## UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

#### Title

Genome-Wide Association Study of Pregnancy in Childhood Cancer Survivors: A Report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study.

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/899575pf

Journal Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention, 31(9)

**ISSN** 1055-9965

#### Authors

Rotz, Seth J Worley, Sarah Hu, Bo <u>et al.</u>

**Publication Date** 

2022-09-02

#### DOI

10.1158/1055-9965.epi-22-0314

Peer reviewed



## **HHS Public Access**

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 March 02.

#### Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2022 September 02; 31(9): 1858–1862. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-22-0314.

## Genome Wide Association Study of Pregnancy in Childhood Cancer Survivors: A Report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study

Seth J Rotz<sup>1</sup>, Sarah Worley<sup>2</sup>, Bo Hu<sup>2</sup>, Peter Bazeley<sup>2</sup>, Jessica L Baedke<sup>3</sup>, Melissa M Hudson<sup>3,4</sup>, Dennis J Kuo<sup>5</sup>, Kevin C Oeffinger<sup>6</sup>, Leslie L Robison<sup>3</sup>, Debashis Sahoo<sup>7</sup>, Fan Wang<sup>3</sup>, Yutaka Yasui<sup>3</sup>, Gregory T. Armstrong<sup>3</sup>, Smita Bhatia<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>.Department of Pediatric Hematology, Oncology, and Blood and Marrow Transplantation, Cleveland Clinic Children's Hospital, Cleveland, OH

<sup>2</sup> Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH

<sup>3</sup>. Department of Epidemiology and Cancer Control, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital

<sup>4</sup> Department of Oncology, St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN

<sup>5.</sup>Division of Pediatric Hematology-Oncology, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA

<sup>6</sup> Department of Medicine, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC

<sup>7</sup> Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA

<sup>8</sup>Institute for Cancer Outcomes and Survivorship, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL

#### Abstract

Background: Gonadotoxic treatment-related infertility has a significant impact on quality of life in childhood cancer survivors. Genome-wide association analyses to delineate the risk of infertility in childhood cancer survivors have not been previously reported.

**Methods:** Leveraging genotype data from a large survivor cohort, the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS), we investigated the role of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) on future pregnancy or siring a pregnancy in survivors without pelvic, testicular, or brain radiation who had ever been married. We calculated sex-stratified hazard ratios, using Cox proportional hazards modeling, adjusting for birth cohort (before 1965 vs. 1965 or later) and doses of relevant chemotherapies; replication was attempted in the independent St. Jude Lifetime Cohort study (SJLIFE).

**Results:** In the CCSS cohort, nine SNPs were found to be suggestive (p-value  $<10^{-7}$ ) or statistically significantly (p-value  $<5x10^{-8}$ ) associated with pregnancy, however, none of the SNPs were replicated in SJLIFE. Cohorts differed based on the overall pregnancy rate, frequency of sterilizing procedures and birth cohort.

Corresponding Author: Seth J. Rotz MD, rotzs@ccf.org, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio 44195, 216.217.8106. Conflict of Interest:

**Conclusions:** We were not able to replicate our findings of SNPs associated with pregnancy in childhood cancer survivors.

**Impact:** Future attempts at replication should be considered in cohorts treated in a comparable era. Additionally, understanding the role of genetics in fertility in childhood cancer survivors may be better approached using more advanced sequencing techniques.

#### Keywords

GWAS; pregnancy; cancer survivor; survivorship; fertility

#### Introduction

Infertility concerns have a significant impact on quality of life in survivors of childhood cancer.(1) Emerging technologies and greater awareness are expanding the pool of childhood cancer patients who may be able to preserve fertility despite intensive therapy, though predicting risk of infertility remains challenging.(1) Previous studies have found clear associations between gonadotoxic therapeutic exposures and subsequent risk of infertility.(2) Additionally, methods such as the cyclophosphamide equivalent dose (CED) for quantifying exposure to alkylating agents allow comparison of infertility risk across different treatment regimens, independent of primary cancer type.(3) In the general population, genome wide association studies (GWAS) have explored the individual risk of infertility.(4) However, genome-wide association analysis to delineate the likelihood of future pregnancy in childhood cancer survivors has not been previously attempted.

