Title

Ni/Photoredox-Catalyzed C(sp3)–C(sp3) Coupling between Aziridines and Acetals as Alcohol-Derived Alkyl Radical Precursors

Journal

Journal of the American Chemical Society, 144(43)

ISSN

0002-7863

Authors

Dongbang, Sun
Doyle, Abigail G

Publication Date

2022-11-02

DOI

10.1021/jacs.2c09294

Peer reviewed

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 June 20.

Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2022 November 02; 144(43): 20067–20077. doi:10.1021/jacs.2c09294.

Ni/Photoredox-Catalyzed C(sp^3)–C(sp^3) Coupling between Aziridines and Acetals as Alcohol-Derived Alkyl Radical Precursors

Sun Dongbang[1], **Abigail G. Doyle**[1,2]

[1]Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, United States

[2]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States

Abstract

Aziridines are readily available C(sp^3) precursors that afford valuable β-functionalized amines upon ring-opening. In this article, we report a Ni/photoredox methodology for C(sp^3)–C(sp^3) cross-coupling between aziridines and methyl/1°/2° aliphatic alcohols activated as benzaldehyde dialkyl acetals. Orthogonal activation modes of each alkyl coupling partner facilitate cross-selectivity in the C(sp^3)–C(sp^3) bond-forming reaction: the benzaldehyde dialkyl acetal is activated via hydrogen atom abstraction and β-scission via bromine radical (generated *in situ* from single-electron oxidation of bromide), whereas the aziridine is activated at the Ni center via reduction. We demonstrate that an Ni(II) azametallacycle, conventionally proposed in aziridine cross-coupling, is not an intermediate in the productive cross-coupling. Rather, stoichiometric organometallic and linear free energy relationship (LFER) studies indicate that aziridine activation proceeds via Ni(I) oxidative addition, a previously unexplored elementary step.

Graphical Abstract

Authors are required to submit a graphic entry for the Table of Contents (TOC) that, in conjunction with the manuscript title, should give the reader a representative idea of one of the following: A key structure, reaction, equation, concept, or theorem, etc., that is discussed in the manuscript. Consult the journal's Instructions for Authors for TOC graphic specifications.

Corresponding Author Abigail G. Doyle – Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, United States; Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States; agdoyle@chem.ucla.edu.

Sun Dongbang – Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, United States

Supporting Information. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org/

The authors declare no competing financial interest.



INTRODUCTION

Selective cross-coupling of two different carbon electrophiles, commonly known as cross-electrophile coupling, has emerged as an enabling strategy for C—C bond formation.[1] These processes often operate on readily available and stable organic (pseudo)halides under mild conditions. Extensive progress has been made in developing C(sp^3)–C(sp^2) cross-electrophile coupling reactions, with Ni catalysis offering a particularly general platform.[2] Mechanistic studies on select Ni-catalyzed reactions have revealed that distinct, hybridization-dependent activation mechanisms give rise to the cross-selectivity with C(sp^2) and C(sp^3) electrophiles.[3,4] In contrast, methods for selective coupling of two C(sp^3) electrophiles remain underdeveloped, owing to the more subtle differences in reactivity between the two reaction partners (Figure 1A).[5] Nevertheless, there has been important recent progress made in this area using Ni[6] or Cu catalysis[7] with chemical reductants and electrochemical methods.[8] These approaches typically rely on substrate stoichiometry, differences in (pseudo)halide identities or differences in substitution at the carbon center to achieve selectivity. Alternatively, redox-neutral metallaphotoredox catalysis[9] can provide a platform for the development of chemoselective C(sp^3)–C(sp^3) cross coupling in part by relying on orthogonal redox-dependent activation mechanisms of the two alkyl coupling partners. This approach offers the opportunity to use non-traditional reaction partners beyond alkyl (pseudo)halides while retaining many of the positive attributes of cross-electrophile coupling. For example, researchers have recently found success coupling two C(sp^3) fragments arising from carboxylic acids, activated alcohols, alkyl halides, and C—H bonds.[10] These examples highlight how the identification of strategies that engage distinct classes of C(sp^3) coupling partners in C(sp^3)—C(sp^3) bond formation can be of broad value from a synthetic and mechanistic perspective.

Aziridines have been employed successfully as C(sp^3) electrophiles in a number of cross-coupling reactions. Work from our lab,[11] Michael,[12] Jamison,[13] Takeda/Minakata,[14] May[15] and Xiao[16] has demonstrated that coupling reactions with aziridines can afford access to substituted ethylamines, important nitrogen-containing motifs in medicinal chemistry (Figure 1B).[17] Organometallic nucleophiles such as organozinc halides or organoboron reagents, have been employed as coupling partners to form both C(sp^3)—C(sp^2) and C(sp^3)—C(sp^3) bonds (Figure 1B, top). Recently, our lab demonstrated that aziridines can also participate in cross-electrophile coupling reactions with aryl iodides, using either

a stoichiometric inorganic reductant[18] or a photo-assisted reductive coupling (PARC) strategy.[19] Like other C(sp^3)–C(sp^2) cross-electrophile coupling reactions, these methods take advantage of the difference in hybridization of each coupling partner to impart selectivity (Figure 1A, bottom).[20] Unfortunately, direct extension of the methods for cross-selective C(sp^3)–C(sp^3) coupling with unactivated alkyl halides was not possible as both precursors undergo indiscriminate reduction at the Ni center. To address this challenge, we questioned whether we could design a selective redox-neutral C(sp^3)–C(sp^3) cross coupling with aziridines by using an alternative C(sp^3) partner where the activation mode is decoupled from that of aziridine oxidative addition.

Herein, we report progress toward this goal in the development of a redox-neutral Ni/photoredox-catalyzed alkylation of aziridines to generate 2°–Me, 2°−1°, 2°−2° alkyl bonds (Figure 1C). The method facilitates the synthesis of a range of β-substituted sulfonamides that were previously inaccessible by traditional cross-coupling methods with aziridines. Benzaldehyde dialkyl acetals serve as the second C(sp^3) coupling partner in the method, functioning to activate unactivated alcohols toward homolytic C(sp^3)–O cleavage in an oxidative process[21] that is orthogonal to aziridine activation via reduction. Differentiation of the activation modes affords an opportunity to independently tune the rate of reaction of the two partners to achieve cross-selectivity using easy to manipulate variables like light intensity. Mechanistic studies suggest that aziridine activation does not occur via Ni(0) oxidative addition, but rather via Ni(I), an elementary step that has no prior stoichiometric or catalytic precedent.[22,23]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reaction Optimization

We began reaction optimization using 2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-(*p*-tolylsulfonyl)aziridine (**1a**) and benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal (**2a**) as a methyl radical precursor. On the basis of prior studies, including our own recent work,[21] we explored the use of halide salts as precursors to halogen radicals for HAT. We were pleased to find that using 2.5 mol% $Ni(cod)_2$, 5 mol% NH_4Br ($E_{1/2}$ [$Br^-/Br^{\cdot}$] = +0.80 V vs SCE in DCE), and 2 mol% $Ir[dF(Me)ppy]_2(dtbbpy)PF_6$($Ir^{II}/Ir^{III}$* = +0.97V vs SCE in MeCN)[2g,24] with a 427 nm Kessil lamp at 25 °C, the desired cross-coupled product **3a** was formed in 22% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Because hydrolysis of the acetal **2a** was also observed under these conditions, we next evaluated non-protic bromide salts, including LiBr, which led to the formation of **3a** in 32% yield (Table 1, entry 2). In both these reactions, numerous undesired side products also accompanied product formation, including the dimerized aziridine (**4**), sulfonamide **5**,[25] and the direct product of cross-coupling with the 3° carbon of the acetal (**6**). Since **4** and **5** both presumably arise from unproductive consumption of an azanickellacycle intermediate, we hypothesized that increasing the rate of methyl radical formation from **2a** might lead to better selectivity for the cross-coupled product **3a**.[26] Consistent with this hypothesis, we found that simply adding another lamp and increasing the lamp intensity, variables that should both differentially impact the HAT cycle, afforded **3a** in 70% yield (Table 1, entry 3-4). Increasing the acetal equivalents from 1.8 to 2.4 also afforded a modest improvement in the yield of **3a** (Table 1, entry 5).

