020$ | $\dagger$ |
| 241 | $1.390 \pm 0.390$ | $37.53 \pm 1.806$ | $0.818 \pm 0.014$ | $1.000 \pm 0.053$ |
| 242 | $1.290 \pm 0.237$ | $35.10 \pm 1.120$ | $0.857 \pm 0.008$ | $1.000 \pm 0.028$ |
| 243 |  | ** | $0.641 \pm 0.009$ |  |
| 244 | $0.691 \pm 0.349$ |  | $0.441 \pm 0.024$ |  |
| 245 | $1.356 \pm 0.316$ | $29.32 \pm 4.274$ | $0.752 \pm 0.011$ | $0.750 \pm 0.098$ |


| 250 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 251 | $1.238 \pm 0.228$ | ** | $0.891 \pm 0.017$ |  |
| 252 | $0.877 \pm 0.152$ | $32.91 \pm 3.637$ | $1.000 \pm 0.016$ | $\dagger$ |
| 253 | $0.777 \pm 0.093$ | $30.40 \pm 3.035$ | $0.794 \pm 0.011$ | $0.952 \pm 0.041$ |
| 254 | $0.905 \pm 0.152$ | $27.89 \pm 6.521$ | $0.749 \pm 0.010$ | $0.927 \pm 0.060$ |
| 255 | $0.735 \pm 0.142$ | $35.66 \pm 3.189$ | $0.804 \pm 0.011$ | $0.953 \pm 0.045$ |
| 256 | $1.019 \pm 0.164$ | ** | $0.880 \pm 0.011$ |  |
| 257 | $0.821 \pm 0.151$ | $32.81 \pm 0.732$ | $0.978 \pm 0.014$ | $\dagger$ |
| 258 | $0.797 \pm 0.097$ | $30.05 \pm 4.498$ | $0.744 \pm 0.008$ | $0.921 \pm 0.038$ |
| 259 |  |  |  |  |
| 260 | $1.313 \pm 0.234$ | $28.84 \pm 1.114$ | $0.708 \pm 0.009$ | $0.720 \pm 0.116$ |
| 261 | $1.147 \pm 0.195$ | $30.43 \pm 0.760$ | $0.679 \pm 0.006$ | $0.805 \pm 0.096$ |
| 262 | $1.112 \pm 0.200$ | $28.30 \pm 1.457$ | $0.684 \pm 0.007$ | $0.766 \pm 0.091$ |
| 263 | $0.873 \pm 0.088$ | $29.22 \pm 1.271$ | $0.763 \pm 0.006$ | $0.884 \pm 0.031$ |
| 264 | $0.935 \pm 0.140$ | $25.10 \pm 1.018$ | $0.836 \pm 0.008$ | $0.939 \pm 0.024$ |
| 265 | $0.870 \pm 0.112$ | $24.07 \pm 2.226$ | $0.679 \pm 0.011$ | $0.843 \pm 0.041$ |
| 266 | $0.767 \pm 0.099$ | $35.92 \pm 4.275$ | $0.798 \pm 0.012$ | $0.979 \pm 0.050$ |
| 267 | $1.042 \pm 0.181$ | $34.64 \pm 3.027$ | $0.706 \pm 0.013$ | $0.777 \pm 0.079$ |
| 268 | $0.755 \pm 0.134$ | $36.05 \pm 0.948$ | $0.777 \pm 0.009$ | $0.913 \pm 0.051$ |
| 269 | $0.949 \pm 0.176$ | $28.42 \pm 1.107$ | $0.839 \pm 0.008$ | $0.941 \pm 0.024$ |
| 270 | $0.887 \pm 0.130$ | $32.60 \pm 2.098$ | $0.834 \pm 0.010$ | $1.000 \pm 0.036$ |
| 271 | $0.876 \pm 0.163$ | $23.84 \pm 2.207$ | $0.990 \pm 0.011$ | † |
| 272 |  |  |  |  |
| 273 | $0.907 \pm 0.154$ | $33.35 \pm 4.561$ | $0.739 \pm 0.012$ | $0.948 \pm 0.071$ |
| 274 | $1.052 \pm 0.308$ | ** | $0.748 \pm 0.012$ |  |
| 275 | $0.917 \pm 0.159$ | $32.24 \pm 3.800$ | $0.831 \pm 0.011$ | $1.000 \pm 0.068$ |
| 276 |  |  |  |  |
| 277 | $1.334 \pm 0.288$ | $30.05 \pm 1.155$ | $0.700 \pm 0.007$ | $0.872 \pm 0.038$ |
| 278 |  |  |  |  |
| 279 | $1.037 \pm 0.172$ | $37.30 \pm 4.563$ | $0.704 \pm 0.010$ | $0.775 \pm 0.079$ |
| 280 | $0.969 \pm 0.190$ | $31.54 \pm 2.781$ | $0.885 \pm 0.011$ | $1.000 \pm 0.054$ |
| 281 | $1.014 \pm 0.149$ | $31.55 \pm 1.412$ | $0.893 \pm 0.009$ | $0.995 \pm 0.028$ |
| 282 | $0.907 \pm 0.142$ | $35.02 \pm 4.009$ | $1.000 \pm 0.012$ | $\dagger$ |
| 283 | $0.810 \pm 0.133$ | $32.90 \pm 0.830$ | $0.730 \pm 0.007$ | $0.892 \pm 0.053$ |
| 284 | $1.009 \pm 0.151$ | $30.11 \pm 0.433$ | $0.635 \pm 0.005$ | $0.899 \pm 0.013$ |
| 285 | $0.930 \pm 0.124$ | $29.24 \pm 1.380$ | $0.749 \pm 0.007$ | $0.879 \pm 0.039$ |
| 286 | $0.838 \pm 0.105$ | $32.58 \pm 2.887$ | $0.821 \pm 0.009$ | $0.909 \pm 0.027$ |
| 287 | $0.845 \pm 0.107$ | $28.96 \pm 1.542$ | $0.694 \pm 0.007$ | $0.904 \pm 0.037$ |
| 288 | $0.886 \pm 0.101$ | $32.04 \pm 0.495$ | $0.764 \pm 0.006$ | $0.853 \pm 0.044$ |
| 289 | $0.844 \pm 0.066$ | $27.71 \pm 0.712$ | $0.759 \pm 0.006$ | $0.868 \pm 0.018$ |

290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
$3.522 \pm 0.807 \quad 9.57 \pm 0.600 \quad 0.374 \pm 0.009$

| $3.202 \pm 0.661$ | $9.95 \pm 0.387$ | $0.380 \pm 0.003$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2.166 \pm 0.255$ | $6.84 \pm 0.121$ | $0.322 \pm 0.002$ |

$2.608 \pm 0.507 \quad 16.17 \pm 0.553 \quad 0.382 \pm 0.005$
$2.297 \pm 0.365$
$12.48 \pm 0.251$
$0.489 \pm 0.002$

Appendix J: R1, R2, NOE, and S ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ values for N235K p53 DBD at 800 MHz field strength.

* Signal present up to .030 seconds
** Signal present up to .050 seconds
*** Signal present up to 0.100 seconds
$\dagger$ Modelfree calculation did not assign a model to residue.

