

Characterizing sources and emissions of volatile organic compounds in a northern California residence using spaceand time-resolved measurements

Journal:	Indoor Air
Manuscript ID	INA-18-10-248
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-Oct-2018
Complete List of Authors:	Liu, Yingjun; Peking University, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering Misztal, Pawel; University of California, Berkeley, Environmental Science, Policy and Management Xiong, Jianyin; Beijing Institute of Technology, School of Mechanical Engineering Tian, Yilin; University of California Berkeley, Civil and Environmental Engineering Arata, Caleb; University of California Berkeley, Chemistry Weber, Robert; University of California, Berkeley, Environmental Science, Policy and Management Nazaroff, William; University of California, Civil & Environmental Engineering Goldstein, Allen; UC Berkeley, Dept of Env Sci, Pol & Mngmt
Keywords:	Sources, Cooking, Residences, Occupancy, Air quality, Material emissions

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

Arata⁷, Robert J Weber²,

1 2 3	
4	Characterizing sources and emissions of volatile organic compounds in a
5 6 7	northern California residence using space- and time-resolved measurements
9 10 11 12 13 14	Yingjun Liu ^{1,2,3,*} , Pawel K Misztal ^{2,4} , Jianyin Xiong ^{2,5} , Yilin Tian ⁶ , Caleb Arata ⁷ , Robert J Webe William W Neuroroff ⁶ , and Allon H Coldatain ^{2,6}
15	william w Nazaroll°, and Allen H Goldstein-,°
16 17 18 19 20	 ¹ State Key Joint Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, China; ² Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States;
21 22	³ Beijing Innovation Center for Engineering Science and Advanced Technology, Peking
23 24	⁴ NERC Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Edinburgh, Midlothian, United Kingdom;
25	⁵ School of Mechanical Engineering, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China;
26 27	United States.
28	⁷ Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States.
29 20	
30 31	
32	
33	
34 35	
36	E-mail: vingiun liu@nku.edu.cn
37	
38	
40	
41	
42	
43 44	
44	
46	October 28, 2018
47	
48	
49 50	INDOOD AID
50	INDOOK AIK
52	
53	
54	*To whom correspondence should be addressed
55 56	ro whom correspondence should be addressed
57	
58	
59	
60	Indoor Air - PROOF

1 Abstract

We investigate source characteristics and emission dynamics of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in a single-family house in California utilizing time- and space-resolved measurements. About 200 VOC species were measured during eight weeks in summer and five in winter. Spatially resolved measurements, along with tracer data, reveal that VOCs in the living space were mainly emitted directly into that space, with minor contributions from the crawlspace, attic or outdoors. Time-resolved measurements in the living space exhibited baseline levels far above outdoor levels for most VOCs; many compounds also displayed patterns of intermittent short-term enhancements (spikes) well above the indoor baseline. Compounds were categorized as "high-baseline" or "spike-dominated" based on indoor-to-outdoor concentration ratio and indoor mean-to-median ratio. Short-term spikes were associated with occupants and their activities, especially cooking. High-baseline compounds indicate continuous indoor emissions from building materials and furnishings. Indoor emission rates for high-baseline species, quantified with 2-h resolution, exhibited strong temperature dependence and were affected by air-change rates. Decomposition of wooden building materials is suggested as a major source for acetic acid, formic acid, and methanol, which together accounted for $\sim 75\%$ of the total continuous indoor emissions of high-baseline species.

18 Keywords

19 Sources; cooking; residences; occupancy; air quality; material emissions.

20 Practical Implications

This study advances knowledge about the relative contributions of three major categories of
indoor air sources for VOCs: building materials and furnishings, occupants and their activities,
and outdoor air. We find that in an 80-year old, wood-framed single-family residence in

Indoor Air - PROOF

24 California, the building materials and furnishings dominate for most measured VOCs, with a

25 surprisingly large contribution from what appears to be wood decomposition. The building-

26 associated emission rates increase with both increasing indoor temperature and increasing air-

27 change rates. Among occupant activities, cooking is the most prominent indoor emission source.

28 Outdoor air is relatively unimportant as a contributor to indoor air VOC levels at this site.

30 1. Introduction

Residential indoor air is an important contributor to pollutant exposure. The average American spends about two-thirds of their time in a residence.¹ More than half of the air breathed is residential indoor air. One major concern regarding residential indoor air quality is elevated concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). Numerous VOCs have been measured in residential indoor environments,^{2,3} and in many cases the indoor concentrations are higher than those outdoors.^{4–8} Some VOCs are known to pose health hazards, some may be important for indoor chemistry, and few have been well characterized.³ A key step towards improving knowledge about indoor VOC exposure and chemistry is to better understand the sources and their respective emission characteristics.

VOCs in residences can arise from many sources, with potentially distinctive emission characteristics. They can be emitted from various building materials, furnishings, and household products inside the living space;⁹ emitted from occupants (both endogenously and exogenously) and their regular and episodic activities (e.g., cooking and cleaning);^{10,11} produced from chemical processes taking place indoors (e.g., reaction of ozone with skin oil);^{12,13} emitted from indoor microbial communities;^{14,15} and transported from outdoors or from other connected indoor spaces (e.g., from attics, basements and crawlspaces).^{16,17} Dynamic processes affecting indoor-relevant VOC emissions have most commonly been studied in controlled laboratory settings, focusing on emissions from specific materials present indoors or from prescribed simulated activities.^{11,18} Field observations in real indoor environments under normal occupancy constitute important complements to laboratory studies. Such studies contribute information about the relative importance of various sources indoors and identify potential sources and processes that merit further investigation in the lab. However, investigating VOC sources and emissions in

Page 5 of 58

Indoor Air - PROOF

field studies has been challenging, in part because of the co-existence in indoor environments of
many sources that emit the same VOC species. Limitations in analytical capabilities have also
been a key fundamental restriction.

One strategic approach to field studies characterizing VOC sources is to take advantage of patterns in the spatial and temporal variability of different emission sources and the resultant variability of VOC concentrations. Along this line of thinking, Seifert and Ullrich proposed to distinguish between continuous and intermittent sources with further subgroups of regular and irregular emissions.¹⁹ Considering also the spatial patterns of sources, Levin divided sources using a two-by-three matrix (point and distributed; constant, periodic, and episodic).²⁰ A key to transform such ideas into reality is making spatially and temporally resolved VOC measurements in indoor field studies. The time resolution needs to be on the order of tens of minutes or better to capture some common sources (such as cooking) in the residential environment.¹⁹ Such a measurement program is demanding if undertaken using conventional VOC measurement techniques, i.e., taking time-integrated or snapshot samples using sorbent tubes and then carrying out off-line analysis of targeted compounds using gas chromatography with mass spectrometry.²¹ Field measurements with lesser time resolution or conducted over short time spans focusing on targeted sources and dynamic processes have been undertaken. Examples include investigating the transport of VOCs from a garage or basement to the living zone using space-resolved measurement,^{16,17} studying the impact of renovation on indoor VOC levels by taking samples at daily or monthly intervals,^{22,23} and characterizing sorptive behavior of indoor VOCs using time-resolved measurements over periods of hours.²⁴ In addition, factor analysis has been applied to source-apportionment studies, utilizing time-integrated VOC measurements in numerous residences.^{4,25} In such investigations, attributing the statistically derived factors to different

Page 6 of 58

source classes is, however, often ambiguous and sometimes speculative, owing in part to the
variability of VOC sources and emissions across different residences.

Recently, online chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) has begun to be used in field measurements of VOCs indoors. This analytical approach can measure speciated VOCs in real time, with second- to minute-resolution. Time-resolved observations of speciated VOCs have been made in classrooms, cinemas, and football stadiums under normal occupancy, using proton-transfer-reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometry (PTR-ToF-MS),^{10,26-29} as well as other types of CIMS instruments.³⁰ The high time resolution of this approach allows for exploring short-time-scale processes, which are difficult to investigate using time-integrated sampling. Among the important findings to emerge from such studies is the importance of human occupants as VOC sources in densely populated indoor environments. For example, Tang et al. reported that siloxanes, emitted from personal care products used by students, were among the most abundant VOCs observed in a classroom.¹⁰

Until now, measurements using CIMS-type instruments have not been reported for characterizing VOC concentrations and emission sources in residential environments during normal occupancy. Recognizing this gap, we report here on continuous VOC observations in a normally occupied single-family house in northern California using PTR-ToF-MS during two sampling seasons. The measurement approach was designed to provide time-resolved as well as space-resolved information, with the latter achieved by sequentially sampling from each of six locations in and near the house during each 30-minute interval. Indoor VOC emission rates were assessed with 2-h resolution, utilizing simultaneous tracer-based determinations of air-change rates in the living space. Based on these VOC measurements, augmented by extensive metadata on environmental and operational conditions of the household, the present study aims to

characterize general features of emissions and source attributes of VOCs in the living space of the studied house. 2. Methods 2.1. Observational campaign Extensive observational monitoring was conducted in a single-family house (designated H1) in Oakland, California during two seasons. The first observational period (summer campaign) was eight weeks long from mid-August to early October 2016. The second period (winter campaign) spanned five weeks from late January to early March 2017. A detailed description of the studied house and of the two observational campaigns has been reported.^{31,32} We provide a brief recap here of aspects essential for understanding and interpreting the VOC data. The studied house is situated in the foothills of Oakland, in a lightly trafficked urban residential neighborhood. It was built in the 1930s of wood-frame construction. There had been no recent renovation or refurnishing of note. (For example, the most recent interior painting took place in 2011.) The house has a split-level floor plan, an unoccupied attic above, and a small basement and larger crawlspace below. There are three bedrooms and two bathrooms on the upper level (volume $\sim 150 \text{ m}^3$) and a kitchen, family room, and living room on the lower level ($\sim 200 \text{ m}^3$). Two adult occupants (ages in the range 55-65 y) live in the house. The house is equipped with central heating, but no air conditioning. A decade-old natural gas-fired gravity furnace (buoyancy-driven, with supply registers in each room and a single, centrally located return register, but no fan) is situated in the crawlspace; it operated intermittently during the winter campaign and was off during the summer. Except for the bathrooms, the interior doors in the living zone were normally kept open, including at night. The entrances from the living zone

Indoor Air - PROOF

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
∠ I 22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
20	
3/	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
<u></u>	
11	
44 1	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
50	
20	
59	
60	

1

122 to the substructure (basement and crawlspace) and to the attic were generally closed. The 123 basement room, which was occasionally accessed, contained a washing machine, clothes dryer, 124 and storage space. In addition to normal house operation (occupied periods), the occupants were 125 deliberately away from the house for a few days for at least one time in each campaign. During 126 these vacant periods, the house windows and doors were all closed and the furnace was off. 127 Temporally and spatially resolved measurements were made for a range of gases, 128 including VOCs using a PTR-ToF-MS (Ionicon Analytik GmbH, Austria, PTRTOF 8000), ozone 129 (O_3) , and carbon dioxide (CO_2) . The gas-analysis instruments were situated in a detached garage 130 about 5 m from the house. Air was continuously drawn through separate 30-meter-long 6.4-mm 131 (¹/₄" OD) PFA sampling tubes at a constant flow rate of ~ 2 L/min from six locations: outdoors, 132 kitchen (representing the lower living zone), landing at the top of the half flight of stairs (with 133 doors open to the bedrooms, representing the upper living zone), crawlspace, basement, and attic. 134 A 2.0-µm pore size PTFE filter was installed on the intake end of each sampling line to remove 135 particles. The gas instruments regularly and automatically switched between subsampling from 136 these lines through a 6-way manifold (NResearch, 648T091; PTFE inner contact surfaces). Two 137 different sampling sequences were employed during observational monitoring. During most 138 periods, data were collected with spatial resolution emphasized, switching regularly at 5-min 139 intervals among each of the six inlets (i.e., 30 min for one full cycle). Two weeks in summer and 140 one week in winter were used to collect data with higher temporal resolution in the living zone; 141 in this case, the 30-minute cycle involved only three locations: outdoors (5 min), kitchen (20 142 min) and bedroom area (5 min). In addition, to facilitate compound assignment on PTR-ToF-MS, 143 short-term VOC samples were collected using sorbent tubes in the studied house and then

Indoor Air - PROOF

2	
- 3 1	1
5	1
6 7	-
8 9	J
10 11	1
12 13	1
14 15	1
16 17	1
18	J
20	1
21 22	1
23 24	1
25 26	
27 28	1
29 30	1
31 32	1
33 34	1
35]
37	1
38 39	1
40 41	1
42 43	1
44 45	1
46 47]
48 49	1
50 51	1
52 53	1
54 55	1
56	J
57 58	
59	

60

144 analyzed using 2-dimensional gas chromatography time-of-flight mass spectrometry (GC×GC145 ToF-MS).

46 Extensive supporting data were acquired to characterize general environmental and 47 operational conditions in the household. Three inert tracers were steadily released in the house and measured by PTR-ToF-MS.³¹ Using the tracer data, the air flow patterns between living 48 .49 space, attic and crawlspace were characterized, and the time-varying air-change rate of the living 50 space was determined with 2-h time resolution.³¹ More than 50 wireless sensors were used to 51 monitor time-resolved room occupancy (motion), appliance use (on/off), door/window open 52 status (open/closed), and indoor temperature and humidity. Occupants also maintained daily 53 presence/absence and activity logs to complement the automatically acquired metadata.

154 **2.2. VOC**

2.2. VOC measurement and data analysis

55 The PTR-ToF-MS uses soft chemical ionization mass spectrometry, with hydronium ion 56 (H_3O^+) serving as the primary reagent. The H_3O^+ ions can effectively protonate VOCs with 57 proton affinities greater than that of water, allowing for detection of most unsaturated 58 hydrocarbons, VOCs containing oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, halogens, and silicon, among others.³³ 59 Due to the low exothermicity of the proton transfer reaction, the extent of product ion .60 fragmentation is limited and the exact ion mass can be used as the identifier for many important 61 VOCs. For some abundant VOCs that do not react with H_3O^+ , reactions with impurity reagent 62 ions (i.e., O_2^+ and NO⁺; <5%) might also produce high enough signal and thereby allow for 63 detection by the instrument.³⁴

PTR-ToF-MS spectra were collected with 2-s time resolution. The recorded spectra were processed using PTRwid package under IDL,³⁵ to automatically detect mass peaks, to create a unified peak list for each campaign, and to provide signal output in counts per second. In further

analyzing the data, the peak signals were averaged to a time resolution of 5 min. To reduce
possible memory effects associated with sorption in the sampling system, data for the first 2 min
after switching from calibration to measurement or from one inlet to another inlet were excluded
from each average.

VOC speciation for each campaign was deciphered from the unified peak list and corresponding peak signals. In total, 656 mass peaks were detected in the summer campaign and 661 in the winter campaign, using consistent signal processing criteria. The mass peaks were first filtered to remove background ions predominantly arising from the instrument and from tubing. A best-guess ion formula was then assigned to each of the remaining peaks, utilizing their exact masses and the correlation of their signals with other peaks. The list of ion formulas was further reduced by combining isotopic ions and identified fragment ions, removing interference ions, tracer ions, and inorganic ions, and applying an abundance threshold (inclusion criterion: average mixing ratio in the kitchen air > 0.005 ppb). Ion formula is a useful but by no means unique indicator of VOC identity (e.g., no discrimination of isomers, possibility of fragmentation). Some ion formulas can be confidently attributed by means of deductive reasoning to specific compounds or groups of compounds, such as $C_{10}H_{17}^+$ to monoterpenes, while other assignments are speculative or remain undetermined. In what follows, best-estimate compound assignments are indicated and reported together with the corresponding ion formula. In cases where the ion formula is uncertain, the exact ion mass is also reported. In addition to VOC ions, two inorganic ions, attributable to chloramine and hydrogen sulfide, are also quantified and reported.

187 From the 656 ion peaks detected by PTR-ToF-MS across the summer campaign, 229
188 organic ions formulas are extracted to represent measured VOC speciation. The corresponding
189 procedure for the winter campaign yields 180 ion formulas from among 661 ion peaks.

