

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

SPECTRAL METHODS FOR THE SMALL DISTURBANCE EQUATION OF TRANSONIC FLOWS

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8jt165j5>

Author

Fishelov, D.

Publication Date

1986-09-01

c.2



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Physics Division

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

JAN 3 1988

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Mathematics Department

To be submitted for publication


Spectral Methods for the Small Disturbance Equation of Transonic Flows

D. Fishelov

September 1986

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.*



LBL-24252
c.2

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

**SPECTRAL METHODS FOR THE SMALL
DISTURBANCE EQUATION OF TRANSONIC FLOWS¹**

Dalia Fishelov

Department of Mathematics and Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720, USA

and

Department of Mathematics, School of Mathematical Sciences
Tel Aviv University
Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

September 1986

¹Supported in part by the Applied Mathematical Sciences Subprogram of the Office of Energy Research, U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

SPECTRAL METHODS FOR THE SMALL DISTURBANCE EQUATION OF TRANSONIC FLOWS.

Dalia Fishelov

ABSTRACT

Spectral methods for the small disturbance equation of transonic flows is developed. Two schemes are presented. One of them is spectral in x and y and of second order in t . The other is spectral in x and of second order in y and t . A method for extracting a highly accurate solution for problems containing a discontinuity is presented. The solution is obtained by fitting the standard spectral approximation to a sum of a step function and a truncated chebychev series. An application to the burger's equation and to the small disturbance equation is described.

Key words: Spectral Methods, Shock waves, Transonic flows, Chebyshev polynomials.

AMS(MOS) Subject Classification: 76H05, 76L05, 33A65, 35L65

1. Introduction

The small disturbance equations describing transonic flows is treated. This equation is a model for describing flow with Mach number close to 1 over a thin body. The steady state equation is

$$(1.1) \quad (k \phi_x - \frac{1}{2} (\gamma + 1) \phi_x^2)_x + 4 \phi_{yy} = 0$$

and the time-dependent one is

$$(1.2) \quad 2 \phi_{tx} = (k \phi_x - \frac{1}{2} (\gamma + 1) \phi_x^2)_x + 4 \phi_{yy}$$

-where ϕ is the velocity potential, k and γ are positive constants.

If the Mach number far away from the body is close to 1, the solution of (1.1) or (1.2) contains a shock (see [7], [20]). Moreover, the steady state equation (1.1) is of mixed type.

These equations were treated previously by E. Murman and J. Cole [20] and by B. Engquist and S. Osher [7] (see also [3], [5], [15]), using finite differencing.

E. Murman and J. Cole [20] proposed a scheme for the steady state equation which is type dependent, i.e., in the hyperbolic region (supersonic flow) - upward differencing is used, while in the elliptic region (subsonic flow) - they used centered differencing. The difference equations are solved using relaxation procedure.

B. Engquist and S. Osher [7] modified this scheme in the region of interface between supersonic and subsonic domains. The new scheme is nonlinearly stable and does not admit solutions violating the entropy condition. It is of first order in the x direction and of second order in the y and t directions; at steady state the elliptic domain becomes second order accurate in the x direction as well.

We offer a way of treating the small disturbance equation, using spectral methods. As we are interested in the steady state only, we advance in time via a Modified-Euler scheme. In the x direction spectral differencing is used, while for the y variable we choose either spectral differencing or finite differencing, depending on the number of grid points we use in the y -direction. For a coarse grid (8 or 16 points) it is preferable to use spectral differencing; for finer meshes we use finite differencing, due to the limiting time step. We may do it without affecting the accuracy too much as changes spread slower in the y -direction.

Thus, we are looking for a scheme which is spectral in the x -direction and is capable of capturing the shock.

In order to stabilize the scheme and capture the shock we add two filters every time step. The first one is a filter offered by A. Majda, J. McDonough and S. Osher [18] which damps high modes in the Fourier space. The second filter is a second order Shuman filter proposed by A. Hartan and H. Tal-Ezer [14]. The results, using these filters, agree with those obtained by the Engquist-Osher (E-O) scheme, except near the region of interface between the subsonic and supersonic regions. In the E-O scheme, we used a grid which is four times finer in the x -direction than the spectral one.

The total time of computations depends on the shape of the airfoil. We have checked two types of airfoils. For one of them the computational time for the spectral method, as compared to the E-O scheme, is reduced by a factor of 1.3 and for the other the factor is 3.3.

Since the Shuman filter may reduce the accuracy of the scheme, we removed the two filters mentioned above for a few iterations (1-10) after reaching a steady state, and applied a spectral filter. The latter fits the solution to a sum of a step function with an unknown location and a smooth part. The smooth part is introduced by a truncated Chebyshev series.

$$u \sim d_2 S(x, x_e) + \sum_{k=0}^M b_k T_k(x)$$

-where $S(x, x_e)$ is a step-function, having a jump at $x = x_e$.

It turns out that we got the location of the shock very accurately, regardless of the number of iterations for which we have removed the two filters applied until reaching a steady state. The location of the shock agrees with that prescribed by the $E - 0$ scheme using a grid which is four times finer in the $E - 0$ scheme. Moreover, the results are improved compared to those obtained before using the spectral filter, especially in the neighbourhood of the shock.

In section 2 we present the differential problem describing transonic flows and in section 3 we review finite difference methods to solve it. Two schemes are presented in section 4. One is spectral in x and y and of second order in t and the other is spectral in x and of second order in y and t .

