### Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

#### Title

Evolutionary diversity of proton and water channels on the oxidizing side of photosystem II and their relevance to function

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8fm5d1fw

Journal Photosynthesis Research, 158(2)

ISSN

0166-8595

Authors

Hussein, Rana Ibrahim, Mohamed Bhowmick, Asmit <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2023-11-01

DOI 10.1007/s11120-023-01018-w

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

#### REVIEW



# Evolutionary diversity of proton and water channels on the oxidizing side of photosystem II and their relevance to function

Rana Hussein<sup>1</sup><sup>®</sup> · Mohamed Ibrahim<sup>1</sup><sup>®</sup> · Asmit Bhowmick<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup> · Philipp S. Simon<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup> · Isabel Bogacz<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup> · Margaret D. Doyle<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup> · Holger Dobbek<sup>1</sup><sup>®</sup> · Athina Zouni<sup>1</sup><sup>®</sup> · Johannes Messinger<sup>3,4</sup><sup>®</sup> · Vittal K. Yachandra<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup> · Jan F. Kern<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup> · Junko Yano<sup>2</sup><sup>®</sup>

Received: 15 February 2023 / Accepted: 29 March 2023  $\ensuremath{\textcircled{O}}$  The Author(s) 2023

#### Abstract

One of the reasons for the high efficiency and selectivity of biological catalysts arise from their ability to control the pathways of substrates and products using protein channels, and by modulating the transport in the channels using the interaction with the protein residues and the water/hydrogen-bonding network. This process is clearly demonstrated in Photosystem II (PS II), where its light-driven water oxidation reaction catalyzed by the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster occurs deep inside the protein complex and thus requires the transport of two water molecules to and four protons from the metal center to the bulk water. Based on the recent advances in structural studies of PS II from X-ray crystallography and cryo-electron microscopy, in this review we compare the channels that have been proposed to facilitate this mass transport in cyanobacteria, red and green algae, diatoms, and higher plants. The three major channels (O1, O4, and Cl1 channels) are present in all species investigated; however, some differences exist in the reported structures that arise from the different composition and arrangement of membrane extrinsic subunits between the species. Among the three channels, the Cl1 channel, including the proton gate, is the most conserved among all photosynthetic species. We also found at least one branch for the O1 channel in all organisms, extending all the way from Ca/O1 via the 'water wheel' to the lumen. However, the extending path after the water wheel varies between most species. The O4 channel is, like the Cl1 channel, highly conserved among all species while having different orientations at the end of the path near the bulk. The comparison suggests that the previously proposed functionality of the channels in T. vestitus (Ibrahim et al., Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117:12624-12635, 2020; Hussein et al., Nat Commun 12:6531, 2021) is conserved through the species, i.e. the O1-like channel is used for substrate water intake, and the tighter C11 and O4 channels for proton release. The comparison does not eliminate the potential role of O4 channel as a water intake channel. However, the highly ordered hydrogen-bonded water wire connected to the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster via the O4 may strongly suggest that it functions in proton release, especially during the  $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$  transition (Saito et al., Nat Commun 6:8488, 2015; Kern et al., Nature 563:421–425, 2018; Ibrahim et al., Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117:12624–12635, 2020; Sakashita et al., Phys Chem Chem Phys 22:15831–15841, 2020; Hussein et al., Nat Commun 12:6531, 2021).

Keywords Photosystem II · Water oxidation · Water transport · Oxygen evolving complex · Evolution

Rana Hussein husseinr@hu-berlin.de

> Jan F. Kern jfkern@lbl.gov

Junko Yano jyano@lbl.gov

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, Humboldt-Universität Zu Berlin, 10099 Berlin, Germany

- <sup>2</sup> Molecular Biophysics and Integrated Bioimaging Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Molecular Biomimetics, Department of Chemistry-Ångström, Uppsala University, SE 75120 Uppsala, Sweden
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Chemistry, Umeå University, SE 90187 Umeå, Sweden

#### Introduction

In nature, the light-driven water oxidation reaction is carried out by Photosystem II (PS II), a multisubunit protein complex that is part of the thylakoid membrane in chloroplasts or cyanobacterial cells. This reaction is made possible through a series of spatially separated cofactors that extend over 40 Å and include the metal catalytic center (Oxygen Evolving Complex, OEC), the redox-active tyrosine  $Y_7$ , the reaction center chlorophylls, pheophytin, and two plastoquinone electron acceptors (Q<sub>A</sub> and Q<sub>B</sub>) (Hillier and Messinger 2005; Müh and Zouni 2020; Shevela et al. 2021). The water oxidation reaction (shown below) proceeds by coupling the one-electron photochemistry occurring at the reaction center chlorophylls with the two-electron, two-proton reductive chemistry at the Q<sub>B</sub> site and the four-electron, four-proton oxidation of water at the OEC, that consists of a heteronuclear Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster (Fig. 1A) (Umena et al. 2011; Yano and Yachandra 2014).

$$2\mathrm{H}_{2}\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2} + 4\mathrm{H}^{+} + 4\mathrm{e}^{-}$$

During water oxidation catalysis, the OEC cycles through five intermediate redox states, the S-states ( $S_0$  to  $S_4$ ) (Fig. 1B)(Kok et al. 1970; Joliot and Kok 1975), that correspond to the successive light-driven abstraction of four electrons and four protons from the OEC, as well as the uptake of two water molecules. Starting from the lowest oxidation state ( $S_0$ ), the first three electron abstractions each lead to the oxidation of one Mn(III) to a Mn(IV), while the  $S_4$ 

state likely represents the formation of a transient oxidized Mn(V) or Mn(IV) with a bound delocalized oxyl radical that is highly reactive and initiates O<sub>2</sub> formation with a specific oxygen ligand (Cox and Messinger 2013; Yano and Yachandra 2014; Vinyard and Brudvig 2017; Yamaguchi et al. 2022). Substrate water is incorporated into the  $Mn_4CaO_5$ cluster in two separate steps: one is introduced during the  $S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  transition and the second during the  $S_3 \rightarrow S_0$  transition (Noguchi and Sugiura 2002; Suzuki et al. 2008; Cox and Messinger 2013; Suga et al. 2017; Kern et al. 2018). Meanwhile, four protons are released from the catalytic reaction into the lumen, in the pattern of 1:0:1:2 for the S-state transitions  $S_0 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow S_3 \rightarrow [S_4] \rightarrow S_0$ , respectively (Renger 2012; Noguchi et al. 2012; Cox and Messinger 2013; Klauss et al. 2015) (Fig. 1B). Once four oxidizing equivalents accumulate at the OEC (reactive  $[S_4]$ -state), the release of  $O_2$ and the formation of the  $S_0$ -state take place spontaneously.

Throughout this process, the spatially controlled transport of the substrate, water, and one of the products, protons, between the catalytic center and the inside of the thylakoids (lumen) is essential for catalytic efficiency. The  $O_2$  release pathway(s), if any, are not well established experimentally at present, and it is thought to be most likely a non-specific diffusion through the hydrophobic peptide and lipid matrix (Gabdulkhakov et al. 2009, 2015a).

There have been a number of theoretical studies (Murray and Barber 2007a, b; Ho and Styring 2008; Ho 2008; Gabdulkhakov et al. 2009, 2015a; Vassiliev et al. 2010, 2012) to identify the channels that facilitate substrate intake and proton release, based on the crystallographic data obtained from PS II of Thermosynechococcus bacteria, *T. vestitus* 



**Fig. 1** The catalytic site of water oxidation in PS II. **A** The structure of the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster in the  $S_1$  state with its surrounding ligands. The water channels connecting the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster to the lumen (O1, O4, and Cl1) are indicated as red, blue, and green shaded arrows, respectively. **B** Kok cycle showing the steps of water oxidation reaction triggered by the absorption of photons shown as four

light flashes (1F to 4F). The oxidation state of the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster is denoted by the  $S_i$  states ( $S_0$ – $S_4$ ). The cycle shows the steps of electron (e<sup>-</sup>) and proton (H<sup>+</sup>) release and the insertion of two water molecules (H<sub>2</sub>O). Labels: Mn purple,  $Ca^{2+}$  green, O red. Amino acid residues from D1 and CP43 subunits are colored gray and magenta, respectively

(previously known as *T. elongatus*, see (Komárek et al. 2020)) and *T. vulcanus* (Ferreira et al. 2004; Loll et al. 2005; Guskov et al. 2009; Gabdulkhakov et al. 2009; Umena et al. 2011). Three-branched channel systems were identified and variously named (Table 1). Here we employ the nomenclature that signifies the entry point of each channel into the OEC region: O1 channel, O4 channel, and Cl1 channel (see Fig. 1A).

Recent structural studies propose that the O1 channel is likely the main water intake pathway (Suga et al. 2019; Ibrahim et al. 2020; Li et al. 2021; Hussein et al. 2021). This hypothesis is based on the high mobility of waters located along the O1 channel compared to the other channels. On the other hand, as W20 (named as W665 (Suga et al. 2019)) is absent starting in the S<sub>2</sub> state the H-bonding network for proton release is disrupted, making a possible proton release via the O4 channel during the  $S_2 \rightarrow S_3 \rightarrow S_0$  transitions unlikely (Kern et al. 2018; Suga et al. 2019). Therefore, the Cl1 channel is likely the main proton release pathway, at least during the  $S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  and the  $S_3 \rightarrow S_0$  transition. This is indicated, among others, by the reversible rotation of the amino acid residue D1-E65, which likely opens a gate for proton release into the bulk (Hussein et al. 2021). Recent experimental and computational studies also suggest that the O4 channel is used for proton transfer during the  $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$  transition (Saito et al. 2015; Takaoka et al. 2016; Shimizu et al. 2018). These results indicate that the water oxidation reaction at the OEC is well synchronized with the movement of specific

Table 1 Nomenclature of the channels in literature

amino acid side chains and the hydrogen-bonding network over the entire length of the channels, which is essential for shuttling substrate waters and protons.

