UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Anatomical evidence of pruriceptive trigeminothalamic and trigeminoparabrachial projection neurons in mice

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8ct660jd

Journal The Journal of Comparative Neurology, 524(2)

ISSN 1550-7149

Authors

Akiyama, Tasuku Curtis, Eric Nguyen, Tony <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2016-02-01

DOI

10.1002/cne.23839

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Comp Neurol*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Comp Neurol. 2016 February 1; 524(2): 244–256. doi:10.1002/cne.23839.

Anatomical evidence of pruriceptive trigeminothalamic and trigeminoparabrachial projection neurons in mice

Tasuku Akiyama², Eric Curtis¹, Tony Nguyen¹, Mirela Iodi Carstens¹, and E. Carstens¹

¹Dept. of Neurobiology, Physiology & Behavior, University of California, Davis CA

²Temple Itch Center, Dept. of Dermatology, Dept. of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Temple University School of Medicine, Philadelphia PA

Abstract

Itch is relayed to higher centers by projection neurons in the spinal and medullary dorsal horn. We employed a double-label method to map the ascending projections of pruriceptive and nociceptive trigeminal and spinal neurons. The retrograde tracer fluorogold (FG) was stereotaxically injected into the right thalamus or lateral parabrachial area (LPb) in mice. Seven days later, mice received intradermal (id) microinjection of histamine, chloroquine, capsaicin, or vehicle into the left cheek. Id histamine, chloroquine and capsaicin elicited similar distributions of Fos-positive neurons in the medial aspect of the superficial medullary and spinal dorsal horn from the trigeminal subnucleus caudalis to C2. Of neurons retrogradely labeled from the thalamus, 43, 8 and 22% were Fospositive following id histamine, chloroquine or capsaicin. Of the Fos-positive neurons following pruritic or capsaic stimuli, $\sim 1-2\%$ were retrogradely labeled with FG. Trigeminoparabrachial projection neurons exhibited a higher incidence of double-labeling in the superficial dorsal horn. Of the neurons retrogradely labeled from LPb, 36, 29 and 33% were Fos-positive following id injection of histamine, chloroquine or capsaicin, respectively. Of Fos-positive neurons elicited by id histamine, chloroquine and capsaicin, respectively, 3.7, 4.3 and 4.1% were retrogradely labeled from LPb. The present results indicate that, overall, relatively small subpopulations of pruriceptive and/or nociceptive neurons innervating the cheek project to thalamus or LPb. These results imply that the vast majority of pruritogen- and algogen-responsive spinal neurons are likely to function as interneurons relaying information to projection neurons and/or participating in segmental nocifensive circuits.

Graphical Abstract

Double-label strategy identified trigeminal projection neurons retrogradely labeled with fluorogold, and those labeled for Fos-immunoreactivity following cheek injection of itch or pain mediators. Inset shows double-labeled projection neuron (teal arrow) potentially signaling itch or pain, and several non-projecting Fos-reactive neurons (green) that presumably function as local interneurons.

Corresponding Author: Prof. E. Carstens, Dept. of Neurobiology, Physiology and Behavior, University of California, Davis, 1 Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616 USA, Tel.: 1-530-752-7767, Fax: 1-530-752-5582, eecarstens@ucdavis.edu. **Conflict of interest statement**: None of the authors declares a conflict of interest.



Keywords

itch (pruritus); pain; trigeminal projection neurons; double-label; Fos; superficial dorsal horn; interneurons

Introduction

The molecular biology and neural processing of itch has been a topic of considerable recent interest (Akiyama & Carstens, 2013; Kremer et al., 2014; LaMotte et al., 2014; Tominaga & Takamori, 2013). The sensation of itch is thought to be conveyed together with pain via the spinothalamic tract (Bickford, 1938; Hyndman & Wolkin, 1943; White et al., 1950; Davidson et al., 2014). Based on retrograde tracing studies, the spinothalamic tract originates largely from neurons in lamina I as well as deeper laminae in mice (Davidson et al., 2010), rats (Giesler et al., 1976; Burstein et al., 1990b) and monkeys (Trevino et al., 1973). An early electrophysiological study reported that a subpopulation of axons in the ventrolateral spinal cord, where spinothalamic tract fibers pass, responded to cutaneous application of cowhage spicules (Wei & Tuckett, 1991), which contain proteases that elicit itch via protease-activated receptors PAR2 and PAR4 (Reddy et al., 2008). A later study identified a small subpopulation of lamina I spinothalamic tract neurons in the cat that responded to cutaneous application of histamine (Andrew & Craig, 2001). More recent studies have identified a more sizable subpopulation of primate spinothalamic tract neurons in lamina I and deeper laminae that responded to intradermal injection of histamine or application of cowhage spicules, as well as capsaicin (Simone et al, 2004; Davidson et al., 2007, 2012). Neurons in lamina I are of particular interest in the transmission of chemogenic itch and pain, since intradermal injection of pruritogens and algogens elicits Fos expression (a marker of neuronal activity [Harris, 1998]) mainly in superficial laminae of the spinal dorsal horn (Yao et al., 1992; Carstens et al., 1995; Jinks et al., 2002; Nojima et al., 2003; Nakano et al., 2008; Akiyama et al., 2009)

A recent study used antidromic stimulation in rats to identify a subpopulation of trigeminothalamic neurons in superficial and deeper dorsal horn laminae that responded to intradermal cheek microinjection of the pruritogen serotonin, as well as other pruritogens and algogens including histamine, chloroquine (which elicits itch in humans and acts at the Mas-related G-protein-coupled receptor MrgprA3 [Liu et al., 2009; Han et al., 2013]), capsaicin and allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) (Moser & Giesler, 2014). These response properties were similar to those of neurons in trigeminal subnucleus caudalis and upper

cervical spinal, not identified by ascending projection, that responded to serotonin and other chemicals in rats (Klein et a., 2011) and mice (Akiyama et al, 2010). The characterization of neurons with cheek receptive fields is particularly relevant to the behavioral "cheek" model in rats and mice (Shimada & LaMotte, 2008; Akiyama et al., 2010; Spradley et al., 2012). In this model, pruritogens injected intradermally in the cheek elicit hindlimb scratch bouts, whereas algogens elicit ipsilateral forelimb wipes, directed to the injection site. This model thus distinguishes between itch and pain, and provides a basis to investigate the differential processing underlying these two discrete behaviors.

In addition to the spinothalamic tract, other ascending pathways have been implicated in pain transmission, but little is known regarding their role in signaling itch. One pathway of particular interest is the spinoparabrachial pathway, which originates largely from neurons in lamina I with some in deeper dorsal horn laminae (Menetrey & De Pommery, 1991; Kitamura et al., 1993; Hwang et al., 2003; Todd et al., 2005 ; Al-Khater et al., 2008, Al-Khater & Todd, 2009; Polgar et al., 2010). The vast majority (>90%) of antidromically identified spinoparabrachial projection neurons in lamina I responded to noxious mechanical and thermal stimuli (Bester et al., 2000). A very recent study of antidromically identified trigeminoparabrachial projection neurons in rats revealed that a many responded to intradermal application of serotonin, histamine, chloroquine and capsaicin, implicating them in signaling itch and chemogenic pain sensations (Jansen & Giesler, 2014).

