

# UC Berkeley

## IGS Poll

### Title

Release #2018-10: CA 39th Congressional District Topline

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8bh0g1th>

### Author

DiCamillo, Mark

### Publication Date

2018-10-04

**CA 39th Congressional District Topline  
(LIKELY VOTERS n=552)**

1. On a scale of 1 to 10, how interested are you in this year's November elections, where "one" means you are not interested at all and "ten" means you are very interested. Choose any number between one and ten for your answer.

- 2% 1-4 (low interest)
- 5% 5-7 (moderate interest)
- 93% 8-10 (high interest)

2. How certain are you that you will vote in this year's November election?

- 100% Absolutely certain
- Fairly certain
- Not too certain
- Probably not vote

3/4. Below are the candidates running in the election for the U.S House of Representatives in your district. If the election were being held today for whom would you vote? **(IF UNDECIDED)** If you had to vote at this moment, which of the two candidates would you more inclined to support? **(ORDERING OF THE CANDIDATES ROTATED)**

- 49% Gil Ciscernos, Education/Veterans Advocate, Democrat
- 48% Young Kim, Small Business Owner, Republican
- 3% Undecided

5. Compared to past Congressional elections in your district, is this year's November Congressional election more important to you, less important to you, or equally important as past elections?

- 68% More important
- Less important
- 32% Equally important

**(ORDERING OF Q6 AND Q7 ROTATED)**

6. Generally speaking, do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of Gil Ciscernos, Education/Veterans Advocate, Democrat?

- 16% Favorable strongly
- 25% Favorable somewhat
- 18% Unfavorable somewhat
- 27% Unfavorable strongly
- 14% No opinion

7. Generally speaking, do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of Young Kim, Small Business Owner, Republican?

- 24% Favorable strongly
- 25% Favorable somewhat
- 12% Unfavorable somewhat
- 16% Unfavorable strongly
- 23% No opinion

8. When considering which candidate you'll be supporting in this year's election for Congress, how important are each candidate's views in the following areas? (**RANDOMIZE ITEMS**)

	<u>Among the most important</u>	<u>Important but not among most important</u>	<u>Not that important</u>
a. Health care .....	75%	22%	4%
b. The state's new gas tax .....	57%	26%	17%
c. Immigration .....	63%	29%	8%
d. Taxes .....	69%	26%	5%
e. Housing costs .....	46%	46%	8%
f. The economy .....	71%	26%	3%
g. Environmental policies .....	51%	37%	11%
h. Trade policies.....	45%	46%	8%
i. Securing the nation's borders .....	48%	28%	24%
j. Water policies.....	47%	45%	8%
k. Abortion.....	43%	34%	22%
l. Gun laws.....	63%	25%	12%

9. Do you approve or disapprove of the job Donald Trump is doing as President?

- 29% Approve strongly
- 17% Approve somewhat
- 5% Disapprove somewhat
- 48% Disapprove strongly

**IF APPROVE OF TRUMP:**

10a. How important is it for you to cast a vote for Congress that shows your support for President Trump?

- 32% Very important
- 7% Somewhat important
- 7% Not too important/ Not at all important

**IF DISAPPROVE OF TRUMP:**

10b. How important is it for you to cast a vote for Congress that shows your opposition to President Trump?

- 46% Very important
- 4% Somewhat important
- 3% Not too important/ Not at all important

11. If the Democratic Party regains control of the House after this year's congressional elections, would you favor or oppose their choosing Nancy Pelosi as Speaker of the House?

- 12% Favor strongly
- 26% Favor somewhat
- 19% Oppose somewhat
- 42% Oppose strongly

12. Do you favor or oppose Congress beginning impeachment proceedings against President Donald Trump if Special Counsel Robert Mueller's investigation turns up potential criminal wrongdoing by the President?

- 44% Favor strongly
- 11% Favor somewhat
- 8% Oppose somewhat
- 36% Oppose strongly

The November election will also include a number of statewide ballot propositions. Below are the official summaries of two propositions as they will appear on the statewide ballot. Please indicate how you would vote on each if the election were being held today.