Despite the long evolutionary separation, it is well established that the PS II reaction center structure is overall highly conserved among oxygenic organisms ranging from cyanobacteria and algae to higher plants. However, some differences exist among the organisms in the composition of extrinsic protein subunits located at the lumenal side of the protein and in the associated light-harvesting complexes (Müh and Zouni 2020). Such differences could influence the structure of potential channels, thereby affecting the access of substrate waters to or the release of protons from the OEC (de Lichtenberg et al. 2021).

In this review, we present a comparative study of the channels in the PS II of different species to elucidate the essential components regulating proton egress and water access to the catalytic site. Taking advantage of the recent progress in the structural studies of PS II using serial crystallography and cryo-EM, we investigate here the location and the structure of channels within the PS II complex among different organisms. This structural comparison provides insight into the essential requirements for the regulation of the transport of substrate and protons. Furthermore, it shows the development of different organisms, from cyanobacteria to higher plants, during evolution.

Study	Ho and Styring (Ho and Styring 2008)	Murray and Barber (Mur- ray and Barber 2007a)	Gabdulkhakov et al. (Gab- dulkhakov et al. 2009)	Umena et al. (Umena et al. 2011)	Vassiliev et al. (Vassiliev et al. 2012)	Ogata et al. (Ogata et al. 2013)	Sakashita et al. (Sakashita et al. 2017b)	Weisz et al. (Weisz et al. 2017)
Method	Surface contact calculation	CAVER	Xe, CAVER	H-bond network analysis	MD simulation	L		Mass spec- trometry
PDB ID	2AXT	1S5L and 2AXT	3BZ1	3ARC (2WU2)	3ARC (2WU2)	3ARC (2WU2)	3ARC (2WU2)	
Resolution	3.00 Å	3.5 Å, 3.0 Å	2.90 Å	1.90 Å	1.90 Å	1.90 Å	1.90 Å	
O1 Channel A	large	channel ii	B1		4.A		O1-water chain	Arm 2
O1 Channel B	large	channel ii	B2		4B			Arm 2
O4 Channel	narrow	NA	E, F	4.c	2	Path 3	O4-water chain	
			D		Channel X			Arm 3
Cl1 Chan- nel A	broad	-	G	4.b	1		E65/E312 channel	
Cl1 Chan- nel B		channel iii	C, D		3	Path 2		Arm 1
Cl2 network				4.c				
	back	channel i	A1, A2	3.b	5	Path 1		Arm 3

There are multiple names used for identifying the water and proton channels in PS II. The table summarizes their correspondence

## The architecture of the lumenal side of PS II in different organisms

Photosystem II is a multiprotein complex comprised of several membrane-intrinsic- and membrane-extrinsic subunits. Extrinsic subunits face the lumenal side of the PS II complex and play a role in stabilizing and protecting the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster from the lumenal surface. Moreover, they enhance the availability of the inorganic cofactors i.e.,  $Ca^{2+}$  and  $Cl^-$  (Ghanotakis et al. 1984), and control the accessibility of the water channels that supply the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster with the substrate waters or act as an exit channel for protons and molecular oxygen to guarantee efficient turnover for PS II. Most of the membrane intrinsic subunits are ubiquitous and conserved across all the oxygenic photosynthetic organisms, including cyanobacteria, algae, and higher plants. However, the extrinsic subunits exhibit significant differences except for the PsbO subunit, which showed high similarity and is being conserved among the oxygenic photosynthetic organisms (Roose et al. 2016) (Fig. 2). This emphasizes the importance of the PsbO subunit as a manganese-stabilizing protein. Futhermore, several studies suggest that the PsbO subunit plays a role in proton release (De Las Rivas and Barber 2004; Popelkova and Yocum 2011; Ifuku 2015). Prior to



the comparison of potential channels, we thus summarize the differences of the extrinsic subunit composition in different organisms, in relation to their phylogeny.

In cyanobacteria, the extrinsic subunits consist of PsbO, PsbU, PsbV, CyanoQ, and CyanoP (Roose et al. 2016; Ifuku and Nagao 2021). The currently available X-ray and cryo-EM structures of the thermophilic cyanobacterial PS II show the binding of PsbO, PsbU, and PsbV, whereas the binding of CyanoQ or CyanoP is not observed. Interestingly, the recent cryo-EM structure of PS II from the mesophilic cyanobacterium, *Synechocystis PCC 6803*, showed the binding of CyanoQ (Gisriel et al. 2022). It was also reported that CyanoQ is part of PS II assembly intermediates (Michoux et al. 2014). Similarly, for CyanoP, several studies showed that it is part of the premature PS II, suggesting that it may act as an assembly factor in the early stages of the PS II assembly (Selao et al. 2016).

The first photosynthetic eukaryotes developed by endosymbiosis involving an early cyanobacteria taken up by a protist. These then developed further into various branches, such as the glaucophyte, rhodophyte algae (red algae), diatoms, green algae, and plants. In the glaucophyte and the red lineage photosynthetic organisms, including red algae and diatoms, the PsbV and PsbU subunits are highly conserved. In addition, they developed PsbQ' from its homolog CyanoQ. In contrast, the Psb31 subunit is a unique extrinsic subunit found only in PS II of diatoms.

In the green photosynthetic organisms, including green algae and higher plants, the PsbQ subunit is found which is structurally highly similar to the PsbQ' in the red lineage but with the presence of further functional deviations. The major difference in the green lineage compared to the red lineage was the replacement of the PsbV and PsbU subunits by the PsbP subunit, that evolved from CyanoP (see (Ifuku and Nagao 2021) for a review).

## Structural studies of PS II from different organisms

High-resolution structures of mature PS II have been obtained by X-ray crystallography at both cryogenic (100 K) (Umena et al. 2011; Hellmich et al. 2014; Suga et al. 2015, 2019; Tanaka et al. 2017) and room temperature (Young et al. 2016; Suga et al. 2017; Kern et al. 2018; Ibrahim et al. 2020; Hussein et al. 2021), and by cryoelectron microscopy (Kato et al. 2021; Gisriel et al. 2022). Therefore, we first provide a brief summary of the recent structural studies (Table 2).

#### X-ray crystallography

Over the last two decades, X-ray crystallography of PS II using thermophilic cyanobacteria (Thermosynechococcus vestitus and Thermosynechococcus vulcanus) has revealed the complex protein/cofactor/lipid assembly. There have been two approaches to the structural study; one is to further improve the resolution of the dark resting state, and the other is to follow the structure of the catalytic intermediates under functional conditions. The former effort using low-X-ray dose experiments at cryogenic temperature using synchrotrons has improved the resolution to 1.85 Å (Tanaka et al. 2017). The later approach at room temperature (RT) became possible owing to the introduction of the X-ray free electron lasers (XFELs) like the LINAC Coherent Light Source (LCLS) in the US and the Sub-Ångstrom Compact LAser (SACLA) in Japan (Suga et al. 2017; Kern et al. 2018; Ibrahim et al. 2020). Both approaches at synchrotrons and XFELs described above provide avenues to overcome or moderate the problem of radiation-induced changes to the metal cluster in PS II during traditional X-ray crystallographic data collection (Yano et al. 2005; Kern et al. 2013; Fransson et al. 2021).

Crystallography at XFELs, in particular, provided the ability to take snapshots of the structure at the various time points during the reaction, and provided the structures of all the stable intermediates ( $S_0$  to  $S_3$ ) at room temperature (Kern et al. 2018; Ibrahim et al. 2020). It also allows for the investigation of water movements and changes in hydrogen bonding networks (Ibrahim et al. 2020; Hussein et al. 2021), which can lead to identifying water and proton pathways. PS II reaction centers are fully active in the crystalline environment under the physiological temperatures that are used during the experiments, as evidenced by the ability to advance the reaction through the Kok cycle in situ, shown by the crystallography and X-ray spectroscopy results (Fransson et al. 2018, 2021; Kern et al. 2018; Ibrahim et al. 2020) as well as supplementary spectroscopic and mass spectrometric measurements on microcrystals of PS II (Kato et al. 2018, 2019; Kern et al. 2018; Ibrahim et al. 2020).

Besides *Thermosynechococcus* species, a crystal structure of eukaryotic PS II from red alga (*Cyanidium caldarium*) has been reported at 2.76 Å resolution (Ago et al. 2016). The major difference to the *Thermosynechococcus* PS II structure is the presence of the PsbQ' subunit at the lumenal side of CP43, that contributes to the difference of channels.

#### **Cryo electron microscopy**

Another recent advancement in structural studies arises from the application of cryo-electron microscopy. Unlike the X-ray structural studies that require high-quality crystals, which is a highly elaborate task for large membrane proteins

PDB ID	Organism	Data collection	Resolution	No. of waters	Reference
7RF2	T. vestitus BP-1	RT-XFEL	2.08	1994	Hussein et al. (2021)
7RF1	T. vestitus BP-1	RT-XFEL	1.89	1960	Hussein et al. (2021)
6W1O	T. vestitus BP-1	RT-XFEL	2.08	2037	Ibrahim et al. (2020)
6DHE	T. vestitus BP-1	RT-XFEL	2.05	2021	Kern et al. (2018)
4PJ0	T. vestitus BP-1	cryo-X-ray	2.44	304	Hellmich et al. (2014)
5MX2	T. vestitus BP-1 (apo-PSII)	cryo-X-ray	2.55	703	Zhang et al. (2017)
4V82	T. vestitus BP-1 (PSII with terbutryn)	cryo-X-ray	3.2	0	Broser et al. (2011)
7D1T	T. vulcanus	cryo-EM	1.95	2432	Kato et al. (2021)
7COU	T. vulcanus	RT-XFEL	2.25	2118	Li et al. (2021)
6JLJ	T. vulcanus	cryo-XFEL	2.15	2616	Suga et al. (2019)
5WS5	T. vulcanus	RT-XFEL	2.35	1626	Suga et al. (2017)
5B5E	T. vulcanus	cryo-X-ray	1.87	3802	Tanaka et al. (2017)
5B66	T. vulcanus	cryo-X-ray	1.85	4066	Tanaka et al. (2017)
4UB6	T. vulcanus	cryo-XFEL	1.95	2590	Suga et al. (2015)
3WU2	T. vulcanus	cryo-X-ray	1.9	2983	Umena et al. (2011)
7YQ2	T. vulcanus (PsbA2)	cryo-X-ray	1.9	3468	Nakajima et al. (2022)
7YQ7	T. vulcanus (PsbA3)	cryo-X-ray	1.90	3409	Nakajima et al. (2022)
7N8O	S. sp. PCC 6803	cryo-EM	1.93	1236	Gisriel et al. (2022)
4YUU	C. caldarium (red algae)	cryo-X-ray	2.77	18	Ago et al. (2016)
6JLU	C. gracilis (Diatom)	cryo-EM	3.02	1	Pi et al. (2019)
6KAC	C. reinhardtii (green algae)	cryo-EM	2.7	595	Sheng et al. (2019)
5XNL	P. sativum	cryo-EM	2.7	1076	Su et al. (2017)
3JCU	S.oleracea	cryo-EM	3.2	0	Wei et al. (2016)
5MDX	A. thaliana (apo-PSII)	cryo-EM	5.3	0	van Bezouwen et al. (2017)
70UI	A. thaliana (apo-PSII)	cryo-EM	2.79	139	Graca et al. (2021)