| Residue \# | R1 $\pm$ error | R2 $\pm$ error | NOE $\pm$ error | S2 $\pm$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | $1.248 \pm 0.248$ | $25.56 \pm 1.136$ | $0.813 \pm 0.010$ | + |
| 100 | $1.403 \pm 0.338$ | $27.94 \pm 1.222$ | $0.766 \pm 0.014$ | $0.661 \pm 0.118$ |
| 101 | $1.307 \pm 0.247$ | $29.19 \pm 0.730$ | $0.750 \pm 0.008$ | $0.740 \pm 0.109$ |
| 102 | $1.283 \pm 0.285$ | $* *$ | $0.800 \pm 0.015$ |  |
| 103 | $1.117 \pm 0.183$ | $* *$ | $0.969 \pm 0.017$ |  |
| 104 |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | $1.391 \pm 0.318$ | $33.46 \pm 1.780$ | $0.691 \pm 0.013$ | $0.654 \pm 0.150$ |
| 106 |  |  |  |  |
| 107 | $1.277 \pm 0.243$ | $33.71 \pm 0.290$ | $0.865 \pm 0.012$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 108 | $0.988 \pm 0.146$ | $23.85 \pm 1.534$ | $0.785 \pm 0.010$ | $0.898 \pm 0.001$ |
| 109 | $1.012 \pm 0.165$ | $27.40 \pm 1.343$ |  |  |
| 110 | $0.836 \pm 0.100$ | $* *$ | $0.929 \pm 0.017$ |  |
| 111 | $0.991 \pm 0.078$ | $35.40 \pm 6.337$ | $0.973 \pm 0.024$ | + |
| 112 | $1.092 \pm 0.147$ | $*$ | $0.978 \pm 0.028$ |  |
| 113 | $1.003 \pm 0.139$ | $30.73 \pm 2.536$ | $0.675 \pm 0.014$ | $0.856 \pm 0.061$ |
| 114 |  |  |  |  |
| 115 | $* *$ | $*$ | $0.759 \pm 0.038$ |  |
| 116 |  | $*$ |  |  |
| 117 |  |  |  | $0.752 \pm 0.013$ |
| 118 | $1.417 \pm 0.357$ | $30.65 \pm 2.806$ | $0.695 \pm 0.138$ |  |
| 119 |  |  |  |  |
| 120 |  |  |  |  |
| 121 | $4.456 \pm 1.074$ | $32.69 \pm 15.977$ | $0.733 \pm 0.024$ |  |
| 122 | $2.299 \pm 0.430$ | $26.42 \pm 3.995$ | $0.632 \pm 0.037$ |  |
| 123 |  | $26.93 \pm 4.251$ | $0.647 \pm 0.023$ | $0.605 \pm 0.139$ |
| 124 | $1.482 \pm 0.274$ | $1.279 \pm 0.212$ | $26.48 \pm 3.209$ | $0.751 \pm 0.020$ |
| 125 | $2.124 \pm 0.527$ |  | $0.758 \pm 0.089$ |  |
| 126 | $1.289 \pm 0.253$ |  |  |  |
| 127 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| 129 | $1.024 \pm 0.141$ | $28.15 \pm 1.751$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130 | $1.005 \pm 0.205$ | $*$ | $0.989 \pm 0.017$ |  |
| 131 | $1.235 \pm 0.154$ | $24.37 \pm 0.501$ |  |  |
| 132 | $1.169 \pm 0.178$ | $* *$ | $0.851 \pm 0.019$ |  |
| 133 | $* *$ |  | $0.746 \pm 0.032$ |  |
| 134 | $0.822 \pm 0.207$ | $* *$ | $0.669 \pm 0.019$ |  |
| 135 | $1.119 \pm 0.159$ | $36.35 \pm 5.429$ | $0.900 \pm 0.016$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 136 | $1.028 \pm 0.179$ | $* *$ | $0.828 \pm 0.019$ |  |
| 137 | $0.847 \pm 0.116$ | $25.64 \pm 1.906$ | $0.733 \pm 0.019$ | $0.955 \pm 0.072$ |
| 138 | $1.073 \pm 0.176$ | $21.51 \pm 3.824$ | $0.562 \pm 0.018$ | $0.736 \pm 0.058$ |
| 139 | $1.074 \pm 0.169$ | $25.79 \pm 2.137$ | $0.671 \pm 0.011$ | $0.805 \pm 0.075$ |
| 140 |  | $*$ |  |  |
| 141 | $1.874 \pm 0.635$ | $*$ | $0.856 \pm 0.027$ |  |
| 142 |  |  |  |  |
| 143 | $0.803 \pm 0.130$ | $27.54 \pm 2.947$ | $0.934 \pm 0.024$ | $1.000 \pm 0.001$ |
| 144 | $1.030 \pm 0.200$ | $23.60 \pm 1.232$ | $0.848 \pm 0.021$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 145 | $1.006 \pm 0.142$ | $28.21 \pm 5.630$ | $0.872 \pm 0.019$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 146 | $0.936 \pm 0.176$ | $30.04 \pm 1.645$ | $0.872 \pm 0.013$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 147 | $0.876 \pm 0.122$ | $30.19 \pm 0.278$ | $0.792 \pm 0.012$ | $0.932 \pm 0.046$ |
| 148 | $0.861 \pm 0.152$ | $27.34 \pm 1.711$ | $0.676 \pm 0.013$ | $0.921 \pm 0.073$ |
| 149 | $0.989 \pm 0.174$ | $28.92 \pm 2.476$ | $0.918 \pm 0.011$ | + |
| 150 | $1.093 \pm 0.184$ | $26.98 \pm 0.520$ | $0.801 \pm 0.006$ | $0.871 \pm 0.058$ |

$0.929 \pm 0.135$
$0.815 \pm 0.080$
$0.828 \pm 0.107$
$1.121 \pm 0.122$
$0.984 \pm 0.165$
$1.035 \pm 0.208$
$2.129 \pm 0.192$
$1.023 \pm 0.177$
$1.506 \pm 0.408$
$28.64 \pm 1.003$
$* *$
$32.85 \pm 1.872$
$* *$
$27.05 \pm 3.110$
$27.50 \pm 2.771$
$* *$
$26.68 \pm 2.544$
$* *$
$0.793 \pm 0.009$

$$
0.945 \pm 0.050
$$

$$
0.909 \pm 0.014
$$

$$
0.987 \pm 0.015
$$

$$
1.000 \pm 0.021
$$

| 168 | $1.224 \pm 0.204$ | $30.78 \pm 0.968$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 169 | $1.056 \pm 0.231$ | ** | $0.999 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 170 |  | $25.59 \pm 3.538$ |  |  |
| 171 | $1.099 \pm 0.187$ | ** | $0.724 \pm 0.010$ |  |
| 172 | $0.952 \pm 0.200$ | $26.89 \pm 1.524$ | $0.750 \pm 0.014$ | $0.920 \pm 0.078$ |
| 173 | $3.687 \pm 0.983$ | * | $0.852 \pm 0.025$ |  |
| 174 | $1.513 \pm 0.359$ | ** | $0.924 \pm 0.017$ |  |
| 175 | $2.855 \pm 0.134$ | * | $0.628 \pm 0.027$ |  |
| 176 |  |  |  |  |
| 177 |  |  |  |  |
| 178 | $0.915 \pm 0.131$ | $29.77 \pm 1.705$ | $0.838 \pm 0.011$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 179 | $1.022 \pm 0.213$ | ** | $0.676 \pm 0.014$ |  |
| 180 | $1.405 \pm 0.304$ | ** | $0.806 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 181 | $0.804 \pm 0.110$ | $33.95 \pm 5.478$ | $0.867 \pm 0.022$ | $0.941 \pm 0.094$ |
| 182 | $1.226 \pm 0.228$ | $33.17 \pm 0.392$ | $0.839 \pm 0.015$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 183 |  |  |  |  |
| 184 |  |  |  |  |
| 185 | $1.042 \pm 0.180$ | $32.06 \pm 1.980$ | $0.860 \pm 0.011$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 186 | $1.953 \pm 0.377$ | $25.64 \pm 2.076$ | $0.721 \pm 0.016$ | $0.379 \pm 0.163$ |
| 187 | $1.802 \pm 0.428$ | $27.36 \pm 0.744$ | $0.621 \pm 0.013$ | $0.428 \pm 0.223$ |
| 188 | $1.249 \pm 0.181$ | $23.82 \pm 0.953$ | $0.725 \pm 0.010$ | $0.762 \pm 0.082$ |
| 189 |  |  |  |  |
| 190 |  |  |  |  |
| 191 |  |  |  |  |
| 192 | $3.232 \pm 0.837$ | $17.22 \pm 2.267$ | $0.925 \pm 0.033$ | $0.753 \pm 0.001$ |
| 193 | $1.420 \pm 0.345$ | $33.36 \pm 2.593$ | $0.958 \pm 0.017$ | $\dagger$ |
| 194 |  |  |  |  |
| 195 | $2.277 \pm 0.506$ | * | $0.882 \pm 0.026$ |  |
| 196 | $1.192 \pm 0.173$ | ** | $0.973 \pm 0.024$ |  |
| 197 |  |  |  |  |
| 198 | *** | * |  |  |
| 199 | $1.581 \pm 0.416$ | $24.11 \pm 0.772$ | $0.633 \pm 0.013$ | $0.539 \pm 0.199$ |
| 200 | $1.227 \pm 0.215$ | $27.43 \pm 1.074$ |  |  |
| 201 | $1.443 \pm 0.517$ | ** | $0.557 \pm 0.023$ |  |
| 202 |  |  | $0.527 \pm 0.008$ |  |
| 203 | $0.814 \pm 0.083$ | $26.90 \pm 0.661$ | $0.775 \pm 0.013$ | $0.962 \pm 0.001$ |
| 204 | $0.940 \pm 0.123$ | ** | $0.818 \pm 0.012$ |  |
| 205 | $0.787 \pm 0.139$ | $27.57 \pm 0.493$ | $0.796 \pm 0.014$ | $0.954 \pm 0.058$ |
| 206 | $0.969 \pm 0.136$ | ** | $0.918 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 207 | $0.848 \pm 0.085$ | $26.33 \pm 0.698$ | $0.699 \pm 0.007$ | $0.951 \pm 0.048$ |