In the present study, we employed a double-immunofluoroscent labeling strategy to identify trigeminothalamic and trigeminoparabrachial projections neurons by retrograde tracing, and to assess if they responded to pruritic (histamine, chloroquine) or algesic (capsaicin) stimulation as assessed by Fos immunofluorescence. We tested the hypothesis that some ascending projection neurons would be double-labeled for Fos, implicating them in the rostral transmission of itch and/or chemogenic pain. The results supported this hypothesis and were in good accord with the recent electrophysiology data from Giesler's group showing that substantial subpopulations of trigeminothalamic and trigeminoparabrachial neurons responded to pruritogens and algogens. A preliminary report of these data has appeared (Carstens et al., 2014).

Materials and Methods

Fluorogold (FG) microinjections

The procedures used in this study were approved by the UC Davis Animal Care and Use Committee. Adult male C57BL/6 mice (21–38 g) were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (65 mg/kg ip) and prepared for the injection of retrograde tracer, Fluorogold (FG; Fluorochrome, Denver CO). Briefly, the mouse's head was fixed in a stereotaxic frame and the skull was exposed by mid-sagittal incision. Using a drill, burr holes were made over target sites (i.e., right thalamus or right lateral parabrachial nucleus [LPb]). The microsyringe needle containing FG was introduced through the burr hole and stereotaxically positioned, and FG was injected into either thalamus (AP:1.8, ML:1.0, DV:3.6 and AP:1.8, ML:1.6, DV:3.6) or LPb (AP:5, ML:1.3, DV:3.8 and AP:5.2, ML:1.3, DV:3.8) in a volume of 80 nL each. The incision was closed using Vetbond (3M, Saint Paul, MN, USA)

Fos Immunohistochemistry

One week after FG injections, mice were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (65 mg/kg i.p.) and received an intradermal microinjection of histamine (50 µg/10 µl; Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis MO), chloroquine (100 µg /10 µl; Sigma), capsaicin (30 µg/10 µl; Sigma) or vehicle (saline, 7% Tween-80) into the left cheek. After 2 h, the mice were perfused transcardially with phosphate-buffered saline followed by 4% paraformaldehyde. The caudal medulla and upper cervical spinal cord were postfixed, transferred to 30% sucrose, and cut in 30 µm sections. Sections were collected serially in 24-well containers. Every 4th section was processed for Fos immunofluorescence. In brief, every fourth section (120-µm intervals) was blocked in donkey serum (5%) and then incubated in primary c-fos antibody (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz CA, H-125, antibody ID AB_2106765) at 4 °C overnight, followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor 488 (1:500; Invitrogen Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, antibody ID AB_221544) for 2 hours at room temperature.

Images were captured using a fluorescence microscope (Nikon Eclipse Ti; Technical Instruments, San Francisco CA) outfitted with a CCD camera (Andor Clara, Technical Instruments) connected to a computer running NIS-Elements software (Technical Instruments; Resource ID SciRes_000190). Cells retrogradely labeled with FG were imaged using a UV filter (excitation wavelength: 350 nm; emission wavelength: 420 nm). Fosimmunoreactive neurons were imaged using an FITC filter (excitation wavelength 490 nm; emission wavelengths 515–545 nm). Image intensity and contrast were adjusted to maximize the fluorescent signal on a dark background. Overlay of the images revealed double-labeled neurons having a teal hue (see Fig. 6).

The numbers of Fos-immunofluorescent and FG-positive neurons were blindly counted by two independent observers. The average fluorescence intensity for cells that were accepted was 1989.02 analog-digital units (ADUs; range: 1396.1–3004), while the average fluorescence intensity for cells that were rejected was 1299.5 ADUs (range 1049–1618.6). The length of the caudal-rostral distribution of Fos-positive neurons was calculated by the following formula; Length of caudal-rostral distribution (mm) = (the number of sections which included Fos-immunoreactive neurons x 4-1) x 0.03. The mean numbers of Fosimmunoreactive neurons elicited by each chemical were compared by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by post-hoc Bonferroni tests, with p<0.05 set as significant. To calculate the percentages of double-labeled neurons, we averaged counts of Fos- and FGlabeled neurons for the three sections from each animal with the relatively highest counts. The percentage of projection cells in the superficial dorsal horn exhibiting Fosimmunofluorescence was calculated by dividing the mean number of double-labeled neurons by the mean number of FG-positive neurons. The percentage of Fos-positive cells in the superficial dorsal horn with ascending projections was calculated by dividing the mean number of double-labeled neurons by Fos-positive neurons.

Results

Fos immunoreactivity

Intradermal microinjection of histamine, chloroquine or capsaicin each resulted in large numbers of Fos-immunoreactive neurons in the superficial dorsal horn, extending from subnucleus caudalis caudally to the upper cervical (C1-C2) spinal cord. Fig. 1 shows photomicrographs of Fos-immunoreactivity following id histamine (Fig. 1C), chloroquine (Fig. 1D) and capsaicin (Fig. 1E), and absence of Fos-immunoreactivity following vehicle injections of saline (Fig. 1A) or Tween80 (Fig. 1B). Intradermal injections of histamine, chloroquine or capsaicin each resulted in equivalent numbers of Fos-immunopositive neurons (Fig. 1F, three right-hand bars) while vehicles were much less effective (Fig. 1F, 2 left-hand bars). Fos immunoreactivity was observed primarily in the medial half of the superficial medullary and upper cervical spinal dorsal horn, distributed over a rostro-caudal range of approximately 1–2 mm (Table 1). Additional examples of the distribution of Fos immunoreactivity in the superficial upper cervical dorsal horn are shown in Fig. 5.

Retrograde FG labeling

Nine mice received intracranial microinjections of FG that included the ventrobasal thalamic nuclei VPM and VPL. The rostro-caudal distributions of injected FG for these animals are indicated by the shaded regions shown on the brain stem sections in Fig. 2. An example of an individual FG thalamic injection is shown in Fig. 3. The dashed line indicates the necrotic core of the injection site.

Nine other mice received FG microinjections that included the parabrachial nuclei and surrounding areas such as the Koelliker-Fuse nucleus. Fig. 4 shows rostro-caudal distributions of the extent of the FG injections in these animals.

Spinothalamic projection neurons were located primarily contralateral to the thalamic injection site, while spinoparabrachial projection neurons were observed bilaterally. Most neurons retrogradely labeled from thalamus or parabrachial nuclei were located in the superficial dorsal horn of the upper cervical (C1-C2) segments. Fig. 5A–C shows individual examples of drawings of C1 sections from animals receiving FG injections in thalamus. Blue dots indicate neurons retrogradely labeled with FG, the large majority of which were contralateral to the thalamic FG injection. Fig. 5D–F shows a bilateral distribution of neurons retrogradely labeled by FG injections in the parabrachial nuclei.