Table 2 Summary of the high-resolution PS II structures

The structures analyzed by CAVER 3.0 (Chovancova et al. 2012) in this study are highlighted in bold

such as PS II, cryo-EM can provide single particle images of PS II (Kato et al. 2021; Zabret et al. 2021; Gisriel et al. 2022). The method allows obtaining structures of PS II from species other than of the *Thermosynechococcus* family that have been difficult to crystallize for structural studies with diffraction methods, often due to the highly variable light harvesting complexes associated with PS II (Pi et al. 2019; Sheng et al. 2019; Graca et al. 2021).

The cryo-EM structure of PS II from the mesophilic cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 (Gisriel et al. 2022) has been reported at a resolution of 1.93 Å. A number of differences are observed relative to thermophilic PS II structures, that include the presence of extrinsic subunit CyanoQ, the flexibility of the C terminus of the D1 subunit, and differences in the PsbV subunit that affect the path for the Large (O1) water channel. As reported by Kato et al., however, electron beam damage, in particular around the redox-active regions like the OEC, at the high-dose utilized to obtain high-resolution data has been a challenge that needs to be solved for cryo-EM studies (Kato et al. 2021).

When attempting to extract structural diversity that originates from the intrinsic differences among the species from the above experiments, one needs to pay attention to the potentially convoluted effects that arise from the methods and experimental conditions. Parameters that differ among experiments include temperature, radiation dose, and the form of samples. The cryo-EM and synchrotron X-ray crystallography experiments are carried out at a cryogenic temperature, while X-ray crystallography at XFELs is mostly performed at room temperature (Kern et al. 2013, 2018; Young et al. 2016; Suga et al. 2017). Radiation-induced changes in proteins and redox-active metal centers could occur to various degrees depending on the radiation (electrons or X-rays) dose. While radiation-induced sample damage that arises from the diffusion of radicals and solvated electrons is an intrinsic problem in cryo-EM and synchrotron X-ray diffraction experiments (Yano et al. 2005; Holton 2009; Kato et al. 2021; Garman and Weik 2021), the femtosecond X-ray pulses utilized in XFEL diffraction experiments allow the use of a higher radiation dose even at room temperature without manifestation of the damage effect in the measured data due to the "measure before destroy" approach (Neutze et al. 2000; Kern et al. 2013; Chapman 2019; Fransson et al. 2021). The issue of the form of samples (single particles, crystals, etc.) is more complicated due to the different sample treatments prior to the experiments. It can affect the stability of the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster and natural or artificial electron acceptor (e.g., quinones) contents. Changes in the cluster stability can cause a reduction of Mn(III/IV) to Mn(II) and disassembly of the cluster, which changes the position/orientation of amino acids and waters near the cluster. Changes in the acceptor content can inhibit the S-state advancement of the catalytic center. Checking the O2 activity of each sample prior to the structural study under conditions as close to the structural measurements as possible is therefore important. For example, PS II crystals are as active as solution samples (Zouni et al. 2000; Krivanek et al. 2007; Kern et al. 2018; Ibrahim et al. 2020), although slight differences in the O<sub>2</sub> evolution have been observed (Kato et al. 2018, 2019) that could be due to increased concentrations of cryoprotectants, e.g., glycerol.

It should be noted that for several high-resolution crystal structures, a so-called "dehydration" (Umena et al. 2011; Tanaka et al. 2017) or "post-crystalization treatment" (Hellmich et al. 2014; Kern et al. 2018; Ibrahim et al. 2020; Hussein et al. 2021) procedure was applied. Generally, the actual mode of action of the post-crystalization treatment in the case of PS II crystals sometimes includes a transition into a more membrane-like environment during the procedure (Hellmich et al. 2014). In that case, several observations support the occurrence of this transition: (a) the polyethylene glycol mono methyl ether utilized in the dehydration procedure is known to provide a more membrane or detergent-like environment for hydrophobic proteins compared to normal polyethylene glycol (Brzozowski and Tolley 1994). (b) the crystal packing after the "post-crystalization treatment" procedure resembles the arrangement of PS II dimers in the native thylakoid membrane. (c) success of the treatment procedure was strongly dependent on the choice of the right starting detergent and the increase of the PEG MME concentration was accompanied by a parallel decrease of detergent concentration, facilitating the removal of the detergent belt. However, the water content of the channels within these PS II structures, compared with other highresolution PSII structures, is not affected by the temperature the measurement was performed at or the degree of postcrystallization treatment that may vary based on the applied protocol (Hussein et al. 2021). In addition, Membrane Inlet Mass Spectrometry (MIMS) was used to compare the transition efficiency of PS II solution against treated PS II crystals, and no significant differences were found (Kern et al. 2018; Ibrahim et al. 2020; Hussein et al. 2021). Based on these observations, it is misleading to assume that PS II in the crystal packing after the "post-crystalization treatment" procedure is lacking water, especially within the channels, or is reduced in water oxidation activity, as was recently suggested (Sirohiwal and Pantazis 2022). On the contrary, when comparing the number of observed water molecules in several recent high-resolution structures obtained by cryo-EM and crystallography no indication for the presence of a lower number of waters in the PS II complex in crystalline "dehydrated" or "treated" samples versus untreated flash-frozen solution samples was evident: 1957, 1377 and 1000-1100 waters/monomer for the highest resolution "dehydrated/ treated" cryo XRD structure at 1.85 Å (Tanaka et al. 2017), 1.95 Å (Suga et al. 2015) and ~2 Å resolution (Ibrahim et al. 2020) respectively; and 1222 waters/monomer in the nondehydrated cryo-EM structures at 1.95 Å resolution (Kato et al. 2021). From this comparison, it is clear that the number of modeled waters in a certain structure is a function of the obtained resolution, but is also dependent on the choice of refinement software. One additional important parameter is the measurement temperature, as higher temperatures lead to higher mobility and hence weaker localization of some waters in the structural data. By contrast, this comparison does not support the assertion that the"post-crystalliztion treatment" procedure affects the crystal water content within the channels of PS II.

#### **Comparison of channels**

Based on the the available structures of PS II, we used Caver 3.0.3 (Chovancova et al. 2012) to investigate the channels that connect the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster to the lumenal surface in different oxygenic photosynthetic organisms, selecting one structure for each organism. Details are given in the legend of Table 3. The results obtained for PS II from mesophilic cyanobacteria, the red lineage, including red algae and diatoms, and the green lineage including green algae and higher plants are compared to those determined for the thermophilic cyanobacteria.

The potential water channels currently proposed in the literature are mainly based on the crystal structures of the thermophilic cyanobacteria. The early studies in this area were performed by (Murray and Barber 2007a, b; Ho and Styring 2008; Ho 2008; Gabdulkhakov et al. 2009), based on searches for channels in the crystal structures. In addition to the direct crystal structure analysis, MD simulations were performed, e.g., to identify new channels, determine the water permeation energetics and study the water diffusion from the bulk (Vassiliev et al. 2010, 2012, 2013; Gabdulkhakov et al. 2015b; Capone et al. 2016; Sakashita et al. 2017a, 2020). These channels match some channels identified in the earlier crystal structures. The three main channels presently discussed are the Cl1 channel, the O1 channel, and the O4 channel (Table 3), and their corresponding names in other studies are summarized in Table 1.

