UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Diametric effects of autism tendencies and psychosis proneness on attention control irrespective of task demands

Permalink <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8dr5d5t5>

Journal Scientific Reports, 8(1)

ISSN 2045-2322

Authors

Abu-Akel, Ahmad Apperly, Ian Spaniol, Mayra Muller [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8dr5d5t5#author)

Publication Date 2018

DOI

10.1038/s41598-018-26821-7

Peer reviewed

SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 8 January 2018 Accepted: 15 May 2018 Published online: 31 May 2018

Diametric efects of autism OPENtendencies and psychosis proneness on attention control irrespective of task demands

Ahmad Abu-Akel ¹, Ian Apperly2, Mayra Muller Spaniol3, Joy J. Geng4,5 & Carmel Mevorach2,6

Our capacity to attend a target while ignoring irrelevant distraction impacts our ability to successfully interact with our environment. Previous reports have sometimes identifed excessive distractor interference in both autism and schizophrenia spectrum disorders and in neurotypical individuals with high subclinical expressions of these conditions. Independent of task, we show that the direction of the efect of autism or psychosis traits on the suppression or rejection of a non-target item is diametrical. In Study 1, in which the presence of a salient non-target item hindered performance, higher autism traits were associated with better performance, while higher psychosis traits were associated with worse performance. In Study 2, in which the presence of a salient non-target item facilitated performance, a complete reversal of efects was observed. Future clinical interventions may be informed by the context-specifc advantages we observed for the autism and psychosis spectra, and by the need to consider the diametric efects they yield.

The perceptual saliency of distracting or competing non-target information presents a major challenge for atten-tion selection processes, which are partially driven by the bottom-up salience of objects in the environment^{[1](#page-9-0)}. Tus, selection in such a scenario should utilize top-down goal directed processes to bias selection away from distracting, non-target salient items. Independent lines of evidence in autism and schizophrenia spectrum disorder (ASD and SSD, respectively) and the broader spectrum of their traits in neurotypical participants suggest that these conditions are associated with attentional atypicalities under conditions requiring the selection and processing of a target in the presence of a perceptually salient distractor. However, the results in both ASD and SSD have been mixed, with evidence pointing to both impaired as well as intact/enhanced performance. In SSD, the efect of salient distractors on target selection has been associated with both interference²⁻⁵, and lack of interference, and even benefit (when attention is guided by bottom-up information)^{[5,](#page-9-2)[6](#page-9-3)}. Similarly, in ASD, there is evidence for both reduced typical levels of interference^{[7](#page-9-4)-[9](#page-9-5)}, as well as increased processing cost¹⁰⁻¹³ in the presence of salient distractors. Tus, the contexts under which ASD and SSD and their expressions in neurotypicals yield benefcial or detrimental efects is currently unclear, not least because it is uncommon for these expressions to be assessed in the same participants.

While ASD and SSD are regarded as distinct conditions, the two conditions exhibit many behavioral, cognitive and neurological similarities $14-17$. In considering their differences, which may be more specific to the psychosis/ positive symptom domain, it has been proposed that these conditions are at the opposite extremes of the same cognitive continuum, exerting diametric effects on cognition and behavior^{18–22}, putatively due to reciprocal alter-ations of genetic risk factors^{[21](#page-10-3)}. For example, complementary attentional processes may be differentially affected by ASD and SSD, such that individuals with ASD show increased focus of attention and a bias towards local level detail, whilst individuals with SSD (and specifcally those with positive symptom schizophrenia) show over-switching and a bias towards global level detail^{[4](#page-9-9)[,19](#page-10-4)[,23](#page-10-5)–25}. With respect to distractor suppression, we have shown

¹Institute of Psychology, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, 1015, Switzerland. ²School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK. ³Developmental Disorders Program, Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie, São Paulo, 01302-907, Brazil. ⁴Department of Psychology, University of California Davis, Davis, California, 95616, USA. ⁵Center for Mind and Brain, University of California Davis, Davis, California, 95616, USA. ⁶Center for Human Brain Health, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.A.-A. (email: [ahmad.abuakel@unil.ch\)](mailto:ahmad.abuakel@unil.ch) or C.M. (email: C.Mevorach@bham.ac.uk)

that autism and psychosis traits, which were assessed in tandem in neurotypical adults, exert mutually opposed effects¹⁸. Specifically, in this study, we showed that when participants were required to attend to a target (a face) in the presence of salient distractor (a scene) or vice versa, increasing levels of autism traits were associated with reduced interference from the salient distractor, while psychosis traits were associated with increased interference. In a separate study, we also showed that autism and psychosis traits diametrically modulated activity in the anterior portion of the ventral right temporoparietal junction²⁰. In this region, which is part of the attention control network, autism traits were associated with increased activity and psychosis traits with decreased activity.

The present study thus has two main aims. First, it examines whether autism and psychosis traits will be benefcial or detrimental depending on the task demand, and (2) whether autism versus psychosis traits would, regardless of the task (context), induce contrasting, diametric efects on target selection in the presence of a non-target, salient distractor. To this end, we conducted two separate studies in neurotypical individuals in whom autism and psychosis traits were assessed in tandem. We test our hypotheses in neurotypical individuals based on the notion that both autism²⁶ and psychosis^{[27](#page-10-9)} traits exist on a continuum, ranging from typicality to disorder. This approach has the advantage of eliminating the confounding efects of duration of illness, active symptomatology or medication $28,29$ $28,29$.

In Study 1, participants performed an adapted version of the morphed face-discrimination task³⁰. In this task, which required participants to actively ignore/suppress an irrelevant scene, we estimated contrast threshold of the distractor scene at which the participant was still able to correctly identify the face. We predict, similar to our previous result[s18,](#page-10-1) that, in contrast to psychosis traits, increasing autism traits will be benefcial to performance, i.e., withstanding greater level of distraction while still correctly identifying the face.

In Study 2, participants performed a visual search task³¹ in which a low-contrast target accompanied by a single non-target that was either perceptually similar or more salient had to be identifed. Participants knew that the non-target would sometimes be salient (i.e., high contrast) but that the target would never be high contrast. Under this condition, the salient non-target element acts as an anti-cue that directs the participant to the target, and thus facilitates performance^{[31](#page-10-13),[32](#page-10-14)} (see also^{[5](#page-9-2)}). Here, we assessed the benefit gained by the presence of the salient non-target, which is indicative of participants' ability to reject it and select the target. Tis beneft was expected as the perceptually salient item was not itself the target, but provided contextual information that could be used to reorient attention toward the target more rapidly³¹. This task was chosen for two reasons: (1) unlike the morphed face-discrimination task, the infuence of a salient non-target item in the display would be to improve performance, and (2) there is evidence showing that under conditions similar to the visual search task, higher levels of autism traits were associated with poorer performance and a reduced signal of distractor suppression[13.](#page-9-7) If the diametric model is correct, we hypothesize that higher autism traits would be associated with worse performance in this task, whilst higher psychosis traits would be associated with better performance.

