Radiative $\tau$ production and decay
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We have studied the muon decay channel of the $\tau$ lepton with the presence of a photon in $e^+e^-\rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-\gamma$ and for the branching ratio of $\tau\rightarrow \mu\gamma\nu\bar{\nu}$. This is the first direct measurement of radiative $\tau$ decay, which for the muon channel with a detectable photon is expected to occur at the level of a percent of the total decay rate to $\mu\nu\bar{\nu}$. We are motivated by the one-prong $\tau$-decay branching-ratio discrepancy to check for anomalous behavior manifesting itself in radiative events.

We use $e^+e^-\rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-\gamma$ annihilation data at $E_{\text{cm}}=29$ GeV with the Mark II detector at the SLAC $e^+e^-\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ storage ring PEP (Refs. 5 and 6) with an integrated luminosity of 207.9 pb$^{-1}$. We are not counting events which contain two or more photons. There are two cylindrical drift chambers concentric with the beam direction which have combined momentum resolution of $d\mathit{p}/\mathit{p}=(0.025^2+0.01p)^{1/2}$ (in GeV/c) in the plane transverse to the beam direction. Surrounding the drift chamber is the time-of-flight (TOF) system, consisting of 48 plastic scintillators. Photons are detected by a barrel lead-liquid-argon electromagnetic calorimeter, and an energy resolution of $d\mathit{E}/\mathit{E}=0.14/\sqrt{\mathit{E}}$ (in GeV) and covering $|\cos\theta|<0.69$. There are also two end-cap calorimeters with an energy resolution of $d\mathit{E}/\mathit{E}=0.5/\sqrt{\mathit{E}}$ (in GeV), which are used in this analysis for vetoing certain types of events. A muon detector surrounds the barrel calorimeter and consists of four walls, with four layers of steel absorber and proportional tubes. From Monte Carlo $\tau$-pair events, where only one $\tau$ decays to the muon, we find the sole requirement of detecting a muon with $p \geq 2$ GeV to have an efficiency of $\sim 21\%$. The small-angle-tagger (SAT) detector resides at low forward angles with three sets of planar drift chambers, followed by plastic scintillators and a lead-scintillator calorimeter with energy resolution $d\mathit{E}/\mathit{E}=0.14/\sqrt{\mathit{E}}$ (in GeV). $\tau$ pairs in high-energy $e^+e^-$ experiments are produced collinearly unless hard initial-state radiation is omitted; their decay products emerge well collimated along the original $\tau$ direction and usually include one to three charged tracks. Final-state radiation and decay radiation do not significantly alter the event topology. This analysis selects $\tau$'s which decay to a muon. In addition, one photon is required to be detected near the muon.

(a) Two to six charged tracks, each with drift-chamber momentum $p > 0.15$ GeV. (b) At least one charged track with $p \geq 2$ GeV must have signals associated with it in all four layers of the muon system. This muon candidate must be isolated from other charged tracks by $> 90\%$, a requirement which naturally divides the event into two hemispheres. (c) Track-quality cuts based on number of drift-chamber hits, quality of the track fit, and distance of closest approach to the beam collision point must be satisfied. (d) Only one photon reconstructed in the barrel calorimeter with energy $\geq 0.3$ GeV is allowed within $90\%$ of the muon candidate. Expected major backgrounds include radiative two-photon, radiative $\mu$-pair, and misidentified hadronic-$\tau$-decay events. Requiring the presence of a detected photon in the event significantly suppresses two-photon backgrounds. We further reject them by requiring the energy...
balance along the beam to satisfy \(|\sum_i p_{z_i}| / |\sum_i p_i| < 0.92\) where the summation is over charged and neutral tracks. We have requirements on the total energy \(E_{\text{vis}}\) and the transverse momentum \(P_\perp\) for the event, which include contributions from both charged and neutral particles. For events with > 2 charged tracks, we require \(E_{\text{vis}} > 6\) GeV. For 2-charged-track events, we require \(E_{\text{vis}} > 3\) GeV, and if \(P_\perp < 3.5\) GeV then \(E_{\text{vis}} > 6.5\) GeV. Radiative \(\mu\)-pair events are suppressed by demanding the following for 2-charged-track events: \(E_{\text{vis}} < 24\) GeV, the event kinematics to be inconsistent with \(e^+e^-\rightarrow\mu^+\mu^-\gamma\) hypothesis, and the acoplanarity to be > 0.25° (> 1° if both tracks are consistent with being muons). There are also backgrounds from higher-order radiative \(\mu\)-pair events, characterized by missing energy from hard initial-state radiation close to the beam direction. These events are almost completely eliminated by requiring the missing mass, reconstructed from visible tracks, to be inconsistent with a photon mass, and the kinematic fit to be inconsistent with \(e^+e^-\rightarrow\mu^+\mu^-\gamma(\gamma)_{\text{beam}}\) hypothesis.\(^9\) Because two-photon and hard-initial-state radiative processes sometimes emit electrons/positrons and photons at low angles, we veto events with energetic end-cap calorimeter or SAT system tracks. Hadronic backgrounds are small because of the required isolation of the muon candidate from other charged tracks. We discard events with > 1 charged tracks in the nonmuon hemisphere, having a reconstructed mass > 2.5 GeV/c\(^2\). Cosmic-ray events are removed by imposing a TOF cut on the difference between the expected and measured time for both tracks in all 2-charged-track events. Sixty-seven events survive all the above requirements.