In section 5 we discuss the problem of approximating discontinuous solutions using spectral methods and in section 6 we present a method to extract a highly accurate solution by fitting the standard Fourier approximation to a sum of a saw-tooth function and a truncated Fourier series. In section 7 we develop a similar method for a non-periodic problem, using a step function instead of a saw tooth-function. An application to the small disturbance equation of transonic flows is described in section 8 and numerical results are presented in section 9.

2. Presentation of the Problem

The formulation of the small disturbance problem of transonic flows is as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad 2\phi_{tz} = (k\phi_z - \frac{\gamma+1}{2}\phi_z^2)_z + 4\phi_{yy}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \phi(-1, y, t) = 0$$

$$(2.3) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}(1, y, t) = 0$$

$$(2.4) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}(x, \pm 1, t) = F \pm(x).$$

$$(2.5) \phi(x, y, 0) = \phi_0(x, y)$$

The steady state equation is

$$(2.6) (k \phi_x - \frac{\gamma + 1}{2} \phi_x^2)_x + 4\phi_{yy} = 0.$$

-where ϕ is the potential velocity and k and γ are positive constants.

The small disturbance equation of transonic flow is derived by asymptotic expansion procedure applied to the exact equations of gas dynamic. The small parameter of expansion is taken to be the airfoil thickness ration τ , and the flow is presented as small disturbance on a uniform stream. The freestream Mach number M_∞ is considered to approach 1 and $\tau \rightarrow 0$, such that the transonic similarity parameter k , $k = (1 - M_\infty^2)/\tau^{2/3}$ is fixed. For more details about the expansion procedure, including high order approximation see [6],[5].

Boundary Conditions

We consider a bounded spatial domain $-1 \leq x, y \leq 1$, in which the airfoil is represented by

$$y(x) = -1 + \tau F(x) \quad |x| < x_0, \quad x_0 \ll 1.$$

Assume that the boundaries $x = \pm 1, y = 1$ can be viewed as far away from the airfoil, so that the disturbed flow there is zero. Hence, we have

$$\phi(-1, y, t) = 0$$

$$u(1, y, t) = 0$$

$$\phi_y(x, 1, t) = 0.$$

On the airfoil the flow is tangent to the body. Since in our asymptotic expansion τ tends to zero, this condition should be applied at $y = -1$, $|x| < x_0$. Thus

$$\phi_y(x, -1, t) = \begin{cases} F'(x) & |x| < x_0 \\ 0 & |x| > x_0 \end{cases}$$

We should supply initial conditions for (1.1)

$$\phi(x, y, 0) = \phi_0(x, y)$$

See figure 8 for description of the boundary conditions and the geometry of the problem.

4. Discretization in time and space

(a) Discretization in time

As in [7], we split the problem (2.1) - (2.5) into two differential problems. The first one is

$$(4.1) \quad u_t = - (f(u))_x$$

$$(4.2) \quad u(1, y, t) = 0$$

where $u = \phi_x$

and

$$f(u) = \frac{\gamma+1}{4}u^2 - \frac{k}{2}u.$$

Observe that (4.1) is in conservative form.

The second is:

$$(4.3) \quad \phi_{tx} = 2\phi_{yy}$$

$$(4.4) \quad \phi(-1, y, t) = 0$$

$$(4.5) \quad \phi_y(x, \pm 1, t) = F_{\pm}(x).$$

(4.1)-(4.2) and (4.3)-(4.5) must be supplied by initial conditions.

One may present both problems above in the form:

$$u_t = G(u).$$

For the first one

$$G(u) = G_1(u) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(u)$$

-and for the second

$$G(u) = G_2(u) = 2 \int_{-1}^x \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} dx.$$

Since we are interested in the steady state only, we discretize u_t in (4.1) or (4.3) using a Modified Euler scheme.

$$u^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = u^n + \frac{\Delta t}{2} G(u^n)$$

$$u^{n+1} = u^n + \Delta t G(u^{n+\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Denote by $L_1(\Delta t)$, $L_2(\Delta t)$ the operators acting on u^n to get u^{n+1} for (4.1)-(4.2) and (4.3)-(4.5) respectively by the Modified-Euler scheme, and use a Strang-type approximation:

$$(4.6) \quad u^{n+1} = L_1 \left(\frac{\Delta t}{2} \right) L_2 \left(\frac{\Delta t}{2} \right) L_2 \left(\frac{\Delta t}{2} \right) L_1 \left(\frac{\Delta t}{2} \right) u^n$$

According to [9], the above discretization in time is accurate up to order two in the time variable, even in the nonlinear case. One may also consider an implicit time integration. In this work we are concerned essentially with treating the shock using spectral methods. One may modify the spectral scheme to be implicit in time, and compare the results to an implicit scheme using the Murman-Cole switch [3], or the Engquist-Osher one [16].

(b) Discretization in Space

In both problems (4.1) - (4.2) and (4.3) - (4.5) derivatives or integrals with respect to the spatial variables x or y appear. It is sufficient to describe how we discretize $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ and $\int_{-1}^x u(\tau) d\tau$.