Table 3	Characteristics of channels leading from the Mn <sub>4</sub> CaO <sub>5</sub>	cluster to the lument	al side of PS II ("s	surface" = at the bu	lk interface, e	ndpoint of
the chan	nel) for the different organisms covered in this study					

Channel	Starting point	Surface residues	Narrowest bottelneck (Å)	Residues forming the narrowest bottelneck	Length (Å)			
Thermosynechococcus yestitus BP-1 (Thermoshilic cyanobacteria) (PDR ID: 7RF2)								
O1A channel		PsbV-K103, Y35,Q34; CP43-K79, E83, N418	1.1	CP43-V410, E413, L401; D1-L341, D342, L343, P340; PsbV-K47				
O1B channel	Ca, O1, W4	PsbV-V135; CP47-E387; D2-E343; PsbU-K104	1.1	D2-A351,R348, L352; PsbU:104, PsbU-K103, L102, G101; CP47-R384; D1-P340; PsbV-K134	31			
CI1A channel		D2-E310, P309; PsbO-D224; D1-P66	0.8	D1-E65, V67, P66, I60, V58; D2-K317, E312	28			
CI1B channel	Mn4, W1, W2, W3	PsbO-K178; CP47-S439,T434	0.7	D2-T316, L320, K317, L319, E312; PsbO-K160, G161, F159; D1-R334	60			
O4 channel	O4, W1	PsbU-A34,Q37; CP47-K389	1.0	D1-N338; CP43-G333, P334, K339, G338, L337, Q332, T335, Y340; D2-N350	40			
		Thermosy	nechococcus vulcanus (Thermophilic	cyanobacteria) (PDB ID: 7D1T)				
O1A channel	PsbV-K103, Y35, Q34; CP43-E83, N418,K79	1.1	CP43-V410, L401, T412; D1-E329, D-342, E189, L343, L341; D2-L352	32				
O1B channel	O1B channel	D2-E343; CP47-E387; PsbU-K104, L102; PsbV-V135	1.1	D2-A351, R348, L352; PsbU-K104, Y103, L102, G101; PsbV-K134; CP47-R384; D1-P340	29			
CI1A channel	M-4 M4 M0 M0	D2-E310; PsbO-R115; D1-P66, P57	0.9	D1-E65, V67, P66, I60, V58, D61; D2-K317, E312	28			
CI1B channel	Mn4, W1, W2, W3	PsbO-K178; CP47-T434, D440, S439	0.7	D2-T316, L320, K317, E312, E323, L319; PsbO-P159, K160, G161	56			
O4 channel	O4, W1	PsbO-K123, P149, F103; CP43-Q332; PsbU-E93	0.9	PsbO-A154, T153, N155, F190; PsbU-A90, E93, G94, D96; CP43-P334	34			
		Synech	<i>ocystis</i> sp. PCC 6803 (Mesophilic cya	nobacteria) (PDB ID: 7N8O)				
O1A channel	Ca, O1, W4	PsbV-R153; D2-Q332; D1-D319, Q304, V313	1.0	D1-E329, A188, I326, N325, V330; CP43-V397, I398, T399; PsbV-Y159	28			
CI1A channel	M-4 M4 M0 M0	D2-E310, P309; D1-P66; PsbO-D251, R181	0.8	D2-E312, K317; D1-R334, E65, V67, P66	26			
CI1B channel	MIN4, W1, W2, W3	PsbO-K209, A206; CP47-F432, T434	0.5	PsbO-S205; CP47-G441, D334, V442, D440, G335, S439, G333	55			
O4 channel	O4, W1	PsbO-L219, P178; PsbU-S121, I117	1.0	D1-N338; CP43-G320, K326, P321, Q319, L324, G325; D2-N350	36			
			Cyanidium caldarium (Red algae)	(PDB ID: 4YUU)				
O1A channel	0- 01 W4	CP43-K79, E83, N418; PsbV-S35, K103	1.3	D1-E329, D342, L343, P340, L341, E189; D2-L352; CP43-T412, L401, V410	32			
O1B channel	Ca, O1, W4	PsbV-G131, D55, G44, L54, PsbU-K104	1.3	D1-E329, D342, L343, P340, L341, E189; D2-L352; CP43-T412, L401, V410	26			
CI1A channel	M-4 M4 M0 M0	D2-E310; D1-P66, P57; PsbO-R152, R115	1.0	D1-E65, V67, P66, I60, V58, R334; D2-E312	32			
CI1B channel	MIN4, W1, W2, W3	D2-I303; PsbV-D55, G53, G131; PsbU-K104	0.8	D2-T316, L320, K317, I319, E312; PsbO-P159, K160	42			
O4 channel	O4, W1	PsbU-G20, A34, R36, R33; CP47E387	1.0	D1-N338, H337; CP43-K339, P334, G333; PsbU-N99; D2-N350	42			
Chaetoceros gracilis (Diatom) (PDB ID: 6JLU)								
O1A channel	Co. 01 W4	PsbQ'-S92, D88, Q86, D85, P93	0.9	D1-E329, D342, L341, E189, L343; CP43-T412, L401, V410, E413; D2-L352	54			
O1B channel	Ga, O1, W4	PsbU-K38; PsbV-E57; Psb31-R179	0.9	D1-E329, D342, L341, E189, L343; CP43-T412, L401, V410, E413; D2-L352	39			
CI1A channel	Mp4 14/1 14/2 14/2	D2-E310; PsbO-R204; D1-P57, S68	0.8	D1-E65, V67, P66, V58, D59, I63; D2-E312	29			
CI1B channel	WIIH, WT, WZ, WJ	PsbO-L264, CP47-R434, S439, D440	0.6	PsbO-P246, K247; D2-K317, L320, H319, E312, Y315, T316	55			
O4 channel	O4, W1	PsbU-I94; CP43-K339, A331	1.0	PsbO-242; PsbU-N98; D1-H337, N338; CP43-P334, K339, G333, Q332; D2-N350	32			
Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (green algae) (PDB ID: 6KAC)								
O1A channel	Ca 01 W4	D2-R338, P335; PsbP-K95; PsbE-L65	1.4	D2-L352;CP43-T400; D1-L326, V330; PsbP-F110, E198, D111, K225, R226	44			
O1B channel	Ga, O1, 114	PsbP-E234, D108; A231; CP43-I402	1.4	CP43-L398; D1-E189, D342, H332, E329, A188, A344, L343	26			
CI1A channel	Mn4 W1 W2 W3	D2-E310; PsbO-R169,T161, D1-Y107	1.0	D1-P66, E65, V67, V58, R64; D2-E312, E310	32			
CI1B channel	11114, 111, 112, 113	D2-I303, E307; PsbO-R223	0.7	D2-T316, K317, L320, I319, Y315; PsbO-K206, P205	45			
O4 channel	O4, W1	CP43-A314, N315, A319; PsbP-G159	1.0	D1-N335, N338, H337, A336; CP43-P322, T323, G321, D2-N350	41			
Pisum sativum (PDB ID: 5XNL)								
O1A channel		PsbQ-L29; CP43-K79, E83, N418	1.0	D1-E329, D342, L341, E189, P340, L343; CP43-T412, L401, V410; D2-L353	31			
O1B channel	Ca, O1, W4	PsbQ-K30, PsbP-K174, D17; CP43-A416	1.0	D1-E329, D342, L341, E189, P340, L343; CP43-T412, L401, V410; D2-L353	32			
O1C channel		D2-T332; D2-Q333; PsbP-E50, N52	0.9	D1-E329, D342, H332, V330, P340, H337	34			
CI1 channel	Mn4, W1, W2, W3	D1-P57, S68; D2-E311; PsbO-R123	0.6	D1-P66, V67, S68, E65; D2-E313, T314, F312, E311	27			
O4 channel	O4, W1	PsbP-G102, R134; CP43-A326, G325, L324	0.9	D1-N338; CP43-G333, K339, P334, G338, Q332, L337, Y340	34			
Spinacia oleracea (PDB ID: 3JCU)								
O1A channel	Ca 01 W4	PsbQ-T30; CP43-H398, Y419, V417	1.1	D1-Q165, E189, A344, D170, D342, Y161, H190, L343; CP43-R357	34			
O1B channel	04, 01, 114	CP47-Y390, K389; PsbP-E39, K40, E41	1.0	CP47-K389, Y390; PsbP-E41, K40, F42	51			
CI1A channel	Mn4 W1 W2 W3	D2-E310; D1-P57, P66	0.7	D1-P66, E65, V67; D2-E310, E312	23			
CI1B channel		PsbO-E267, L268, L257, G260; D2-E307	0.7	PsbO-K243, P242; D2-K317, L320, I319, Y315, T316	41			
O4 channel	O4, W1	CP43-S330, A326, N327; PsbP-R134, F103	1.0	CP43-Q333, P334, G338, K339, Q332, T335, G353; D1-N338, H337; PsbP-D137	34			

All the channel calculations were performed with the software version of CAVER 3.0 (Chovancova et al. 2012) (with shell radius and shell depth equal to 3.0 Å and 4.0 Å, respectively, the minimum probe radius used was 0.9 Å for all the calculations except for O4 channel calculation, where 0.5 Å was used). The starting point of the analysis is described above

#### The Cl1 channel

In the thermophilic cyanobacteria, either in *T. vestitus BP-1*, or in *T. vulcanus*, the Cl1 Channel starts from the Mn4 side of the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster, passing along the Cl1 ion and connecting to the lumen through D1, D2, and PsbO domains for branch A, also known as "broad channel" or "channel 3", and D2, CP47 and PsbO for branch B (Fig. 3, Tables 1, 3). These subunits that participate in lining this channel are considered conserved among all the oxygenic photosynthetic organisms (Figs. 3, 4A).

Surprisingly, the Cl1 ion was not modeled in the structures of the red algae *C. caldarium* and the diatom *C. gracilis.* However, we can see that the binding pocket of the Cl1 ion is maintained in these structures and is similar to the binding pocket of the Cl1 ion detected in the PS II structure in other organisms (see Fig. 5A). The absence of Cl1 in the structural model might be due to the limited resolution of these structures; 2.77 Å and 3.02 Å for *C. caldarium* (red algae) and *C. gracilis* (diatom), respectively (Table 2). For example in the structure of *C. caldarium* (red algae), an apparent weak electron density appears in the corresponding location of the Cl1 which was modeled as a water molecule rather than a Cl<sup>-</sup> ion. In the recent structure of *S.* sp. PCC 6803 (Gisriel et al. 2022), it was reported that for the dominant side chain conformation of D2-K317 the N<sup> $\zeta$ </sup> atom is 5.1 Å away from the Cl1 ion position compared to an average distance of 3.1 Å in *T. vestitus BP-1* (PDB: 7RF2) and *T. vulcanus* (PDB:4UB6, 7D1T) (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, we found that this position of D2-K317 is quite similar to the



**Fig. 3** Possible water channels connecting the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster to the lumenal side of PS II from different oxygenic organisms. The channels were identified and analyzed using the CAVER program based on the PSII structures from *T. vestitus BP-1* (PDB:7RF2, RT-XFEL), *T. vulcanus* (PDB:7D1T, cryo-EM), *S.* sp. PCC 6803 (PDB:7N80, cryo-EM), *C. caldarium* (PDB:4YUU, cryo-X-ray), *C. gracilis* (PDB: 6JLU, cryo-EM), *C. reinhardtii* (PDB:6KAC, cryo-EM), *P. sativum* (PDB:5XNL, cryo-EM), *S.oleracea* (PDB: 3JCU, cryo-EM). Residues lining the channels are shown in stick representation: D1 in yel-

position of D2-K317 found in the structure obtained for herbicide (terbutryn) inhibited PS II from *T. vestitus BP-1* (Broser et al. 2011)(Fig. 5C). However, only in this inhibited PS II structure, another density for the Cl1 ion was found within 3.5 Å from the N<sup> $\zeta$ </sup> atom of D2-K317 at 70% occupancy (Fig. 5C) and located more centrally within the Cl1 channel. The native Cl1 position was only 30% occupied in this structure, possibly indicating a structural flexibility of the D2-K317 side chain and the positioning of Cl1 depending on the conditions of the PS II complex and maybe the protonation state of the nearby residues D1-D61 and D1-E65 (Broser et al. 2011).

