

UC Riverside

UC Riverside Previously Published Works

Title

The Mogou Bioarchaeology Project: exploring health in the Chinese Bronze Age

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8527c890>

Journal

Antiquity, 95(381)

ISSN

0003-598X

Authors

Dittmar, Jenna M
Berger, Elizabeth S
Mao, Ruilin
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2021-06-01

DOI

10.15184/aqy.2021.50

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Antiquity

Date of delivery: 09.04.2021

Journal and vol/article ref: **aqy** **AQY2100050**

Number of pages(not including this page): 7

page 1 of 2

This proof is sent to you on behalf of Cambridge University Press.

Authors are strongly advised to read these proofs thoroughly because any errors missed may appear in the final published paper. This will be your ONLY chance to correct your proof. Once published, either online or in print, no further changes can be made.

Please return your corrections in the form of marked proofs electronically **within 5 days** of receipt to:

Editorial Office <assistant@antiquity.ac.uk>

Please contact/inform assistant@antiquity.ac.uk even if you have **no corrections** to make

Marking up electronically. All proofs are enabled to allow electronic annotation in the free Adobe Reader software. Using your cursor select the text for correction, right click and use the most appropriate single tool (i.e. 'Replace', 'Cross out' or 'Add note to text'), to insert place the cursor then go to Tools/Comment&mark up/Text edits/Insert text at cursor. Please do not use the 'Sticky note' function its placement is not precise enough. 'Show comments' allows all marks to be clearly seen by the typesetter, please do not emphasise your marks in any way. Please return the file as an attachment via email.

Marking up by hand. Please use the proof correction symbols indicated keeping marks clear and concise. Scanned proofs can be returned via email.

If corrections are light, you can also send them as a list quoting both page and line number.

If you have any problems please contact:
Editorial Office <assistant@antiquity.ac.uk>

Offprints: If you wish to order paper offprints, please click on the link below to

Antiquity

download an order form. Please follow the instructions on the form carefully and complete it as an electronic form if possible.

<https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-file-manager/file/5aec57c3f6e65274069f35bc>

Please note:

- The proof is sent to you for correction of typographical errors only. Revision of the substance of the text is not permitted, unless discussed with the editor of the journal. Only **one** set of corrections are permitted.
- Please answer carefully any author queries.
- Corrections which do NOT follow journal style will not be accepted.
- A new copy of a figure must be provided if correction of anything other than a typographical error introduced by the typesetter is required.
- If you have problems with the file please contact assistant@antiquity.ac.uk

Please note that this pdf is for proof checking purposes only. It should not be distributed to third parties and may not represent the final published version.

Important: you must return any forms included with your proof.

Please do not reply to this email

NOTE - for further information about **Journals Production** please consult our **FAQs** at http://journals.cambridge.org/production_faqs

Author Queries

Journal: AQY (Antiquity)

Manuscript: S0003598X21000508jsc

- Q1** Please check that all of the highlighted author names are in the correct order and spelled correctly.
- Q2** Please ensure (where applicable) that the provided Location Map is correct.
- Q3** Please ensure that all funding information (including funding bodies, institutions and grants) is present and accurate in the Funding statement section.
- Q4** Please verify the accuracy and spelling of any binomial nomenclature used.
- Q5** Please check that all figures and figure captions are correct and appear in the appropriate order, and that permission has been granted to reproduce any images attributed to third parties.
- Q6** Please ensure that you have reviewed everything carefully and that the information presented is correct as once the proof has been approved by the corresponding author, no corrections will be issued to the above information after publication.