Although the conditions in entry 5 afforded a high yield of the desired product, we sought to test the robustness of the reaction under a more simplified light set-up. Interestingly, while only one lamp with fan-cooling afforded 34% yield of **3a**, simply removing the fans to increase the reaction temperature gave a significant increase in the yield of **3a** to 72% (Table 1, Entry 6,7), potentially because higher temperatures facilitate β-scission and increase the concentration of Me radical in solution. Finally, evaluation of Ni precatalyst identity showed that $NiBr_2$·glyme gave a 10% increase in yield over $Ni(cod)_2$ (Table 1, Entry 8).

With these optimized reaction conditions, we were pleased to find that **3a** can be obtained in useful yield even with reduced equivalents of the acetal (Table 1, Entries 9 & 10). Moreover, although $NiBr_2$·glyme can serve as the sole source of bromide for HAT, control reactions omitting LiBr led to diminished reactivity, consistent with previous observations that the counter cation of the additive may facilitate stabilization of the anionic sulfonamide and product release (Table 1, Entry 11).[20c] Because $NiBr_2$·glyme is the optimal Ni source and is air- and moisture stable, the reaction can be setup and run on the benchtop, as opposed to the glovebox, and delivers **3a** with only a small decrease in yield (Table 1, Entry 12).

Substrate scope

Methylation of $C(sp^3)$ carbons is a powerful strategy in medicinal chemistry that can lead to an increase in potency, higher selectivity among bioreceptors, alteration in solubility, and enhanced protection against enzyme metabolism.[27] Accordingly, amines and sulfonamides bearing β-methyl groups are a highly sought structural motif in pharmaceuticals.[28] Nevertheless, methylation of aziridines has only been accomplished with highly nucleophilic organometallic reagents, such as Grignard reagents, organocuprates, and AlMe3, and often results in poor regioselectivity.[29] Moreover, there have been no reports of successful Ni- or Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling of aziridines with methyl nucleophiles.[11-14] Therefore, with the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we investigated the scope of the reaction with various aziridines using benzaldehyde dimethyl acetal as a methylating reagent.

We were excited to find that a broad range of styrenyl aziridines were compatible with this Ni/photoredox methylation reaction (Table 2). Substrates bearing electron-deficient groups such as *p*-CF_3 (**3b**) or *p*-CN (**3c**) gave the β-methylated sulfonamide products in 77% and 50% yield, respectively. An unsubstituted styrenyl aziridine (**3d**) as well as those baring electron-donating groups such as *p*-*t*-Bu (**3e**) or *p*-OPh (**3f**) also afforded the methylated products in good yield. The reaction showed minimal sensitivity to steric hindrance on the arene, with **3g** formed in 59% yield.

As sulfonamides have been frequently employed in medicinal chemistry, we also investigated aziridines with sulfonyl substituents other than a tosyl group. Aryl (**3h-3k**), benzyl (**3l, 3m**) and alkyl sulfonamides,[30] such as methanesulfonamide (**3n**)[30c] and cyclopropanesulfonamide (**3o**)[30d,e] were tolerated in the reaction, albeit the alkyl sulfonamides were formed as mixtures of regioisomers with methylation favoring the benzylic position. Finally, an unsubstituted aziridine was also converted to the deuteromethyl- and methylated products **3p** and **3q** in 75% and 76% yield, respectively. A current limitation of the methodology is that aliphatic aziridines give poor conversion to

the product, even with prolonged reaction times (**3r**). While styrenyl aziridines undergo preferential cleavage at the substituted site governed by the weak benzylic C-N bond strength,[22a] aliphatic aziridine **1r** undergoes methylation to give the linear product **3r** in 9:1 selectivity, likely due to a change in mechanism favoring addition of Ni to the least sterically encumbered position.[11b]

We next explored the scope of the acetal partner using 2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-(*p*-tolylsulfonyl)aziridine (**1a**). Traditionally, *β*-aryl *β*-alkyl-substituted ethylamines have been accessed via hydride ring-opening of 1,2-disubstituted aziridines;[31] hydroaminomethylation of styrenes with anilines;[32] or reduction of *β*-aryl *β*-alkyl nitriles,[33] nitro alkanes (or alkenes),[34] and enamides.[35] However, these methods require prior installation of the *β*-substituent whereas the Ni/photoredox aziridine alkylation would enable introduction of the *β*-alkyl group late in a synthetic sequence. In so doing, this method could be more amenable to SAR studies[36] and the preparation of a common motif in medicinal agents such as venlafaxine (antidepresent)[37] and baclofen (muscle relaxants).[38] Indeed, we found that deuteromethyl (**3s**) as well as other unactivated linear alkyl groups such as ethyl (**3t**), *n*-propyl (**3u**), *n*-pentyl (**3v**), isoamyl (**3w**), and adamantly ethyl (**3x**) all afforded the desired products in 49–83% yield. Moreover, *β*–substituted alkyl coupling partners such as neopentyl (**3v**) were effective in the reaction. As another example, a methylene cyclobutyl group could be transferred in 51% yield (**3z**), wherein both the direct cross-coupling (**3z1**) and the radical ring-opened terminal alkene (**3z2**) were observed in a 4:1 ratio. Alkyl groups bearing nitrogen-derived functional groups previously reported to be incompatible with Negishi couplings of aziridines[11a] were tolerated, such as phthalimide **3aa** and piperidine **3ab**. Ether (**3ac**) and silyl (**3ad**)-containing alkyl coupling partners also afforded the cross-coupled products in synthetically useful yields.

We were also excited to observe reactivity between 2° alkyl coupling partners and aziridines, given that cross-coupling of 2° alkyl groups with aziridines is not feasible under reported Negishi conditions.[11,13] Moreover, 2°–2° C—C bond formation presents a particular challenge in cross-electrophile strategies, with only a few examples reported to date.[6d,e] When testing the reactivity between 2° alkyl coupling partners and aziridines, we found that application of 5,5’-difluoro-2,2’-bipyridine rather than dtbbpy as ligand enabled higher conversion to the desired product (See supporting information III-E for details). Both cyclic and acyclic secondary alkyl groups underwent coupling. The reaction was most efficient with cyclobutane derivatives (**3ae** and **3af**). A decrease in yield was observed as the ring size was expanded to cyclopentylation (**3ag**). Interestingly, use of isopropyl acetal as the 2° coupling partner afforded cross-coupled product with a 1:1.5 ratio of branched and linear propyl groups (**3ah**). Isomerization was also observed when using an unsubstituted aziridine as coupling partner (**3ai**), indicating that isomerization is not restricted to only congested 2°–2° C—C bond formation (*vide infra*).

Interestingly, in cases where the alkyl scaffolds are commonly employed laboratory solvents, we found that direct C(sp^3)–H alkylation can take place. For example, rather than employing benzyl alcohol or tetrahydrofuranol, we found that it is possible to directly employ toluene and THF as alkylating reagents to afford **3aj** and **3ak** in 50% and 66% yields, respectively (Scheme 1), with slight variation on the reaction conditions.

Possible mechanistic pathways

Oxidative addition of aziridines to Ni(0) has been established in stoichiometric studies,[22] with the resulting Ni(II) azametallacycle proposed as a common catalytic intermediate in cross-coupling reactions with aziridines.[11-13,15,16,23] Therefore, at the outset of our reaction design, we initially hypothesized that oxidative addition of Ni(0) **I** to generate an Ni(II) azametallacycle **II** would be operative; subsequent capture of the alkyl radical to generate Ni(III) **III** followed by reductive elimination would furnish the desired product (Scheme 1, eq1). Alternatively, Ni(II) complex **IV** could instead arise via oxidative addition of Ni(0) to benzylbromide **7** generated *in situ*, given the catalytic presence of bromide in solution (Scheme 1, eq 2).[19,23b]

Nevertheless, the generation of linear/branched isomers using acyclic secondary alkyl reaction partners appeared inconsistent with these pathways (Table 2, **3ah, 3ai**). In particular, β-hydride elimination and reinsertion should be more favorable at a low-valent Ni(I) **VI** center as opposed to the Ni(III) intermediate **III** in eqs 1 and 2 since isomerization necessitates a vacant coordination site and an intermediate with a relatively long lifetime.[39] Interestingly, the intermediacy of a Ni(I) alkyl **VI** would imply that aziridine activation takes place by Ni(I)–Ni(III) oxidative addition, an elementary step that does not have precedent in stoichiometric studies for aziridines (Scheme 1, eq3). Or an analogous Ni(I)–Ni(III) pathway could also be proposed with benzyl bromide **7** (Scheme 1, eq 4). The Ni(I) alkyl **VI** intermediate could either be accessed via radical addition to the Ni(0) **I**, or via radical addition to Ni(I)Br **VII** to first generate Ni(II)(alkyl)(Br) **VIII**, followed by SET.

