

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

CHLOROPLAST GLUTATHIONE REDUCTASE

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8bd4c70d>

Author

Schaedle, Michail

Publication Date

1976-11-01

CHLOROPLAST GLUTATHIONE REDUCTASE

Michail Schaedle and James A. Bassham

November 17, 1976

RECEIVED
LIBRARY
BIOLOGY DEPARTMENT


NOV 28 1977

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Prepared for the U. S. Energy Research and
Development Administration under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room



LBL-5574
c.1

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

0 0 0 0 4 6 0 6 1 4 2

CHLOROPLAST GLUTATHIONE REDUCTASE

James A. Bassham

Laboratory of Chemical Biodynamics

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

1 Cyclotron Road

Berkeley, CA 94720

Chloroplast Glutathione Reductase¹

0 0 0 0 4 6 0 6 1 4 3

-3-

Manuscript received Date:

Revised manuscript date:

Michail Schaedle

Department of Botany and Forest Pathology
Suny College of Environmental Science and Forestry
Syracuse, N.Y. 13210

and

James A. Bassham²

Laboratory of Chemical Biodynamics
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
1 Cyclotron Road
Berkeley, CA 94720

1. This work was supported by the U. S. Energy research and Development Administration.
2. To whom reprint requests should be sent.
3. Abbreviations: GSSG, oxidized glutathione; GSH, reduced glutathione; RuDP, ribulose 1, 5-diphosphate.

ABSTRACT

Glutathione reductase (E.C. 1.6.42) activity is present in spinach chloroplasts. The pH dependence and apparent binding constant are reported and a possible role in chloroplasts is proposed.

-7-

Glutathione reductase (NADPH₂) glutathione oxidoreductase (E.C. 1.6.4.2) is a ubiquitous enzyme found in animals, bacteria, fungi and higher plants (2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14).. It is usually a highly specific enzyme that utilizes NADPH₂ to reduce oxidized glutathione (GSSG)³ to two molecules of reduced glutathione (GSH).

Glutathione reductase can maintain a high ratio of GSH/GSSG and by this means plays an important role in the regulation of cell metabolism (12). Reduced glutathione has been implicated in a large number of reactions including the non-enzymatic reduction of thiol groups, enzymatic reduction of disulfide bridges of protein with consequent deactivation (10) or activation of the protein, and as a substitute for GSH peroxidase (12).

In higher plants glutathione reductase has been studied in pea seedlings (6), wheat germ (4), mitochondria isolated from avocado, mung beans, and peas (14). The mitochondrial preparations were able to couple GSH oxidation with the reduction of dehydroascorbic acid (14).

This paper reports the observation of glutathione reductase in spinach chloroplasts.

Methods

Chloroplasts and stroma enzymes were isolated from spinach leaves by the procedures of Bassham, Levine and Forger (3). Solution Z was modified by replacement of the reduced glutathione buffer with Tris (hydroxymethylaminomethane). The reduced glutathione reductase contained at least 0.5% of oxidized glutathione, the substrate of the glutathione reductase reaction. Several buffers were tried and all were found to be satisfactory. The glutathione reductase activity when stored at 40°C was stable for more than 4 hrs.

A standard reaction mixture of 1 ml contained major reagents at the following concentrations: Tris 0.05 M pH 7.5 NADPH₂ 0.15 mM, GSSG 0.5 mM, MgCl₂ 3 mM. Enough stroma enzyme preparation (50-100 μ l) was added to achieve a rate of NADPH₂ oxidation between 0.05 and 0.08 Δ OD units (at 340 nm) per minute.

Protein was determined by the Lowry method (9) using bovine serum albumin as a standard. Chlorophyll content of the grana fraction was measured using the procedure of Vernon (11).