Double-labeling

Fig. 6 shows an example of a double-labeled neuron. The left panel (FITC) is a photomicrograph of Fos-immunoreactive neurons, the middle panel shows a neuron retrogradely labeled with FG, and the merged image (right panel) showing the double-labeled neuron with a teal hue. Fig. 5 shows individual examples of the distributions of retrogradely-labeled (blue), Fos-positive (green) and double-labeled neurons (red dots). Fig. 5A–C shows drawings of upper cervical sections from animals receiving FG injection in thalamus and intradermal injection of histamine (Fig. 5A), chloroquine (Fig. 5B) or capsaicin (Fig. 5C). Fig. 5D–F shows sections from animals receiving FG injections in

parabrachial nuclei and intradermal injection of histamine (Fig. 5D), chloroquine (Fig. 5E) or capsaicin (Fig. 5G).

The percentages of double-labeled neurons are summarized in Fig. 7. Fig. 7A, B show, respectively, the percentages of Fos positive-neurons with projections to the thalamus (Fig. 7A), and the percentages of FG-positive trigeminothalamic projection neurons exhibiting Fos-immunoreactivity. The numbers in parentheses indicate the numbers of double-labeled cells divided by the total number of Fos-immunoreactive neurons in each treatment group. Similarly, Fig. 7C, D show percentages of FG-positive reigeminoparabrachial projection neurons that were Fos-immunopositive neurons with projections to the parabrachial nuclei (Fig. 7C), and percentages of FG-positive trigeminoparabrachial projection neurons that were Fos-immunopositive. Of the Fos-immunopositive neurons, very low percentages of retrogradely-labeled neurons exhibited Fos-immunolabeling. Thus, 43%, 8% and 22% of neurons retrogradely labeled from thalamic injections exhibited Fos-immunoreactivity following intradermal cheek injections of histamine, chloroquine or capsaicin, respectively (Fig. 7B). For trigeminoparabrachial projection neurons, 36%, 29% and 33% exhibited Fos-immunoreactivity following intradermal injections of histamine, chloroquine or capsaicin, respectively (Fig. 7D).

Discussion

Methodological considerations

In the present study, we employed a double-label strategy involving retrograde labeling and chemically-evoked Fos-immunoreactivity, to assess the fraction of pruritogen- and capsaicin-sensitive neurons that project to thalamus or parabrachial nuclei. A number of methodological issues require discussion in order to assess the accuracy of the present findings.

An important issue regards the selectivity of the FG injections made presently to investigate trigeminothalamic and trigeminoparabrachial projection neurons. Some of our injections spread beyond the intended ventrobasal thalamic and parabrachial targets, and may have resulted in retrograde labeling of neurons projection to non-targeted sites. Our intention was to make fairly large FG injections to label as many trigeminothalamic and trigeminoparabrachial neurons as possible. Several thalamic injections spread caudally to involve the superior colliculus (Fig. 2). Spinotectal projections arise from neurons in lamina I at cervical segments, similar to spinothalamic projection neurons (Verburgh et al., 1990). The latter authors suggest that some spinotectal projections may have had collateral projections to thalamus, with limited support from a double-label study (Liu, 1986) reporting spinothalamic neurons with collaterals to deep layers of the superior colliculus and adjacent periaqueductal gray (PAG). Some of the present thalamic injections encroached on the dorsal mesencephalic reticular formation and rostral lateral PAG (Fig. 2). There are several reports of collaterals of spinothalamic neurons to the PAG (Harmann et al., 1988; Zhang et al., 1990; Al-Khatar & Todd, 2009), with a recent estimate that one-third to onehalf of spinothalamic neurons have collaterals to PAG (Al-Khatar & Todd, 2009). Moreover, upwards of 45% of spinothalamic neurons have collateral projections to the midbrain reticular formation (Kevetter & Willis, 1983; Bice & Beal, 1997). It is thus possible that

with some of the larger thalamic injections in the present study, trigmenotectal, trigemino-PAG and trigeminoreticular neurons were retrogradely labeled and misidentified as trigeminothalamic neurons. However, the number of such misidentified neurons in our study will be substantially reduced when considering that many of them likely had collateral projections to the thalamus and can thus be considered trigeminothalamic.

Some of the present injections intended to target the parabrachial nuclei encroached on the PAG (Fig. 4). It has been reported that 85–100% of spinothalamic neurons have collaterals to the parabrachial nucleus in rats (Hylden et al., 1989; Al Khatar & Todd, 2009), with many of the projection neurons being located in lamina I as presently observed in mice. Furthermore, as noted above, upwards of 50% of spinothalamic and spinoparabrachial projection neurons have collaterals to PAG (Al-Khatar & Todd, 2009) suggesting that many spinal neurons project to all three structures (thalamus, parabrachial nuclei and PAG). Thus, in the present study the thalamic and parabrachial injections likely labeled neurons projecting to both structures. Some trigeminotectal, trigemino-PAG and trigeminoreticular neurons may have been retrogradely labeled by the larger FG injections, but many of them are likely to have had collateral projections to parabrachial nuclei and/or thalamus thus reducing this source of error.

Another issue is that FG may be taken up by fibers passing through the injection site to retrogradely label non-targeted projection neurons (Dado et al., 1990). Given that essentially 100% of trigeminothalamic tract neurons also have collaterals to the parabrachial nuclei (see previous paragraph), we believe that this issue is moot for trigeminothalamic fibers passing through the parabrachial injection site. It is more of a problem for spinohypothalamic and spinotelencephalic projection fibers (Burstein et al., 1990a; Cliffer et al., 1991) passing through the thalamic FG injection sites. Many spinohypothalamic tract neurons were located in lamina I as well as the intermediate or ventral horn at upper cervical levels (Burstein et al., 1990a). Most of the present double-labeled cells were in lamina I of the upper cervical spinal cord. It is thus possible that some of the latter were actually trigeminohypothalamic neurons that were misidentified as trigeminothalamic.

Fos-immunoreactivity is a generally accepted method to identify functionally activated neurons (Harris, 1998; Coggeshall, 2005), but is not without limitations. For example, it is uncertain if all neurons in the superficial dorsal horn are capable of expressing Fos following the chemonociceptive stimuli delivered presently, potentially underestimating the extent of functional activation. Coggeshall (2005) comments "Fos expression... is at present the best global marker for efficiently locating populations of neurons in the awake animal that respond to nociceptive input." Moreover, it is possible that the presence of FG might affect Fos expression (Franklin & Druhan, 2000). In this regard, the mean numbers of Fos-immunopositive cells per section following intradermal injections of histamine, chloroquine and capsaicin in the present study (Fig. 1F; \sim 40–50/section) are comparable to counts of Fos-immunopositive spinal neurons evoked by intradermal formalin in mice that did not receive intracranial FG injections (Merrill et al., 2006), suggesting that the presence of FG did not unduly reduce Fos expression. Conversely, immunohistochemical processing for Fos might have affected FG detection. However, we do not believe that washout of FG staining by the Fos staining procedure would have drastically reduced the number of FG-labeled

eled cells in our study are comparable with da

neurons, since the percentages of double-labeled cells in our study are comparable with data obtained using a completely different electrophysiological approach (Moser & GIesler, 2014; Jansen & Giesler, 2015), as discussed further below.