Estimates of background contributions and selection efficiencies are calculated using the data and Monte Carlo techniques. For simulating \(r\)-pair production, we use the Monte Carlo program KORALB,\(^10\) which includes \(O(a)\) initial- and final-state radiative corrections and does not neglect the \(r\) mass. To simulate multihadronic decays not in KORALB, we use the LUEPIT (Ref. 11) Monte Carlo program. We have modified the KORALB program to include the radiative decay, \(\tau\rightarrow\mu\gamma\nu\), using formulas originally calculated for the process \(\mu\rightarrow e\gamma\nu\), where lepton masses have not been neglected.\(^12,13\) For calculating expected backgrounds due to hadrons misidentified as muons, both from \(r\)-pair events and from hadronic events, we measure the total probability of pion decay to muons plus misidentification from punchthrough using 1054 pion tracks from \(\tau \rightarrow 3\pi^\pm\nu\) and 960 from \(\tau \rightarrow \pi^\pm(>2)\nu\) to be 0.007 ± 0.002. Using the measured misidentification probability and Monte Carlo simulations, we obtain estimates of these backgrounds. The kinematics of two-photon processes are studied with data and a Monte Carlo simulation based on the double-equivalent-photon approximation.\(^7\) Our main two-photon background is from \(e^+e^-\rightarrow e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-\) events. Because existing two-photon Monte Carlo generators do not include internal final-state radiation, we have estimated the \(e^+e^-\rightarrow e^+e^-\mu^+\mu^-\gamma\) background directly from the data. To estimate the higher-order QED background \(e^+e^-\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma\) and \(e^+e^-\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma(\gamma)\) we use a Monte Carlo program allowing multiple initial-state and single final-state bremsstrahlung.\(^14\) All Monte Carlo events include a full simulation of the Mark II detector. Events are corrected to account for small inaccuracies in detector simulation and event reconstruction. For example, we reject Monte Carlo candidate events where the tagging photon shower lies closer than 1.9° to the muon direction, causing an estimated 0.8% loss in \(\tau\rightarrow\mu\gamma\nu\) events and a negligible loss in the other event samples.

The reconstructed mass of the \(\mu\-\gamma\) candidate is a useful distribution for comparing observed events with QED calculations. Each of the predicted event samples has a unique mass spectrum, allowing extraction of the branching ratio \(B(\tau\rightarrow\mu\gamma\nu)\). The mass spectrum is fitted with a maximum-likelihood technique to determine the contributions from the six sources considered in this analysis, listed in Table I. In the fit, the contribution from radiative \(\tau\) decay is allowed to vary freely, but the contributions from the other sources \((j)\) are constrained to lie near their predicted values (the ratio of fitted-to-expected contribution \(f_j^\ell = 1\)) under the assumption of Gaussian error distributions on those predictions. The likelihood function is defined by

\[
L \equiv \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2\pi\sigma_j|^2}} \right)^{n_j} \prod_i \frac{x_i^n e^{-x_i}}{n_i!},
\]

where \(n_i\) is the number of data points observed in mass bin \(i\), \(x_i\) is the total expected number of events in each bin, and \(\sigma_j^2\) is the fractional systematic error on background \(j\), which is estimated to yield \(N_j\) events in bin \(i\):

\[
x_i = 2N_j, B(\tau\rightarrow\mu\gamma\nu)e_j^\text{det} + \sum_j f_j^\ell N_j.
\]