To summarize, based on the above discussion, and evidence suggesting that ASD and SSD represent opposite extremes of the same cognitive continuum^{18,[20](#page-10-7),[33](#page-10-15)}, we expected high rates of autism traits to be beneficial in the morphed face-discrimination task (Study 1) but detrimental in the visual search task (Study 2). In contrast, we expected high psychosis traits to be benefcial in the visual search task (Study 2) but detrimental in the morphed face-discrimination task (Study 1). Tus, regardless of the direction of the efect in each task, we predicted opposite efects on performance of the two trait dimensions. If confrmed, in our view, this would constitute the most stringent test, to date, of the diametric model in a neurotypical population $21,34$ $21,34$ $21,34$.

Results

First, afer inspection of the data of the participants from the two tasks, two outliers from the visual search task were excluded from all analyses: one for scoring 5.25 SD above the mean on the positive subscale of the Community Assessment of Psychic Experiences Questionnaire (CAPEp), and one for scoring 5.63 SD below the mean on the saliency beneft score. All other participants fell within 3 SD of the mean. We then examined diferences between the two samples in terms of gender distribution, age, Autism Spectrum Quotient (AQ), CAPEp, and Bias, which is the difference between the standardized scores of AQ and CAPEp. The results revealed no significant differences between the samples of Study 1 and Study 2 in terms of gender distribution (χ^2 = 0.149, $p=0.70$), AQ ($t_{(df=123)}=1.38$, $p=0.170$), or Bias scores ($t_{(df=123)}=1.85$, $p=0.067$). However, the sample performing the visual search task was older (U = 560.50, Z = 6.87, p < 0.001, η^2 = 0.38) and had higher CAPEp scores $(U=1198.00, Z=3.70, p<0.001, \eta^2=0.11).$

Performance on the morphed face-discrimination task (Study 1). First, threshold estimates were significantly higher for male than female participants in the male identification pair condition $(M \pm SE = 0.70 \pm 0.02 \text{ vs } 0.54 \pm 0.02$; t_(df=56) = 4.09, p < 0.001, Hedge's $g = 1.29$), and marginally so during the female identification pair condition $(M \pm SE = 0.76 \pm 0.02 \text{ vs } 0.71 \pm 0.02; t_{(df=56)} = 1.79, p=0.08$, Hedge's $g=0.56$). Age was unrelated to threshold estimates for either the male ($r_{\text{rho}}=0.19$, p=0.15) or female ($r_{\text{rho}}=0.13$, $p=0.32$) pair conditions. However, threshold estimates were related to familiarity with Robert De Niro (RD; $r=0.43$, $p=0.001$) and Kevin Spacey (KS; $r=0.27$, $p=0.043$) in the male condition, and with Margaret Thatcher (MT; $r = 0.33$, $p = 0.013$) in the female condition. Moreover, there were no associations between AQ and CAPEp scores with either the familiarity or the identity-classification scores ($-0.017 < r< 0.11$, *p_s*>0.43), highlighting that individual diferences in autism and psychosis traits did not manifest in overall perceptual performance. Accordingly, threshold scores on the morphed face-discrimination task were adjusted for gender and familiarity ratings. In all regression analyses, the standardized adjusted threshold estimates were entered as the dependent measure and the standardized scores of AQ and CAPEp as the predictors.

For the male pair condition, the regression analysis yielded a significant model (F(2,55) = 6.85, p=0.002, R^2 = 0.199), explaining approximately 20% of the variance. As can be seen from Fig. [1a](#page-3-0), standardized coefficients

Figure 1. Scatterplot of the standardized performance scores on the morphed face-discrimination of the male pair condition and the visual search task as a function of the standardized autism (AQ) and psychosis (CAPEp) trait scores. Positive values of the standardized performance scores (y-axis) represent better performance. Similarly, positive values on the x-axis represent higher autism/psychosis traits. Panels a and b respectively show the association of autism traits (blue dots) and psychosis traits (red dots) with task performance—On the morphed face-discrimination task (Panel a), higher autism traits are associated with better performance, while higher psychosis traits are associated with worse performance. On the visual search task (Panel b), the reverse pattern of association emerges. All regression lines are significant ($p < 0.05$).

revealed that while higher autism traits were associated with better performance ($\beta = 0.428$, t = 3.18, p = 0.002), higher psychosis traits were associated with worse performance ($\beta = -0.418$, t=3.11, p=0.003).

For the female pair condition, the model was non-significant (F(2,55) = 0.94, p = 0. 398, R² = 0.033). However, it is noteworthy that, overall, discriminating between the male pairs was significantly more difficult than the female pairs for morphs 3, 4, 6–8 (all $ts_(df=57) > 2.41$, all $ps < 0.019$, Hedge's $g = 0.10-0.90$) (see Fig. S1, Supplementary Information). Moreover, the mean threshold estimate was signifcantly higher for the female pair $(M±SE=0.72±0.10)$ than the male pair $(M±SE=0.58±0.14)$ condition $(t_(df=57)=8.76, p<0.001, Hedge's$ $g=1.17$), suggesting that participants were overall better able to discriminate the female face at higher levels of distraction.

Performance on the visual search task (Study 2). In Study 2, the main outcome measure is saliency beneft, which is the beneft gained from the presence of the salient (i.e. higher contrast) non-target compared with the similar contrast non-target. Preliminary analyses (see Fig. S2, Supplementary Information) confrmed the overall benefit gained in the salient versus the similar conditions in terms of accuracy, RT and inverse efficiency (RT/proportion correct). To examine the association of saliency beneft with autism and psychosis traits, we used the inverse efficiency score, which allows us to combine reaction time and accuracy into a single measure 35 .

Figure 2. Scatterplot of the standardized performance scores on the morphed face-discrimination and visual search tasks as a function of the relative expression of autism vis-à-vis psychosis scores (Bias=zAQ $_{\text{minus}}$ zCAPEp). Negative bias scores indicate dominant expression of psychosis traits (or zCAPEp $>$ zAQ) and positive bias scores indicate dominant expressions of autism traits (or zAQ>zCAPEp). All regression lines are significant ($p < 0.05$).

There was no difference between the saliency benefit scores of the male and female participants ($t_{(df=65)}=0.81$, p = 0.419, Hedge's *g* = 0.23). However, saliency benefit scores were negatively associated with age (r_{rho} = −0.34, $p=0.005$). Accordingly, the standardized age-adjusted saliency benefit scores were used as the dependent measure in the regression analysis.

The regression analysis yielded a significant model (F(2,64) = 5.53, p = 0.006, R² = 0.147), explaining approximately 15% of the variance. As can be seen from Fig. [1b,](#page-3-0) and in contrast to the morphed face-discrimination task, standardized coefficients revealed that while higher autism traits were associated with worse performance $(β=-0.310, t=2.62, p=0.011)$, higher psychosis traits were associated with better performance (β=0.300, $t=2.55$, $p=0.013$).

