# UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

# Title

First-in-Human Phase I, Dose-Escalation and -Expansion Study of Telisotuzumab Vedotin, an Antibody-Drug Conjugate Targeting c-Met, in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors

# Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8bt41691

# **Journal** Journal of Clinical Oncology, 36(33)

# ISSN

0732-183X

# Authors

Strickler, John H Weekes, Colin D Nemunaitis, John <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2018-11-20

# DOI

10.1200/jco.2018.78.7697

Peer reviewed

# JOURNAL OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY

# ORIGINAL REPORT

# First-in-Human Phase I, Dose-Escalation and -Expansion Study of Telisotuzumab Vedotin, an Antibody–Drug Conjugate Targeting c-Met, in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors

John H. Strickler, Colin Weekes, John Nemunaitis, Ramesh K. Ramanathan, Rebecca S. Heist, Daniel Morgensztern, Eric Angevin, Todd M. Bauer, Huibin Yue, Monica Motwani, Apurvasena Parikh, Edward B. Reilly, Daniel Afar, Louie Naumovski, and Karen Kelly

Author affiliations and support information (if applicable) appear at the end of this article.

Published at jco.org on XXXX, 2018.

Clinical trial information: NCT02099058.

Corresponding author: John H. Strickler, Duke Cancer Center, 20 Duke Medicine Circle, Durham, NC 27710; e-mail: john. strickler@dm.duke.edu.

© 2018 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

0732-183X/18/3699-1/\$20.00

#### A B S T R A C T

#### Purpose

This first-in-human study evaluated telisotuzumab vedotin (Teliso-V), formerly called ABBV-399, an antibody–drug conjugate of the anti–c-Met monoclonal antibody ABT-700 and monomethyl auristatin E.

## **Materials and Methods**

For dose escalation, three to six patients with advanced solid tumors were enrolled in eight cohorts (0.15 to 3.3 mg/kg). The dose-expansion phase enrolled patients with non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with c-Met–overexpressing tumors (c-Met positive; immunohistochemistry membrane H-score  $\geq$  150). Patients received Teliso-V monotherapy intravenously on day 1 once every 3 weeks. Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and maximum tolerated dose were determined.

## Results

Forty-eight patients were enrolled (median age, 65 years; 35.4% NSCLC; median four prior therapies). One patient each in the 3.0-mg/kg (n = 9) and 3.3-mg/kg (n = 3) cohorts experienced dose-limiting toxicities. Although the maximum tolerated dose was not formally identified, the recommended phase II dose was defined as 2.7 mg/kg on the basis of overall safety and tolerability. The most frequent treatment-emergent adverse events (any grade) were fatigue (42%), nausea (27%), constipation (27%), decreased appetite (23%), vomiting (21%), dyspnea (21%), diarrhea (19%), peripheral edema (19%), and neuropathy (17%). The most frequent Teliso-V-related grade  $\geq$  3 adverse events were fatigue, anemia, neutropenia, and hypoalbuminemia (4% each). Teliso-V and total antibody pharmacokinetics were approximately dose proportional, with a mean harmonic half-life of 2 to 4 days each. Prospective screening identified 35 (60%) of 58 patients with c-Met–positive NSCLC. Of 16 patients with c-Met–positive NSCLC who were treated with Teliso-V 2.4 to 3.0 mg/kg, three (18.8%; 95% CI, 4.1% to 45.7%) achieved a partial response (median response duration, 4.8 months; median progression-free survival, 5.7 months; 95% CI, 1.2 months to 15.4 months). No other patients experienced a response.

#### Conclusion

Teliso-V monotherapy demonstrated favorable safety and tolerability profiles, with encouraging evidence of antitumor activity in patients with c-Met–positive NSCLC.

J Clin Oncol 36. © 2018 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

## INTRODUCTION

c-Met is a receptor tyrosine kinase expressed on the surface of epithelial and endothelial cells. Ligand-induced dimerization of c-Met leads to autophosphorylation, which results in cellular proliferation, survival, migration, and angiogenesis.<sup>1,2</sup> Aberrant c-Met pathway activation is frequently found in various types of solid tumors, including non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC),<sup>3,4</sup> colorectal cancer,<sup>5,6</sup> breast cancer,<sup>7</sup> ovarian cancer,<sup>8</sup> advanced prostate cancer,<sup>9</sup> and others.<sup>10</sup> Abnormal c-Met <sup>Q:1, 2, 3</sup> signaling can occur as a result of transcriptional upregulation, receptor overexpression, *MET* amplification, *MET* activating mutations, or over- Q:4 expression of its only known ligand, hepatocyte

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2018. 78.7697 growth factor (HGF). c-Met signaling dysregulation is associated with oncogenic transformation<sup>11</sup> and resistance to chemotherapy and radiotherapy,<sup>12</sup> and it correlates with poor prognosis. Consequently, c-Met has emerged as a promising therapeutic target.<sup>13</sup>

Telisotuzumab vedotin (Teliso-V), formerly called ABBV-399, is a first-in-class antibody-drug conjugate (ADC) composed of the anti-c-Met humanized monoclonal antibody ABT-700 coupled to the cytotoxic monomethyl auristatin E (MMAE) through a valine-citrulline linker (ABT-700-vcMMAE). Teliso-V uses the same linker-drug payload as that of the US Food and Drug Administration-approved brentuximab vedotin.<sup>14</sup> Teliso-V targets c-Met-expressing tumor cells with specific and high-affinity binding, and it mediates the delivery of MMAE directly to tumor cells.<sup>15</sup> Engagement of c-Met by Teliso-V results in the internalization of the ADC and intracellular release of MMAE after proteolysis of the linker. MMAE then binds to tubulin, thereby inhibiting mitosis and causing tumor cell death. The unconjugated antibody, ABT-700, has shown significant antitumor activity in patients with MET-amplified advanced solid tumors but limited activity in patients with c-Met-overexpressing tumors that lack MET amplification.<sup>16,17</sup> However, preclinical studies have indicated that Teliso-V has antitumor activity in c-Met-expressing cells with and without MET gene amplification.<sup>15</sup>

Primary objectives of this phase I trial were to evaluate the safety and tolerability of Teliso-V monotherapy in patients with advanced solid tumors, to determine the pharmacokinetic (PK) profile, and to establish the maximum tolerated dose (MTD) and recommended phase II dose (RP2D). A dose-expansion phase at the RP2D assessed the preliminary antitumor efficacy of Teliso-V in patients with c-Met–overexpressing NSCLC.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Patient Eligibility

Patient enrollment began in April 2014, and as of September 2017, 48 patients have been treated. Patients who were enrolled had measurable disease—by Response Evaluation Criteria In Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1<sup>18</sup> —that progressed despite standard therapy or because no standard therapy was available (the Data Supplement lists main eligibility criteria). All patients provided written informed consent and local ethics committee approval was obtained. This study was conducted in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonization, good clinical practice guidelines, and the Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Study Design

This was a first-in-human, phase I, open-label, multicenter study in adult patients with advanced solid tumors conducted in two parts. Part one was a dose-escalation phase using a 3+3 design to determine the safety, MTD, and PK profile of Teliso-V. Teliso-V was administered by intravenous (IV) infusion to groups of three to six patients who were enrolled in eight-dose cohorts for dosing at 0.15 to 3.3 mg/kg on day 1, once every 21 days, or until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity. Dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs) were determined during the first cycle (the Data Supplement outlines DLT definition). Part two was a dose-expansion phase to further evaluate the RP2D of Teliso-V monotherapy for safety, tolerability, and antitumor efficacy only in patients with NSCLC—on the basis of preclinical data that show the sensitivity of NSCLC cell lines—and c-Met overexpression as determined by immunohistochemistry.

