1	Super-selective Removal of Lead from Water by Two-Dimensional
2	MoS ₂ Nanosheets and Layer-stacked Membranes
3	
4	Research article
5	Revision Submitted to
6	Environmental Science & Technology
7	
8	Zhongying Wang, ^{1,2} * [#] Qingsong Tu ^{3#} , Alison Sim ¹ , Julie Yu ¹ , Yanghua
9	Duan ¹ , Sidney Yat-Yin Poon ¹ , Bei Liu ² , Qi Han ² , Jeffrey J. Urban ⁴ , David Sedlak ¹ ,
10	and Baoxia Mi ^{1*}
11 12	¹ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, United States
13 14 15	² Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Soil and Groundwater Pollution Control, School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Southern University of Science and Technology, 1088 Xueyuan Blvd, Nanshan District, Shenzhen 518055, PR China
16 17	³ Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States
18 19	⁴ The Molecular Foundry, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States
20	*The author to whom correspondence should be addressed.
21	[#] The author contributed equally to the work.
22	E-mail: mib@berkeley.edu; wangzy6@sustech.edu.cn

23 Abstract

Point-of-use (POU) devices with satisfactory lead (Pb²⁺) removal performance are urgently 24 25 needed in response to recent outbreaks of lead contamination in drinking water. This study 26 experimentally demonstrated the excellent lead removal capability of two-dimensional (2D) 27 MoS₂ nanosheets in aqueous form and as part of a layer-stacked membrane. Among all materials 28 ever reported in the literature, MoS₂ nanosheets exhibit the highest adsorption capacity (740 mg/g), and the strongest selectivity/affinity towards Pb^{2+} with a distribution coefficient K_d that is 29 orders of magnitude higher than that of other lead adsorption materials $(5.2 \times 10^7 \text{ mL/g})$. Density 30 31 functional theory (DFT) simulation was performed to complement experimental measurements 32 and to help understand the adsorption mechanisms. The results confirmed that the cation selectivity of MoS₂ follows the order Pb²⁺ > Cu²⁺ >> Cd²⁺ > Zn²⁺, Ni²⁺ > Mg²⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺. The 33 membrane formed with layer-stacked MoS_2 nanosheets exhibited a high water flux (145 L/ 34 $m^{2}/h/bar$), while effectively decreasing Pb²⁺ concentration in drinking water from a few mg/L to 35 less than 10 μ g/L. The removal capacity of the MoS₂ membrane is a few orders of magnitude 36 higher than that of other literature-reported membrane filters. Therefore, the layer-stacked MoS₂ 37 38 membrane has great potential for POU removal of lead from drinking water.

39

40 KEYWORDS: layer-stacked MoS₂ membrane; point-of-use device; lead contamination; drinking
41 water; super-selective adsorption; high adsorption capacity

43 Table of Contents (TOC)



45 INTRODUCTION

Toxic heavy metal contamination of freshwater and drinking water has become a critical 46 challenge for human society. Particularly, lead (Pb²⁺) has been recognized as one of the most toxic 47 metals worldwide and there have been cases of lead contamination of tap water in various cities 48 49 (e.g., Washington, DC; Flint, MI; and Newark, NJ) in the United States. A major source of lead in 50 drinking water is the lead-containing plumbing in water distribution systems like pipes, solders, 51 and fittings. As aged lead-containing pipelines are still used, lead concentrations can be on the 52 order of tens of milligrams per liter in the aftermath of man-made mismanagement or natural 53 disasters.^{1, 2} This is several orders of magnitude higher than the U.S. EPA lead action level (15 µg/ L) and the WHO guideline value (10 µg/L).^{3, 4} Long-term exposure to lead, even at extremely low 54 concentrations, increases the blood lead level due to its bio-accumulative nature, causing severe 55 adverse health effects in the nervous system and brain, particularly in infants and children.⁵ 56

57 To remove lead from drinking water, especially for daily-use in rural areas or for responding to emergency lead contamination incidents, portable point-of-use (POU) adsorption 58 59 technologies are essential because of their flexibility, ease of operation, and cost effectiveness. 60 Some promising adsorbents targeting heavy metal remediation have been recently identified, including metal-organic frameworks (MOFs),^{6, 7} graphene-based materials,⁸ covalent organic 61 frameworks,^{9, 10} layered nanomaterials¹¹⁻¹⁴, and natural materials like biochar¹⁵. However, sorption 62 63 materials that have ultrahigh affinity and selectivity to lead are still lacking. High selectivity is 64 extremely important because one challenge hindering effective removal of lead from drinking 65 water is the presence of interfering species. In addition, the adsorption materials used in POU 66 applications should possess attributes such as high porosity, adsorption-site accessibility, and 67 homogeneous binding sites to achieve fast kinetics and high capacity.

68 Two-dimensional (2D) molybdenum disulfide (MoS_2), one of the most widely researched 69 transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), is an ideal adsorbent material for heavy metal 70 remediation because of its large surface area, and is abundant active sulfur sites that have a high affinity to heavy metals.^{16, 17} Although MoS₂ is a naturally occurring mineral, the direct use of 71 bulk MoS₂ in heavy metal remediation is impossible because the interlayer spacing (0.63 nm) is 72 73 so small that targeted heavy metal ions are unable to access the interior sulfur atoms. Synthetic 74 2D MoS₂ nanomaterials (e.g., flower-like aggregates) have been explored as adsorbents for toxic transition metal (Hg²⁺, Pb²⁺, Ag⁺, Zn²⁺, Cd²⁺) remediation, showing moderate-to-high adsorption 75 76 capacities¹⁸⁻²⁵. However, little investigation has been done to quantify the affinity and selectivity of MoS₂-based adsorbents.²⁶ The surface area of MoS₂ available for adsorption is also 77 compromised due to the aggregation of MoS₂ nanosheets during hydrothermal synthesis. In 78 79 contrast, the exfoliated MoS₂ monolayers possess the highest theoretical surface area. However, 80 limited work has been done investigating its application as an adsorbent in a POU device for lead 81 ion removal.

To address the above research needs, we systematically studied the adsorption of Pb^{2+} by MoS₂ monolayers and the effects of interfering ions and compared its selectivity and capacity to that of other heavy metal ions. Batch tests and DFT simulations were used to unveil the selectivity and adsorption mechanisms of MoS₂ towards various ions. The layer-stacked MoS₂ structure with confined and ordered nanochannels was employed as a POU filter, and its performance and mechanism for removing Pb in continuous filtration was investigated to reveal the potential in practical applications.

90 MATERIALS AND METHODS

91 MoS₂ monolayer and membrane preparation. To prepare chemically exfoliated monolayer MoS_2 nanosheets,²⁷ 5 ml of 1.6 M n-butyllithium in hexane solution was added to ~500 mg of 92 93 bulk MoS₂ powder (~ 2 µm, Sigma-Aldrich), and the mixture was was kept at room temperature 94 for 2 d in a nitrogen-filled glovebox with mild stirring. The resulting lithium-intercalated product 95 was rinsed with hexane to remove organic reactants and by-products. The purified intercalated 96 product was immediately exfoliated by reaction with DI water in an ultrasonic bath for 1 h. MoS₂ 97 nanosheets well-dispersed in solution were obtained after dialysis of the dispersion against DI 98 water to remove inorganic byproduct LiOH. The total MoS₂ concentration was determined 99 through digestion in 0.2 M HNO₃ and 0.5 M H₂O₂ solution, followed by measurement of the 100 soluble Mo species concentration in ICP-OES (Agilent 720, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, 101 CA). Dispersions of chemically exfoliated MoS₂ samples were stored in 4 °C for further use. To 102 prepare layer-stacked MoS₂ membranes, a dispersion containing 4 mg MoS₂ was filtered through 103 a polyethersulfone (PES) ultrafiltration membrane with a nominal pore size of 30 nm (Sterlitech, 104 Kent, WA), generating an MoS_2 membrane with a thickness of ~ 600 nm.