| 208 | $1.113 \pm 0.193$ | $21.01 \pm 1.071$ | $0.678 \pm 0.012$ | $0.923 \pm 0.001$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 209 | $1.170 \pm 0.208$ | $31.43 \pm 1.939$ | $0.712 \pm 0.011$ | $0.797 \pm 0.088$ |
| 210 | $1.110 \pm 0.163$ | $26.70 \pm 1.624$ | $0.825 \pm 0.011$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 211 | $0.948 \pm 0.183$ | $25.48 \pm 1.787$ | $0.758 \pm 0.018$ | $0.913 \pm 0.069$ |
| 212 | $1.087 \pm 0.140$ | $30.62 \pm 2.749$ | $0.850 \pm 0.015$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 213 | $1.210 \pm 0.209$ | $30.45 \pm 1.003$ |  |  |
| 214 | $1.300 \pm 0.338$ | $* *$ | $0.859 \pm 0.018$ |  |
| 215 | $1.816 \pm 0.540$ | $* *$ | $0.774 \pm 0.018$ |  |
| 216 | $1.228 \pm 0.204$ | $* *$ | $0.850 \pm 0.009$ |  |
| 217 | $0.757 \pm 0.076$ | $* *$ | $0.758 \pm 0.015$ |  |
| 218 | $0.907 \pm 0.128$ | $29.35 \pm 1.154$ | $0.734 \pm 0.011$ | $0.897 \pm 0.053$ |
| 219 |  |  |  |  |
| 220 | $0.973 \pm 0.113$ | $35.53 \pm 1.626$ | $1.000 \pm 0.014$ | + |
| 221 | $0.714 \pm 0.088$ | $31.10 \pm 0.856$ | $0.961 \pm 0.015$ | + |
| 222 |  |  |  |  |
| 223 |  |  |  |  |
| 224 | $0.879 \pm 0.090$ | $21.73 \pm 1.020$ | $0.522 \pm 0.010$ | $0.871 \pm 0.000$ |
| 225 | $0.842 \pm 0.077$ | $20.49 \pm 0.609$ | $0.634 \pm 0.008$ | $0.847 \pm 0.001$ |
| 226 | $1.145 \pm 0.159$ | $25.93 \pm 1.199$ | $0.276 \pm 0.012$ | $0.625 \pm 0.100$ |
| 227 |  |  |  |  |
| 228 | $1.480 \pm 0.301$ | $21.97 \pm 1.624$ | $0.598 \pm 0.011$ | $0.565 \pm 0.148$ |
| 229 | $1.201 \pm 0.216$ | $27.33 \pm 1.829$ | $0.786 \pm 0.009$ | + |
| 230 | $1.382 \pm 0.202$ | $12.18 \pm 0.734$ | $0.755 \pm 0.013$ | $0.388 \pm 0.014$ |
| 231 | $0.938 \pm 0.175$ | $29.45 \pm 0.894$ | $0.763 \pm 0.014$ | $0.908 \pm 0.064$ |
| 232 | $0.876 \pm 0.139$ | $* *$ | $0.839 \pm 0.020$ |  |
| 233 | $2.246 \pm 0.285$ | $7.80 \pm 0.609$ |  |  |
| 234 | $* * *$ | $* *$ | $0.762 \pm 0.015$ |  |
| 235 | $0.986 \pm 0.175$ | $* *$ | 0.00 |  |
| 236 | $1.701 \pm 0.382$ | $* *$ | $1.000 \pm 0.036$ |  |
| 237 | $2.718 \pm 0.815$ | $* *$ | $0.504 \pm 0.028$ |  |
| 238 | $1.084 \pm 0.183$ | $* *$ | $0.776 \pm 0.013$ |  |
| 239 | $2.865 \pm 0.522$ | $16.74 \pm 0.800$ | $0.303 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 240 | $1.941 \pm 0.373$ | $* *$ | $0.737 \pm 0.020$ |  |
| 241 | $2.102 \pm 0.474$ | $* *$ | $0.671 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 242 | $1.105 \pm 0.224$ | $31.09 \pm 0.705$ | $0.850 \pm 0.011$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 243 |  |  |  |  |
| 244 | $* * *$ |  | $0.514 \pm 0.028$ |  |
| 245 | $1.215 \pm 0.163$ | $34.39 \pm 4.164$ | $0.850 \pm 0.017$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 246 |  |  |  |  |


| 248 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 249 |  |  |  |  |
| 250 |  |  |  |  |
| 251 | $1.056 \pm 0.240$ | ** | $0.693 \pm 0.033$ |  |
| 252 | $0.927 \pm 0.187$ | ** | $0.641 \pm 0.019$ |  |
| 253 | $0.899 \pm 0.157$ | ** | $0.816 \pm 0.020$ |  |
| 254 | $1.505 \pm 0.220$ | ** | $0.998 \pm 0.020$ |  |
| 255 | $0.810 \pm 0.123$ | ** | $0.805 \pm 0.014$ |  |
| 256 | $0.986 \pm 0.189$ | $28.83 \pm 1.723$ | $0.755 \pm 0.014$ | $0.866 \pm 0.078$ |
| 257 | $0.843 \pm 0.160$ | $26.95 \pm 3.706$ | $0.863 \pm 0.015$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 258 | $0.867 \pm 0.100$ | $31.89 \pm 1.429$ | $0.738 \pm 0.013$ | $0.889 \pm 0.043$ |
| 259 | $2.227 \pm 0.430$ | $10.14 \pm 0.580$ | $0.263 \pm 0.004$ | $0.315 \pm 0.000$ |
| 260 | $1.305 \pm 0.239$ | $28.97 \pm 2.414$ | $0.757 \pm 0.013$ | $0.745 \pm 0.100$ |
| 261 | $1.110 \pm 0.197$ | $28.59 \pm 0.850$ | $0.700 \pm 0.009$ | $0.808 \pm 0.090$ |
| 262 | $1.046 \pm 0.139$ | $28.35 \pm 1.099$ | $0.888 \pm 0.013$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 263 | $1.032 \pm 0.159$ | $33.79 \pm 0.328$ | $0.950 \pm 0.011$ | $\dagger$ |
| 264 | $0.873 \pm 0.109$ | $27.05 \pm 0.368$ | $0.867 \pm 0.009$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 265 | $1.205 \pm 0.234$ | ** | $1.000 \pm 0.028$ |  |
| 266 | $0.822 \pm 0.101$ | ** | $0.646 \pm 0.012$ |  |
| 267 | $1.389 \pm 0.467$ | * | $0.788 \pm 0.025$ |  |
| 268 | $0.811 \pm 0.179$ | ** | $0.888 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 269 | $0.967 \pm 0.161$ | ** | $0.810 \pm 0.015$ |  |
| 270 | $0.935 \pm 0.105$ | $31.28 \pm 1.234$ | $0.790 \pm 0.016$ | $0.931 \pm 0.038$ |
| 271 | $1.011 \pm 0.172$ | $21.81 \pm 2.589$ | $0.774 \pm 0.013$ | $0.924 \pm 0.001$ |
| 272 | $1.041 \pm 0.168$ | $25.73 \pm 1.695$ | $0.843 \pm 0.012$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 273 | $1.332 \pm 0.205$ | ** | $0.989 \pm 0.021$ |  |
| 274 | *** | ** | $0.870 \pm 0.023$ |  |
| 275 | $1.042 \pm 0.143$ | $28.96 \pm 1.269$ | $0.723 \pm 0.018$ | $0.862 \pm 0.058$ |
| 276 |  |  |  |  |
| 277 | $2.122 \pm 0.484$ | $29.11 \pm 0.595$ | $0.615 \pm 0.017$ | $0.249 \pm 0.225$ |
| 278 |  |  |  |  |
| 279 | *** | * | $1.000 \pm 0.048$ |  |
| 280 | $1.668 \pm 0.420$ | * | $0.667 \pm 0.029$ |  |
| 281 | $1.185 \pm 0.232$ | $28.63 \pm 0.999$ | $0.915 \pm 0.014$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 282 | $1.536 \pm 0.367$ | ** | $0.896 \pm 0.024$ |  |
| 283 | $1.022 \pm 0.156$ | ** | $0.763 \pm 0.017$ |  |
| 284 | $1.098 \pm 0.181$ | $27.76 \pm 1.189$ | $0.685 \pm 0.008$ | $0.791 \pm 0.087$ |
| 285 | $0.993 \pm 0.171$ | $30.76 \pm 1.553$ | $0.885 \pm 0.011$ | $1.000 \pm 0.000$ |
| 286 | $0.924 \pm 0.118$ | $29.16 \pm 1.380$ | $0.799 \pm 0.013$ | $0.886 \pm 0.047$ |
| 287 | $0.949 \pm 0.109$ | $29.43 \pm 1.518$ | $0.756 \pm 0.010$ | $0.886 \pm 0.046$ |


