UC Irvine

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health

Title

Reframing Child Protection in Emergency Medicine

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/88j1303s

Journal

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health, 25(6)

ISSN

1936-900X

Authors

Shapiro, Joseph P. Preer, Genevieve Kistin, Caroline J.

Publication Date

2024-10-01

DOI

10.5811/westjem.18481

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

COMMENTARY

Reframing Child Protection in Emergency Medicine

Joseph P. Shapiro, MD* Genevieve Preer, MD^{†‡} Caroline J. Kistin, MD, MSc[§]

- *Children's National Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine and Trauma, Washington DC
- [†]Boston University Chobanian & Avedisian School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts
- [‡]Boston Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts
- §Brown University, School of Public Health, Hassenfeld Child Health and Innovation Institute, Department of Health Services, Policy, and Practice, Providence, Rhode Island

Section Editor: Muhammad Waseem, MD

Submission history: Submitted September 26, 2023; Revision received April 11, 2024; Accepted May 10, 2024

Electronically published October 1, 2024

Full text available through open access at http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem_westjem

DOI: 10.5811/westjem.18481

Child maltreatment remains a concerning source of morbidity and mortality in the United States, where more than 600,000 children are victims of abuse each year, with well-described, long-term consequences for physical and mental health.

However, the US child welfare system is characterized by systemic racism and inequity. Black and Native American children are more likely to be evaluated and reported for suspected abuse despite evidence that race does not independently change their risk of being abused. Once reported to child protective services (CPS), these children are more likely to be removed from their homes and less likely to be reunited with their families than White children.

Much of the inequity in this system starts at the front door, where a growing body of research demonstrates that bias regularly infiltrates decision-making in the initial clinical evaluation and management of suspected abuse. Minority children presenting to emergency departments (ED) are more likely to receive diagnostic testing and are more likely to be referred to CPS.

In this editorial, we argue for the application of an equity lens to child protection in the ED. We discuss how emergency physicians can balance efforts to protect children from abuse with the imperative to protect children and families from the harms of an inequitable child welfare system. Our discussion concludes with concrete recommendations for emergency clinicians to participate in active bias mitigation and thoughtfully navigate their responsibilities as mandated reporters. [West J Emerg Med. 2024;25(6)1020–1024.]

Child maltreatment remains a concerning cause of morbidity and mortality in the United States, where greater than 600,000 children are victims of substantiated abuse each year with long-term consequences for physical and mental health. The US child welfare system, however, is characterized by marked inequity. Black and Native American children are more likely to be evaluated and reported for suspected abuse despite evidence that race does not independently change their risk of being abused. 4,5

In years past, typical clinical decision-making might have dictated that even a small suspicion of child abuse meant an automatic report to child protective services (CPS). However, with the growing recognition of the racial inequity within the child welfare system and its adverse effects on communities of color, emergency physicians now must reconsider their approach. As frontline care clinicians for injured children, emergency physicians should seek to strike a balance between safeguarding children from abuse and shielding families from unnecessary exposure to the child welfare system.

In a systematic review, Cenat et al compiled 36 studies of proportionality in child welfare systems and found that 27 of these studies show Black youth are over-represented in these systems.² This disproportionality is present at each phase of the child protection process beginning with reporting.

Nationally, Black children represent 15% of the general population but account for 25% of CPS investigations. Separate state-level analyses of public records in Washington, Colorado, Missouri, Wisconsin, Texas, and North Carolina show that Black children are at least twice as likely as White children to be reported to CPS. 10–15

Once an investigation is initiated, Black and Native American children are more likely to be removed from their homes and less likely to be reunited with their families than White children. ¹⁶ In a record review of 4,000 children referred to CPS in California, Lu et al found that in comparison to White children, Black children were 23.4% more likely to be placed in out-of-home care and 11.7% less likely to be reunified with their families if removed from the home. ¹⁷ A similar review by the Center for the Study of Social Policy found that Black victims of maltreatment were 36% more likely than White victims to be removed from their homes. ¹⁸

The burden of child welfare involvement on Black families and communities is substantial. Research by Kim et al indicates that over 50% of Black children will undergo a CPS investigation within their family before turning 18. Sociologist Dorothy Roberts and a coalition of academics and community advocates lead an intellectual movement that characterizes the child welfare system as a form of "family policing." Through a robust body of qualitative and narrative research they highlight how the system disrupts parental authority, hinders children's relationship development, fosters distrust among neighbors, and harms maternal mental health. 7,20–21 There is little evidence that contact with the child welfare system can improve outcomes for children or families. 22