The Cl1A channel has been proposed previously as a proton release pathway during the  $S_2$  to  $S_3$  transition (Ishikita et al. 2006; Kuroda et al. 2021). A recent simulation study showed that the Cl1 channel is an energetically more favorable pathway for proton release toward the lumenal side than the other two channels, O1 or O4 (Kaur et al. 2021).

low, D2 in gray, CP43 in cyan, CP47 in wheat, PsbO in green, PsbU in blue, PsbV in red, PsbQ' and PsbQ in orange and PsbP in firebrick. Channels starting from Ca and O1 are shown in light red surface (O1 channel), from Mn4, W1, W2, and W3 and passing through Cl1 ion are shown in light green surface (Cl1 channel), and from O4 and W1 are shown in light blue surface (O4 channel). The  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster and Cl ions are shown as spheres: Mn purple,  $Ca^{2+}/Cl^-$  green, and O red

Another mutational study combined with TRIR suggested that the proton release occurs mainly through the Cl1 channel during the  $S_3 \rightarrow S_0$  transition, but doesn't exclude the possibility of proton release through other paths during the  $S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  transition (Shimada et al. 2022). In our recent study of the  $S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  transition (Hussein et al. 2021), we observed structural changes around D1-E65, D2-E312 and D1-R334 associated with H-bond rearrangements along the channel, potentially related to the release of the proton from the cluster via the proton gate residues E65/E312 (Fig. 6A). Interestingly, we were able to locate a similar path in all the PS II structures using Caver. Only in the structure of C. caldarium (red algae) PS II the D1-K317 residue has a slightly different conformation that blocks the known path of that branch (Fig. 4B). Due to the limited resolution of the structure from C. caldarium (2.77 Å), we can not be confident about the side chain position of the D2-K317 residue. Nevertheless, another path was detected in this structure



**Fig. 4** Cl1A channel and the surrounding environment. **A** Cl1A channel in *T. vestitus BP-1*. The channel is generated using the  $S_1$  state data (PDB:7RF2). Cl1A channel is in green. Amino acid residues from D1, D2, and PsbO subunits are colored yellow, gray, and green, respectively. **B** The calculated Cl1 channel in *C. caldarium* (shown in green) in comparison to the one in thermophilic cyanobacteria (shown as gray mesh). The structure of *C. caldarium* (PDB:4YUU) is shown in red and overlaid with the structure of *T. vestitus BP-1* (PDB:7RF2, shown in transparent gray)

using Caver. This path shares the same start and end points as the Cl1A channel in thermophilic cyanobacteria (Fig. 4B & Table 3). Using partial sequence alignment between all studied species and the ConSurf server (Ashkenazy et al. 2016) to show the evolutionary conserved amino acid residues, we observed that this path, including the gate region is highly conserved (Fig. 6B, C).

#### The O1 channel

The O1 Channel (Fig. 3), which is also known as "large channel" in thermophilic cyanobacteria, starts from the water (W3, W4) binding site on Ca and reaches the lumen at the interface of subunits D1, CP43, and PsbV for branch A and PsbU, PsbV, D2 and CP47 for branch B. This channel has been suggested to be the water intake pathway, at least during the water substrate binding during the  $S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  transition (Suga et al. 2019; Ibrahim et al. 2020; Hussein et al. 2021).

Close to the cluster, the O1 channel is connected to the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> via a network of five waters (W26-27-28-29-30) known as the 'water wheel' (Ibrahim et al. 2020). This water network is conserved among the high-resolution structures of PS II; T. vestitus BP-1, T. vulcanus, and Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 (Fig. 7A). The beginning path of this channel is also found to be structurally conserved among all the studied structures (Fig. 3). However, since the ending of this channel goes through subunits PsbV and PsbU, the ending path of the channel should vary among the organisms (Table 3 and Figs. 2 & 3). In S. sp. PCC 6803, the amino acid residues Y159 and I185 of subunit PsbV block the known path of branch B of this channel detected in thermophilic cyanobacteria (Fig. 7B). Also, in the green lineage of the photosynthetic organisms, this path is blocked by either amino acid residues K225, D197, and E198 of subunit PsbP in C.

Fig. 5 The position of Cl1 ion in different oxygenic photosynthesis organisms. A The binding pocket of the Cl1 ion in C. caldarium (PDB:4YUU), C. gracilis (PDB: 6JLU), and S. sp. PCC 6803 (PDB: 7N8O, cryo-EM) relative to T. vestitus BP-1 (PDB:7RF2). Labels: Cl1 green, O red. B, C The changes in the position of Cl1 and the side chain of D2-K317 in S. sp. PCC 6803 (PDB: 7N8O, cryo-EM, in red) relative to T. vestitus BP-1 (PDB:7RF2, XFEL, colored in yellow), T. vulcanus (PDB: 4UB6, XFEL, colored in cyan; PDB:7D1T, cryo-EM, colored in green) and T. vestitus BP-1 treated with herbicide terbutryn (PDB:4V82, X-ray, colored in sky blue)





Fig. 6 Proton gate residues in Cl1A channel. A The changes at the proton gate between the closed and open state. The H-bonding network in the closed state connecting the OEC to the bulk is disrupted, while it is well established in the open state (adapted from (Hussein et al. 2021)). B Partial sequence alignment of the proton gate residues D1-E65, D1-R334, D1-N334, and D2-E312 from *T. vestitus BP-1, T. vulcanus, S.* sp. PCC 6803, *C. caldarium, C. gracilis, C. reinhardtii, P. sativum, S. oleracea,* and *A. thaliana.* Sequence alignments were generated with NCBI-BLASTp. C ConSurf analysis (Ashkenazy et al. 2016) for the proton gate residues was performed for the D1 and D2 subunits. Coloring represents the degree of conservation across the identified homologs collected by UNIREF90 (Suzek et al. 2015) and clustered using the search algorithm HMMER (Mistry et al. 2013) (blue represents the highly conserved residues, and red is the most variable)

*reinhardtti* or by amino acid residues K166 and E140 of subunit PsbP in *S. oleracea and P. sativium* (Fig. 7B). Nevertheless, our present comparison clearly reveals that one or more paths are still connecting the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster starting from the O1 and Ca sites via the water wheel with the lumen (Fig. 3 & Table 3). In this aspect, interestingly, the high-resolution (1.97 Å) cryo-EM structure of the *S.* sp. PCC 6803 in (Fig. 7C) exemplifies in more detail the conservation of the O1 channel connectivity. It is shown that the channel identified by Caver with narrowest bottelneck radius of 1 Å (Table 3), starting from the O1 side of the  $Mn_4CaO_5$  cluster and reaching the lumen at the interface of subunits D1, D2, and PsbV is filled with water molecules, which is one of the essential features of water channels that could transfer water or protons.

While the starting region of the O1 channel is structurally identical among the different species, the sidechain position



**Fig. 7** O1 channel in different photosynthetic organisms. **A** The conserved water wheel area (W26-27-28-29-30) at the beginning of the O1 channel. The figure shows a superposition of the structure of *T. vestitus BP-1* (PDB:7RF2), *T. vulcanus* (PDB: 4UB6), and the *S.* sp. PCC 6803 (PDB:7N8O, cryo-EM) colored in yellow, red and gray, respectively. **B** O1B channel in *T. vestitus BP-1* (PDB:7RF2) showing the residues that block that path in different organisms. Structures of *T. vestitus BP-1*, *S.* sp. PCC (PDB:7N8O), and *S. oleracea* are shown in yellow, gray and blue, respectively. **C** the O1 channel path in *S.* sp. 6803 (PDB:7N8O) generated by Caver (Chovancova et al. 2012). Amino acid residues from D1, D2, CP43, and PsbV subunits are colored yellow, gray, cyan, and red, respectively

of D1-E329 (Table 3), which participates in forming the first bottleneck of the channel, varies significantly (Fig. 8A). Our analysis shows that these differences could be due to several reasons; the first is the radiation damage that may happen during data collection, especially using cryo-EM. The comparison of the PS II structures from thermophilic cyanobacteria shows that the E329 side chain in the cryo-EM structure from *T. vulcanus* rotates significantly compared to the radiation-free structures, which were collected using XFEL, or the structures obtained using conventional synchrotron X-rays from *T. vulcanus* or *T. vestitus BP-1* (Fig. 8B). Secondly, the orientation of the E329 headgroup may vary due to major structural differences in this region visible in *S.* sp.