Results and Discussion

The presence of a glutathione reductase activity in the stroma fraction was first detected during attempts to determine the stoichiometry between CO₂ release and NADP reduction by the glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase enzyme found in spinach chloroplast preparations. In controls involving NADPH₂ addition, pyridine nucleotide was rapidly oxidized. Replacement of the reduced glutathione (GSH) buffer with Tris eliminated the oxidation of NADPH₂. This was the result of the presence in commercial reduced glutathione of between 0.5% to 1.0% oxidized glutathione (GSSG). The glutathione reductase activity was specific for NADPH₂ (Table 1) and was inhibited by Zn⁺⁺ (Table 2). These characteristics are similar to those of glutathione reductases from fungi (13), wheat germ (4), peas (6), bacteria (2), and animal tissues (7). The enzyme has a broad pH maximum (Fig. 1) between pH 6.5 and 8. In the crude preparation used, the estimated K_m for GSSG of 0.07 mM (Fig. 2) fell within the range of values reported in the literature (2, 7, 12). Presence of 50 mM GSH did not measurably affect the rate of glutathione reduction in agreement with the observed irreversibility of the overall enzymatic process.

Since glutathione reductase activity was previously reported in higher plant tissue extracts (4, 6) and plant mitochondria (14), attempts were made to localize the activity. For this the isolated intact chloroplasts were washed 3 times with buffer before lysis. As seen in Table 3, the specific activity of the glutathione reductase activity did not change with reference to the protein content, nor with regard to the chlorophyll content of the grana fraction after lysis. This provides strong evidence for the localization of the glutathione reductase within the chloroplast.

The chloroplast stroma enzyme preparation was also able to transfer electrons from NADPH_2 in a ferredoxin dependent reaction (Table 4) (1). After approximately 8 minutes, the amount of NADPH_2 oxidized exceeded the amount of ferredoxin added to the preparation (0.034 μmole). This could be explained by the reoxidation of ferredoxin by O_2 . NADPH_2 oxidation with ferredoxin as an electron acceptor was less inhibited by additions of 0.1 mM ZnCl_2 .

Test of high purity commercial RuDP carboxylase preparations showed that they contained high but variable glutathione reductase activity (Table 5). The activity was specific for NADPH_2 and was completely inhibited by 0.1 mM ZnCl_2 . Thus all preparations may have contained significant amounts of glutathione reductase or possibly one of the subunits of RuDP carboxylase may have been responsible for the observed GSSG reduction.

The stroma enzyme preparations on standing acquired an increasing capacity to oxidize NADPH_2 without addition of GSSG. This background activity was also specific to NADPH_2 and was sensitive to ZnCl_2 . Storage of the preparation under N_2 (Table 6) prevented the development of increased NADPH_2 oxidation capacity. This suggests that the glutathione

reductase may be part of the mechanism which protects chloroplast components against damage by high O_2 concentrations.

The specific role of the reported glutathione reductase activity is unknown. It could, however, regulate the ratio of GSH to GSSG. The concentration of the reduced form should increase during illumination as a result of higher $NADPH_2/NADP$ ratios (8) and the higher GSH concentrations may protect sensitive SH groups in a high oxidant environment. It is possible also that the enzyme could regulate enzyme activity more directly by reduction or oxidation of sulfhydro groups of proteins.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Arnon, D. I. and R. K. Chain. 1975. Regulation of ferredoxin catalyzed photosynthetic phosphorylation. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 72: 4961-4965.
2. Asnis, R. E. 1975. A glutathione reductase from Escherichia coli. J. Biol. Chem. 213: 77-85.
3. Bassham, J. A., G. Levine and J. Forger. 1974. Photosynthesis in vitro I. Achievement of high rates. Plant Sci. Letters 2: 15-21.
4. Conn, E. E., B. Vennesland. 1951. Glutathione reductase of wheat germ. J. Biol. Chem. 192: 17-18.
5. Ernest, M. J. and Ki-Han Kim. 1973. Regulation of rat liver glycogen synthetase. Reversible inactivation of glycogen synthetase D by sulphydryl-disulfide exchange. J. Biol. Chem. 248: 1550-1555.
6. Mapson, L. W. and F. A. Isherwood. 1963. Glutathione reductase from germinated peas. Biochem. J. 86: 173-191.
7. Mize, C. E. and R. G. Langdon. 1962. Hepatic glutathione reductase I. Purification and general kinetic properties. J. Biol. Chem. 237: 1589-1595.
8. Lendzian, K. and J. A. Bassham. 1975. Regulation of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase in spinach chloroplasts by ribulose 1,5 diphosphate and NADPH/NADP ratios. Biochim. Biophys. 396: 260-275.
9. Lowry, O. H. et al. 1951. Protein measurements with Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193: 265-273.
10. Varandani, P. T. and L. A. Shroyer. M. A. Nofz. 1972. Sequential degradation of insulin in rat liver homogenates. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 69: 1681-1684.