The Bias score. In order to examine if performance was associated with the relative dominance of autism versus psychosis traits, we calculated a Bias score for each participant by subtracting their z-normalized CAPEp scores from their z-normalized AQ scores. Regression analyses of both the morphed-faces ($F(1,56) = 11.01$, $p=0.002$, $R^2=0.164$) and visual search (F(1,65) = 11.13, $p=0.001$, $R^2=0.147$) tasks yielded significant models, explaining 16% and 15% of the variance, respectively. Standardized coefficients confirmed that increasing autism relative to psychosis traits was associated with better performance on the morphed-faces task (β = 0.405, t = 3.32, $p=0.002$), and with worse performance on the visual search task ($\beta=-0.382$, t= -3.34 , $p=0.001$) (see Fig. [2](#page-4-0)).

Discussion

Tis study examined the efect of autism and psychosis traits on the processing of a target in the presence of a perceptually competing, non-target element in two scenarios: the morphed-faces task in which a salient distractor incurred processing cost, and the visual search task in which a salient non-target item conferred beneft. As predicted, the efects of autism and psychosis traits depended on the task characteristics. Importantly, however, the results from the two experiments provide converging evidence that autism and psychosis traits have opposing efects on distractor suppression/rejection, and which are not dependent on the specifc task demands or contexts. This finding is consistent with a growing body of literature suggesting that ASD and SSD and their extended phenotypic continua within the general population have diametric efects on brain and behavioral phe-notypes^{[4,](#page-9-9)[18–](#page-10-1)[21](#page-10-3),[36](#page-10-18)}. It also extends current knowledge by showing that these diametric effects are evident within the attention control system. Tis is particularly intriguing, as these fndings also point to suspected brain mechanisms, specifically in the parietal-occipital and fronto-parietal circuitry $(c.f.\{31,37})$ $(c.f.\{31,37})$ $(c.f.\{31,37})$, distinct parts of which may be diametrically modulated by these conditions 20 .

Our results highlight context-specific advantages for autism and psychosis traits. In the morphed face-discrimination task, higher levels of autism traits were benefcial to performance (indicative of improved distractor suppression), while higher levels of psychosis traits were detrimental to performance. These results replicate our previous findings¹⁸ and further confirm that the effects are unlikely to be attributable to variation in perceptual abilities (which were unrelated to levels of autism or psychosis traits). In contrast, in the visual search task, where the presence of a salient non-target item facilitated performance, higher level of psychosis traits were benefcial to performance (indicative of improvement in the dismissal of the salient non-target item through rapid rejection), while higher levels of autism traits were detrimental to performance. These effects were also discerned as a function of the relative expression of autism vis-à-vis psychosis traits, where increased bias towards autism traits (relative to psychosis) was associated with improved performance on the morphed face-discrimination task, and decreased performance on the visual search task (see Fig. [2](#page-4-0)). To the extent that the traits measured in our neurotypical participants were on a continuum with clinical ASD and SSD^{26,38}, this pattern of results suggests that ASD and SSD have inherent biases in the utilization of attention control.

We suggest that the dual mechanisms theory of proactive and reactive cognitive control³⁹ may provide a framework to understand the contrasting efects of autism and psychosis traits we observed in neurotypical individuals, and by extension, the inconsistencies in the literature on attention atypicalities in ASD and SSD[5,](#page-9-2)[6,](#page-9-3)[13,](#page-9-7)[40,](#page-10-22)[41.](#page-10-23) In proactive control, individuals bias attention by maintaining goal-relevant information and preventing interference in an anticipatory manner even before the onset of the stimulus. Tis efect was observed, for example, in Mevorach *et al*. [42](#page-10-24) where participants knew in advance on which perceptual level (global or local) a to-be-ignored element would appear. Contrasting with this, in reactive control, individuals respond "online" to interference after stimulus onset. Under this mode, when a salient non-target is contextually relevant (as in 32 where it can determine the location of the target), performance may beneft from a process of rapid rejection following stimulus presentation. It is thus possible that dealing with perceptually salient non-target elements in our two tasks preferentially calls upon proactive control (in the morphed face-discrimination task) and reactive control (in the visual search task)—preferentially in the sense that adaptive allocation of attention rarely consists of exclusive reliance on a proactive or reactive mechanism.

With respect to SSD, past research suggests a reliable deficit in proactive control^{43,[44](#page-10-26)}, a low dependence on top-down control processes (which relate directly to proactive control)⁵, and no major deficit in the implementation of reactive control⁴⁴. Perhaps the relative reliance of individuals with SSD on reactive control may explain why schizophrenia patients can beneft more than healthy controls from a valid cue (contextually relevant information) and reorient attention toward the target more rapidly⁴⁵. The literature on attention control in ASD is less clear, particularly when previous research has shown that individuals with ASD can be both highly distractible and resistant to distraction $40,41,46$ $40,41,46$ $40,41,46$. Intriguingly, however, there is evidence suggesting that top-down attentional control can be typical in ASD when stimuli are static 4^7 , which may facilitate the use of proactive control. Moreover, similar to our results in the visual search task, individuals with ASD do not beneft from salient non-target elements that facilitate response in typically developing individuals^{[40](#page-10-22)}. Notably, the same study⁴⁰ also reported that ASD individuals were less susceptible to distraction from attentional focus, which is consistent with our previous work in neurotypicals showing that the efect of psychosis traits on increasing saliency cost was attenuated in individuals with increased focus of attention as measured by the AQ^{18} AQ^{18} AQ^{18} . However, it is noteworthy that the diferences reported in Keehn *et al*. [40](#page-10-22) between ASD and neurotypical individuals may be relative rather than absolute. This is likely, since, as we have shown, attentional control is sensitive to variations of autism traits in neurotypical individuals, and by implications, in individuals with ASD. This underscores the importance of dimensional assessments and individual diferences analyses in both clinical and neurotypical populations.

Our results may also be considered in terms of the attentional tradeoff model of Amer *et al.*^{[48](#page-10-30)}. According to this model, task performance depends on cognitive control mechanisms associated with difused and focused attention, which respectively facilitate the use and suppression of irrelevant/distracting information. Tese two components appear to map onto the attentional profles of ASD and SSD, which, as pointed out in the introduc-tion, are respectively associated with tendencies for the deployment of focused and diffused attention^{[4,](#page-9-9)[19,](#page-10-4)23-25}. However, while proactive and reactive control refers to control aspects and temporal dynamics of top-down processes regardless of the perceptual process at hand, difused and focused modes may also refect or bias specifc perceptual processes. For instance, difused attention may facilitate global or holistic processing while focused attention may facilitate local or part-based processing. While these ft with some of the previous fndings in the ASD and SSD literature^{3,[4,](#page-9-9)[8](#page-9-11)}, we argue that they are less likely to explain our findings. As we have shown here, individual diferences have not been associated with perceptual performance in the identity-classifcation task (Fig. [3b](#page-6-0)), where perceptual processes would have likely afected performance. Furthermore, difused processing should have benefted overall performance in the visual search task, including in the similar trials. Accordingly, one would expect psychosis traits to confer beneft in the similar trials as well. However, we found no association between psychosis traits and the participants' accuracy, reaction times, or efficiency scores on the similar trials (−0.10<*rs*<0.04, *ps*>0.40). Tis is consistent with evidence showing that performance of schizophrenia patients suffers in visuospatial tasks that require broad monitoring⁴⁹. Furthermore, even when explicit global/ local identification was tested¹⁸, the diametric effects, we reported, held irrespective of the level of processing. This highlights, once more, that the association of autism/psychosis traits with the attention control mechanisms, we assess here, transcends perceptual biases.