Mechanistic Investigations

To interrogate the mechanism of aziridine activation, we first sought to synthesize the Ni(II) **II** oxidative adduct and test its intermediacy in the coupling reaction (Scheme 2, eq1). Complex **IIa** was independently synthesized by reacting $Ni(cod)_2$ with **1a** in the presence of dtbbpy (Scheme 3A). The stoichiometric reaction of **IIa** under the standard reaction conditions did not result in the formation of product. Instead, **IIa** underwent conversion (30%) to a mixture of aziridine dimer **4a**, sulfonamide **5** and reduced aziridine (See supporting information V-A for details). To determine if **IIa** accesses a catalytically-relevant intermediate and if the attached aziridine in the Ni complex can be directly converted to the desired methylated product, a cross-over experiment was designed using *p*-CF_3 styrenyl aziridine **1b** as a substrate in the presence of 10 mol % azametallacycle **IIa** as the sole nickel catalyst source (Scheme 3B). However, less than 1% of the product originating from **IIa** (**3a**) was obtained, whereas the product from **1b** was formed in 32% yield. These results provide evidence against the pathway shown in Scheme 1, eq 1. Furthermore, when a time-course experiment was performed, **IIa** was never spectroscopically observed (see supporting information V-A for details).

Next, we investigated the intermediacy of benzylbromide **7**, pertinent to Scheme 1, eq2 or eq4, which could be generated by the 7.5% of bromide (2.5% from $NiBr_2$·glyme and 5% from LiBr) in the reaction mixture. When benzyl bromide **7a** was subjected to the reaction, only 1% of the product was generated. Instead, the majority of bromide **7a** was converted to dimer **4a** and reduced aziridine **8** (Scheme 4A).

When **7a** was used in catalytic quantities in the presence of aziridine **1b**, as a way to simulate the catalytic formation of **7a** under the standard condition, 1.6% of the product originating from **7a** was observed, whereas the product derived from **1b** was formed in 51% yield (Scheme 4B). Based on these observations, we propose that any *in situ* generated **7** most likely leads to off-cycle byproducts, presumably via oxidative addition of the benzyl bromide or halogen abstraction to generate the benzylic radical, followed by free-radical recombination, a common off-cycle pathway in aryl benzylation with benzylic halides.[40]

Oxidative addition of aziridines via Ni(I)–N(III) pathway

Taken together, these data are most consistent with a pathway wherein Ni(I) undergoes oxidative addition to the aziridine (Scheme 2, eq 3). Since this step has not been previously observed, we sought direct experimental evidence for the stoichiometric oxidative addition of Ni(I) to aziridine **1a**. Unfortunately, an isolable dtbbpyNi(I)(alkyl) complex has not previously been prepared. However, in their investigation of the reactivity of CO_2 at Ni(I), the Martin group reported the synthesis of a (mesityl-phenanthroline)Ni(I)(CH_2*t*-Bu) **VII** (Scheme 5).[41] Therefore, we sought to test this Ni(I) alkyl complex for oxidative addition reactivity with **1a**. Prior to exploring stoichiometric studies with **VII**, we established that mesityl-substituted phenanthroline (L^2) gives similar yield as dtbbpy (L^1) in the catalytic reaction. Indeed, mesityl-substituted phenanthroline afforded 33% yield of **3v**, in close agreement with the 36% yield of **3v** seen with dtbbpy (Scheme 5A).

Having confirmed the catalytic competence of L^2, we turned our attention to the stoichiometric reaction (Scheme 5B). **VII** was generated *in situ*, by adding a solution of neopentylMgBr to L^2Ni(I)Br,[32] with the resulting complex then subjected to aziridine **1a**. This led to a full consumption of the aziridine, affording 11% of the cross-coupled product **3v** and 28% of enamide **9**, which could result from oxidative addition at the Ni(I) center, followed by elimination.[42] It is possible that enamide **9** serves as a source for sulfonamide formation **5**, which is observed under the catalytic conditions with L^1 and L^2. Taken together, these data support the catalytic relevance of a Ni(I) species for aziridine activation.

Having established the catalytic relevance of Ni(I), we sought to gain further insight into the mechanism of oxidative addition at Ni(I) by subjecting enantioenriched aziridine **(R)-1d** (99% ee) to the standard reaction conditions (Scheme 6). We found that the product **3d** is obtained in 0% ee, while the aziridine was recovered in 99% ee at an early time point (8h instead of 20h). This is consistent with either (a) an irreversible and stereoablative oxidative addition via single electron-transfer[11a] or (b) a stereospecific oxidative addition followed by racemization at Ni(III) [Scheme 2, eq1].[43]

Hammett analysis

To understand the mechanism of aziridine activation via Ni(I), we evaluated the impact of aziridine substitution (Hammett analysis) on the relative rate of methylation and on the branched/linear ratio of product arising from alkylation with *i*-Pr acetal **2aa**. If isomerization of the Ni(*i*-Pr) occurs prior to oxidative addition, aziridines that undergo faster oxidative addition to Ni(I) should afford higher branched/linear ratios of the product according to

the proposed mechanism (Scheme 7A).[44] Moreover, the ρ value measuring the impact of aziridine substitution on the b/l isomer ratio with *i*-Pr acetal **2aa** should be the same as the ρ value measuring the impact of aziridine substitution on rate of methylation (k_X/k_H) in this mechanistic scenario.

Two sites of the aziridine were independently evaluated: the benzene sulfonamide (Scheme 7B) and the benzylic arene (Scheme 7C). When the substituents on the benzene sulfonamide were varied, we observed a high linear correlation between the $\log[(b/l)_k]/[(b/l)_H]$ ($(b/l)_k$ = branched/linear ratio of various arene substituents, $(b/l)_H$ = branched/linear ratio of Ph) with a positive ρ value ($R^2 = 0.98$, $\rho = 1.1$) (Scheme 7B). The positive, but relatively low magnitude, slope indicates that electron-withdrawing groups on the sulfonamide facilitate faster oxidative addition. Notably, a similar ρ value was obtained for the Hammett analysis measuring relative initial rates of methylation ($R^2 = 0.87$, $\rho = 1.0$) using these same substituted aziridines, providing support for the proposed mechanism wherein the b/l ratio is influenced by relative oxidative addition rates (see Supporting Information V-E).[45] When the electronics of the benzylic aryl group were modified and plotted against Hammett–Brown constants σ^+,[46] or with Jiang's spin-delocalization substituent constants $\sigma_{JJ}^{\bullet}$ (indicative of a radical stabilization effect),[47] a slightly negative but near 0 value slope was observed with $\log [(b/l)_k]/[(b/l)_H]$ (for σ^+, $R^2 = 0.76$, $\rho = -0.15$; for $\sigma_{JJ}^{\bullet}$ $R^2 = 0.87$, $\rho = -0.15$) (Scheme 7C). This indicates that the identity of the benzylic arenes has negligible impact on oxidative addition rates.

