UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Interpreting nonsignificant findings in psychological research

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8d8620sv

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 39(0)

Authors

Palfi, Bence Szollosi, Aba Szaszi, Barnabas <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2017

Peer reviewed

Interpreting nonsignificant findings in psychological research

Bence Palfi University of Sussex, Falmer, United King

> Aba Szollosi University of New South Wales

Barnabas Szaszi

Eotvos Lorand University

Marton Kovacs

Eotvos Lorand University

Mark Zrubka

Eotvos Lorand University

Peter Szecsi Eotvos Lorand University

Balazs Aczel Eotvos Lorand University

Eric-Jan Wagenmakers

University of Amsterdam

Abstract: In this study, we examined the current practice and alternative methods for interpreting nonsignificant findings in psychological research. The traditional null-hypothesis testing presents a challenge for researchers to interpret nonsignificant findings. We reviewed the abstracts of all empirical articles published in three high-esteem psychological journals in 2015 and selected those which referred to a nonsignificant result (N=134).We found that the majority of the statements interpreted the results only within the sample, yet in 23% the authors inferred from the results to the absence of an effect. Bayes factor analyses on these statistics indicated that the support of these results for the null-hypothesis is strong only in 4%, moderate in 70% and anecdotal in 26%. The results revealed that Bayes factor analysis can help researchers in interpreting nonsignificant results and also highlight that psychological studies with traditional sample sizes are unlikely to present strong evidence for the null-hypothesis.