The notion of overselectivity in ASD^{50} and its interaction with proactive vs. reactive control can also be considered here. Although not a defning behavior in ASD, overselectivity refers to the tendency to select only a subset of the input. Critically, however, this again implies difficulty in more holistic or global processing and therefore sufers from a similar caveat in its ability to explain our present fndings. Nevertheless, to the extent that overselectivity can be adapted according to task demands (so that diferent aspects of the input could be highlighted for selection, including global over local aspects), it can provide a plausible framework for understanding improved proactive distractor inhibition in ASD. Specifcally, we can conceptualize that setting up the 'overselective' attention mechanism in preparation (before stimulus presentation) is intact in ASD and, therefore, conveys performance beneft due to superior selectivity (i.e., distractor fltering). However, adapting the selective mechanism 'on the fly' based on the current stimulus may be more problematic. Thus, the former would be a manifestation of proactive control, while the latter would be a manifestation of reactive control. Tis may also highlight that overselectivity per se is not necessarily an impediment to behavior. Problems occur only if it cannot be adapted (which is perhaps where remediation attempt should focus). Taken together, our results provide important insight into the mechanism of attentional control and its susceptibility to attenuated subclinical expressions of ASD and SSD.

a. Familiarity task

b. Identity classification task

C. Morphed faces and distractor threshold task

the distractor threshold task

d. Typical trial sequence of

Space bar

Blank Screen (500 ms)

168 ms

Figure 3. The morphed faces discrimination task. (a) Presents the unmorphed faces of the two female celebrities Margaret Tatcher (MT) and Marilyn Monroe (MM), which were judged on familiarity from 1 (unfamiliar) to 5 (very familiar). (**b**) Presents the continuum of morphed faces from MT to MM in 10% increments. (**c**) Presents the distractor scene and the morphed female faces used in the distractor threshold task. (**d**) Presents a typical trial sequence in the distractor threshold task. The combined stimulus is of MT's face at 20% contrast and the scene at 50% contrast. The scene is from https://www.flickr.com/photos/ [aaranged/8804736077/in/photolist-eq](https://www.flickr.com/photos/aaranged/8804736077/in/photolist-eq). 3zhr-Wxp3fR-cQn49h-8CfRWR-6Y3wHR-7JkauQ-rQ7UoKc79nqG-c79ydJ-6Y3KTE-nvSYcK-Cn2d2C-c784Fy-Fqd8Pp-6XYFeF-cQnoFY-HsBfRJ-rkm6oy-8CbMES-7CHU2i-51rzKT-UvvP4b-55etfL-7wkbH5-Zqk4HW-W3eg4a-ZoUKYq-afKcrw-cSaHaL-64rsnE-XLJkRv-ZoUHpN-8yxzGP-aztt3V-7Lndd7-Cn1b9w-8tnrXv-pu4Frd-cQmUq9-53MXCU-Cn22PU-73fsHG-591tQ-DhLfd3-j4nkVJ-83MBDd-7arEtU-FPDpEX-9qE7yV-oEPvEH (Creator, Aron Bradley; License, [https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/2.0/) creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/2.0/). The images of MT and MM were adapted with permission from Springer Nature [Rotshtein, P., *et al*. 2005. Morphing Marilyn into Maggie dissociates physical and identity face representations in the brain. *Nature Neuroscience* 8, 107–113]. Note: Tis scene is for illustrative purposes, as the original scene used in the current study could not be displayed due to copyright restrictions. Male celebrity images could not be displayed due to copyright restrictions. We highlight that data were collected between 2015–2016.

We are aware of the debate regarding the extent to which non-pathological individuals with no pathological symptoms constitute an appropriate model for pathologic functioning. With this in mind, and to the extent that a dimensional view of psychopathology is accepted^{[26](#page-10-8),[27](#page-10-9),[51](#page-10-33)}, our findings can have important implications for interventions within the clinical populations, as they identify benefts for ASD and SSD that depend on the mode of control (possibly reactive versus proactive) that is necessary in a given context. In this regard, we propose that the interference of irrelevant salient elements can be mitigated in SSD in contexts that preferentially require reactive mode of attentional control, and in ASD in contexts that preferentially require proactive mode of attentional control. More generally, such diametric associations suggest that ASD and SSD are afected by reciprocal causes, which, in turn, can be developed into reciprocal treatments. Indeed, it has been pointed out that contrasting pharmacological agents, such as antagonists and agonists of metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGlur5) pathways, are being developed for the treatment of ASD and SSD, respectively^{[22](#page-10-2)}. An immediate step of practical significance, however, would be to routinely assess both conditions in both populations, particularly when such trait combination (or the relative symptom expression of one condition vis-à-vis the other) appears to determine clinical functional outcomes⁵²

Our results need to be interpreted in light of some limitations. It is notable that one key diference between the visual search and morphed-face discrimination tasks employed here is that they rely on diferent selection 'units'. The morphed-face discrimination task (Study 1) incorporates object-based selection, while the visual search task (Study 2) incorporates both feature- and space-based selection. Could it be that autism traits are associated with improved object-based attention while psychosis traits are associated with improved space-based selection? We argue that this is unlikely, particularly in light of robust evidence for superior performance of individuals with ASD in visuospatial search tasks^{[4,](#page-9-9)53}. Another alternative explanation for the benefit of high autism traits in the morphed face-discrimination task may relate to the putative bias in ASD for local processing^{[4,](#page-9-9)54}. However, this again is unlikely to be the case here. The local bias is typically associated with poor face identification^{[55](#page-11-2)}, and

Table 1. Sample demographics and characteristics in Study 1 and Study 2. ^aAge range: 18–34 years ^bAge range: 17–36 years; AQ=Autism Quotient; CAPEp=Positive scale of the Community Assessment of Psychic Experiences questionnaire; $MF = Male/Female$; $M (SD) = Mean$ and Standard Deviation.

previous work has shown less interference of salient distractors in individuals with high expressions of autism traits across both faces and global-local tasks¹⁸. In addition, our identity-classification task (Fig. [3b\)](#page-6-0) was used exactly in order to exclude such potential association with perceptual abilities. Indeed, autism traits were unrelated to performance on the identity-classifcation task when distractors were not presented (and therefore not suppressed) (see also^{[56](#page-11-3)} for a similar approach). Taken together, these findings suggest that the better performance of individuals with high autism traits in the morphed face-discrimination task is unlikely to be due to some specifc autism-related proclivity for face identifcation, emphasizing the notion that only when attention control was called upon that diferences emerged.