Page 11 of 58

Indoor Air - PROOF

2		
3 4	190	Compared with previous full-spectra VOC analysis using PTR-ToF-MS for indoor and outdoor
5 6	191	air, 26,36 here a lower fraction of ions is selected for the analysis (25-35% in this study versus >
/ 8 9	192	50% in previous studies), largely due to the extra step of combining isotopic and fragment ions.
10 11	193	The reduced list of ions has minimum overlap in term of parent compounds and serves as our
12 13	194	best representation of VOC speciation measured using PTR-ToF-MS.
14 15	195	Airborne concentrations (in part per billion by volume, ppb) of parent VOCs were
16 17 18	196	estimated from measured signals of individual ions by first adjusting for ion transmission and
19 20	197	then applying a sensitivity factor. Mass-dependent ion transmission relative to $\mathrm{H_3O^+}$ and its drift
21 22	198	over each multiweek observational period was corrected utilizing calibrations obtained daily by
23 24 25	199	sampling from a multicomponent VOC gas standard mixture. ³⁷ For some major ions (such as
26 27	200	acetic acid, formic acid, furfural, and siloxane [D5]), the corresponding sensitivity factors were
28 29	201	obtained from calibrations using authentic standard compounds during or after the campaign.
30 31 32	202	For other ions, a default sensitivity factor was applied, assuming a constant rate coefficient at
32 33 34	203	2.5×10^9 cm ³ s ⁻¹ for the reactions of parent VOCs with H ₃ O ⁺ and with the water cluster
35 36	204	$H_3O^+ \cdot H_2O$. This assumption typically has an uncertainty of ±50% in estimated parent compound
37 38	205	concentrations. ³⁸
39 40 41	206	A range of statistical parameters of measured VOC concentrations was calculated. Mean
42 43	207	and median concentrations (C_{Avg} and C_{Med}) were determined for each VOC ion in each space for
44 45	208	vacant and occupied periods in each campaign, serving as the basis of calculating more digested
46 47 48	209	parameters. The C_{Avg} and C_{Med} values in the occupied periods were calculated based on
49 50	210	measurements taken during space-resolved sampling to guarantee equivalent comparison across
51 52	211	spaces. The C_{Avg} and C_{Med} in the vacant period were calculated only using measurements during
53 54	212	the longer vacant periods (> 2 days; in the beginning of winter campaign and at the end of

summer campaign, respectively). In the event that calculated C_{Avg} or C_{Med} of an ion in a space was below the detection limit of that ion, half of the detection limit was used instead. More digested parameters calculated using mean and median concentrations include indoor-to-outdoor (I/O) ratio of mean concentration for each indoor space, as well as mean-to-median concentration ratio in the kitchen, $(C_{Avg}/C_{Med})_{kitchen}$. In addition, the I/O ratio was calculated for the overall living zone; here, the indoor concentration was taken as the volume-weighted mean of the average concentration measured in the kitchen and bedroom area. Note: in contrast to absolute concentration, the ratios are not subject to uncertainties associated with instrument calibration. Peak analysis was applied to concentration time series measured in the kitchen for each ion. The measured time series during the whole campaign was first normalized to 30-min resolution. Peak position of each spike was automatically identified on the times series using an optimized peak-detection algorithm. A manual check across a large range of ions indicated that this algorithm had high fidelity, with few false identifications. Nevertheless, some small spikes might not have been detected owing to fast temporal variation in baseline concentrations, e.g., associated with window opening (particularly during the summer). The total number of ions which spiked within each hour (h⁻¹) was counted and used in some analyses.

2.3. Determing indoor emission rates

Time-resolved emission rates in the living zone were determined for VOC ions using indoor air-change rates determined with 2-h resolution.³¹ Key approximations made in this calculation are (1) that the occupied internal volume of the house can be effectively considered as well-mixed; and (2) that only indoor emissions and air change between indoor and outdoor air influence indoor-air concentrations. These approximations are supported by three important observations: (1) tracer results showed that air in the upper and lower living spaces mixed fairly Page 13 of 58

240

Indoor Air - PROOF

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
21	
22	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
10	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
10	
77 50	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
51	
20	
59	

60

well;³¹ (2) the crawlspace and attic generally served as one-way paths for airflow into and out of
living zone, respectively;³¹ and (3) VOC composition in the crawlspace was similar to that
outdoors (cf. Section 3.2). Under this approximation, the mass balance of a VOC in the living
zone is given by the following equation:

$$\frac{dC_{\rm in}}{dt}V = \frac{E}{\rho} - A \cdot (C_{\rm in} - C_{\rm out}) \cdot V, \qquad (1)$$

where $C_{in} = C_{in}(t)$ and $C_{out} = C_{out}(t)$ are the concentrations in the living zone and outdoors (ppb; part per billion by volume); *V* is the volume of the living zone (m³); E = E(t) is the emission rate in the living zone (mg h⁻¹), ρ is the gas density for the compound (mg mm⁻³), and A = A(t) is the living-space air-change rate (h⁻¹). Treating A(t) and E(t) as constant over each interval of Δt [t, t+ Δt], we obtain the following approximation of E(t) by integrating equation (1):

$$E = \rho V \left(\frac{C_{\rm in}(t + \Delta t) - C_{\rm in}(t)}{\Delta t} + A \cdot (\overline{C_{\rm in}} - \overline{C_{\rm out}}) \right), \tag{2}$$

where $\overline{C_{in}}$ and $\overline{C_{out}}$ are the averages over $[t, t+\Delta t]$ of C_{in} and C_{out} , respectively. In application, ρ is calculated based on molar mass of the compound (ion) at 20 °C, *V* is the measured livingspace volume (350 m³), and Δt is 2 h. The time-dependent outdoor concentration, C_{out} , is directly measured. The time-dependent indoor concentration, C_{in} , is approximated as the weighted mean of VOC concentrations measured in the kitchen and bedroom area. Values of $C_{in}(t+\Delta t)-C_{in}(t)$, $\overline{C_{in}}$, and $\overline{C_{out}}$ are derived using the same procedure as when determining airchange rate using measured tracer concentrations.³¹

254 **3. Results and Discussion**

Figure 1 presents an overview of the measurement results, displaying a full time series of the sum of measured VOC concentration (\sum VOCs) at each of the six measurement locations in the summer (Fig. 1A, for 8 weeks) and winter (Fig. 1B, for 5 weeks) campaigns. Two prominent

12

Indoor Air - PROOF

features of the time series are highlighted. First, $\Sigma VOCs$ in the living zone (bedroom and kitchen) and in the attic were of similar scale, and were an order of magnitude higher than those in subfloor spaces (basement and crawlspace) which were close to the outdoor level. This feature was exhibited consistently for both occupied and vacant periods in both seasons. Secondly, for Σ VOCs measured in the living zone, the vacant periods were characterized by consistently high background levels while the occupied periods displayed frequent short-term increases on top of these levels. The implication of this observation is that occupants and their activities influenced the temporal pattern of VOC concentrations. Utilizing the observed spatial and temporal variation in VOC concentrations, the following analysis aims to characterize generic features of indoor VOC emissions and sources, in particular focusing on VOCs measured in the living zone where human exposure occurs.

3.1. VOC composition in the living zone

Figure 2A presents the average VOC mass spectrum measured by PTR-ToF-MS for kitchen air. Mass-to-charge ratio of detected ions (m/z), with implicit units of the atomic mass unit normalized by the charge number), which is a proxy of molecular mass (m/z = m + 1) in typical case of proton transfer reaction) of the corresponding compound, ranged from 25 to 450. Most ions (176) were detected in both campaigns. Some were detected only in summer (53) and a few only in winter (4). Table S1 presents the complete list of detected ions, along with the respective compound assignments and key measurement parameters in each season. For more than half of the ions only detected in the summer, the mass-to-charge ratios were greater than 120 and the signals were just above the respective detection limits. The lower prevalence of these ions in the winter campaign might be attributed to the lower wintertime indoor air temperature (16-18 °C) than in the summer (20-23 °C), driving more massive (and usually less

Indoor Air - PROOF

ว	
2	
ر ۲	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
∠∪ 21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
27	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
16	
40	
4/	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
22	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	

281volatile) organic molecules to partition more onto surfaces than into the air. As shown in Figure2822A, the measured average concentrations of individual VOCs spanned over four orders of283magnitude from 0.005 ppb to 100 ppb, exhibiting a generally decreasing trend with increasing284ion mass. A noteworthy exception of this trend was high ion signals of cyclic siloxanes (D4, D5,285and D6) at m/z > 290, attributable to their exceptionally high volatilities relative to their286molecular masses and widespread use in consumer products.¹⁰

Figure 2B presents scatter plots of averaged concentrations in kitchen air of the 176 ions detected in the two seasons, colored according to ion mass. In general, the data points cluster close to the 1:1 line, suggesting an overall similarity of VOC composition in the two seasons. Ions corresponding to small alcohols, carboxylic acids, and carbonyls were among the most abundant observed across the two seasons. Examples include (ordered by the abundance) ethanol $(C_2H_7O^+)$, acetic acid $(C_2H_5O_2^+)$, methanol (CH_5O^+) , formic acid $(CH_3O_2^+)$, acetone + propanal $(C_3H_7O^+)$, and acetaldehyde $(C_2H_5O^+)$.

294 Despite overall similarity, Figure 2B also shows some clear seasonal differences in the 295 VOC composition. For ions at higher masses, the concentrations were generally higher in the 296 summer than in the winter (just above the 1:1 line), which might be an effect of temperature and 297 volatility. In addition, clear exceptions to the near 1:1 relationship are evident and are generally 298 attributable to variation in occupant activities. For example, the winter concentration of ions 299 from siloxane D5 and monoterpenes were more than 5 times higher than those in the summer. 300 Their enhancements in winter were associated with increases in the use of skin care products and 301 in the consumption of wintertime citrus fruits (such as oranges), respectively.

3.2. Spatial distribution of VOC emission sources

In theory, VOCs in the living-zone air could be transported from outdoors, transported from coupled spaces (attic, crawlspace, and basement), or emitted directly into the living zone itself. Space-resolved VOC measurements, combined with the house airflow pattern as characterized using tracers, is used herein to evaluate the relative importance of each of these possible pathways. Tracer release observations demonstrated there were substantial *upward* interzonal airflows with negligible downward airflows among the living zone, attic, and crawlspace in the studied house.³¹ The implication is that VOC emissions in the crawlspace, if present, could influence concentrations in the living zone. Conversely, emissions into the attic could not materially contribute to living zone concentrations.

Figure 3 presents histograms of the indoor-to-outdoor concentration ratios (I/O) of measured VOC ions for each indoor space in each season. As described in Section 2.2, the I/O ratios of individual VOC ions were calculated using the mean concentration in each space. An underlying assumption of space-resolved analysis herein, including I/O ratios, is that the same compound assignment can hold for an ion measured in different spaces. The I/O ratios for the crawlspace had a narrow distribution of values centered around 1 in both seasons, indicating that VOC composition in the crawlspace was close to that outdoors. By comparison, the distributions of I/O ratios measured in the living zone, including both the kitchen and bedroom area, were broader with many substantially higher values. For >75% of ions, the average living-zone concentrations were more than 5 times higher than outdoors (I/O > 5). For about half of the ions, the difference was at least one order of magnitude (I/O > 10). These results demonstrate that for most VOCs measured in the living zone neither outdoor air nor the crawlspace was a major source.

Page 17 of 58

Indoor Air - PROOF

1 2	
3 4	32
5 6	32
7 8	32
9 10	37
11 12	22
13 14	32
15 16	33
17 18	33
19 20	33
21 22	33
23 24	33
25 26	22
27 28	5.
29 30	32
31 32	33
33 34	33
35 36	33
37 38	34
39 40	34
41 42	2/
43 44	52
45 46	34
47 48	34
49 50	34
51 52	34
53 54	34
55 56	
57 58	
59	

60

325	For a few VOCs observed in the living zone, transport from outdoors or from the
326	crawlspace did, however, make considerable and even dominant contributions. For example, a
327	few halogen-containing ions, including CCl_3^+ (likely from $CHCl_3$ based on $GC \times GC$ -ToF-MS
328	analysis of VOC samples), CCl_2F^+ (only detected in summer), and $C_7H_4F_2Cl^+$ (likely from
329	parachlorobenzotrifluoride C ₇ H ₄ F ₃ Cl based on GC×GC-ToF-MS), had I/O ratios close to 1 in all
330	the measured indoor spaces, suggesting a dominant contribution from outdoors. The $C_2H_4^+$ ion
331	exhibited a consistently high I/O ratio (18) in the crawlspace in both seasons and lower ratios in
332	the living zone (11 in summer and 15 in winter). This ion is possibly a product of natural gas
333	leakage from the furnace or water heater in the crawlspace, detected via reactions other than
334	proton transfer. The ratio of I/O values of $C_2H_4^+$ ion in the two indoor spaces is consistent with
335	the fraction of air entering into the living zone from the crawlspace (i.e., on average ~60% in
336	summer and ~80% in winter), suggesting that the $C_2H_4^+$ signal observed in the living zone was
337	predominantly attributable to transport from the crawlspace.

38 Contributions from the attic and basement to the living zone also appear to be minor 39 overall. Although high I/O ratios were observed in the attic for many VOCs ions, air rarely flowed downwards from the attic to the living zone.³¹ To the contrary, some of the high I/O 40 41 ratios in the attic can, at least in part, be due to upward transport from the living zone. The 42 distribution of I/O ratios in the basement was similar to that in the crawlspace and values were 43 much lower than in the living zone. No single ion exhibited higher I/O ratios in the basement 44 than in the living zone, suggesting that emissions into the basement did not make important 45 contributions for any VOC ions observed in the living zone. For example, the highest I/O ratio 46 observed in the basement (28) was for $C_{10}H_{21}O^+$, but the corresponding I/O ratios in the kitchen 47 and bedroom area were much higher (> 80).

Since transport from outdoors and from coupled spaces in the house cannot explain the concentration levels observed in the living zone for most VOCs ions, the clear implication is that the major sources of VOCs in the living zone were emissions directly into the living zone. Such emissions can originate from the building envelope, from the static contents (such as furniture) inside the space, from bioeffluents of the human occupants, and from occupants' activities. The next two sections will discuss features regarding occupant-related emissions and building-related emissions (including furnishings and household products), respectively, as interpreted from time-resolved measurements.

3.3. Intermittent emissions from occupants and their activities

The time series of observed VOCs in the living zone was generally characterized by clear short-term enhancements (spikes) on top of more slowly variable baseline levels. For some compounds, the baseline level was relatively low, and the presence of strong spikes was the major feature of the concentration time series. The spikes for some compounds were episodic; for others, the pattern was more nearly periodic. Ethanol, the most abundant VOC observed in the living zone, is an example of a species whose time-pattern is dominated by spiky behavior. (The summer time series for ethanol is shown in Figure S1A). In contrast, for some other compounds, such as acetic acid (the second most abundant VOC observed; Figure S1B), the most prominent feature of the time series is a consistently elevated baseline concentration. There were some spikes in acetic acid concentration above the baseline, but their contribution to the average concentration over the whole campaign was small. Other compounds fell in between such that their concentration time series showed considerable influence from both spikes and the high baseline level. (One example is acetaldehyde as displayed in Figure S1C). These distinct

Page 19 of 58

Indoor Air - PROOF

features serve as the basis of analysis in this and the following sections to distinguish intermittent occupant-related emissions from continuous building-related emissions.

Figure 4 shows the time series of kitchen concentrations for selected compounds on one particular day along with recorded occupant activities. When the occupants were asleep (0-6 AM), concentrations of all the compounds were relatively steady. At breakfast time, pyridine concentration ($C_5H_6N^+$) spiked from 0.06 ppb to 1.7 ppb and ethanol concentration increased from 80 ppb to 420 ppb, attributable to making coffee and toasting bread (which, as a fermented product, contains ethanol), respectively. In the morning when occupants did some house cleaning, concentrations of solvents, such as ethanol and acetone, increased by factors of 6-7. In the afternoon, when occupants prepared ratatouille using a frying pan at high temperature, concentrations of many compounds became elevated. Some were elevated persistently (e.g. $C_2H_7S^+$ attributable to enthanethiol and dimethyl sulfide)¹¹ and others were elevated only for a short period (e.g., $C_5H_9^+$ likely attributable to isoprene). A party was hosted in the evening with about a dozen guests. Ethanol concentration rose strongly to 4.3 ppm (50 times higher than the overnight level). Elevated concentrations were also observed for ethanethiol + dimethyl sulfide from ratatouille being reheated and served, for isoprene mainly attributable to human breath, and for D5 from personal care products such as antiperspirants. After the party, another large ethanol spike was observed, coincident with a spike of chloramine (H₃NCl⁺; inorganic compound; disinfectant in tap water), likely associated with cleaning up after the party. At the end of the day, occupants left the kitchen with the dishwasher running, and another spike of chloramine was observed attributable to dishwasher operation. The examples displayed in Figure 4 illustrate that occupants and their activities can emit many VOCs and greatly enhance their indoor concentrations in a temporally specific manner. The effect is seen in the concentration time

Page 20 of 58

393 series as short-term elevations (spikes) above the respective baseline concentrations. In the next
394 few paragraphs, we describe how we utilize the spikiness feature of the time series to gain more
395 in-depth understanding of occupant-related emissions.