#### Safety

Safety was evaluated on the basis of adverse events (AEs), vital signs, physical examination, electrocardiograms, and laboratory test assessments. The Data Supplement lists additional details.

#### PKs

Blood samples for PK evaluation of Teliso-V, total ABT-700, and MMAE were collected on day 1 of cycle 1 (predose and 30 minutes postinfusion); during study visits on days 2, 4, 8, and 15 of cycle 1; on day 1 of cycle 2 (predose and 30 minutes postinfusion); day 1 of every subsequent cycle; and at the final visit. Serum concentrations of Teliso-V conjugate (ABT-700–vcMMAE), total ABT-700, and plasma concentrations of free MMAE were determined using validated methods. PK parameters were estimated using noncompartmental analysis.

#### Antitumor Activity

Tumor response was assessed using contrast-enhanced computed tomography—or magnetic resonance imaging or noncontrast computed tomography if contrast was not tolerated—at baseline—within 28 days before the first dose—and every 6 weeks thereafter, and if clinically warranted at the final visit for patients without documented radiographic progression. Changes in measurable lesions were assessed by the investigator using RECIST version 1.1<sup>18</sup> to determine the objective response rate, progression-free survival (PFS), and duration of response.

#### **Biomarkers**

Testing for c-Met expression was performed retrospectively on most patients in the dose-escalation cohort who had available archival tissue, and prospectively for patients in the dose-expansion cohort. c-Met expression in tumor samples was determined by IHC using the CONFIRM assay (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ). Overexpression of c-Met (c-Met positive) was defined by an H-score of  $\geq$  150 of membrane staining. An H-score cut off of  $\geq$  150 was chosen by the sponsor (AbbVie, North Chicago, IL) to select patients who were most likely to benefit from Teliso-V, because it is known from preclinical studies that some level of c-Met expression is needed for the efficacy of Teliso-V in cell lines and animal models.<sup>15</sup> Additional details and exploratory biomarker methods using DNA from tumor tissue and/or circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) using PlasmaSELECT-R 64 (Personal Genome Diagnostics, Baltimore, MD) are described in the Data Supplement.

#### Statistical Analyses

The safety and efficacy-evaluable populations included all patients who received one or more dose of the study drug. All safety analyses were descriptive only. No formal statistical analysis was performed for efficacy variables, which were all exploratory in nature (efficacy variables are defined in the Data Supplement).

# RESULTS

### Patient Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

Forty-eight patients were enrolled and received one or more dose of Teliso-V (data cut off was September 21, 2017). Patient demographics and baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Thirty-nine patients comprised the dose-escalation cohort TI and nine patients—all with c-Met–positive NSCLC—the doseexpansion cohort. Of 58 patients with NSCLC who were screened for membrane c-Met expression, 35 (60%) were identified as c-Met positive.

Of 16 patients with c-Met–positive NSCLC, one had increased *MET* gene copy number and one had a mutation in *MET* exon 14

|   |                |                | T             | able 1. Patient | Demographic     | s and Baseline | e Characteristi | SS          |             |                       |                       |
|---|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|   |                |                | Dose-         | Escalation Col- | ort (n = 39), [ | Jose Level, m  | g/kg            |             |             | Dose-Eynansion Cohort |                       |
| Demographic or Characteristic   | 0.15 (n = 3)   | 0.3 (n = 3)    | 0.6 (n = 3)   | 1.2 (n = 3)     | 1.8 (n = 4)     | 2.4 (n = 6)    | 2.7 (n = 5)     | 3.0 (n = 9) | 3.3 (n = 3) | (2.7  mg/kg; n = 9)   | All Patients (N = 48) |
| Age, years, median (range)  | 69 (40-75)     | 59 (55-72)     | 73 (66-79)    | 66 (66-70)      | 56 (44-75)      | 57 (47-83)     | 63 (56-85)      | 58 (49-77)  | 69 (59-75)  | 65 (51-86)            | 65 (40-86)            |
| Gender, No. (%)   |                |                |               |                 |                 |                |                 |             |             |                       |                       |
| Male  | 1 (33.3)       | 2 (66.7)       | 1 (33.3)      | 1 (33.3)        | 2 (50.0)        | 1 (16.7)       | 4 (80.0)        | 5 (55.6)    | 3 (100)     | 5 (55.6)              | 25 (52.1)             |
| Female  | 2 (66.7)       | 1 (33.3)       | 2 (66.7)      | 2 (66.7)        | 2 (50.0)        | 5 (83.3)       | 1 (20.0)        | 4 (44.4)    | 0 (0)       | 4 (44.4)              | 23 (47.9)             |
| ECOG at baseline, No. (%)   |                |                |               |                 |                 |                |                 |             |             |                       |                       |
| Grade 0   | 1 (33.3)       | 1 (33.3)       | 1 (33.3)      | (0) 0           | 1 (25.0)        | 2 (33.3)       | 1 (20.0)        | 3 (33.3)    | 1 (33.3)    | 2 (22.2)              | 13 (27.1)             |
| Grade 1   | 2 (66.7)       | 2 (66.7)       | 2 (66.7)      | 3 (100)         | 3 (75.0)        | 3 (50.0)       | 4 (80.0)        | 6 (66.7)    | 2 (66.7)    | 7 (77.8)              | 34 (70.8)             |
| Grade 2   | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)          | (0) 0         | (0) 0           | 0 (0)           | 1 (16.7)       | (0) 0           | 0 (0)       | (0) 0       | 0 (0)                 | 1 (2.1)               |
| Primary tumor type, No. (%)   |                |                |               |                 |                 |                |                 |             |             |                       |                       |
| Non-small-cell lung cancer  | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)         | (0) 0           | 1 (25.0)        | 1 (16.7)       | 4 (80.0)        | 2 (22.2)    | 0 (0)       | 9 (100)               | 17 (35.4)             |
| Nonsquamous   | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)         | (0) 0           | 1 (100)         | 1 (100)        | 3 (75.0)        | 2 (100)     | (0) 0       | 5 (55.6)              | 12 (25.0)             |
| Squamous  | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)         | (0) 0           | 0 (0)           | (0) 0          | 1 (25.0)        | 0 (0)       | (0) 0       | 4 (44.4)              | 5 (10.4)              |
| Breast cancer   | 0 (0)          | 1 (33.3)       | 0 (0)         | (0) 0           | 0 (0)           | 2 (33.3)       | (0) 0           | 1 (11.1)    | (0) 0       | 0 (0)                 | 4 (8.3)               |
| Colon/rectal cancer   | 0 (0)          | 1 (33.3)       | 2 (66.7)      | (0) 0           | 2 (50.0)        | 1 (16.7)       | (0) 0           | 2 (22.2)    | 1 (33.3)    | (0) 0                 | 9 (18.8)              |
| Endometrial cancer  | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)         | (0) 0           | 0 (0)           | 1 (16.7)       | 1 (20.0)        | 0 (0)       | (0) 0       | 0 (0)                 | 2 (4.2)               |
| Ovarian cancer  | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)          | 1 (33.3)      | 2 (66.7)        | 0 (0)           | 1 (16.7)       | (0) 0           | 0 (0)       | (0) 0       | 0 (0)                 | 4 (8.3)               |
| Other   | 3 (100)        | 1 (33.3)       | 0 (0)         | 1 (33.3)        | 1 (25.0)        | (0) 0          | (0) 0           | 4 (44.4)    | 2 (66.7)    | 0 (0)                 | 12 (25.0)             |
| Median No. of prior therapies<br>(range)  | 5 (4-8)        | 6 (3-8)        | 5 (3-7)       | 5 (2-10)        | 3 (2-8)         | 7 (2-15)       | 5 (2-7)         | 4 (2-10)    | 2 (1-3)     | 3 (1-6)               | 4 (1-15)              |
| c-Met positive by IHC, No.<br>positive/No. with tissue<br>available for testing | 0/3            | 1/3            | 1/3           | 0/3             | 1/0             | 2/4            | 5/5             | 5/5         | 0/0         | 6/6                   | 23/36                 |
| Abbreviations: c-Met positive, c-   | Met overexpre: | ssing; ECOG, I | Eastern Coope | rative Oncolog  | y Group; IHC,   | immunohisto    | chemistry.      |             |             |                       |                       |