A related issue is that our use of pentobarbital anesthesia during the delivery of intradermal chemical stimuli may have reduced Fos expression. Indeed, pentobarbital was recently reported to reduce spinal Fos-immunoreactivity elicited by formalin in rats (Takasusuki et al., 2013). However, we previously observed that pentobarbital at doses sufficient to block motor reflexes did not inhibit responses of dorsal horn neurons to noxious stimuli (Carstens & Campbell, 1992). Moreover, there was no difference in the number of spinal neurons expressing Fos immunoreactivity elicited by formalin in propofol-anesthetized compared to unanesthetized mice (Merrrill et al., 2006). We previously assessed pruritogen-evoked Fos expression in the lumbar spinal cord of animals that were prevented from reaching experimental dry skin on the hindlimb by wearing an inverted Elizabethan collar, although we did not compare results between awake and anesthetized animals (Nojma et al., 2004). In conclusion, it is possible that our use of the general anesthetic agent, pentobarbital, may have suppressed Fos expression to a limited extent.

Ascending projections of pruritogen- and capsaicin-responsive neurons

In the present study, Fos-immunoreactive neurons were located over a 1-2 mm rostrocaudal area extending from the caudal medulla to upper cervical (C1-2) spinal cord. Fos-positive neurons were distributed mainly in the medial dorsal horn with a predominant distribution in superficial laminae. This nicely matches the distribution of sites in mouse caudal medulla and upper cervical dorsal horn at which microelectrode recordings were made of single neurons identified by their response to intradermal cheek microinjection of histamine, SLIGRL (agonist of PAR2 and MrgprC11) or allyl isothiocyanate (AITC) (Akiyama et al., 2010). This distribution is appropriately placed between the dorsomedial region of trigeminal subnucleus caudalis (Vc) and upper cervical spinal cord exhibiting Fosimmunoreactivity following noxious intraoral stimuli (Carstens et al., 1995) and more lateral and ventrolateral distributions of Fos-positive neurons following noxious intranasal (Anton et al., 1991) or ocular stimulation (Bereiter et al., 2002), respectively, as assessed in rats. The distribution of Fos-immunoreactivity was qualitatively, and generally also quantitatively, similar following intradermal cheek injections of histamine, chloroquine or capsaicin. The similar distributions of Fos-positive neurons elicited by different chemicals, as observed presently, is consistent with our previous electrophysiological data showing that a substantial fraction (one-third to one-half) of chloroquine-responsive spinal dorsal horn neurons also responded to capsaic or histamine, respectively (Akiyama et al., 2014). Similarly, approximately one-half of histamine-responsive Vc neurons responded to capsaicin (Akiyama et al., 2010), and two-thirds of histamine-responsive spinal dorsal horn neurons responded to capsaicin (Akiyama et. al., 2009); chloroquine was not tested in these latter studies.

We presently observed retrogradely labeled neurons to be located predominantly in the superficial dorsal horn at upper cervical (C1–2) and caudal medullary levels following FG injections in the lateral parabrachial nucleus or ventral posteromedial thalamus. This is

consistent with previous studies in mice (Davidson et al., 2010) and rats (Giesler et al., 1976; Burstein et al., 1990b; Menetrey & De Pommery, 1991; Kitamura et al., 1993; Hwang et al., 2003; Todd et al., 2005; Al-Khater et al., 2008, Al-Khater & Todd, 2009; Polgar et al., 2010).

The observation that only a minority of Fos-positive neurons were retrogradely labeled from the thalamus or parabrachial nuclei indicates that the vast majority of neurons activated by chemonociceptive and pruritic stimuli are not projection neurons, and presumably function as interneurons presynaptic to projection neurons, and/or participating in local segmental circuits. This is consistent with previous studies. In an older study, very few if any spinal interneurons retrogradely labeled transsynaptically by injection of pseudorabies virus into hindlimb biceps femoris or tail muscles were double-labeled by cholera toxin subunit B injected into the ventrobasal thalamus (Jasmin et al., 1997). It was suggested that few if any spinal premotor interneurons in segmental tail flick or paw withdrawal reflex pathways give rise to ascending projections. This is generally consistent with the present study showing that few (<5%) Fos-positive neurons projected rostrally. In a recent study, knockout mice lacking the testicular orphan nuclear receptor 4 (TR4) exhibited a dramatic loss of spinal excitatory interneurons expressing the gastrin releasing peptide receptor (GRPR) with a nearly complete preservation of ascending projection neurons (Wang et al., 2013). Despite the preserved ascending spinal projections, these mice displayed a dramatic reduction in scratching behavior elicited by different pruritogens, as well as formalin-evoked nocifensive behavior, underscoring the importance of spinal interneurons in these integrated behavioral responses. Presumably, the non-projecting, GRPR-expressing interneurons that are activated by pruritogens and algogens function together with ascending projection neurons in circuits giving rise to the "scratch reflex" or other nocifensive behaviors.

The present results further imply that most pruriceptive neurons encountered in neurophysiological single-unit recording studies are unlikely to be projection neurons. Methods such as antidromic stimulation are required to demonstrate that a spinal or trigeminal neuron gives rise to an ascending projection. Giesler and colleagues have used antidromic stimulation to identify ascending trigeminothalamic and trigeminoparabrachial projection neurons in rats and to characterize their responses to various chemical and physical stimuli. Of identified trigeminothalamic tract neuron, 27% exhibited an excitatory response to intradermal injection of serotonin, 9% responded to chloroquine, 28% responded to histamine, 22% responded to BAM8-22 (MrgprC11 agonist), and 27% responded to capsaicin (Moser & Giesler, 2014). We presently observed that 43% of ascending trigeminothalamic projection neurons exhibited Fos-expression elicited by intradermal histamine, 22% by capsaicin, and 8% by chloroquine. The latter two percentages fairly closely match the electrophysiological data of Moser & Giesler (2014). The higher percentage of histamine-sensitive trigeminothalamic neurons in mice vs. rats may be due to a species difference, with histamine being algogenic rather than pruritic in rats (Jinks & Carstens, 2002).