Moreover, although no gender efect was observed, some caution regarding the generalizability of our fndings is warranted, given that our sample consisted largely of female participants. This might be of concern regarding the efect of autism traits where the male-to-female ratio is about 3:1. However, the fact that efects were discerned in female-dominant samples might attest to their robustness. It is thus reasonable to predict stronger efects still in samples in which males are more represented. Finally, in the morphed face-discrimination task, we obtained signifcant efects only in the male pair condition. Because of the high level of performance in the female relative to the male pair condition, the absence of these efects in the female pair condition might be explained by a potential 'ceiling efect'. We thus do not consider this a 'failure to replicate' per se, but rather a case where the female pair condition was not sufficiently sensitive.

It is difcult to draw from previous literature clear-cut dichotomies on how ASD and SSD activate attentional control. However, our results confrm that ASD and SSD expressions have diametric efects on distractor suppression, and dependent on the context in which the stimulus is presented, the expressions of these conditions can be associated with performance advantages. Moreover, as can be inferred from the bias score analysis (Fig. [2\)](#page-4-0), attentional control in ASD and SSD might be better explained by considering the relative, rather than the absolute, expression of the symptoms of these conditions within the individual. The latter point behooves a shift in both research and clinical practice where ASD and SSD symptom expressions ought to be assessed simultaneously, particularly in light of the unique diametric efects these conditions appear to have on brain and behavioral outcome measures. This would be an important step forward if we were serious about the need to build multidimensional models of psychopathology.

Method

Participants. The two experiments were conducted in two independent samples of healthy adults. In Study 1, 58 participants completed an adapted version of the morphed face-discrimination task³⁰, and in Study 2, 69 participants completed the visual search task 31 . The sample size of Study 1 was based on studies examining differ-ences between neurotypical groups with low and high autism/psychosis traits^{[4,](#page-9-9)[57](#page-11-4)}, and the sample size of Study 2, was based on Study 1. All participants had normal or corrected-to-normal vision, and self-reported that they had no history of psychiatric illness, epilepsy, neurological disorders, or brain injury, current or past alcohol and/or substance abuse problems. The University of Birmingham Research Ethics Committee approved the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Autism and positive psychosis traits were respectively assessed with the Autism Spectrum Quotient $(AQ)^{26}$ $(AQ)^{26}$ $(AQ)^{26}$ and the positive subscale of the Community Assessment of Psychic Experiences Questionnaire (CAPEp)³⁸. The AQ is a self-report questionnaire and consists of 50 items that measure the presence of traits associated with the autistic spectrum within the general population. It consists of fve subscales, with moderate to satisfactory reliabilities (Cronbach's $\alpha = 0.63-0.77$)²⁶, that assess communication, social skills, attention to details, attention switching/strong focus of attention and imagination. Responses are on a four-point scale from "Defnitely Agree" to "Definitely Disagree", and each item is given a score of 0 or 1. AQ's overall scores can range from 0-50. The CAPE positive subscale consists of 20 items, and has satisfactory reliabilities (Cronbach's α = 0.82–0.85)^{[36](#page-10-18),[58](#page-11-5)}. Items are scored on a Likert frequency scale from 1 (never) to 4 (nearly always), and scores can range from 20–80. The internal consistency of both scales and for both samples is reasonable (Cronbach's α = 0.73–0.82). Demographics and characteristics of participants in Study 1 and Study 2 are presented in Table [1](#page-7-0).

Study 1: The morphed face-discrimination task. In Study 1, we used an adapted version of the mor-phed face-discrimination task³⁰ superimposed with a variable contrast scene distractor (see Fig. [3](#page-6-0)). The experiment consisted of three stages. In the frst (face familiarity task), the purpose was to evaluate how familiar participants were with two pairs of famous faces—a female pair (Margaret Tatcher and Marilyn Monroe; see Fig. [3](#page-6-0)) and a male pair (Robert De Niro and Kevin Spacey; see note in legend of Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0). Faces are presented on a grey background. Participants had to rate how well they knew each celebrity, on a Likert scale from 1 to 5, with 1 being 'not familiar at all', and 5 being 'very familiar', that is, knowing who the celebrity was and even knowing facts about the celebrity (see Fig. [3a\)](#page-6-0). In the second stage (the identity-classifcation task), the famous male and female faces were paired by gender and morphed together. The faces were morphed in varying degrees of identity change, starting from 10% of face 1 (e.g., Margaret Tatcher), going through nine diferent morph levels in steps of 10% and finishing at 90% of face 2 (i.e., Marilyn Monroe) (see Fig. [3b\)](#page-6-0). The identity-classification task for each of the pairs started with the unmorphed faces and their names, presented on the screen side by side. The morph was then presented for 750ms followed by a fxation point that remained on the screen until a response was made. Response time was not measured. Participants responded by pressing the lef or right key to indicate which of the previously presented unmorphed faces was more like the morphed face presented in each trial. The identity-classifcation for each morph continuum consisted of 27 trials presented in random order (3 presentations for each morph).

As we were interested in unearthing individual diferences, we selected 2 points from the morphed continuum that yielded the greatest performance variability in a pilot run of the classifcation task with a number of diferent face pairs to be used in the fnal stage of the experiment. Consequently, in the third stage (distractor threshold task), morphed faces 3 and 6 from each continuum (Fig. [3c\)](#page-6-0) were combined with an irrelevant scene that was superimposed on top of the morphed faces. Participants were asked to ignore the scene and identify the faces. As in the previous task, the unmorphed female and male faces were frst presented on the screen, before the start of the run. Afer pressing the space bar, a blank screen appeared for 500ms. Participants were then presented with one of the morphed faces (at 20% contrast) superimposed with the scene for 168 ms (see Fig. [3c,d](#page-6-0)). Responses were recorded (with no time constraint) by pressing the left or right key to indicate if the face corresponded to the initially presented exemplar on the left or right side of the screen. The contrast of the scene would vary according to an adaptive staircase procedure, with a 3-down-1-up structure, which changed the contrast by 10% in each step. In each block, two interleaved staircases were run, one with a high contrast starting value (50%) and another with a low contrast starting value (25%). Each staircase was dropped afer 7 reversals, resulting in a varied number of trials per participant per block. The task consisted of 6 blocks (3 for the male and 3 for the female faces). The threshold estimate for each staircase was extracted as the average of the reversals. The overall contrast threshold was calculated as the average of the 6 staircase procedures (2 per block). The contrast threshold ranges from 0–1, and is a measure of the participant's ability to identify the correct face with the maximum contrast possible from the distracting scene at 72% accuracy. Thus, efficient distractor suppression in this task results in higher thresholds.