No ions were observed to spike during vacant periods in either campaign, but spikes frequently occurred during periods when occupants were home and awake. We used spike statistics to identify prominent VOC-emitting activities. Spikes (corresponding peak time points) were automatically identified in the times series of individual VOC ions using a customized peak detection algorithm. Figure 5 shows averaged hourly occurrence of the number of spiked VOC ions (h⁻¹) in the summer and winter occupied periods. Diel variation in the number of spiked ions peaked at breakfast and at dinner time. The diel pattern of spikiness in VOC abundance resembles remarkably the variation in the frequency of stove burner use, which serves as a proxy indicator for cooking activities. This spike analysis strongly suggests that cooking activities were the dominant contributor to occupant-associated intermittent VOC emissions. As a reference point, some recent laboratory studies demonstrate that cooking can emit a large variety of VOCs.11,39

As shown in Figure 4, VOC spikes in the concentration time series were asymmetric, with a rapid rise and a more gradual decline. Declines often extended for hours or even days (e.g. Figure S1A) after the corresponding emission events. Evidence supports an interpretation that this gradual decline feature was influenced not only by air change but also by sorptive interactions with indoor surfaces. To illustrate, Figure S2 displays a snapshot time series of the concentration of pyridine ($C_5H_6N^+$; emitted from making drip coffee), as well as its indoor emission rate. The indoor emission rate was quantified with 2-h time resolution, using measured indoor and outdoor concentrations and air-change rates. The quantified emission rate was a net

Page 21 of 58

Indoor Air - PROOF

effect considering all sources and sinks indoors, but without specific accounting for sorptive interactions. Figure S2 also shows the predicted decline from the peak pyridine concentration assuming that only air change contributes to removal. When pyridine concentration increased to its peak concentration, the emission rate sharply increased as expected. Immediately after the peak concentration, a steep decline of modeled emission rates was observed, often to a negative value, whereas the pyridine concentration was still elevated above the baseline level. The negative emission rate occurred when the pyridine concentrations in the indoor air declined more rapidly than expected by air change alone, indicating a net uptake of airborne pyridine by indoor surfaces. After the steep drop, modeled emission rates of pyridine rebounded to above zero and subsequently slowly declined, suggesting that pyridine taken up by the surfaces was slowly released back to the indoor air. The implication of these results is that pulsed emissions from occupants' activities can have longer-lasting effects on indoor VOC concentrations owing to reversible interactions with indoor surfaces. This effect has been studied in controlled experiments conducted in chambers⁴⁰ and in controlled field assessments;²⁴ however, it has not been reported previously for an observational investigation of an ordinarily occupied residence. The presence of spikes in a concentration time series can increase the mean concentration across the whole observational period, but will have less effect on the median. Herein we used the mean-to-median concentration ratio (C_{Avg}/C_{Med}) as a quantitative indicator of the relative importance of occupant-related emissions. Figure 6 presents histograms of C_{Avg}/C_{Med} for all organic ions during the occupied and vacant periods in each season, respectively. For vacant periods, values of C_{Avg}/C_{Med} tightly clustered around 1.0 and rarely went above 1.1, with mean values of 1.02 in the summer and 1.01 in the winter. For occupied periods, the $C_{\text{Avg}}/C_{\text{Med}}$

438 distribution broadened and extended more toward higher values. For ethanol, acetic acid, and

Page 22 of 58

acetaldehyde (Figure S1), the summer C_{Avg}/C_{Med} ratios were 2.3, 1.0, and 1.3, respectively. In total, there were 8 ions in the summer and 6 in the winter with $C_{\text{Avg}}/C_{\text{Med}} > 1.5$. For $C_{\text{Avg}}/C_{\text{Med}} >$ 1.1, the respective numbers of ions were 55 for summer and 24 for winter. Table 1 lists a subset of 12 ions for which the C_{Avg}/C_{Med} values were greater than 1.5 during at least one season; these represent compounds with major (dominant) contributions from occupant-related emissions. Emission sources of the individual compounds were further constrained by associating the respective spikes with event records. Emissions of the siloxanes D5 and D6 can be attributed to the use of personal care products (for both species) and cleaning products (for D6). Each of the other occupancy-dominated species were predominantly associated with cooking. For example, ions $C_5H_6N^+$ (pyridine) and $C_5H_5O^+$ (likely a fragment ion) typically spiked when making coffee. Ion $C_9H_9O^+$ (cinnamaldehyde) was particularly abundant when making applesauce (a frequent activity during summer but not done in winter). Large spikes of $C_6H_9O_4^+$ ion (tentatively attributed to 3-deoxyglucosone; see Table 1 notation) occurred when baking granola. Spikes of $C_2H_3O_4^+$ (likely attributable to oxalic acid) were observed during some occasions of sautéing in the summer. A few other ions spiked during a wider variety of cooking events, including $C_2H_7O^+$ (ethanol), $C_4H_6N^+$ (pyrrole), and $C_{10}H_{17}^+$ (monoterpenes; consumption of citrus fruits led to a particularly high C_{Avg}/C_{Med} in winter). In

addition, two inorganic ions, attributable to chloramine and H₂S, also had high C_{Avg}/C_{Med} values associated with use of tap water and cooking (especially melting butter), respectively.

3.4. Continous building-related emissions

Figure 6 shows that, for the majority of VOCs, intermittent event emissions were not their major source, with $(C_{Avg}/C_{Med})_{kitchen}$ less than 1.06 for 58% of measured ions in summer and for 74% in winter. A few additional criteria were applied to further select ions whose time series

Page 23 of 58

Indoor Air - PROOF

were characterized by elevated baseline levels in the living zone, indicating that the dominant sources were continuous building-material and furnishing-associated emissions into the living space. These specific selection criteria were applied: $(I/O)_{kitchen} > 10$, $(C_{Avg}/C_{Med})_{kitchen} < 1.06$, and $(I/O)_{kitchen} > 2$ $(I/O)_{crawlspace}$ during both monitoring campaigns. This selection process yielded 56 organic ions. The analysis in this section focuses on indoor emissions of these 56 ions.

Figure 7A shows a pie chart of mean indoor emission rates of the 56 organic ions for the summer occupied period. The mean summed emission rate of the 56 ions was 37.4 mg h⁻¹ during summer (average temperature 22 °C), as compared to 23.3 mg h⁻¹ during winter (average temperature 17 °C). The top six most highly emitted VOCs/ions were acetic acid, methanol, formic acid, formaldehyde, $C_6H_{11}^+$ (likely an alcohol fragment, such as *cis*-3-hexen-1-ol).⁴¹ and furfural. Acetic acid alone accounted for half of the summed VOC emission rate; methanol and formic acid together accounted for a quarter. In addition, ions attributable to a homologue of saturated carbonyls (C_6 - C_{12}) and saturated fatty acids (C_6 - C_8) accounted for 10% and 12% of the emissions, respectively. The remaining ions, for which a chemical formula could be confidently assigned, were summarized according to ion formula family $(C_xH_v^+, C_xH_vO^+, and C_xH_vO_2^+)$. Ions for which empirical ion formulas could not be confidently assigned were summed and reported as "others"; these account for <0.5% of the building-associated emissions. The full list of 56 ions and their respective average emission rates in each season are reported in Table S1. A key feature of the VOC species that are dominated by building-related emissions is a strong temperature dependence. Figure 7B illustrates this point, displaying the dependence of indoor emission rates on indoor temperature. In this analysis, the determined 2-h average emission rates were sorted according to indoor temperature, binned with 1 °C resolution. The

mean was taken for each one-degree temperature interval containing at least 50 data points.
Indoor temperature was primarily 16-18 °C in winter and 20-23 °C in summer. As shown in
Figure 7B, summed emission rates increased with temperature in each season as well as across
the two seasons. For temperatures spanning 16 to 23 °C, an overall doubling of buildingassociated VOC emission rate was observed. Similar trends were evident for most individual
ions/groups of ions, such as acetic acid (Figure 7B).

The temperature dependence of indoor concentration of these continuously emitted VOCs is observed to be less pronounced. As shown in Figure 8A, the summed concentration of 56 ions did increase with temperature in the winter as the corresponding emission rates increased. The increase of concentration with temperature was modest in the summer (Figure 8A), despite the strong dependence of emission rates on temperature. These features can be resolved when taking account of temperature-dependent air-change rates in this naturally ventilated house. In the summer, occupants used window opening as a means to adjust indoor temperature. A higher air-change rate was generally observed at higher temperatures, with a 50% increase from 20 to 23 °C (Figure 8B). The observation of a smaller temperature effect on VOC concentrations in summer is hence associated with the combination of enhanced emissions at higher indoor temperature and enhanced removal via elevated air change. The implication is that higher indoor emission rates do not always lead to higher indoor concentration levels, since the concentrations and therefore exposures are also modulated by air change.

We also infer from the observations that variation in air-change rate affects VOC emission rates by altering indoor concentrations. From a mass-transfer perspective, the VOC emission rate from indoor materials varies with the difference between the airborne VOC concentration near material surfaces and the concentration in the core indoor space. The gasPage 25 of 58

Indoor Air - PROOF

phase VOC concentration near the material surface is regulated by the air-material partition coefficient, which is a function of temperature.⁴² For the selected 56 ions, the observed relations of emission rate, concentration, and temperature were generally in line with theory (i.e., at fixed indoor concentration the emission rate was higher at higher temperature and at a fixed temperature it was higher when the measured concentration was lower). Figure S3 shows the data (after filtering spikes) for acetic acid as an example. An increase in air-change rate lowers the corresponding indoor VOC concentration, making the concentration gradient larger than it would be otherwise, and thereby enhancing the emission rate. For this particular house, the apparent temperature dependence of VOC emissions (Figure 7B) is a combined effect of more partitioning into the air at higher temperature and a larger concentration gradient associated with enhanced air change at higher temperatures. In particular, the stronger dependence of emission rates on temperature in the summer than in the winter, as shown in Figure 7B, can result from larger increase of air-change rates with higher temperatures in the summer (Figure 8B). We also used the empirical evidence in this study to seek out clues about the major building-associated VOC emission sources. One set of clues emerges from measured VOC concentrations in the attic. Figure 9 plots the I/O ratio in the attic versus that in the living zone for all the VOC ions measured during the summer campaign. The size and color of each data point is respectively scaled by C_{Avg} and C_{Avg}/C_{Med} of the corresponding ion measured in the kitchen. The dashed line represents the lower limit of attic I/O ratio predicted solely by transport from the living zone and from outdoors, as given by this expression:

$$(I/O)_{attic predicted} = (I - \chi) + (I/O)_{living} \chi, \qquad (3)$$

529 where χ is the fraction of air entering attic from living zone, estimated to be 0.22 using the attic-530 to-living-zone ratio of average concentration of the tracer released in the living zone; $(1-\chi)$ is the

Indoor Air - PROOF

Page 26 of 58

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
/	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
10	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
20	
20	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
12	
-⊤∠ ⁄\⊃	
40	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
20	
5/	
58	
59	
60	

1

fraction of air entering attic directly from outdoors, assuming that the air transported directly from the crawlspace to the attic is negligible.³¹ The light grey band in Figure 9 represents the confidence interval for estimated attic I/O ratio, assuming a 40% uncertainty for χ .

534 As shown in Figure 9, some data points lie within the grey band, indicating that the attic 535 concentrations of the corresponding compounds could be primarily a consequence of transport 536 from the living zone and from outdoors. For these compounds, direct emissions into the attic 537 appear relatively unimportant as a source. Most species/ions with dominant emissions from 538 occupant-associated activity in the living zone (in red), such as ethanol, pyridine, D5, and D6, 539 belong to this category. By contrast, the attic I/O ratio of some ions can be 4-10 times higher 540 than the transport-focused predictions of equation (3), suggesting strong direct emission sources 541 into the attic for these species. These species/ions include small carboxylic acids, aldehydes and 542 alcohols (e.g., acetic acid, formic acid, methanol, acetaldehyde), some furanoids (e.g., furfural 543 and dimethylfuran), and some aromatics $(C_7H_9O^+, C_9H_{11}O^+, and C_8H_{11}^+)$.

544 The unoccupied and unfinished attic is framed with redwood lumber (~ 80 years old) and 545 also has exposed plywood sheathing from reroofing that was completed more than a decade 546 before the measurement campaign. The attic contains fiberglass insulation, decades old, above 547 the ceiling of the living zone. It also contains some stored personal items of the occupants, such 548 as cardboard boxes with books, seasonal decorations, children's playthings, and luggage. These 549 contents, present at much lower densities than in the living zone, did not appear to be prominent 550 VOC sources based on a focused "sniffing" experiment using the PTR-ToF-MS with a moveable 551 sampling probe. It seems likely, therefore, that the direct VOC emissions into the attic are 552 largely attributable to emissions from wooden building materials. Since the same wooden 553 building materials also envelop the living space, emissions from wood is also likely an important Page 27 of 58

Indoor Air - PROOF

3 4	554	VOC source for the living zone. Consistent with this hypothesis, the VOCs exhibiting the
5 6	555	strongest building-associated emissions into the living space (large purple points in Figure 9; e.g.
7 8 0	556	acetic acid, formic acid, and methanol) are among those exhibiting the strongest emissions into
9 10 11	557	the attic (i.e. points well above the grey band).
12 13	558	Detailed mechanisms resulting in emissions of the small-molecule organic compounds
14 15	559	from the wooden building materials of this 80-year-old house remain to be better understood.
16 17 18	560	One plausible hypothesis is decomposition of wood, which is mainly composed of celluloses,
19 20	561	hemicelluloses, and lignin. The suite of organic compounds elevated in the attic closely
21 22	562	resembles the volatile degradation products of heat-treated wood as reported in laboratory-based
23 24 25	563	measurements. ^{43,44} With heat treatment, the reactions are believed to start with deacetylation of
25 26 27	564	hemicelluloses, and the released acetic acid further catalyzes the decomposition of
28 29	565	polysaccharides and reduces their degree of polymerization. ^{45,46} Commonly reported volatile
30 31 32	566	products are acetic acid and fufural; ^{43,44,47–49} the latter compound is a degradation product of
32 33 34	567	some pentoses. ⁴⁶ Production of formic acid, methanol, small aldehydes, other furanoids, and
35 36	568	some phenolic compounds (lignin decomposition products) are also reported. ⁴³ The timber used
37 38	569	to build this house was unlikely heat treated prior to construction, based on the wood color and
39 40 41	570	building age. We suspect that similar degradation processes might have taken place over the
42 43	571	near century time scale since house construction. High abundance of acetic acid and furfural in
44 45	572	both the attic and in the living zone, along with high abundance of other compounds associated
46 47 48	573	with wood degradation, are consistent with the hypothesis of wood decomposition being their
49 50	574	major source.
51 52	575	As a further note, the high detection frequency and high abundance of acetic acid, formic

acid, and furfural have been reported for residential air in places where wood-framed houses are

Indoor Air - PROOF

common. Mixing ratios of acetic acid and formic acid were measured in residences in New Jersey and in the greater Boston area at levels comparable to the current study and also more than an order of magnitude higher than outdoors.^{50,51} An indoor air survey of ~3800 homes in Canada showed that furfural was detected in 98% of homes (acetic acid was not a target compound in that study).² Another study in Finland showed that furfural was detected in 21 out of 26 houses.⁵² Although further investigation is warranted, emissions from wood construction materials might have been important sources of these VOCs among others for the residences in previous studies.