| 288 | $0.905 \pm 0.101$ | $32.66 \pm 1.741$ | $0.788 \pm 0.009$ | $0.937 \pm 0.040$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 289 | $0.925 \pm 0.080$ | $27.66 \pm 1.047$ | $0.742 \pm 0.008$ | $0.874 \pm 0.037$ |
| 290 | $1.222 \pm 0.125$ | $4.02 \pm 0.232$ |  |  |
| 291 | $1.214 \pm 0.141$ | $23.51 \pm 0.468$ |  |  |
| 292 | $1.686 \pm 0.241$ | $18.50 \pm 0.466$ | $0.510 \pm 0.006$ |  |
| 293 | $2.318 \pm 0.412$ | $14.95 \pm 0.169$ | $0.454 \pm 0.006$ |  |
| 294 | $1.988 \pm 0.296$ | $12.81 \pm 0.441$ | $0.499 \pm 0.003$ |  |
| 295 |  |  |  |  |
| 296 |  |  |  |  |
| 297 |  |  |  |  |
| 298 | $3.253 \pm 0.617$ | $9.94 \pm 0.468$ | $0.432 \pm 0.004$ |  |
| 299 | $2.123 \pm 0.217$ | $6.55 \pm 0.085$ | $0.310 \pm 0.003$ |  |
| 300 |  |  |  |  |
| 301 |  |  |  |  |
| 302 |  |  |  |  |
| 303 | $3.866 \pm 0.748$ | $8.43 \pm 0.321$ | $0.321 \pm 0.008$ |  |
| 304 |  |  |  |  |
| 305 | $3.708 \pm 0.718$ | $9.12 \pm 0.519$ | $0.317 \pm 0.005$ |  |
| 306 | $3.528 \pm 0.582$ | $6.63 \pm 0.538$ | $0.269 \pm 0.005$ |  |
| 307 | $3.424 \pm 0.571$ | $7.65 \pm 0.225$ | $0.203 \pm 0.004$ |  |
| 308 | $2.197 \pm 0.266$ | $5.09 \pm 0.233$ | $-0.009 \pm 0.002$ |  |
| 309 |  | $8.35 \pm 0.592$ |  |  |
| 310 | $2.561 \pm 0.502$ |  |  |  |
| 311 | $1.880 \pm 0.385$ | $10.60 \pm 1.273$ |  |  |

Appendix K: R1, R2, NOE, and S² values for N239Y p53 DBD at 800 MHz field strength.

* Signal present up to .030 seconds
** Signal present up to .050 seconds
*** Signal present up to 0.100 seconds
$\dagger$ Modelfree calculation did not assign a model to residue

| Residue \# | R1 $\pm$ error | R2 $\pm$ error | NOE $\pm$ error | S2 $\pm$ error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 99 | $1.357 \pm 0.320$ | $25.88 \pm 0.202$ | $0.646 \pm 0.011$ | $0.725 \pm 0.143$ |
| 100 | $1.702 \pm 0.598$ | ** | $0.661 \pm 0.013$ |  |
| 101 | $1.153 \pm 0.244$ | $28.61 \pm 2.150$ | $0.933 \pm 0.008$ | $\dagger$ |
| 102 | $1.591 \pm 0.716$ | ** | $0.849 \pm 0.010$ |  |
| 103 | $1.038 \pm 0.191$ | * | $0.885 \pm 0.018$ |  |
| 104 |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | $1.139 \pm 0.256$ | ** | $0.964 \pm 0.014$ |  |
| 106 |  |  |  |  |
| 107 | $1.256 \pm 0.185$ | $31.03 \pm 3.450$ | $0.816 \pm 0.008$ | $0.875 \pm 0.050$ |
| 108 | $0.906 \pm 0.352$ | $26.78 \pm 1.790$ | $0.748 \pm 0.011$ | $0.969 \pm 0.045$ |
| 109 | $1.158 \pm 0.181$ | $27.51 \pm 1.730$ | $0.846 \pm 0.007$ | $1.000 \pm 0.038$ |
| 110 | $0.708 \pm 0.147$ | * | $0.988 \pm 0.017$ |  |
| 111 | $0.632 \pm 0.122$ | ** | $0.891 \pm 0.014$ |  |
| 112 | $0.495 \pm 0.156$ | ** | $0.575 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 113 |  | $31.05 \pm 5.830$ | $0.900 \pm 0.013$ |  |
| 114 | $1.305 \pm 0.408$ | $28.51 \pm 3.516$ | $0.549 \pm 0.015$ | $1.000 \pm 0.072$ |
| 115 | *** | * | $0.540 \pm 0.029$ |  |
| 116 |  |  |  |  |
| 117 | ** | * | $0.518 \pm 0.029$ |  |
| 118 | *** | $27.12 \pm 3.483$ | $0.651 \pm 0.011$ |  |
| 119 |  |  |  |  |
| 120 |  |  |  |  |
| 121 | $2.504 \pm 1.149$ | ** | $0.311 \pm 0.018$ |  |
| 122 |  | * |  |  |
| 123 |  |  |  |  |
| 124 |  | ** |  |  |
| 125 | $0.836 \pm 0.144$ | ** | $0.650 \pm 0.011$ |  |
| 126 | $1.187 \pm 0.376$ | * | $0.760 \pm 0.026$ |  |
| 127 | $0.932 \pm 0.300$ | $19.55 \pm 3.556$ | $0.887 \pm 0.017$ | $0.814 \pm 0.125$ |
| 128 |  |  |  |  |


| 129 |  | $28.17 \pm 1.521$ | $0.666 \pm 0.010$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130 | $0.808 \pm 0.234$ | $58.78 \pm 21.182$ | $0.823 \pm 0.015$ | $1.000 \pm 0.023$ |
| 131 | $1.382 \pm 0.177$ | $29.22 \pm 2.027$ | $0.680 \pm 0.005$ | $0.654 \pm 0.089$ |
| 132 | $0.878 \pm 0.242$ | $26.83 \pm 3.965$ | $0.736 \pm 0.012$ | $0.962 \pm 0.078$ |
| 133 | $0.781 \pm 0.330$ |  | $0.815 \pm 0.026$ |  |
| 134 | $0.886 \pm 0.207$ | ** | $1.000 \pm 0.015$ |  |
| 135 | $0.923 \pm 0.216$ | $29.73 \pm 3.908$ | $0.806 \pm 0.011$ | $0.923 \pm 0.072$ |
| 136 |  |  |  |  |
| 137 | *** |  |  |  |
| 138 | $1.209 \pm 0.420$ |  | $0.528 \pm 0.026$ |  |
| 139 | $0.866 \pm 0.178$ | $19.69 \pm 0.572$ | $0.860 \pm 0.014$ | $0.798 \pm 0.022$ |
| 140 | $0.814 \pm 0.407$ | $18.97 \pm 2.464$ | $0.792 \pm 0.013$ | $0.751 \pm 0.073$ |
| 141 | $0.738 \pm 0.149$ | ** | $0.855 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 142 |  |  |  |  |
| 143 | $0.560 \pm 0.132$ | * | $0.844 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 144 | $1.848 \pm 0.381$ | $12.58 \pm 2.134$ | $0.520 \pm 0.013$ | $0.518 \pm 0.113$ |
| 145 | $0.565 \pm 0.118$ | * | $0.891 \pm 0.023$ |  |
| 146 | $0.652 \pm 0.125$ | ** | $0.895 \pm 0.013$ |  |
| 147 | $0.760 \pm 0.162$ | ** | $0.849 \pm 0.012$ |  |
| 148 | $0.845 \pm 0.158$ | ** | $0.697 \pm 0.012$ |  |
| 149 | $0.786 \pm 0.191$ | $34.22 \pm 5.465$ | $0.974 \pm 0.011$ | + |
| 150 | $0.864 \pm 0.164$ | $26.18 \pm 0.925$ | $0.756 \pm 0.007$ | $0.937 \pm 0.031$ |
| 151 |  |  |  |  |
| 152 |  |  |  |  |
| 153 |  |  |  |  |
| 154 |  |  |  |  |
| 155 | $0.666 \pm 0.153$ | $27.84 \pm 0.863$ | $0.768 \pm 0.010$ | $0.963 \pm 0.021$ |
| 156 | $0.789 \pm 0.124$ | ** | $0.735 \pm 0.013$ |  |
| 157 | $0.492 \pm 0.131$ | ** | $0.824 \pm 0.014$ |  |
| 158 | $0.785 \pm 0.183$ | * | $0.906 \pm 0.018$ |  |
| 159 | $0.736 \pm 0.174$ | ** | $1.000 \pm 0.015$ |  |
| 160 | $1.051 \pm 0.195$ | ** | $0.887 \pm 0.013$ |  |
| 161 | $0.916 \pm 0.155$ | * | $0.743 \pm 0.020$ |  |
| 162 | $0.596 \pm 0.135$ | ** | $0.805 \pm 0.014$ |  |
| 163 | $1.682 \pm 0.491$ | * | $0.300 \pm 0.018$ |  |
| 164 |  |  |  |  |
| 165 |  |  |  |  |
| 166 |  |  |  |  |
| 167 |  |  |  |  |
| 168 |  |  | $0.785 \pm 0.008$ |  |

