UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Photosynthetic 14CO2 Fixation by Green Cymbidium (Orchidaceae) Flowers

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/89z4j2g6

Journal Plant Physiology, 43(1)

ISSN 0032-0889

Authors Dueker, Jon Arditti, Joseph

Publication Date

DOI 10.1104/pp.43.1.130

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

Short Communication

Photosynthetic ¹⁴CO₂ Fixation by Green Cymbidium (Orchidaceae) Flowers

Jon Dueker and Joseph Arditti

Department of Biological Sciences, University of Southern California

and

Department of Organismic Biology, University of California, Irvine, California 92664

Received April 17, 1967

Orchid flowers occur in a great variety of colors and color combinations (2). Several genera, among them *Cymbidium*, contain species which bear green flowers. The pigment in these flowers was assumed to be chlorophyll for many years despite the absence of analytical evidence (1). Recent investigations (1, 10) have confirmed this assumption and have shown that green *Cymbidium* flowers owe their color to chlorophyll which, as might be expected, is localized in chloroplasts.


These chloroplasts have a normal appearance when examined under oil immersion, but lose their integrity with flower senescence. (T. R. Pray, University of Southern California, personal communication). Since chlorophyll containing stems and roots of several orchid species are capable of photosynthesis (6, 7, 8) it appeared reasonable to assume that green *Cymbidium* flowers might have the same capability. However, the occurrence and contributions of photosynthesis in orchid flowers have not been investigated to date.


Flowers of *Cymbidium X*Chelsea and *C. X*Independence Day 'Yorktown' were used for the experiment. Since these flowers are produced on indeterminate racemes which have a slow flower-opening sequence, it was possible to obtain buds (arbitrarily designated as day 0), newly opened flowers (3 days later) and fully opened flowers (7 days from bud) from each raceme. All experiments were repeated twice with flowers from 2 racemes of each *Cymbidium* cross.

The experiments were carried out in sealed vessels half of which were painted black and covered with aluminum foil to serve as dark controls. Each vessel was fitted with a glass tube covered with an ampule cap and reaching into a shallow glass container within the experimental vessels. Fourteen μc of Na₂¹⁴CO₃ in an aqueous carrier solution, (377470 cpm/ml, pH 8), adjusted to contain a total of 1.6 mM CO₂, were injected through the ampule cap followed by 7.5 ml of 1 \aleph H₂SO₄. After 15 minutes in the dark, to allow for gas equilibration, the unpainted vessels were placed in a well ventilated hood and subjected to illumina-

tion for 45 minutes. The light source consisted of one 300 watt, two 200 watt Westinghouse incandescent bulbs and one 12 inch cool-white Westinghouse fluorescent bulb producing a total of 0.13 w/cm² at a distance of 30 cm. (J. R. Jones, Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Los Angeles, personal communication). This somewhat long exposure period was chosen because metabolic processes and growth are relatively slow in orchids (2, 4, 5). Temperature was maintained at 22° by using 20 cm wide water-filled museum jars as heat filters between the lights and the reaction vessels.

The reaction was terminated by turning the lights of f and freeing the vessels of CO_2 by





evacuation through 2 barium hydroxide traps. The flowers were then dissected, their parts weighed separately, and extracted in boiling 80 % (v/v) ethanol (9). Chlorophyll content of flowers at the same stages of development from the same racemes was determined by the method of Arnon (3,9). Radioactivity of duplicate samples of the extract, was determined in a liquid scintillation counter.

Since the amount of chlorophyll in each sample varied, quenching was determined with an internal standard. The results (figs 1, 2) indicate that green *Cymbidium* flowers are capable of CO_2 fixation in the light, and that fixation rates vary between flower parts and varieties. In both crosses the amount of CO_2 fixation is highest in the sepals, lower in the petals and lowest in the ovary. This implies certain metabolic differences between the sepals and petals which are very similar in appearance and therefore often referred to by the common term tepals. It is also interesting to note that the flowers can fix CO_2 in the dark.

In Cymbidium XChelsea the efficiency of ¹⁴C fixation decreases with age, whereas in C. XIndependence Day 'Yorktown' it increases in the 3-day old flower and may or may not drop in the 7-day




FIG. 2. Net ${}^{14}\text{CO}_2$ fixation by flowers in the light as a function of age in *Cymbidium XChelsea* and *C*. XIndependence Day 'Yorktown'. The bar, representing ${}^{14}\text{CO}_2$ fixation by a mature leaf, is included for comparison purposes.