Emissions from the wooden building envelope are prominent in this studied house. Yet, the building envelope cannot explain the whole story of material-associated emissions into the living zone. As shown in Figure 9, for some VOCs such as nonanal, phenol, and decanal, high I/O ratios were observed in the living zone, but their attic I/O ratios were just slightly above what is predicted by transport. For these VOCs, continuous emission sources other than the wood building envelope were present in the living zone. Specific sources for these compounds were not isolated. While there are multiple possible sources, we suspect phenol could have been emitted from plastic products, and nonanal and decanal could be emitted from ozone reactions with various indoor surfaces (e.g., with surface oil films originating from cooking).^{13,53}

4. Conclusion

We have characterized the general features of sources and emissions of VOCs in the living space of a normally occupied single-family house in northern California. The analysis is based on space- and time-resolved measurement of a full spectrum of VOCs observable by PTR-ToF-MS throughout two multiweek, continuous monitoring campaigns. In total, about 200 VOC ions (species) were measured. For the studied house, most VOCs observed in the living space

Indoor Air - PROOF

Page 29 of 58

Indoor Air - PROOF

were primarily emitted from sources directly into the living space. Transport from outdoors and from coupled spaces such as the crawlspace, basement, and attic were overall minor for a large majority of VOCs. For many VOCs observed in the living space, continuous temperature-dependent emissions were prominent, characterized in the time series by indoor concentrations consistently elevated above outdoor levels. These emissions come from building materials, furnishings, and other static contents of the household. In particular, slow decomposition of the wooden building envelope is suggested as a major source for acetic acid, formic acid, and methanol, which together accounted for approximately 75% of the total continuous indoor emission, as well as for some other abundant VOCs. Intermittent emissions from occupants and their activities produced short-term enhancements (spikes) in the VOC concentration time series. The diel pattern of the number of spiked ions indicates that cooking activities were the major occupancy-associated VOC emission sources. The influence of activity emissions on indoor concentrations of emitted VOCs can extend beyond the period of source activity, exhibit as a slow decline in the concentration time series following spikes. The persistence is most likely due to reversible sorptive interactions of the VOCs with interior surfaces.

Much of the concern about indoor air VOCs in the past has focused on primary emissions from new building materials and furnishings. There is ample evidence that these emissions decline over time.^{22,23} To our surprise, notwithstanding that the residence studied here is old and has not been remodeled or refurbished recently, the overall spectrum of VOCs measured is still dominated by continuous emissions from the building and its contents. The distinction is that the emissions in this house seem to be largely secondary. The dominance of small oxygenated compounds (small carboxylic acids, alcohols, and carbonyls) in the spectrum of measured VOCs and the continuous emission pattern for many of them indicate they likely result from ongoing

Page 30 of 58

י ר
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
0
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
10
17
18
19
20
21
22
~~ 72
23 24
24
25
26
27
28
20
20
50
31
32
33
34
35
36
50
37
38
39
40
41
42
42
7-J ///
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
50
5/ 50
58
59
60

1

623 chemical processes, such as decomposition and oxidation. Slow decomposition of wooden 624 building materials is suggested as a potentially important pathway, but other unidentified 625 chemical pathways might also exist. These results call attention to the possible importance of 626 indoor chemistry as a source for indoor VOCs, even in older structures. 627 Our team's first indoor study using PTR-ToF-MS revealed that in a university classroom 628 the occupants themselves were the primary source of indoor VOCs, including the noteworthy 629 prominence of cyclic siloxanes from personal care products.^{10,26}. Relative to a classroom, the 630 typical single-family dwelling in the United States has more ventilation per person, more high-631 emitting activities (such as cooking), and more emissions from objects in the building materials 632 and furnishings. In both the university classroom setting and in the residential environment we 633 found the contribution of outdoor air to indoor VOC levels to be modest. The combination of 634 much higher VOC levels indoors than outside and the high proportion of time spent indoors, 635 especially in residences, points to the need for a shift in overall air quality research emphasis 636 toward the indoor environment to more thoroughly understand the species and concentrations of 637 VOCs that dominate indoor chemistry and human exposure.

638 From a technical perspective, this study demonstrates how continuous time- and space-639 resolved VOC observations can contribute toward understanding the source characteristics and 640 emission dynamics of VOCs in occupied buildings. Space-resolved measurements in this 80-641 year-old wood-framed house have led to the discovery of a previously unreported major VOC 642 source, slow decomposition of aged wooden building materials. Looking to the future, similar 643 measurements in other types of residences might help identify additional interesting and 644 important VOC sources that are currently not well understood or potentially not recognized. The 645 use of time-resolved measurements in an occupied residence allowed identification of cooking as Page 31 of 58

Indoor Air - PROOF

the major source coming directly from occupants and their activities. Even though the two occupants had relatively simple indoor lifestyles (e.g., rarely cooking meat, having no evident emissions-associated hobbies, and spare use of personal care or commercial cleaning products) in this moderately large house, emissions from occupants and their activities still made considerable contributions to tens of indoor VOC ions. In residences with higher occupant density and more VOC-emitting activities, occupants' contribution could be even more important.

Acknowledgments. This work was funded by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation Chemistry of Indoor Environments (CIE) program via Grant 2016-7050. Y. J. Liu acknowledges support from Alfred P. Sloan Foundation MoBE Postdoctoral Fellowship (Grant 2015-14166). We thank occupants in the studied house for volunteering their house and facilitating and participating in the measurements. The occupants gave informed consent for this study, which was conducted under a protocol approved in advance by the Committee for Protection of Human Subjects for the University of California, Berkeley (Protocol #2016-04-8656).

References

- Klepeis NE, Nelson WC, Ott WR, et al. The National Human Activity Pattern Survey 1. (NHAPS): a resource for assessing exposure to environmental pollutants. J Expo Anal *Environ Epidemiol.* 2001;11:231–252.
- Zhu J, Wong SL, Cakmak S. Nationally representative levels of selected volatile organic 2. compounds in Canadian residential indoor air: population-based survey. Environ Sci Technol. 2013;47:13276-13283.
- 3. Logue JM, McKone TE, Sherman MH, Singer BC. Hazard assessment of chemical air contaminants measured in residences. Indoor Air. 2011;21:92-109.
- Bari MA, Kindzierski WB, Wheeler AJ, Héroux M-È, Wallace LA. Source apportionment 4. of indoor and outdoor volatile organic compounds at homes in Edmonton, Canada. Build Environ. 2015;90:114-124.
- 5. Zhu J, Newhook R, Marro L, Chan CC. Selected volatile organic compounds in residential air in the city of Ottawa, Canada. Environ Sci Technol. 2005;39:3964–3971.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

51 52

53

54

55

56

57

58 59

60

6. Jia C, Batterman S, Godwin C. VOCs in industrial, urban and suburban neighborhoods, Part 1: indoor and outdoor concentrations, variation, and risk drivers. Atmos Environ. 2008;42:2083-2100. Brown SK, Sim MR, Abramson MJ, Gray CN. Concentrations of volatile organic 7. compounds in indoor air – a review. Indoor Air. 1994;4:123–134. 8. Duncan SM, Sexton KG, Turpin BJ. Oxygenated VOCs, aqueous chemistry, and potential impacts on residential indoor air composition. Indoor Air. 2018;28:198-212. 9. Haghighat F. De Bellis L. Material emission rates: literature review, and the impact of indoor air temperature and relative humidity. Build Environ. 1998;33:261-277. Tang X, Misztal PK, Nazaroff WW, Goldstein AH. Siloxanes are the most abundant 10. volatile organic compound emitted from engineering students in a classroom. Environ Sci Technol Lett. 2015;2:303-307. 11. Klein F, Platt SM, Farren NJ, et al. Characterization of gas-phase organics using proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometry: cooking emissions. Environ Sci Technol. 2016;50:1243-1250. 12 Wisthaler A, Weschler CJ. Reactions of ozone with human skin lipids: sources of carbonyls, dicarbonyls, and hydroxycarbonyls in indoor air. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107:6568-6575. 13 Wang H, Morrison G. Ozone-surface reactions in five homes: surface reaction probabilities, aldehyde yields, and trends. Indoor Air. 2010;20:224–234. 14. Adams RI, Lymperopoulou DS, Misztal PK, et al. Microbes and associated soluble and volatile chemicals on periodically wet household surfaces. *Microbiome*. 2017;5:128. Misztal PK, Lymperopoulou DS, Adams RI, et al. Emission factors of microbial volatile 15. organic compounds from environmental bacteria and fungi. Environ Sci Technol. 2018;52:8272-8282. Du L, Batterman S, Godwin C, Rowe Z, Chin J-Y. Air exchange rates and migration of 16. VOCs in basements and residences. Indoor Air. 2015;25:598-609. 17. Batterman S, Jia C, Hatzivasilis G. Migration of volatile organic compounds from attached garages to residences: a major exposure source. Environ Res. 2007;104:224-240. Yu C, Crump D. A review of the emission of VOCs from polymeric materials used in 18. buildings. Build Environ. 1998;33:357-374. Seifert B, Ullrich D. Methodologies for evaluating sources of volatile organic chemicals 19. (VOC) in homes. Atmos Environ. 1987;21:395-404. Levin H. Controlling sources of indoor air pollution. In: Knöppel H, Wolkoff P, eds. 20. Chemical, Microbiological, Health and Comfort Aspects of Indoor Air Ouality - State of the Art in SBS. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 1992:321-341. 21. Wolkoff P. Volatile organic compounds - sources, measurements, emissions, and the impact on indoor air quality. Indoor Air. 1995;5(Suppl. 3):1-73. Herbarth O, Matysik S. Decreasing concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOC) 22. emitted following home renovations. Indoor Air. 2010;20:141-146. Liang W, Yang C, Yang X. Long-term concentrations of volatile organic compounds in a 23. new apartment in Beijing, China. Build Environ. 2014;82:693-701. Singer BC, Hodgson AT, Hotchi T, et al. Sorption of organic gases in residential rooms. 24. 31 Indoor Air - PROOF

1		
2		
4		Atmos Environ. 2007;41:3251–3265.
5 6	25.	Guo H. Source apportionment of volatile organic compounds in Hong Kong homes. <i>Build Environ</i> . 2011;46:2280–2286.
7 8 9	26.	Tang X, Misztal PK, Nazaroff WW, Goldstein AH. Volatile organic compound emissions from humans indoors. <i>Environ Sci Technol</i> . 2016;50:12686–12694.
10 11	27.	Stönner C, Edtbauer A, Williams J. Real-world volatile organic compound emission rates from seated adults and children for use in indoor air studies. <i>Indoor Air</i> . 2018;28:164–172.
12 13 14 15	28.	Veres PR, Faber P, Drewnick F, Lelieveld J, Williams J. Anthropogenic sources of VOC in a football stadium: Assessing human emissions in the atmosphere. <i>Atmos Environ</i> . 2013;77:1052–1059.
16 17 18	29.	Williams J, Stönner C, Wicker J, et al. Cinema audiences reproducibly vary the chemical composition of air during films, by broadcasting scene specific emissions on breath. <i>Sci Rep</i> . 2016;6:25464.
20 21 22	30.	Liu S, Thompson SL, Stark H, Ziemann PJ, Jimenez JL. Gas-phase carboxylic acids in a university classroom: Abundance, variability, and sources. <i>Environ Sci Technol.</i> 2017;51:5454–5463.
23 24 25	31.	Liu Y, Misztal PK, Xiong J, et al. Detailed investigation of ventilation rates and airflow patterns in a northern California residence. <i>Indoor Air</i> . 2018;28:572–584.
26 27 28	32.	Tian Y, Liu Y, Misztal PK, et al. Fluorescent biological aerosol particles: concentrations, emissions, and exposures in a northern California residence. <i>Indoor Air</i> . 2018;28:559–571.
30 31 32	33.	Yuan B, Koss AR, Warneke C, Coggon M, Sekimoto K, de Gouw JA. Proton-transfer- reaction mass spectrometry: applications in atmospheric sciences. <i>Chem Rev.</i> 2017;117:13187–13229.
33 34 35 36	34.	Amador-Muñoz O, Misztal PK, Weber R, et al. Sensitive detection of <i>n</i> -alkanes using a mixed ionization mode proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometer. <i>Atmos Meas Tech</i> . 2016;9:5315–5329.
37 38	35.	Holzinger R. PTRwid: A new widget tool for processing PTR-TOF-MS data. <i>Atmos Meas Tech</i> . 2015;8:3903–3922.
39 40 41	36.	Park J-H, Goldstein AH, Timkovsky J, et al. Active atmosphere-ecosystem exchange of the vast majority of detected volatile organic compounds. <i>Science</i> . 2013;341:643–647.
42 43 44 45	37.	Taipale R, Ruuskanen TM, Rinne J, et al. Technical note: quantitative long-term measurements of VOC concentrations by PTR-MS — measurement, calibration, and volume mixing ratio calculation methods. <i>Atmos Chem Phys.</i> 2008;8:6681–6698.
46 47	38.	Zhao J, Zhang R. Proton transfer reaction rate constants between hydronium ion (H_3O^+) and volatile organic compounds. <i>Atmos Environ</i> . 2004;38:2177–2185.
48 49 50 51	39.	Klein F, Farren NJ, Bozzetti C, et al. Indoor terpene emissions from cooking with herbs and pepper and their secondary organic aerosol production potential. <i>Sci Rep</i> . 2016;6:36623.
52 53	40.	Won D, Corsi RL, Rynes M. Sorptive interactions between VOCs and indoor materials. <i>Indoor Air.</i> 2008;11:246–256.
55 56	41.	Cappellin L, Farneti B, Di Guardo M, et al. QTL analysis coupled with PTR-ToF-MS and candidate gene-based association mapping validate the role of Md-AAT1 as a major gene
57 58		32
59 60		Indoor Air - PROOF

	in the control of flavor in apple fruit. <i>Plant Mol Biol Report</i> , 2015:33:239–252.
42.	Liu Z, Ye W, Little JC. Predicting emissions of volatile and semivolatile organic compounds from building materials: A review. <i>Build Environ</i> . 2013;64:7–25.
43.	Esteves BM, Pereira HM. Wood modification by heat treatment: a review. <i>BioResources</i> . 2009;4:370–404.
44.	Salthammer T, Fuhrmann F. Release of acetic acid and furfural from cork products. <i>Indoor Air</i> . 2000;10:133–134.
45.	Tjeerdsma BF, Boonstra M, Pizzi A, Tekely P, Militz H. Characterisation of thermally modified wood: molecular reasons for wood performance improvement. <i>Holz als Rohund Werkst</i> . 1998;56:149–153.
46.	Nuopponen M, Vuorinen T, Jämsä S, Viitaniemi P. Thermal modifications in softwood studied by FT-IR and UV resonance Raman spectroscopies. <i>J Wood Chem Technol</i> . 2005;24:13–26.
47.	Hyttinen M, Masalin-Weijo M, Kalliokoski P, Pasanen P. Comparison of VOC emissions between air-dried and heat-treated Norway spruce (<i>Picea abies</i>), Scots pine (<i>Pinus sylvesteris</i>) and European aspen (<i>Populus tremula</i>) wood. <i>Atmos Environ</i> . 2010;44:5028–5033.
48.	Manninen A-M, Pasanen P, Holopainen JK. Comparing the VOC emissions between air- dried and heat-treated Scots pine wood. <i>Atmos Environ</i> . 2002;36:1763–1768.
49.	Horn W, Ullrich D, Seifert B. VOC emissions from cork products for indoor use. <i>Indoor Air</i> . 1998;8:39–46.
50.	Reiss R, Ryan PB, Tibbetts SJ, Koutrakis P. Measurement of organic acids, aldehydes, and ketones in residential environments and their relation to ozone. <i>J Air Waste Manage Assoc</i> . 1995;45:811–822.
51.	Zhang JF, Wilson WE, Lioy PJ. Sources of organic acids in indoor air - a field study. <i>J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol</i> . 1994;4:25–47.
52.	Kostiainen R. Volatile organic compounds in the indoor air of normal and sick houses. <i>Atmos Environ</i> . 1995;29:693–702.
53.	Kruza M, Lewis AC, Morrison GC, Carslaw N. Impact of surface ozone interactions on indoor air chemistry: A modeling study. <i>Indoor Air</i> . 2017;27:1001–1011.
54.	Yener S, Romano A, Cappellin L, et al. PTR-ToF-MS characterisation of roasted coffees (<i>C. arabica</i>) from different geographic origins. <i>J Mass Spectrom</i> . 2014;49:929–935.