Typesetter Query:

1. There is a mismatch in Received details between purchase order and manuscript. we have followed the manuscript file. Please check and adv



Project Gallery

The Mogou Bioarchaeology Project: exploring health in the Chinese Bronze Age

Jenna M. Dittmar^{1,2,*} , Elizabeth S. Berger³, Ruilin Mao⁴, Hui Wang⁵
& Hui-Yuan Yeh⁶

¹ McDonald Institute for Archaeological Research, University of Cambridge, UK

² Department of Archaeology, University of Aberdeen, UK

³ Department of Anthropology, University of California, Riverside, USA

⁴ Gansu Provincial Institute for Cultural Relics and Archaeology, China

⁵ Institute of Archaeological Science, Fudan University, China

⁶ School of Humanities, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

* Author for correspondence: ✉ jenna.dittmar@abdn.ac.uk

Bioarchaeological research provides unique insights on human adaptation, diet, lifestyle and epidemiology. The Mogou Bioarchaeology Project explores how health was affected by the Bronze Age transition in north-west China. Preliminary results reveal that the inhabitants experienced substantial physiological stress, infectious disease and lethal trauma.

Keywords: China, Bronze Age, bioarchaeology, human adaptation

The second millennium BC in north-west China was characterised by a drastic period of climate change, technological exchange and social upheaval. Our understanding of how human cultures were affected by and adapted to the change in climate and environmental deterioration that occurred during the Bronze Age transition can be further understood through bioarchaeological research. To distinguish the impact of environmental and social stresses on the health of ancient communities, the Mogou Bioarchaeology Project was established in 2015 by a team of international scholars and the Gansu Provincial Institute of Cultural Relics and Archaeology (Figure 1). The main aim of the project is to understand the impact of social, technological and environmental factors on human demography, health and lifestyles during the Bronze Age.

The human skeletal remains under analysis were recovered from the cemetery site of Mogou (磨沟) in Lintan County, Gansu Province (Figure 2). The cemetery is located on a terrace above the south-west bank of the Tao River, covers more than 30ha and yielded a total of 1688 graves. The site was discovered prior to the construction of a reservoir and was completely excavated between 2008 and 2012 by the Gansu Provincial Institute of Cultural Relics and Archaeology and the School of Cultural Heritage of Northwest University. All excavated materials are currently stored at the Gansu Institute's headquarters in the provincial capital of Lanzhou. Initially it was estimated that over 4000 individuals were

Received: 17 April 2020; Revised: 16 August 2020; Accepted: 19 August 2020

© The Author(s), 2021. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Antiquity Publications Ltd.

45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88



Figure 1. The 2019 field team of the Mogou Bioarchaeology Project at the Gansu Provincial Institute of Cultural Relics and Archaeology, Lanzhou, China (photograph by E. Berger).




Figure 2. Map showing the modern borders of Gansu Province and the location of the Mogou site, Lintan County, Gansu (map by Chuan Zhu, Nanyang Technological University).

112 entombed in this cemetery, but based on our analysis of commingled remains, particularly
113 infants, we now believe that the number of individuals present exceeds 5000. Radiocarbon
114 dates indicate that the site was in use between 1750–1100 BC, and the grave goods suggest
115 it was used to inter individuals associated with the Qijia material culture complex from 1750–
116 1400 BC, and later with the Siwa material culture from 1400–1100 BC (Mao *et al.* 2009; Xie
117 *et al.* 2009).

118 The Qijia Culture dates from the Early and Middle Bronze Age, and is found throughout
119 north-west China, including the western Loess Plateau, the eastern Hexi Corridor, the eastern
120 Qinghai Plateau and throughout the upper Yellow River Valley and its tributaries (Mao *et al.*
121 2009; Xie 2002; Xie *et al.* 2009; Chen 2013). This is the region through which many ele-
122 ments of material culture and technology were exchanged between North Asia, Central
123 Asia and China (Liu & Chen 2012; Campbell 2014; Womack *et al.* 2017). Qijia Culture
124 sites also represent diverse subsistence strategies, including agriculture and animal husbandry.
125 Thus, they are critical for understanding the subsistence shift towards a greater reliance on
126 pastoralism during the second millennium BC in north-west China.
127

128 The Siwa Culture was a Late Bronze Age material culture complex also associated with
129 mixed agropastoral food production, found in eastern Gansu and the upper Yellow River
130 drainage (Xie 2002). The skeletal remains from the Siwa graves are still under analysis,
131 and will provide a critical temporal comparative sample for the Qijia remains in future
132 analyses.