#### **Materials and Methods**

We performed a GWAS utilizing the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS), to examine the association between single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and pregnancy or siring a pregnancy. The CCSS is a multicenter, retrospective cohort of 25,665 five-year survivors of childhood cancer diagnosed between 1970-1999, who are followed prospectively for the development of late-effects.(2) We attempted replication for SNPs with a p-value  $<10^{-7}$ , utilizing the St. Jude Lifetime Cohort (SJLIFE) that includes individuals treated for childhood cancer at St. Jude Children's Research Hospital who had survived 5 years after diagnosis between 1962-2012.(5) Participants were excluded if they received radiation to the pelvis, testes or brain, had a sterilizing surgical procedure prior to 5-year survival, were missing a CED, or were survivors of non-European genetic ancestry (Supplementary Figure 1).(2,3) If patients had a sterilizing procedure after 5-year survival, analysis was censored at the time of the sterilizing procedure.

The CCSS conducted genotyping and imputation on 4.1 million loci (Illumina [San Diego, CA] Infinium Human Omni5 Exome-4 v1.0 array with imputation using the 1000 Genomes Phase 3 data as reference).(6) SNPs passing quality control steps were included for analysis; SNPs were excluded if the minor allele frequency (MAF) was <0.05, were missing from >20% of subjects or showed extreme deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p-value <10<sup>-6</sup>). For imputed SNPs the INFO score needed to be 0.5 and the certainty core 0.95.

Rotz et al.

For the SJLIFE cohort, whole-genome sequencing and quality control was performed as previously described.(7)

We created sex-specific multivariable Cox proportional hazard models to relate SNPs with pregnancy using attained age as the time axis, starting the at-risk time from five years postdiagnosis or at age 15 years, whichever was later, and ending at the earliest of pregnancy, death last survey, or age 44. The models included CED (0; >0), birth year (<1965; 1965), and the first three principle coordinates of genotypes. Due to the association of marital status on pregnancy we restricted the analysis to married subjects. Power analyses were conducted using the R package (survSNP) for the CCSS cohort.(8)

The data analyzed in this study were obtained from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS). https://ccss.stjude.org/develop-a-study/gwas-data-resource.html. Clinical Data is maintained in a database managed by the CCSS, and GWAS data was downloaded from dbGaP https://dbgap.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/aa/wga.cgi?page=login. Childhood Cancer Survivor Study (CCSS) dbGaP Study Accession: phs001327.v2.p1 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gap/cgi-bin/study.cgi?study\_id=phs001327.v2.p1. SJLIFE data including genotype data are available through: https://www.stjude.cloud/research-domains/cancer-survivorship.

#### Results

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the two cohorts were generally similar with a few notable exceptions. CCSS participants were more likely to be born prior to 1965, less likely to have a sterilizing surgical procedure subsequent to 5-year survival, and had a higher rate of pregnancy/siring a pregnancy (Table 1). For male CCSS participants, our study had a power of 81% to detect a HR=1.61 for MAF=0.2 at a genome wide significance level of  $5 \times 10^{-8}$ , assuming an additive model; the power was >90% for MAF >0.3. For female CCSS participants, our study had 81% power to detect a HR=1.52 for MAF=0.2.

In the CCSS cohort, using our GWAS model, one SNP had a p-value of  $<5.0 \times 10^{-8}$  and eight SNPs were in the range of  $p<10^{-7}$  with hazard ratios ranging from 1.35-1.84 (Table 2). None of the nine SNPs was associated with a statistically significant impact on pregnancy/siring a pregnancy in the replication cohort.

#### Discussion

We performed a GWAS to determine the association of SNPs with the likelihood of pregnancy or siring a pregnancy in a large cohort of childhood cancer survivors. Although several SNPs were potentially associated with pregnancy in the discovery cohort, none was replicated in an independent cohort. We were unable to examine the risk of clinical infertility or biochemical markers of subfertility. Infertility is multifactorial, and our study may not have been able to incorporate all pertinent factors. Indeed the ability to conceive (if desired by the patient) is the outcome with the greatest clinical relevance; however, data on patient desires or clinical infertility were not available for analysis. Likewise, another limitation of the study was that the questionnaire asked participants if they had ever been married, but did not specify whether the marriage was heterosexual. Although models in both cohorts made adjustments for birth cohort and CED, the two cohorts differed in regards

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 March 02.

to birth cohort, sterilizing procedures and overall rate of pregnancy. We did not include factors such as "desire to become pregnant" or use of assisted reproductive technology; these could have differed by birth cohorts. Overall, the SJLIFE cohort was born more recently and may have had greater access to assisted reproductive techniques compared to the CCSS cohort. Together these differences might explain why the findings in the CCSS cohort did not replicate. These limitations notwithstanding, our study demonstrates a need to continue to explore the role of genetic susceptibility in determining the risk of infertility among childhood cancer survivors.

#### Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### Financial Support:

This work was supported by the National Cancer Institute (CA55727, G.T. Armstrong, Principal Investigator). Support to St. Jude Children's Research Hospital also provided by the National Cancer Institute (NCI U01 CA195547, M.M. Hudson, Principal Investigator), Cancer Center Support (CORE) grant (CA21765, C. Roberts, Principal Investigator) and the American Lebanese-Syrian Associated Charities (ALSAC). Support to Seth Rotz from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (2KL2TR002547, Raed Dweik, Principal Investigator).

#### References

- Moravek MB, Appiah LC, Anazodo A, Burns KC, Gomez-Lobo V, Hoefgen HR, et al. Development of a pediatric fertility preservation program: a report from the pediatric initiative network of the oncofertility consortium. Journal of Adolescent Health 2019;64(5):563–73.
- Chow EJ, Stratton KL, Leisenring WM, Oeffinger KC, Sklar CA, Donaldson SS, et al. Pregnancy after chemotherapy in male and female survivors of childhood cancer treated between 1970 and 1999: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study cohort. Lancet Oncol 2016;17(5):567–76 doi 10.1016/S1470-2045(16)00086-3. [PubMed: 27020005]
- Green DM, Nolan VG, Goodman PJ, Whitton JA, Srivastava D, Leisenring WM, et al. The cyclophosphamide equivalent dose as an approach for quantifying alkylating agent exposure: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Pediatr Blood Cancer 2014;61(1):53–67 doi 10.1002/pbc.24679. [PubMed: 23940101]
- 4. Aston KI. Genetic susceptibility to male infertility: news from genome-wide association studies. Andrology 2014;2(3):315–21 doi 10.1111/j.2047-2927.2014.00188.x. [PubMed: 24574159]
- Hudson MM, Ness KK, Gurney JG, Mulrooney DA, Chemaitilly W, Krull KR, et al. Clinical ascertainment of health outcomes among adults treated for childhood cancer. JAMA 2013;309(22):2371–81 doi 10.1001/jama.2013.6296. [PubMed: 23757085]
- Morton LM, Sampson JN, Armstrong GT, Chen T-H, Hudson MM, Karlins E, et al. Genome-wide association study to identify susceptibility loci that modify radiation-related risk for breast cancer after childhood cancer. JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute 2017;109(11):djx058.
- Sapkota Y, Cheung YT, Moon W, Shelton K, Wilson CL, Wang Z, et al. Whole–genome sequencing of childhood cancer survivors treated with cranial radiation therapy identifies 5p15.
  locus for stroke: A report from the St. Jude Lifetime Cohort study. Clinical Cancer Research 2019;25(22):6700–8. [PubMed: 31462438]
- Owzar K, Li Z, Cox N, Jung SH. Power and sample size calculations for SNP association studies with censored time-to-event outcomes. Genet Epidemiol 2012;36(6):538–48 doi 10.1002/ gepi.21645. [PubMed: 22685040]

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 March 02.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

## Author Manuscript

Table 1.

Sired a Pregnancy	0
$\lambda$	
enanc	h
Pre	
and	
Sex	
þ	•
Stratified	
Cohort	
GWAS	