Let $P_N u$ be the Chebyshev-pseudospectral projection of u on the subspace of polynomials of degree

N or less.

$$u_N(x, y) = P_N u(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n(y) T_n(x),$$

where

$$u_N(x_i, y) = u(x_i, y) ; \quad x_i = \cos \frac{\pi i}{N}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq N.$$

We discretize $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ by differentiating $P_N u(x, y)$, i.e.,

$$L_N u = P_N \frac{\partial}{\partial x} P_N u = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n(y) T_n'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N b_n(y) T_n(x),$$

where

$$b_N(y) = 0, \quad b_{N-1}(y) = 2N a_N(y)$$

and

$$\bar{c}_k b_k(y) = b_{k+2}(y) + 2(k+1) a_{k+1}(y) ; \quad 0 \leq k \leq N-2$$

$$\bar{c}_0 = \bar{c}_N = 2$$

and

$$\bar{c}_j = 1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N-1.$$

We apply $L_N u$ for every y_j

$$y_j = \cos \frac{\pi j}{M} ; \quad 0 \leq j \leq M.$$

Next, integration is done in a similar way, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} I_N &= P_N \int_{-1}^1 P_N u d\tau = P_N \sum_{n=0}^N a_n(y) \int_{-1}^1 T_n(\tau) d\tau \\ &= P_N \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} d_n(y) T_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

By integrating the recurrence formula

$$2T_n(x) = \frac{T'_{n+1}(x)}{n+1} - \frac{T'_{n-1}(x)}{n-1},$$

we have

$$d_{N+1} = \frac{a_N}{2(N+2)} \quad d_N = \frac{a_{N-1}}{2(N+1)}$$

$$d_n = \frac{a_{n-1} - a_{n+1}}{n} \quad 3 \leq n \leq N-1$$

$$d_2 = \frac{a_1}{4} - \frac{a_3}{4}, \quad d_1 = a_0 - \frac{a_2}{2}$$

and we choose d_0 such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N+1} d_n(y) T_n(-1) = 0.$$

Two types of schemes for the discretization of $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$ are possible. The first is spectral in y , and the second is a finite-difference one. We may use the latter, since in the transonic problem perturbations spread much slower in the y - direction, in comparison to those in the x - direction. In this way we avoid the stability limited time step

$$\Delta t = O\left(\frac{1}{M^4}\right)$$

for the spectral discretization, where M is the number of points in the y direction.

Using finite differences in the y direction implies

$$\Delta t = O\left(\frac{1}{M^2}\right).$$

In this case we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} u \approx D_M(y)u(x, y) = \frac{u(x, y_{j+1}) - 2u(x, y_j) + u(x, y_{j-1}))}{(\Delta y)^2}$$

where $\Delta y = \frac{2}{M}$, $y_j = 1 - (\Delta y) \cdot j \quad 1 \leq j \leq M - 1$.

We apply $D_M(y)$ at

$$x = x_i = \cos \frac{\pi i}{N} \quad 0 \leq i \leq N-1.$$

Denote by U the approximation to u and by Φ the approximation to ϕ , where

$$\Phi = I_N U$$

Hence, the semi-discrete approximation to (4.1) - (4.2) is

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = -L_N(x)f(U)$$

$$(4.8) \quad U(-1, y, t) = 0$$

And for (4.3) - (4.5),

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} = 2I_N(x)D_M(y)\Phi$$

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{\Phi(x_i, 1) - \Phi(x_i, 1 - \Delta y)}{\Delta y} = F_+(x_i), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N-1$$

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{\Phi(x_i, -1 + \Delta y) - \Phi(x_i, -1)}{\Delta y} = F_-(x_i), \quad 0 \leq i \leq N-1$$

where $\Phi = I_N U$.

This scheme has spectral accuracy in the x -variable and is of second order in the y - variable. For further analysis of the schemes above see [8].

5. Spectral methods for problems containing a discontinuity

In section 4 we described two schemes for the small disturbance equation, which have spectral accuracy in x and are of second order in t . One of the schemes has spectral accuracy in y , while the other is of second order in y .

For low Mach numbers no shock appears in the solution, hence we apply the scheme presented in (4.6)-(4.11) and show numerical results in figure 1.

When M_∞ begins to approach 1, shocks appears in the solution (see [20] and [7]) and we have to treat the discontinuity. To illustrate the problem caused by the discontinuities, we treat a linear problem, though we shall apply our new method to nonlinear problems as well.

Consider the problem

$$u_t = Lu$$

$$u(x,0) = u_0(x)$$

where u belongs to a Hilbert space H , L is a spatial linear operator, x is a scalar or vector spatial variable.

Denote by P_N a projection operator $P_N : H \rightarrow B_N$, where B_N is a finite dimensional subspace $B_N \subset H$.

Let u_N be the solution of the semi-discrete problem

$$\frac{\partial u_N}{\partial t} = P_N L P_N u_N$$

$$u_N(0) = P_N u_0$$

-where $u_N \in B_N$.

Then, by [13] and [4], for spectral methods

$$(5.1) \quad \| | u_N(t) - P_N u(t) | | _0 \leq C N^{-p+1} \| | u | | _p, \quad u \in H^p(\Omega)$$

where $H^p(\Omega)$ is a Sobolev space, for which u and its derivatives up to order p are in $L_2(\Omega)$.

Invoking results in [4],

$$(5.2) \quad \| | P_N u - u | | _0 \leq C N^{-p} \| | u | | _p, \quad u \in H^p(\Omega)$$

Combining (5.1), and (5.2), we may deduce that

$$(5.3) \quad \| | u_N - u | | _0 \leq C N^{-p+1} \| | u | | _p, \quad u \in H^p(\Omega)$$

From the last inequality it is clear that when the solution u or its derivatives have discontinuities, the rate of convergence of the approximated solution u_N to the exact one u may be very poor.

In fact, it is well known (the Gibbs phenomena) that for a *piecewise* smooth function

$$|P_N u - u| = O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$

away from the discontinuity and $P_N u$ is an oscillatory function.