$$
1.180 \pm 0.110
$$

$$
0.838 \pm 0.194
$$

180
181
$1.045 \pm 0.345$
$0.992 \pm 0.227$
$0.778 \pm 0.143$
$0.861 \pm 0.267$
$0.790 \pm 0.156$
$1.390 \pm 0.487$
$0.912 \pm 0.187$
$1.293 \pm 0.278$
$1.249 \pm 0.241$
$1.152 \pm 0.169$
$0.869 \pm 0.294$
$0.833 \pm 0.240$
$1.106 \pm 0.301$
$1.071 \pm 0.266$
$0.799 \pm 0.169$
$0.631 \pm 0.138$
$1.141 \pm 0.255$
$1.219 \pm 0.220$
$0.657 \pm 0.176$
$0.589 \pm 0.104$
$0.554 \pm 0.095$
$0.565 \pm 0.132$
$0.802 \pm 0.169$
$0.893 \pm 0.397$
$29.80 \pm 3.132$
$0.815 \pm 0.011$
** $\quad 0.905 \pm 0.016$

* $0.962 \pm 0.014$
* $0.810 \pm 0.013$
* $0.708 \pm 0.017$
$32.17 \pm 0.737$
$0.807 \pm 0.008$
$1.000 \pm 0.019$
$0.949 \pm 0.014$
$0.720 \pm 0.011$
$0.841 \pm 0.012$
* 

$28.69 \pm 0.351$
$0.858 \pm 0.009$
$0.982 \pm 0.011$
$0.893 \pm 0.091$
$1.000 \pm 0.073$
$0.852 \pm 0.070$
$0.706 \pm 0.018$
$22.06 \pm 4.489$
$0.731 \pm 0.016$
$0.995 \pm 0.085$
$25.87 \pm 1.338$
$0.751 \pm 0.013$
$22.93 \pm 0.997$
$0.623 \pm 0.010$
$0.897 \pm 0.045$
$0.816 \pm 0.037$
$24.15 \pm 4.957$
$0.685 \pm 0.009$
$0.873 \pm 0.096$
$26.55 \pm 0.924$
$0.834 \pm 0.010$
$0.851 \pm 0.014$
$0.735 \pm 0.012$
$0.962 \pm 0.014$
$0.540 \pm 0.005$
$0.844 \pm 0.012$
$0.971 \pm 0.028$
$0.952 \pm 0.083$

| 209 | $1.428 \pm 0.297$ | * | $0.808 \pm 0.014$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210 | $0.881 \pm 0.208$ | $26.04 \pm 1.704$ | $0.737 \pm 0.009$ | $0.910 \pm 0.049$ |
| 211 | $0.836 \pm 0.206$ | $27.76 \pm 2.159$ | $0.705 \pm 0.016$ | $0.957 \pm 0.047$ |
| 212 | $0.916 \pm 0.241$ | ** | $0.840 \pm 0.015$ |  |
| 213 |  |  | $0.840 \pm 0.008$ |  |
| 214 | $0.934 \pm 0.261$ | * | $0.718 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 215 | $0.863 \pm 0.258$ | * | $0.824 \pm 0.019$ |  |
| 216 | $1.110 \pm 0.210$ | $32.84 \pm 0.508$ | $0.882 \pm 0.007$ | $1.000 \pm 0.012$ |
| 217 | $0.658 \pm 0.134$ | ** | $0.963 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 218 | $0.651 \pm 0.173$ | $32.72 \pm 2.026$ | $0.694 \pm 0.010$ | $0.840 \pm 0.110$ |
| 219 |  |  |  |  |
| 220 | $0.524 \pm 0.229$ | $18.07 \pm 3.171$ | $0.815 \pm 0.013$ | $0.738 \pm 0.118$ |
| 221 | $0.557 \pm 0.117$ | $28.14 \pm 1.830$ | $0.543 \pm 0.011$ | $0.529 \pm 0.092$ |
| 222 |  |  |  |  |
| 223 |  |  |  |  |
| 224 | $1.005 \pm 0.272$ | $19.09 \pm 1.297$ | $0.480 \pm 0.009$ | $0.849 \pm 0.049$ |
| 225 |  |  |  |  |
| 226 | $1.299 \pm 0.289$ | $28.39 \pm 3.462$ | $0.411 \pm 0.013$ | $0.571 \pm 0.155$ |
| 227 |  |  |  |  |
| 228 | $1.274 \pm 0.219$ | $26.23 \pm 3.677$ | $0.742 \pm 0.015$ | $1.000 \pm 0.076$ |
| 229 | $1.095 \pm 0.391$ | $24.03 \pm 1.485$ | $0.765 \pm 0.009$ | $0.833 \pm 0.050$ |
| 230 | $1.125 \pm 0.210$ | $13.92 \pm 0.737$ | $0.675 \pm 0.008$ | $0.436 \pm 0.030$ |
| 231 | $0.884 \pm 0.180$ | $23.15 \pm 0.924$ | $0.855 \pm 0.012$ | $0.861 \pm 0.038$ |
| 232 | $0.630 \pm 0.220$ | * | $0.961 \pm 0.012$ |  |
| 233 | $1.312 \pm 0.186$ |  | $0.778 \pm 0.011$ |  |
| 234 | $0.790 \pm 0.213$ |  |  |  |
| 235 | $0.642 \pm 0.109$ | $25.94 \pm 2.308$ | $0.962 \pm 0.017$ | $\dagger$ |
| 236 | $0.743 \pm 0.199$ | * | $0.694 \pm 0.024$ |  |
| 237 | *** | * | $1.000 \pm 0.035$ |  |
| 238 | $0.871 \pm 0.166$ | ** | $0.944 \pm 0.013$ |  |
| 239 | $1.271 \pm 0.431$ | $22.75 \pm 5.606$ | $0.691 \pm 0.016$ | $0.716 \pm 0.150$ |
| 240 | $1.000 \pm 0.502$ | ** | $1.000 \pm 0.031$ |  |
| 241 | $1.186 \pm 0.295$ | * | $0.541 \pm 0.015$ |  |
| 242 | $0.858 \pm 0.121$ | $33.14 \pm 0.944$ | $0.906 \pm 0.010$ | $1.000 \pm 0.022$ |
| 243 | $1.358 \pm 0.423$ | * | $0.960 \pm 0.011$ |  |
| 244 | *** |  | $0.469 \pm 0.023$ |  |
| 245 | $1.173 \pm 0.225$ | * | $0.799 \pm 0.012$ |  |