105 Metal ion adsorption by suspended MoS₂ nanosheets. Metal cation adsorption by MoS₂ 106 nanosheets was studied in batch experiments. The metal cations tested include Mg²⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, 107 Ni²⁺, Cd²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cu²⁺ and Pb²⁺ in their nitrate salt forms. After mixing MoS₂ nanosheets (100 mg/ 108 L) with individual cations (~ 5 mg/L) in 10 mL buffer solutions (MES, 10 mM, pH 6) for 1 d, 109 cation-adsorbed nanosheets were removed through 0.22 µm PES syringe filters (VWR), and the 110 cation concentrations in the filtrate solutions were determined using ICP-OES or ICP-MS 111 (Agilent 7700 Series) for low concentration (\leq 10 µg/L). The removal is calculated as $R = 100 \times$ 112 $(C_0 - C_f)/C_0$ %, where C_0 and C_f are the initial and final cation concentrations (mg/L), 113 respectively. The distribution coefficient is calculated as $K_d = (V[(C_0 - C_f)/C_f])/m$, where *V* is the 114 solution volume (mL), and *m* is the adsorbent mass (g).

To characterize the competitive adsorption of metal cations, batch experiments were carried out with a mixed solution containing ~5 mg/L of each cation (Mg^{2+} , K^+ , Ca^{2+} , Ni^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Zn^{2+} , Cu^{2+} and Pb^{2+}) and 100 mg/L MoS₂ nanosheets. A solution mimicking tap water composition was prepared by spiking DI water with NaCl (280 mg/L), CaCl₂ (150 mg/L) and MgSO₄ (75 mg/L). Batch tests were also used to understand the Pb²⁺ removal capacity, kinetics, and selectivity.

In order to test the regeneration capability of MoS_2 , we used a strong chelating agent EDTA, which has a Pb-EDTA²⁻ formation constant of approximately 10^{18} to recover Pb from MoS₂. In each repeated test, 50 mg/L Pb²⁺ and 100 mg/L MoS₂ was added to 40 mL of pH 6 buffer solution, and the sample was then mixed for 2 h before the solid Pb-MoS₂ was collected by vacuum-filtration onto a PES membrane. To recover the MoS₂, 40 mL of 5 mM EDTA solution was added to the collected Pb-MoS₂ to allow the release of Pb from MoS₂ for 2 h, then the regenerated MoS₂ was recollected by vacuum filtration for use in the next cycle.

Pb removal by layer-stacked MoS_2 membranes. The filtration experiments were performed using a dead-end stirred cell filtration system (Model 8050, EMD Millipore) with a total internal volume of 50 mL and an active surface area of 13 cm². The solution in the chamber was continuously mixed with a suspended magnetic stirrer at 200 rpm. The chamber was filled with aqueous solution containing Pb²⁺ at various concentrations (0.25, 1, 3 mg/L), which was continuously supplied from a stock solution in a plastic container. The filtration experiments were started by applying ~ 10 psi pressure to the chamber by means of compressed N_2 . Ten-mL samples of the filtrate were periodically collected and analyzed by ICP-MS.

136 Density functional theory (DFT) simulations. All simulation results were calculated using DFT software VASP.28 The exchange-correlation functional was described using generalized gradient 137 approximation (GGA) with Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE),29 and the ion-electron interaction 138 was treated with the projector augmented wave (PAW) method.³⁰ The cutoff energy was 520 eV 139 140 and the energy convergence criterion was 10^{-5} eV/cell. The conjugate gradient method was adopted for the geometry optimization. The Brillouin zone was represented by a 141 Monkhorst-Pack special k-point mesh³¹ of different sizes depending on the MoS₂ size. For all 142 143 calculations, the van der Waals (vdW) interaction was included using a dispersion correction term from the DFT-D3 method.³² A large vacuum space of 30 Å was used to avoid any interaction of 144 145 the MoS₂ sheets with their images. The electron localization function (ELF) calculation was also 146 performed for detailed data analysis.³³ ELF is derived from the calculation of Pauli repulsion with values normalized between 0 and 1.34 Notably, the Hubbard U correction was not added here 147 since little changes were found for the electronic structure of MoS₂ in previous studies.³⁵⁻³⁸ 148

Material and membrane characterization. MoS_2 nanosheets were characterized through transmission electron microscopy (TEM), atomic force microscopy (AFM), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). TEM images were obtained with a JEM-2100F. The AFM images were obtained in air using a Bruker Dimension Icon in tapping mode. The XPS measurement was conducted with a K-Alpha XPS spectrometer (Thermo Scientific Ltd, East Grinstead, UK). The zeta potential measurement was performed on a Zetasizer Nano-ZSP analyzer (Malvern, Westborough, MA). Cross-sectional images of a layer-stacked MoS₂ were

156 recorded by a field emission SEM (Zeiss Gemini Ultra-55, Jena, Germany).

157

158 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Synthesis of MoS₂ nanosheets and layer-stacked membranes. We prepared MoS₂ monolayer 159 nanosheets from MoS₂ bulk material through chemical exfoliation,^{27, 39} engineered them into 160 161 layer-stacked membranes, and tested the Pb removal by both configurations of MoS₂ (Figure 1ac). The as-prepared MoS₂ nanosheets were highly dispersible in water because of their uniformly 162 163 distributed negative charge on the surface (e.g., each MoS₂ unit cell is believed to carry -0.25 eV),40 as confirmed by a zeta potential of -40 to -50 mV in a wide pH range (Figure S1a). 164 165 According to the TEM (Figure S1b) and AFM (Figure 1d) images, a majority of the exfoliated 166 MoS₂ nanosheets had a lateral dimension of 100 to 500 nm and a monolayer thickness of ~1.1 167 nm. The phase composition of MoS₂ nanosheets characterized by XPS (Figure S1c-d) consisted 168 of 40% 2H-MoS₂ and 60% 1T-MoS₂, which is consistent with the results of exfoliation-induced phase transformation reported previously.³⁹ Layer-stacked MoS₂ membranes were fabricated by 169 170 filtration leading to a stable interlayer spacing of ~ 1.2 nm, which was naturally formed and 171 stabilized by a balance between attractive van der Waals and repulsive hydration forces according to our previous study.⁴¹ In this stacked configuration, MoS₂ membranes maintain an ultrahigh 172 173 surface area, exposing all sulfur atoms on each nanosheet as accessible metal-binding sites, and 174 thus potentially enabling a POU device with continuous water flow as well as high metal 175 adsorption capacity.