Fig.8 The conformation of the E329 side chain in different oxy-▶ genic photosynthesis organisms. A Superposition of different structures showing how the conformation of the E329 side chain varies; the structure of T. vestitus BP-1 (PDB:7RF2, in wheat), C. caldarium (PDB:4YUU, in red), C. gracilis (PDB: 6JLU, in brown), T. vulcanus (PDB:7D1T, in deep purple), P. sativum (PDB:5XNL, in sand), C. reinhardtii (PDB:6KAC, in pink), S. oleracea (PDB: 3JCU, in green), S. sp. PCC 6803 (PDB:7N8O, in yellow), S. sp. PCC 6803 apo structure (PDB:6WJ6, in orange), A. thaliana apo structure (PDB: 5MDX, in sky blue), A. thaliana apo structure extracted with digitonin (PDB: 70UI, in cyan). B The conformation of the E329 side chain in different structures of thermophilic cyanobacteria collected by different techniques; the RT-XFEL structure and the apo-PS II structure collected by cryo-X-ray from T. vestitus BP-1 (PDB ID:7RF2 and PDB ID: 5MX2, respectively), five structures from T. vulcanus, two collected by cryo-XFEL (colored in sand (PDB: 6JLJ) and in blue (PDB: 4UB6)), and one each by RT-XFEL (PDB:5WS5), cryo-X-ray (PDB:3WU2) and cryo-EM (PDB:7D1T). C The surrounding environment at E329 among the different organisms. The environment of E329 in the thermophilic cyanobacteria (PDB:7RF2), the red algae C. caldarium, and the diatom C. gracilis is conserved, as shown in the first row. However, the environment is different in S. sp. PCC 6803 and also in all green lineage organisms. In S. sp. PCC 6803 (PDB:7N8O), the position of PsbV-Y159 forces the E329 side chain to be in a different orientation compared to its position in thermophilic cyanobacteria. In the green linage organisms, the PsbV subunit is entirely replaced with the PsbP subunit, leaving the position of PsbV-K134 empty as in green algae C. reinhardtii (PDB:6KAC) and P. sativum (PDB: 5XNL). Moreover, the D2-L352 side chain is not conserved in spinach S. oleracea (PDB: 3JCU), which allows E329 to be in a different plane compared to its position in thermophilic cyanobacteria. For A. thaliana, there are two cryo-EM structures available for the apo-PSII; the position of the E329 side chain in one structure (PDB: 5MDX, colored in sky blue) is similar to the structure of spinach. However, in the other structure treated with digitonin (PDB: 70UI, in cyan), the E329 side chain shows a different position which may be due to enhanced structural disorder in the D1 subunit

6803 and all green lineage organisms as compared to thermophilic cyanobacteria (Fig. 8C). In S. sp. 6803, the location of PsbV-Y159 forces the E329 side chain to be in a different orientation, whereas, in the green lineage organisms, the PsbV subunit is entirely replaced with the PsbP subunit, leaving the position of PsbV-K134, which is near E329, empty (Figs. 7B and 8C). Moreover, the D2-L352 residue in the direct vicinity of E329 is not conserved in spinach S. oleracea (PDB: 3JCU), which gives E329 more freedom and allows it to be in a different plane compared to its position in thermophilic cyanobacteria (Fig. 8C). Thirdly, E329 may be affected by structural changes in the D1 subunit induced by the sample preparation, as observed in the apo-PSII from T. vestitus BP-1 (Zhang et al. 2017), due to the harsh treatment used to remove the Mn cluster (Fig. 8B), and also in the PS II structure in *A. thaliana* extracted with digitonin (Fig. 8C) (Graca et al. 2021).

#### The O4 channel

The third channel determined in thermophilic cyanobacteria is the O4 channel, also known as the narrow channel. In



thermophilic cyanobacteria, this channel starts near the O4 atom of the cluster, extending through D1, CP43, and D2 subunits before exiting PS II through a cavity formed by subunits PsbO and PsbU (Fig. 3). Our investigations show that this channel proceeds through the same path in all studied species (Fig. 3), which is expected since the D1, CP43, and D2 subunits are conserved subunits. However, the later parts of the O4 channel near the lumenal surfaces show different orientations. In the cyanobacteria and the red lineage organisms that contain the PsbU subunit, a subtle change in the path at the end of the identified O4 channel is likely due to the higher positional mobility of the side chains near the lumen. However, the more drastic change is observed in the green lineage organisms where the PsbU subunit is replaced by PsbP instead as the exit path. Another significant difference observed only in the structures of PS II in higher plants is the replacement of residue D1-N87 with D1-A87 in the beginning of the channel (Fig. 9).

The O4 channel harbors the longest non-disrupted H-bonded water chain identified in thermophilic



**Fig. 9** Conserved residues and water molecules in the O4 channel. The water molecules and the residues lining the channel shown are from *T. vestitus BP-1*(PDB: 7RF2,  $S_1$  state, in wheat), *T. vulcanus* (PDB:7D1T, in red), *S.* sp. PCC 6803 (PDB:7N8O, in yellow) and *S. oleracea* (PDB: 3JCU, in green). The O4 channel from *T. vestitus BP-1* is shown as a light blue surface

cyanobacteria's dark-adapted PS II. Several studies have suggested this channel to be ideal for non-rate-limiting proton release. Our analysis of all high-resolution structures shows that almost all the water molecules present along the first 15 Å of the channel are conserved among cyanobacteria (Fig. 9). Moreover, the simulation study by Ishikita and colleagues (Sakashita et al. 2017b) shows that the equivalent water molecules are also present in PS II of spinach. Interestingly, the second water in this chain, W20, disappears during the  $S_2 \rightarrow S_3 \rightarrow S_0$  transitions, and is only restored after the formation of the S<sub>0</sub>-state, resulting in disrupting the H-bonded network and hence the proton release via the O4 channel (Kern et al. 2018; Suga et al. 2019). Therefore, the O4 channel is likely the proton release pathway only during the  $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$  transition. On the other hand, the substitution of the bulkier Asparagine for Alanine at the D1-87 position in higher plants results in enlarging the channel's beginning which may allow and facilitate the transport of water and water analogs like methanol, as observed for spinach (Oyala et al. 2014; Retegan and Pantazis 2017) and in a D1-N87A mutant of Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 (Kalendra et al. 2022). Based on this observation, it was suggested that this channel could possibly be involved in substrate water delivery in higher plants (Retegan and Pantazis 2017).

#### Summary and outlook

The remarkable advances in the techniques used for characterization of PS II in the last decade has enabled us to visualize the structures of PS II from different organisms, and in some cases, under physiological temperatures. The Cl1 channel, including its proton release gate, is highly conserved among all photosynthetic species and thus appears to be critical for proton release in the  $S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  and  $S_3 \rightarrow S_0$  transitions. The O1 channel varies most between species, which includes the D1-E329 side chain orientation. However, in all organisms at least one branch extends all the way from Ca/O1 via the 'water wheel' region to the lumen. Thus, the comparison is consistent with the O1 channels functioning as a water delivery path. We propose that the exact shape of the channel leading from the 'water wheel' to the lumen is less critical for water access. The inner part of the O4 channel is, like the entire Cl1 channel, highly conserved among all species, but some variation is observed in the lumenal region. It has been previously suggested to function in either proton release or as water access channel. Our comparison does not provide a unique answer to this question. However, the highly ordered water chain that has an S-state dependent/gated connection to the Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub> cluster via the water molecule (W19) directly bound to O4 (Fig. 9) may indicate that it functions in proton release during the  $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$  transition (Kern et al. 2018; Suga et al. 2019).

Information obtained from comparing PS II structures from different photosynthetic organisms helps us understand what the fundamentally important part in the architecture of PS II for the light-driven water oxidation reaction in nature is, and to what extent PS II tolerates structural variability. Yet, we need to be attentive to distinguish intrinsic structural properties from extrinsic effects that arise from differences in experimental conditions. It requires interpreting data from various methods, while considering the uncertainties, advantages and shortcomings that are unique to each method. In any respect, we are at a major step towards understanding the chemistry of the water oxidation reaction, not only the mechanism of the catalytic center, but also recognizing how the protein and water network function to direct complicated multielectron/multiproton reactions for high selectivity and efficiency of catalysis. Understanding such interplay between the catalytic metal center and its environment will provide inspiration for how to control reactions in artificial photosynthetic systems.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences (OBES), Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences (CSGB) of the Department of Energy (DOE) (J.Y., V.K.Y., J.K.) for X-ray spectroscopy and crystallography data collection and analysis, and methods development for photosynthetic systems, by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grants GM055302 (V.K.Y.) for PS II biochemistry, GM110501 (J.Y.) and GM126289 (J.K.) for instrumentation development for XFEL experiments. Germany's Excellence Strategy (Project EXC 2008/1-390540038 (A.Z., H.D.) coordinated by T.U. Berlin and by the German Research Foundation (DFG) via the Collaborative Research Center SFB1078 (Humboldt Universität zu Berlin), TP A5 (A.Z., H.D., M.I., R.H.) and Vetenskapsrådet 2020-03809 (J.M.), as well as Energimyndigheten 45421-1 (J.M.) are acknowledged for support. R.H. acknowledges support by a Caroline von Humboldt Stipendium, Humboldt University Berlin. This research used resources of NERSC, a User Facility supported by the Office of Science, DOE, under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. XFEL data was collected at LCLS/SLAC, Stanford and SACLA, Japan. Testing of crystals and various parts of the setup was carried out at synchrotron facilities that were provided by the ALS in Berkeley and SSRL in Stanford, funded by DOE OBES. Use of the LCLS and SSRL, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, is supported by the U.S. DOE, Office of Science, OBES under Contract No. DE-AC02-76SF00515, and structural biology work at the LCLS is supported by NIH grant P41GM139687 and the Rayonix detector at MFX/LCLS was funded by NIH grant S10 OD023453. We

Author contributions R.H., M.I., A.B. analyzed data, all authors discussed the data and contributed to the interpretation, R.H., J.F.K., J.Y. wrote the main manuscript text, R.H. prepared figures with input from all authors. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

thank the support staff at LCLS/SLAC, SACLA/Japan, SSRL and ALS.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

**Data availability** The detailed results of the performed analysis are freely available from the authors upon request.

#### Declarations

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no competing interests. Funding sources are listed in the Acknowledgments section. JM is Editor-in-chief of Photosynthesis Research but was not involved in the evaluation of this manuscript.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

#### References

- Ago H, Adachi H, Umena Y et al (2016) Novel features of eukaryotic photosystem II revealed by its crystal structure analysis from a red alga. J Biol Chem 291:5676–5687. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M115.711689
- Ashkenazy H, Abadi S, Martz E et al (2016) ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in macromolecules. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W344– W350. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw408