Can we extract a piecewise C^∞ function from its oscillations? In [19] M.S. Mock and P.D. Lax have argued that for high order schemes moments are preserved within high accuracy (see also [17] for the high resolution of high order schemes). In sections 6 and 7 we show how we use this idea to deduce pointwise convergence by a post processing. We refer the reader to [12] for another kind of post processing. We shall first describe the method for a periodic problem, since in this case the theory is more complete.

Our method is based also on the idea of S. Abarbanel and D. Gottlieb appearing in [1] of looking for a solution which is a sum of a step function (or a saw-tooth function in the periodic case) and a smooth function.

For a periodic problem S. Abarbanel and D. Gottlieb [1] minimized:

$$H = \sum_{j=0}^{2N-1} [u_N(x_j, t) - d_2 F_N(x_j, x_l) - \sum_{k=-M}^M b_k e^{ikx_j}]^2$$

where u_N is a pseudospectral-Fourier approximation to the differential problem. F_N is a pseudospectral-Fourier projection of a saw-tooth function $F(x, x_l)$ onto the subspace spanned by $\{e^{ikx}\}_{k=-N}^N$, where

$$(5.4) \quad F(x, x_l) = \begin{cases} x & 0 \leq x < x_l \\ x - 2\pi & x_l \leq x \leq 2\pi \end{cases}$$

The jump $2\pi d_2$, its location x_l and b_k are unknowns.

For a non-periodic problem, instead of a saw-tooth function, they looked for a step function $S(x, x_l)$

$$(5.5) \quad S(x, x_l) = \begin{cases} 0 & -1 \leq x \leq x_l \\ 1 & x_l < x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

and then minimized

$$H = \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{1}{c_j} [u_N(x_j, t) - d_2 S_N(x_j, x_l) - \sum_{k=0}^M b_k T_k(x_j)]^2,$$

$|M| < N$ and $S_N(x, x_l)$ is the pseudospectral projection of $S(x, x_l)$ onto the subspace spanned by $\{T_k(x)\}_{k=0}^N$. $T_k(x)$ is a Chebyshev polynomial of degree k . Note that l is real, not necessarily an integer.

We also refer the reader to theorems appearing in [2] which show that for spectral Fourier methods moments are preserved within spectral accuracy and then show how to fit the numerical solution to a sum of a step function (or a saw-tooth) and a smooth part, based on preservation of moments.

(a) Preservation of Moments for the Galerkin-Fourier Method

We consider first the Fourier-Galerkin method.

Define the inner product

$$(u, v) = \int_0^{2\pi} u(x, t) \bar{v}(x, t) dx$$

Let u be a solution of

$$(5.6) \quad u_t = Lu, \quad 0 < x < 2\pi, \quad t > 0$$

$$(5.7) \quad u(x, 0) = f(x)$$

$$(5.8) \quad u(x, t) = u(x + 2\pi, t)$$

where L is a linear operator

$$(5.9) \quad L = a(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad a(x) = a(x + 2\pi)$$

and $f(x)$ is a piecewise C^∞ function.

Let u_N be the Galerkin-Fourier approximation of u satisfying (5.6) - (5.9), i.e., u_N satisfies:

$$(5.10) \quad (u_N)_t = L_N u_N$$

$$(5.11) \quad u_N(x, 0) = P_N f(x)$$

$$(5.12) \quad u_N(x, t) = u_N(x + 2\pi, t)$$

where

$$L_N = P_N L P_N$$

and P_N is the Galerkin-Fourier projection defined in [11] and [13].

Theorem (5.1) (S. Abarbanel, D. Gottlieb and E. Tadmor [2])

Let $u(t)$ satisfy (5.6) - (5.9) and let $u_N(t)$ satisfy (5.10) - (5.12) where $f(x)$ is a piecewise C^∞ , then

$$(5.13) \quad (u_N(T), v(T)) = (u(T), v(T)) + E$$

for every $v \in H^p(0, 2\pi)$ and E satisfies

$$|E| \leq C N^{-p+1} \|v\|_p$$

(b) Preservation of moments for the Fourier - Pseudospectral method

Consider now the Fourier Pseudospectral method.

Define the discrete inner product

$$(u, v)_N = \frac{\pi}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{2N-1} u(x_j) \bar{v}(x_j)$$

where $x_j = \frac{\pi j}{N}$ $0 \leq j \leq 2N-1$

Let u_N be a pseudospectral-Fourier approximation to (5.6) - (5.9), i.e., u_N satisfies

$$(5.14) \quad (u_N)_t = L_N u_N, \quad 0 < x < 2\pi, \quad t > 0$$

$$(5.15) \quad u_N(x, 0) = P_N^G f(x)$$

$$(5.16) \quad u_N(x, t) = u_N(x + 2\pi, t)$$

where

$$L_N = P_N L P_N$$

and P_N^G and P_N are the Galerkin and pseudospectral-Fourier projection respectively defined in [13].

Theorem (5.2) (S. Abarbanel, D. Gottlieb and E. Tadmor [2])

Let $u(t)$ be the solution of (5.6) - (5.9) and let $u_N(t)$ be a solution of (5.14) - (5.16).

Assume that (5.14) - (5.16) is stable.

then

$$(5.17) \quad (u(T), v(T)) = (u_N(T), v(T))_N + E$$

where

$$|E| \leq CN^{-p+1} \|v\|_p.$$

6. Fitting the approximated solution to a saw-tooth function- (periodic problem)

In the previous section we have quoted theorems stating that spectral-Fourier methods, applied to linear problems, preserve moments within spectral accuracy.

The question is how to extract pointwise convergence from that property.