Figure 1. Schematic illustration of exfoliating bulk MoS_2 materials (a) to create an aqueous suspension of MoS₂ monolayer nanosheets (b) and reassembling the nanosheets into a layer-stacked MoS₂ membrane (c) for adsorptive filtration targeting the removal of toxic ions. (d) The AFM image of a monolayer MoS₂ nanosheet with a depth profile revealing a thickness of ~ 1.1 nm.

182 Selectivity of MoS₂ nanosheets towards different cations. To determine the selectivity of 183 MoS₂ towards different cations, we first evaluated the adsorption of several toxic transition metal cations (Ni²⁺, Cd²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cu²⁺ and Pb²⁺) and some common background cations (Mg²⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺) 184 185 by MoS₂ monolayers in batch experiments (see details in Table S1 and S2). Figure 2a (blue 186 hatched bars) shows the calculated removal of various cations in individual ion tests. MoS₂ nanosheets demonstrated nearly complete removal of Pb²⁺ and Cu²⁺ by effectively decreasing their 187 concentrations from 5 mg/L, to 4 and 1 μ g/L respectively. In comparison, the removal efficiency 188 was relatively high (~ 90%) for Cd²⁺, moderate (30 to 50%) for Zn²⁺, Ni²⁺ and Ca²⁺, and very low 189 (< 20%) for Mg²⁺ and K⁺. In addition, the high affinity of MoS₂ nanosheets towards Pb²⁺ can be 190 191 demonstrated by a low threshold concentration (0.1 mM) that induces visible aggregation of

192 MoS_2 nanosheets within half an hour. While for poorly adsorbed ions (e.g., Mg^{2+}), a higher 193 threshold concentration for aggregation (0.5 mM) was observed (Figure S2).⁴²

To directly compare the affinity of MoS₂ nanosheets towards different cations in a

195 competitive environment, we also conducted the adsorption experiments in an ion mixture 196 containing all eight cations of the same concentration (~ 5 mg/L). As shown in Figure 2a (red 197 unhatched bars), MoS_2 nanosheets maintained excellent removal of Pb^{2+} and Cu^{2+} by decreasing 198 their concentrations from ~ 5 mg/L to a few micrograms per liter, which was in good agreement

with those observed in individual ion tests. However, the removal of each of the other cation species was much lower than that when tested individually, indicating that the preferred adsorption of Cu^{2+} and Pb^{2+} significantly decreased the available sorption sites for other ions.

202



Figure 2. Characterization of the selectivity and capacity of Pb^{2+} adsorption by suspended MoS_2 nanosheets. (a) Removal of various cations by MoS_2 nanosheets in individual ion solutions and in a mixture containing all ions of equal concentration (5 mg/L). (b) Distribution coefficients K_d of various cation species. (c) Pb^{2+} removal by MoS_2 in the presence of Na⁺, Ca²⁺ or tap water impurities. The dashed line represents the maximum removal capacity observed in the pure water baseline experiment. (d) Isotherm of Pb^{2+} adsorption by MoS_2 fitted by Langmuir model (dash line). (e) Kinetics of Pb^{2+} adsorption

210 at various initial Pb²⁺ concentrations. (f) Comparison of the adsorption capacity (q_{max}) and distribution 211 coefficient (K_d) of MoS₂ nanosheets with those of other benchmark materials reported in the literature.^{6, 11,} 212 ⁴³⁻⁵⁵

213

214 The affinity of MoS₂ nanosheets to various cations can be compared by calculating their distribution coefficients K_d (Figure 2b). According to the individual cation test results, the K_d 215 values of MoS₂ nanosheets for Pb²⁺ and Cu²⁺ are both >10⁷ mL/g, which are 2 to 4 orders of 216 217 magnitude higher than those for other metal cations, revealing the excellent adsorptive selectivity of MoS₂ nanosheets towards Pb²⁺ and Cu²⁺. To further reveal the relative affinity of MoS₂ 218 nanosheets to Pb²⁺ and Cu²⁺, we conducted competitive tests where the initial concentrations of 219 Cu^{2+} and Pb^{2+} remained constant at ~ 5 mg/L, but the initial concentrations of MoS₂ nanosheets 220 221 were reduced from 100 mg/L to 30, 15, and 5 mg/L, respectively. As shown in Figure S3 and Table S3, at lower concentrations (30 and 15 mg/L) of MoS₂ nanosheets, Pb²⁺ removal was still 222 close to 100%, while the removal of Cu²⁺ decreased from 100% to 91.2% and 36.1% respectively. 223 224 These results indicate that when MoS₂ was limited in quantity, its adsorption sites highly preferred Pb^{2+} over Cu^{2+} . Only when the concentration of MoS_2 further decreased to 5 mg/L was 225 there a slight decline in Pb^{2+} removal, whereas Cu^{2+} removal was very low (6.1%). 226

Overall, the MoS₂ monolayer displayed an adsorption affinity in the order Pb²⁺ > Cu²⁺ >> Cd²⁺ > Zn²⁺, Ni²⁺ > Mg²⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺ (Figure 2b). This is consistent with the hard-soft principle in Lewis acid-base theory, i.e., the sulfur sites on MoS₂ offer strong soft-soft interactions towards soft acids (metal ions such as Pb²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Cd²⁺). The high affinity/selectivity towards Pb²⁺ over hard acid species (Mg²⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺) reveals the great promise of using MoS₂ nanosheets in the development of household POU devices for the removal of lead from drinking water. In addition, we tested the interference of Pb²⁺ adsorption by high concentrations of common cations (e.g., Na⁺ and Ca^{2+}) that are ubiquitous in drinking water. We found that the presence of a high concentration of these background ions (up to 1 M NaNO₃, 1 M Ca(NO₃)₂, or concentrations characteristic of a tap water mimic, with detailed composition data in the Supporting Information) did not affect Pb²⁺ removal by MoS₂ (Figure 2c).

The Pb²⁺ adsorption capacity and kinetics were further studied to elucidate the removal 238 mechanism. The adsorption of Pb²⁺ onto MoS₂ monolayers was examined by varying the initial 239 240 Pb²⁺ concentration in the range of 25 to 150 mg/L. As shown in Figure 2d, the adsorption 241 isotherm data can be better fitted by the Langmuir model than the Freundlich model (Figure S4), indicating the adsorption of a monolayer Pb²⁺ onto the MoS₂ nanosheet surface. According to the 242 243 model, MoS₂ nanosheets have a maximum adsorption capacity of 740 mg/g toward Pb²⁺ (Figure 2d and Figure S5). The Pb²⁺ removal also depends on pH conditions (Figure S6). Higher removal 244 245 capacity is found at neutral pH (~ 740 mg/g) than at acidic conditions (e.g., ~ 350 mg/g at pH 3). The decrease in Pb²⁺ adsorption at lower pH indicates that the Pb²⁺ captured by MoS₂ may be 246 attributed to the ion exchange with protons on the nanosheets (H_{0.25}MoS₂),⁴⁰ the deprotonation of 247 248 which is inhibited by low pH. Similar Pb²⁺ adsorption mechanisms and pH effects have been 249 observed with other functional materials.^{43, 55} In this study, pH 6 was adopted to investigate the fundamental interactions between MoS₂ and Pb²⁺ in order to avoid the interference of possible 250 hydroxide precipitate at alkaline conditions. Fast removal kinetics (2-3 logs Pb²⁺ removal within 2 251 min, as shown in Figure 2e) was observed regardless of initial Pb²⁺ concentration in solution 252 253 (0.25 to 20 mg/L). The regeneration of MoS_2 can be achieved by using strong chelating agents with a formation constant higher than $10^{11.4}$ (Figure S7). In our study, EDTA with a formation 254 constant of 10^{18} was chosen for regeneration. The regeneration of MoS₂ could maintain a 85-95% 255 256 lead removal in 2 to 5 repeated regeneration cycles (Figure S8). The slight reduction in removal

efficiency during regeneration was likely caused by the aggregation or partial oxidation of MoS_2 nanosheets.