 Table I. Net Carbon Fixation in the Light by Orchid Plant Organs Expressed as Percent of the Amount

 Fixed by Leaves

Organ	Cymbidium		Epidendrum	Cattleya	Phalaenopsis	Vanda	
	XChelsea	XIndep. Day 'Yorktown'	xanthinum (6)	gigas (6)	hybrids (6)	suavis (6)	Cattleya (7,8)
Bud							
Sepals	13.6	1.0					
Petals	5.5	0.1					
Ovary	1.2	0.4					
Newly opened							
Flowers							
Sepals	10.7	11.9					
Petals	0.5	1.0					
Ovary	0.1						
Fully opened	0.005						
Flowers							
Sepals	1.4	4.9					
Petals	0.6	1.1					
Ovary	0.2	0.4					
Lower leaves		60.8-00¥	100			100	
Upper leaves			111			129	
Stems and leaves		80					
Stem				113			
Leaf and stem					100		
Root			1814	645	3689		
Leaf	100	100		100			
1 yr old	1.10.0030						100
2 vrs old							103
3 yrs old							103
4 yrs old							91.6
5 yrs old							70
6 yrs old							50
7 yrs old							38

131

old one (fig 2). This seems to indicate different rates of maturation and/or senescence of the photosynthetic apparatus in the flowers of each cross.

Orchids like Microcoelia smithii, Polyrrhiza funalis and P. lindenii which are leafless and almost stemless, but have well developed aerial roots and flower spikes, probably depend entirely upon the photosynthetic products produced by their roots (6,7). Roots of other orchids like Cattleya labiata, Cattleya hybrids, Epidendrum xanthinum, Phalaenopsis schilleriana, and Vanda suavis have a well developed photosynthetic capability and are apparently sufficiently autotrophic to either maintain themselves or contribute substantially to their needs (6,7). It is interesting therefore to compare the amount of photosynthesis in various organs to that in Cymbidium flowers. This can be done easily if in each case net photosynthesis in leaves is arbitrarily assigned a value of 100 % and net photosynthesis in other organs expressed as fractions of that (table I). Such comparison indicates that although green Cymbidium flowers can fix CO₂ in the light their contribution of photosynthetic products is small.

The dark fixation of CO_2 is also of considerable interest. It is reminiscent of the behavior of thick (i.e. fleshy and succulent) orchid leaves. Such leaves exhibit increased acidity (12) and CO_2 uptake (11) in the dark, under certain conditions, in a fashion similar to that of the Crassulaceae, cacti and other succulents with known Crassulacean acid metabolism and dark fixation of CO_2 . However, these leaves can also fix CO_2 in the light (E. L. Nuerenbergk, Staatsinstitut fur Allegemeine Botanik und Botanischer Garten, Hamburg, Germany, personal communication). The *Cymbidium* flower parts used in this experiment were fleshy and therefore perhaps capable of dark CO_2 fixation in a manner not unlike that of fleshy orchid leaves.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to Mr. H. Freed of Freed Orchids, Malibu, California, and R. McNeil for the flowers; M. Oguri, University of Southern California, for the isotope and Dr. P. L. Healey, University of California, Irvine, for reading the manuscript.

Literature Cited

- ARDITTI, J. 1965. Tht green pigment in Cymbidium flowers. What is it? Cymb. Soc. News 20: 10-11.
- ARDITTI, J. 1967. Factors affecting the germination of orchid seeds. Botan. Rev. 33: 1-97.
- ARNON, D. I. 1949. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenol oxidase in Beta vulgaris. Plant Physiol. 24: 1-15.
- BAHME, R. 1949. Nicotinic acid as a growth factor for certain orchid embryos. Science 109: 522-23.
- DAVIS, A. R. 1946. Observations on the physiology of the orchid plant. Orchid Digest 10: 125-29.
- DYCUS, A. M. AND L. KNUDSON. 1957. The role of the velamen of the aerial roots of orchids. Botan. Gaz. 119: 78-87.
- ERICKSON, L. C. 1957. Respiration and photosynthesis in *Cattleya* roots. Am. Orchid Soc. Bull. 26: 401-02.
- ERICKSON, L. C. 1957. Leaf age in Cattleya. Am. Orchid Soc. Bull. 26: 560-63.
- GEE, R., G. JOSHI, R. F. BILS, AND P. D. SALTMAN. 1965. Light and dark ¹⁴CO₂ fixation by spinach leaf systems. Plant Physiol. 40: 89-96.
- MATSUMOTO, K. 1965. Determination of the chlorophyll content of *Cymbidium* blooms. Cymb. Soc. News 20: 11-14.
- NUERENBERGK, E. L. 1963. On the carbon dioxide metabolism of orchids and its ecological aspects. Proc. Fourth World Orchid Conference p 158-69.
- WARBURG, O. 1886. Über die Bedeutung der Organischen Säuren für den Lebensprocess der Pflanzen (speziell der sog. Fettpflanzen) Unters. Bot. Inst. Tubingen 2: 53–150.