13. Proposition 6: **ELIMINATES CERTAIN ROAD REPAIR AND TRANSPORTATION FUNDING. REQUIRES CERTAIN FUEL TAXES AND VEHICLE FEES BE APPROVED BY THE ELECTORATE. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.** Repeals a 2017 transportation law's taxes and fees designated for road repairs and public transportation. Fiscal Impact: Reduced ongoing revenues of \$5.1 billion from state fuel and vehicle taxes that mainly would have paid for highway and road maintenance and repairs, as well as transit programs. If the election were today, how would you vote on Proposition 6?

- 40% Yes
- 37% No
- 23% Undecided

14. Proposition 10: **EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE STATUE.** Repeals state law that currently restricts the scope of rent-control policies that cities and other local jurisdictions may impose on residential property. Fiscal Impact: Potential net reduction in state and local revenues of tens of millions of dollars per year in the long term. Depending on actions by local communities, revenue losses could be less or considerably more. If the election were today, how would you vote on Proposition 10?

- 27% Yes
- 35% No
- 38% Undecided

15. If Proposition 10 were to pass, would you support or oppose your city expanding rent controls?

- 17% Strongly support
- 26% Somewhat support
- 25% Neither support nor oppose
- 14% Somewhat oppose
- 18% Strongly oppose

## **About the Study**

The survey was conducted in California's 39<sup>th</sup> Congressional district by the *Berkeley IGS Poll*, housed at the University of California at Berkeley's Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS). The results are based on the responses of 552 registered voters considered likely to vote in the November congressional elections in the district. The poll was administered online by distributing emails to stratified random samples of registered voters in English or Spanish. Data collection spanned the period September 16-23, 2018.

Once the questionnaire and email invitation scripts had been finalized, they were translated into the non-English languages and reviewed for accuracy and cultural appropriateness. They were then programmed onto Qualtrics, a survey platform frequently used by the University of California, Berkeley when distributing email invitations as part of an opinion research study. Each email invitation asked voters to participate in a short non-partisan survey conducted by IGS, and were provided a link to the IGS website where the survey was housed. Reminder emails were distributed to non-responding voters over a seven-day period. An opt out link was provided at the bottom of each invitation for voters not wishing to participate or not wanting to receive future emails from IGS about the survey.

Sample listings of registered voters with email addresses in the district were provided to IGS by Political Data, Inc., which is partnering with IGS in its pre-election polling, and are derived from information contained on the official voter registration rolls. When drawing samples of registered voters, the listings were stratified in an attempt to obtain a proper balance of survey respondents across major segments of the district's registered voter population, such as by age, gender, region, and party registration.

After the completion of data collection, the data file was forwarded to Davis Research, LLC and edited using machine-cleaning procedures to verify that the information compiled was complete and internally consistent. To protect the anonymity of survey respondents, voters' email addresses and all other personally identifiable information obtained from the voter file were purged and replaced with a unique and anonymous identification number. Post-stratification weights were applied to align the district's respondents to the population characteristics of registered voters in the district. Following this, likely voters were identified based on each voter's stated intention to vote in this year's congressional election, and factoring in their history of voting in past elections or interest in voting in this election.

The sampling error associated with the survey results are difficult to calculate precisely because of the effects of sample selection and post-stratification weighting. Nevertheless, it is likely that results from the overall likely voter sample are subject to a sampling error of +/- 6 percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

## **About the Institute of Governmental Studies**

The Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) is an interdisciplinary organized research unit that pursues a vigorous program of research, education, publication and public service. A component of the University of California (UC) system's flagship Berkeley campus, it is the oldest organized research unit in the UC system and the oldest public policy research center in the state. The director of the Institute of Governmental Studies is Lisa Garcia Bedolla.

IGS conducts periodic surveys of public opinion in California on matters of politics and public policy through its *Berkeley IGS Poll*. The poll, which is disseminated widely, seeks to provide a broad measure of contemporary public opinion, and to generate data for subsequent scholarly analysis. The director of the *Berkeley IGS Poll* is Mark DiCamillo. For a complete listing of stories issued by the *Berkeley IGS Poll* go to <https://igs.berkeley.edu/igs-poll/berkeley-igs-poll>.