259 Overall, the MoS₂ nanosheets studied in this work have superior lead adsorption 260 capabilities compared with other materials reported so far. As shown in Figure 2f, our exfoliated 261 MoS_2 nanosheets demonstrate a high Pb^{2+} adsorption capacity (740 mg/g) and an extremely high affinity K_d (5.2±1.9×10⁷ mL/g), outperforming previously reported materials including MOFs, 262 layered metal sulfides, and sulfur-functionalized nanomaterials.^{6, 11, 43-49} Note that adsorbents with 263 $K_{\rm d}$ values in the order of magnitude of 10⁴ are considered to have outstanding selectivity.⁵⁶ 264 265 Examples include sulfur-based sorbents such as S_x - and MoS_4^{2-} -intercalated LDH (10³ to 2.6×10⁵) mL/g),^{11, 46} layered metal sulfides $(5.4 \times 10^5 \text{ to } 2.1 \times 10^6 \text{ mL/g})$,^{43, 47, 49} MoS₂ hydrogel $(1.32 \times 10^4 \text{ mL/g})$ 266 g),⁵⁰ and others (10³-10⁵ mL/g).⁵³⁻⁵⁵ More details can be found in Table S4. The MoS₂ nanosheets 267 indeed exhibit the highest K_d (10⁷ mL/g) among all materials to the best of our knowledge, 268 269 demonstrating their excellent selectivity toward Pb²⁺.

270 Investigation of adsorption mechanism. To further elucidate the adsorption mechanisms, we 271 used DFT simulation based on first-principle calculations to study the interactions between metal 272 ions and 2H-MoS₂. 2H-MoS₂ was chosen for modelling because of its thermodynamic stability 273 and common presence in nature. As MoS₂ nanosheets are partially reduced during the intercalation/exfoliation process, they are negatively charged and have a formula of $H_{0.25}MoS_2$ or 274 $MoS_2^{-0.25}$ ⁴⁰ According to this formula, we built a 4×4 MoS_2 supercell with 4 hydrogen atoms 275 276 evenly distributed on the surface (H₄(MoS₂)₁₆, Figure S14). Since all reactions take place in 277 aqueous solution, the ions are present in the hydrated form, and the number of water molecules in 278 the hydration shell is determined based on literature data.⁵⁷ Therefore, the adsorption of a divalent 279 metal ion (M^{2+}) onto MoS_2 can be described in equation 1.

280
$$H_4(MoS_2)_{16} + n\dot{c}$$
 (1)

where *n* varies in the range of 1 to 24 depending on the Pb/S coverage ratio ranging from 1/32 to 3/4. Accordingly, the corresponding free energy of adsorption ($\Delta G_{f,ads}$) is calculated by the following equation:

284
$$\Delta G_{f, ads} = E_{[M_n|MoS_2]_{16}]^{2n-4}} + 4 E_{(H_2O)_2 H^{*i} + (n-2)E_{(H_2O)_4} - E_{H_4|MoS_2]_4} - nE_{(H_2O)_4 M^{2*i} i}}$$
(2)

where *E* represents the internal energy of the corresponding compound, which can be obtained from the first-principle DFT calculations. A detailed illustration of the adsorption reaction and the methodology used to calculate the free energy is discussed in the Supporting Information. A negative free energy $\Delta G_{f,ads}$ indicates that the adsorption is energetically favorable, and vice versa.



291 Figure 3. Mechanistic investigation of lead adsorption by DFT simulation and XPS spectra. The top-292 down and cross-sectional views of the electron localization function (ELF, with detailed explanation in 293 Supporting Information) for the Pb-MoS₂ double bond formed on a bridge S-S site (a) and a single bond 294 formed on a top-S site (b). (c) XPS spectra of Pb peaks with the Pb/S coverage ratio increasing from 1/32 295 to 5/32, which correspond to the MoS₂-Pb mass ratio ranging from 10 to 2.5 (see SI for calculation 296 process). The peaks at higher (red) and lower (blue) binding energies are most likely attributed to single 297 bonds on the top-S and double bonds on the bridge S-S sites, respectively. (d) The free energy of Pb²⁺ 298 adsorption on MoS_2 surface as a function of Pb/S coverage ratio. (e) The maximum bond energy for the 299 binding between cations and MoS₂.

```
The binding mechanisms between Pb^{2+} and MoS_2 nanosheets are affected by the Pb/S
coverage ratio. The most stable (energetically favorable) binding site on MoS_2 is the Bridge S-S
site (Figure 3a), where a Pb^{2+} binds to two neighboring S-atoms with an equal bond length of ~
2.7 Å. However, when the Pb/S coverage ratio increases, the dominating binding site shifts to the
```

Top-S site, where a Pb²⁺ binds to only one S atom with a bond length of ~ 2.5 Å (Figure 3b). The 304 305 Pb-MoS₂ double bond formed at the Bridge S-S position (binding energy of -1.3 eV) is stronger 306 than the single bond at the Top-S position (binding energy of -1.0 eV). A more detailed 307 description of the binding mechanism, formation energy, bond length, geometry, and effects of 308 Pb-S coverage can be found in Tables S5 and S6, Figures S19 to S22. The simulation results are 309 correlated with the deconvolution of Pb 4f peaks in the XPS spectra at different Pb/S coverage 310 ratios. As shown in Figure 3c, when the Pb/S coverage ratio increases from 1/32 to 5/32 (i.e., the 311 MoS₂/Pb mass ratio decreases from 10 to 2.5), the component peak at higher binding energy (red 312 line) increases in strength, corresponding to more single bonds on Top-S sites, while the 313 component peak at lower binding energy (blue line) becomes weaker, corresponding to less 314 double bonds on the Bridge-S-S sites. The XPS results are consistent with the simulation results.

The overall free energy ($\Delta G_{f,ads}$) for Pb adsorption onto MoS₂ is strongly affected by the Pb/S coverage (Figure 3d). The free energy of adsorption increases with increasing Pb/S coverage ratio, indicating that the adsorption of Pb becomes weaker when more Pb is adsorbed onto MoS₂ surfaces. The free energy becomes positive when Pb/S coverage is over 0.31, demonstrating that the adsorption of more Pb beyond the 31% coverage is energetically unfavorable. The 31% coverage amounts to an adsorption capacity of 802 mg/g, which is very close to our experimental results (740 mg/g).