Preliminary findings

Over the course of five field seasons, 757 individuals have been analysed. The majority of these individuals (n = 665) are from graves associated with the Qijia material culture, while far fewer individuals (n = 92) are from graves identified by excavators as belonging to the Siwa Culture. Macroscopic and radiographic analyses have provided evidence that the individuals buried in the cemetery experienced a range of specific and non-specific infectious, metabolic and congenital illnesses (e.g. tuberculosis, scurvy, osteoporosis, ankylosing spondylitis) (Figure 3). Analysis of the latest findings are ongoing, but previous research on the Qijia individuals revealed evidence of cranial surgery (Dittmar *et al.* 2019a), as well as substantial evidence of lethal violence, primarily on male individuals (Figure 4) (Dittmar *et al.* 2019b).

Although the Mogou burials are mostly multiple interments, severe commingling was primarily limited to sub-adult skeletons, and in adult skeletons was generally of only a few elements, so analysis by individual was possible in most cases. The baseline of the chronic but non-fatal disease burden in the Qijia population was assessed by analysing skeletal lesions that are associated with physiological stress, which can result from poor nutrition, infectious disease, parasitic infection and repeated growth insults in childhood, among other causes. Indicators of stress include dental enamel hypoplasia (defects in tooth enamel that result from growth disruptions during childhood), cribra orbitalia (porosity on the orbital roof), porotic hyperostosis (porosity on the cranial vault) and periosteal new bone formation on the long bones. Analysis of the well-preserved (over 25 per cent complete) and non-commingled individuals (n = 417) revealed that 43 per cent (n = 53/123) of those with teeth present had dental enamel hypoplasia in two or more teeth. Thirty-two percent (n = 77/243) of individuals had cribra orbitalia, 7 per cent (n = 19/263) had porotic hyperostosis, and 55 per cent (n = 167/306) had evidence of healed or healing periosteal reaction on the appendicular skeleton. These findings indicate that the people interred at Mogou experienced substantial chronic physiological stress. Thirteen per cent (n = 41/306) of individuals had evidence of active periosteal reaction, indicating that they died while experiencing physiological stress possibly caused by a nutritional deficiency, trauma or an infection.

Going forward

The preliminary findings of this project indicate that the people interred at Mogou likely consumed nutritionally inadequate diets and experienced chronic physiological stress throughout their lives. The factors that contributed to this high morbidity, and how it relates to the presence of infectious diseases and lethal violence, will be further explored by the members of the project over the next five years. The next phase of research at Mogou has three primary aims. The first is to expand the sample size and use hazard analysis to identify trends in morbidity, fertility and mortality over time that may be associated with social or environmental changes. The second aim is to identify and analyse infectious disease lesions to understand further the disease landscape. This will be done in collaboration with scholars from Fudan University's Institute of Archaeological Science, who will conduct ancient DNA analysis to identify pathogens. The third aim is to identify correlates of violence at the site in order to understand

177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212




Figure 3. Osteological lesions consistent with scurvy present on a non-adult individual: a) porotic hyperostosis on the right parietal bone; b) new woven bone formation on the roof of each orbit, with abnormal pitting (photographs by J. Dittmar).

215
216 the causes of intergroup conflict in Bronze Age north-west China. These findings will be
217 contextualised using the Gansu Institute's ongoing seriation and analysis of the material
218 culture from the site. This will then enable us to explore how social, technological and
219 environmental factors influenced demography, health and the human experience during
220 the second millennium BC.