The distinct ρ values obtained when varying the arene on the sulfonamide versus the arene on the benzylic site, combined with the results on the stereochemical course of the coupling reaction, are most consistent with a single electron transfer oxidative addition, where Ni(I) reduces the aziridine to generate a Ni(II)-sulfonamide complex and a benzylic radical, which is followed by fast recombination of the tethered benzylic radical to afford Ni(III).[11a] The observed LFERs are inconsistent with a concerted oxidative addition, which would be expected to have positive ρ values of similar magnitude for both experiments[48] or an oxidative addition via an S_N2-type process, which has been shown to result in negative ρ value of larger magnitude ($\rho < -1$) in prior examples of Ni-catalyzed coupling reactions with styrenyl aziridines.[49]

It is worth pointing out that the observed ρ values are also inconsistent with the participation of benzyl bromide **7a** as a productive intermediate in the catalytic cycle (Scheme 1, eq 2 or 4). Steeper slopes ($\rho > |5|$) are found in Hammett studies for Ni(I) oxidative addition to substituted benzyl bromides.[50] Furthermore, the Hammett analysis precludes the possibility of β–H elimination and reinsertion occurring at Ni(III), which has been proposed in prior studies,[51] as more electron-deficient arenes on the benzylic site would also be expected to lead to faster reductive elimination and reduced isomerization (i.e.. both ρ values > 0).

Proposed Catalytic Cycle

On the basis of our mechanistic investigations, we propose the following catalytic cycle (Scheme 8A, black). Upon irradiation with blue light, the excited Ir photocatalyst oxidizes bromide anion. The resulting bromine radical can abstract the 3° benzylic C–H of the benzaldehyde dialkyl acetal, followed by β–scission to generate the alkyl radical and ester

byproduct.[21b] Concurrently, the $NiBr_2$·glyme precatalyst can be reduced to Ni(0) **I** by Ir(II) to enter the Ni catalytic cycle, which can capture the alkyl radical generated from the β-scission event to afford Ni(I)(alkyl) **I**. Alternatively, $NiBr_2$·glyme precatalyst can be reduced to generate Ni(I)Br, which in turn could intercept the alkyl radical to first generate Ni(II)(Br)(alkyl) followed by SET to generate the same Ni(I)(alkyl) **I** intermediate.[52,53] Based on our stoichiometric, catalytic and spectroscopic observations, we propose that Ni(I)(alkyl) **I** undergoes oxidative addition to the aziridine by a single electron transfer mechanism. Reductive elimination from the resulting Ni(III) **III** complex then affords the cross-coupled product with regeneration of Ni(I) **VIII**. Finally, **VIII** would be reduced by the Ir(II) species to turnover the catalytic reaction.[54]

We also identified off-cycle pathways that lead to undesired byproducts (Scheme 8A, gray). For instance, if aliphatic radical generation by HAT/β-scission or trapping by Ni is slow, Ni(0) **I** oxidative addition to the aziridine would afford Ni(II) azametallacycle **II** and resulting degradation products. Moreover, any generation of benzylbromide **7** could lead to undesired dimer **4** and reduced aziridine **8**. Sulfonamide **5** and styrene formation may arise from inefficient cross-coupling, on the grounds of observing enamide **9** formation using Ni(I) oxidative addition in the stoichiometric studies. This proposed competition of light-mediated cross-reactivity with off-cycle speciation pathways is further supported by comparing the relative product and dimer formation with varying light intensity (Scheme 8B, see supporting information V-F for details). For example, when performing a time-course study comparing the ratio of product **3a** to dimer **4a** at high versus low light intensity (64 kLux, vs 4.5 kLux), the lower light intensity conditions result in the formation of nearly 1:1 ratio of the desired product to the dimer. Suppression of off-cycle speciation is therefore partially dependent on having sufficient light penetration to favor the productive catalytic pathway.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have developed a $C(sp^3)$–$C(sp^3)$ cross-coupling methodology between aziridines and benzaldehyde dialkyl acetals as latent alkyl radical sources. The transformation employs a diverse set of styrenyl aziridines with varying substitution on the sulfonamide. Moreover, methyl, 1° and 2° unactivated aliphatic coupling partners can be installed efficiently. The orthogonal activation of each coupling component and ligation at distinct Ni oxidation states imparts cross-selectivity between two $C(sp^3)$ precursors. Specifically, mechanistic studies support a pathway for activation of aziridines via Ni(I)—Ni(III) oxidative addition, distinct from the commonly proposed oxidative addition of aziridines to Ni(0). These mechanistic studies shed light on the nature of the activation modes for unconventional $C(sp^3)$ precursors, which we anticipate can lead to the expansion of $C(sp^3)$—$C(sp^3)$ cross-coupling methodologies in future studies.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Financial support for the methodology development was supported by NIGMS (R35 GM126986). The stoichiometric and spectroscopic studies for the mechanistic analysis are based on work supported by BioLEC (an Energy Frontier Research Center funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Award no. DESC0019370). We thank Dr. John Eng assistance with EPR measurements, and Ken Conover for assistance with photo NMR measurements. We thank Dr. Stavros K. Kariofillis and Dr. Will Sii Hong Lau for the helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

(1). Selected reviews on Ni catalyzed cross-electrophile coupling: (a) Wang X; Dai Y; Gong H Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Couplings. Top. Curr. Chem 2016, 374, 43.(b)Weix DJ Methods and Mechanisms for Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Csp^2 Halides with Alkyl Electrophiles. Acc. Chem. Res 2015, 48, 1767–1775. [PubMed: 26011466]

(2). For selected examples of C(sp^3)–C(sp^2) cross-electrophile coupling reactions, see: (a) Durandetti M; Nédélec J-Y; Périchon J Nickel-Catalyzed Direct Electrochemical Cross-Coupling between Aryl Halides and Activated Alkyl Halides. J. Org. Chem 1996, 61, 1748–1755. [PubMed: 11667045] [32](b)Everson DA; Shrestha R; Weix DJ Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling of Aryl Halides with Alkyl Halides. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2010, 132, 920–921. [PubMed: 20047282] (c)Amatore M; Gosmini C Direct Method for Carbon—Carbon Bond Formation: The Functional Group Tolerant Cobalt-Catalyzed Alkylation of Aryl Halides. Chem. – A Eur. J 2010, 16, 5848–5852.(d)Cherney AH; Reisman SE Nickel-Catalyzed Asymmetric Reductive Cross-Coupling Between Vinyl and Benzyl Electrophiles. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2014, 136 , 14365–14368. [PubMed: 25245492] (e)Wang X; Wang S; Xue W; Gong H Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling of Aryl Bromides with Tertiary Alkyl Halides. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 11562–11565. [PubMed: 26325479] (f)Kadunce NT; Reisman SE Nickel-Catalyzed Asymmetric Reductive Cross-Coupling between Heteroaryl Iodides and α-Chloronitriles. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 10480–10483. [PubMed: 26256474] (g)Zhang P; Le C. "Chip"; MacMillan DWC Silyl Radical Activation of Alkyl Halides in Metallaphotoredox Catalysis: A Unique Pathway for Cross-Electrophile Coupling. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2016, 138, 8084–8087. [PubMed: 27263662]

(3). Everson DA; Weix DJ Cross-Electrophile Coupling: Principles of Reactivity and Selectivity. J. Org. Chem 2014, 79, 4793–4798. [PubMed: 24820397]

(4). Diccianni JB; Diao T Mechanisms of Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions. Trends Chem. 2019, 1, 830–844.

(5). For selected reviews on Ni catalyzed C(Sp^3)–C(Sp^3) cross-coupling, see: (a)Kranthikumar R. Recent Advances in C(Sp^3)–C(Sp^3) Cross-Coupling Chemistry: A Dominant Performance of Nickel Catalysts. Organometallics 2022. 41, 667–679.[33](b)Gu J; Wang X; Xue W; Gong H Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Coupling of Alkyl Halides with Other Electrophiles: Concept and Mechanistic Considerations. Org. Chem. Front 2015, 2, 1411–1421.