			CCSE	CCSS Cohort					SJLIFE	SJLIFE Cohort		
		Female			Male			Female			Male	
Variable	Never Pregnant (N=192)	Any Pregnant (N=719)	p- value	Never Sired Pregnancy (N=262)	Any Sired Pregnancy (N=536)	p-value	Never Pregnant (N=142)	Any Pregnancy (N=347)	p-value	Never Sired Pregnancy (N=256)	Any Sired Pregnancy (N=267)	p-value
Age at primary cancer diagnosis (years), median (min, max)	7.0 (0, 20)	8.0 (0, 20)	<i>q</i> 66.0	10 (0, 20)	8.0 (0, 20)	$0.50^{b}$	9.5 (0.0, 21)	7.5 (0.0, 19.5)	$0.11^{b}$	8.1 (0, 24)	9.4 (0, 20)	$0.14^{b}$
Age at last follow- up (years), median (min, max)	38 (21, 60)	40 (19, 62)	0.039 b	42 (22, 62)	42 (22, 64)	$0.56^{b}$	30.9 (19, 58)	36.8 (19, 64)	<0.001 b	34.8 (20, 61)	38.7 (19, 69)	<0.001 b
Birth year prior to 1965, n (%)	41 (21%)	169 (24%)	0.53 <sup>a</sup>	62 (24%)	122 (23%)	0.78 <sup>a</sup>	5 (3.5%)	17 (4.9%)	0.50 <sup>a</sup>	14 (5.5%)	18 (6.7%)	0.54 <sup>a</sup>
Hispanic, n (%) $^{*}$	8 (4.2%)	32 (4.7%)	$0.80^{a}$	6 (2.4%)	19 (3.7%)	0.31 <sup>a</sup>	0 (%0) 0	( %0) 0		0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Diagnosis, n (%)			0.23 <sup>a</sup>			<0.001 a			0.089 <sup>a</sup>			0.16 <sup>a</sup>
Leukemia	47 (24%)	216 (30%)		47 (18%)	119 (22%)		51 (36%)	114 (33%)		71 (28%)	63 (24%)	
CNS Tumor	21 (11%)	57 (7.9%)		9 (3.4%)	48 (9.0%)		4 (2.8%)	16 (4.6%)		7 (2.7%)	9 (3.4%)	
Hodgkin Disease	27 (14%)	77 (11%)		52 (20%)	52 (9.7%)		24 (17%)	41 (12%)		40 (16%)	43 (16%)	
Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma	14 (7.3%)	45 (6.3%)		27 (10%)	95 (18%)		8 (6%)	25 (7.2%)		25 (10%)	42 (16%)	
Renal Tumors	11 (5.7%)	55 (7.6%)		18 (6.9%)	35 (6.5%)		6 (4.2%)	29 (8.4%)		10 (3.9%)	19 (7.1%)	
Neuroblastoma	26 (14%)	71 (9.9%)		23 (8.8%)	39 (7.3%)		12 (8.5%)	29 (8.4%)		18 (7.0%)	14 (5.2%)	
Soft Tissue Sarcoma	14 (7.3%)	76 (11%)		30 (11%)	66 (12%)		5 (3.5%)	23 (6.6%)		7 (2.7%)	11 (4.1%)	
Bone Tumors	32 (17%)	122 (17%)		56 (21%)	82 (15%)		20 (14%)	26 (7.5%)		39 (15%)	26 (10%)	
Other Tumors	0 (0)	0 (0)		(0) (0)	0 (0)		12 (8.5%)	44 (13%)		39 (15%)	40 (15%)	
CED, n (%)			$0.31^{b}$			<0.001 b			906.0			<0.001 b
<1 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	102 (53%)	413 (57%)		109 (42%)	294 (55%)		77 (54%)	195 (56%)		102 (40%)	135 (51%)	
1-3,999 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	19 (9.9%)	80 (11%)		16 (6.1%)	64 (12%)		13 (9.2%)	27 (7.8%)		28 (11%)	36 (14%)	

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 March 02.

Author Manuscript

script	Author Manusci	
	script	

			CCS	CCSS Cohort					SJLIFE	SJLFE Cohort		
		Female			Male			Female			Male	
Variable	Never Pregnant (N=192)	Any Pregnant (N=719)	p- value	Never Sired Pregnancy (N=262)	Any Sired Pregnancy (N=536)	p-value	Never Pregnant (N=142)	Any Pregnancy (N=347)	p-value	Never Sired Pregnancy (N=256)	Any Sired Pregnancy (N=267)	p-value
4,000-7,999 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	33 (17%)	79 (11%)		40 (15%)	78 (15%)		30 (21%)	62 (18%)		48 (19%)	52 (20%)	
8,000-11,999 mg/m <sup>2</sup>	14 (7.3%)	67 (9.3%)		32 (12%)	51 (9.5%)		20 (14%)	51 (15%)		51 (20%)	30 (11%)	
$12,0000 \text{ mg/m}^2$	24 (13%)	80 (11%)		65 (25%)	49 (9.1%)		2 (1.4%)	12 (3.5%)		27 (11%)	14 (5.2%)	
Sterilizing procedure, n (%) $^{*}$	16 (8.4%)	101 (14%)	0.038 a	4 (1.5%)	25 (4.7%)	0.027 <sup>a</sup>	16 (11%)	94 (27%)	<0.001 a	20 (7.8%)	34 (13%)	0.06 <sup>a</sup>
CED: Cyclophosphamide Equivalent Dose	de Equivalent Dc	ose				n 1						

P-values:

a=Pearson's chi-square test,

b=Wilcoxon Rank Sum test.