Ions (species) ^b	Summer ^c			Winter ^c			Major intermittent sources
	$C_{Avg}(ppb)$	I/O	$C_{\rm Avg}/C_{\rm Med}$	$C_{\rm Avg}({\rm ppb})$	I/O	$C_{\rm Avg}/C_{\rm Med}$	
$C_{10}H_{17}^+$ (monoterpenes)	1.5	13	1.4	13	100	2.3	citrus fruits (winter), cooking, cleaning
$C_2H_7O^+$ (ethanol)	130	44	2.3	150	63	1.9	beer and wine, toasting bread, other cooking, cleaning
$C_5H_5O^+$ (unknown ^d)	0.24	22	1.4	0.56	80	1.6	coffee
C ₉ H ₉ O ⁺ (cinnamaldehyde)	0.24	21	1.6	0.062	25	1.07	making applesauce (summer), other cooking
$C_2H_3O_4^+$ (oxalic acid)	0.016	2.3	1.8	NA ^e	NA	NA	sautéing certain vegetables
$C_{6}H_{9}O_{4}^{+}(3DG^{f})$	0.015	2.5	2.5	0.012	10	1.3	baking granola, other cooking
C ₄ H ₆ N ⁺ (pyrrole)	0.10	100	2.6	0.070	35	1.6	sautéing (sometimes), coffee, other cooking
C ₅ H ₆ N ⁺ (pyridine)	0.10	10	1.8	0.070	6.4	1.3	coffee
$C_{10}H_{31}O_5Si_5^+$ (D5)	0.87	34	2.0	20	280	6.0	use of personal care products
C ₁₂ H ₃₇ O ₆ Si ₆ ⁺ (D6)	0.13	130	1.6	0.05	67	1.5	use of personal care and cleaning products
H ₃ NCl ⁺ (chloramine)	0.088	13	1.5	0.031	14	2.6	use of tap water
H ₃ S ⁺ (hydrogen sulfide)	0.007	2.0	1.7	0.012	3.3	1.6	cooking (esp. melting butter)

Table 1. List of ions with dominant contributions from occupants and their activities.^a

^a Selection criteria: $(C_{Avg}/C_{Med})_{kitchen}$ greater than 1.5 in at least one season, where C_{Avg} is the mean concentration and C_{Med} is the median.

^b Ions are sorted by formula family ($C_xH_y^+$, $C_xH_yO^+$, $C_xH_yO_z^+$, $C_xH_yN_z^+$, siloxane ions, inorganic ions)

^c Presented indoor data are for measurements in the kitchen during occupied periods.

^d C₅H₅O⁺ might be is a fragment of certain furanoids.⁵⁴

^e Ion was not detected in winter.

^fTentative assignment to 3-deoxyglucosone (3DG; $C_6H_{10}O_5$), a dicarbonyl sugar that is synthesized through the Maillard reaction; $C_6H_9O_4^+$ can be a dehydrated ion of $C_6H_{10}O_5$.

Figures

- Fig. 1. Time series of summed VOC concentration (∑VOCs) during (A) summer and (B) winter campaigns. The grey shaded region represents the longer vacant periods (≥ 2 days) in each campaign. Traces in purple, green, orange, blue, cyan, and red represent measurements in the attic, bedroom area, kitchen, basement, crawlspace, and outdoors, respectively.
- **Fig. 2.** VOC composition in the kitchen air measured using PTR-ToF-MS under normal occupancy: (A) averaged VOC mass spectrum and (B) scatter plot of averaged concentrations (C_{Avg}) of VOC ions in the summer against those in the winter. In panel (A), the dark grey vertical lines represent C_{Avg} of individual ions which are detected in both seasons. The red and blue lines represent ions detected only in the summer and only in the winter, respectively, with C_{Avg} shown for only that season. The mass spectrum has been filtered to remove internal, isotopic, and fragment ions. In Panel (B), the data are shown for ions detected in both seasons, colored by ion masses. For some prominent ions, parent VOC compounds or ion formulas are labeled. The solid grey line denotes a 1:1 relationship.
- Fig. 3. Histogram of the indoor-to-outdoor ratios (I/O) of averaged concentrations (C_{Avg}) of the VOC ions for each indoor space. Data are presented from the top to bottom for the attic, bedroom area, kitchen, basement, and crawlspace, in summer (left) and winter (right) campaigns, respectively. Dotted lines indicate equal indoor and outdoor concentrations (I/O = 1). Number of ions with I/O > 10 is listed for each indoor space. Data are not shown for I/O > 50.
- **Fig. 4.** Time series on a selected day (22 September 2016) of (top) activities recorded by sensors and occupants and (bottom) kitchen concentrations of selected compounds. Selected compounds (associated major ions) include pyridine ($C_5H_6N^+$), ethanol ($C_2H_7O^+$), acetone ($C_3H_7O^+$), ethanethiol + dimethyl sulfide (DMS; $C_2H_7S^+$), isoprene ($C_5H_9^+$), methylsiloxane D5 ($C_{10}H_{31}O_5Si_5^+$), and chloramine (H_3NCl^+). *Background and peak concentrations (in ppb) of each compound are noted. **Peak value out of plot range.

- Fig. 5. Hourly variation in the average number of spiked VOC ions measured in the kitchen (grey bars; left axis) and burner uses (orange lines; right axis) in (A) summer and (B) winter campaigns during normal occupancy.
- Fig. 6. Histogram of kitchen mean-to-median concentration ratios (C_{Avg}/C_{Med}) for organic ions in (A) summer and (B) winter campaigns. Data are presented for vacant and occupied periods in grey and red colors, respectively. Ion count is listed for $C_{Avg}/C_{Med} > 1.5$, > 1.1 and <1.06, respectively, for the occupied periods.
- Fig. 7. Indoor emission rates for VOC ions that are dominated by continuous indoor emissions: (A) pie chart of averaged emission rate in summer and (B) stacked bar chart of emission rates by indoor temperature across two seasons. Selection criteria for included ions are provided in the text. Both pie and bars are colored by VOC speciation. Emission rates in mg h⁻¹ were determined for each ion with 2-h resolution. In panel (B), an average is shown for each integer temperature at which more than 50 emission rates were measured.
- **Fig. 8.** Variation with indoor temperature: (A) stacked concentration for VOCs that have a dominant source of indoor continuous emissions and (B) air-change rate. Data are shown for each integer temperature bin for which more than 50 measurements were recorded. In Panel (A), stacked bars represent averaged summed concentrations in ppb at individual integer temperature, colored by VOC speciation. The color code is the same as the pie chart in Fig. 7A. In Panel (B), vertical lines, horizontal lines, and points represent interquartile ranges, medians, and means of measured air-change rates within 1 °C temperature intervals.
- Fig. 9. Scatter plot of indoor-to-outdoor (I/O) ratios in the attic versus those in the living zone for all the organic ions observed in the summer campaign. The size and color of each data point is respectively scaled by average concentration (C_{Avg}) and mean-to-median concentration ratio (C_{Avg}/C_{Med}) measured in the kitchen. The dashed grey line represents the predicted lower limit of attic I/O ratios, assuming that the attic concentration is solely determined by transport from the living zone and from outdoors. The light grey band shows uncertainty of the prediction. Ion and compound assignments are noted for some prominent species.





Figure 2









Figure 6







Figure 8









2	
3	Supplementary Materials
4 5	
6 7	for
8 9	Characterizing sources and emissions of volatile organic compounds in a
10 11 12	northern California residence using space- and time-resolved measurements
12	8 I
14	
15 16	
16	
18 19 20	Yingjun Liu ^{1,2,3,*} , Pawel K Misztal ^{2,4} , Jianyin Xiong ^{2,5} , Yilin Tian ⁶ , Caleb Arata ⁷ , Robert J Weber ² , William W Nazaroff ⁶ , and Allen H Goldstein ^{2,6}
21	
22 23	¹ State Key Joint Laboratory of Environmental Simulation and Pollution Control, College of
24	Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing, Unina;
25	² Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of Camornia, Perkelay, CA, United States:
26	Berkeley, CA, Ullied States, Beijing Innevation Conter for Engineering Science and Advanced Technology Deking
27	University Beijing Chine:
28	4 NEDC Contro for Ecology & Hydrology Edinburgh Midlethian United Kingdom:
29 30	5 Sahaal of Machanical Engineering, Paijing Institute of Tashnalogy, Paijing Chine:
31	⁶ School of Mechanical Engineering, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China,
32	⁶ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA,
33	United States;
34	⁷ Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, CA, United States.
35	
36	
37	
38	
39 40	E-mail: yingjun.liu@pku.edu.cn
40	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	Submission: October 28, 2018
47	
48	
49 50	
51	INDOOR AIR
52	
53	
54	
55	*To whom correspondence should be addressed
56	ro whom correspondence should be audressed
57	
58	
59 60	Indoor Air - PROOF
00	

Table S1: Full list of organic ions detected in the current study and key measurement data in each season.

					Summer Wi		Summer		Summer Wint		Vinter	nter		
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Ca	Ub	m/z	lon formula	Compound assignment ^c	C _{avg} ^d (ppb)	I/O ^d	E ^e (mg h ⁻¹)	C _{avg} ^d (ppb)	I/O ^d	E ^e (mg h ⁻¹)			
28.030 C2H4+ alkyl fragment 0.075 11 C 0.194 14 * 33.03 CHSO+ methanol 27.6 18 5.3 30.1 24 40.7 41.038 CHSO+ methanol 27.6 18 5.3 30.1 24 40.7 42.034 C2HA+ actonitrile 0.155 1.3 0.010 0.116 1.4 0.017 43.054 C3H7+ propanol fragment (H2O) 3.41 14 0.87 3.49 12 2.7 45.034 C2HO2+ formic acid 13.8 14 4.0 11.6 15 2.3 47.049 C2H7O+ ethanol 126 44 40 152 63 3.1 49.016 CHS+ methanethiol 0.075 8.5 0.020 0.074 14 0.065 53.038 C4H5+ alkyl fragment 0.016 2.0 0.022 11 0.007 0.023 9.9 0.0			27.024	C2H3+	alkyl fragment	0.058	15	0.009	0.276	14	0.10			
* 31.018 CH3O+ formaldehyde 3.88 19 0.72 4.07 18 0.53 * 33.033 CHSO+ methanol 27.6 18 5.3 30.1 24 4.1 4 41.038 C3H5+ alkyl fragment 0.738 14 0.18 1.04 12 0.55 4 42.034 C2H4N+ accontrile 0.155 1.3 0.010 0.116 1.4 0.010 4 45.034 C2H5O+ acctaldehyde 9.42 15 2.7 8.44 13 1.6 * 47.012 CH3O+ formic acid 1.38 14 4.0 11.6 15 2.3 49.016 CH5S+ methanethiol 0.075 8.5 0.020 0.074 14 0.015 53.038 C4H5+ alkyl fragment 0.042 4.7 0.27 1.17 7.1 0.22 54.033 C3H5O+ fragment 0.042 4.7			28.030	C2H4+	alkyl fragment	0.075	11	f	0.194	14	f			
* 33.033 CH5O+ methanol 27.6 18 5.3 30.1 24 4.1 41.038 C3H5+ alkyl fragment 0.738 14 0.18 1.04 12 0.55 42.034 C2H4N+ acctonitrile 0.155 1.3 0.010 0.116 1.4 0.001 43.054 C3H7+ + propene 3.41 14 0.87 3.49 12 2.7 45.034 C2H5O+ acctaldehyde 9.42 15 2.7 8.44 13 1.6 * 47.012 CH3O2+ formic acid 13.8 14 4.0 115 6.3 31 47.049 C2H7O+ etalanol 126 4.4 40 152 6.3 31 49.016 CH5S+ methanethiol 0.075 8.5 0.020 0.074 14 0.015 50.056 C4H7+4 alkyl fragment 0.002 11 0.007 0.023 9.9 0.005 <td>*</td> <td></td> <td>31.018</td> <td>CH3O+</td> <td>formaldehyde</td> <td>3.88</td> <td>19</td> <td>0.72</td> <td>4.07</td> <td>18</td> <td>0.53</td>	*		31.018	CH3O+	formaldehyde	3.88	19	0.72	4.07	18	0.53			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	*		33.033	CH5O+	methanol	27.6	18	5.3	30.1	24	4.1			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			41.038	C3H5+	alkyl fragment	0.738	14	0.18	1.04	12	0.55			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			42.034	C2H4N+	acetonitrile	0.155	1.3	0.010	0.116	1.4	0.010			
			43.054	C3H7+	propanol fragment (-H2O) + propene	3.41	14	0.87	3.49	12	2.7			
* 47.012 CH3O2+ formic acid 13.8 14 4.0 11.6 15 2.3 47.049 C2H7O+ ethanol 126 44 40 152 63 31 49.016 CHISS+ methanethiol 0.075 8.5 0.020 0.074 14 0.016 53.038 C4H5+ alkyl fragment 0.010 6.2 0.003 0.024 10 0.005 54.033 C3H4N+ acrolein + propionic acid 0.942 4.7 0.27 1.17 7.1 0.23 * 57.034 C3H5O+ fragment 1.07 11 0.36 0.902 14 0.21 butaton fragment 1.07 11 0.36 0.902 14 0.21 57.049 C4H9+ butaton 11.4 7.9 0.025 12 0.48 * 59.011 C2H3O2+ acetic acid 51.5 35 20 45.7 34 12 61.0			45.034	C2H5O+	acetaldehyde	9.42	15	2.7	8.44	13	1.6			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	*		47.012	CH3O2+	formic acid	13.8	14	4.0	11.6	15	2.3			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			47.049	C2H7O+	ethanol	126	44	40	152	63	31			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			49.016	CH5S+	methanethiol	0.075	8.5	0.020	0.074	14	0.015			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			53.038	C4H5+	alkyl fragment	0.010	6.2	0.003	0.024	10	0.005			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			54.033	C3H4N+	acrylonitrile**	0.022	11	0.007	0.023	9.9	0.005			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			56.056	C4H7+g	alkyl fragment	0.942	4.7	0.27	1.17	7.1	0.25			
butanol fragment (-H2O) + 2.08 14 0.72 1.95 12 0.48 * 59.011 C2H3O2+ glyoxal** 0.084 7.9 0.025 - - * 59.048 C3H7O+ glyoxal** 0.084 7.9 0.025 - - * 61.028 C2H5O2+ acetic acid 51.5 35 20 45.7 34 12 * 63.027 C2H7S+ ethanethiol 0.324 3.0 0.12 0.408 20 0.11 • 63.027 C2H7S+ ethanethiol 0.050 12 0.022 -	*		57.034	C3H5O+	acrolein + propionic acid fragment	1.07	11	0.36	0.902	14	0.21			
* 59.011 C2H3O2+ glyoxal** 0.084 7.9 0.025 * 59.048 C3H7O+ C3 saturated carbonyl (proponal + acetone) 11.4 7.9 3.6 10.2 9.7 2.6 * 61.028 C2H5O2+ acetic acid 51.5 35 20 45.7 34 12 dimethyl sulfur + ethanethiol 0.324 3.0 0.12 0.408 20 0.11 63.027 C2H7S+ alkyl fragment 0.050 12 0.022 64.049 C4H6N+ pyrrole 0.101 99 0.042 0.070 35 0.017 69.033 C4H5O+ furan 0.104 17 0.041 0.153 14 0.043 69.069 C5H9+ isoprene 1.36 12 0.56 1.38 29 0.42 71.048 C4H7O+ mesturated carbonyl (e.g., methyl vinyl ketone) 0.508 7.2 0.21 0.409 10 0.12 73.028 C3H5O2+ acrylic acid** 0.375 7.1 0			57.069	C4H9+	butanol fragment (-H2O) + butene	2.08	14	0.72	1.95	12	0.48			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		*	59.011	C2H3O2+	glyoxal**	0.084	7.9	0.025						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			59.048	С3Н7О+	C3 saturated carbonyl (proponal + acetone)	11.4	7.9	3.6	10.2	9.7	2.6			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	*		61.028	C2H5O2+	acetic acid	51.5	35	20	45.7	34	12			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			63.027	C2H7S+	dimethyl sulfur + ethanethiol	0.324	3.0	0.12	0.408	20	0.11			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			67.054	C5H7+	alkyl fragment	0.050	12	0.022						
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			68.049	C4H6N+	pyrrole	0.101	99	0.042	0.070	35	0.017			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			69.033	C4H5O+	furan	0.104	17	0.041	0.153	14	0.043			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			69.069	C5H9+	isoprene	1.36	12	0.56	1.38	29	0.42			
71.046 C4117C1 Interfry (hity) (ketolic) 0.000 7.2 0.21 0.405 10 0.12 71.085 C5H11+ + pentene 0.808 17 0.35 0.638 14 0.20 72.994 C2HO3+ 0.008 5.5 0.003			71.048	C4H7O+	unsaturated carbonyl (e.g., methyl vinyl ketone)	0.508	7 2	0.21	0.409	10	0.12			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			71.048	C5H11+	pentanol fragment (-H2O) + pentene	0.808	17	0.35	0.638	10	0.12			
73.028 C3H5O2+ acrylic acid** 0.375 7.1 0.16 0.312 6.4 0.083 73.064 C4H9O+ C4 saturated carbonyl (butanal + others) 0.752 6.3 0.29 0.796 5.1 0.20 * 75.005 C2H3O3+ 0.041 15 0.022 75.043 C3H7O2+ propionic acid 3.13 12 1.4 2.71 12 0.86 * * 77.003 C2H5OS+ mercaptoacetaldehyde** 0.020 13 0.009 0.035 26 0.013 77.022 C2H5O3+ glycolic acid** 0.032 2.1 0.007 0.036 11 0.012			72.994	C2HO3+		0.008	5.5	0.003						
73.064 C4H9O+ C4saturated carbonyl (butanal + others) 0.752 6.3 0.29 0.796 5.1 0.20 * 75.005 C2H3O3+ 0.041 15 0.022 0.796 5.1 0.20 75.043 C3H7O2+ propionic acid 3.13 12 1.4 2.71 12 0.86 * * 77.003 C2H5OS+ mercaptoacetaldehyde** 0.020 13 0.009 0.035 26 0.013 77.022 C2H5O3+ glycolic acid** 0.032 2.1 0.007 0.036 11 0.012			73.028	C3H5O2+	acrylic acid**	0.375	7.1	0.16	0.312	6.4	0.083			
* 75.005 C2H3O3+ 0.041 15 0.022 75.043 C3H7O2+ propionic acid 3.13 12 1.4 2.71 12 0.86 * * 77.003 C2H5OS+ mercaptoacetaldehyde** 0.020 13 0.009 0.035 26 0.013 77.022 C2H5O3+ glycolic acid** 0.032 2.1 0.007 0.036 11 0.012			73.064	C4H9O+	C4 saturated carbonyl (butanal + others)	0.752	6.3	0.29	0.796	5.1	0.20			
75.043 C3H7O2+ propionic acid 3.13 12 1.4 2.71 12 0.86 * * 77.003 C2H5OS+ mercaptoacetaldehyde** 0.020 13 0.009 0.035 26 0.013 77.022 C2H5O3+ glycolic acid** 0.032 2.1 0.007 0.036 11 0.012		*	75.005	C2H3O3+		0.041	15	0.022						
* * 77.003 C2H5OS+ mercaptoacetaldehyde** 0.020 13 0.009 0.035 26 0.013 77.022 C2H5O3+ glycolic acid** 0.032 2.1 0.007 0.036 11 0.012			75.043	C3H7O2+	propionic acid	3.13	12	1.4	2.71	12	0.86			
77.022 C2H5O3+ glycolic acid** 0.032 2.1 0.007 0.036 11 0.012	*	*	77.003	C2H5OS+	mercaptoacetaldehyde**	0.020	13	0.009	0.035	26	0.013			
			77.022	C2H5O3+	glycolic acid**	0.032	2.1	0.007	0.036	11	0.012			

