Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

SPECTRUM AND EIGENFUNCTIONS FOR A HAM-ILTONIAN WITH STOCHASTIC TRAJECTORIES

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8df912td>

Author

Mcdonald, S.W.

Publication Date

1979-02-01

SPECTRUM AND EIGENFUNCTIONS FOR A HAMILTONIAN
WITH STOCHASTIC TRAJECTORIES

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

Steven W. McDonald and Allan N. Kaufman

APR 11 1979

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

February 1979

Prepared for the U. S. Department of Energy
under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 6782*

LBL-8587 c. 2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

SPECTRUM AND EIGENFUNCTIONS FOR A HAMILTONIAN
WITH STOCHASTIC TRAJECTORIES*

Steven W. McDonald and Allan N. Kaufman

Physics Department and
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

Abstract

Quantum stochasticity (the nature of wave functions and eigenvalues when the short-wave-limit Hamiltonian has stochastic trajectories) is studied for the two-dimensional Helmholtz equation with "stadium" boundary. The eigenvalue separations have a Wigner distribution (characteristic of a random Hamiltonian), in contrast to the clustering found for a separable equation. The eigenfunctions exhibit a random pattern for the nodal curves, with isotropic distribution of local wave-vectors.

*This work was supported by the Fusion Energy Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract No. W-7405-ENG-48.

The current interest¹ in classical systems whose Hamiltonians have stochastic trajectories leads naturally to the question of how this stochasticity manifests itself in the corresponding quantum system. In a broader context, one may inquire into the nature of the solutions of wave equations (arising, e.g., in plasma physics, optics, acoustics, oceanography) whose ray trajectories (WKB solution, geometric optics) are stochastic.²

Studies in this area have considered either time-dependent Hamiltonians with one degree of freedom,³⁻⁵ or time-independent Hamiltonians with two degrees of freedom. In the latter case, the work of Percival⁶ and Pomphrey⁷ indicates that the eigenvalues are sensitive to parameter variation, while Berry⁸⁻¹⁰ and Tabor¹⁰ and Zaslavskii¹³ predict the following:

(1) The distribution of successive eigenvalue spacings is peaked about a finite value, as it is for a random matrix¹¹, rather than having its maximum at zero separation, which represents the clustering of eigenvalues characteristic of integrable Hamiltonians¹⁰.

(2) The coarse-grained Wigner function (or local Fourier transform) for an eigenfunction is isotropic^{8,9} in $\vec{k}$ -space for any position in $\vec{x}$ -space, in contrast to the ordered anisotropy characterizing an integrable Hamiltonian^{8,12}.

In this Letter we report our test of these two predictions. For the Hamiltonian to be studied, we choose a free particle (in two dimensions) confined in a stadium (or racetrack) boundary (see Fig. 1). This system is particularly simple classically¹⁴, since it is stochastic for all nonzero values of the aspect ratio $\gamma \equiv a/R$ (a = half-length of straight side, R = radius of semicircle), with the degree of stochasticity increasing [see Fig. 4 of Ref. 14] from zero at $\gamma = 0$ (the circle) to a flat maximum near $\gamma = 1$ (the stadium of our Fig. 1).

The quantum problem¹⁵ for a free particle is just the Helmholtz equation, $(\nabla^2 + k^2)\psi(\vec{x}) = 0$, with the energy eigenvalue $E = k^2$ for $\hbar^2/2m = 1$. The boundary condition $\psi = 0$ at the stadium "wall" is the same as for a vibrating membrane with clamped edge. To solve the Helmholtz equation numerically for its eigenvalues and eigenfunctions, at fixed aspect ratio, we use the algorithm of Lepore and Riddell¹⁶. For a reliability test, we use the circle ($\gamma = 0$) and the known mean density of eigenvalues¹⁷ for $\gamma = 0$. Because the Hamiltonian is invariant under reflection in x or y , we consider only the set of eigenfunctions of odd-odd parity, i.e., $\psi = 0$ at the boundary of the stadium-quadrant of Fig. 1. For nonzero aspect ratio, we adjust the absolute dimension to keep the quadrant area constant (at $\pi/4$), so that the asymptotic mean level spacing is independent of γ .

In Fig. 1 we exhibit a typical eigenfunction, corresponding to the eigenvalue $k = 50.158$, at $\gamma = 1$. The nodal curves are seen to be irregular in direction, verifying the second prediction of Berry. Their separation is roughly regular, representing the half-wave length π/k . There are no nodal crossings in the interior, since saddle points at the special value $\psi = 0$ would occur only at special γ values. We have not computed the coarse-grained Wigner function, since we feel that the qualitative question of local isotropy can be judged by eye.