- Broser M, Glöckner C, Gabdulkhakov A et al (2011) Structural basis of cyanobacterial photosystem II Inhibition by the herbicide terbutryn. J Biol Chem 286:15964–15972. https://doi.org/10.1074/ jbc.M110.215970
- Brzozowski AM, Tolley SP (1994) Poly(ethylene) glycol monomethyl ethers – an alternative to poly(ethylene) glycols in protein crystallization. Acta Crystallogr Sect D 50:466–468. https://doi.org/10. 1107/S090744499400199X
- Capone M, Narzi D, Bovi D, Guidoni L (2016) Mechanism of water delivery to the active site of photosystem II along the S<sub>2</sub> to S<sub>3</sub> transition. J Phys Chem Lett 7:592–596. https://doi.org/10.1021/ acs.jpclett.5b02851
- Chapman HN (2019) X-Ray free-electron lasers for the structure and dynamics of macromolecules. Annu Rev Biochem 88:35–58. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-013118-110744
- Chovancova E, Pavelka A, Benes P et al (2012) CAVER 3.0: a tool for the analysis of transport pathways in dynamic protein structures. PLoS Comput Biol 8:e1002708. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pcbi.1002708
- Cox N, Messinger J (2013) Reflections on substrate water and dioxygen formation. Biochim Biophys Acta 1827:1020–1030. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2013.01.013
- De Las Rivas J, Barber J (2004) Analysis of the structure of the PsbO protein and its implications. Photosynth Res 81:329–343. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:PRES.0000036889.44048.e4
- de Lichtenberg C, Kim CJ, Chernev P et al (2021) The exchange of the fast substrate water in the S2 state of photosystem II is limited by diffusion of bulk water through channels-implications for the water oxidation mechanism. Chem Sci 12:12763–12775. https://doi.org/10.1039/D1SC02265B
- Ferreira KN, Iverson TM, Maghlaoui K et al (2004) Architecture of the photosynthetic oxygen-evolving center. Science 303:1831– 1838. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1093087
- Fransson T, Chatterjee R, Fuller FD et al (2018) X-ray emission spectroscopy as an in situ diagnostic tool for X-ray crystallography of metalloproteins using an X-ray free-electron laser. Biochemistry 57:4629–4637. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioch em.8b00325
- Fransson T, Alonso-Mori R, Chatterjee R et al (2021) Effects of x-ray free-electron laser pulse intensity on the Mn K $\beta_{1,3}$  x-ray emission spectrum in photosystem II—a case study for metalloprotein crystals and solutions. Struct Dyn 8:064302. https://doi.org/10. 1063/4.0000130
- Gabdulkhakov A, Guskov A, Broser M et al (2009) Probing the accessibility of the Mn<sub>4</sub>Ca cluster in photosystem II: channels calculation, noble gas derivatization, and cocrystallization with DMSO. Structure 17:1223–1234. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2009.07.010
- Gabdulkhakov AG, Kljashtorny VG, Dontsova MV (2015a) Analysis of molecular oxygen exit pathways in cyanobacterial photosystem II: molecular dynamics studies. Crystallogr Rep 60:884–888. https:// doi.org/10.1134/s1063774515060085
- Gabdulkhakov AG, Kljashtorny VG, Dontsova MV (2015b) Molecular dynamics studies of pathways of water movement in cyanobacterial photosystem II. Crystallogr Rep 60:83–89. https://doi.org/10. 1134/s1063774515010083
- Garman EF, Weik M (2021) Radiation damage to biological samples: still a pertinent issue. J Synchrotron Radiat 28:1278–1283. https:// doi.org/10.1107/S1600577521008845
- Ghanotakis DF, Topper JN, Babcock GT, Yocum CF (1984) Water-soluble 17 and 23 kDa polypeptides restore oxygen evolution activity by creating a high-affinity binding site for Ca<sup>2+</sup> on the oxidizing side of photosystem II. FEBS Lett 170:169–173. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/0014-5793(84)81393-9
- Gisriel CJ, Wang J, Liu J et al (2022) High-resolution cryo-electron microscopy structure of photosystem II from the mesophilic

cyanobacterium, *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2116765118

- Graca AT, Hall M, Persson K, Schroder WP (2021) High-resolution model of *Arabidopsis* photosystem II reveals the structural consequences of digitonin-extraction. Sci Rep 11:15534. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-021-94914-x
- Guskov A, Kern J, Gabdulkhakov A et al (2009) Cyanobacterial photosystem II at 2.9-Å resolution and the role of quinones, lipids, channels and chloride. Nat Struct Mol Biol 16:334–342. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1559
- Hellmich J, Bommer M, Burkhardt A et al (2014) Native-like photosystem II superstructure at 2.44 Å resolution through detergent extraction from the protein crystal. Structure 22:1607–1615. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2014.09.007
- Hillier W, Messinger J (2005) Mechanism of photosynthetic oxygen production. In: Wydrzynski T, Satoh K (eds) Photosystem II: the light-driven water:plastoquinone oxidoreductase. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 567–608
- Ho FM (2008) Uncovering channels in photosystem II by computer modelling: current progress, future prospects, and lessons from analogous systems. Photosynth Res 98:503–522. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s11120-008-9358-2
- Ho FM, Styring S (2008) Access channels and methanol binding site to the CaMn<sub>4</sub> cluster in photosystem II based on solvent accessibility simulations, with implications for substrate water access. Biochim Biophys Acta 1777:140–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. bbabio.2007.08.009
- Holton JM (2009) A beginner's guide to radiation damage. J Synchrotron Radiat 16:133–142. https://doi.org/10.1107/S090904950 9004361
- Hussein R, Ibrahim M, Bhowmick A et al (2021) Structural dynamics in the water and proton channels of photosystem II during the S<sub>2</sub> to S<sub>3</sub> transition. Nat Commun 12:6531. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-021-26781-z
- Ibrahim M, Fransson T, Chatterjee R et al (2020) Untangling the sequence of events during the  $S_2 \rightarrow S_3$  transition in photosystem II and implications for the water oxidation mechanism. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 117:12624–12635
- Ifuku K (2015) Localization and functional characterization of the extrinsic subunits of photosystem II: an update. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 79:1223–1231. https://doi.org/10.1080/09168451. 2015.1031078
- Ifuku K, Nagao R (2021) Evolution and function of the extrinsic subunits of photosystem II. In: Shen J-R, Satoh K, Allakhverdiev SI (eds) Photosynthesis: molecular approaches to solar energy conversion. Springer, Cham, pp 429–446
- Ishikita H, Saenger W, Loll B et al (2006) Energetics of a possible proton exit pathway for water oxidation in photosystem II. Biochemistry 45:2063–2071. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi051615h
- Joliot P, Kok B (1975) Oxygen evolution in photosynthesis. In: Govindjee (ed) Bioenergetics of photosynthesis. Academic Press, New York, pp 387–412
- Kalendra V, Reiss KM, Banerjee G et al (2022) Binding of the substrate analog methanol in the oxygen-evolving complex of photosystem II in the D1–N87A genetic variant of cyanobacteria. Faraday Discuss 234:195–213. https://doi.org/10.1039/D1FD00094B
- Kato Y, Akita F, Nakajima Y et al (2018) Fourier transform infrared analysis of the S-state cycle of water oxidation in the microcrystals of photosystem II. J Phys Chem Lett 9:2121–2126. https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.8b00638
- Kato Y, Haniu S, Nakajima Y et al (2019) FTIR microspectroscopic analysis of the water oxidation reaction in a single photosystem II microcrystal. J Phys Chem B. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb. 9b10154
- Kato K, Miyazaki N, Hamaguchi T et al (2021) High-resolution cryo-EM structure of photosystem II reveals damage from high-dose

electron beams. Commun Biol 4:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s42003-021-01919-3

- Kaur D, Zhang Y, Reiss KM et al (2021) Proton exit pathways surrounding the oxygen evolving complex of photosystem II. Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Bioenergetics 1862:148446
- Kern J, Alonso-Mori R, Tran R et al (2013) Simultaneous femtosecond X-ray spectroscopy and diffraction of photosystem II at room temperature. Science 340:491–495. https://doi.org/10.1126/scien ce.1234273
- Kern J, Chatterjee R, Young ID et al (2018) Structures of the intermediates of Kok's photosynthetic water oxidation clock. Nature 563:421–425. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0681-2
- Klauss A, Haumann M, Dau H (2015) Seven steps of alternating electron and proton transfer in photosystem II water oxidation traced by time-resolved photothermal beam deflection at improved sensitivity. J Phys Chem B 119:2677–2689. https://doi.org/10.1021/ jp509069p
- Kok B, Forbush B, McGloin M (1970) Cooperation of charges in photosynthetic O<sub>2</sub> evolution. 1. A linear four-step mechanism. Photochem Photobiol 11:457–475. https://doi.org/10.1111/J. 1751-1097.1970.Tb06017.X
- Komárek J, Johansen JR, Šmarda J, Strunecký O (2020) Phylogeny and taxonomy of *Synechococcus*-like cyanobacteria. Fottea 20:171–191
- Krivanek R, Kern J, Zouni A et al (2007) Spare quinones in the Q<sub>B</sub> cavity of crystallized photosystem II from *Thermosynechococ*cus elongatus. Biochim Biophys Acta Bioenerg 1767:520–527. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2007.02.013
- Kuroda H, Kawashima K, Ueda K et al (2021) Proton transfer pathway from the oxygen-evolving complex in photosystem II substantiated by extensive mutagenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta 1862:148329. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2020.148329
- Li H, Nakajima Y, Nomura T et al (2021) Capturing structural changes of the S1 to S2 transition of photosystem II using timeresolved serial femtosecond crystallography. IUCrJ. https://doi. org/10.1107/s2052252521002177
- Loll B, Kern J, Saenger W et al (2005) Towards complete cofactor arrangement in the 3.0 Å resolution structure of photosystem II. Nature 438:1040–1044. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04224
- Michoux F, Boehm M, Bialek W et al (2014) Crystal structure of CyanoQ from the thermophilic cyanobacterium *Thermosyn*echococcus elongatus and detection in isolated photosystem II complexes. Photosynth Res 122:57–67. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11120-014-0010-z
- Mistry J, Finn RD, Eddy SR et al (2013) Challenges in homology search: HMMER3 and convergent evolution of coiled-coil regions. Nucleic Acids Res 41:e121. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/gkt263
- Müh F, Zouni A (2020) Structural basis of light-harvesting in the photosystem II core complex. Protein Sci 29:1090–1119. https://doi. org/10.1002/pro.3841
- Murray J, Barber J (2007a) Oxygen, water, proton and quinone channels in PSII. Photosynth Res 91:PS43
- Murray JW, Barber J (2007b) Structural characteristics of channels and pathways in photosystem II including the identification of an oxygen channel. J Struct Biol 159:228–237. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jsb.2007.01.016
- Nakajima Y, Ugai-Amo N, Tone N et al (2022) Crystal structures of photosystem II from a cyanobacterium expressing psbA2 in comparison to psbA3 reveal differences in the D1 subunit. J Biol Chem 298:102668. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2022.102668
- Neutze R, Wouts R, van der Spoel D et al (2000) Potential for biomolecular imaging with femtosecond X-ray pulses. Nature 406:752– 757. https://doi.org/10.1038/35021099
- Noguchi T, Sugiura M (2002) FTIR detection of water reactions during the flash-induced S-state cycle of the photosynthetic