Indoor Air - PROOF

1
י ר
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
17
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
20
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
26
50 77
3/
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
_1/ ⊿Ω
40
49 50
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58

J	0
5	9

59
60

Image: Note of the second se			77.039	C6H5+	aromatic fragment				0.034	5.7	0.010
Image: 1 79.052 C6H7+ benzene 0.235 3.1 0.084 0.477 3.6 0.12 80.048 CSH60+ pyrdine 0.101 10 0.048 0.070 6.4 0.026 80.990 C2H3CIF+ fragment of furanoid 0.237 9.7 0.074 81.034 CSH5O+ compound 0.237 22 0.11 0.588 8.1 0.20 83.042 CH12+ 0.012 4.3 0.005 - - - 83.045 CH17O+ firan 0.173 17 0.076 0.211 17 0.075 85.064 CSH9O+ cyclopentance + others 0.233 9.4 0.11 0.217 11 0.078 85.065 CH13+ hexanol fragment (H2O)+ h			78.994	C2H4OCl+	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0.013	5.9	0.006			
Image: Solution of the second secon			79.052	C6H7+	benzene	0.235	3.1	0.084	0.477	3.6	0.12
80 990 C2H3CIF+ 0.130 4.1 0.045 0.237 9.7 0.074 81.034 CSHSO+ compound 0.237 22 0.11 0.558 81 0.20 83.042 CSHSO+ compound 0.237 22 0.11 0.558 81 0.20 83.049 CSH7O+ furan 0.173 17 0.076 0.211 17 0.075 83.049 CSH7O+ furanone 0.135 16 0.075 0.141 8.7 0.049 85.064 CSH9O+ cyclopentanone + others 0.233 9.4 0.11 0.217 1 0.078 85.060 CH13+ hexene 0.669 16 0.35 0.602 17 0.23 87.079 CSH110+ (pentanal + others) 0.307 12 0.14 0.307 11 0.11 * 89.026 C3H503+ 0.041 5.1 0.022 1 1 0.078 1.07 19			80.048	C5H6N+	pyridine	0.101	10	0.048	0.070	6.4	0.026
8 8 1034 CSHSO+ empound fragment of furanoid 0.237 22 0.11 0.558 81 0.20 8 82.944 CHCl2+ 0.111 16 0.058 - - 8 83.012 CH13O2+ 0.012 4.3 0.005 - - 8 83.045 C6111+ cls-3-hexen-1-ol+others 1.30 27 0.69 1.12 28 0.049 8 85.064 CSH9O+ cyclopentanone + others 0.233 9.4 0.015 0.141 8.7 0.049 8 85.100 C6H13+ hexanol fragment (-H2O) + hexanol fragment (-H3O) 0.022 1.1 0.11 8 9.059 CH19O2+ butyric acid 1.43 19 0.78 1.07 19 0.45 9 9.051 C/T7+ 1.3,5-norcaratricen or aromatic fragment 0.101 15 0.077 0.			80.990	C2H3ClF+		0.130	4.1	0.045	0.237	9.7	0.074
8.1034 CS150- (CH12) compound 0.237 22 0.11 0.558 8.1 0.20 8.1034 CS1470+ 0.012 0.012 0.005 - - 8.3.049 CSH70+ furan 0.173 17 0.076 0.211 17 0.075 8.3.082 CGH11+ cis-3-hexen-1-ol+others 1.30 27 0.69 1.12 28 0.41 8.5.029 CHISO2+ furanone 0.135 16 0.075 0.141 8.7 0.049 8.5.040 CSH90+ cyclopentanone + others 0.233 9.4 0.11 0.217 11 0.078 8.5.100 CGH13+ hexene 0.022 9.6 0.12 0.154 8.4 0.057 8.7.079 CSH110+ (pentan1+ others) 0.007 12 0.14 0.037 11 0.11 9.0998 C2H303+ 0.041 5.1 0.078 1.07 19 0.45 9.0991 C2H702					fragment of furanoid						
k2.944 CHCl2+ 0.111 16 0.005 83.012 C4H3O2+ 0.012 4.3 0.005			81.034	C5H5O+	compound	0.237	22	0.11	0.558	81	0.20
83.012 C4H302+ 0.012 4.3 0.005 83.049 CSH10+ furan 0.173 17 0.076 0.211 17 0.075 * 83.049 CSH11+ cis-3-hexen-1-ol+others 1.30 27 0.69 1.12 28 0.41 85.062 CSH90+ eyclopentanone + others 0.233 9.4 0.11 0.217 11 0.076 85.060 CGH13+ hexanol fragment (-H2O)+ 87.043 CH1702+ diacetyl + others 0.669 16 0.35 0.602 17 0.23 87.079 CSH110+ (pentanal + others) 0.307 12 0.14 0.307 11 0.11 * 89.050 CHH902+ butyric acid 1.43 19 0.78 26 0.029 * 91.022 C2H702S+ dimethoxysilane 0.101 15 0.055 11 0.101			82.944	CHCl2+		0.111	16	0.058			
83.049 CSH70+ furan 0.173 17 0.076 0.211 17 0.075 * 83.085 C6H11+ cis-3-hexen-1-01+ others 1.30 27 0.69 1.12 28 0.41 85.029 C4H502+ furanone 0.135 16 0.075 0.141 8.7 0.049 85.004 CSH90+ eyclopentanone + others 0.233 9.4 0.11 0.217 11 0.075 85.100 C6H13+ hexanol fragment (-H2O)+ hexanol fragment (-H2O)+ hexanol fragment (-H2O)+ hexanol fragment (-H2O)+ 0.012 0.14 0.307 11 0.11 87.079 C5H110+ Cis saturated carbonyl (pentanal + others) 0.041 5.1 0.022 - - 89.050 C4H902+ butyric acid 1.43 19 0.78 1.07 19 0.45 * 90.992 C2H7028+ dimethorysilane 0.101 15 0.078 26 0.029 91.040 C3H703+			83.012	C4H3O2+		0.012	4.3	0.005			
* 83.085 C6H11+ cix-3-hexen-1-ol + others 1.30 27 0.69 1.12 28 0.41 85.029 C4H5O2+ furanone 0.135 16 0.075 0.141 8.7 0.049 85.064 C5H9O+ cyclopentanone + others 0.233 9.4 0.11 0.217 11 0.078 85.100 C6H13+ hexanol fragment (-H2O) + hexanol fragment (-H2O) + (pentanal + others) 0.234 9.6 0.12 0.154 8.4 0.057 87.043 C4H7O2+ diacetyl + others 0.307 12 0.14 0.307 11 0.13 89.050 C3H10O2+ butyric acid 1.43 19 0.78 1.07 19 0.45 90.052 C2H7O2Si+ dimethoxysilane 0.101 15 0.078 26 0.029 91.040 C3H7O3+ 1.3,5-norcaratriene or aromatic fragment 0.139 13 0.084 0.218 16 0.088 93.069 C7H9+ otomatic fragment <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>83.049</td><td>C5H7O+</td><td>furan</td><td>0.173</td><td>17</td><td>0.076</td><td>0.211</td><td>17</td><td>0.075</td></t<>			83.049	C5H7O+	furan	0.173	17	0.076	0.211	17	0.075
85.029 C4H502+ furanone 0.135 16 0.075 0.141 8.7 0.049 85.064 C5H9O+ cyclopentanone + others 0.233 9.4 0.11 0.217 11 0.078 85.060 C6H13+ hexanof fragment (-H2O)+ 0.224 9.6 0.12 0.154 8.4 0.057 87.043 C4H7O2+ diacetyl + others 0.669 16 0.35 0.602 17 0.23 87.079 C5H110+ C5 saturated carbonyl 0.307 12 0.14 0.307 11 0.11 * 89.059 C4H9O2+ butyric acid 1.43 19 0.78 1.07 19 0.45 * 90.998 C2H3O4+ 0.010 15 0.005 1.02 -	*		83.085	C6H11+	<i>cis</i> -3-hexen-1-ol + others	1.30	27	0.69	1.12	28	0.41
85.064 CSH9O+ cyclopentanone + others 0.233 9.4 0.11 0.217 11 0.078 85.100 C6H13+ hexanol fragment (-H2O)+ 0.224 9.6 0.12 0.154 8.4 0.057 87.043 C4H7O2+ diacetyl + others 0.669 16 0.35 0.602 17 0.23 87.079 CSH11O+ C5 saturated carbonyl (pentanal + others) 0.307 12 0.14 0.307 11 0.11 * 89.059 C4H9O2+ butyric acid 1.43 19 0.78 1.07 19 0.45 * 90.998 C2H3O4+ 0.016 2.3 0.005 - <td></td> <td></td> <td>85.029</td> <td>C4H5O2+</td> <td>furanone</td> <td>0.135</td> <td>16</td> <td>0.075</td> <td>0.141</td> <td>8.7</td> <td>0.049</td>			85.029	C4H5O2+	furanone	0.135	16	0.075	0.141	8.7	0.049
85.100 C6H13+ hexene hexene 0.224 9.6 0.12 0.154 8.4 0.057 87.043 C4H7O2+ diacetyl + others 0.669 16 0.35 0.602 17 0.23 87.079 C5H110+ (pentanal + others) 0.307 12 0.14 0.307 11 0.11 * 89.056 C4H9O2+ butyric acid 1.43 19 0.78 1.07 19 0.45 * 90.998 C2H3O4+ 0.016 2.3 0.005			85.064	C5H9O+	cyclopentanone + others	0.233	9.4	0.11	0.217	11	0.078
87.043 C4H7O2+ diacetyl + others 0.669 16 0.35 0.602 17 0.23 87.079 CS111O+ (pentanal + others) 0.307 12 0.14 0.307 11 0.11 * 89.026 C3H5O3+ 0.041 5.1 0.022 - - * 90.98 C2H3O4+ 0.016 2.3 0.005 - - * 90.998 C2H7O2Si+ dimethoxysilane - 0.011 15 0.055 - - 91.022 C2H7O2Si+ dimethoxysilane 0.101 15 0.055 - - 91.051 C7H7+ aromatic fragment 0.139 13 0.084 0.218 16 0.088 93.066 CH9+ toluene 0.309 2.5 0.15 0.70 5.9 0.27 94.998 C2H792+ dimethyl disulfide 0.032 15 0.018 0.63 27 0.027 95.048 C6H70+			85.100	C6H13+	hexanol fragment (-H2O) + hexene	0.224	9.6	0.12	0.154	8.4	0.057
87.079 CSH110+ CS saturated carbonyl (pentanal + others) 0.307 12 0.14 0.307 11 0.11 * 89.026 C3H5O3+ 0.041 5.1 0.022 89.059 C4H9O2+ butyric acid 1.43 19 0.78 1.07 19 0.45 * 90.998 C2H3O4+ 0.016 2.3 0.005 0.078 26 0.029 91.040 C3H7O3+ 0.010 15 0.055 0.039 13 0.084 0.218 16 0.088 91.051 C7H7+ 1,3,5-morcaratriene or aromatic fragment 0.139 13 0.084 0.218 16 0.088 93.068 C3H6OCl+ aromatic fragment 0.468 49 0.229 0.405 36 0.19 93.069 C1H9+ toluene 0.309 2.5 0.15 0.770 5.9 0.27 * 95.0			87.043	C4H7O2+	diacetyl + others	0.669	16	0.35	0.602	17	0.23
87.07 CSH10+ (pentatial = odiets) 0.307 12 0.14 0.307 11 0.11 * 89.056 C3H5O3+ butyric acid 0.41 5.1 0.022 0.45 * 90.998 C2H3O4+ 0.041 5.1 0.078 1.07 19 0.45 91.022 C2H7O2Si+ dimethoxysilane 0.101 15 0.055 0.078 26 0.029 91.040 C3H7O3+ 0.101 15 0.055 0.078 26 0.029 91.051 C7H7+ aromatic fragment 0.139 13 0.084 0.218 16 0.088 93.008 C3H6OCl+ aromatic fragment 0.468 49 0.29 0.405 36 0.17 93.036 CH7S2+ dimethyl disulfide 0.032 15 0.018 0.063 27 0.027 94.998 C2H7S2+ dimethyl disulfide 0.321 56 0.32 0.493 47 0.21			87.070	C511110	C5 saturated carbonyl	0.207	12	0.14	0.207	11	0.11
* 89,026 C371503+ 0.021 0.022 0.022 * 89,059 C4H902+ butyric acid 1.43 19 0.025 0.005 * 90,998 C2H702Si+ dimethoxysilane 0.016 2.3 0.005 0.029 91.022 C2H702Si+ dimethoxysilane 0.011 15 0.055 0.029 91.040 C3H703+ 0.101 15 0.055 0.029 91.051 C7H7+ aromatic fragment 0.139 13 0.084 0.218 16 0.088 93.068 C3H6OCI+ 0.031 5.7 0.016 0.045 36 0.19 93.069 C7H9+ toluene 0.309 2.5 0.15 0.770 5.9 0.27 94.998 C2H782+ dimethyl disulfide 0.032 15 0.018 0.063 27 0.027 94.998 C2H702S+ dimethyl sulfone** 0.146 16 0.090 0.195 52 0.088		*	87.079	C31110+	(pentanai + others)	0.307	5.1	0.14	0.307	11	0.11
89.099 C2H3O4+ 00.016 2.3 0.005 1.07 19 0.43 90.998 C2H3O4+ 0.016 2.3 0.005 0.078 26 0.029 91.022 C2H7O2Si+ dimethoxysilane 0.016 2.3 0.005 0.078 26 0.029 91.040 C3H7O3+ 0.101 15 0.055 0.078 26 0.029 91.051 C7H7+ aromatic fragment 0.139 13 0.084 0.218 16 0.088 93.062 C3H6OCl+ 0.031 5.7 0.016		*	89.020	C4U9O2+	huturi ani d	0.041	5.1 10	0.022	1.07	10	0.45