In a subsequent study of antidromically identified trigeminoparabrachial projection neurons in the rat, 62% responded to 5-HT, 30% to histamine, 35% to AITC and 50% to capsaicin (Jansen & Giesler, 2014). Curiously, 32% responded to chloroquine but with a decrease,

rather than increase, in firing rate. We presently observed that 36% of trigeminoparabrachial projection neurons exhibited Fos-immunoreactivity following intradermal injection of histamine, 29% following chloroquine, and 33% following capsaicin. These findings are in reasonably good accord with the electrophysiological data in rats (Jansen & Giesler, 2014), and support the idea that the double-labeled neurons observed presently are involved in transmitting chemociceptive including itch information to higher centers.

In comparison, 20% of antidromically identified monkey spinothalamic tract neurons responded to histamine and 13% responded to cowhage, with 2% responding to both (Davidson et al., 2012). These findings suggest that histaminergic and non-histaminergic itch may be transmitted by largely separate subpopulations of spinothalamic tract neurons. It is also noteworthy that all histamine- and cowhage-responsive monkey spinothalamic tract neurons additionally responded to capsaicin and/or mustard oil (Davidson et al., 2012) as did most pruritogen-sensitive spinal and trigeminal neurons in mice (see Akiyama & Carstens, 2013 for review). The separation of histaminergic and non-histaminergic itch-signaling pathways may be less pronounced in rodents, given that higher percentages of neurons respond to both histamine and non-histaminergic itch mediators such as chloroquine, serotonin and SLIGRL (Akiyama et al., 2009a, 2010, 2014). The broad tuning of mouse trigeminal and dorsal horn neurons to pruritogens and algogens may at least partly account for the similar distributions of Fos-immunoreactivity evoked by histamine, chloroquine and capsaicin observed in the present study.

In rats, the large majority (\sim 80%) of spinal neurons with ascending projections to the brainstem express the neurokinin-1 (NK-1) receptor (Todd et al., 2000, 2002) and respond to noxious thermal stimulation (Todd et al., 2005). We recently reported that 94% of upper cervical spinal neurons retrogradely labeled from the ventral posteromedial thalamus (same injection sites as shown in Fig. 2), and 89% of those retrogradely labeled from the parabrachial nuclei (same injections as in Fig. 3), exhibited immunofluorscence for the NK-1 receptor (Akiyama et al., 2015), supporting the earlier studies using rats. In comparison, only 24% of trigeminothalamic and 20% of trigeminoparabrachial projection neurons were double-labeled for GRPR (Akiyama et al., 2015). The importance of NK-1 receptor-expressing superficial dorsal horn neurons in itch is further supported by our previous study showing that neurotoxic ablation of such neurons significantly attenuated scratching behavior elicited by intradermal injection of serotonin in rats (Carstens et al., 2010). In contrast, a much smaller percentage of ascending projection neurons appeared to be contacted by primary afferents and/or interneurons that release GRP as a neuropeptide transmitter. The critical role of GRPR-expressing spinal neurons in itch is supported by reports that scratching behavior elicited by intradermal injection of a variety of different pruritogens is reduced or abolished in knockout mice lacking GRPR (Sun & Chen, 2007) or in mice with neurotoxic ablation of GRPR-expressing spinal neurons (Sun et al., 2009; Mishra & Hoon, 2013). Itch-related scratching behavior was also attenuated in mice lacking brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) in primary sensory neurons, as well as in mice with neurotoxic destruction of neurons expressing the BNP receptor, Npra (Mishra & Hoon, 2013). The latter authors suggested that BNP, rather than GRP, is released from primary afferent pruriceptors to excite GRPR-expressing spinal neurons, since intrathecal delivery of GRP still elicited scratching behavior after deletion of Npra-expressing neurons (Mishra &

Hoon, 2013), as well as recent genomic data (Goswami et al., 2014). The exact chemical anatomy of the spinal itch-signaling circuitry remains a topic of debate (Liu et al., 2014; Goswami et al., 2014). Our data suggest that GRPR-expressing neurons are upstream of NK1-receptor-expressing projection neurons that transmit itch signals to higher centers.

Acknowledgements

The study was funded by grants from the National Institutes of Health #AR057194, AR063228 and DE021183.

Role of authors: All authors had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Study concept and design: TA and E. Carstens. Acquisition of data: TA. E. Curtis, TN, MIC. Analysis and interpretation of data: TA, E. Carstens. Drafting of the manuscript: E. Carstens. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: E. Carstens, TA. Statistical analysis: TA, E. Carstens, E. Curtis, TN. Obtained funding: TA, E. Carstens. Administrative, technical, and material support: MIC. Study supervision: TA, E. Carstens, MIC.

Literature Cited

- Akiyama T, Carstens E. Neural processing of itch. Neuroscience. 2013; 250:697–714. [PubMed: 23891755]
- Akiyama T, Carstens MI, Carstens E. Excitation of mouse superficial dorsal horn neurons by histamine and/or PAR-2 agonist: potential role in itch. J Neurophysiol. 2009; 102(4):2176–2183. [PubMed: 19625538]
- Akiyama T, Carstens MI, Carstens E. Facial injections of pruritogens and algogens excite partly overlapping populations of primary and second-order trigeminal neurons in mice. J Neurophysiol. 2010; 104(5):2442–2450. [PubMed: 20739601]
- Akiyama T, Nguyen T, Curtis E, Nishida K, Devireddy J, Delahanty J, Carstens MI, Carstens E. A central role for spinal dorsal horn neurons that express neurokinin-1 receptors in chronic itch. Pain. 2015 Mar 31.
- Akiyama T, Merrill AW, Zanotto K, Carstens MI, Carstens E. Scratching behavior and Fos expression in superficial dorsal horn elicited by protease-activated receptor agonists and other itch mediators in mice. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2009; 329(3):945–951. [PubMed: 19293390]
- Akiyama T, Tominaga M, Takamori K, Carstens MI, Carstens E. Role of spinal bombesin-responsive neurons in nonhistaminergic itch. J Neurophysiol. 2014; 112(9):2283–2289. [PubMed: 25122701]
- Al-Khater KM, Kerr R, Todd AJ. A quantitative study of spinothalamic neurons in laminae I, III, and IV in lumbar and cervical segments of the rat spinal cord. J Comp Neurol. 2008; 511(1):1–18. [PubMed: 18720412]
- Al-Khater KM, Todd AJ. Collateral projections of neurons in laminae I, III, and IV of rat spinal cord to thalamus, periaqueductal gray matter, and lateral parabrachial area. J Comp Neurol. 2009; 515(6): 629–646. [PubMed: 19496168]
- Andrew D, Craig AD. Spinothalamic lamina I neurons selectively sensitive to histamine: a central neural pathway for itch. Nat Neurosci. 2001; 4(1):72–77. [PubMed: 11135647]
- Anton F, Peppel P, Euchner I, Handwerker HO. Controlled noxious chemical stimulation: responses of rat trigeminal brainstem neurones to CO2 pulses applied to the nasal mucosa. Neurosci Lett. 1991; 123(2):208–211. [PubMed: 1902923]
- Bereiter DA, Bereiter DF, Hirata H. Topical cannabinoid agonist, WIN55, 212–2, reduces corneaevoked trigeminal brainstem activity in the rat. Pain. 2002; 99(3):547–556. [PubMed: 12406531]
- Bester H, Chapman V, Besson JM, Bernard JF. Physiological properties of the lamina I spinoparabrachial neurons in the rat. J Neurophysiol. 2000; 83(4):2239–2259. [PubMed: 10758132]
- Bice TN, Beal JA. Quantitative and neurogenic analysis of neurons with supraspinal projections in the superficial dorsal horn of the rat lumbar spinal cord. J Comp Neurol. 1997; 388(4):565–574. [PubMed: 9388016]