This task constitutes a replication test of our previous study using the face-scene task^{[18](#page-10-1)}, but with the additional improvement of controlling for the potential efect of perceptual abilities on distractor suppression, which we accounted for here in step 'a' and 'b' of the task (see Fig. $3a,b$). It is also worth noting that the design of this task, with prior knowledge about the to-be-ignored distractor (i.e., the scene which is always the same) and the location certainty, mimics previous investigations highlighting the role of the intraparietal sulcus (IPS) in suppression of salient distractors^{59,60}. Here, calling upon attentional control in advance of stimulus presentation can mitigate the interference from the scene and thus can increase the participants' distractor threshold. Conversely, impaired suppression (e.g., following TMS to the IPS) has been previously shown to reduce the amount of distractor/noise signal that can be effectively filtered out in such paradigms $59,60$ $59,60$ $59,60$.

The experiment was programmed and administered using PsychoPy⁶¹. Participants sat 60 cm from a $17^{′/′}$ Samsung SyncMaster 720 N LCD monitor, set to the native resolution of 1280×1024 at 75 Hz. All faces and distractor stimuli were presented centrally on this monitor and measured 6 cm width \times 6.5 cm height (subtending 5.75° of visual angle horizontally and 6.18° of visual angle vertically).

Study 2: The visual-search task. In the visual search task³¹, an occasional salient non-target element acts as an anti-cue, redirecting attention towards the target. In this task, participants searched for a low-contrast target that co-appeared with a single non-target that was either perceptually similar (i.e., similar contrast) or salient (i.e., higher contrast). Participants were informed in advance that the non-target would sometimes be high contrast but that the target would never be high contrast. Previous work has demonstrated that even when subjects attend or saccade to the salient distractor, knowledge of the anti-correlation between the salient stimulus and the target can be used to improve performance compared to the similar condition^{32,62}. This presumably occurs because the salient distractor is attended, but can easily be reactively suppressed, releasing attention to select the actual target. In contrast, when the similar distractor is erroneously attended, it requires a greater degree of scrutiny in order to reject as a non-target.

In this task, participants were presented with two '*t*'-like stimuli for 200 ms (see Fig. [4](#page-9-12), and^{[31](#page-10-13)} for full details). The non-target *t* was rotated 90° to the left or right from vertical, and the target *t* was either upright or inverted. The target and non-target stimuli appeared randomly in the left and right visual fields (one on each side). Participants were asked to fnd the target and indicate whether it was upright or inverted using manual key presses. In 50% of the trials, the non-target was similar in contrast to the target and thus non-salient (Michelson contrast ratio = 0.45; foreground luminance = 35.5 cd/m²; background luminance = 93.5 cd/m²), and in 50% of the trials the non-target had higher contrast (Michelson Contrast Ratio=0.91; foreground luminance=7.1 cd/ m^2 , background luminance = 160.3 cd/m²) and thus more salient. The horizontal distance of the closest part of the stimuli to fixation was $\pm 2.95^{\circ}$ of visual angle, and the vertical distance was -0.85° of visual angle. The stimuli subtended 0.85° visual angle at fixation. The target was equally likely to appear in the left and right visual fields. The background throughout the experiment was an intermediate gray (77.8 cd/m2, as in^{[31](#page-10-13)}).

The trials were separated by a variable fixation interval ranging from 1500 to 2000 ms. This feature makes temporal expectancies harder and thus induces more attentional control post-stimulus presentation. The outcome measure of interest in this task is the saliency beneft, which is the diference between the performance in the salient and similar conditions (i.e., $Similar_{minus}$ Salient conditions).

Statistics. We performed parametric (Pearson, t-test, ANOVA, regression) and non-parametric tests (Spearman's rho, Mann-Whiteny U, Chi-Square), as appropriate. Parametric tests were applied to all dependent variables observing or approximating normality. We applied non-parametric tests to examine diferences in

Figure 4. Trial procedure of the visual search task in the similar and salient conditions. A trial begins with a fxation cross that appears for 500ms. Tis is followed by a 200ms search display. On 50% of the trials, the target co-appears with a similar contrast stimulus. On the other 50% of the trials, the target co-appears with a salient (i.e., higher contrast) one. Te trials were separated by a variable fxation interval ranging from 1500 to 2000ms.

gender distribution and in CAPEp scores, which were positively skewed. For the main analyses, in which we were interested in examining the efect of task on performance in individuals with various levels of autism/psychosis traits, we performed individual diferences analysis using linear regressions. In addition, individual diferences analyses were also conducted by examining the association of the relative expression of autism vis-à-vis psychosis traits with performance on each task. Tis is based on previous research showing that performance on social and attentional tasks and associated brain activity is associated with the relative dominance (or Bias) of one trait dimension over the other^{18,[20,](#page-10-7)36}. For all regression analyses, we report the standardized beta coefficients. All tests applied here were two-tailed tests, and effect sizes were computed using η_p^2 , η^2 , R^2 , or Hedge's g, as appropriate.