11. Vernon, L. P. 1960. Spectrophotometric determination of chlorophylls and pheophytins in plant extracts. *Analyt. Chem.* 32: 1144-1150.
12. Williams, C. H. 1976. Flavin containing enzymes. In *The Enzymes* Editor P. D. Boyer. Vol. XIII p. 90-173.
13. Woodin, R. S. and I. Segel. 1968. Isolation and characterization of glutathione reductase from Penicillium chrysogenum. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 164: 64-77.
14. Young, L. C. T. and E. E. Conn. 1956. The reduction and oxidation of glutathione by plant mitochondria. *Plant Phys.* 31: 205-211.

Table 1 Requirements for glutathione reductase activity

Treatment	$\Delta OD_{340}/min$
Control	0.055
- NADPH ₂	0
- Enzyme	0
- GSSG	0.003
- NADPH ₂ + NADPH ₂	0.003
Boiled enzymes	<0.002

Table 2 Inhibition of glutathione reductase by ZnCl_2

Molar conc.	$\Delta\text{OD } 340/\text{min}$
Control	0.060
10^{-5}	0.050
2×10^{-5}	0.043
5×10^{-5}	0.025
10^{-4}	0 (0.003)

Table 3- Effect of washing chloroplast pellet on glutathione reductase activity*

	$\mu\text{moles/min}^*$ per mg protein	$\mu\text{moles/min}^*$ per mg chlorophyll
1 Wash	0.28	0.43
2 Washes	0.32	0.54
3 Washes	0.34	0.65

*Measured at 340 nm as NADPH_2 oxidation.

Table 4 Ferredoxin-stimulated NADPH₂ oxidation

Treatment	ΔOD 340/min
Control	0.070
- E + Ferredoxin (Fd)*	0.002
- GSSG	0.003
- GSSG + Fd	0.030
- GSSG + Fd 0.1 mM ZnCl ₂	0.015

Fd* 0.4 mg of Ferredoxin per ml. The commercial preparation of ferredoxin was dialyzed before use against pH 7.5 Tris buffer for over 24 hrs.

Table 5 Glutathione reductase activity of commercial RuDP
carboxylase preparatios

	$\Delta OD_{340}/min$
Control*	0.105
- GSSG	0.020
- GSSG - $NADPH_2$	0
- $NADPH_2$ + $NADH_2$	0.003
Control + 10^{-4} M $ZnCl_2$	0

* 1.5 mg RuDP carboxylase per ml

Table 6 Effect of enzyme storage on NADPH₂ oxidation blank


	μmoles NADPH ₂ oxidized
Initial fresh enzyme	0.0024 - 0.0064
Preparation stored 3 hrs Air	0.016 - 0.037
Preparation stored 3 hr N ₂	0.0048

The reaction mixture contained 0.05 M Tris pH 7.5, 0.15 mM NADPH₂ and 50 μl of enzyme preparation per milliliter.

FIGURE LEGENDS


Fig. 1. Effect of pH on the rate of NADPH_2 oxidation by glutathione reductase.

Fig. 2. Effect of increasing concentration of oxidized glutathione on NADPH_2 oxidation by spinach chloroplast stroma enzymes.



XBL 7610-9656

Fig. 1
Shaedle & Bassham



XBL 7610-9655

Fig. 2
Shaedle & Bassham

This report was done with support from the United States Energy Research and Development Administration. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the United States Energy Research and Development Administration.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720