OOTU

(Data Supplement). Tumor samples from a subset of patients with NSCLC were analyzed by RNA sequencing (11 of 16) and wholeexome sequencing (five of 16; Data Supplement). In general, there was good correlation between protein—H-score by IHC—and RNA expression.

## Safety

At the time of data cut off, all 39 patients in the dose-escalation cohort had discontinued treatment (four as a result of AEs, 28 as a result of progressive disease [PD], three as a result of consent withdrawal, and four for other reasons), and eight of nine patients in the dose-expansion cohort had discontinued treatment (three as a result of AEs and five as a result of PD). In the dose-escalation cohort, multiple DLTs were observed in two patients-one in the 3mg/kg dose-level group (febrile neutropenia, glucose intolerance, and hypophosphatemia) and one in the 3.3-mg/kg (septic shock, edema, and hypoalbuminemia) dose-level group, which enrolled nine and three patients per cohort, respectively. In addition, at the 3.3-mg/kg dose level, one patient developed an elevation in total bilirubin (2.5 mg/dL; normal levels  $\leq$  1.2 mg/dL), and another had a decrease in albumin that delayed the next scheduled dose (albumin 2.2 g/dL; normal levels > 3.5 g/dL). Therefore, enrollment at 3.3 mg/kg was halted and the lower dose of 2.7 mg/kg was further evaluated for safety and tolerability. Although no formal MTD was identified, after five patients received treatment at 2.7 mg/kg without DLTs, the dose for the expansion phase was defined as 2.7 mg/kg every 21 days on the basis of overall safety and toler-ability profiles (Table 2).

Forty-six (96%) of 48 patients experienced one or more treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs; Table 3). The most common T3 TEAEs included fatigue (42%), constipation (27%), nausea (27%), decreased appetite (23%), dyspnea (21%), vomiting (21% each), diarrhea (19%), peripheral edema (19%), and neuropathy (17%; Table 2). Twenty-three patients (48%) reported grade  $\geq$  3 TEAEs, the most frequently reported being anemia and pneumonia (10% each), hyponatremia (8%), and decreased appetite, dyspnea, hypoalbuminemia, hypophosphatemia, and neutropenia (6% each). The most frequent TEAEs and Teliso-V–related TEAEs (TRAEs) for all dose cohorts and at the RP2D of 2.7 mg/kg are summarized in Table 2.

Thirty-four patients (71%) experienced a TRAE of any grade (Table 3). The most common any-grade TRAEs were fatigue (25%), nausea (23%), neuropathy (15%), decreased appetite (13%), vomiting (13%), and diarrhea (10%; Table 2). Eight patients (17%) experienced a grade  $\geq$  3 TRAE. The most common grade  $\geq$  3 TRAEs were fatigue, hypoalbuminemia, anemia, and neutropenia, each of which occurred in two patients (4%). No treatment-related deaths were reported.