Data Availability. The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

References

- 1. Serences, J. T. & Yantis, S. Selective visual attention and perceptual coherence. *Trends in cognitive sciences* **10**, 38–45, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.11.008) [org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.11.008](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.11.008) (2006).
- 2. Hahn, B. *et al*. Failure of schizophrenia patients to overcome salient distractors during working memory encoding. *Biological psychiatry* **68**, 603–609, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.04.014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.04.014) (2010).
- 3. Poirel, N. *et al*. Meaningfulness and global-local processing in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychologia* **48**, 3062–3068, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2010.06.017) [org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2010.06.017](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2010.06.017) (2010).
- 4. Russell-Smith, S. N., Maybery, M. T. & Bayliss, D. M. Are the autism and positive schizotypy spectra diametrically opposed in local versus global processing? *Journal of autism and developmental disorders* **40**, 968–977, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-010-0945-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-010-0945-7) (2010)
- 5. Gold, J. M., Fuller, R. L., Robinson, B. M., Braun, E. L. & Luck, S. J. Impaired top-down control of visual search in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia research* **94**, 148–155, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2007.04.023](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2007.04.023) (2007).
- 6. Gold, J. M., Hahn, B., Strauss, G. P. & Waltz, J. A. Turning it upside down: areas of preserved cognitive function in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychology review* **19**, 294–311, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11065-009-9098-x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11065-009-9098-x) (2009).
- 7. Blaser, E., Eglington, L., Carter, A. S. & Kaldy, Z. Pupillometry reveals a mechanism for the Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) advantage in visual tasks. *Scientifc reports* **4**, 4301, [https://doi.org/10.1038/srep04301](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep04301) (2014).
- 8. Koldewyn, K., Jiang, Y. V., Weigelt, S. & Kanwisher, N. Global/local processing in autism: not a disability, but a disinclination. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders* **43**, 2329–2340, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-1777-z](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-013-1777-z) (2013).
- 9. Riby, D. M., Brown, P. H., Jones, N. & Hanley, M. Brief report: faces cause less distraction in autism. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders* **42**, 634–639, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-011-1266-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-011-1266-1) (2012).
- 10. Becchio, C., Mari, M. & Castiello, U. Perception of shadows in children with autism spectrum disorders. *PloS one* **5**, e10582, [https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010582) [doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010582](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010582) (2010).
- 11. Leader, G., Loughnane, A., McMoreland, C. & Reed, P. The effect of stimulus salience on over-selectivity. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders* **39**, 330–338, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-008-0626-y](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-008-0626-y) (2009).
- 12. Behrmann, M. *et al*. Confgural processing in autism and its relationship to face processing. *Neuropsychologia* **44**, 110–129, [https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2005.04.002) [doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2005.04.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2005.04.002) (2006).
- 13. Dunn, S. A., Freeth, M. & Milne, E. Electrophysiological Evidence of Atypical Spatial Attention in Those with a High Level of Selfreported Autistic Traits. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders* **46**, 2199–2210, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-016-2751-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-016-2751-3) (2016).
- 14. Spek, A. A. & Wouters, S. G. M. Autism and schizophrenia in high functioning adults: Behavioural diferences and overlap. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders* **4**, 709–717 (2010).
- 15. Dinsdale, N. L., Hurd, P. L., Wakabayashi, A., Elliot, M. & Crespi, B. J. How are autism and schizotypy related? Evidence from a nonclinical population. *PloS one* **8**, e63316, [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063316](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063316) (2013).
- 16. Ford, T. C. & Crewther, D. P. Factor Analysis Demonstrates a Common Schizoidal Phenotype within Autistic and Schizotypal Tendency: Implications for NeuroscientifcStudies. *Frontiers in psychiatry* **5**, 117, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2014.00117](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2014.00117) (2014).
- 17. Chisholm, K., Lin, A., Abu-Akel, A. & Wood, S. J. The association between autism and schizophrenia spectrum disorders: A review of eight alternate models of co-occurrence. *Neuroscience and biobehavioral reviews* **55**, 173–183, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.04.012) [neubiorev.2015.04.012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.04.012) (2015).
- 18. Abu-Akel, A., Apperly, I. A., Wood, S. J. & Hansen, P. C. & Mevorach, C. Autism Tendencies and Psychosis Proneness Interactively Modulate Saliency Cost. *Schizophrenia bulletin* **43**, 142–151, [https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbw066](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbw066) (2017).
- 19. Abu-Akel, A. *et al*. Attentional and social abilities in children with schizotypal and comorbid autism spectrum disorders. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* **52**, 68–77 (2018).
- 20. Abu-Akel, A., Apperly, I. A., Wood, S. J. & Hansen, P. C. Autism and psychosis expressions diametrically modulate the right temporoparietal junction. *Social neuroscience* **12**, 506–518, [https://doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2016.1190786](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17470919.2016.1190786) (2017).
- 21. Crespi, B. & Badcock, C. Psychosis and autism as diametrical disorders of the social brain. *Te Behavioral and brain sciences* **31**, 241–261; discussion 261–320, [https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X08004214](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X08004214) (2008).
- 22. Crespi, B., Stead, P. & Elliot, M. Evolution in health and medicine Sackler colloquium: Comparative genomics of autism and schizophrenia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **107**(Suppl 1), 1736–1741, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906080106) [org/10.1073/pnas.0906080106](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906080106) (2010).
- 23. Yogev, H., Hadar, U., Gutman, Y. & Sirota, P. Perseveration and over-switching in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia research* **61**, 315–321 (2003).
- 24. Yogev, H., Sirota, P., Gutman, Y. & Hadar, U. Latent inhibition and overswitching in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia bulletin* **30**, 713–726 (2004).
- 25. Robertson, C. E., Kravitz, D. J., Freyberg, J., Baron-Cohen, S. & Baker, C. I. Slower rate of binocular rivalry in autism. *The Journal of neuroscience: the ofcial journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **33**, 16983–16991, [https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0448-13.2013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0448-13.2013) (2013).
- 26. Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., Skinner, R., Martin, J. & Clubley, E. Te autism-spectrum quotient (AQ): evidence from Asperger syndrome/high-functioning autism, males and females, scientists and mathematicians. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders* **31**, 5–17 (2001).
- 27. Allardyce, J., Suppes, T. & Van Os, J. Dimensions and the psychosis phenotype. *International journal of methods in psychiatric research* **16**(Suppl 1), S34–40, [https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.214](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mpr.214) (2007).
- 28. Stefansson, H. *et al*. CNVs conferring risk of autism or schizophrenia afect cognition in controls. *Nature* **505**, 361–366, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12818) [org/10.1038/nature12818](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature12818) (2014).
- 29. Ettinger, U. *et al*. Cognition and brain function in schizotypy: a selective review. *Schizophrenia bulletin* **41**(Suppl 2), S417–426, [https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbu190](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbu190) (2015).
- 30. Rotshtein, P., Henson, R. N., Treves, A., Driver, J. & Dolan, R. J. Morphing Marilyn into Maggie dissociates physical and identity face representations in the brain. *Nature neuroscience* **8**, 107–113, [https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1370](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn1370) (2005).
- 31. DiQuattro, N. E. & Geng, J. J. Contextual knowledge confgures attentional control networks. *Te Journal of neuroscience: the ofcial journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **31**, 18026–18035, [https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4040-11.2011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4040-11.2011) (2011).