| 251 | $0.803 \pm 0.226$ | $*$ | $0.886 \pm 0.023$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 252 | $0.620 \pm 0.106$ | $*$ | $0.730 \pm 0.015$ |  |
| 253 | $0.566 \pm 0.136$ | $* *$ | $0.722 \pm 0.016$ |  |
| 254 | $0.596 \pm 0.121$ | $24.21 \pm 0.895$ | $0.674 \pm 0.010$ | $0.878 \pm 0.030$ |
| 255 | $0.631 \pm 0.184$ | $*$ | $0.834 \pm 0.014$ |  |
| 256 | $0.803 \pm 0.201$ | $*$ | $0.764 \pm 0.013$ |  |
| 257 | $0.640 \pm 0.163$ | $* *$ | $0.860 \pm 0.015$ |  |
| 258 | $0.568 \pm 0.137$ | $26.50 \pm 1.068$ | $0.813 \pm 0.012$ | $0.905 \pm 0.036$ |
| 259 | $1.179 \pm 0.278$ | $11.77 \pm 0.938$ | $0.467 \pm 0.006$ | $0.353 \pm 0.035$ |
| 260 | $0.960 \pm 0.220$ | $28.86 \pm 5.055$ | $0.617 \pm 0.011$ | $0.877 \pm 0.091$ |
| 261 | $1.028 \pm 0.180$ | $26.60 \pm 0.643$ | $0.822 \pm 0.007$ | $0.897 \pm 0.021$ |
| 262 | $0.810 \pm 0.211$ | $31.19 \pm 1.075$ | $0.764 \pm 0.011$ | $0.926 \pm 0.071$ |
| 263 | $0.688 \pm 0.139$ | $32.63 \pm 0.558$ | $0.741 \pm 0.008$ | $0.737 \pm 0.094$ |
| 264 | $0.599 \pm 0.175$ | $25.85 \pm 1.377$ | $0.913 \pm 0.008$ | + |
| 265 | $0.745 \pm 0.226$ | $* *$ | $0.796 \pm 0.013$ |  |
| 266 | $0.664 \pm 0.154$ | $* *$ | $0.813 \pm 0.015$ |  |
| 267 | $0.715 \pm 0.156$ | $*$ | $0.804 \pm 0.019$ |  |
| 268 | $0.602 \pm 0.113$ | $* *$ | $0.707 \pm 0.012$ |  |
| 269 | $0.996 \pm 0.138$ | $28.06 \pm 0.399$ | $0.889 \pm 0.013$ | $1.000 \pm 0.009$ |
| 270 | $0.773 \pm 0.140$ | $* *$ | $0.853 \pm 0.012$ |  |
| 271 | $0.717 \pm 0.245$ | $26.19 \pm 4.550$ | $0.490 \pm 0.013$ | $1.000 \pm 0.085$ |
| 272 | $1.007 \pm 0.205$ | $29.58 \pm 1.930$ | $0.766 \pm 0.009$ | $1.000 \pm 0.035$ |
| 273 | $0.748 \pm 0.153$ | $*$ | $0.980 \pm 0.018$ |  |
| 274 |  |  |  |  |
| 275 | $0.810 \pm 0.218$ | $* *$ | $0.750 \pm 0.015$ |  |
| 276 |  |  |  |  |
| 277 | $1.279 \pm 0.290$ |  |  | $0.754 \pm 0.016$ |


| 289 | $1.125 \pm 0.258$ | $26.32 \pm 0.778$ | $0.818 \pm 0.006$ | $0.893 \pm 0.027$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 290 |  |  |  |  |
| 291 | $1.347 \pm 0.193$ | $27.14 \pm 1.805$ | $0.616 \pm 0.005$ |  |
| 292 | $1.762 \pm 0.498$ | $16.97 \pm 0.616$ | $0.445 \pm 0.005$ |  |
| 293 | $4.463 \pm 3.428$ | $14.83 \pm 0.804$ | $0.426 \pm 0.007$ |  |
| 294 | $1.723 \pm 0.214$ | $11.56 \pm 0.388$ | $0.565 \pm 0.003$ |  |
| 295 |  |  |  |  |
| 296 |  |  |  |  |
| 297 |  |  |  |  |
| 298 | $2.069 \pm 0.391$ | $8.81 \pm 0.609$ | $0.440 \pm 0.004$ |  |
| 299 | $2.053 \pm 0.256$ | $6.09 \pm 0.381$ | $0.372 \pm 0.003$ |  |
| 300 |  |  | $-0.080 \pm 0.011$ |  |
| 301 |  |  |  |  |
| 302 |  |  |  |  |
| 303 |  |  |  |  |
| 304 |  |  |  |  |
| 305 | $7.190 \pm 2.443$ | $7.65 \pm 0.640$ | $0.377 \pm 0.006$ |  |
| 306 | $6.658 \pm 2.951$ | $7.77 \pm 1.349$ | $0.253 \pm 0.005$ |  |
| 307 | $2.135 \pm 0.337$ | $6.57 \pm 0.329$ | $0.282 \pm 0.005$ |  |
| 308 | $2.215 \pm 0.352$ | $4.37 \pm 0.186$ | $0.010 \pm 0.002$ |  |
| 309 |  |  |  |  |
| 310 | $14.001 \pm 5.062$ | $6.93 \pm 0.518$ |  |  |
| 311 | $5.643 \pm 2.730$ | $10.07 \pm 0.395$ | $0.335 \pm 0.006$ |  |

## Appendix L: R2*R1 analysis for rescue mutants.

A) N235K and B) N39Y. R2*R1 is plotted as a function of amino acid residue. The diagram above the graphs illustrates p53 secondary structures. In N235K we see chemical exchange in L1 (122), L2 (192, 193, 199), and L3 (239). N239Y only shows chemical exchange for residue 130 and 131. Dotted lined indicates $10 \%$ trimmed value after excluding residue with $\mathrm{NOE}<0.7$.


## Appendix M: Comparison of NMR S2 Calculated from Mutant Crystal or WT NMR Structures.

Use of mutant p53 crystal or WT NMR coordinate files do not change Modelfree $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ calculation results. (A) Difference between $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ values calculated from N235K relaxation data when using N235K crystal (PDB: 4LO9) or WT NMR as the structure file (PDB: 2FEI) (B) Difference in $S^{2}$ values calculated from N239Y data when using N239Y crystal (PDB: 4LOE) or WT NMR as the structure file (PDB: 2FEI).



Appendix N: Differences in order parameters between rescue mutants and WT:
A) Difference between N235K and WT. B) Difference between N239Y and WT.


## Appendix 0: Hydrogen exchange rate for DBH at 30 and d50 C.

(A) ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$-HSQC spectra of Dbh at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (blue, top) and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (teal, bottom) at different time points after being transferred into $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Peaks were integrated at each time point over a period of two weeks and plotted as a function of time. Hydrogen exchange rates $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{ex}}\right)$ were calculated from a fit to a single exponential. Representative data: (B) 271Asn and 304His hydrogen signal intensities in Dbh at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (C) 130Lys and 106Glu hydrogen signal intensities in Dbh at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


## Appendix P: Measured exchange rate and calculated protection factors for DBH at 35 C and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TC value for all amides.

Include measures exchange rates ( kex ) and Protection Factors (PF) at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for all measured amides. Also includes Temperature Coefficient (TC). Cells with 'stable' showed no signs of decay overall the course of the experiment. Cells denoted with these symbols ${ }^{*}$, $\dagger, \ddagger$ ) exchange too fast to fit. They completely exchanged after $10 \mathrm{~min}\left({ }^{*}\right), 16 \mathrm{~min}(\dagger)$, or 310 min ( $\ddagger$ ).

| Residue \# | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ex }} \pm$ error at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | PF at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\text {ex }} \pm$ error at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | PF at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{TC} \pm$ S.E of the slope |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 9.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.65 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |  | $-5.60 \pm 1.50$ |
| 3 |  |  | stable | stable | $-2.85 \pm 0.51$ |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | $-2.64 \pm 0.21$ |
| 5 | stable | stable | stable | stable | $-2.61 \pm 0.13$ |
| 6 | stable | stable | stable | stable | $-2.96 \pm 0.09$ |
| 7 | $5.1 \mathrm{E}-05 \pm 1.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.76 \mathrm{E}+07$ | stable | stable | $-1.11 \pm 0.08$ |
| 8 | $8.4 \mathrm{E}-05 \pm 1.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.19 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.65 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 2.90 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.37 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $-3.29 \pm 0.01$ |
| 9 |  |  |  |  | Non-linear |
| 10 |  |  |  |  | $-7.33 \pm 0.62$ |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | $-10.08 \pm 0.52$ |
| 13 |  |  |  |  | $1.70 \pm 0.16$ |
| 14 |  |  |  |  | $-4.15 \pm 0.33$ |
| 15 | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 2.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.20 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  | $-3.09 \pm 0.07$ |
| 16 |  |  |  |  | $-0.93 \pm 0.11$ |