|                    |                       |                       | ,                     | of Patients        |                    |                       |                       | <b>J</b>              |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|                    |                       | Related or I          | Jnrelated to Te       | eliso-V            |                    | Related to Te         | liso-V                |                       |
|                    | Any                   | Grade                 |                       | Grade $\geq$ 3     | Any Grad           | e                     | Grad                  | e ≥ 3                 |
| TEAE               | All Doses<br>(N = 48) | 2.7 mg/kg<br>(n = 14) | All Doses<br>(N = 48) | 2.7 mg/kg (n = 14) | All Doses (N = 48) | 2.7 mg/kg<br>(n = 14) | All Doses<br>(N = 48) | 2.7 mg/kg<br>(n = 14) |
| Fatigue            | 20 (41.7)             | 9 (64.3)              | 2 (4.2)               | 2 (14.3)           | 12 (25.0)          | 6 (42.9)              | 2 (4.2)               | 2 (14.3)              |
| Constipation       | 13 (27.1)             | 3 (21.4)              | 0                     | 0                  | 3 (6.3)            | 2 (14.3)              | 0                     | 0                     |
| Nausea             | 13 (27.1)             | 6 (42.9)              | 0                     | 0                  | 11 (22.9)          | 6 (42.9)              | 0                     | 0                     |
| Decreased appetite | 11 (22.9)             | 2 (14.3)              | 3 (6.3)               | 1 (7.1)            | 6 (12.5)           | 1 (7.1)               | 1 (2.1)               | 0                     |
| Dyspnea            | 10 (20.8)             | 6 (42.9)              | 3 (6.3)               | 2 (14.3)           | 0                  | 0                     | 0                     | 0                     |
| Vomiting           | 10 (20.8)             | 3 (21.4)              | 0                     | 0                  | 6 (12.5)           | 3 (21.4)              | 0                     | 0                     |
| Diarrhea           | 9 (18.8)              | 3 (21.4)              | 1 (2.1)               | 0                  | 5 (10.4)           | 2 (14.3)              | 0                     | 0                     |
| Peripheral edema   | 9 (18.8)              | 4 (28.6)              | 1 (2.1)               | 0                  | 1 (2.1)            | 0                     | 1 (2.1)               | 0                     |
| Neuropathy         | 8 (16.7)              | 4 (28.6)              | 1 (2.1)               | 0                  | 7 (14.6)           | 3 (21.4)              | 1 (2.1)               | 0                     |
| Anemia             | 7 (14.6)              | 3 (21.4)              | 5 (10.4)              | 2 (14.3)           | 3 (6.3)            | 1 (7.1)               | 2 (4.2)               | 1 (7.1)               |
| Hypoalbuminemia    | 7 (14.6)              | 1 (7.1)               | 3 (6.3)               | 1 (7.1)            | 4 (8.3)            | 0                     | 2 (4.2)               | 0                     |
| Hypophosphatemia   | 7 (14.6)              | 3 (21.4)              | 3 (6.3)               | 1 (7.1)            | 1 (2.1)            | 0                     | 1 (2.1)               | 0                     |
| Abdominal pain     | 6 (12.5)              | 1 (7.1)               | 0                     | 0                  | 0                  | 0                     | 0                     | 0                     |
| Anxiety            | 6 (12.5)              | 3 (21.4)              | 0                     | 0                  | 0                  | 0                     | 0                     | 0                     |
| Arthralgia         | 6 (12.5)              | 1 (7.1)               | 0                     | 0                  | 3 (6.3)            | 1 (7.1)               | 0                     | 0                     |
| Hypomagnesemia     | 6 (12.5)              | 1 (7.1)               | 0                     | 0                  | 2 (4.2)            | 0                     | 0                     | 0                     |
| Hyponatremia       | 6 (12.5)              | 3 (21.4)              | 4 (8.3)               | 2 (14.3)           | 1 (2.1)            | 0                     | 1 (2.1)               | 0                     |
| Insomnia           | 6 (12.5)              | 0                     | 1 (2.1)               | 0                  | 1 (2.1)            | 0                     | 0                     | 0                     |
| Pneumonia          | 6 (12.5)              | 4 (28.6)              | 5 (10.4)              | 3 (21.4)           | 0                  | 0                     | 0                     | 0                     |
| Cough              | 5 (10.4)              | 2 (14.3)              | 0                     | 0                  | 0                  | 0                     | 0                     | 0                     |
| Dizziness          | 5 (10.4)              | 2 (14.3)              | 0                     | 0                  | 1 (2.1)            | 1 (7.1)               | 0                     | 0                     |
| Fall               | 5 (10.4)              | 2 (14.3)              | 1 (2.1)               | 1 (7.1)            | 1 (2.1)            | 0                     | 0                     | 0                     |
| Hypokalemia        | 5 (10.4)              | 1 (7.1)               | 0                     | 0                  | 1 (2.1)            | 0                     | 0                     | 0                     |
| Hypotension        | 5 (10.4)              | 4 (28.6)              | 0                     | 0                  | 0                  | 0                     | 0                     | 0                     |
| Asthenia           | 4 (8.3)               | 2 (14.3)              | 0                     | 0                  | 3 (6.3)            | 1 (7.1)               | 0                     | 0                     |
| Dysgeusia          | 3 (6.3)               | 1 (7.1)               | 0                     | 0                  | 3 (6.3)            | 1 (7.1)               | 0                     | 0                     |
| Neutropenia        | 4 (8.3)               | 1 (7.1)               | 3 (6.3)               | 1 (7.1)            | 3 (6.3)            | 1 (7.1)               | 2 (4.2)               | 1 (7.1)               |

NOTE. Data are given as No. (%).

Abbreviations: TEAE, treatment-emergent adverse event; Teliso-V, telisotuzumab vedotin.

| Table 3. TEAEs (safety analysis data set)       |                                    |                                  |                          |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| TEAE  | Dose-Escalation<br>Cohort (n = 39) | Dose-Expansion<br>Cohort (n = 9) | All Patients<br>(N = 48) |  |  |  |  |
| Any AE  | 38 (97.4)                          | 8 (88.9)                         | 46 (95.8)                |  |  |  |  |
| Related to study drug                           | 27 (69.2)                          | 7 (77.8)                         | 34 (70.8)                |  |  |  |  |
| NCI CTCAE grade 3 or 4                          | 18 (46.2)                          | 5 (55.6)                         | 23 (47.9)                |  |  |  |  |
| NCI CTCAE grade 3 or 4<br>related to study drug | 5 (12.8)                           | 3 (33.3)                         | 8 (16.7)                 |  |  |  |  |
| Any serious AE                                  | 12 (30.8)                          | 3 (33.3)                         | 15 (31.3)                |  |  |  |  |
| Related to study drug                           | 2 (5.1)                            | 0 (0)                            | 2 (4.2)                  |  |  |  |  |
| AE leading to study drug<br>discontinuation     | 8 (20.5)                           | 3 (33.3)                         | 11 (22.9)                |  |  |  |  |
| Death   |                                    |                                  |                          |  |  |  |  |
| As a result of AE                               | 4 (10.3)                           | 0 (0)                            | 4 (8.3)                  |  |  |  |  |
| As a result of AE<br>related to study drug      | 0 (0)                              | 0 (0)                            | 0 (0)                    |  |  |  |  |

NOTE. Data are given as No. (%).

Abbreviations: AE, adverse event; NCI CTCAE, National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0; TEAE, treatmentemergent adverse event.

# PKs

F1

F2

The preliminary Teliso-V conjugate PK parameters were estimated for 48 patients who received at least one dose of the study drug and are summarized in the Data Supplement. After a singledose IV infusion of Teliso-V, preliminary systemic exposures of Teliso-V conjugate (Fig 1) were approximately dose proportional across 0.6- to 3.3-mg/kg doses. The mean harmonic half-life of Teliso-V conjugate and total antibody was 2 to 4 days.

## Antitumor Activity

Forty-three patients had one or more postbaseline tumor assessments and were included in the preliminary efficacy analysis (Fig 2A). Postbaseline tumor assessments were missing for five patients as a result of clinical progression (n = 3), withdrawal of consent (n = 1), and death as a result of pneumonia (unrelated to study drug; n = 1). These patients were considered as non-responders and were included in the efficacy-evaluable population (n = 48). The best overall response to Teliso-V monotherapy at any

dose level (Table 4) was partial response (PR) in three patients (all T4 with c-Met–positive squamous NSCLC). c-Met H-scores and best responses per patient are provided in the Data Supplement.