To understand the stronger selectivity towards Pb^{2+} than towards other cations, the binding energy for other cations were also calculated using the DFT model. The favorable binding mechanism for each metal species is shown in Table S7. It was found that for almost all divalent cations except Ca²⁺, the most stable (energetically favorable) binding mechanism is the double

bond formed at Bridge S-S sites. The binding of Ca²⁺ is unique because the bonds on all binding 326 327 sites exhibit a positive formation energy, indicating unfavorable adsorption. The formation energy of the most favorable binding of each cation on the MoS₂ nanosheet is correlated with the 328 329 experimentally measured K_d values in Figure 3e. In general, a negative formation energy of around -1 eV (for Pb²⁺, Cd²⁺, and Cu²⁺) corresponds to a high K_d value (above 10⁵ mL/g), 330 331 demonstrating good consistency between simulation and experimental results. Among all metal 332 ions analyzed, the formation energy of Pb-MoS₂ has the most negative value (-1.3 eV), indicating stronger bonding and more facile interactions of Pb²⁺ than those of Cu²⁺ and Cd²⁺ with MoS₂ 333 334 nanosheets, consistent with its highest K_d value measured experimentally. The formation energy of Zn^{2+} , Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} is much less negative or becomes positive (> - 0.4 eV), consistent with 335 their low K_d values (below 10⁴ mL/g) measured experimentally. It's worth noting that the 336 337 interactions between MoS₂ and representative ions were simulated exclusively with 2H-MoS₂, and 338 the potential effects of various MoS₂ phases on the adsorption efficiency should be investigated 339 via experimental tests and theoretical simulations in the future studies.

340 Besides adsorption, metal ion removals can be potentially caused by redox reactions and/ 341 or precipitation formation with MoS₂ nanosheets or soluble molybdate species. To explore if these mechanisms are present in removing Pb²⁺ and other metal ions tested here, we carried out 342 extensive XPS characterization of the metal-adsorbed MoS2 samples (Pb-, Cu-, Cd-, Ni-, Zn-343 344 MoS_2). As shown in Figure S9a, compared to the pristine MoS_2 , all metal-adsorbed MoS_2 345 samples exhibit similar Mo and S peak positions and intensities. Meanwhile, the absence of 346 oxidized S at 168 eV and constant 1T/2H ratios indicate no direct redox reaction occurring between MoS₂ and metal species tested here (Figure S9b and S9c). This is consistent with the 347 previous findings that MoS₂ can not reduce Pb²⁺or Cu²⁺, although redox reaction contributes 348

349 greatly to the removal of Ag^+ and Hg^{2+} that leads to oxidized S and reduced 1T/2H ratios.²⁶ We 350 observed weak peaks of molybdate, which often co-exists in the MoS₂ suspension due to slow 351 oxidative dissolution of MoS₂ by ambient oxygen. Based on the XPS characterization (Figure 352 S9b), even if we assume all the molybdate contributes to Pb removal by forming precipitates, the 353 precipitation accounts for less than ~10 % of the total Pb removal (see Supporting Information 354 for calculation).

355

Layer-stacked MoS₂ as POU filter. Excellent capacity and selectivity are the pre-requisites for 356 357 MoS₂ monolayers as potential building blocks for a POU filter. With these aspects demonstrated 358 above, we further used MoS₂ monolayers to synthesize a layer-stacked MoS₂ membrane, and explored its potential for POU removal of lead from drinking water. The MoS₂ membranes were 359 360 tested in filtration experiments with feed water containing various concentrations of Pb²⁺. The membrane maintained a constant water flux (145 L m⁻² h⁻¹ bar⁻¹) due to stable 2D nanochannels 361 362 formed between stacked MoS₂ nanosheets with an interlayer distance of 1.2 nm (Figure S10). The interlayer spacing is large enough to allow Pb²⁺ to enter the 2D nanochannels in the MoS₂ 363 364 membrane and adsorb it onto the channel walls. As a result, Pb²⁺ concentration is efficiently 365 lowered from 0.25-3 mg/L in the feed water, to less than 10 μ g/L in the effluent, which is the WHO guideline value. Since the MoS₂ membrane mainly removes Pb²⁺ by adsorption, there 366 367 would be a breakthrough point when the effluent concentration rises above 10 μ g/L. The total 368 effluent volume at the breakthrough point defines the treatment capacity of the adsorptive membrane. As shown in Figure 4a, when the Pb^{2+} concentration in feed water was 0.25, 1, and 3 369 370 mg/L, the treatment capacity of the MoS₂ membrane was 800, 180, and 70 mL, respectively. It is

371 estimated that the residence time of Pb^{2+} in the MoS_2 membrane is merely 0.02 s (see calculation 372 in Figure S10), so the breakthrough is most likely controlled by a dynamic process instead of 373 reaching an equilibrium condition for adsorption. Therefore, the treatment capacity of MoS_2 374 membranes can be further improved by increasing the residence time, e.g., by synthesizing a 375 thicker membrane (Figure S12).



377 **Figure 4.** Layer-stacked MoS_2 membrane as a POU filter. (a) The performance of MoS_2 membranes in 378 filtering a feed water containing 0.25 to 3 mg/L Pb²⁺. The treatment capacity is defined as the total 379 effluent volume at the breakthrough point, which was reached when the effluent Pb²⁺ concentration 380 reached 10 μ g/L. The cross-sectional SEM (b) and XPS depth profile (c) of the MoS₂ membrane after 381 filtering Pb^{2+} water (see Figure S11 for detailed peak intensity evolution). (d) The regeneration of MoS₂ 382 membrane using EDTA cleaning. (e) Treatment capacities (L-water/g-material) of MoS₂ membranes and other adsorptive membranes reported in the literature. Membranes fabricated by commercial materials are 383 384 represented by red hollow symbols, and those by lab-synthetic materials are represented by amber filled 385 symbols.

386 SEM and XPS were used to characterize a used MoS_2 membrane after being tested with

 1 mg/L Pb^{2+} feed solution and reaching the breakthrough point in filtration. The MoS₂ membrane

388 maintains a stacked structure as shown in the cross-sectional SEM image (Figure 4b). The 389 distribution of adsorbed lead in the MoS_2 membrane can be observed in the depth profile of the S 390 2p and Pb 4f peaks obtained by etching 300 nm (15 times \times 20 nm etching depth) into the MoS₂ 391 membrane during XPS characterization (Figure 4c). The Pb/S atomic ratio is high (12 %) on the 392 membrane surface, and gradually decreases to around 5 % at 100 nm depth and below. The 393 higher Pb content on the membrane top surface could be partially attributed to the diffusion of 394 Pb²⁺ ions from water during drying. The relatively constant Pb/S ratio in the membrane interior 395 confirms that adsorption instead of membrane exclusion is the dominant Pb²⁺ removal 396 mechanism.

397 The regeneration ability of the MoS₂ membrane was evaluated by using EDTA cleaning to remove the adsorbed Pb²⁺ ions from the membrane after a filtration experiment. As shown in 398 Figure 4d, the MoS_2 membrane adsorbed 0.15 mg Pb²⁺ from the first filtration cycle, and the 399 400 EDTA cleaning by flushing with 80 mL EDTA solution recovered approximately 0.136 mg Pb²⁺ 401 from the membrane, leading to a recovery of more than 90 %. It is worth noting that the 402 concentration of recovered Pb²⁺ in the first 20 mL EDTA solution was as high as 5.5 mg/L, 403 demonstrating the effectiveness in regenerating MoS₂ membranes. A second filtration cycle was 404 performed after EDTA cleaning, and the regenerated MoS₂ membrane could reduce Pb²⁺ 405 concentration to less than 10 µg/L with a treatment capacity of 90 mL, equivalent to nearly 90% 406 of the original treatment capacity of a fresh MoS₂ membrane.