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 March 02.

\* Data not available for all subjects. Missing values in CCSS males: Hispanic = 37; Sterilizing procedure = 6. Missing values in CCSS females: Hispanic = 36; Sterilizing procedure = 5. Missing values in SJLIFE males: sterilizing procedure = 6.

-
=
_
0
5
$\leq$
ຄື
Man
a
lan
lanu
lanus
lanusc

Rotz et al.

Table 2.

Suggestive and Significant GWAS SNPs

- 1										
	Power (α=0.05/9)	0.62	0.51	0.51	0.71	*	0.56	0.50	0.77	0.75
SJLIFE Cohort	Power (a=0.05)	0.87	0.80	0.80	0.91	*	0.83	0.79	0.94	0.93
SJLIFE	P- Value	0.111	0.374	0.374	0960	*	0.884	0.811	0.296	0.350
	HR	1.21	1.09	1.09	1.00	*	1.02	0.98	1.19	1.17
	RAF	0.123	0.180	0.180	0.155	*	0.174	0.246	0.075	0.074
hort	P- Value	5.3E-07	7.7E-07	7.7E-07	2.2E-08	6.3E-07	7.4E-07	3.6E-07	3.6E-07	5.6E-07
CCSS Cohort	HR	1.48	1.38	1.38	1.48	1.40	1.40	1.35	1.84	1.82
ت ا	RAF	0.117	0.195	0.195	0.163	0.165	0.169	0.254	0.061	0.060
	Potential Role in Fertility/ Pregnancy	Unclear		Venous thromboembolism risk during pregnancy			Upregulated in	endometrial tissue	Role in spermatogenesis, cell cycle control, and in meiotic cell division	
	Nearest Gene Function	Transfer sialic acids to terminal positions of carbohydrate groups in glycoproteins and glycolipids	Protein S:	vitamin K- vitamin K- plasma protein that functions as a cofactor for the anticoagulant protease, activated protein C	Negative	apoptosis.	Suppresses the TNF-	mediated apoptosis by inhibiting caspase-8 activity	Encodes a protein	wnrcn contains a conserved nuclear localization signal
	Nearest Gene	ST6GALNAC3		PROSI			TNFA IPS			PELO
	Location	Intergenic	Intronic	Intronic	Intronic	Intronic	Intronic	Intronic	Intergenic	Intergenic
	Risk Allele	U	Т	Т	c	А	А	Т	Т	Т
	Position	77166140	93640771	93645047	118619993	118633916	118637291	118649282	51733627	51737908
	Chr	_	3	σ	5	5	5	5	5	S
	rsID	rs61784815	rs6773487	rs4857343	rs6866644	rs62375089	rs62375091	rs6595183	rs2405853	rs2405832
	Pregnancy/ Sired a Pregnancy	Pregnancy	Pregnancy	Pregnancy	Pregnancy	Pregnancy	Pregnancy	Pregnancy	Siring Pregnancy	Siring Pregnancy
		<i>neer Epidemiol Biomark</i> ⊣	<del>ers I</del> 7	<i>rev</i> : Author manuscript; av ∽	ailab ∀	e in S	9 <b>M</b> 9	÷ <del>2023 Marel</del> ►	- <del>02.</del> ∞	6

Suggestive (p-value <10<sup>-7</sup>) and Significant (p-value <5x10<sup>-6</sup>) GWAS SNPs from CCSS Cohort with attempted replication in the SJLife Cohort; p-value calculated by multivariable Cox regression adjusting for cyclophosphamide equivalent dose, birth year, and three principle coordinates.

# Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

SNP #5 did not pass quality control in the SJLife Cohort for Analysis;

Note: SNP: Single Nucleotide Polymorphism; rsID: reference SNP identification; Chr: Chromosome Number; GRCh37: Genome Reference Consortium Human Build 37; RAF: Risk Allele Frequency; HR: Hazard Ratio