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Abstract:
A comparison of experimental and celculated magnetic moments of odd-A
spheroidal nuclet gives strong evidence that there is a reduction of the magnitude of the effective $g_{g}$ factors by $\sim 1.5$ units below the magnitudes for free nucleons. The seriousness of Coriolis interaction effects on $\mu$ for one class of nuclei is also illustrated.

# COMCERNIMG TGE MACNETIC MOMANIS OF DEFORMED NUCLEI* 

John O. Resmussen and Lung-wen Chiso<br>Lawrence Radiation Laboratory<br>and<br>Department of Chemistry<br>University of California, Berkeley, California

Mottelson and Nilsson have given a compilation of calculated and experimental magnetic moments of the odd-mass deformed muclei. ${ }^{1}$ We bave examined the diaggreements therein with respect to two principal questions: First, is there evidence that $g_{s}$ factors "quenched" or reduced in magaitude are more appropriate than the free mucleon values traditionaily assumed for such calculations? second, are there significant deviations to be asbociated with configuration admixture of weve function components with differing K (angular momentum projection) quantum numbers?

That class of nuclei having particularly large $f$ values for the odd nucleon will be expecially susceptible to appreciable Coriolis mixing of different K-values. ${ }^{2,3}$ Somewhat arbitrarily we shall single out in this class states of odd parity in the $50-82$ sheil ( $h_{11 / 2}$ ), even parity in the $82-126$ shell ( $1_{13 / 2}$ ), and odd parity in the $>126$ shell $\left(J_{15 / 2}\right)$. We list in Table I those nuclei not felling into the above class, and heace expected to be less subject to magnetic moment corrections arising from Coriolis effects. Also excluded are $I=\frac{1}{2}$ nuclei, which need a special analysis. The nuclei are grouped in the Table according to the asymptotic quantum number $\Sigma$ (projection of intrinsic apin) in order best to display the contributions of the intrinsic spin to the manetic monent calculations; 1.e. $\left.\langle\underline{s})^{\prime}\right\rangle$ is positive or negetive accordingly as $\Sigma$ is positive or negative.

We note that the deviation are of the same sign within each group. In the final column we calculate the $g_{g}$ value that would be required to give exact agreement with the experimental moment. For the calculations we used Nilsson's wave functions, ${ }^{1,4}$ at a deformation of $\delta=0.3$ and assumed somewhat arbitrarily a $g_{R}$ of $z / A$ for odd-Z and $a g_{R}$ of $z / 2 A$ for odd-N.
*This work was carried aut under the aucpices of the U.6. Atomic Energy Comission.

## TABLE I


a. This is the $g_{g}$ value required to give exact agreement with the experimental magnetic moment using Nilsson's wave functions at $8=0.3$ and assuming for odd-2 that $g_{R}=2 / A$ and for odd-N that $E_{R}=2 / 2 A$.
b. These $\mu$ values determined by parsmagnetic resonance are probably too small in mafnitude because $\left\langle r^{-3}\right\rangle$ values used were too large according to calculations by B. R. Judd and I.P.K. Lindgren (University of Califormia, Lawrence Radiation Iaboratory Report 9188, Nay 1960, unpublished).

The proton data of Table I etrongly suggest that the effective $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{s}}$ factor for protons in nuclear metter is roughly $\sim 4$ instead of the free value 5.585 customarily used. Such a change would brigg the proton values of table I into remarkably good asreement.

The neutron data are fewer and scatter more. This scatter is perhaps a reflection of the relatively greater Coriolis admixture in the neutron cases, or it may reflect an inadequacy of the Milsson weve functions themselves. The sense of each deviation does, however, indicate that the magnitude of the effective $g_{B}$ factor for the neutron in nuclear matter is less than the free value ( $\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) $=3.8 \mathrm{B6}$ ) used in the calculations. The fact that the $\Sigma=+1 / 2$ peutron group deviates less than the $\Sigma=-1 / 2$ group suggeste that the effective $g_{R}$ value for the odd neutron nuclei should be reduced below the $Z / A$ value.

At first thought the reduction of the collective motion $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{R}}$ factor for odd- $\mathbb{N}$ and not for odd-Z nuclei seems unsymmetricel and unnstural. We would point out that $E_{\mathrm{g}}$ values determined for even-even nucled in the rare earth region show values averaging less than $Z / A$. Thus the effective $f_{R}$ for odd-Z nuclei may be scmewhat larger than for neighboring even-even nuclei, and the $g_{R}$ for odd-N nuclei, somewhat maller. A rationsile for such a En shift may be provided by considerations of the pairing correlations. that is, if we add an odd neutron to a deformed even-even nucleus we block one orbital from the neutron fairing correlation, reducing the effective energy gap for neutrone and altering the population of neutron orbitals by pairs in such a may to increase the share of rotational anguiar momentum carried by the neutron pairs, relative to proton pairs. Such a change would reduce $g_{R}$. The same argument for odd-Z nuclei would give an increased g, Bernotein and deBoer have made an analybis of magnetic properties of defomed nuclei and find evidence for $e_{R}$ being lower than $2 / A$ for both odd-Z and odd-N but lowest in the odd $N$. ${ }^{2}$.

Magnetic moment calculations for odd-oad deformed nuciei such as Eu ${ }^{154}$, 160 Tb , and $L^{176}$ have shown rather setisfactory agreement. ${ }^{6}$ It should be pointed out that these nuclei, and indeed most of the ground states of odd-oad nuclei, Obey the Gallagher-MOszkowaki coupling rule, ${ }^{7}$ that the intrinsic spins of proton and neutron are parallel. In thls state the proposed reductions of $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{s}}$ for proton and neutron will nearly cancel. Those odd-odd nuclear states with proton and neutron spins anti-parallel, such as the long-lived isomer in $H^{166}$, should show magnetic moments shifted from calculations using free-space $g_{g}$ values.

The analysis of monents of the odd-A nuclei with larger Coriolis admixtures needs to take into account important odd-dtagonal contributions to the magnetic moment. For example, the calculations ${ }^{8}$ of Marshall fitting the even parity levels of $\mathrm{Pa}^{233}$ give a weve Punction for the 87 kev level ( $I=5 / 2+$ ) of $\psi=0.94 \mid K=5 / 2$ ) $+0.34 ;|K=3 / 2\rangle+.09|K=1 / 2\rangle$. The principal effect of this $K$ edmixture on the magnetic moment comes from an off-diagonal contribution between $K=5 / 2$ and $3 / 2$ components. This contribution we estimate as about +1.1 nm . The colculated moment for the pure $K=5 / 2$ state at defomation $8=0.2$ usins Nilsson's wave functions and $\delta_{s}=4$ is +2.6 mm . Taking into account all components we predict a magnetic moment of 3.8 nm . for the $87-k e v$ gtate in $P_{a}^{233}$. This state has a half-life of 37 millimicro seconds, and its magnetic moment may be measurable by external field attenuation of $\alpha a r$ angular correlation with $\mathrm{Mp}^{237}$. As another example the coriolis mixing is not expected to be as large in the $5 / 2+$ ground state of $\mathrm{Np}^{237}$, perhaps a $3 / 2 \sim 0.1$, leading to a calculated magnetic moment of around +3.0 mm . It will be noted that our theoretical moment is the same as that of Mottelson and Nisson, ${ }^{10}$ the effects of lowered $g_{\mathrm{S}}$ and Coriolis mixing exactly cancelling each other. Experimental neasurements are $+6 \pm 2.5 \mathrm{~nm} .^{9}$ and $\mu=2.70 \mathrm{~nm} .10$
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