For a periodic problem (5.6)-(5.9), we assume that the non-smooth part of the solution is a saw-tooth function and approximate the smooth part by a truncated Fourier series, i.e.,

$$(6.0) \quad u(x, t) \sim d_2 F(x, x_l) + \sum_{|k|=0}^M b_k e^{ikx}$$

where $F(x, x_l)$ is a saw-tooth function defined in (5.4).

If there are other types of singularities, we may add other singular functions to the sum (6.0), i.e.,

$$u(x, t) \sim \sum_{|k|=0}^{M_1} d_k F_k(x, x_l) + \sum_{|k|=0}^{M_2} b_k e^{ikx}$$

where $F_0(x, x_l) = F(x, x_l)$ and $F_k(x, x_l)$ are periodic functions, which they and their derivatives up to order $k-1$ are continuous, and their k -th derivative has a discontinuity at x_l . In this paper a representation similar to (6.0) for non-periodic problems was used, but it is possible to include more non-smooth terms as suggested in order to improve the results.

In (6.0) the location of the jump - x_l , its magnitude - $2\pi d_2$ and the coefficients - b_k are prescribed using preservation of moments.

For the Galerkin-Fourier method, we substitute (6.0) in (5.13) and choose the smooth functions $v(T)$ in (5.13) to be e^{ijx} , $|j| = 0, \dots, M+2$

and M such that

$$M \leq N - 2.$$

The following set of equations results:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} (d_2 F(x, x_l) + \sum_{|k|=0}^M b_k e^{ikz}) e^{-ijz} dx = \\ = \int_0^{2\pi} u_N(x) e^{-ijz} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{for } |j| = 0, \dots, M + 2.$$

For the pseudospectral-Fourier method, we get (using (5.17) instead of (5.13))

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{2N-1} (d_2 F_N(x_n, x_l) + \sum_{|k|=0}^M b_k e^{ikx_n}) e^{-ijx_n} = \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{2N-1} u_N(x_n) e^{-ijx_n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{for } |j| = 0, \dots, M + 2$$

where

$$x_n = \frac{\pi n}{N} \quad 0 \leq n \leq 2N-1,$$

$F_N(x, x_l)$ is the pseudospectral-Fourier projection of $F(x, x_l)$, i.e.,

$$F_N(x, x_l) = \sum_{|k|=0}^N A_k(x_l) e^{ikz}$$

$$(6.2) \quad A_0 = \frac{\pi}{N} (l - N + \frac{1}{2})$$

$$(6.3) \quad A_k = \frac{\pi}{2Nc_l} 2 \left(\frac{1 - e^{\frac{-ik\pi(l+1)}{N}}}{1 - e^{\frac{-i\pi l}{N}}} + \cot \frac{\pi k}{2N} - 1 \right), \quad 1 \leq |k| \leq N$$

$$c_l = 1 \quad \text{for } |l| \leq N-1, \quad c_l = 2 \quad \text{for } |l| = N.$$

Letting l be real, not necessarily integer, enables us to locate the jump within spectral accuracy. It is clear that the its profile would be sharp.

Equations (6.1) can be written in a simpler form, but we shall write this simpler form in detail for the non-periodic case, because of the similarity of this two cases, and since our goal in this work is to apply the method for the non-periodic small disturbance equation of transonic flow.

7. Fitting the approximated solution to a step function- (non-periodic problem)

We develop now a similar method to the one presented in section 6 for a non-periodic problem, using chebyshev polynomials. Assuming that the non-smooth part of the solution is a step function, we search for a solution which is a sum of a step function and a truncated chebyshev series , i.e.,

$$(7.0) \quad u(x, t) \sim d_2 S(x, x_l) + \sum_{k=0}^M b_k T_k(x)$$

where $S(x, x_l)$ is a step function defined in (5.5).

The location of the jump - x_l , its magnitude - d_2 and the coefficients - b_k are prescribed using preservation of moments. For a non-periodic case, we choose the smooth function $v(T)$ to be $T_j(x)$, $j = 0, \dots, M + 2$

and M such that

$$M \leq N - 2.$$

For the Galerkin-Chebyshev method we interpret (5.13) in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 (d_2 S(x, x_l) + \sum_{k=0}^M b_k T_k(x)) T_j(x) (1-x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx = \\ = \int_{-1}^1 u_N(x) T_j(x) (1-x^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{for } j = 0, \dots, M + 2.$$

For the pseudospectral-Chebyshev method, we require that

$$(7.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{c_n} (d_2 S_N(x_n, x_l) + \sum_{k=0}^M b_k T_k(x_n)) T_j(x_n) =$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{1}{c_n} u_N(x_n) T_j(x_n)$$

for $j = 0, \dots, M + 2$

where

$$c_n = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq N - 1, \quad c_n = 2 \quad \text{for} \quad j = 0, N$$

$$x_n = \cos \frac{\pi n}{N} \quad 0 \leq n \leq N$$

$S_N(x, x_l)$ is the pseudospectral-Chebyshev projection of $S(x, x_l)$, i.e.,

$$S_N(x, x_l) = \sum_{k=0}^N A_k(x_l) T_k(x)$$

where $x_l = \cos \frac{\pi}{N} l$

$$(7.2) \quad A_0 = \frac{1}{N} l \quad , \quad A_N = \frac{1}{2N} \sin \pi l$$

$$(7.3) \quad A_k = \frac{1}{N} \sin \frac{k\pi}{N} l / \sin \frac{k\pi}{2N} \quad 1 \leq k \leq N - 1.$$

Eqs. (7.1) forms a set of $M + 3$ equations for the $M + 3$ unknowns

$$d_2, x_l, b_0, \dots, b_M.$$

We shall write down now the system of equations resulting from (7.1).