Overall, 16 patients with c-Met-positive NSCLC (five with squamous and 11 with nonsquamous histology) received Teliso-V and comprised the population included in the c-Met-positive NSCLC efficacy-subset analysis. Available biomarker data at baseline are shown in the Data Supplement. All patients were refractory to standard therapies and had received a median of three (range, one to six) prior therapies for metastatic disease. Postbaseline tumor assessments were not performed for two patients in the 2.7-mg/kg dose-escalation cohort (one patient withdrew consent and one died of pneumonia after one dose of drug). Changes in the size of target lesions in the 15 patients with one or more postbaseline tumor assessment, including one c-Metnegative patient who was treated with 1.8 mg/kg Teliso-V, are shown in Figure 2B. In the three patients with PR-confirmed on at least one subsequent scan-the duration of response was 3.1, 4.8, and 11.1 months, and PFS was 5.7, 6, and 15.4 months, respectively. The disease control rate (PR plus stable disease at first tumor assessment) was 56% (nine of 16; 95% CI, [3.0% to 80.2%), with three with PRs (19%) and six with stable disease (38%; Table 4 and Fig 2B). Median PFS for the 16 patients with c-Met-positive NSCLC was 5.7 months (95% CI, 1.2 months to 15.4 months). No mutations in KRAS or EGFR were detected in patients who experienced disease response (Data Supplement).

Reductions in the size of target lesions from baseline were observed in seven patients (44%), including four patients with squamous NSCLC. Of note, two patients with adenocarcinoma had significant tumor shrinkage, although neither met RECIST PR criteria.

# DISCUSSION

The c-Met pathway is involved in tumorigenesis and treatment resistance and represents a potential target for cancer therapy.<sup>2,13</sup> Many c-Met–targeted agents, such as kinase inhibitors, have



Fig 1. Mean (+ standard deviation) preliminary telisotuzumab vedotin (Teliso-V) conjugate concentration-time profiles after a single intravenous infusion (0.15 to 3.3 mg/ kg) on a dosage schedule of every 21 days. Linear (left) and log-linear (right) scales.

O:7





Fig 2. (A and B) Best percentage change in the size of target lesions from baseline in (A) all patients with one or more postbaseline tumor assessment (n = 43) and (B) patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with one or more postbaseline tumor assessment (n = 15). (\*) c-Met status not available. (†) Patient with nonsquamous NSCLC and a c-Met H-score of 175. (‡) Patient with other solid tumor and c-Met status not available. (§) Patient with nonsquamous NSCLC and a c-Met H-score of 245. (||) Patient with other solid tumor and a c-Met H-score of 245. (¶) Patient with nonsquamous NSCLC and a c-Met H-score of 34 received Teliso-V 1.8 mg/kg during dose escalation. (#) Patient with > 30%tumor shrinkage in target lesions experienced PD as a result of new lesion. FPKM, fragments per kb million; NA, not available; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; SQ, squamous.

| Table 4. Best Overall Response     |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter                          | All Patients<br>(N = 48)* | Patients With c-Met–Positive NSCLC (n = 16)* |  |  |  |  |
| Best overall response,<br>No. (%)† |                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Complete response                  | 0                         | 0  |  |  |  |  |
| PR                                 | 3 (6.3)                   | 3 (18.8)                                     |  |  |  |  |
| SD                                 | 22 (45.8)                 | 6 (37.5)                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Disease control rate<br>(PR + SD)  | 25 (52.1)                 | 9 (56.3)                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Progressive disease                | 20 (41.7)                 | 5 (31.3)                                     |  |  |  |  |

Abbreviations: c-Met positive, c-Met overexpressing; NSCLC, non-small-cell lung cancer; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease.

\*Patients treated at all dose levels. †On the basis of RECIST version 1.1.18

demonstrated antitumor activity in tumors with MET amplification or MET exon 14 mutations—presumably dependent on or addicted to the c-Met pathway-but these same c-Met inhibitors are not active for tumors that overexpress c-Met through other mechanisms. In this first-in-human, phase I study, we demonstrated that Teliso-V, a highly selective anti-c-Met ADC, was well tolerated at the RP2D of 2.7 mg/kg. In addition, Teliso-V had single-agent antitumor activity at doses  $\geq 2.4$  mg/kg in patients with NSCLC who had c-Met overexpression but not increased MET gene copy number or an MET exon 14 mutation.

Recently, several molecules that target the c-Met pathway have been in clinical development. These agents can be classified as monoclonal antibodies that inhibit HGF binding or receptor dimerization, or small molecules that inhibit c-Met tyrosine kinase activity and block downstream pathway activation. Several c-Met antagonists have shown substantial antitumor activity in METamplified tumors-generally defined as a greater than two-fold increase in the MET/CEP7 ratio-including kinase inhibitors, such as crizotinib<sup>19-21</sup> and the antibody ABT-700.<sup>16,17</sup> In the first-inhuman, phase I trial evaluating ABT-700, clinical benefit was observed only in patients with MET-amplified tumors. In eight patients with MET-amplified tumors, four RECIST responses were observed, all in patients with high levels of MET gene amplification.<sup>16,17</sup> Comparable response rates were observed in patients who were treated with the selective c-Met inhibitors AMGand SAR125844 and the nonselective inhibitor 337 crizotinib<sup>19,20,22,23</sup>; however, in patients with nonamplified, c-Met-overexpressing tumors, c-Met inhibitors have had limited clinical activity. A phase III trial of onartuzumab, which blocks HGF binding to c-Met, was discontinued because of the lack of clinically significant benefit of the onartuzumab-erlotinib combination compared with erlotinib alone.<sup>24</sup> Although the reasons for the failure of onartuzumab and an anti-HGF antibody (rilotumumab)<sup>25</sup> are unknown, inhibition of the c-Met receptor and its downstream signaling pathways may be insufficient to generate clinical benefit in tumors that are not entirely dependent on c-Met signaling.

Although *MET* amplification is a therapeutically actionable target, it generally occurs in < 1% to 5% of de novo cancers.<sup>4,21,26-28</sup> c-Met overexpression is more common, occurring in up to 50% of many advanced solid tumors.<sup>4-10</sup> To broadly target c-Met-expressing tumors, we are developing Teliso-V, an anti-cMet antibody (ABT-700) conjugated to MMAE. The use of an ADC that targets c-Met-positive tumors represents a novel therapeutic strategy with which to induce tumor cell killing independently of c-Met signaling pathway inhibition because it involves the delivery of the potent cytotoxin MMAE directly to c-Met-positive tumor cells. We hypothesize that Teliso-V will have antitumor activity not only in tumors with increased MET gene copy number, but also in tumors without increased MET gene copy number that overexpress c-Met. Preclinical studies have demonstrated the antitumor activity of Teliso-V in MET-amplified and nonamplified tumor xenografts, including those refractory to ABT-700 treatment.<sup>15</sup> An additional advantage of ADCs is that the delivery of a potent cell deathinducing cytotoxin directly to the tumor may limit systemic toxicity.