* 90.998 C2H304+ 0.018 2.3 0.003 0.078 2.6 0.029 91.022 C2H702Si+ dimethoxysilane 0.011 15 0.055 0.058 2.6 0.029 91.040 C3H703+ 0.101 15 0.055 0.016 0.031 0.084 0.218 16 0.088 93.008 C3H6OCl+ aromatic fragment 0.468 49 0.29 0.405 36 0.19 93.069 C7H9+ toluene 0.309 2.5 0.15 0.770 5.9 0.27 94.998 C2H7S2+ dimethyl disulfide 0.032 15 0.018 0.063 27 0.027 94.998 C2H7S2+ dimethyl sulfone** 0.146 16 0.090 0.195 52 0.088 95.048 C6H7O+ phenol 0.519 56 0.32 0.493 47 0.21 96.960 C2H3Cl2+ methanesulfonic acid 0.035 8.3 0.018		*	89.039	C4H9O2+	butyric acid	0.016	19	0.78	1.07	19	0.45
91.022 C2H/O2SI+ Intervolvyshate 0.101 15 0.078 26 0.029 91.040 C3H7O3+ 0.101 15 0.055 0.011 15 0.055 0.029 0.055 0.031 5.7 0.016 0.330 5.7 0.016 0.336 C6H5O+ aromatic fragment 0.468 49 0.29 0.405 36 0.19 93.069 C7H9+ toluene 0.309 2.5 0.15 0.770 5.9 0.27 94.998 C2H7S2+ dimethyl aulfone** 0.146 16 0.090 0.195 52 0.088 95.017 C2H7O2S+ dimethyl sulfone** 0.168 6.0 0.077 0.146 7.1 0.051 96.960 C2H3C2+ furfural 0.954 29		<u> </u>	90.998	C2H3O4+	1	0.010	2.5	0.003	0.079	20	0.020
91.040 CSH / RO3+ 1,3,5-norcaratriene or aromatic fragment 0.101 13 0.033 0 91.051 C7H7+ aromatic fragment 0.139 13 0.084 0.218 16 0.088 93.008 C3H6OCl+ 0.031 5.7 0.016 1 1 * 93.036 C6H5O+ aromatic fragment 0.468 49 0.29 0.405 36 0.19 93.069 C7H9+ toluene 0.309 2.5 0.15 0.770 5.9 0.27 94.998 C2H7S2+ dimethyl disulfide 0.032 15 0.018 0.063 27 0.027 * 95.048 C6H7O+ phenol 0.519 56 0.32 0.493 47 0.21 96.960 C2H3C12+ methanesulfonic acid 0.035 8.3 0.018 0.115 20 0.049 * 97.028 C5H5O2+ furfural 0.954 29 0.56 0.999 23 0.41			91.022	C2H/02SI+	aimetnoxystiane	0.101	1.5	0.055	0.078	20	0.029
91.051 C7H7+ aromatic fragment 0.139 13 0.084 0.218 16 0.088 93.008 C3H6OCl+ 0.031 5.7 0.016 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>91.040</td><td>C3H/03+</td><td>1,3,5-norcaratriene or</td><td>0.101</td><td>15</td><td>0.033</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			91.040	C3H/03+	1,3,5-norcaratriene or	0.101	15	0.033			
			91.051	C7H7+	aromatic fragment	0.139	13	0.084	0.218	16	0.088
* 93.036 C6H5O+ aromatic fragment 0.468 49 0.29 0.405 36 0.19 8 93.069 C7H9+ toluene 0.309 2.5 0.15 0.770 5.9 0.27 8 94.998 C2H7S2+ dimethyl disulfide 0.032 15 0.018 0.063 27 0.027 * * 95.017 C2H7O2S+ dimethyl sulfone** 0.146 16 0.090 0.195 52 0.088 * 95.048 C6H7O+ phenol 0.519 56 0.32 0.493 47 0.21 96.960 C2H3C12+ 0.168 6.0 0.077 0.146 7.1 0.051 96.996 CH5O3S+ methanesulfonic acid 0.035 8.3 0.018 0.115 20 0.049 * 97.028 C5H5O2+ furfural 0.954 29 0.56 0.999 23 0.11 * 97.100 C7H13+ 0.360 <td></td> <td></td> <td>93.008</td> <td>C3H6OCl+</td> <td></td> <td>0.031</td> <td>5.7</td> <td>0.016</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			93.008	C3H6OCl+		0.031	5.7	0.016			
93.069 C7H9+ toluene 0.309 2.5 0.15 0.770 5.9 0.27 94.998 C2H7S2+ dimethyl disulfide 0.032 15 0.018 0.063 27 0.027 * * 95.017 C2H7O2S+ dimethyl sulfone** 0.146 16 0.090 0.195 52 0.088 * 95.048 C6H7O+ phenol 0.519 56 0.32 0.493 47 0.21 96.960 C2H3Cl2+ 0.168 6.0 0.077 0.146 7.1 0.051 * 97.028 C5H5O2+ methanesulfonic acid 0.035 8.3 0.018 0.115 20 0.049 * 97.063 C6H9O+ dimethyl furan 0.203 19 0.12 0.207 16 0.084 * 97.100 C7H13+ 0.360 15 0.22 0.289 15 0.12 * 99.007 C4H3O3+ 4urfuranol 0.116 6.2 0.065 0.101 6.2 0.036 * 99.007 <t< td=""><td>*</td><td></td><td>93.036</td><td>C6H5O+</td><td>aromatic fragment</td><td>0.468</td><td>49</td><td>0.29</td><td>0.405</td><td>36</td><td>0.19</td></t<>	*		93.036	C6H5O+	aromatic fragment	0.468	49	0.29	0.405	36	0.19
94.998C2H7S2+dimethyl disulfide0.032150.0180.063270.027**95.017C2H7O2S+dimethyl sulfone**0.146160.0900.195520.088*95.048C6H7O+phenol0.519560.320.493470.2196.960C2H3Cl2+methanesulfonic acid0.0358.30.0180.115200.049*96.996CH5O3S+methanesulfonic acid0.0358.30.0180.115200.049*97.028C5H5O2+furfural0.954290.560.999230.41*97.063C6H9O+dimethyl furan0.203190.120.207160.084*97.100C7H13+0.360150.220.289150.12*99.007C4H3O3+0.0160.0161.0<0.0010.0201.40.002*99.079C6H11O+cis-3-hexenal and others0.353170.220.218140.093*101.059C5H9O2+acetylpropionyl + others0.518100.350.326120.14*101.093C6H13O+C6 saturated carbonyl (butanal + others)0.224110.130.175120.074*103.039C4H7O3+acetate anhydrate**0.322110.190.1499.50.074			93.069	С7Н9+	toluene	0.309	2.5	0.15	0.770	5.9	0.27
* 95.017 C2H7O2S+ dimethyl sulfone** 0.146 16 0.090 0.195 52 0.088 * 95.048 C6H7O+ phenol 0.519 56 0.32 0.493 47 0.21 96.960 C2H3Cl2+ meton 0.168 6.0 0.077 0.146 7.1 0.051 * 96.960 CH5O3S+ methanesulfonic acid 0.035 8.3 0.018 0.115 20 0.049 * 97.028 CSH5O2+ furfural 0.954 29 0.56 0.999 23 0.414 * 97.063 C6H9O+ dimethyl furan 0.203 19 0.12 0.207 16 0.084 * 97.000 C7H13+ 0.360 15 0.22 0.289 15 0.12 * 99.007 C4H3O3+ furfuranol 0.116 6.2 0.065 0.101 6.2 0.032 * 99.079 C6H11O+ cis-3-hexenal and others 0.353 17 0.22 0.218 14 0.093			94.998	C2H7S2+	dimethyl disulfide	0.032	15	0.018	0.063	27	0.027
* 95.048 C6H7O+ phenol 0.519 56 0.32 0.493 47 0.21 96.960 C2H3Cl2+ 0.168 6.0 0.077 0.146 7.1 0.051 * 96.996 CH5O3S+ methanesulfonic acid 0.035 8.3 0.018 0.115 20 0.049 * 97.028 C5H5O2+ furfural 0.954 29 0.56 0.999 23 0.41 * 97.03 C6H9O+ dimethyl furan 0.203 19 0.12 0.207 16 0.084 * 97.100 C7H13+ 0.360 15 0.22 0.289 15 0.12 * 99.007 C4H3O3+ 0.016 1.0 <0.001 0.020 1.4 0.002 * 99.079 C6H11O+ cis-3-hexenal and others 0.353 17 0.22 0.218 14 0.093 * 101.059 C5H9O2+ acetylpropionyl + others 0.518 10 0.35 0.326 12 0.14 * 101.093 <	*	*	95.017	C2H7O2S+	dimethyl sulfone**	0.146	16	0.090	0.195	52	0.088
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	*		95.048	C6H7O+	phenol	0.519	56	0.32	0.493	47	0.21
96.996CH5O3S+methanesulfonic acid0.0358.30.0180.115200.049*97.028C5H5O2+furfural0.954290.560.999230.41*97.063C6H9O+dimethyl furan0.203190.120.207160.084*97.100C7H13+0.360150.220.289150.12*99.007C4H3O3+0.0161.0<0.0010.0201.40.00299.043C5H7O2+furfuranol0.1166.20.0650.1016.20.03699.079C6H11O+cis-3-hexenal and others0.353170.220.218140.093*101.059C5H9O2+acetylpropionyl + others0.518100.350.326120.14*101.093C6H13O+C6 saturated carbonyl (butanal + others)0.224110.130.175120.070103.039C4H7O3+acetate anhydrate**0.322110.190.1499.50.074			96.960	C2H3Cl2+		0.168	6.0	0.077	0.146	7.1	0.051
* 97.028 C5H5O2+ furfural 0.954 29 0.56 0.999 23 0.41 * 97.063 C6H9O+ dimethyl furan 0.203 19 0.12 0.207 16 0.084 * 97.000 C7H13+ 0.360 15 0.22 0.289 15 0.12 * 99.007 C4H3O3+ 0.016 1.0 <0.001			96.996	CH5O3S+	methanesulfonic acid	0.035	8.3	0.018	0.115	20	0.049
* 97.063 C6H9O+ dimethyl furan 0.203 19 0.12 0.207 16 0.084 * 97.100 C7H13+ 0.360 15 0.22 0.289 15 0.12 * 99.007 C4H3O3+ 0.016 1.0 <0.001 0.020 1.4 0.002 * 99.043 C5H7O2+ furfuranol 0.116 6.2 0.065 0.101 6.2 0.036 99.079 C6H11O+ cis-3-hexenal and others 0.353 17 0.22 0.218 14 0.093 * 100.937 CC12F+ acetylpropionyl + others 0.518 10 0.35 0.326 12 0.14 * 101.059 C5H9O2+ acetylpropionyl + others 0.518 10 0.35 0.326 12 0.14 * 101.093 C6H13O+ C6 saturated carbonyl (butanal + others) 0.224 11 0.13 0.175 12 0.070 103.039 C4H7O3+ acetate anhydrate** 0.322 11 0.19 0.149 9.5 0.074	*		97.028	C5H5O2+	furfural	0.954	29	0.56	0.999	23	0.41
* 97.100 C7H13+ 0.360 15 0.22 0.289 15 0.12 * 99.007 C4H3O3+ 0.016 1.0 <0.001	*		97.063	С6Н9О+	dimethyl furan	0.203	19	0.12	0.207	16	0.084
* 99.007 C4H3O3+ 0.016 1.0 <0.001 0.020 1.4 0.002 99.043 C5H7O2+ furfuranol 0.116 6.2 0.065 0.101 6.2 0.036 99.079 C6H11O+ cis-3-hexenal and others 0.353 17 0.22 0.218 14 0.093 100.937 CC12F+ 0.037 1.3 0.005	*		97.100	C7H13+		0.360	15	0.22	0.289	15	0.12
99.043 C5H7O2+ furfuranol 0.116 6.2 0.065 0.101 6.2 0.036 99.079 C6H11O+ cis-3-hexenal and others 0.353 17 0.22 0.218 14 0.093 100.937 CC12F+ 0.037 1.3 0.005		*	99.007	C4H3O3+		0.016	1.0	< 0.001	0.020	1.4	0.002
99.079 C6H11O+ cis-3-hexenal and others 0.353 17 0.22 0.218 14 0.093 100.937 CC12F+ 0.037 1.3 0.005			99.043	C5H7O2+	furfuranol	0.116	6.2	0.065	0.101	6.2	0.036
100.937 CC12F+ 0.037 1.3 0.005 * 101.059 C5H9O2+ acetylpropionyl + others 0.518 10 0.35 0.326 12 0.14 * 101.093 C6H13O+ C6 saturated carbonyl (butanal + others) 0.224 11 0.13 0.175 12 0.070 103.039 C4H7O3+ acetate anhydrate** 0.322 11 0.19 0.149 9.5 0.074 103.074 C5H11O2+ valeric acid 0.566 27 0.34 0.318 16 0.224			99.079	C6H11O+	cis-3-hexenal and others	0.353	17	0.22	0.218	14	0.093
* 101.059 C5H9O2+ acetylpropionyl + others 0.518 10 0.35 0.326 12 0.14 * 101.093 C6H13O+ C6 saturated carbonyl (butanal + others) 0.224 11 0.13 0.175 12 0.070 103.039 C4H7O3+ acetate anhydrate** 0.322 11 0.19 0.149 9.5 0.074 103.074 C5H11O2+ valeric acid 0.566 27 0.34 0.318 16 0.224			100.937	CCl2F+		0.037	1.3	0.005			
* 101.093 C6H13O+ C6 saturated carbonyl (butanal + others) 0.224 11 0.13 0.175 12 0.070 103.039 C4H7O3+ acetate anhydrate** 0.322 11 0.19 0.149 9.5 0.074 103.074 C5H11O2+ valeric acid 0.566 27 0.34 0.318 16 0.22	*		101.059	C5H9O2+	acetylpropionyl + others	0.518	10	0.35	0.326	12	0.14
103.039 C4H7O3+ acetate anhydrate** 0.322 11 0.19 0.149 9.5 0.074 103.074 C5H11O2+ valeric acid 0.566 27 0.34 0.318 16 0.22	*		101.093	C6H13O+	C6 saturated carbonyl (butanal + others)	0.224	11	0.13	0.175	12	0.070
103.074 C5H11O2+ valeric acid 0.566 27 0.34 0.318 16 0.22			103.039	C4H7O3+	acetate anhvdrate**	0.322	11	0.19	0.149	9.5	0.074
			103.074	C5H11O2+	valeric acid	0.566	27	0.34	0.318	16	0.22