407 The treatment capacity of a POU filter is calculated by considering a conservative 65.6 408 mg/g lead removal capacity of the MoS_2 membrane (based on the tests shown in Figure S12). As 409 shown in Figure 4e, the POU device demonstrates a treatment capacity of 63 to 625 L-water/g-410 MoS_2 depending on the initial Pb^{2+} concentration in tap water. For instance, when Pb^{2+} 411 concentration in the water is 100 and 250 μ g/L, a POU device containing 1 g of MoS₂ membrane 412 could effectively treat 625 and 170 L water, respectively. The treatment capacity of MoS₂ 413 membrane is several orders of magnitude higher than that of adsorptive membranes made of 414 commercial or lab-synthetic materials reported in the literature ^{12, 58, 59}. The superb performance 415 can be attributed to the high adsorption capacity and selectivity of MoS₂ nanosheets as well as 416 the fully accessible sulfur sites in the 1.2-nm 2D nanochannels enabled by the layer-stacking 417 structure.

418 The leaching of MoS₂ nanosheets and soluble Mo species from MoS₂ membranes is also 419 characterized in the filtration experiments. During the Pb adsorption and EDTA cleaning process, 420 we observed a low concentration of leached Mo species (< 0.1 mg/L) in the filtrate (Figure S13). 421 This is due to the slow oxidation of chemically exfoliated MoS₂ to soluble molybdate ions as was 422 reported previously.⁶⁰ To the best of our knowledge, molybdate ions have not been reported to 423 generate environmental toxicity or negative human health impacts at such low concentrations. 424 The leaching problem can also be potentially addressed by using more stable MoS₂ prepared by 425 ultrasonication, which significantly slows down Mo leaching (Figure S13). Loose nanosheets 426 were not observed throughout all filtration tests, nor during a batch test where external pressure 427 was removed, a condition that can accelerate nanosheet release if applicable (Figure S14). This 428 structural stability is consistent with our previous finding that the strong vdW forces between MoS₂ nanosheets could potentially prevent the layer-stacked MoS₂ nanosheets from releasing in 429 water.41 430

431 Environmental Implications. Our findings suggest that emerging 2D MoS₂ nanosheets can find
432 important applications like lead removal from drinking water. MoS₂'s superb lead adsorption

433 capabilities are evidenced by its adsorption capacity (740 mg/g) and its extremely high 434 distribution coefficient K_d (5.2×10⁷ mL/g), both of which are among the highest for materials that 435 have ever been reported to the best of our knowledge. Additionally, once assembled into a layer-436 stacked membrane, the unique 1.2-nm 2D nanochannels formed between MoS₂ nanosheets make 437 all the surface sulfur sites fully accessible for lead adsorption, while allowing water to permeate through the membrane at a fast speed. The layer-stacked MoS2 membrane could effectively 438 remove Pb^{2+} in drinking water from a few mg/L to less than 10 µg/L, with a treatment capacity a 439 440 few orders of magnitude higher than that of membrane filters fabricated with commercial or other 441 nanomaterials. An additional advantage of the MoS₂ membrane is that the nanochannels also 442 enable the rejection of lead-containing particulates, a common form of lead contamination in tap water due to the corrosion of drinking water distribution pipes.⁶¹ MoS₂ has also been reported to 443 have excellent antimicrobial/antifouling properties,62,63 another important feature for multi-444 445 functional membrane applications. With exfoliation and synthesis methodology maturing, the 446 cost and complexity of MoS₂ nanosheet production is expected to continuously decrease. Therefore, we believe that MoS₂ membrane-based technology holds great promise as a POU 447 448 device installed in households, schools, or public utilities to remediate lead contamination and 449 safeguard drinking water quality for the public.

450

451

452 Associated Content

453 Supporting Information

454 The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website. This

455 document includes additional characterization of MoS₂ nanosheets and membranes,

456 supplementary adsorption results, DFT modeling process and results.

457 Acknowledgements

458 The material is based upon work supported by U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF) under 459 award nos. CBET-1565452 and CBET-1706059. Work at the Molecular Foundry was supported by the Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy 460 under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Work at SUSTech was supported by SUSTech-MIT 461 462 Joint Center for Mechanical Engineering Education and Research, and State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Integrated Surface Water-Groundwater Pollution Control. The 463 authors acknowledge the assistance of SUSTech Core Research Facilities. The opinions expressed 464 465 herein, however, are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the sponsors. Z 466 Wang and Q Tu contributed equally to this work.

467

468 **References**

469 Pieper, K. J.; Tang, M.; Edwards, M. A., Flint water crisis caused by interrupted 1. 470 corrosion control: Investigating "ground zero" home. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2017, 51, (4), 2007-471 2014. 472 2. Pieper, K. J.; Nystrom, V. E.; Parks, J.; Jennings, K.; Faircloth, H.; Morgan, J. B.; Bruckner, J.; Edwards, M. A., Elevated lead in water of private wells poses health risks: case 473 474 study in Macon County, North Carolina. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2018, 52, (7), 4350-4357. 475 US-EPA, Maximum contaminant level goals and national primary drinking water 3. 476 regulations for lead and copper; final rule. In Federal Register: 1991. 477 WHO, Guidelines for drinking-water quality In World Health Organization: 1993. 4. 478 5. Ngueta, G.; Abdous, B.; Tardif, R.; St-Laurent, J.; Levallois, P., Use of a cumulative 479 exposure index to estimate the impact of tap water lead concentration on blood lead levels in 1-to 480 5-year-old children (Montréal, Canada). Environ. Health Perspect. 2016, 124, (3), 388. 481 6. Yu, C.; Shao, Z.; Hou, H., A functionalized metal-organic framework decorated with O-482 groups showing excellent performance for lead (II) removal from aqueous solution. Chem. Sci. 483 2017, 8, (11), 7611-7619. 484 7. Sun, D. T.; Peng, L.; Reeder, W. S.; Moosavi, S. M.; Tiana, D.; Britt, D. K.; Oveisi, E.;

485 Queen, W. L., Rapid, selective heavy metal removal from water by a metal–organic

486 framework/polydopamine composite. *ACS Cent. Sci.* **2018**, *4*, (3), 349-356.