Teliso-V was well tolerated at the RP2D of 2.7 mg/kg every 21 days. The toxicity profile of Teliso-V was similar to that previously observed in another ADC using MMAE, brentuximab vedotin, in patients with CD30<sup>+</sup> hematologic malignancies.<sup>29,30</sup> The acute toxicity that defines the DLT is often bone marrow suppression-that is, neutropenia-whereas the chronic toxicity is related to the MMAE microtubule-inhibitor function.<sup>31</sup> Hypoalbuminemia and peripheral edema were noted with Teliso-V at the highest doses tested. This finding may be a class effect or on-target toxicity of c-Met inhibition, which has also been noted with other c-Met inhibitors, including ABT-700.<sup>16</sup> The 2.7-mg/kg dose was selected for the expansion phase on the basis of the overall safety and tolerability observed in the dose-escalation cohorts. Patients who were administered MMAE ADCs may have both acute and chronic toxicity, such as neuropathy, and we attempted to balance efficacy with safety to minimize neuropathy in patients who received multiple doses of drug. This was also considered an efficacious dose, showing antitumor activity in the dose-escalation cohort.

In our exploratory efficacy analysis, only patients with c-Met-positive NSCLC experienced responses to Teliso-V monotherapy, as indicated by three patients with PR and two other patients with a significant reduction in target lesions. Remarkably, all patients with PR had squamous NSCLC histology. Of the five patients with squamous NSCLC, three experienced PR. The reason for the high observed response rate in squamous NSCLC is currently unknown. The two patients who demonstrated significant tumor reduction, both with adenocarcinoma NSCLC, did not meet RECIST PR criteria-one had extensive cavitation of tumor lesions, with a 29.5% tumor reduction, but discontinued the study at 2.8 months without progressive disease as a result of the recurrence of preexisting pneumonitis, and the other had a > 30% reduction of target lesions, but experienced PD as a result of a new lesion. The finding of PR only in patients with squamous histology is limited by low patient numbers. Indeed, data that emerged after the completion of this portion of the study demonstrated objective responses in Teliso-V-treated patients with c-Met-positive adenocarcinoma (data not shown). Herein, we found that, unlike other c-Met-targeting agents, Teliso-V has antitumor activity in tumors that lack genomic alterations in MET. Retrospective biomarker analysis demonstrated that none of the responding patients had tumors with increased MET gene copy number or exon 14 mutations.

Limitations of the current study include its exploratory nature and the small number of patients analyzed, which limited the accurate quantification of Teliso-V antitumor efficacy. In addition, c-Met expression was mainly analyzed in archival tissue that was obtained before any therapy, and c-Met expression levels may have changed after exposure to cytotoxic therapy or immunotherapy. In an attempt to detect potential increases in MET gene copy number acquired in the interval between the archival biopsy and Teliso-V treatment, we evaluated ctDNA. Neither of the two responding patients who were tested showed evidence of increased MET gene copy number; however, additional reasons, including tumor heterogeneity, low ctDNA shedding, and low tumor volume, may explain a negative test result. Another limitation of this study is that the optimal threshold to define c-Met overexpression is unknown. The selection of patients with a c-Met H-score of  $\geq$  150 was based on preclinical evidence that suggested that c-Met expression is necessary for antitumor activity. On the basis of the lack of response in most patients with high H-scores, c-Met overexpression is apparently not sufficient for response, which suggests that some tumors harbor intrinsic resistance mechanisms. No responses were observed in patients with other tumor types enrolled in the doseescalation cohort, irrespective of c-Met expression levels. NSCLC may be more sensitive to Teliso-V than other tumor types; however, additional studies are needed.

In conclusion, Teliso-V was well tolerated at a dose of 2.7 mg/ kg IV every 21 days in patients with advanced solid tumors.

## REFERENCES

1. Ma PC, Maulik G, Christensen J, et al: c-Met: Structure, functions and potential for therapeutic inhibition. Cancer Metastasis Rev 22:309-325, 2003

2. Gherardi E, Birchmeier W, Birchmeier C, et al: Targeting MET in cancer: Rationale and progress. Nat Rev Cancer 12:89-103, 2012 [Erratum: Nat Rev Cancer 12:637, 2012]

**3.** Ma PC, Jagadeeswaran R, Jagadeesh S, et al: Functional expression and mutations of c-Met and its therapeutic inhibition with SU11274 and small interfering RNA in non–small-cell lung cancer. Cancer Res 65:1479-1488, 2005

4. Spigel DR, Ervin TJ, Ramlau RA, et al: Randomized phase II trial of onartuzumab in combination with erlotinib in patients with advanced non–smallcell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 31:4105-4114, 2013

5. Fujita S, Sugano K: Expression of c-met protooncogene in primary colorectal cancer and liver metastases. Jpn J Clin Oncol 27:378-383, 1997

6. Resnick MB, Routhier J, Konkin T, et al: Epidermal growth factor receptor, c-MET, beta-catenin, and p53 expression as prognostic indicators in stage Il colon cancer: A tissue microarray study. Clin Cancer Res 10:3069-3075, 2004

7. Lengyel E, Prechtel D, Resau JH, et al: c-Met overexpression in node-positive breast cancer identifies patients with poor clinical outcome independent of Her2/neu. Int J Cancer 113:678-682, 2005

8. Sawada K, Radjabi AR, Shinomiya N, et al: c-Met overexpression is a prognostic factor in ovarian cancer and an effective target for inhibition of peritoneal dissemination and invasion. Cancer Res 67: 1670-1679, 2007 Furthermore, Teliso-V demonstrated antitumor activity in patients with c-Met–positive NSCLC. Retrospective biomarker evaluation of samples from patients with c-Met–positive NSCLC may provide additional insight into Teliso-V clinical activity. Additional studies evaluating Teliso-V as a single agent as part of the Lung Master Protocol<sup>32</sup> clinical trial and in combination therapy are ongoing.

## AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at jco.org.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conception and design: John H. Strickler, Daniel Afar, Louie Naumovski Collection and assembly of data: John H. Strickler, Colin Weekes, John Nemunaitis, Ramesh K. Ramanathan, Rebecca S. Heist, Daniel Morgensztern, Eric Angevin, Todd M. Bauer, Louie Naumovski, Karen Kelly Data analysis and interpretation: All authors Manuscript writing: All authors Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

**9.** Verhoef EI, Kolijn K, De Herdt MJ, et al: MET expression during prostate cancer progression. Oncotarget 7:31029-31036, 2016

**10.** Lee HE, Kim MA, Lee HS, et al: MET in gastric carcinomas: comparison between protein expression and gene copy number and impact on clinical outcome. Br J Cancer 107:325-333, 2012

**11.** Frampton GM, Ali SM, Rosenzweig M, et al: Activation of MET via diverse exon 14 splicing alterations occurs in multiple tumor types and confers clinical sensitivity to MET inhibitors. Cancer Discov 5: 850-859, 2015

**12.** Gu FF, Zhang Y, Liu YY, et al: Lung adenocarcinoma harboring concomitant SPTBN1-ALK fusion, c-Met overexpression, and HER-2 amplification with inherent resistance to crizotinib, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy. J Hematol Oncol 9:66, 2016