The distribution of eigenvalue spacings ΔE is one statistical measure of the spectrum. Histograms are shown in Fig. 2 for the circle, and in Fig. 3 for the ($\gamma = 1$) stadium. They are seen to be strikingly different, in confirmation of the first prediction of Berry and Tabor. For the circle, the distribution is roughly exponential; small spacings are the most probable, the smallest found being $\Delta E = 0.003$ (!); large spacings (several times the mean)

are also found. Hence the eigenvalue spectrum is highly clustered. For the stadium, on the other hand, small spacings are less probable, the smallest being $\Delta E = 1.69$; also large spacings are improbable. The spectrum exhibits apparent mutual repulsion of eigenvalues, as predicted by Zaslavskii¹³, near the mean.

In conclusion, we have shown that the eigenvalue spectrum and eigenfunctions of a linear operator whose (short-wave-limit) rays are stochastic exhibit, respectively, mutual repulsion of neighboring eigenvalues and random directionality of nodal curves.

Acknowledgments

We are deeply indebted to Dr. Neil Pomphrey, for much advice and encouragement. We have also benefited from discussions with R. Riddell, N. Pereira, J. Cary, R. Littlejohn, A. Weinstein, Ya. Sinai, N. Handy, and R. Stratt, and from correspondence with M. Berry.

References

1. For a clear and up-to-date review, see M. V. Berry, Regular and Irregular Motion, in Topics in Nonlinear Dynamics (S. Jorna, ed., AIP, New York 1978).
2. J.-M. Wersinger, E. Ott, and J.M. Finn, Phys. Fluids 21, 2263(1978).
3. G. Casati, B.V. Chirikov, J. Ford, and F.M. Izraelev, in Stochastic Behavior in Classical and Quantum Hamiltonian Systems (G. Casati and J. Ford, eds., Lecture Notes in Physics, Springer, New York 1979).
4. G.P. Berman and G.M. Zaslavskii, Physica 91A, 450 (1978).
5. M.V. Berry, N.L. Balazs, M. Tabor, and A. Voros, Quantum Maps, submitted to Ann. Phys. (NY) (Oct. 78).
6. I.C. Percival, Semiclassical Theory of Bound States, in Advances in Chemical Physics 36, 1(1977).
7. N. Pomphrey, J. Phys. B7, 1909 (1974).
8. M.V. Berry, Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. (London) A287, 237(1977).
9. M.V. Berry, J. Phys. A10, 2083(1977).
10. M.V. Berry and M. Tabor, Proc. Roy. Soc. (in press). Level Clustering in the Regular Spectrum,
11. For a clear derivation, see A. Bohr and B. Mottelson, Nuclear Structure (Benjamin, New York, 1969), Appendix 2C.
12. J. Keller and S. Rubinow, Ann. Phys. (NY) 9, 24(1960).
13. G.M. Zaslavskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 73, 2089 (1977) [Sov. Phys. J.E.T.P. 46, 1094 (1977)].
14. G. Benettin and J.-M. Strelcyn, Phys. Rev. A 17, 773(1978).

15. We are informed that G. Casati, I. Guarneri, and F. Valz-Gris are studying the same problem.
16. J.V. Lepore and R.J. Riddell, Jr., U. of Calif. Report LBL-3036 (1974).
17. H.P. Baltes and E.R. Hilf, Spectra of Finite Systems, (Bibliographisches Institut, Mannheim, 1976.)

Figure 1.

Nodal curves $[\psi(x,y) = 0]$ for one quadrant of the (odd-odd parity) eigenfunction with eigenvalue $k = 50.158$, in the stadium with dimensions $a = R = 0.665$ (area of quadrant $= \pi/4$). The relative accuracy of the eigenfunction is $\sim 10^{-4}$, except in the stipled band along the boundary. The nodal curves must be orthogonal to the boundary; there are no crossings in the interior. The orientation of the curves appears quite random.

Figure 2.

Distribution of (odd-odd parity) energy level spacings, for the range $50 < k < 100$ ($2500 < E < 10,000$), for a circular boundary. The histogram bin size is 4. Note that the smallest spacings are the most frequent, indicating clustering.

Figure 3.

Distribution of (odd-odd parity) energy level spacings, for the range $50 < k < 70$ ($2500 < E < 4900$), for the $\gamma = 1$ stadium boundary. Bin size $= 4$. For $\Delta E < 4$, detailed histogram with $\Delta E = 1$ shows absence of separations with $\Delta E < 1$. Energy eigenvalues are computed to an absolute accuracy ± 0.2 .

XBL791-32

Figure 1

XBL 791-34

Figure 2

XBL 79I-33

Figure 3

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720