487 8. Zhao, G.; Li, J.; Ren, X.; Chen, C.; Wang, X., Few-layered graphene oxide nanosheets as

- 488 superior sorbents for heavy metal ion pollution management. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2011, 45,
 489 (24), 10454-10462.
- 490 9. Sun, Q.; Aguila, B.; Perman, J.; Earl, L. D.; Abney, C. W.; Cheng, Y.; Wei, H.; Nguyen,
- 491 N.; Wojtas, L.; Ma, S., Postsynthetically modified covalent organic frameworks for efficient and 492 effective mercury removal. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, (7), 2786-2793.
- 493 10. Huang, N.; Zhai, L.; Xu, H.; Jiang, D., Stable covalent organic frameworks for
- 494 exceptional mercury removal from aqueous solutions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, (6), 2428-495 2434.
- 496 11. Ma, L.; Wang, Q.; Islam, S. M.; Liu, Y.; Ma, S.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Highly selective and
- 497 efficient removal of heavy metals by layered double hydroxide intercalated with the MoS_4^{2-} ion. *J*. 498 *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, (8), 2858-2866.
- 499 12. Peng, Q.; Guo, J.; Zhang, Q.; Xiang, J.; Liu, B.; Zhou, A.; Liu, R.; Tian, Y., Unique lead 500 adsorption behavior of activated hydroxyl group in two-dimensional titanium carbide. *J. Am*.
- *Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, (11), 4113-4116.
- 502 13. Li, Z.; Fan, R.; Hu, Z.; Li, W.; Zhou, H.; Kang, S.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, H.; Wang, G.,
- 503 Ethanol introduced synthesis of ultrastable $1T-MoS_2$ for removal of Cr(VI). *J. Hazard. Mater.* 504 **2020**, *394*, 122525.
- 505 14. Chen, Z.; Zhang, S.; Liu, Y.; Alharbi, N. S.; Rabah, S. O.; Wang, S.; Wang, X., Synthesis
- 506 and fabrication of $g-C_3N_4$ -based materials and their application in elimination of pollutants. *Sci.* 507 *Total Environ.* **2020**, 139054.
- Hu, B.; Ai, Y.; Jin, J.; Hayat, T.; Alsaedi, A.; Zhuang, L.; Wang, X., Efficient elimination
 of organic and inorganic pollutants by biochar and biochar-based materials. *Biochar* 2020, 2, (1),
 47-64.
- 511 16. Wang, Z.; Mi, B., Environmental applications of 2D molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂)
- 512 nanosheets. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017,** *51*, (15), 8229-8244.
- 513 17. Fausey, C. L.; Zucker, I.; Lee, D. E.; Shaulsky, E.; Zimmerman, J. B.; Elimelech, M.,
- 514 Tunable Molybdenum Disulfide-Enabled Fiber Mats for High-Efficiency Removal of Mercury 515 from Water. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12*, (16), 18446-18456.
- 516 18. Ai, K.; Ruan, C.; Shen, M.; Lu, L., MoS_2 nanosheets with widened interlayer spacing for 517 high-efficiency removal of mercury in aquatic systems. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2016**, *26*, (30), 5542-518 5549.
- 519 19. Subrahmanyam, K. S.; Malliakas, C. D.; Sarma, D.; Armatas, G. S.; Wu, J.; Kanatzidis,
- 520 M. G., Ion-exchangeable molybdenum sulfide porous chalcogel: Gas adsorption and capture of
- 521 iodine and mercury. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, (43), 13943-13948.
- 522 20. Wang, J.; Zhang, W.; Yue, X.; Yang, Q.; Liu, F.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, D.; Li, Z.; Wang, J.,
- 523 One-pot synthesis of multifunctional magnetic ferrite– MoS_2 –carbon dot nanohybrid adsorbent 524 for efficient Pb(II) removal. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2016**, *4*, (10), 3893-3900.
- 525 21. Zhao, H.; Yang, G.; Gao, X.; Pang, C. H.; Kingman, S. W.; Wu, T., Hg0 capture over
- 526 CoMoS/ γ -Al₂O₃ with MoS₂ nanosheets at low temperatures. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50*, (2), 527 1056-1064.
- 528 22. Luo, J.; Fu, K.; Sun, M.; Yin, K.; Wang, D.; Liu, X.; Crittenden, J. C., Phase-mediated
- 529 heavy metal adsorption from aqueous solutions using two-dimensional layered MoS₂. ACS Appl.
- 530 Mater. Interfaces 2019, 11, (42), 38789-38797.
- 531 23. Zhang, L.; He, X.; Zhou, Q.; Hu, X., Fabrication of 1T-MoS₂ nanosheets and the high-

- efficiency removal of toxic metals in aquatic systems: Performance and mechanisms. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2020, *386*, 123996.
- 534 24. Kumar, N.; Fosso-Kankeu, E.; Ray, S. S., Achieving controllable MoS₂ nanostructures
- with increased interlayer spacing for efficient removal of Pb(II) from aquatic systems. ACS Appl. *Mater. Interfaces* 2019, *11*, (21), 19141-19155.
- 537 25. Li, D. O.; Gilliam, M. S.; Debnath, A.; Chu, X. S.; Yousaf, A.; Green, A. A.; Wang, Q.
- 538 H., Interaction of Pb^{2+} ions in water with two-dimensional molybdenum disulfide. *J. Phys. Mater* 539 **2020**, *3*, (2), 024007.
- 540 26. Wang, Z.; Sim, A.; Urban, J. J.; Mi, B., Removal and recovery of heavy metal ions by
- 541 two-dimensional MoS_2 nanosheets: performance and mechanisms. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2018**, 542 52, (17), 9741-9748.
- 543 27. Joensen, P.; Crozier, E.; Alberding, N.; Frindt, R., A study of single-layer and restacked 544 MoS₂ by X-ray diffraction and X-ray absorption spectroscopy. *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **1987**, 545 20, (26), 4043.
- 546 28. Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J., Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy
- 547 calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B* 1996, 54, (16), 11169.
- 548 29. Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M., Generalized gradient approximation made 549 simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, *77*, (18), 3865.
- 50 30. Blöchl, P. E., Projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* **1994**, *50*, (24), 17953.
- 551 31. Monkhorst, H. J.; Pack, J. D., Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. *Phys. Rev. B* 552 **1976**, *13*, (12), 5188.
- 553 32. Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H., A consistent and accurate ab initio
- 554 parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. J.
- 555 *Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *132*, (15), 154104.
- 556 33. Silvi, B., The spin-pair compositions as local indicators of the nature of the bonding. *J*.
- 557 Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, (17), 3081-3085.
- 558 34. Gillespie, R. J.; Noury, S.; Pilmé, J.; Silvi, B., An electron localization function study of
- the geometry of d0 molecules of the period 4 metals Ca to Mn. *Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 43, (10),3248-3256.
- 561 35. Wu, M.; Yao, X.; Hao, Y.; Dong, H.; Cheng, Y.; Liu, H.; Lu, F.; Wang, W.; Cho, K.;
- Wang, W.-H., Electronic structures, magnetic properties and band alignments of 3D transition
 metal atoms doped monolayer MoS₂. *Phys. Lett. A* 2018, *382*, (2-3), 111-115.
- 564 36. Chen, Y.-Y.; Dong, M.; Qin, Z.; Wen, X.-D.; Fan, W.; Wang, J., A DFT study on the
- adsorption and dissociation of methanol over MoS_2 surface. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2011**, *338*, (1-2), 44-50.
- 567 37. Ansari, R.; Shahnazari, A.; Malakpour, S.; Faghihnasiri, M.; Sahmani, S., A DFT study
- 568 on the elastic and plastic properties of MoS_2 nanosheet subjected to external electric field.
- 569 Superlattices Microstruct. 2016, 97, 506-518.
- 570 38. Rasmussen, F. A.; Thygesen, K. S., Computational 2D materials database: electronic
- 571 structure of transition-metal dichalcogenides and oxides. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, (23), 13169-13183.
- 573 39. Eda, G.; Yamaguchi, H.; Voiry, D.; Fujita, T.; Chen, M.; Chhowalla, M.,
- 574 Photoluminescence from chemically exfoliated MoS₂. *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11*, (12), 5111-5116.
- 40. Heising, J.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Exfoliated and restacked MoS₂ and WS₂: Ionic or neutral