**13.** Garajová I, Giovannetti E, Biasco G, et al: c-Met as a target for personalized therapy. Transl Oncogenomics 7:13-31, 2015 (suppl 1)

14. Seattle Genetics: Adcetris (brentuximab vedotin) prescribing information. http://www.seattlegenetics. com/application/files/2515/1059/6728/ADCETRIS\_USPI\_ USP-BVP-2015-01625pdf.pdf

**15.** Wang J, Anderson MG, Oleksijew A, et al: ABBV-399, a c-Met antibody-drug conjugate that targets both *MET*-amplified and c-Metoverexpressing tumors, irrespective of *MET* pathway dependence. Clin Cancer Res 23:992-1000, 2017

**16.** Strickler JH, LoRusso P, Yen C-J, et al: Phase 1, open-label, dose-escalation, and expansion study of ABT-700, an anti–c-Met antibody, inpatients (pts) with advanced solid tumors. J Clin Oncol 32:5s, 2014 (suppl; abstr 2507)

**17.** Kang YK, LoRusso P, Salgia R, et al: Phase I study of ABT-700, an anti–c-Met antibody, in patients (pts) with advanced gastric or esophageal cancer (GEC). J Clin Oncol 33, 2015 (suppl; abstr 167)

**18.** Eisenhauer EA, Therasse P, Bogaerts J, et al: New response evaluation criteria in solid tumours: Revised RECIST guideline (version 1.1). Eur J Cancer 45:228-247, 2009

**19.** Camidge DR, Ou SH, Shapiro G, et al: Efficacy and safety of crizotinib in patients with advanced c-MET-amplified non–small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC). J Clin Oncol 32:5s, 2014 (suppl; abstr 8001)

**20.** Schöffski P, Wozniak A, Escudier B, et al: Crizotinib achieves long-lasting disease control in advanced papillary renal-cell carcinoma type 1 patients with *MET* mutations or amplification. EORTC 90101 CREATE trial. Eur J Cancer 87:147-163, 2017

**21.** Lennerz JK, Kwak EL, Ackerman A, et al: MET amplification identifies a small and aggressive subgroup of esophagogastric adenocarcinoma with evidence of responsiveness to crizotinib. J Clin Oncol 29:4803-4810, 2011

**22.** Angevin E, Spitaleri G, Rodon J, et al: A first-inhuman phase I study of SAR125844, a selective MET tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in patients with advanced solid tumours with MET amplification. Eur J Cancer 87:131-139, 2017

23. Hong DS, LoRusso P, Hamid O, et al: First-inhuman study of AMG 337, a highly selective oral inhibitor of MET, in adult patients (pts) with advanced solid tumors. J Clin Oncol 32:5s, 2014 (suppl; abstr 2508)

24. Rolfo C, Van Der Steen N, Pauwels P, et al: Onartuzumab in lung cancer: The fall of Icarus? Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 15:487-489, 2015

**25.** Catenacci DVT, Tebbutt NC, Davidenko I, et al: Rilotumumab plus epirubicin, cisplatin, and capecitabine as first-line therapy in advanced MET-positive gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (RILOMET-1): A randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled, phase 3 trial. Lancet Oncol 18:1467-1482, 2017 **26.** Go H, Jeon YK, Park HJ, et al: High MET gene copy number leads to shorter survival in patients with non–small-cell lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 5: 305-313, 2010

27. Janjigian YY, Tang LH, Coit DG, et al: MET expression and amplification in patients with localized gastric cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 20:1021-1027, 2011

28. Catenacci DV, Henderson L, Xiao SY, et al: Durable complete response of metastatic gastric

Supported by AbbVie.

cancer with anti-Met therapy followed by resistance at recurrence. Cancer Discov 1:573-579, 2011

**29.** Younes A, Bartlett NL, Leonard JP, et al: Brentuximab vedotin (SGN-35) for relapsed CD30positive lymphomas. N Engl J Med 363:1812-1821, 2010

**30.** Fanale MA, Forero-Torres A, Rosenblatt JD, et al: A phase I weekly dosing study of brentuximab vedotin in patients with relapsed/refractory CD30positive hematologic malignancies. Clin Cancer Res 18:248-255, 2012

**31.** Masters JC, Nickens DJ, Xuan D, et al: Clinical toxicity of antibody drug conjugates: A meta-analysis of payloads. Invest New Drugs 36:121-135, 2018

32. Lung Cancer Master Protocol (Lung-MAP) Clinical Trials: Lung-MAP. https://www.lung-map.org/

#### Affiliations

John H. Strickler, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC; Colin Weekes, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO; John Nemunaitis, Mary Crowley Cancer Research Center, Dallas, TX; Ramesh K. Ramanathan, Virginia Piper Cancer Center at Honor Health/ Translational Genomics Research Institute, Scottsdale, AZ; Rebecca S. Heist, Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center, Boston, MA; Daniel Morgensztern, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO; Eric Angevin, Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France; Todd M. Bauer, Sarah Cannon Research Institute; Todd M. Bauer, Tennessee Oncology, Nashville, TN; Huibin Yue, Apurvasena Parikh, Daniel Afar, and Louie Naumovski, AbbVie, Redwood City; Karen Kelly, University of California Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center, Sacramento, CA; and Monica Motwani, Edward B. Reilly, AbbVie, North Chicago, IL.

Support

## Prior Presentation

Presented in part at the 2016 ASCO Annual Meeting, Chicago, IL, June 3-7, 2016, the ESMO 2016 Congress, Copenhagen Denmark, October 7-11, 2016, and the 17th World Conference on Lung Cancer, Vienna, Austria, December 4-7, 2016, the 18th World Conference on Lung Cancer, Yokohama, Japan, October 15-18, 2017, and the 2017 ASCO Annual Meeting, Chicago, IL, June 2-6, 2017.

AbbVie participated in the design, study conduct, analysis, and interpretation of data, as well as the writing, review, and approval of the manuscript. ABBV-399 utilizes ABT-700, an antibody licensed from Pierre Fabre and antibody–drug conjugate technology licensed from Seattle Genetics. AbbVie is committed to responsible data sharing regarding the clinical trials we sponsor. This includes access to anonymized, individual and trial-level data (analysis data sets), as well as other information (e.g., protocols and Clinical Study Reports), as long as the trials are not part of an ongoing or planned regulatory submission. This includes requests for clinical trial data for unlicensed products and indications. This clinical trial data can be requested by any qualified researchers who engage in rigorous, independent scientific research, and will be provided following review and approval of a research proposal and Statistical Analysis Plan (SAP) and execution of a Data Sharing Agreement (DSA). Data requests can be submitted at any time and the data will be accessible for 12 months, with possible extensions considered. For more information on the process, or to submit a request, visit the following link: https://www.abbvie.com/our-science/clinical-trials/clinical-trials-data-and-information-sharing/data-and-information-sharing-with-qualified-researchers.html.