*	*	105.034	C4H9OS+	methional**	0.033	12	0.027	0.039	14	0.019
*		105.069	C8H9+	styrene	0.138	11	0.086	0.150	11	0.068
*		107.049	C7H7O+	benzaldehvde	0.366	14	0.24	0.349	15	0.16
		107.085	C8H11+	C8 aromatics	0.236	2.0	0.090	0.245	2.2	0.073
		109.028	C6H5O2+		0.032	1.9	0.012			
		109.065	C7H9O+	cresol	0.179	14	0.13	0.145	9.5	0.070
*		109.100	C8H13+		0.207	23	0.16	0.152	18	0.079
		111.044	C6H7O2+	benzenediol	0.106	13	0.067	0.116	14	0.052
*		111.080	C7H11O+		0.086	12	0.060	0.090	12	0.042
*		111.116	C8H15+	1-octen-3-ol fragment (- H2O) + others	0.294	13	0.21	0.222	20	0.11
		113.023	C5H5O3+		0.020	1.5	0.002	0.022	2.1	0.006
		113.059	C6H9O2+		0.094	8.3	0.062	0.070	8.5	0.033
		113.094	C7H13O+		0.096	9.2	0.064	0.068	9.8	0.032
		113 130	C8H17+	octanol fragment (-H2O) + others				0.023	17	0.012
		115.037	C5H7O3+		0.015	2.3	0.006	0.027	4.8	0.011
		115.075	C6H11O2+		0.168	8.1	0.11	0.126	9.1	0.063
*		115.108	C7H15O+	C7 saturated carbonyl	0.139	11	0.094	0.116	12	0.055
		116.906	CC13+	CHCl3 (GC×GC)	0.172	1.0	0.004	0.132	1.1	0.003
		117.055	C5H9O3+		0.043	8.2	0.029			
*		117.091	C6H13O2+	hexanoic acid	0.594	40	0.48	0.382	26	0.23
		119.033	C4H7O4+		0.007	2.2	0.003			
		119.086	C9H11+		0.075	7.9	0.055	0.052	6.9	0.027
		121.029	C7H5O2+		0.020	2.3	0.012	0.032	10	0.018
		121.065	C8H9O+	anisaldehyde + others	0.132	16	0.11	0.107	24	0.064
		121.100	C9H13+		0.119	2.1	0.051	0.135	2.3	0.046
*		123.044	C7H7O2+	benzoic acid + others	0.103	13	0.10	0.067	13	0.041
		123.080	C8H11O+					0.035	10	0.020
*		123.116	C9H15+		0.091	13	0.073	0.053	10	0.029
		125.024	C6H5O3+	furandicarbaldehyde	0.016	2.2	0.010	0.019	2.9	0.007
		125.059	С7Н9О2+		0.038	5.9	0.026	0.040	5.3	0.019
*		125.096	C8H13O+		0.082	16	0.065	0.085	22	0.050
*		125.131	C9H17+	hydrindane + others	0.191	30	0.17	0.130	24	0.074
		127.038	С6Н7О3+		0.031	3.1	0.024	0.022	5.2	0.010
		127.075	C7H11O2+		0.055	7.3	0.041	0.046	9.2	0.026
*		127.111	C8H15O+	oct-1-en-3-one + others	0.227	29	0.21	0.128	24	0.077
		129.058	C6H9O3+		0.031	3.2	0.020	0.029	4.0	0.014
		129.089	C7H13O2+		0.073	5.1	0.051	0.052	6.5	0.029
*		129.126	C8H17O+	C8 saturated carbonyl + 1- octen-3-ol	0.154	33	0.13	0.113	22	0.064
		131.070	C6H11O3+		0.019	8.8	0.016	0.015	9.5	0.010
*		131.106	C7H15O2+	heptanoic acid	0.130	23	0.13	0.064	15	0.045
	*	133.021	C8H5O2+		0.007	3.4	0.005			

1			
3			1
4			1
5			1
6 7			1
8			1
9	-		1
10			1
11		*	1
13			1
14			1
15			1
16 17			1
18			1
19	*		1
20			1
21	-		1
22	*		1
24			1
25			1
26	*		1
27	*		1
20 29			1
30	*		1
31			1
32			1
33 34			1
35			1
36		*	1
37			1
38			1
39 40			1
41			1
42			1
43			1
44 45			1
46	-		1
47			1
48	*		1
49			1
50 51			1
52			1
53	*		1
54	*		1
55			1
50 57			
58			

59

	133.065	С9Н9О+	cinnamaldehyde	0.244	21	0.28	0.062	25	0.037
	133.098	C10H13+		0.080	11	0.077	0.042	7.9	0.024
	135.043	C8H7O2+		0.013	3.5	0.009			
	135.081	C9H11O+		0.112	7.7	0.090	0.103	6.1	0.059
	135.113	C10H15+		0.102	3.8	0.067			
	136.024	C7H6NS+	benzothiazole	0.048	9.0	0.042	0.034	10	0.024
*	137.003	C7H5OS+		0.010	6.7	0.008			
	137.060	C8H9O2+	4-anisaldehyde + others	0.077	9.6	0.077	0.257	35	0.16
	137.133	C10H17+	monoterpenes	1.48	13	1.3	12.7	100	7.2
	139.039	С7Н7О3+		0.048	3.0	0.071	0.024	6.2	0.017
	139.071	C8H11O2+		0.075	12	0.085	0.040	12	0.030
	139.110	C9H15O+		0.073	8.5	0.059	0.075	24	0.049
	139.143	C10H19+		0.057	12	0.050	0.067	13	0.047
	141.052	С7Н9О3+		0.012	2.7	0.007	0.010	6.5	0.006
	141.091	C8H13O2+		0.038	8.6	0.034	0.024	9.3	0.016
	141.126	C9H17O+	nonenal + others	0.105	21	0.10	0.052	15	0.035
	143.032	С6Н7О4+		0.009	1.7	0.005			
	143.070	C7H11O3+		0.023	4.6	0.019			
	143.107	C8H15O2+		0.115	14	0.11	0.114	16	0.076
	143.142	C9H19O+	C9 saturated carbonyl	0.468	66	0.49	0.258	57	0.17
	145.049	С6Н9О4+	3-deoxyglucosone**	0.015	2.5	0.013	0.012	10	0.005
	145.123	C8H17O2+	octanoic acid	0.255	15	0.30	0.137	14	0.11
	146.977	C6H5Cl2+		0.018	5.2	0.014	0.020	5.2	0.012
	147.043	С9Н7О2+		0.009	4.1	0.007	0.006	6.8	0.004
	147.079	C10H11O+		0.010	6.8	0.009	0.007	6.3	0.005
	147.114	C11H15+		0.009	4.9	0.007	0.012	5.7	0.008
*	147.130	C8H19O2+		0.009	6.7	0.009			
	149.025	C8H5O3+		0.023	2.8	0.013	0.021	3.4	0.010
	149.097	C10H13O+		0.036	9.3	0.039	0.049	16	0.035
	149.128	C11H17+		0.032	6.3	0.028	0.030	6.7	0.018
	151.037	C8H7O3+		0.007	2.1	0.004	0.007	4.3	0.004
	151.073	C9H11O2+		0.011	6.7	0.009	0.012	5.7	0.008
	151.112	C10H15O+		0.082	14	0.066	0.045	7.1	0.036
	151.145	C11H19+		0.045	12	0.037			
	153.126	C10H17O+		0.071	7.0	0.064	0.075	5.6	0.047
	155.068	C8H11O3+		0.012	6.5	0.011			
	155.107	C9H15O2+		0.040	18	0.045	0.031	15	0.026
	155.140	C10H19O+	monoterpene alcohols	0.080	18	0.082	0.080	38	0.071
	157.087	C8H13O3+		0.019	8.2	0.018			
	157.121	C9H17O2+		0.043	11	0.047	0.043	15	0.033
	157.158	C10H21O+	C10 saturated carbonyl	0.254	35	0.30	0.142	80	0.11
	159.068	C7H11O4+		0.011	3.0	0.009	0.011	9.5	0.008

		159 138	C9H19O2+	nonanoic acid	0.090	82	0.12	0.051	12	0.043
		160 000	C7H4C1E2+	parachlorobenzotrifluoride	0.031	0.2	<0.001	0.026	0.9	<0.043
		161 093	C11H13O+		0.007	3.6	0.007	0.020	0.7	<0.001
		163.072	C10H11O2+		0.010	2.1	0.007	0.005	47	0 004
		163 131	C8H19O3+		0.022	8 7	0.022	0.016	8.5	0.012
		165 091	C10H13O2+		0.018	9.6	0.019	0.011	93	0.008
		165.163	C12H21+		0.023	8.3	0.021	0.013	7.8	0.010
		167.105	C10H15O2+		0.021	6.2	0.021	0.013	7.2	0.010
		169.084	C9H13O3+		0.007	5.1	0.007			
		169.120	C10H17O2+	pinonaldehyde + others	0.016	4.6	0.017	0.018	7.6	0.014
		169.153	C11H21O+		0.019	6.9	0.021			
		171.104	C9H15O3+		0.015	9.6	0.017			
	*	171.132	C13H15+		0.017	7.4	0.020	0.022	13	0.018
*		171.172	C11H23O+	C11 saturated carbonyl	0.058	17	0.072	0.034	32	0.030
		173.082	C8H13O4+		0.006	3.9	0.006			
		173.154	C10H21O2+	decanoic acid	0.032	9.5	0.046	0.015	12	0.014
		177.054	C10H9O3+		0.009	1.5	0.006			
		177.125	C12H17O+		0.009	6.4	0.010	0.006	7.4	0.005
		177.160	C13H21+		0.016	11	0.018	0.012	13	0.011
		179.093	C7H15O5+		0.009	3.1	0.009	0.007	3.7	0.005
		179.178	C13H23+		0.014	6.7	0.015	0.011	8.5	0.009
		181.118	C11H17O2+		0.005	4.9	0.006			
		183.083	C13H11O+		0.046	9.1	0.069	0.021	7.2	0.021
		183.171	C12H23O+		0.011	5.2	0.013			
		185.136	C14H17+		0.014	7.9	0.017	0.010	8.0	0.009
*		185.188	C12H25O+	C12 saturated carbonyl	0.035	14	0.047	0.016	16	0.017
		187.135	C10H19O3+		0.007	9.1	0.009			
	*	187.166	C11H23O2+	undecanoic acid	0.016	22	0.024	0.008	14	0.007
*		189.157	C14H21+		0.012	12	0.015	0.009	17	0.009
		191.177	C14H23+		0.016	7.9	0.019	0.014	17	0.013
		193.154	C13H21O+		0.005	6.4	0.007			
*		193.192	C14H25+		0.012	11	0.015	0.012	15	0.012
		195.171	C13H23O+		0.009	6.5	0.011	0.005	7.4	0.006
<u> </u>		197.136	C15H17+		0.006	5.9	0.008			
<u> </u>		197.216	C14H29+		0.007	6.0	0.008			
-		199.170	C12H23O2+		0.030	5.0	0.047	0.015	7.1	0.017
*	*	201.181	C15H21+		0.014	12	0.023	0.009	11	0.009
*		203.179	C15H23+		0.018	21	0.025	0.015	25	0.014
<u> </u>		205.197	C15H25+	sesquiterpenes	0.109	34	0.15	0.079	25	0.073
<u> </u>		207.176	C14H23O+		0.007	6.9	0.009	0.006	11	0.006
*		207.206	C15H27+		0.015	15	0.019	0.012	23	0.012
		209.218	C15H29+		0.007	7.7	0.010			

Indoor Air - PROOF

		211.144	C16H19+		0.007	5.8	0.010			
		211.232	C15H31+		0.006	5.9	0.009			
		213.162	C16H21+		0.006	6.1	0.008			
		213.218	C14H29O+	C14 saturated carbonyl	0.006	5.0	0.010			
		215.172	C16H23+		0.013	4.9	0.016	0.008	8.4	0.009
		217.109	C17H13+		0.009	2.2	0.019	0.005	5.9	0.006
	*	217.180	C16H25+		0.016	8.2	0.027	0.012	17	0.013
*		219.210	C16H27+	C16 aromatics + others	0.026	22	0.036	0.019	28	0.020
*		221.156	C14H21O2+	chromanol + others	0.036	30	0.058	0.021	18	0.027
*		221.220	C16H29+		0.019	20	0.027	0.013	24	0.015
		223.070	C6H19O3Si3+	siloxane D3	0.110	8.1	0.13	0.098	19	0.098
		223.238	C16H31+		0.007	9.9	0.010			
		225.252	C16H33+		0.007	6.9	0.010			
		229.200	C17H25+		0.010	6.8	0.016	0.005	7.4	0.006
*		231.211	C17H27+		0.015	21	0.024	0.010	20	0.012
*		233.227	C17H29+	C17 aromatics + others	0.022	30	0.034	0.015	24	0.017
*	*	235.222	C15H27N2+	sparteine**	0.021	21	0.036	0.013	18	0.016
		237.253	C17H33+		0.007	11	0.012			
		239.269	C17H35+		0.006	6.8	0.010			
		243.215	C18H27+		0.009	8.5	0.015			
*		245.226	C18H29+		0.015	17	0.025	0.010	18	0.012
*		247.243	C18H31+	C18 aromatics + others	0.020	22	0.033	0.012	21	0.015
*		249.255	C18H33+		0.011	14	0.019	0.007	12	0.008
		251.164	C15H23O3+		0.008	2.1	0.025			
		251.267	C18H35+		0.006	8.0	0.011			
		257.229	C19H29+		0.007	7.3	0.012			
*		259.242	C19H31+		0.014	16	0.026	0.008	15	0.011
*		261.258	C19H33+		0.016	14	0.028	0.008	15	0.011
		263.271	C19H35+		0.009	11	0.015			
		271.248	C20H31+		0.005	4.4	0.011			
		273.258	C20H33+		0.010	10	0.018	0.005	9.7	0.008
		275.273	C20H35+		0.009	10	0.017			
		297.080	C8H25O4Si4+	siloxane D4	0.118	4.7	0.16	0.221	210	0.31
		371.094	C10H31O5Si5+	siloxane D5	0.868	34	1.9	19.9	280	24
		445.090	C12H37O6Si6+	siloxane D6	0.133	130	0.35	0.045	67	0.12

^a An asterisk indicates an ion characterized by continuous emissions. The selection criteria include $(I/O)_{kitchen} > 10$, $(C_{Avg}/C_{Med})_{kitchen} < 1.06$, and $(I/O)_{kitchen} > 2$ $(I/O)_{crawlspace}$

^b An asterisk indicates an ion with uncertain ion formula assignment.

^c A double asterisk indicates a tentative compound assignment.

^d Kitchen data are reported. The values of C_{Avg} are reported with maximum of 3 significant numbers and precision down to 0.001 ppb. The values of I/O are reported with maximum of 2 significant numbers. Entry is blank if the ion is not detected in a specific season.

^e Indoor emission rate *E* is reported. The values of *E* are reported with maximum of 2 significant number and precision down to 0.001 mg h⁻¹. Entry is blank if the ion is not detected in a specific season, unless indicated otherwise.

^f For C2H4+ ion, emission mainly comes from the crawlspace (see the main text). Indoor emission rate is deliberately blank because of this.

^g The signal of C4H7+ ion (m/z 55.0547) was overshadowed by the signal of water cluster ion H7O3+ (m/z 55.039). The isotopic ion C3(13 C)H7+ (m/z 56.0576) was used for the quantification instead.

List of Supplement Figures

- Fig. S1. Concentration time series of (A) ethanol, (B) acetic acid, and (C) acetaldehyde in the living zone during the summer campaign. Kitchen and bedroom concentrations are plotted in green and orange, respectively. Vacant periods are indicated with grey background. The black and red dashed lines represent mean and median concentrations during the occupied period, respectively.
- **Fig. S2.** Time series of (A) concentration and (B) emission rates of $C_5H_6N^+$ ion (pyridine). In panel (A), the green and orange solid lines represent measured kitchen and bedroom concentrations (30-min resolution), respectively. The dashed grey lines represent the predicted decay of the living-zone concentration with removal driven solely by air change (i.e., neglecting sorptive interactions of pyridine with indoor surfaces). In panel (B), effective emission rates into the living zone are plotted with 2-h resolution.
- **Fig. S3.** Scatter plot of indoor emission rate versus indoor concentration of acetic acid. Data are presented with 2-h resolution, filtered to remove occupancy-associated emission spikes, and include both summer and winter occupied periods. Data points are colored by the corresponding indoor temperature. The inset figure shows a subset of the data with indoor temperatures of 22-23 °C. The grey line is a linear fit of emission rate versus concentration considering just the subset data. The figure shows higher emission rates at higher temperatures for fixed indoor concentrations. Also, emission rates were lower at higher concentrations if attention is restricted to a narrow temperature band which covers a wide concentration range (e.g., 22-23 °C).