- 576 species? Encapsulation and ordering of hard electropositive cations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 577 (50), 11720-11732.
- 41. Wang, Z.; Tu, Q.; Zheng, S.; Urban, J. J.; Li, S.; Mi, B., Understanding the aqueous
- 579 stability and filtration capability of MoS_2 membranes. *Nano Lett.* **2017**, *17*, (12), 7289-7298.
- 580 42. Yang, K.; Chen, B.; Zhu, X.; Xing, B., Aggregation, adsorption, and morphological
- transformation of graphene oxide in aqueous solutions containing different metal cations.
- 582 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50*, (20), 11066-11075.
- 583 43. Rathore, E.; Pal, P.; Biswas, K., Layered Metal Chalcophosphate (K-MPS-1) for
- 584 Efficient, Selective, and ppb Level Sequestration of Pb from Water. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2017**, *121*, 585 (14), 7959-7966.
- 586 44. Zhang, W.; Shi, S.; Zhu, W.; Yang, C.; Li, S.; Liu, X.; Hu, N.; Huang, L.; Wang, R.; Suo,
- 587 Y., In-situ fixation of all-inorganic Mo–Fe–S Clusters for the highly selective removal of lead (II). 588 *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2017**, *9*, (38), 32720-32726.
- 589 45. Liu, Y.; Liu, Z.; Gao, J.; Dai, J.; Han, J.; Wang, Y.; Xie, J.; Yan, Y., Selective adsorption
- 590 behavior of Pb (II) by mesoporous silica SBA-15-supported Pb (II)-imprinted polymer based on
- 591 surface molecularly imprinting technique. J. Hazard. Mater. 2011, 186, (1), 197-205.
- 592 46. Ma, S.; Chen, Q.; Li, H.; Wang, P.; Islam, S. M.; Gu, Q.; Yang, X.; Kanatzidis, M. G.,
- Highly selective and efficient heavy metal capture with polysulfide intercalated layered double hydroxides. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2014**, *2*, (26), 10280-10289.
- 595 47. Manos, M. J.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Sequestration of heavy metals from water with layered 596 metal sulfides. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, (19), 4779-4784.
- 597 48. Zhu, H.; Tan, X.; Tan, L.; Chen, C.; Alharbi, N. S.; Hayat, T.; Fang, M.; Wang, X.,
- 598 Biochar derived from sawdust embedded with molybdenum disulfide for highly selective removal
- 599 of Pb²⁺. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. **2018**, *1*, (6), 2689-2698.
- 600 49. Sarma, D.; Islam, S. M.; Subrahmanyam, K.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Efficient and selective
- heavy metal sequestration from water by using layered sulfide $K_{2x}Sn_{4-x}S_{8-x}$ (x= 0.65–1; KTS-3). J.
- 602 Mater. Chem. A **2016**, *4*, (42), 16597-16605.
- 50. Zhuang, Y.-T.; Zhang, X.; Wang, D.-H.; Yu, Y.-L.; Wang, J.-H., Three-dimensional
 molybdenum disulfide/graphene hydrogel with tunable heterointerfaces for high selective Hg (II)
 scavenging. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2018, 514, 715-722.
- 606 51. Zhou, L.; Ji, L.; Ma, P.-C.; Shao, Y.; Zhang, H.; Gao, W.; Li, Y., Development of carbon
- 607 nanotubes/CoFe₂O₄ magnetic hybrid material for removal of tetrabromobisphenol A and Pb (II). 608 *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2014,** *265*, 104-114.
- 609 52. Guo, X.; Du, B.; Wei, Q.; Yang, J.; Hu, L.; Yan, L.; Xu, W., Synthesis of amino
- 610 functionalized magnetic graphenes composite material and its application to remove Cr (VI), Pb
- 611 (II), Hg (II), Cd (II) and Ni (II) from contaminated water. J. Hazard. Mater. 2014, 278, 211-220.
- 612 53. Yantasee, W.; Warner, C. L.; Sangvanich, T.; Addleman, R. S.; Carter, T. G.; Wiacek, R.
- 513 J.; Fryxell, G. E.; Timchalk, C.; Warner, M. G., Removal of heavy metals from aqueous systems
- 614 with thiol functionalized superparamagnetic nanoparticles. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41*, (14), 615 5114-5119.
- 616 54. Manos, M. J.; Petkov, V. G.; Kanatzidis, M. G., $H_{2x}Mn_xSn_{3-x}S_6$ (x= 0.11–0.25): a novel
- 617 reusable sorbent for highly specific mercury capture under extreme pH conditions. *Adv. Funct.*
- 618 Mater. 2009, 19, (7), 1087-1092.
- 619 55. Hassanzadeh Fard, Z.; Islam, S. M.; Kanatzidis, M. G., Porous amorphous chalcogenides

- 620 as selective adsorbents for heavy metals. *Chem. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, (18), 6189-6192.
- 621 56. Fryxell, G. E.; Lin, Y.; Fiskum, S.; Birnbaum, J. C.; Wu, H.; Kemner, K.; Kelly, S.,
- 622 Actinide sequestration using self-assembled monolayers on mesoporous supports. *Environ. Sci.*
- 623 *Technol.* **2005**, *39*, (5), 1324-1331.
- 624 57. Wander, M. C.; Clark, A. E., Hydration properties of aqueous Pb (II) ion. *Inorg. Chem.*625 2008, 47, (18), 8233-8241.
- 626 58. Zhang, Q.; Yang, Q.; Phanlavong, P.; Li, Y.; Wang, Z.; Jiao, T.; Peng, Q., Highly efficient
- 627 lead (II) sequestration using size-controllable polydopamine microspheres with superior
- 628 application capability and rapid capture. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2017,** *5*, (5), 4161-4170.
- 629 59. Yan, Z.; Fu, L.; Yang, H., Functionalized 2D clay derivative: hybrid nanosheets with
- unique lead sorption behaviors and interface structure. *Adv. Mater. Interfaces* 2018, 5, (4),
 1700934.
- 632 60. Wang, Z.; von dem Bussche, A.; Qiu, Y.; Valentin, T. M.; Gion, K.; Kane, A. B.; Hurt, R.
- 633 H., Chemical dissolution pathways of MoS₂ nanosheets in biological and environmental media.
- 634 Environ. Sci. Technol. 2016, 50, (13), 7208–7217.
- 635 61. Pieper, K.; Martin, R. L.; Tang, M.; Walters, L.; Parks, J.; Roy, S.; Devine, C. L.;
- Edwards, M. A., Evaluating water lead levels during the Flint Water Crisis. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*2018, *52*, (15), 8124-8132.
- 638 62. Yang, X.; Li, J.; Liang, T.; Ma, C.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, H.; Hanagata, N.; Su, H.; Xu, M.,
- 639 Antibacterial activity of two-dimensional MoS₂ sheets. *Nanoscale* **2014**, *6*, (17), 10126-10133.
- 640 63. Alam, I.; Guiney, L. M.; Hersam, M. C.; Chowdhury, I., Antifouling properties of two-
- 641 dimensional molybdenum disulfide and graphene oxide. Environ. Sci. Nano 2018, 5, (7), 1628-
- 642 1639.
- 643