(6). For examples of Ni catalyzed reductive C(Sp^3)–C(Sp^3) cross-electrophile coupling of (pseudo)alkyl halides (including alkyl halides, alkyl pyridinium salts, NHP esters), see : (a)Xu H; Zhao C; Qian Q; Deng W; Gong H Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of Unactivated Alkyl Halides Using Bis(Pinacolato)Diboron as Reductant. Chem. Sci 2013, 4, 4022–4029.[33](b)Liang Z; Xue W; Lin K; Gong H Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Methylation of Alkyl Halides and Acid Chlorides with Methyl p-Tosylate. Org. Lett 2014, 16, 5620–5623. [PubMed: 25333482] (c)Yu X; Yang T; Wang S; Xu H; Gong H Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling of Unactivated Alkyl Halides. Org. Lett 2011, 13, 2138–2141. [PubMed: 21434609] (d)Li Y; Li Y; Peng L; Wu D; Zhu L; Yin G Nickel-Catalyzed Migratory Alkyl–Alkyl Cross-Coupling Reaction. Chem. Sci 2020, 11, 10461–10464. [PubMed: 34094304] (f)Kang K; Weix DJ Nickel-Catalyzed C(Sp^3)–C(Sp^3) Cross-Electrophile Coupling of In Situ Generated NHP Esters with Unactivated Alkyl Bromides. Org. Lett 2022, 24, 2853–2857. [PubMed: 35416673] (g)Cong F; Lv X-Y; Day CS; Martin R Dual Catalytic Strategy for Forging Sp2–Sp3 and Sp3–Sp3 Architectures via β-Scission of Aliphatic Alcohol Derivatives. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2020, 142, 20594–20599. [PubMed: 33252234]

(7). Liu J-H; Yang C-T; Lu X-Y; Zhang Z-Q; Xu L; Cui M; Lu X; Xiao B; Fu Y; Liu L Copper-Catalyzed Reductive Cross-Coupling of Nonactivated Alkyl Tosylates and Mesylates with Alkyl and Aryl Bromides. Chem. – A Eur. J 2014, 20, 15334–15338.

(8). For examples of electrochemical methods see; (a) Zhang W; Lu L; Zhang W; Wang Y; Ware SD; Mondragon J; Rein J; Strotman N; Lehnherr D; See KA; Lin S Electrochemically Driven Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Alkyl Halides. Nature 2022, 604, 292–297. [PubMed: 35189623] (b)Zhang B; Gao Y; Hioki Y; Oderinde MS; Qiao JX; Rodriguez KX; Zhang H-J; Kawamata Y; Baran PS Ni-Electrocatalytic C(Sp^3)–C(Sp^3) Doubly Decarboxylative Coupling. Nature 2022. 10.1038/s41586-022-04691-4.

(9). For reviews on Metallaphotoredox, see: (a) Chan AY; Perry IB; Bissonnette NB; Buksh BF; Edwards GA; Frye LI; Garry OL; Lavagnino MN; Li BX; Liang Y; Mao E; Millet A; Oakley JV; Reed NL; Sakai HA; Seath CP; MacMillan DWC Metallaphotoredox: The Merger of Photoredox and Transition Metal Catalysis. Chem. Rev 2022, 122, 1485–1542. [PubMed: 34793128] (b)Zhu C; Yue H; Chu L; Rueping M Recent Advances in Photoredox and Nickel Dual-Catalyzed Cascade Reactions: Pushing the Boundaries of Complexity. Chem. Sci 2020, 11 (16), 4051–4064. [PubMed: 32864080]

(10). For examples of Ni catalyzed C(sp^3)–C(sp^3) cross-coupling via redox-neutral photoredox methods, see: (a) Johnston CP; Smith RT; Allmendinger S; MacMillan DWC Metallaphotoredox-Catalysed Sp^3–Sp^3 Cross-Coupling of Carboxylic Acids with Alkyl Halides. Nature 2016, 536, 322–325. [PubMed: 27535536] [33](b)Sakai HA; MacMillan DWC Nontraditional Fragment Couplings of Alcohols and Carboxylic Acids: C(Sp^3)–C(Sp^3) Cross-Coupling via Radical Sorting. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2022, 144, 6185–6192. [PubMed: 35353531] (c)Wei L; N. LM; A. GC; Jesύs A; C. MDW A Biomimetic SH2 Cross-Coupling Mechanism for Quaternary Sp3-Carbon Formation. Science 2021, 374, 1258–1263. [PubMed: 34762491] (d)Le Saux E; Zanini M; Melchiorre P Photochemical Organocatalytic Benzylation of Allylic C—H Bonds. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2022, 144, 1113–1118. [PubMed: 35029387]

(11). (a)Huang C.-Y. (Dennis); Doyle AG Nickel-Catalyzed Negishi Alkylations of Styrenyl Aziridines. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2012, 134 , 9541–9544. [PubMed: 22414150] (b)Nielsen DK; Huang C.-Y. (Dennis); Doyle AG Directed Nickel-Catalyzed Negishi Cross Coupling of Alkyl Aziridines. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2013, 135, 13605–13609. [PubMed: 23961769] (c)Huang C.-Y.(Dennis); Doyle AG Electron-Deficient Olefin Ligands Enable Generation of Quaternary Carbons by Ni-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2015, 137, 5638–5641. [PubMed: 25879801] (d)Estrada JG; Williams WL; Ting SI; Doyle AG Role of Electron-Deficient Olefin Ligands in a Ni-Catalyzed Aziridine Cross-Coupling To Generate Quaternary Carbons. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2020, 142, 8928–8937. [PubMed: 32348673]

(12). (a)Duda ML; Michael FE Palladium-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of N-Sulfonylaziridines with Boronic Acids. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2013, 135, 18347–18349. [PubMed: 24298978] (b)Teh WP; Michael FE Palladium-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of N-Sulfonylaziridines and Alkenylboronic Acids: Stereospecific Synthesis of Homoallylic Amines with Di- and Trisubstituted Alkenes. Org. Lett 2017, 19, 1738–1740. [PubMed: 28296408]

(13). Jensen KL; Standley EA; Jamison TF Highly Regioselective Nickel-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling of N-Tosylaziridines and Alkylzinc Reagents. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2014, 136, 11145–11152. [PubMed: 25055180]

(14). (a)Takeda Y; Ikeda Y; Kuroda A; Tanaka S; Minakata S Pd/NHC-Catalyzed Enantiospecific and Regioselective Suzuki–Miyaura Arylation of 2-Arylaziridines: Synthesis of Enantioenriched 2-Arylphenethylamine Derivatives. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2014, 136, 8544–8547. [PubMed: 24870625] (b)Takeda Y; Shibuta K; Aoki S; Tohnai N; Minakata S Catalyst-Controlled Regiodivergent Ring-Opening C(Sp^3)—Si Bond-Forming Reactions of 2-Arylaziridines with Silylborane Enabled by Synergistic Palladium/Copper Dual Catalysis. Chem. Sci 2019, 10, 8642–8647. [PubMed: 31803438] (c)Takeda Y; Matsuno T; Sharma AK; Sameera WMC; Minakata S Asymmetric Synthesis of β2-Aryl Amino Acids through Pd-Catalyzed Enantiospecific and Regioselective Ring-Opening Suzuki–Miyaura Arylation of Aziridine-2-Carboxylates. Chem. – A Eur. J 2019, 25, 10226–10231.(d)Kano D; Minakata S; Komatsu M Novel Organic-Solvent-Free Aziridination of Olefins: Chloramine-T–I2 System under Phase-Transfer Catalysis Conditions. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 2001, 23, 3186–3188.