- 32. Geng, J. J. & Diquattro, N. E. Attentional capture by a perceptually salient non-target facilitates target processing through inhibition and rapid rejection. *J Vis* **10**, 5, [https://doi.org/10.1167/10.6.5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1167/10.6.5) (2010).
- 33. Mitelman, S. A. *et al*. Diametrical relationship between gray and white matter volumes in autism spectrum disorder and schizophrenia. *Brain Imaging Behav*, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11682-016-9648-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11682-016-9648-9) (2016).
- 34. Abu-Akel, A. & Bailey, A. L. Te possibility of diferent forms of theory of mind impairment in psychiatric and developmental disorders. *Psychological medicine* **30**, 735–738 (2000).
- 35. Townsend, J. T. & Ashby, F. G. *Stochastic modeling of elementary psychological processes*. (Cambridge University Press, 1983).
- 36. Abu-Akel, A., Wood, S. J., Hansen, P. C. & Apperly, I. A. Perspective-taking abilities in the balance between autism tendencies and psychosis proneness. *Proceedings. Biological sciences / Te Royal Society* **282**, 20150563, [https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0563](http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0563) (2015).
- 37. Mevorach, C., Hodsoll, J., Allen, H., Shalev, L. & Humphreys, G. Ignoring the elephant in the room: a neural circuit to downregulate salience. *The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience* **30**, 6072-6079, [https://doi.org/10.1523/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0241-10.2010) [JNEUROSCI.0241-10.2010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0241-10.2010) (2010).
- 38. Stefanis, N. C. *et al*. Evidence that three dimensions of psychosis have a distribution in the general population. *Psychological medicine* **32**, 347–358 (2002).
- 39. Braver, T. S. Te variable nature of cognitive control: a dual mechanisms framework. *Trends in cognitive sciences* **16**, 106–113, [https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.12.010) [doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.12.010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.12.010) (2012).
- 40. Keehn, B., Westerfeld, M., Muller, R.-A. & Townsend, J. Autism, attention, and alpha oscillations: An electrophysiological study of attentional capture. *Biol Psychiatry Cogn Neurosci Neuroimaging* **2**, 528–536 (2017).
- 41. Remington, A., Swettenham, J., Campbell, R. & Coleman, M. Selective attention and perceptual load in autism spectrum disorder. *Psychological science* **20**, 1388–1393, [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2009.02454.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2009.02454.x) (2009).
- 42. Mevorach, C., Humphreys, G. W. & Shalev, L. Efects of saliency, not global dominance, in patients with lef parietal damage. *Neuropsychologia* **44**, 307–319, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2005.04.015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2005.04.015) (2006).
- 43. MacDonald, A. W. 3rd & Carter, C. S. Event-related FMRI study of context processing in dorsolateral prefrontal cortex of patients with schizophrenia. *Journal of abnormal psychology* **112**, 689–697, [https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.112.4.689](http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.112.4.689) (2003).
- 44. Lesh, T. A. *et al*. Proactive and reactive cognitive control and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex dysfunction in first episode schizophrenia. *NeuroImage. Clinical* **2**, 590–599, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2013.04.010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nicl.2013.04.010) (2013).
- 45. Spencer, K. M. *et al*. Enhanced facilitation of spatial attention in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychology* **25**, 76–85, [https://doi.org/10.1037/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0020779) [a0020779](http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0020779) (2011).
- 46. Murphy, J. W., Foxe, J. J., Peters, J. B. & Molholm, S. Susceptibility to distraction in autism spectrum disorder: probing the integrity of oscillatory alpha-band suppression mechanisms. *Autism research: ofcial journal of the International Society for Autism Research* **7**, 442–458, [https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.1374](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/aur.1374) (2014).
- 47. Greenaway, R. & Plaisted, K. Top-down attentional modulation in autistic spectrum disorders is stimulus-specifc. *Psychological science* **16**, 987–994, [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2005.01648.x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.2005.01648.x) (2005).
- 48. Amer, T., Campbell, K. L. & Hasher, L. Cognitive Control As a Double-Edged Sword. *Trends in cognitive sciences* **20**, 905–915, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.10.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.10.002) (2016).
- 49. Hahn, B. *et al*. Visuospatial attention in schizophrenia: defcits in broad monitoring. *Journal of abnormal psychology* **121**, 119–128, [https://doi.org/10.1037/a0023938](http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0023938) (2012).
- 50. Ploog, B. O. S. O. Four Decades Later: A Review of the Literature and Its Implications for Current Research in Autism Spectrum Disorder. *Journal of autism and developmental disorders* **40**, 1332–1349, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-010-0990-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10803-010-0990-2) (2010).
- 51. Wood, S. J. Autism and schizophrenia: one, two or many disorders? *Te British journal of psychiatry: the journal of mental science* **210**, 241–242, [https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.116.193490](http://dx.doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.116.193490) (2017).
- 52. Abu-Akel, A. *et al*. Autistic and schizotypal traits and global functioning in bipolar I disorder. *Journal of afective disorders* **207**, 268–275, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.09.059](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2016.09.059) (2017).
- 53. Robertson, C. E., Kravitz, D. J., Freyberg, J., Baron-Cohen, S. & Baker, C. I. Tunnel vision: sharper gradient of spatial attention in autism. *The Journal of neuroscience: the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience 33, 6776–6781, [https://doi.org/10.1523/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5120-12.2013)* [JNEUROSCI.5120-12.2013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5120-12.2013) (2013).
- 54. Wang, L., Mottron, L., Peng, D., Berthiaume, C. & Dawson, M. Local bias and local-to-global interference without global defcit: a robust fnding in autism under various conditions of attention, exposure time, and visual angle. *Cogn Neuropsychol* **24**, 550–574, [https://doi.org/10.1080/13546800701417096](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13546800701417096) (2007).
- 55. Behrmann, M., Tomas, C. & Humphreys, K. Seeing it diferently: visual processing in autism. *Trends in cognitive sciences* **10**, 258–264, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.05.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.05.001) (2006).
- 56. Humphreys, K., Minshew, N., Leonard, G. L. & Behrmann, M. A fne-grained analysis of facial expression processing in highfunctioning adults with autism. *Neuropsychologia* **45**, 685–695, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.08.003](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.08.003) (2007).
- 57. Tan, D. W. *et al*. Perceived Gender Ratings for High and Low Scorers on the Autism-Spectrum Quotient Consistent with the Extreme Male Brain Account of Autism. *PloS one* **10**, e0131780, [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0131780](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0131780) (2015).
- 58. Lin, A. *et al.* The relationship between coping and subclinical psychotic experiences in adolescents from the general population-a longitudinal study. *Psychological medicine* **41**, 2535–2546, [https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291711000560](http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033291711000560) (2011).
- 59. Chang, D. H., Mevorach, C., Kourtzi, Z. & Welchman, A. E. Training transfers the limits on perception from parietal to ventral cortex. *Current biology: CB* **24**, 2445–2450, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.08.058](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2014.08.058) (2014).
- 60. Mevorach, C., Humphreys, G. W. & Shalev, L. Refexive and preparatory selection and suppression of salient information in the right and left posterior parietal cortex. *Journal of cognitive neuroscience* 21, 1204-1214, [https://doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2009.21088](http://dx.doi.org/10.1162/jocn.2009.21088) (2009).
- 61. Peirce, J. W. PsychoPy–Psychophysics software in Python. *J Neurosci Methods* **162**, 8–13, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2006.11.017) [jneumeth.2006.11.017](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2006.11.017) (2007).
- 62. Mazaheri, A., DiQuattro, N. E., Bengson, J. & Geng, J. J. Pre-stimulus activity predicts the winner of top-down vs. bottom-up attentional selection. *PloS one* **6**, e16243, [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016243](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016243) (2011).

Author Contributions

A.A., C.M., I.A., J.J.G., and M.M.S. designed the research; A.A. and C.M. analyzed the data; A.A. and C.M. wrote the paper; all authors provided feedback and approved the fnal version.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26821-7.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-26821-7)

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \odot License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

© The Author(s) 2018