- Bickford RG. Experiments relating to the itch sensation, its peripheral mechanism and central pathways. Clin Sci. 1938; 3:377–386.
- Burstein R, Cliffer KD, Giesler GJ Jr. Cells of origin of the spinohypothalamic tract in the rat. J Comp Neurol. 1990a; 291(3):329–344. [PubMed: 2298937]
- Burstein R, Dado RJ, Giesler GJ Jr. The cells of origin of the spinothalamic tract of the rat: a quantitative reexamination. Brain Res. 1990b; 511(2):329–337. [PubMed: 2334851]
- Carstens E, Campell IG. Responses of motor units during the hind limb flexion withdrawal reflex evoked by noxious skin heating: phasic and prolonged suppression by midbrain stimulation and comparison with simultaneously recorded dorsal horn units. Pain. 1992; 48(2):2152–2126.
- Carstens EE, Carstens MI, Simons CT, Jinks SL. Dorsal horn neurons expressing NK-1 receptors mediate scratching in rats. Neuroreport. 2010; 21(4):303–308. [PubMed: 20125052]
- Carstens E, Curtis E, Nguyen T, Iodi Carstens M, Akiyama T. Anatomical evidence of pruriceptive trigeminothalamic and trigeminoparabrachial tract neurons in mice. Society for Neuroscience abstracts online, program #826. 2014
- Carstens E, Saxe I, Ralph R. Brainstem neurons expressing c-Fos immunoreactivity following irritant chemical stimulation of the rat's tongue. Neuroscience. 1995; 69(3):939–953. [PubMed: 8596661]
- Cliffer KD, Burstein R, Giesler GJ Jr. Distributions of spinothalamic, spinohypothalamic, and spinotelencephalic fibers revealed by anterograde transport of PHA-L in rats. J Neurosci. 1991; 11(3):852–868. [PubMed: 1705972]
- Coggeshall RE. Fos, nociception and the dorsal horn. Prog Neurobiol. 2005; 77(5):299–352. [PubMed: 16356622]
- Dado RJ, Burstein R, Cliffer KD, Giesler GJ Jr. Evidence that Fluoro-Gold can be transported avidly through fibers of passage. Brain Res. 1990; 533(2):329–333. [PubMed: 1705157]
- Davidson, S.; Moser, H.; Giesler, G. Ascending Pathways for Itch. In: Carstens, E.; Akiyama, T., editors. Itch: Mechanisms and Treatment. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2014. Chapter 22
- Davidson S, Truong H, Giesler GJ Jr. Quantitative analysis of spinothalamic tract neurons in adult and developing mouse. J Comp Neurol. 2010; 518(16):3193–3204. [PubMed: 20575056]
- Davidson S, Zhang X, Khasabov SG, Moser HR, Honda CN, Simone DA, Giesler GJ Jr. Pruriceptive spinothalamic tract neurons: physiological properties and projection targets in the primate. J Neurophysiol. 2012; 108(6):1711–1723. [PubMed: 22723676]
- Davidson S, Zhang X, Yoon CH, Khasabov SG, Simone DA, Giesler GJ Jr. The itch-producing agents histamine and cowhage activate separate populations of primate spinothalamic tract neurons. J Neurosci. 2007; 27(37):10007–10014. [PubMed: 17855615]
- Franklin TR, Druhan JP. The retrograde tracer fluoro-gold interferes with the expression of fos-related antigens. J Neurosci Meth. 2000; 98(1):1–8.
- Giesler GJ, Menétrey D, Guilbaud G, Besson JM. Lumbar cord neurons at the origin of the spinothalamic tract in the rat. Brain Res. 1976; 118(2):320–324. [PubMed: 1000295]
- Goswami SC, Thierry-Mieg D, Thierry-Mieg J, Mishra S, Hoon MA, Mannes AJ, Iadarola MJ. Itchassociated peptides: RNA-Seq and bioinformatic analysis of natriuretic precursor peptide B and gastrin releasing peptide in dorsal root and trigeminal ganglia, and the spinal cord. Mol Pain. 2014; 10:44. [PubMed: 25123163]
- Han L, Ma C, Liu Q, Weng HJ, Cui Y, Tang Z, Kim Y, Nie H, Qu L, Patel KN, Li Z, McNeil B, He S, Guan Y, Xiao B, Lamotte RH, Dong X. A subpopulation of nociceptors specifically linked to itch. Nat Neurosci. 2013; 16(2):174–182. [PubMed: 23263443]
- Harmann PA, Carlton SM, Willis WD. Collaterals of spinothalamic tract cells to the periaqueductal gray: a fluorescent double-labeling study in the rat. Brain Res. 1988; 441(1–2):87–97. [PubMed: 3359245]
- Harris JA. Using c-fos as a neural marker of pain. Brain Res Bull. 1998; 45(1):1–8. [PubMed: 9434195]
- Hylden JL, Anton F, Nahin RL. Spinal lamina I projection neurons in the rat: collateral innervation of parabrachial area and thalamus. Neuroscience. 1989; 28(1):27–37. [PubMed: 2548118]
- Hyndman OR, Wolkin J. Anterior cordotomy: further observations on the physiologic results and optimum manner of performance. Arch Neurol Psychiat. 1943; 50:129–148.