where the binned detection efficiency for \(\tau\rightarrow\mu\gamma\nu\) events is \(e_j^\text{det}\), and \(N_{\text{tr}}\) is the total number of expected \(r\) events for an integrated luminosity \(^15\) of 207.9 ± 0.5 ± 2.8 pb\(^{-1}\) and a total cross section, \(\sigma(e^-e^+\rightarrow r\rightarrow \gamma(\gamma))\), of 0.135 nb. The fit yields the branching ratio \(B(\tau\rightarrow\mu\gamma\nu)\) and the factors \(f_j^\ell\)'s. The expected mass spectra from the four dominant backgrounds are plotted in Fig. 1. The systematic errors \(\sigma_j^2\) arise mostly from the statistics of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Input (\sigma_j^2)</th>
<th>Fit (f_j^\ell)</th>
<th>Number of events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\tau\rightarrow\mu\gamma\nu)</td>
<td>(\cdots)</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e^-e^+\rightarrow r^+r^-\gamma)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>40.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misidentified (r)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e^-e^+\rightarrow e^-e^-\mu^+\mu^-\gamma)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiative (\mu) pair</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hadronic+spurious (\gamma)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
data and Monte Carlo samples used to estimate the backgrounds and from uncertainties in branching ratios and cross sections. Table I lists the estimated \( \sigma_f \) and final fitted \( f_f \) values, where we divide \( B(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma \nu \bar{\nu}) \) by its predicted value. Figure 2 shows the mass spectrum from the data and from the six predicted contributions, normalized using the fit procedure. In Fig. 2, the mass distribution from the radiative-\( \tau \)-decay signal lies mainly below 0.4 GeV/c\(^2\). In this low-mass region, there are 14 data events; after subtracting the expected background (Fig. 1), 8.4 \( \pm \) 3.8 events remain, which is in agreement with the 8.6 \( \pm \) 3.7 number of signal events predicted by the fit.

Systematic errors on \( B(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma \nu \bar{\nu}) \) arise from uncertainties in the luminosity measurement (1.4\%), in \( B(\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \bar{\nu}) \) (0.8\%), and in higher-order QED \( \mu \)-pair processes (1.5\%), and in the shape of the mass spectrum for two-photon events (0.8\%). There are uncertainties due to simulation of clustering in calorimeter track reconstruction and due to uncertainty in the position of one of the muon walls (5.5\%). The dominant error is due to uncertainty in the misidentified \( \tau \) background from decay modes such as \( \tau \rightarrow \rho \nu \) (10.8\%).

The result for the ratio of fitted to calculated radiative decay branching ratio is 1.03 \( \pm \) 0.42, where the error is statistical and systematic, added in quadrature. From Monte Carlo studies, we estimate that 90\% of the radiative decay events passing all event-selection criteria arise from decays in which the energy of the photon in the \( \tau \) rest frame is greater than 0.037 GeV. From this estimate, we derive the ratio of the measured width \( \Gamma(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma \nu \bar{\nu}) \), \( E_\gamma \) \( > \) 0.037 GeV) to the total width \(^1\) for \( \tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \bar{\nu} \) to be (1.3 \( \pm \) 0.6\%).

To compare radiative \( \tau \)-pair production rate with expectation, the level of \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-\gamma \) events is now allowed to vary freely in the fit. The resulting ratio of fitted-to-expected number of events from the radiative production of a \( \tau \) that decays to a muon and passes event-selection criteria is 0.91 \( \pm \) 0.20, where we have used 17.8\% for the branching ratio \( B(\tau \rightarrow \mu \nu \bar{\nu}) \). Systematic errors are similar to those described above and are included in the error. Applying the same event cuts, with the requirement of either zero or one nearby photon, to \( \mathcal{O}(\alpha^3) \) Monte Carlo \( \tau \)-pair events, we estimate the fraction of radiative to all (radiative and nonradiative) events to be \( \sim \) 3.5\%, of which \( \sim \) 62\% are from final-state radiation. Therefore, \( \tau \) pair production with visible final-state bremsstrahlung is non-negligible, a consideration not taken into account in some previous branching-fraction measurements, such as some measurements of the \( \tau \rightarrow \pi \nu \) and \( \tau \rightarrow \nu \nu \) modes.\(^{16}\) Other experimental distributions confirm the agreement between the data and predictions. For example, Fig. 3 shows the observed photon energy spectrum in comparison with the expected distribution obtained from the fit to the \( \mu \)-\( \gamma \) mass spectrum.

In summary, we have observed radiative decay \( \tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma \nu \bar{\nu} \) in the Mark II data and have measured the ratio of observed to calculated rate to be 1.03 \( \pm \) 0.42. The ratio of measured-to-predicted number of events from radiative \( \tau \) production, \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-\gamma \), where one of the \( \tau \)'s decay to \( \mu \nu \bar{\nu} \) is found to be 0.91 \( \pm \) 0.20. We have not seen an indication of anomalous behavior in radiative events.
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**FIG. 1.** Mass spectrum from four sources, \( e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-\gamma \), misidentified \( \tau \)'s, \( e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\mu\mu\gamma \), and radiative \( \mu \) pairs which are input to the fit. Backgrounds from hadronic events and from spurious electronic noise in the calorimeter are small and not shown.
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**FIG. 2.** Measured and fitted \( \mu \)-\( \gamma \) mass spectrum from the fit to extract \( B(\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma \nu \bar{\nu}) \) described in the text.
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**FIG. 3.** Measured photon-energy distribution from the selected \( \mu \)-\( \gamma \) event sample. The sum of the distributions from all predicted sources, normalized using the results of the fit to the \( \mu \)-\( \gamma \) mass spectrum, is also shown.
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