The role of emergency physicians as mandated reporters and providers of frontline care to injured children makes them de facto gatekeepers to this unequal system. Most CPS referrals in hospitals are for patients whose care starts in the emergency department (ED).²³ Both general emergency physicians and pediatric emergency physicians care for children who may have been the victims of physical or sexual abuse, or who may be experiencing one of multiple types of neglect (physical, supervisory, medical, educational, and emotional). A growing body of research demonstrates that bias regularly infiltrates decision-making in the initial clinical evaluation and management of suspected abuse. In a secondary analysis of CPS reports conducted at an academic tertiary hospital, Cort et al found Black and Hispanic pediatric patients were four times more likely than White pediatric patients to be reported to CPS by medical personnel.²⁴

Lane et al demonstrated in a retrospective cohort study that Black and Latinx children seen for fractures were eight times more likely than White children to receive a skeletal survey and four times more likely to be reported to CPS, regardless of insurance status (poverty) or likelihood of an abusive injury.⁵ In a study of pediatric patients admitted for

traumatic brain injury at 39 hospitals. Wood et al observed that fewer skeletal surveys are performed among White infants (67.8%) than Black infants (84%). 25 In a crosssectional analysis from 18 sites in the Pediatric Brain Injury Research Network, Hymel et al found that among acutely head-injured patients hospitalized for intensive care, minority patients were twice as likely to be evaluated and reported for abuse than White patients. This disparity was seen most in patients who had a low estimated risk of abusive head trauma at the outset of the encounter. 26 Most recently, Rebbe et al showed that among hospitalized patients, Asian and Native American children were more likely than White children to have their encounter coded with a maltreatmentrelated diagnostic code and to be reported to CPS.²⁷ It is in part because bias factors into child maltreatment reporting that emergency physicians have such a meaningful role to play.

It is not our intention to encourage physicians to shirk mandated reporting requirements. Physicians with a "reasonable suspicion" of child maltreatment should report their concerns to the appropriate authorities. This remains the legal standard in most jurisdictions across the United States. That said, it must be acknowledged that considerate, well-trained people disagree on what constitutes "reasonable suspicion." The term is plagued by indeterminacy in theory and practice.²⁸ Survey studies consistently show wide variation among physicians attempting to define reasonable suspicion and reach consensus on the threshold for reporting.²⁹ In one study, clinicians chose to report only 73% of children they considered "likely" or "very likely" to have been abused, 30 while in a separate study nearly half of respondents said they would report cases where abuse was fifth or lower on their list of potential differential diagnoses.³¹ In many cases, it cannot be assumed that any two mandated reporters agree on the need for a CPS report.

In the setting of this indeterminacy, the burden falls to the individual clinician, and it is arguably an ethical one more than a legal one. Unnecessary reports to CPS stand in direct conflict with the principles of non-maleficence and justice. Reasonable people may disagree on the threshold for reporting potential abuse, but all clinicians should consider the full scope of harms and benefits any time they contemplate a filing.

Optimistically, this challenge is also an opportunity. There is a growing enthusiasm for remedying the child welfare system among pediatric healthcare clinicians in many settings. ^{4,6} Emergency and pediatric emergency physicians are perfectly positioned to join this movement and make positive changes in an unjust system. In the recommendations that follow, we draw on the work of experts in child protection and implicit bias to suggest interventions for emergency physicians at the intrapersonal and interpersonal levels.

- 1. Stay abreast of current evidence and recommendations. The evidence base for child abuse pediatrics is rapidly evolving. It is increasingly which mechanisms are understood explanations for certain injuries, making it possible to accurately identify the findings most indicative of abuse. A good understanding of this evidence base allows clinicians to avoid unnecessary workups and referrals. The American Academy of Pediatrics provides clinical practice guidelines that summarize current recommendations for the evaluation of physical abuse. 32,33 Going a step further, physicians can use their knowledge of evidence-based practice implement standardization departments. Limited studies show that clinical practice guidelines can improve disproportionality in child maltreatment evaluation.³⁴ This should be done carefully. Standard screening guidelines may improve proportionality, but standardized referral guidelines risk overexposing children and families of all races to the child welfare system.
- 2. Use a multidisciplinary approach to child protection. A thorough protective assessment by a skilled social worker is an invaluable tool for determining whether a CPS filing is necessary. In addition to the injury history typically obtained by the medical team, social workers often have the opportunity to learn more about family dynamics, housing status, caretaking responsibilities, protective factors, or prior CPS involvement. All this information can be used to make a holistic assessment of protective concerns and inform dialogue to mitigate bias.
- 3. Consult child abuse pediatricians whenever possible. Child abuse pediatricians are subspecialists with extensive training and expertise in all aspects of child protection. They can recommend diagnostic workups, comment on whether injuries are consistent with stated mechanisms, and help physicians navigate the reporting process. There is evidence that consultation with a child abuse pediatrician can reduce unnecessary referrals to CPS.³⁵
- 4. Understand your local CPS and make referrals in accordance with their capabilities. A good emergency room physician does not order a test or treatment without knowledge of its limitations. The same should apply to a report to CPS. What types of concerns do investigators have the expertise to investigate? What supports can they offer to families? Can they help with childcare, housing, transportation, or employment? It is a common misconception among clinicians that a report to CPS benefits families by helping them to access additional resources. 36,37 In practice, it is uncommon for CPS to provide social supports that could not have been made available by a hospital