221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255

Fig. 4 - Colour online, Colour in print



256 *Figure 4. Peri-mortem trauma located on the cranium of an adult male individual from the Mogou site (M448 R3)*
257 *(photograph by J. Dittmar).*

259 **Acknowledgements**

261 The authors would like to thank all of the students who have volunteered to work on the
262 Mogou Bioarchaeology Project, the staff at the Gansu Provincial Institute of Cultural Relics
263 and Archaeology for their invaluable support and assistance during data collection, and the
264 anonymous reviewers for their comments on this manuscript.

265 **Funding statement**

266 Funding to carry out this research was provided by the Centre for Liberal Arts and Social Q3
267 Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore; Chinese National Social Science
268 Key Project Grant for ‘The Mogou Cemetery Project: Multidisciplinary Research in
269 Gansu Lintan’ (grant number: 18ZDA225); Banco Santander through the Santander Mobil-
270 ity Grant scheme at the University of Cambridge; Darwin College, Cambridge; the
271 Lieberthal-Rogel Center for Chinese Studies at the University of Michigan; the Esherick-Ye
272 Family Foundation; the Association for Asian Studies China and Inner Asia Council; and the
273 American Association of Physical Anthropologists Cobb Professional Development Grant
274 programme.
275

276 **References**

- 277
278 CAMPBELL, R. 2014. *Archaeology of the Chinese*
279 *Bronze Age: from Erlitou to Anyang*. Los Angeles
280 (CA): Cotsen Institute of Archaeology Press.
281 <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvdjrr9r>
282 CHEN, H. 2013. The Qijia Culture of the upper
283 Yellow River Valley, in A.P. Underhill (ed.) *A*
284 *companion to Chinese archaeology*: 105–24.
285 Chichester: Blackwell.
286 DITTMAR, J., X. ZHAN, E. BERGER, R. MAO,
287 H. WANG, Y. ZHAO & H.-Y. YEH. 2019a.
288 Ritualistic cranial surgery in the Qijia Culture
289 (2300–1500 BCE), Gansu, China. *Acta*
290 *Anthropologica Sinica* 38: 389–97.
291 DITTMAR, J., E. BERGER, X. ZHAN, R. MAO,
292 H. WANG & H.-Y. YEH. 2019b. Skeletal evidence
293 of violent trauma from the Bronze Age Qijia
294 Culture (2300–1500 BCE), Gansu Province,
295 China. *International Journal of Paleopathology* 27:
296 66–79.
297 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpp.2019.08.002>
298 LIU, L. & X. CHEN. 2012. *The archaeology of China:*
299 *from the Late Paleolithic to the Early Bronze Age*.
300 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
301 <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139015301>
302 MAO, R., Y. QIANG, Y. XIE, Y. ZHU & J. ZHOU.
303 2009. Gansu Lintan Mogou Qijia wenhua mudi
304 fajue jianbao [Brief report on the excavation of
305 Qijia graves at the Mogou cemetery, Lintan,
306 Gansu]. *Wenwu*, 641: 10–24.
307 XIE, D. 2002. *Ganqing Diqu Shiqian Kaogu*
308 (*Prehistoric archaeology of Gansu and Qinghai*).
Beijing: Wenwu chubanshe.
309 XIE, Y., Y. QIANG, R. MAO, J. ZHOU & Y. ZHU.
310 2009. Gansu Lintan xian Mogou Qijia wenhua
311 mudi [A Qijia cultural cemetery, Mogou in
312 Lintan County, Gansu Province]. *Kaogu* 49(7):
313 10–17.
314 WOMACK, A., Y. JAFFE, J. ZHOU, L.-Y. HUNG,
315 H. WANG, S. LI, P. CHEN & R. FLAD. 2017.
316 Mapping Qijiaping: new work on the type-site of
317 the Qijia Culture (2300–1500 BC) in Gansu
318 Province, China. *Journal of Field Archaeology* 42:
319 488–502.
320 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00934690.2017.1384669>