water-oxidizing complex. Biochemistry 41:15706–15712. https:// doi.org/10.1021/bi020603i

- Noguchi T, Suzuki H, Tsuno M et al (2012) Time-resolved infrared detection of the proton and protein dynamics during photosynthetic oxygen evolution. Biochemistry 51:3205–3214. https://doi. org/10.1021/bi300294n
- Ogata K, Yuki T, Hatakeyama M et al (2013) All-atom molecular dynamics simulation of photosystem II embedded in thylakoid membrane. J Am Chem Soc 135:15670–15673. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/ja404317d
- Oyala PH, Stich TA, Stull JA et al (2014) Pulse electron paramagnetic resonance studies of the interaction of methanol with the S2 state of the Mn4O5Ca cluster of photosystem II. Biochemistry 53:7914–7928. https://doi.org/10.1021/bi501323h
- Pi X, Zhao S, Wang W et al (2019) The pigment-protein network of a diatom photosystem II-light-harvesting antenna supercomplex. Science. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax4406
- Popelkova H, Yocum CF (2011) PsbO, the manganese-stabilizing protein: analysis of the structure-function relations that provide insights into its role in photosystem II. J Photochem Photobiol B 104:179–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2011.01.015
- Renger G (2012) Photosynthetic water splitting: apparatus and mechanism. Photosynthesis. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 359–414
- Retegan M, Pantazis DA (2017) Differences in the active site of water oxidation among photosynthetic organisms. J Am Chem Soc 139:14340–14343. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b06351
- Roose JL, Frankel LK, Mummadisetti MP, Bricker TM (2016) The extrinsic proteins of photosystem II: update. Planta 243:889–908. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00425-015-2462-6
- Saito K, Rutherford AW, Ishikita H (2015) Energetics of proton release on the first oxidation step in the water-oxidizing enzyme. Nat Commun 6:8488. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms9488
- Sakashita N, Watanabe HC, Ikeda T et al (2017a) Origins of water molecules in the photosystem II crystal structure. Biochemistry 56:3049– 3057. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.biochem.7b00220
- Sakashita N, Watanabe HC, Ikeda T, Ishikita H (2017b) Structurally conserved channels in cyanobacterial and plant photosystem II. Photosynth Res 133:75–85. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-017-0347-1
- Sakashita N, Ishikita H, Saito K (2020) Rigidly hydrogen-bonded water molecules facilitate proton transfer in photosystem II. Phys Chem Chem Phys 22:15831–15841. https://doi.org/10.1039/d0cp00295j
- Selao TT, Zhang L, Knoppova J et al (2016) Photosystem II assembly steps take place in the thylakoid membrane of the Cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC6803. Plant Cell Physiol 57:95–104. https:// doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcv178
- Sheng X, Watanabe A, Li A et al (2019) Structural insight into light harvesting for photosystem II in green algae. Nat Plants 5:1320–1330. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-019-0543-4
- Shevela D, Kern JF, Govindjee G et al (2021) Photosystem II. eLS. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Hoboken, pp 1–16
- Shimada Y, Sugiyama A, Nagao R, Noguchi T (2022) Role of D1-Glu65 in proton transfer during photosynthetic water oxidation in photosystem II. J Phys Chem B 126:8202–8213
- Shimizu T, Sugiura M, Noguchi T (2018) Mechanism of proton-coupled electron transfer in the S<sub>0</sub>-to-S<sub>1</sub> transition of photosynthetic water oxidation as revealed by time-resolved infrared spectroscopy. J Phys Chem B 122:9460–9470. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.8b07455
- Sirohiwal A, Pantazis DA (2022) Functional water networks in fully hydrated photosystem II. J Am Chem Soc 144:22035–22050. https:// doi.org/10.1021/jacs.2c09121
- Su X, Ma J, Wei X et al (2017) Structure and assembly mechanism of plant C(2)S(2)M(2)-type PSII-LHCII supercomplex. Science 357:815–820. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan0327
- Suga M, Akita F, Hirata K et al (2015) Native structure of photosystem II at 1.95 Å resolution viewed by femtosecond X-ray pulses. Nature 517:99–103. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13991

- Suga M, Akita F, Sugahara M et al (2017) Light-induced structural changes and the site of O=O bond formation in PSII caught by XFEL. Nature 543:131–135. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21400
- Suga M, Akita F, Yamashita K et al (2019) An oxyl/oxo mechanism for oxygen-oxygen coupling in PSII revealed by an x-ray free-electron laser. Science 366:334–338. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax69 98
- Suzek BE, Wang Y, Huang H et al (2015) UniRef clusters: a comprehensive and scalable alternative for improving sequence similarity searches. Bioinformatics 31:926–932. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioin formatics/btu739
- Suzuki H, Sugiura M, Noguchi T (2008) Monitoring water reactions during the S-state cycle of the photosynthetic water-oxidizing center: detection of the DOD bending vibrations by means of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy. Biochemistry 47:11024–11030. https:// doi.org/10.1021/bi801580e
- Takaoka T, Sakashita N, Saito K, Ishikita H (2016) pK(a) of a protonconducting water chain in photosystem II. J Phys Chem Lett 7:1925– 1932. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b00656
- Tanaka A, Fukushima Y, Kamiya N (2017) Two different structures of the oxygen-evolving complex in the same polypeptide frameworks of photosystem II. J Am Chem Soc 139:1718–1721. https://doi.org/ 10.1021/jacs.6b09666
- Umena Y, Kawakami K, Shen J-R, Kamiya N (2011) Crystal structure of oxygen-evolving photosystem II at a resolution of 1.9 Å. Nature 473:55–60. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09913
- van Bezouwen LS, Caffarri S, Kale RS et al (2017) Subunit and chlorophyll organization of the plant photosystem II supercomplex. Nature Plants 3:17080. https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.80
- Vassiliev S, Comte P, Mahboob A, Bruce D (2010) Tracking the flow of water through photosystem II using molecular dynamics and streamline tracing. Biochemistry 49:1873–1881. https://doi.org/10. 1021/bi901900s
- Vassiliev S, Zaraiskaya T, Bruce D (2012) Exploring the energetics of water permeation in photosystem II by multiple steered molecular dynamics simulations. Biochim Biophys Acta 1817:1671–1678. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2012.05.016
- Vassiliev S, Zaraiskaya T, Bruce D (2013) Molecular dynamics simulations reveal highly permeable oxygen exit channels shared with water uptake channels in photosystem II. Biochim Biophys Acta 1827:1148–1155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2013.06.008
- Vinyard DJ, Brudvig GW (2017) Progress toward a molecular mechanism of water oxidation in photosystem II. Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem 68:101–116
- Wei X, Su X, Cao P et al (2016) Structure of spinach photosystem II-LHCII supercomplex at 3.2 Å resolution. Nature 534:69–74. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature18020
- Weisz DA, Gross ML, Pakrasi HB (2017) Reactive oxygen species leave a damage trail that reveals water channels in Photosystem II. Sci Adv 3:eaao3013
- Yamaguchi K, Shoji M, Isobe H et al (2022) Geometric, electronic and spin structures of the CaMn<sub>4</sub>O<sub>5</sub> catalyst for water oxidation in oxygen-evolving photosystem II interplay between experiments and theoretical computations. Coord Chem Rev 471:214742. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2022.214742
- Yano J, Yachandra VK (2014) Mn<sub>4</sub>Ca cluster in photosynthesis: Where and how water is oxidized to dioxygen. Chem Rev 114:4175–4205. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr4004874
- Yano J, Kern J, Irrgang K-D et al (2005) X-ray damage to the Mn<sub>4</sub>Ca complex in photosystem II crystals: a case study for metallo-protein X-ray crystallography. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 102:12047–12052
- Young ID, Ibrahim M, Chatterjee R et al (2016) Structure of photosystem II and substrate binding at room temperature. Nature 540:453–457. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature20161

- Zabret J, Bohn S, Schuller SK et al (2021) Structural insights into photosystem II assembly. Nat Plants. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41477-021-00895-0
- Zhang M, Bommer M, Chatterjee R et al (2017) Structural insights into the light-driven auto-assembly process of the water-oxidizing Mn<sub>4</sub>CaO<sub>5</sub>-cluster in photosystem II. Elife 6:e26933. https://doi.org/ 10.7554/eLife.26933
- Zouni A, Jordan R, Schlodder E et al (2000) First photosystem II crystals capable of water oxidation. Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Bioenergetics 1457:103–105. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-2728(00)00100-6

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.