| 18 | $9.0 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 6.2 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.09 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | $2.3 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 7.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.43 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $1.38 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 5.03 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.95 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |
| 20 | * | * |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  | $-8.10 \pm 1.04$ |
| 23 |  |  |  |  | $-3.70 \pm 0.10$ |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  | $-6.35 \pm 0.20$ |
| 28 | $3.5 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 5.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $6.95 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |  | $-2.44 \pm 0.26$ |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 3.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.98 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |  | $-5.30 \pm 0.10$ |
| 31 |  |  |  |  | $-1.45 \pm 0.09$ |
| 32 |  |  |  |  | $-2.45 \pm 0.10$ |
| 33 |  |  | $1.5 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 3.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |
| 42 |  |  |  |  | $-1.86 \pm 0.21$ |
| 44 |  |  |  |  | $-5.51 \pm 0.16$ |
| 45 |  |  |  |  | $-1.15 \pm 0.14$ |
| 50 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 8.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.17 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  |  |
| 51 |  |  |  |  | $-4.01 \pm 0.08$ |
| 53 |  |  |  |  | Non-linear |


| 54 |  |  |  |  | $-1.94 \pm 0.17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 | $7.2 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 2.3 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.46 \mathrm{E}+05$ |  |  | $-2.35 \pm 0.36$ |
| 56 |  |  |  |  | Non-linear |
| 58 |  |  |  |  | $-2.90 \pm 0.25$ |
| 59 |  |  |  |  | $-3.60 \pm 0.32$ |
| 61 |  |  |  |  | $-1.10 \pm 0.05$ |
| 62 |  |  |  |  | $-7.00 \pm 0.59$ |
| 63 |  |  |  |  | Non-linear |
| 64 |  |  |  |  | $-3.17 \pm 0.05$ |
| 65 |  |  |  |  | $-3.26 \pm 0.09$ |
| 66 |  |  |  |  | $-1.75 \pm 0.12$ |
| 68 | * | * |  |  |  |
| 72 |  |  |  |  | $-1.50 \pm 0.16$ |
| 73 |  |  |  |  | $-7.27 \pm 0.14$ |
| 74 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 1.2 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.09 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  | $-4.36 \pm 0.20$ |
| 77 |  |  |  |  | $-0.85 \pm 0.11$ |
| 78 |  |  |  |  | $-7.73 \pm 0.44$ |
| 80 |  |  |  |  | Non-linear |


| 81 |  |  |  |  | $-1.17 \pm 0.06$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 82 |  |  |  |  | $-5.73 \pm 0.32$ |
| 83 |  |  |  |  | $-1.73 \pm 0.17$ |
| 84 | $2.7 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 8.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.73 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  | $-1.12 \pm 0.06$ |
| 85 | $2.4 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 5.6 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.29 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  | $-2.73 \pm 0.07$ |
| 86 |  |  |  |  | $-5.72 \pm 0.11$ |
| 87 |  |  |  |  | $-2.69 \pm 0.07$ |
| 88 | $4.5 \mathrm{E}-05 \pm 2.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.40 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  |  |
| 89 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 3.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.11 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | $-5.38 \pm 0.24$ |
| 90 |  |  |  |  | $-2.34 \pm 0.06$ |
| 91 | $8.8 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 1.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $8.20 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |  |  |
| 92 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 3.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.64 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 4.36 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.88 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $-1.79 \pm 0.21$ |
| 93 |  |  |  |  | $-1.09 \pm 0.03$ |
| 94 |  |  |  |  | $-1.62 \pm 0.17$ |
| 95 |  |  |  |  | $-2.15 \pm 0.18$ |
| 96 |  |  |  |  | $-0.75 \pm 0.05$ |
| 98 |  |  |  |  | $-1.23 \pm 0.06$ |
| 99 | * | * |  |  |  |
| 100 |  |  |  |  | $-3.63 \pm 0.12$ |


| 103 |  |  |  |  | $-2.55 \pm 0.16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104 |  |  |  |  | $-2.51 \pm 0.16$ |
| 105 |  |  |  |  | $1.34 \pm 0.18$ |
| 106 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 1.2 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.49 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 8.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.43 \mathrm{E}+07$ | Non-linear |
| 107 |  |  |  |  | $-3.28 \pm 0.57$ |
| 108 | stable | stable | $1.20 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 4.52 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.24 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $-4.02 \pm 0.25$ |
| 109 | stable | stable | stable | stable | $-2.99 \pm 0.15$ |
| 114 |  |  |  |  | $-7.12 \pm 0.44$ |
| 115 |  |  |  |  | $-2.72 \pm 0.14$ |
| 116 |  |  |  |  | $-3.03 \pm 0.14$ |
| 117 |  |  |  |  | $-5.39 \pm 0.04$ |
| 120 |  |  |  |  | $-0.90 \pm 0.18$ |
| 121 |  |  |  |  | $-5.56 \pm 0.36$ |
| 122 | * | * |  |  | $-3.22 \pm 0.10$ |
| 123 |  |  |  |  | $-2.65 \pm 0.05$ |
| 124 | $1.9 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 3.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.31 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 6.89 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.75 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |
| 127 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 1.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.82 \mathrm{E}+07$ | * | * | $-1.63 \pm 0.09$ |
| 128 | stable | stable | stable | stable |  |
| 129 | stable | stable | stable | stable | $-3.35 \pm 0.10$ |


| 130 | stable | stable | $3.55 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 2.08 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.69 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $-3.51 \pm 0.02$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 132 |  |  |  |  | $-0.39 \pm 0.09$ |
| 133 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}-05 \pm 1.9 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.81 \mathrm{E}+07$ | stable | stable |  |
| 134 | stable | stable | $2.33 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 3.64 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.40 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $-1.36 \pm 0.08$ |
| 135 | $4.2 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 2.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.94 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $\pm$ | * | $-2.81 \pm 0.08$ |
| 136 | * | * |  |  | $-3.95 \pm 0.07$ |
| 137 | * | * |  |  | $-1.86 \pm 0.09$ |
| 138 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.64 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  | $-0.68 \pm 0.14$ |
| 139 | stable | stable | $1.28 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 2.75 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $9.29 \mathrm{E}+07$ | Non-linear |
| 140 |  |  |  |  | $-7.92 \pm 0.53$ |
| 141 |  |  |  |  | $-1.05 \pm 0.11$ |
| 142 | stable | stable | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 3.81 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.69 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $-3.27 \pm 0.17$ |
| 143 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-05 \pm 2.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.03 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  | $-1.74 \pm 0.06$ |
| 144 | stable | stable | stable | stable | Non-linear |
| 145 | stable | stable | stable | stable | $-2.85 \pm 0.25$ |
| 146 | Stable | Stable | stable | stable | $-3.11 \pm 0.19$ |
| 152 | stable | stable | stable | stable | $-2.55 \pm 0.21$ |
| 153 |  |  |  |  | $-4.13 \pm 0.60$ |
| 155 | stable | stable | stable | stable |  |


| 156 | stable | stable | stable | stable | $-2.63 \pm 0.02$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 157 |  |  |  |  | $-2.22 \pm 0.31$ |
| 159 |  |  |  |  | $-2.30 \pm 0.08$ |
| 160 |  |  |  |  | $-2.35 \pm 0.19$ |
| 161 |  |  |  |  | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ |
| 162 |  |  |  |  | $-2.59 \pm 0.26$ |
| 163 |  |  |  |  | Non-linear |
| 165 |  |  |  |  | $-3.69 \pm 0.10$ |
| 167 | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 3.1 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.18 \mathrm{E}+07$ | stable | stable | $-4.44 \pm 0.30$ |
| 171 |  |  |  |  | $-3.26 \pm 0.12$ |
| 172 |  |  |  |  | $-0.76 \pm 0.12$ |
| 173 |  |  |  |  | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ |
| 174 |  |  |  |  | $-10.16 \pm 0.47$ |
| 175 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |  |  |  |
| 176 |  |  |  |  | $-6.48 \pm 0.35$ |
| 177 |  |  |  |  | $-3.96 \pm 0.10$ |
| 178 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | $-0.28 \pm 0.05$ |
| 180 |  |  |  |  | $-7.61 \pm 0.29$ |
| 181 |  |  |  |  | $-2.64 \pm 0.13$ |