- Hwang SJ, Burette A, Valtschanoff JG. VR1-positive primary afferents contact NK1-positive spinoparabrachial neurons. J Comp Neurol. 2003; 460(2):255–265. [PubMed: 12687689]
- Jansen NA, Giesler GJ Jr. Response characteristics of pruriceptive and nociceptive trigeminoparabrachial tract neurons in the rat. J Neurophysiol. 2014 Oct 8.
- Jasmin L, Carstens E, Basbaum AI. Interneurons presynaptic to rat tail-flick motoneurons as mapped by transneuronal transport of pseudorabies virus: few have long ascending collaterals. Neuroscience. 1997; 76(3):859–876. [PubMed: 9135057]
- Jinks SL, Carstens E. Responses of superficial dorsal horn neurons to intradermal serotonin and other irritants: comparison with scratching behavior. J Neurophysiol. 2002; 87(3):1280–1289. [PubMed: 11877502]
- Jinks SL, Simons CT, Dessirier JM, Carstens MI, Antognini JF, Carstens E. C-fos induction in rat superficial dorsal horn following cutaneous application of noxious chemical or mechanical stimuli. Exp Brain Res. 2002; 145(2):261–269. [PubMed: 12110967]
- Kevetter GA, Willis WD. Collaterals of spinothalamic cells in the rat. J Comp Neurol. 1983; 215(4): 453–464. [PubMed: 6863593]
- Kevetter GA, Willis WD. Spinothalamic cells in the rat lumbar cord with collaterals to the medullary reticular formation. Brain Res. 1982; 238(1):181–185. [PubMed: 7083013]
- Kitamura T, Yamada J, Sato H, Yamashita K. Cells of origin of the spinoparabrachial fibers in the rat: a study with fast blue and WGA-HRP. J Comp Neurol. 1993; 328(3):449–461. [PubMed: 8440790]
- Klein A, Carstens MI, Carstens E. Facial injections of pruritogens or algogens elicit distinct behavior responses in rats and excite overlapping populations of primary sensory and trigeminal subnucleus caudalis neurons. J Neurophysiol. 2011; 106(3):1078–1088. [PubMed: 21653727]
- Kremer AE, Feramisco J, Reeh PW, Beuers U, Oude Elferink RP. Receptors, cells and circuits involved in pruritus of systemic disorders. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2014; 21842(7):869–892. [PubMed: 24568861]
- LaMotte RH, Dong X, Ringkamp M. Sensory neurons and circuits mediating itch. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2014; 15(1):19–31. [PubMed: 24356071]
- Liu Q, Tang Z, Surdenikova L, Kim S, Patel KN, Kim A, Ru F, Guan Y, Weng HJ, Geng Y, Undem BJ, Kollarik M, Chen ZF, Anderson DJ, Dong X. Sensory neuron-specific GPCR Mrgprs are itch receptors mediating chloroquine-induced pruritus. Cell. 2009; 139(7):1353–1365. [PubMed: 20004959]
- Liu RPC. Laminar origins of spinal projection neurons to the periaqueductal gray of the rag. Brain Res. 1983; 264:118–122. [PubMed: 6189550]
- Liu RPC. Spinal neuronal collaterals to the intralaminar thalamic nuclei and periaqueductal gray. Brain Res. 1986; 365:145–150. [PubMed: 3947980]
- Liu XY, Wan L, Huo FQ, Barry DM, Li H, Zhao ZQ, Chen ZF. B-type natriuretic peptide is neither itch-specific nor functions upstream of the GRP-GRPR signaling pathway. Mol Pain. 2014; 10(1):
 4. [PubMed: 24438367]
- Menétrey D, De Pommery J. Origins of Spinal Ascending Pathways that Reach Central Areas Involved in Visceroception and Visceronociception in the Rat. Eur J Neurosci. 1991; 3(3):249–259. [PubMed: 12106203]
- Merrill AW, Barter LS, Rudolph U, Eger EI 2nd, Antognini JF, Carstens MI, Carstens E. Propofol's effects on nociceptive behavior and spinal c-fos expression after intraplantar formalin injection in mice with a mutation in the gamma-aminobutyric acid-type(A) receptor beta3 subunit. Anesth Analg. 2006; 103(2):478–483. [PubMed: 16861438]
- Mishra SK, Hoon MA. The cells and circuitry for itch responses in mice. Science. 2013; 340(6135): 968–971. [PubMed: 23704570]
- Moser HR, Giesler GJ Jr. Characterization of pruriceptive trigeminothalamic tract neurons in rats. J Neurophysiol. 2014; 111(8):1574–1589. [PubMed: 24478156]
- Nakano T, Andoh T, Lee JB, Kuraishi Y. Different dorsal horn neurons responding to histamine and allergic itch stimuli. Neuroreport. 2008; 19(7):723–726. [PubMed: 18418246]
- Nojima H, Simons CT, Cuellar JM, Carstens MI, Moore JA, Carstens E. Opioid modulation of scratching and spinal c-fos expression evoked by intradermal serotonin. J Neurosci. 2003; 23(34): 10784–10790. [PubMed: 14645470]

- Nojima H, Cuellar JM, Simons CT, Iodi Carstens M, Carstens E. Spinal *c-fos* expression associated with spontaneous biting in a mouse model of dry skin pruritus. Neurosci Lett. 2004; 361:79–82. [PubMed: 15135898]
- Polgár E, Wright LL, Todd AJ. A quantitative study of brainstem projections from lamina I neurons in the cervical and lumbar enlargement of the rat. Brain Res. 2010; 1308:58–67. [PubMed: 19854164]
- Reddy VB, Iuga AO, Shimada SG, LaMotte RH, Lerner EA. Cowhage-evoked itch is mediated by a novel cysteine protease: a ligand of protease-activated receptors. J Neurosci. 2008; 28(17):4331– 4335. [PubMed: 18434511]
- Shimada SG, LaMotte RH. Behavioral differentiation between itch and pain in mouse. Pain. 2008; 139(3):681–687. [PubMed: 18789837]
- Simone DA, Zhang X, Li J, Zhang JM, Honda CN, LaMotte RH, Giesler GJ Jr. Comparison of responses of primate spinothalamic tract neurons to pruritic and algogenic stimuli. J Neurophysiol. 2004; 91(1):213–222. [PubMed: 14715718]
- Spradley JM, Davoodi A, Carstens MI, Carstens E. Opioid modulation of facial itch- and pain-related responses and grooming behavior in rats. Acta Derm Venereol. 2012; 92(5):515–520. [PubMed: 22513524]
- Sun YG, Chen ZF. A gastrin-releasing peptide receptor mediates the itch sensation in the spinal cord. Nature. 2007; 448(7154):700–703. [PubMed: 17653196]
- Sun YG, Zhao ZQ, Meng XL, Yin J, Liu XY, Chen ZF. Cellular basis of itch sensation. Science. 2009; 325(5947):1531–1534. [PubMed: 19661382]
- Takasusuki T, Yamaguchi S, Hamaguchi S, Yaksh TL. Effects of general anesthetics on substance P release and c-Fos expression in the spinal dorsal horn. Anesthesiology. 2013; 119(2):433–442. [PubMed: 23708866]
- Todd AJ, McGill MM, Shehab SA. Neurokinin 1 receptor expression by neurons in laminae I, III and IV of the rat spinal dorsal horn that project to the brainstem. Eur J Neurosci. 2000; 12(2):689–700. [PubMed: 10712649]
- Todd AJ, Puskar Z, Spike RC, Hughes C, Watt C, Forrest L. Projection neurons in lamina I of rat spinal cord with the neurokinin 1 receptor are selectively innervated by substance p-containing afferents and respond to noxious stimulation. J Neurosci. 2002; 22(10):4103–4113. [PubMed: 12019329]
- Todd AJ, Spike RC, Young S, Puskár Z. Fos induction in lamina I projection neurons in response to noxious thermal stimuli. Neuroscience. 2005; 131(1):209–217. [PubMed: 15680704]
- Tominaga M, Takamori K. An update on peripheral mechanisms and treatments of itch. Biol Pharm Bull. 2013; 36(8):1241–1247. [PubMed: 23902967]
- Trevino DL, Coulter JD, Willis WD. Location of cells of origin of spinothalamic tract in lumbar enlargement of the monkey. J Neurophysiol. 1973; 36(4):750–761. [PubMed: 4197341]
- Verburgh CA, Voogd J, Kuypers HG, Stevens HP. Propriospinal neurons with ascending collaterals to the dorsal medulla, the thalamus and the tectum: a retrograde fluorescent double-labeling study of the cervical cord of the rat. Exp Brain Res. 1990; 80(3):577–590. [PubMed: 2387355]
- Wang X, Zhang J, Eberhart D, Urban R, Meda K, Solorzano C, Yamanaka H, Rice D, Basbaum AI. Excitatory superficial dorsal horn interneurons are functionally heterogeneous and required for the full behavioral expression of pain and itch. Neuron. 2013; 78(2):312–324. [PubMed: 23622066]
- Wei JY, Tuckett RP. Response of cat ventrolateral spinal axons to an itch-producing stimulus (cowhage). Somatosens Mot Res. 1991; 8(3):227–239. [PubMed: 1662851]
- White JC, Sweet WH, Hawkins R, Nilges RG. Anterolateral cordotomy: Results, complications and causes of failure. Brain. 1950; 73:346–367. [PubMed: 14812051]
- Yao GL, Tohyama M, Senba E. Histamine-caused itch induces Fos-like immunoreactivity in dorsal horn neurons: effect of morphine pretreatment. Brain Res. 1992; 599(2):333–337. [PubMed: 1291036]
- Zhang DX, Carlton SM, Sorkin LS, Willis WD. Collaterals of primate spinothalamic tract neurons to the periaqueductal gray. J Comp Neurol. 1990; 296(2):277–290. [PubMed: 2358537]