(15). Nguyen TN; May JA Branched Amine Synthesis via Aziridine or Azetidine Opening with Organotrifluoroborates by Cooperative Brønsted/Lewis Acid Catalysis: An Acid-Dependent Divergent Mechanism. Org. Lett 2018, 20, 3618–3621. [PubMed: 29874082]

(16). Yu X-Y; Zhou Q-Q; Wang P-Z; Liao C-M; Chen J-R; Xiao W-J Dual Photoredox/Nickel-Catalyzed Regioselective Cross-Coupling of 2-Arylaziridines and Potassium Benzyltrifluoroborates: Synthesis of β-Substitued Amines. Org. Lett 2018, 20, 421–424. [PubMed: 29314848]

(17). Irsfeld M; Spadafore M; Prüß BM β-Phenylethylamine, a Small Molecule with a Large Impact. Webmedcentral 2013, 4, 4409–4423. [PubMed: 24482732]

(18). Woods BP; Orlandi M; Huang C-Y; Sigman MS; Doyle AG Nickel-Catalyzed Enantioselective Reductive Cross-Coupling of Styrenyl Aziridines. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 5688–5691. [PubMed: 28406622]

(19). Steiman TJ; Liu J; Mengiste A; Doyle AG Synthesis of β-Phenethylamines via Ni/Photoredox Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Aliphatic Aziridines and Aryl Iodides. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2020, 142, 7598–7605. [PubMed: 32250602]

(20). Advances in C-C bond formation using reductive coupling approaches with aziridines has been extended to other non-aryl halide C(sp^2) coupling partners (e.g carboxylation). See: (a) Zhou K; Zhu Y; Fan W; Chen Y; Xu X; Zhang J; Zhao Y Late-Stage Functionalization of Aromatic Acids with Aliphatic Aziridines: Direct Approach to Form β-Branched Arylethylamine Backbones. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 6738–6743.[2](b)Xu C-H; Li J-H; Xiang J-N; Deng W Merging Photoredox/Nickel Catalysis for Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Aziridines with Pyridin-1-Ium Salts via Dearomatization. Org. Lett 2021, 23, 3696–3700. [PubMed: 33825468] (c)Davies J; Janssen-Müller D; Zimin DP; Day CS; Yanagi T; Elfert J; Martin R Ni-Catalyzed Carboxylation of Aziridines En Route to β-Amino Acids. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2021, 143, 4949–4954. [PubMed: 33724815] (d)Ravn AK; Vilstrup MBT; Noerby P; Nielsen DU; Daasbjerg K; Skrydstrup T Carbon Isotope Labeling Strategy for β-Amino Acid Derivatives via Carbonylation of Azanickellacycles. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2019, 141, 11821–11826. [PubMed: 31310710] (e)Fan P; Jin Y; Liu J; Wang R; Wang C Nickel/Photo-Cocatalyzed Regioselective Ring Opening of N-Tosyl Styrenyl Aziridines with Aldehydes. Org. Lett 2021, 23, 7364–7369. [PubMed: 34543571]

(21). (a)Kariofillis SK; Shields BJ; Tekle-Smith MA; Zacuto MJ; Doyle AG Nickel/Photoredox-Catalyzed Methylation of (Hetero)Aryl Chlorides Using Trimethyl Orthoformate as a Methyl Radical Source. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2020, 142, 7683–7689. [PubMed: 32275411] (b)Kariofillis SK; Jiang S; Żurański AM; Gandhi SS; Martinez Alvarado JI; Doyle AG Using Data Science To Guide Aryl Bromide Substrate Scope Analysis in a Ni/Photoredox-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling with Acetals as Alcohol-Derived Radical Sources. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2022, 144, 1045–1055. [PubMed: 34985904]

(22). Stoichiometric studies of Ni(0) with aziridines: (a) Lin BL; Clough CR; Hillhouse GL Interactions of Aziridines with Nickel Complexes: Oxidative-Addition and Reductive-Elimination Reactions That Break and Make C—N Bonds. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2002, 124, 2890–2891. [PubMed: 11902877] (b)Davies J; Janssen-Müller D; Zimin DP; Day CS; Yanagi T; Elfert J; Martin R Ni-Catalyzed Carboxylation of Aziridines En Route to β-Amino Acids. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2021, 143, 4949–4954. [PubMed: 33724815]

(23). For further examples of Ni(0/II) oxidative addition , see: (a) Xu C-H; Li J-H; Xiang J-N; Deng W Merging Photoredox/Nickel Catalysis for Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Aziridines with Pyridin-1-Ium Salts via Dearomatization. Org. Lett 2021, 23, 3696–3700. [PubMed: 33825468] (b)Fan P; Jin Y; Liu J; Wang R; Wang C Nickel/Photo-Cocatalyzed Regioselective Ring Opening of N-Tosyl Styrenyl Aziridines with Aldehydes. Org. Lett 2021, 23, 7364–7369. [PubMed: 34543571]

(24). Ladouceur S; Fortin D; Zysman-Colman E Enhanced Luminescent Iridium(III) Complexes Bearing Aryltriazole Cyclometallated Ligands. Inorg. Chem 2011, 50, 11514–11526. [PubMed: 21961698]

(25). The sulfonamide could arise from β–H elimination, retro [2+2], enamide formation.

(26). Although styrene is formed as a byproduct, due to the volatile nature, the yield is not reported in table 1. The mass of styrene formation is assumed to be accounted for in determining the yield of sulfonamide **5**.

(27). (a)Schönherr H; Cernak T Profound Methyl Effects in Drug Discovery and a Call for New C–H Methylation Reactions. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2013, 52, 12256–12267.(b)Barreiro EJ; Kümmerle AE; Fraga CAM The Methylation Effect in Medicinal Chemistry. Chem. Rev 2011, 111, 5215–5246. [PubMed: 21631125]

(28). (a)Kier LB Burger's Medicinal Chemistry and Drug Discovery. Fifth Edition. Volume 5: Therapeutic Agents Edited by Wolff Manfred E.. John Wiley and Sons, New York. 1997. Viii + 879 Pp. ISBN 0-4711-57560-7.J. Med. Chem 1998, 41, 772–773.(b)Moe ST; Shimizu SM; Smith DL; Van Wagenen BC; DelMar EG; Balandrin MF; Chien Y. (Eric); Raszkiewicz JL; Artman LD; Mueller AL; Lobkovsky E; Clardy J Synthesis, Biological Activity, and Absolute Stereochemical Assignment of NPS 1392: A Potent and Stereoselective NMDA Receptor Antagonist. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett 1999, 9, 1915–1920. [PubMed: 10450953] (c)Ornstein PL; Zimmerman DM; Arnold MB; Bleisch TJ; Cantrell B; Simon R; Zarrinmayeh H; Baker SR; Gates M; Tizzano JP; Bleakman D; Mandelzys A; Jarvie KR; Ho K; Deverill M; Kamboj RK Biarylpropylsulfonamides as Novel, Potent Potentiators of 2-Amino-3-(5-Methyl-3-Hydroxyisoxazol-4-Yl)- Propanoic Acid (AMPA) Receptors. J. Med. Chem 2000, 43, 4354–4358. [PubMed: 11087558] (d)Smith BM; Smith JM; Tsai JH; Schultz JA; Gilson CA; Estrada SA; Chen RR; Park DM; Prieto EB; Gallardo CS; Sengupta D; Dosa PI; Covel JA; Ren A; Webb RR; Beeley NRA; Martin M; Morgan M; Espitia S; Saldana HR; Bjenning C; Whelan KT; Grottick AJ; Menzaghi F; Thomsen WJ Discovery and Structure–Activity Relationship of (1R)-8-Chloro-2,3,4,5-Tetrahydro-1-Methyl-1H-3-Benzazepine (Lorcaserin), a Selective Serotonin 5-HT2C Receptor Agonist for the Treatment of Obesity. J. Med. Chem 2008, 51, 305–313. [PubMed: 18095642]

(29). (a)Han H; Bae I; Yoo EJ; Lee J; Do Y; Chang S Notable Coordination Effects of 2-Pyridinesulfonamides Leading to Efficient Aziridination and Selective Aziridine Ring Opening. Org. Lett 2004, 6, 4109–4112. [PubMed: 15496111] (b)Medina E; Moyano A; Pericàs MA; Riera A Synthesis of N-Boc-β-Aryl Alanines and of N-Boc-β-Methyl-β-Aryl Alanines by Regioselective Ring-Opening of Enantiomerically Pure N-Boc-Aziridines. J. Org. Chem 1998, 63, 8574–8578.(c)Atkinson RS; Ayscough AP; Gattrell WT; Raynham TM 1-(3,4-Dihydro-4-Oxoquinazolin-3-Yl)Aziridines (Q-Substituted Aziridines): Ring-Opening Reactions with C—N Bond Cleavage and Preparation of Q-Free Chirons. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 2000, No. 18, 3096–3106.(d)Bertolini F; Woodward S; Crotti S; Pineschi M A Practical Regioselective Ring-Opening of Activated Aziridines with Organoalanes. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 4515–4518. (e)Gajda T; Napieraj A; Osowska-Pacewicka K; Zawadzki S; Zwierzak A Synthesis of Primary Sec-Alkylamines via Nucleophilic Ring-Opening of *N*-Phosphorylated Aziridines. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 4935–4946.