Define

$$(7.4) \quad F_k(t) = \frac{2}{c_k N} \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{1}{c_j} u(x_j, t) T_k(x_j) \quad k = 0, \dots, N$$

We use orthogonality properties

$$\sum_{j=0}^N \frac{1}{c_j} T_l(x_j) T_k(x_j) = 0, \quad k \neq l, \quad 0 \leq k, l \leq N$$

and the following system of equations results from (7.1):

$$(7.5) \quad d_0 A_0 + b_0 = F_0$$

$$(7.6) \quad d_2 A_k + b_k = F_k \quad 1 \leq k \leq M$$

$$(7.7) \quad d_2 A_{M+1} = F_{M+1}$$

$$(7.8) \quad d_2 A_{M+2} = F_{M+2}$$

There is a solution to the system (7.5) - (7.8) if and only if

$$(7.9) \quad F_{M+1} A_{M+2} = F_{M+2} A_{M+1}$$

(7.9) is a non-linear equation for x_l , which is solved iteratively.

Then, we get

$$d_2 = F_{M+1}/A_{M+1}$$

$$b_0 = F_0 - d_2 A_0$$

$$b_k = F_k - d_2 A_k, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M$$

Therefore, the position of the jump- x_l , its magnitude - d_2 and the smooth part of the solution

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k T_k(x)$$

are prescribed within spectral accuracy, provided that the singular part of the solution is a step function.

8. Application to the Transonic Problem

In our approximations to the solution of the transonic problem, we are interested in the solution in the steady state. Using the scheme presented in section 4 we have got a non-stable procedure due to nonlinear instabilities which appears in presence of a shock for t large enough.

In order to stabilize the procedure we have used two filters. ((a) and (b)).

(a) A. Majda, J. McDonough and S. Osher in [18] have offered a procedure for damping high modes in the approximated solution.

If

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^N a_k T_k(x) \\ \tilde{u}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^N \tilde{a}_k T_k(x) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(8.2) \quad \tilde{a}_k = \begin{cases} 1 & |k| < k_0 \\ e^{-\alpha(k-k_0)^4} & |k| \geq k_0 \end{cases}$$

and k_0 is an integer which depends on the strength of the shock.

We choose

$$k_0 \sim \frac{2}{3} N$$

This is a very weak filter since there is no change in the low modes.

In [18] it was proved that for *linear* problems, this filter insures stability for the Fourier method. Moreover, if we also smooth the initial data in a certain way, (see [18], preliminary section) this filter leads to a spectral accurate approximation away from a set discontinuities of the exact solutions.

(b) The smoothing described in (a) was not sufficient for our *non-linear* problem. Therefore, we applied every time step a Shuman filter as well.

Denote by u_{jk}^n the values of the approximated velocity $u(x, y)$ in the x -direction at the point (x_j, y_k) at time t_n . The filtered values \bar{u}_{jk}^n are given by

$$(8.3) \quad \bar{u}_{jk}^n = u_{jk}^n + \Theta_{j+\frac{1}{2}} (u_{j+1,k}^n - u_{jk}^n) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}} (u_{jk}^n - u_{j-1,k}^n)$$

The smoothing factors Θ_j are chosen such that they are small in the smooth part of the solution and become large ($O(1)$) only in the neighborhood of the discontinuities.

Following Harten and Tal-Ezer [14], we choose

$$(8.4) \quad \Theta_{j+k} = \beta \frac{|(u_{j+2,k} - u_{j+1,k}) - 2(u_{j+1,k} - u_{j,k}) + (u_{j,k} - u_{j-1,k})|}{|u_{j+2,k} - u_{j+1,k}| + 2|u_{j+1,k} - u_{j,k}| + |u_{j,k} - u_{j-1,k}|}$$

where $0 < \beta < 1$.

We used $\beta = 0.01$ in our calculations. This filter was also used by D. Gottlieb, L. Lustman, and S. Orszag [10]. It reduces the order of accuracy of our scheme. But our strategy was first to reach a steady state and afterwards to construct a highly accurate approximation.

After achieving a steady state, we omitted the two filters described above for a few iterations (1 to 10) and applied the spectral filter presented in (7.5)-(7.8).

To conclude:

(8.5) We first worked out the scheme described in (4.6)-(4.11).

(8.6) At each time step applied the filters described in (8.1) - (8.2)

and (8.3) - (8.4).

(8.7) After reaching a steady state we removed the above filters and applied a spectral filter presented in (7.5)-(7.8).

9. Numerical Results

We first show results for the "inviscid" Burger's equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - u(u^2)_x &= 0 \\ u(1,t) &= 1 \\ u(-1,t) &= -1 \\ u(x,0) &= x \end{aligned}$$

This is a non-periodic problem, for which one can easily verify that a shock appears in the solution at $t=1$. The exact solution for $t > 1$ is $u(x,t) = -1$ for negative x , and $u(x,t) = 1$ for positive x . In the

numerical solution $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ is approximated by a modified Euler scheme and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ by a polynomial pseudospectral method described in section 4 with $N = 32$. Every time step we applied the step function filter (7.5)-(7.8) and got the following results at $t = 2.176$.

x	error
.9952	.51(-5)
.9239	.14(-4)
.7730	.90(-5)
.5556	.53(-5)
.2903	.39(-4)
.0000	.37(-3)
-.2903	.18(-4)
-.5556	.89(-5)
-.7730	.85(-5)
-.9239	.19(-4)
-.9952	.37(-5)

Next, we approximated the solution of the small disturbance problem of transonic flows around a symmetric airfoil, described in section 2. The computational domain is $-1 \leq x, y \leq 1$. The airfoil is located at $-x_0 \leq x \leq x_0, y = -1$. We divided the domain into three parts: $-1 \leq x \leq -x_0, -x_0 \leq x \leq x_0, x_0 \leq x \leq 1$, and approximated u_N in each subdomain by a Chebyshev polynomial. That gives a natural refinement of the grid near the tips of the airfoil. Typically $x_0 = \frac{1}{3}$ in our calculations.