- social worker or primary pediatrician. It has been questioned whether CPS has an impact at all on modifiable risk factors for abuse. In a multicenter cohort study of 595 children between 4–8 years old judged to be at risk of abuse, Campbell et al found no association between CPS investigation and subsequent social support, family function, poverty, maternal education, or child behavioral problems.³⁸
- 5. Be careful about language and information sharing. When communicating about a case with colleagues or CPS, avoid discussing unnecessary demographic details (race, employment status), or sharing subjective judgments ("lovely family"). The more communication is limited to essential information and neutral language, the more we can minimize the effect of bias.

Emergency clinicians can play an essential role in decreasing systemic inequity in child welfare by mitigating implicit and explicit bias and taking a thoughtful approach to mandated reporting.

Address for Correspondence: Joseph P. Shapiro, MD, Children's National Hospital, Department of Emergency Medicine and Trauma, 111 Michigan Avenue NW, Washington DC, 20010. Email: Joseph. shapiro@childrensnational.org

Conflicts of Interest: By the WestJEM article submission agreement, all authors are required to disclose all affiliations, funding sources and financial or management relationships that could be perceived as potential sources of bias. No author has professional or financial relationships with any companies that are relevant to this study. There are no conflicts of interest or sources of funding to declare.

Copyright: © 2024 Shapiro et al. This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) License. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

REFERENCES

- U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children's Bureau. Child maltreatment 2019. 2021. Available at: https://www.acf.hhs.gov/cb/research-data-technology/statistics-research/child-maltreatment. Accessed April 1, 2023.
- Cénat JM, McIntee SE, Mukunzi JN, et al. Overrepresentation of Black children in the child welfare system: a systematic review to understand and better act. *Children Youth Serv Rev.* 2021;120:105714.
- Dettlaff AJ. (2014). The evolving understanding of disproportionality and disparities in child welfare. In: Korbin JE and Krugman RD (Eds.), Handbook of Child Maltreatment (149–68). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer; 2014.

- Palusci VJ and Botash AS. Race and bias in child maltreatment diagnosis and reporting. *Pediatrics*. 2021;148(1):e2020049625.
- Lane WG, Rubin DM, Monteith R, et al. Racial differences in the evaluation of pediatric fractures for physical abuse. *JAMA*. 2002;288(13):1603–9.
- Rosenthal CM, Parker DM, Thompson LA. Racial disparities in child abuse medicine. *JAMA Pediatrics*. 2021;176(2):119–20.
- Fong K. Getting eyes in the home: child protective services investigations and state surveillance of family life. *Am Sociol Rev.* 2020;85(4):610–38.
- Freisthler B. We have more tools to support at-risk families than just child protective services with mandated reporting. *JAMA Pediatrics*. 2024;178(1):96.
- The Annie E. Casey Foundation. The Kids Count Data Book. 2019.
 Available at: https://www.aecf.org/resources/2019-kids-count-data-book. Accessed April 1, 2023.
- Washington State Racial Disproportionality Advisory Committee.
 (2010). Racial disproportionality in Washington State report to the legislature. In: Laws of 2007 (SHB 1472). Olympia, WA: Washington State Department of Social and Health Services.
- Needell B, Webster D, Armijo M, et al. Child welfare services reports for California. Available at: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/ucb_childwelfare. Accessed August 31, 2023.
- Drake B, Lee SM, Jonson-Reid M. Race and child maltreatment reporting: are Blacks overrepresented? *Children Youth Serv Rev.* 2009;31(3):309–16.
- Read L, O'Rourke CM, Hofer L, et al. Racial disproportionality in Wisconsin's child welfare system. 2009. Available at: https://minds. wisconsin.edu/handle/1793/36539. Accessed April 1, 2023.
- Texas Health and Human Services Commission, Department of Family and Protective Services. Disproportionality in child protective services: statewide reform effort begins with examination of the problem. 2006. Available at: https://www.dfps.texas.gov/Child_Protection/ Disproportionality/documents/2006-01-02_Disproportionality.pdf. Accessed April 1, 2023.
- Hill RB. Synthesis of research on disproportionality in child welfare: an update. 2006. Available at: https://www.aecf.org/resources/ synthesis-of-research-on-disproportionality-in-child-welfare-an-update. Accessed April 1, 2023.
- Yi Y, Edwards FR, Wildeman C. Cumulative prevalence of confirmed maltreatment and foster care placement for US children by race/ethnicity, 2011-2016. Am J Public Health. 2020;110(5):704–9.
- 17. Lu YE, Landsverk J, Ellis-Macleod M, et al. Race, ethnicity, and case outcomes in child protective services. *Children Youth Serv Rev.* 2004;26(5):447–61.
- Hill RB. An analysis of racial/ethnic disproportionality and disparity at the national, state, and county levels. 2007. Available at: https://www.aecf. org/resources/an-analysis-of-racial-ethnic-disproportionality-anddisparity-at-the-nation. Accessed April 1, 2023.