(30). (a)Zhao C; Rakesh KP; Ravidar L; Fang W-Y; Qin H-L Pharmaceutical and Medicinal Significance of Sulfur (SVI)-Containing Motifs for Drug Discovery: A Critical Review. Eur. J. Med. Chem 2019, 162, 679–734. [PubMed: 30496988] (b)Apaydın S; Török M Sulfonamide Derivatives as Multi-Target Agents for Complex Diseases. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett 2019, 29, 2042–2050. [PubMed: 31272793] (c)Kwon Y; Song J; Lee H; Kim E-Y; Lee K; Lee SK; Kim S Design, Synthesis, and Biological Activity of Sulfonamide Analogues of Antofine and Cryptopleurine as Potent and Orally Active Antitumor Agents. J. Med. Chem 2015, 58, 7749–7762. [PubMed: 26393416] (d)McCauley JA; McIntyre CJ; Rudd MT; Nguyen KT; Romano JJ; Butcher JW; Gilbert KF; Bush KJ; Holloway MK; Swestock J; Wan B-L; Carroll SS; DiMuzio JM; Graham DJ; Ludmerer SW; Mao S-S; Stahlhut MW; Fandozzi CM; Trainor N; Olsen DB; Vacca JP; Liverton NJ Discovery of Vaniprevir (MK-7009), a Macrocyclic Hepatitis C Virus NS3/4a Protease Inhibitor. J. Med. Chem 2010, 53, 2443–2463. [PubMed: 20163176] (e)Harper S; McCauley JA; Rudd MT; Ferrara M; DiFilippo M; Crescenzi B; Koch U; Petrocchi A; Holloway MK; Butcher JW; Romano JJ; Bush KJ; Gilbert KF; McIntyre CJ; Nguyen KT; Nizi E; Carroll SS; Ludmerer SW; Burlein C; DiMuzio JM; Graham DJ; McHale CM; Stahlhut MW; Olsen DB; Monteagudo E; Cianetti S; Giuliano C; Pucci V; Trainor N; Fandozzi CM; Rowley M; Coleman PJ; Vacca JP; Summa V; Liverton NJ Discovery of MK-5172, a Macrocyclic Hepatitis C Virus NS3/4a Protease Inhibitor. ACS Med. Chem. Lett 2012, 3, 332–336. [PubMed: 24900473]

(31). (a)Cabré A; Verdaguer X; Riera A Enantioselective Synthesis of *β*-Methyl Amines via Iridium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Hydrogenation of *N*-Sulfonyl Allyl Amines. Adv. Synth. Catal 2019, 361, 4196–4200.(b)de Ceglie MC; Musio B; Affortunato F; Moliterni A; Altomare A; Florio S; Luisi

R Solvent- and Temperature-Dependent Functionalisation of Enantioenriched Aziridines. Chem. Eur. J, 2021, 17,: 286–296.

(32). Meng J; Li X-H; Han Z-Y Enantioselective Hydroaminomethylation of Olefins Enabled by Rh/Brønsted Acid Relay Catalysis. Org. Lett 2017, 19, 1076–1079. [PubMed: 28230379]

(33). Kendall JD; Rewcastle GW; Frederick R; Mawson C; Denny WA; Marshall ES; Baguley BC; Chaussade C; Jackson SP; Shepherd PR Synthesis, Biological Evaluation and Molecular Modelling of Sulfonohydrazides as Selective PI3K P110α Inhibitors. Crozet D; Urrutigoïty M; Kalck P Chem. Cat. Chem 2011, 3, 1102.

(34). (a)Li S; Huang K; Zhang J; Wu W; Zhang X Rh-Catalyzed Highly Enantioselective Hydrogenation of Nitroalkenes under Basic Conditions. Chem. – A Eur. J 2013, 19, 10840–10844.(b)Li S; Huang K; Cao B; Zhang J; Wu W; Zhang X Highly Enantioselective Hydrogenation of β,β-Disubstituted Nitroalkenes. Angew. Chemie Int. Ed 2012, 51, 8573–8576.

(35). Zhang J; Liu C; Wang X; Chen J; Zhang Z; Zhang W Rhodium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Hydrogenation of β-Branched Enamides for the Synthesis of β-Stereogenic Amines. Chem. Commun 2018, 54, 6024–6027.

(36). (a)Finke PE; Meurer LC; Oates B; Mills SG; MacCoss M; Malkowitz L; Springer MS; Daugherty BL; Gould SL; DeMartino JA; Siciliano SJ; Carella A; Carver G; Holmes K; Danzeisen R; Hazuda D; Kessler J; Lineberger J; Miller M; Schleif WA; Emini EA Antagonists of the Human CCR5 Receptor as Anti-HIV-1 Agents. Part 2: Structure–Activity Relationships for Substituted 2-Aryl-1-[N-(Methyl)-N-(Phenylsulfonyl)Amino]-4-(Piperidin-1-Yl)Butanes. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett 2001, 11, 265–270. [PubMed: 11206474] (b)Dorn CP; Finke PE; Oates B; Budhu RJ; Mills SG; MacCoss M; Malkowitz L; Springer MS; Daugherty BL; Gould SL; DeMartino JA; Siciliano SJ; Carella A; Carver G; Holmes K; Danzeisen R; Hazuda D; Kessler J; Lineberger J; Miller M; Schleif WA; Emini EA Antagonists of the Human CCR5 Receptor as Anti-HIV-1 Agents. Part 1: Discovery and Initial Structure–Activity Relationships for 1-Amino-2-Phenyl-4-(Piperidin-1-Yl)Butanes. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett 2001, 11, 259–264. [PubMed: 11206473] (c)Shah SK; Chen N; Guthikonda RN; Mills SG; Malkowitz L; Springer MS; Gould SL; DeMartino JA; Carella A; Carver G; Holmes K; Schleif WA; Danzeisen R; Hazuda D; Kessler J; Lineberger J; Miller M; Emini EA; MacCoss M Synthesis and Evaluation of CCR5 Antagonists Containing Modified 4-Piperidinyl-2-Phenyl-1-(Phenylsulfonylamino)-Butane. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett 2005, 15, 977–982. [PubMed: 15686896] (d)Tallant MD; Duan M; Freeman GA; Ferris RG; Edelstein MP; Kazmierski WM; Wheelan PJ Synthesis and Evaluation of 2-Phenyl-1,4-Butanediamine-Based CCR5 Antagonists for the Treatment of HIV-1. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett 2011, 21, 1394–1398. [PubMed: 21292480]

(37). Vardanyan R; Hruby V Chapter 7 - Antidepressants; Vardanyan R, Hruby V B. T.-S. of B.-S. D, Eds.; Academic Press: Boston, 2016; pp 111–143.

(38). Kent CN; Park C; Lindsley CW Classics in Chemical Neuroscience: Baclofen. ACS Chem. Neurosci 2020, 11, 1740–1755. [PubMed: 32436697]

(39). For examples of isomerization at Ni(I) center, see: (a) Binder JT; Cordier CJ; Fu GC Catalytic Enantioselective Cross-Couplings of Secondary Alkyl Electrophiles with Secondary Alkylmetal Nucleophiles: Negishi Reactions of Racemic Benzylic Bromides with Achiral Alkylzinc Reagents. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2012, 134, 17003–17006. [PubMed: 23039358] (b)Juliá-Hernández F; Moragas T; Cornella J; Martin R Remote carboxylation of halogenated aliphatic hydrocarbons with carbon dioxide. Nature 2017, 545, 84–88. [PubMed: 28470192] (c)Zhou F; Zhu J; Zhang Y; Zhu S NiH-Catalyzed Reductive Relay Hydroalkylation: A Strategy for the Remote C(sp^3)–H Alkylation of Alkenes. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed 2018, 57, 4058–4062.(d)Zhou L; Zhu C; Bia P; Feng C Ni-catalyzed migratory fluoro-alkenylation of unactivated alkyl bromides with gem-difluoroalkenes. Chem. Sci 2019, 10, 1144–1149. [PubMed: 30774912] (e)Chen F; Chen Ke.; Zhang Y; He Y; Wang Y; Zhu S J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 13929–13935. [PubMed: 28880544]

(40). For examples of reductive dimerization of alkyl halides, see: (a) Prinsell MR; Everson DA; Weix DJ Nickel-Catalyzed, Sodium Iodide-Promoted Reductive Dimerization of Alkyl Halides, Alkyl Pseudohalides, and Allylic Acetates. Chem. Commun 2010, 46, 5743–5745.(b)Peng Y; Luo L; Yan C-S; Zhang J-J; Wang Y-W Ni-Catalyzed Reductive Homocoupling of Unactivated Alkyl Bromides at Room Temperature and Its Synthetic Application. J. Org. Chem 2013, 78, 10960–10967. [PubMed: 24087851]

(41). Somerville RJ; Odena C; Obst MF; Hazari N; Hopmann KH; Martin R Ni(I)–Alkyl Complexes Bearing Phenanthroline Ligands: Experimental Evidence for CO2 Insertion at Ni(I) Centers. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2020, 142, 10936–10941. [PubMed: 32520556]

(42). West JG; Sorensen EJ Development of a Bio-Inspired Dual Catalytic System for Alkane Dehydrogenation. Isr. J. Chem 2017, 57, 259–269.

