

UC Merced

UC Merced Previously Published Works

Title

Correction to: 'The natural selection of bad science' (2016) by Paul E. Smaldino and Richard McElreath

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8dj825g9>

Journal

Royal Society Open Science, 10(9)

ISSN

2054-5703

Authors

Smaldino, Paul E
McElreath, Richard

Publication Date

2023-09-01

DOI

10.1098/rsos.231026

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Correction



Cite this article: Smaldino PE, McElreath R. 2023 Correction to: 'The natural selection of bad science' (2016) by Paul E. Smaldino and Richard McElreath *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **10**: rsos231026. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.231026>

Received: 17 July 2023

Accepted: 21 July 2023

Subject Areas:

theoretical biology/computer modelling and simulation/statistics

Author for correspondence:

Paul E. Smaldino

e-mail: paul.smaldino@gmail.com

Correction to: 'The natural selection of bad science' (2016) by Paul E. Smaldino and Richard McElreath

Paul E. Smaldino and Richard McElreath

PES, 0000-0002-7133-5620; RME, 0000-0002-0387-5377

R. Soc. Open Sci. **3**, 160384. (Published online 1 September 2016) (doi:10.1098/rsos.160384).

Owing to a coding error in the implemented Java model, laboratories would always use the initial replication rate as probability to conduct replications, instead of their own replication rate. In particular, in the function definition of `chooseHypothesis(AgentsSimulation)` inside the file `Boffin.java`, lines 86–102, the initial replication rate `as.initReplication` has been used, but instead the laboratory's own property `replicationProb` should have been used. Hence, the replication rate could not influence the laboratories' fitness, although it could mutate. As a result, the resulting dynamics presented in §5.2 and depicted in figure 5 do not correspond to the conceptual model described in §4.

The implemented Java model also reverses the order in which laboratories are removed from the population and chosen to reproduce during the stage *evolution*, which is a minor deviation from the description in §4.

Finally, §5.3 contains two typos concerning high and low efforts. The correct values are $e_H = 75$ and $e_L = 15$.

The paper's conclusions remain unaffected after correcting for these errors. For further discussion, see [1], which also presents a reimplementation of the model in the R programming language, archived in the Software Heritage archive at <https://archive.softwareheritage.org/swlh:1:snp:60ab9f391840fbb0d226fdbce35169b271e00918;origin=https://gitlab.com/fkohrt/bachelorarbeit-code>.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank their colleagues Florian Kohrt and Felix Schönbrodt both for identifying the matters requiring correction and providing assistance in preparing this corrective text.

Reference

1. Kohrt F, Smaldino PE, McElreath R, Schönbrodt F. 2023 Replication of the natural selection of bad science. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **10**, 221306. (doi:10.1098/rsos.221306)