| 182 |  |  |  |  | Non-linear |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 183 |  |  |  |  | $-1.33 \pm 0.34$ |
| 184 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | $-2.01 \pm 0.23$ |
| 188 |  |  |  |  | $-6.21 \pm 0.42$ |
| 192 |  |  |  |  | $-6.12 \pm 0.25$ |
| 193 |  |  |  |  | $-2.24 \pm 0.08$ |
| 194 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 9.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.53 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  |  |
| 198 |  |  |  |  | $-1.05 \pm 0.11$ |
| 199 |  |  |  |  | $-1.48 \pm 0.07$ |
| 200 |  |  |  |  | $-3.91 \pm 0.22$ |
| 201 | $4.6 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 3.7 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $4.89 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  |  |
| 202 |  |  |  |  | $-2.06 \pm 0.09$ |
| 203 |  |  |  |  | $-1.63 \pm 0.16$ |
| 204 |  |  |  |  | $-6.98 \pm 0.48$ |
| 206 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |  |  |  |
| 207 |  |  |  |  | $-1.62 \pm 0.12$ |
| 212 |  |  |  |  | $-2.45 \pm 0.15$ |
| 213 |  |  |  |  | $-5.56 \pm 0.27$ |
| 214 |  |  |  |  | $-3.99 \pm 0.37$ |


| 216 |  |  |  |  | $-2.11 \pm 0.43$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 218 |  |  |  |  | $-3.45 \pm 0.10$ |
| 223 | * | * |  |  | Non-linear |
| 229 |  |  |  |  | $-3.50 \pm 0.52$ |
| 231 |  |  |  |  | $-2.65 \pm 0.59$ |
| 252 |  |  |  |  | $-2.87 \pm 0.15$ |
| 254 |  |  |  |  | $-2.14 \pm 0.48$ |
| 258 | stable | stable |  |  |  |
| 259 |  |  |  |  | $-8.99 \pm 0.62$ |
| 262 | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 1.1 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.15 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |  | $-2.99 \pm 0.13$ |
| 265 | $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ |  |  | $-1.80 \pm 0.29$ |
| 266 | stable | stable | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 3.46 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $8.90 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $0.27 \pm 0.11$ |
| 267 | $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ |  |  |  |
| 268 |  |  |  |  | $-0.74 \pm 0.21$ |
| 269 |  |  |  |  | $-3.08 \pm 0.22$ |
| 270 | stable | stable | stable | stable |  |
| 271 | $1.4 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 1.7 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.82 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  |  |
| 274 |  |  |  |  | $-5.31 \pm 0.16$ |
| 275 |  |  |  |  | $-1.83 \pm 0.04$ |


| 277 |  |  |  |  | $-1.14 \pm 0.17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 278 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 279 |  |  |  |  | $-1.30 \pm 0.12$ |
| 280 | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 2.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.61 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |  |  |
| 282 |  |  |  |  | $-1.19 \pm 0.15$ |
| 283 | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |  |  | $-1.86 \pm 0.27$ |
| 284 | stable | stable |  |  | $-1.40 \pm 0.13$ |
| 285 |  |  | $1.43 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 1.10 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $4.75 \mathrm{E}+07$ |  |
| 286 | stable | stable |  |  | $-2.10 \pm 0.14$ |
| 287 |  |  | stable | stable | $-1.62 \pm 0.15$ |
| 288 | stable | stable | stable | stable | $-2.99 \pm 0.07$ |
| 289 | stable | stable | stable | stable |  |
| 290 | stable | stable | stable | stable |  |
| 291 | $3.6 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 3.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.26 \mathrm{E}+07$ | * | * |  |
| 293 |  |  |  |  | $-1.77 \pm 0.23$ |
| 294 |  |  |  |  | $-3.11 \pm 0.18$ |
| 296 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 2.8 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.50 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |  | $-3.59 \pm 0.09$ |
| 301 |  |  |  |  | $-4.37 \pm 0.15$ |
| 302 |  |  |  |  | $-5.10 \pm 0.07$ |


| 304 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 1.9 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.44 \mathrm{E}+07$ | * | * |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 307 |  |  |  |  | $-7.87 \pm 0.32$ |
| 310 |  |  |  |  | $-1.75 \pm 0.15$ |
| 311 |  |  |  |  | $-4.37 \pm 0.10$ |
| 312 |  |  |  |  | $-0.37 \pm 0.11$ |
| 313 |  |  |  |  | $-2.07 \pm 0.08$ |
| 314 |  |  |  |  | $-2.86 \pm 0.19$ |
| 315 | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 1.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $1.90 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 5.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $1.42 \mathrm{E}+08$ |  |
| 316 |  |  |  |  | $-2.23 \pm 0.08$ |
| 317 | * | * |  |  | $-3.53 \pm 0.18$ |
| 318 | $5.3 \mathrm{E}-05 \pm 1.4 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.26 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.34 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 1.55 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $-1.21 \pm 0.30$ |
| 320 | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 3.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $5.49 \mathrm{E}+07$ | * | * |  |
| 321 | * | * |  |  |  |
| 322 | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-04 \pm 2.0 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $2.55 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | $-4.94 \pm 0.09$ |
| 323 | * | * |  |  | Non-linear |
| 324 |  |  |  |  | Non-linear |
| 326 |  |  |  |  | $-5.69 \pm 0.14$ |
| 329 |  |  |  |  | $-2.14 \pm 0.25$ |
| 330 |  |  |  |  | $-2.79 \pm 0.06$ |


| 331 | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-03 \pm 5.4 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $2.08 \mathrm{E}+06$ |  |  | $-3.54 \pm 0.07$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 332 |  |  |  |  | $-3.96 \pm 0.31$ |
| 333 | stable | stable |  | $-2.89 \pm 0.07$ |  |
| 334 |  |  |  | stable | $-2.26 \pm 0.13$ |
| 335 | stable | stable | stable | $-3.67 \pm 0.09$ |  |
| 336 |  |  |  |  | $-6.36 \pm 0.57$ |
| 337 |  |  | stable | stable |  |
| 339 | $*$ | $*$ |  |  | $-3.48 \pm 0.10$ |

## Appendix Q: Table of TC average across secondary structures of Dbh

Average excludes amides in loop regions, amides not involved in hydrogen bonds, and amides exposed to solvent. Structural analysis based on the Dbh crystal structure 1K1S. Cells denoted with this symbol $\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ did not have three or more TC values so an average could not be calculated. Averaging TC values secondary struture and domains can give a measure of local stability. Dynamic regions have a TC average that is more negative compared to rigid regions.

| TC average by secondary structure |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Helix |  |
| A (10-20) | -1.62 |
| B (21-24 | ** |
| C (47-52) | ** |
| D (60-66) | -2.84 |
| E (77-94) | -2.61 |
| F (121-138) | -2.58 |
| G (148-158) | -2.88 |
| H (171-178) | -2.95 |
| I (180-188) | -3.96 |
| J (189-198) | -3.14 |
| K (202-209) | -3.07 |
| L (210-219) | -3.51 |
| M (220-230) | ** |
| N (258-276) | -2.21 |
| 0 (307-324) | -2.59 |
| Strands |  |
| 1 (3-8) | -2.58 |
| 2 (28-33) | -2.91 |
| 3 (41-45) | -2.84 |
| 4 (72-74) | ** |
| 5 (98-103) | -2.58 |
| 6 (106-110) | -3.43 |
| 7 (141-147) | -2.4 |
| 8 (164-166) | ** |
| $9(241,242)$ | ** |
| 10 (246-256) | ** |
| 11 (283-290) | -1.99 |
| 12 (295-301) | ** |
| 13 (331-339) | -3.74 |
| $14(341,342)$ | ** |

## Appendix R: List of residues that shifted in the presence of $\mathbf{M g}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ and $\mathbf{M n}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$

List of peaks that disappeared in the presence of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ (Figures 3.7 and 3.9). The domain, residue number, residue type, and binding site are given.

| Domain | Residue \# | Type of residue | Binding site | $\mathbf{M g}{ }^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ | Mn ${ }^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palm | 7 | Asp | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 8 | Phe | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 9 | Asp | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 12 | Phe | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 13 | Ala | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 16 | Glu | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Finger | 25 | Gly | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Finger | 44 | Ala | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Finger | 45 | Thr | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Finger | 58 | Gly | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 85 | Ser | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 89 | Met | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 103 | Ser | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 105 | Asp | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 106 | Glu | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 108 | Tyr | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 117 | Gly | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 139 | Ile | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 140 | Thr | 1 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 144 | Gly | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 145 | Val | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 156 | Ala | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 159 | Ser | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Palm | 160 | Lys | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 162 | Asn | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 163 | Gly | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Palm | 165 | Gly | 1 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Thumb | 177 | Asn | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Thumb | 178 | Glu | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Thumb | 180 | Asp | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Thumb | 182 | Asp | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ |
| Thumb | 199 | Gly | 2 |  | $\checkmark$ |


| Thumb | 201 | Gln | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thumb | 204 | Arg | 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| LF | 282 | Met | 3 | $\checkmark$ |  |
| LF | 299 | Gly | 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| LF | 301 | Lys | 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| LF | 305 | Gly | 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