Fig. 1.

Fos-immunoreactivity following histamine, chloroquine, and capsaicin. A: Saline control. Photomicrograph of C2 spinal cord section shows absence of Fos-immunofluorescence following id injection of isotonic saline in the ipsilateral cheek. B: 7% Tween80 cheek injection. Note absence of Fos immunofluorscence. C. Histamine (50 μ g) injected id in the cheek resulted in a large number of Fos-immunoreactive neurons in the superficial dorsal horn. D. Chloroquine (100 μ g) also resulted in considerable Fos-immunoreactivity. E. Capsaicin (30 μ g). F: Average counts of c-fos-immunoreactive cells per section, following intradermal cheek microinjection of each indicated chemical. *: significantly different compared to saline or Tween-80 vehicle groups (p<0.05, ANOVA with post-hoc Bonferroni test).



Fig. 2.

Thalamic FG injection sites. Columns show sections aligned from rostral to caudal for separate experiments. Gray shading indicates extent of FG fluorescence. Abbreviations: CM, centrum medianum, Cnf, cuneiform n.; CP, cerebral peduncle; GP, globus pallidus; IC, internal capsule; ICP, inf. cerebellar peduncle; F, fornix; KF, Koelliker-Fuse n.; LC, locus coeruleus; LPB, lat. parabrachial n.; MCP, middle cerebral peduncle; MG, medial geniculate n.; ML, medial lemniscus; MPB, medial parabrachial n.; MT, mamillothalamic tract; OT, optic tract; PAG, periaqueductal gray; Po, posterior thalamus, Ptg, pedunculopontine tegmentum; Pyr, pyramid; Rt, reticular n.; Scp, superior cerebellar peduncle; SN, substantia nigra; Vc, trigeminal subnuc. caudalis; VPL, ventroposterolateral n., VPM, ventroposteromedial n.; ZI, zona incerta; 7n, seventh nerve.



Fig. 3.

Example of thalamic injection site. Photomicrograph (40 x) of section at level of ventrobasal thalamus, showing FG (bright area) and necrotic core of injection site (dashed line).



Fig. 4. Parabrachial injections sites (format and abbreviations as in Fig. 2).



Fig. 5.

Examples of neurons in lower brainstem and upper cervical spinal cord retrogradely labeled with FG, imunopositive for Fos, or both (double-labeled). A. Example showing FG retrogradely-labeled neurons (blue), Fos-immunopositive neurons (green) and double-labeled neurons (red) on tracing of upper cervical spinal cord section. Mouse received FG injection in right thalamus (S10M3 in Fig. 2) and intradermal injection of histamine in left cheek. B. Thalamic injection of FG (S7M1, Fig. 2) and intradermal injection of chloroquine (format as in A). C. Thalamic injection of FG (S12M1, Fig. 2) and intradermal injection of

capsaicin (format as in A). D. LPB injection of FG (S8M1, Fig. 3) and intradermal injection of histamine (format as in A). E. LPB injection of FG (S13M4, Fig. 3) and intradermal injection of chloroquine (format as in A). F. LPB injection of FG (S6M2) and intradermal injection of capsaicin (format as in A).



Fig. 6.

Example of double-labeled neuron. Left panel shows Fos-immunoreactive neurons (FITC). Middle panel shows one FG-labeled neuron. Right panel shows merged images, with the double-labeled neuron exhibiting a teal hue.

Akiyama et al.



Fig. 7.

Percentages of double-labeled neurons. A. % of neurons exhibiting Fos immunoreactivity evoked by intradermal histamine (\Box), chloroquine (\blacksquare) or capsaicin (\boxtimes) that were retrogradely double-labeled with FG injected in thalamus. Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of double-labeled neurons divided by the total number of Fos-immunoreactive neurons in each treatment group. B. % of neurons retrogradely labeled from thalamus that were double-labeled for Fos immunoreactivity evoked by histamine (\Box), chloroquine (\blacksquare) or capsaicin (\boxtimes). C, D: as in A, B for neurons retrogradely labeled with FG injected in LPB. Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of FG-labeled neurons divided by the total number of FG-labeled neurons in each treatment group.

-

Table 1

Counts of c-fos-immunoreactive cells following intradermal cheek injections of histamine, chloroquine or capsaicin, and their distribution in medial and superficial dorsal horn and along the rostro-caudal extent of the caudal brainstem and upper cervical spinal cord.

	Mean # Fos positive (± SEM, all sections)	Medial dorsal horn (%)	Superficial dorsal horn (%)	Rostro-caudal distribution (mm)
Histamine	$266 \pm 27 \; (187 353)$	78 ± 6 (59–97)	84 ± 4 (73–96)	$2.03 \pm 0.22 \; (1.29 2.61)$
Chloroquine	$197 \pm 36 \ (79 323)$	56 ± 9 (24–87)	93 ± 3 (84–99)	$1.19 \pm 0.16 \; (0.69 1.77)$
Capsaicin	$304 \pm 45 \; (156 - 487)$	74 ± 4 (62–89)	90 ± 4 (80–100)	$1.59 \pm 0.33 \; (0.81 2.61)$