### **AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

# First-in-Human Phase I, Dose-Escalation and -Expansion Study of Telisotuzumab Vedotin, an Antibody–Drug Conjugate Targeting c-Met, in Patients With Advanced Solid Tumors

Q:6

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs.org/jco/site/ifc.

#### John H. Strickler

# Consulting or Advisory Role: Amgen, Genentech, Bayer, Celgene, Chugai Pharmaceuticals

**Research Funding:** AbbVie (Inst), Gilead Sciences (Inst), Genentech (Inst), Exelixis (Inst), OncoMed (Inst), Sanofi (Inst), Regeneron (Inst), MedImmune (Inst), Cascadian Therapeutics (Inst), Seattle Genetics, Macrogenics, Leap Therapeutics

#### Colin Weekes

Honoraria: Celgene, Merrimack Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lilly Consulting or Advisory Role: Celgene, Merrimack Pharmaceuticals Research Funding: Millennium Pharmaceuticals, Celgene, Bayer, Genentech, AbbVie, Eli Lilly

#### John Nemunaitis

Employment: Gradalis Leadership: Gradalis, Symvivo Stock or Other Ownership: Gradalis Consulting or Advisory Role: Symvivo Research Funding: Gradalis Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Gradalis Expert Testimony: Foundation Medicine Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Gradalis, Symvivo

#### Ramesh K. Ramanathan

Honoraria: Pharmacyclics, Insys Therapeutics, Novocure Consulting or Advisory Role: Cerulean Pharma, Novocure, Insys Therapeutics, Pharmacyclics Research Funding: AbbVie (Inst), Celgene (Inst), Merck (Inst), Schering Plough (Inst), Merrimack Pharmaceuticals (Inst), Boston Biomedical (Inst), Berg Pharma (Inst), Superlab Far East (Inst), Ipsen (Inst)

#### Rebecca S. Heist

Honoraria: Boehringer Ingelheim, Tarveda Consulting or Advisory Role: Boehringer Ingelheim Research Funding: AbbVie (Inst), Novartis (Inst), Roche (Inst), Incyte (Inst), Celgene (Inst), Mirati Therapeutics (Inst), Peregrine Pharmaceuticals (Inst), Exelixis (Inst), Millennium Pharmaceuticals (Inst), Debiopharm Group (Inst), Corvus Pharmaceuticals (Inst)

#### **Daniel Morgensztern**

**Consulting or Advisory Role:** Celgene, Bristol-Myers Squibb, AbbVie **Research Funding:** Heat Biologics (Inst), Merck (Inst), Newlink Genetics (Inst), Celgene (Inst), AstraZeneca (Inst), Incyte (Inst), Bristol-Myers Squibb (Inst), EpicentRx (Inst), Inivata (Inst), GlaxoSmithKline (Inst)

#### Eric Angevin

Consulting or Advisory Role: Merck Sharp & Dohme, GlaxoSmithKline, Celgene

**Research Funding:** AbbVie (Inst), Roche (Inst), Sanofi (Inst), GlaxoSmithKline (Inst), Pfizer (Inst)

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: AbbVie, Roche, Sanofi

#### Todd M. Bauer

**Employment:** Tennessee Oncology, Sarah Cannon Research Institute **Consulting or Advisory Role:** Ignyta (Inst), Guardant Health, Loxo, Pfizer **Research Funding:** Daiichi Sankyo (Inst), Medpacto (Inst), Incyte (Inst), Mirati Therapeutics (Inst), MedImmune (Inst), AbbVie (Inst), AstraZeneca (Inst), Leap Therapeutics (Inst), MabVax (Inst), Stemline Therapeutics (Inst), Merck (Inst), Eli Lilly (Inst), GlaxoSmithKline (Inst), Novartis (Inst), Pfizer (Inst), Principa Biopharma (Inst), Genentech (Inst), Deciphera (Inst), Merrimack Pharmaceuticals (Inst), Immunogen (Inst), Millennium Pharmaceuticals (Inst), Ignyta (Inst), Calithera Biosciences (Inst), Kolltan Pharmaceuticals (Inst), Peleton (Inst), Immunocore (Inst), Roche (Inst), Aileron Therapeutics (Inst), Bristol-Myers Squibb (Inst), Amgen (Inst), Astellas Pharma (Inst), Five Prime Therapeutics (Inst)

## Huibin Yue

Employment: AbbVie Stock or Other Ownership: AbbVie

Monica Motwani Employment: AbbVie Stock or Other Ownership: AbbVie

Apurvasena Parikh Employment: AbbVie Stock or Other Ownership: AbbVie

Edward B. Reilly Employment: AbbVie Stock or Other Ownership: AbbVie

Daniel Afar Employment: AbbVie Stock or Other Ownership: AbbVie

Louie Naumovski Employment: AbbVie Stock or Other Ownership: AbbVie Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: AbbVie

# Karen Kelly

Honoraria: Merck

**Consulting or Advisory Role:** Ariad Pharmaceuticals, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers Squibb, G1 Therapeutics, Genentech, Eli Lilly, Regeneron, AbbVie, Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Merck, Pfizer **Research Funding:** AbbVie (Inst), Celgene (Inst), EMD Serono (Inst), Five Prime (Inst), Genentech (Inst), Eli Lilly (Inst), Novartis (Inst), Transgene (Inst), Lycera (Inst), Regeneron (Inst)

Patents, Royalties, Other Intellectual Property: Royalties for UpToDate Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Genentech, Merck, Regeneron, Eli Lilly

# Acknowledgment

AbbVie and the authors thank the patients who participated in this clinical trial, the study coordinators, and support staff. Medical writing support was provided by Iratxe Abarrategui from TRM Oncology (The Hague, the Netherlands) and funded by AbbVie.

# **AUTHOR QUERIES**

# AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

- Q:1 **AUTHOR:** You are responsible for detecting any errors in this proof. Confirm that all dosing information and treatment regimens are complete and accurate in text, tables, and figures, if applicable to article content.
- Q:2 **AUTHOR:** Please confirm that given names and surnames are identified properly by the colors indicated in the byline. Colors will not appear in print or online, and are for proofing and coding purposes only. The accuracy of given name and surname designations is important to ensure proper indexing on jco.org and PubMed.
- Q:3 **AUTHOR:** Please note that the affiliations list was edited and reordered for consistency with Journal style to avoid repetition of locations. The affiliations are not intended to match the order of authors in the byline.
- Q:4 **AUTHOR:** Per Journal style, all genes, oncogenes, and proto-oncogenes must be italicized. Please confirm throughout text, tables, and figures that all genes have been correctly italicized and capitalized, and mark corrections as needed on your page proofs. Proteins, antigens, and receptors are not italicized.
- Q:5 AUTHOR: Please verify that all contribution information is correct for each author.
- Q:6 **AUTHOR:** Please verify conflicts of interest information is complete and accurate as of acceptance date.
- Q:7 **AUTHOR:** Figures in your article have been edited and redrawn to conform to Journal style. Please review all figure content carefully and completely for accuracy, including dosing information, axis labels, and legends, if applicable.