The shape of the airfoil is given by

$$y = -1 + \tau F(x) \quad |x| \leq x_0.$$

Note that in the expansion procedure $\tau \rightarrow 0$, hence the airfoil is represented by the segment $-x_0 \leq x \leq x_0, y = -1$. The shape of the airfoil only affects the boundary condition applied to ϕ_y on this segment. For

$$(9.1) \quad F(x) = k_0 \cos 1.5\pi x \quad |x| \leq x_0,$$

where k_0 was chosen to be $(\frac{2}{3\pi})^2$,

$$\phi_y = \begin{cases} F'(x) = -1.5\pi k_0 \sin 1.5\pi x & |x| < x_0 \\ 0 & |x| > x_0 \end{cases}$$

Note that ϕ_y is discontinuous at $x = \pm x_0$.

The calculations were continued until steady state was reached approximately, i.e. until

$$(9.2) \quad \epsilon_1 = \frac{\max_{j,k} |u_{jk}^{n+1} - u_{jk}^n|}{\Delta t} \leq 10^{-3}.$$

For all the numerical results displayed for the small disturbance equation (figures 1-7) we used second order finite differencing in y (as in the E-O scheme), therefore the number of grid points in the y direction is identical (17) for both schemes. In figures 1-7 the quantity presented is $u(x, -1, t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., the steady state velocity in the x direction on the airfoil.

We first ran the scheme for low Mach numbers. In this case no shock appears, so we were able to apply the Strang-type scheme (4.6) described in section 4 for marching in time, and (4.7) - (4.11) for discretization in the spatial variables. There was no need to add filters.

As an example, Figure 1 contains the results for $M_\infty = .57$, $\tau = 0.1$, which implies $k = 2.89$. The airfoil is presented by (9.1) and the grid is of (49×17) points. The results are compared to those obtained by the $E - 0$ scheme [7]. One should take a grid of (121×17) points in the E-O scheme to get similar results to those obtained by the spectral method, with a grid of (49×17) points.

While increasing M_∞ , we were able to use the same scheme up to M_∞ approximately 0.85. For

$M_\infty > 0.85$ we added filters to capture the shock. In figures 2-5 we present results for $M_\infty = .9$, $\tau = .1$ which implies $k = .822$ for two types of airfoils described in (9.3) and (9.1). As a shock appears in the solution, we have used the procedure described in the previous section ((8.5) - (8.8)).

We have carried out the calculations for two shapes of airfoils. The first presented by

$$y = -1 + \tau F(x)$$

where

$$(9.3) \quad F(x) = k_0 \cos^2 1.5\pi x$$

$$k_0 = .8 \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3\pi}\right)^2.$$

The second is presented in (9.1). Notice that for (9.3)

$$\phi_y = \begin{cases} F'(x) = 3\pi k_0 \sin 3\pi x & |x| < x_0 \\ 0 & |x| > x_0 \end{cases}$$

ϕ_y is continuous at $x = \pm x_0$.

The results for this case are presented in Figure 2. The location of the shock prescribed by the spectral method was

$$x_l = .08127$$

In the E-O scheme $a(u) = (\gamma + 1)u - k$ is positive for $x_2 = .08163$ and is negative for $x_3 = .0918$. According to a one dimensional analysis done in [7], the shock might be spread over two grid points and therefore might occur between their neighboring points : $x_1 = .07143$ and $x_4 = .102$.

Next, we increased the number of grid points in the E - 0 scheme to 197×17 . Still the spectral location of the shock agrees with that prescribed by the E - 0 scheme. Moreover, the results are closer to the spectral ones (in comparison to the coarser finite difference grid), especially near the shock. These results are presented in Figure 3. Results obtained before using the spectral filter are presented in figure 6.

In table 2 we compare the number of iterations - NI - to reach a steady state by the (197×17) E - 0 scheme and the spectral one. The total computational time - T - is compared as well.

	$E - 0$	Spectral
grid	197×17	49×17
NI	4810	6007
T	6335	4938

Table 2

The next example is an airfoil whose shape satisfies (9.1). In this case ϕ_y is discontinuous at $x = \pm x_0$. The results are shown in figure 4. The shock location found by the spectral method is

$$x_l = .1291$$

which is between the two $E - 0$ grid points $x_1 = .122$, $x_2 = .132$, corresponding to the 197×17 grid. There are some differences in the results near $x = \pm x_0$, due to the discontinuity of ϕ_y . In order to get better results we should add continuous functions which have discontinuous derivatives to the sum (7.0). The number of grid points taken for the $E - 0$ scheme is 121×17 in figure 4 and 197×17 in figure 5. Note that for this shape of airfoil too there is more agreement with the spectral results in the finer $E - 0$ grid, especially near the shock. Results obtained before using the spectral filter are presented in figure 7.

In table 3 we compare the same quantities as in table 2 for the airfoil presented in (9.1). In this case NI and T corresponds to $\epsilon_1 = 10^{-2}$ in (9.2).