- Kim H, Wildeman C, Jonson-Reid M, et al. Lifetime prevalence of investigating child maltreatment among US children. Am J Public Health. 2017;107(2):274–80.
- Roberts DE. Shattered Bonds: The Color of Child Welfare. New York, NY: Basic Books, 2002.
- Lee T. Catching a Case: Inequality and Fear in New York City's Child Welfare System. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press, 2016.
- Evangelist M, Thomas MMC, Waldfogel J. Child protective services contact and youth outcomes. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2023;136:105994.
- Rovi S, Chen PH, Johnson MS. The economic burden of hospitalizations associated with child abuse and neglect. *Am J Public Health*. 2004;94(4):586–90.
- Cort NA, Cerulli C, He H. Investigating health disparities and disproportionality in child maltreatment reporting: 2002–2006. *J Public Health Manag Pract*. 2010;16(4):329–36.
- 25. Wood JN, Hall M, Schilling S, et al. Disparities in the evaluation and diagnosis of abuse among infants with traumatic brain injury. *Pediatrics*. 2010;126(3):408–14.
- H Hymel KP, Laskey AL, Crowell KR, et al. Racial and ethnic disparities and bias in the evaluation and reporting of abusive head trauma. *J Pediatr.* 2018;198:137–43.e1.
- Rebbe R, Sattler KM, Mienko JA. The association of race, ethnicity, and poverty with child maltreatment reporting. *Pediatrics*. 2022;150(2):e2021053346.
- Levi BH and Loeben G. Index of suspicion: feeling not believing. *Theor Med Bioeth*. 2004;25(4):277–310.
- Levi BH and Brown G. Reasonable suspicion: a study of Pennsylvania pediatricians regarding child abuse. *Pediatrics*. 2005;116(1):e5–12.
- Flaherty EG, Sege RD, Griffith J, et al. From suspicion of physical child abuse to reporting: primary care clinician decision-making. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(3):611–9.
- Levi BH and Brown G. Reasonable suspicion: a study of Pennsylvania pediatricians regarding child abuse. *Pediatrics*. 2005;116(1):e5–12.
- Christian CW, Committee on Child Abuse and Neglect, American Academy of Pediatrics. The evaluation of suspected child physical abuse. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(5):e1337–54.
- Section on Radiology, American Academy of Pediatrics. Diagnostic imaging of child abuse. *Pediatrics*. 2009;123(5):1430–5.
- Rangel EL, Cook BS, Bennett BL, et al. Eliminating disparity in evaluation for abuse in infants with head injury: use of a screening guideline. *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*. 2009;44(6):1229–34; discussion 1234–5.
- Raz M, Gupta-Kagan J, Asnes AG. Using child abuse specialists to reduce unnecessary child protective services reports and investigations. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2023;177(12):1249–50.
- 36. Jones R, Flaherty EG, Binns HJ, et al. Clinicians' description of factors influencing their reporting of suspected child abuse: report of the Child

- Abuse Reporting Experience Study Research Group. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(2):259–66.
- 37. Feldman KW, Monastersky C, Feldman GK. When is childhood drowning neglect? *Child Abuse Neglt.* 1993;17(3):329–36.
- Campbell KA, Cook LJ, LaFleur BJ, et al. Household, family, and child risk factors after an investigation for suspected child maltreatment: a missed opportunity for prevention. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2010;164(10):943–9.