	$E - 0$	Spectral
grid	197×17	49×17
NI	13660	6607
T	17920	5432

Table 3




Figure 1: — spectral (49,17) , - - - E-O (121,17) , quantity displayed is $u(x, -1, t)$ at steady state, $M_\infty = 0.57$, airfoil given by (9.1).




Figure 2: o - spectral (49,17) , * - E-O (121,17) , quantity displayed is $u(x, -1, t)$ at steady state, $M_\infty = 0.9$, airfoil given by (9.3).




Figure 3: o - spectral (49,17) , * - E-O (197,17) , quantity displayed is $u(x, -1, t)$ at steady state, $M_\infty = 0.9$, airfoil given by (9.3).




Figure 4: o - spectral (49,17) , * - E-O (121,17) , quantity displayed is $u(x, -1, t)$ at steady state, $M_\infty = 0.9$, airfoil given by (9.1).




Figure 5: o - spectral (49,17) , * - E-O (197,17) , quantity displayed is $u(x, -1, t)$ at steady state, $M_\infty = 0.9$, airfoil given by (9.1).




Figure 6: o - spectral before filtering (49,17) , * - E-O (197,17) , quantity displayed is $u(x, -1, t)$ at steady state, $M_\infty = 0.9$, airfoil given by (9.3).




Figure 7: o - spectral before filtering (49,17), * - E-O (197,17) , quantity displayed is $u(x, -1, t)$ at steady state, $M_\infty = 0.9$, airfoil given by (9.1).




Figure 8: Description of boundary conditions. The body is represented by the segment $y = -1, -x_0 \leq x \leq x_0$.

10. Conclusions

Both analytic and computational evidence show that spectral methods can be applied efficiently to the small disturbance equation of transonic flows.

Moreover, the method presented in section 7 for fitting the standard spectral approximation to a sum of a step function and a truncated Chebyshev series is applicable to other problems, such as the Burger's equation, which contain a discontinuity. If the non-smooth part of the solution is a step function, the method has spectral accuracy.

acknowledgment. I would like to thank my thesis supervisor, Professor David Gottlieb, for his stimulating ideas.

References

- [1] S. Abarbanel and D. Gottlieb, Progress in Scientific Computations, Birkhauser Inc. Boston, *Proceedings of the Bi-National U.S./Israel Workshop on Impact of Supercomputers on the Next Decade of CFD*, Jerusalem, December 1984.
- [2] S. Abarbanel, D. Gottlieb and E. Tadmor -Spectral Methods for Discontinuous Problems. ICASE Report No.85-38,1985.
- [3] W. F. Ballhaus and P. M. Goorjian, Implicit Finite Difference Computation of Unsteady Transonic Flow about airfoils, including the Treatment of Irregular Shock Wave Methods, AIAA paper NO. 77-205 (1977).
- [4] C. Canuto and A. Quarteroni - Approximation results for orthogonal polynomials in Sobolev spaces, *Math. Comp.*, 38(1982), pp. 66-80.
- [5] J. D. Cole, Modern developments in transonic flow, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 29(1975), pp 763-787.
- [6] J. D. Cole and A.F. Messister, Expansion Procedures and Similarity Laws for transonic flow, Part I : Slender Bodies at Zero Incidence. *ZAMP VIII* (1959), pp. 1-25.

- [7] B. Engquist and S. Osher, Stable and Entropy Satisfying Approximations for Transonic Flow Calculations, *Math of Comp.*, 34 (1980), pp. 45-75.
- [8] D. Fishelov, Ph.D. Thesis, Tel-Aviv University 1985.
- [9] D. Gottlieb - Strang type Differences schemes for Multidimensional Problems, *Siam J. Number. Anal.*, 9(1972), pp. 650-661.
- [10] D. Gottlieb, L. Lustman and S.A. Orszag - Spectral Calculations of one dimensional inviscid compressible flow, *SIAM J. Sci. Statis. Comput.*, 2(1981), pp. 296-310.
- [11] D. Gottlieb and S. Orszag - *Numerical Analysis of Spectral Methods: Theory and Applications* CBMS Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM 1977.
- [12] D. Gottlieb and E. Tadmor - Recovering pointwise values of discontinuous data with spectral accuracy - Icase Report No. 85-3, 1985.
- [13] D. Gottlieb and E. Turkel - Spectral Methods for time-dependent Partial Differential Equations. Contract Nos. NAS1-16394 and NAS1-17130.
- [14] A. Harten and H. Tal-Ezer - On a fourth Order Accurate Implicit Finite Difference Scheme for Hyperbolic Conservation Laws II - Five Point Schemes, *J. of Comp, Phy.* 41(1981), pp. 329-356.
- [15] A. Jameson - Numerical solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations of mixed type - Numerical Solutions of Partial Differential Equations III, Academic Press, New York, 1976, pp. 275-307.
- [16] P.M. Goorjian and R.V. Buskirk - Implicit Calculation of Transonic Flows Using Monotone Methods - AIAA paper # 81-0331, (1981).
- [17] P.D. Lax - Accuracy and resolution in computational of linear and nonlinear equations - *Recent Advances in Numerical Analysis*. Proc. Symp. Mathematical Research Center, University of Wisconsin, Academic Press (1978), pp. 107-117.
- [18] A. Majda, J. McDonough and S. Osher - The Fourier method for nonsmooth initial data, *Math Comp.*, 32(1978), pp. 1041-1081.
- [19] M.S. Mock and P.D. Lax - The Computation of Discontinuous Solution of Linear Hyperbolic Equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 31(1978), pp. 423-430.

- [20] E. Murman and J. Cole - Calculations of Plane Steady Transonic Flows *AIAA J.* 9(1971), pp. 114-121.

*LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720*