(43). Lau SH; Borden MA; Steiman TJ; Parasram M; Wang LS; Doyle AG Ni/Photoredox-Catalyzed Enantioselective Cross-Electrophile Coupling of Styrene Oxides with Aryl Iodides J. Am. Chem. Soc 2021, 143, 15873–15881. [PubMed: 34542286]

(44). The branched/linear ratio remains constant throughout the reaction course, suggesting that the rate of isomerization is significantly faster than the rate of oxidative addition (See SI Section V-E)'

(45). We do not expect the same ρ value because dtbbpy is used as a ligand for methylation whereas 5,5'-difluoro-2,2'-bipyridine is used as a ligand for the iso-propylation.

(46). Brown HC; Okamoto Y Electrophilic Substituent Constants. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1958, 80, 4979–4987.

(47). (a)Jiang X; Ji G A Self-Consistent and Cross-Checked Scale of Spin-Delocalization Substituent Constants, the .Sigma.JJ.Bul. Scale. J. Org. Chem 1992, 57, 6051–6056.

(48). Pérez–García PM; Darù A; Scheerder AR; Lutz M; Harvey JN; Moret M Oxidative Addition of Aryl Halides to a Triphosphine Ni(0) Center to Form Pentacoordinate Ni(II) Aryl Species. Organometallics 2020, 39, 1139–1144. [PubMed: 32362705] (b)Foà M; Cassar L Oxidative addition of aryl halides to tris(triphenylphosphine)nickel(0) J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans, 1975, 2572–2576.

(49). Xu S; Hirano K Miura M Org. Lett 2021, 23, 5471–5475. [PubMed: 34197130]

(50). Lin Q; Fu Y; Liu P; Diao T Monovalent Nickel-Mediated Radical Formation: A Concerted Halogen-Atom Dissociation Pathway Determined by Electroanalytical Studies. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2021, 143, 14196–14206. [PubMed: 34432468]

(51). (a)Peng L; Li Y; Li Y; Wang W; Pang H; Yin G Ligand-Controlled Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Relay Cross-Coupling of Alkyl Bromides and Aryl Bromides. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 310–313. (b)Peng L; Li Z; Yin G Photochemical Nickel-Catalyzed Reductive Migratory Cross-Coupling of Alkyl Bromides with Aryl Bromides. Org. Lett 2018, 20, 1880–1883. [PubMed: 29561162]

(52). We have also considered the possibility that Ni(I)Br undergoes direct oxidative addition to the aziridine. However, previous studies comparing the rate of reduction of alkyl halides with Ni(I)Br versus Ni(I)Ar, have shown that the Ni(I)Ar species, owing to their greater electron-density, are more reactive. For related studies, see: Lin Q; Diao T J. Am. Chem. Soc 2019, 141, 17937–17948. [PubMed: 31589820]

(53). Although isomerization of the Ni(alkyl) is proposed at Ni(I), isomerization at Ni(II) could also occur, which would be pertinent to a Ni(I)-Ni(II)-Ni(I)-Ni(III) cycle. For examples of isomerization at Ni(I) and Ni(II), see ref (39) and D'Aniello MJ; Barefield EK J. Am. Chem. Soc 1978, 100, 1474–1481.

(54). We have also considered the possibility of an S_H2 pathway, where the benzylic radical directly attacks an Ni(II)-ligated alkyl to generate the C—C bond. However, S_H2 pathways are most common for fully coordinated Ni(IV), Fe(III) and Co(III) species where direct access to the metal center is precluded. For examples, see: (a) Bour JR; Ferguson DM; McClain EJ; Kampf JW; Sanford MS Connecting Organometallic Ni(III) and Ni(IV): Reactions of Carbon-Centered Radicals with High-Valent Organonickel Complexes. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2019, 141, 8914–8920. [PubMed: 31136162] $_{HH}$(b)Wei L; N. LM; A. GC; Jesús A; C. MDW A Biomimetic SH2 Cross-Coupling Mechanism for Quaternary Sp3-Carbon Formation. Science 2021, 374, 1258–1263. [PubMed: 34762491] (c)Wang Y; Wen X; Cui X; Zhang XP Enantioselective Radical Cyclization for Construction of 5-Membered Ring Structures by Metalloradical C–H Alkylation. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2018, 140, 4792–4796. [PubMed: 29584958]



Figure 1. Cross-electrophile and redox-neutral metallaphotoredox coupling with C(sp^3) precursors.



Scheme 1. Alkylation of aziridine via direct incorporation of C-H substrates

Reactions were performed on 0.2 mmol scale, with two Kessil lamps and a fan for cooling.



Scheme 2. Possible mechanistic pathways for accessing Ni(III) to enable product formation.



Scheme 3. Crossover experiment and stoichiometric studies with azametallacycle IIa.
1-fluoronaphthalene was used as the external standard for ^{19}F NMR yield.



Scheme 4. Reactivity of benzylbromide 7a.

(A) Reaction performed 0.1 mmol scale using stoichiometric amount of benzylbromide **7a** vs. (B) catalytic amount of benzylbromide **7a** (0.01 mmol) and aziridine (0.09 mmol). Ar^1 = *p*-F-benzene Ar^2= *p*-CF_3-benzene. Yields are based on 0.1 mmol 1-fluoronaphthalene as the external standard by ^{19}F NMR.



Scheme 5. Stoichiometric studies with Ni(I) complex.

(A) Control experiments with L^1 (4,4'-di-*tert*-butylbipyridine) and L^2 (2,9-dimesityl-1,10-phenanthroline) (B) Reactivity of $L_2Ni(CH_2t\text{-}Bu)$ complex **VII** with aziridine **1a**.



Scheme 6. Alkylation of enantioenriched aziridine.

Reaction was performed on 0.2 mmol scale. Isolated yields are reported.



Scheme 7. Hammett plot analysis.

(A) Isomerization of branched to linear alkyl species at Ni center. (B) Hammett plot of branched vs linear product against varying substituents on aryls on sulfonamide and (C) styrenyl arene. Reaction was performed on 0.2 mmol scale using under standard condition.



Scheme 8. Proposed mechanistic pathway and off-cycle pathways.

[a] Strong light emission: 50% light intensity where the vials were placed 3 cm away from the Kessil lamp, maintained at 38 °C. Weak light emission: 25% light intensity where the vials were placed 20 cm away from the Kessil lamp while heating in an oil bath at 38 °C.

Table 1.

Optimization of aziridine alkylation with benzaldehyde dialkyl acetals.



Entry	Acetal equiv	[Ni]	Light intensity /no. of lamps	Temp (°C)	Yield (%)			
					3 (rsm)	4	5	6
1	1.8	$Ni(COD)_2$	25%/1	25[a,b]	**22** (59)	**3**	**12**	**7**
2	1.8	$Ni(COD)_2$	25%/1	25[b]	**32** (50)	**2**	**10**	**7**
3	1.8	$Ni(COD)_2$	25%/2	28[b]	**68**	**4**	**10**	**6**
4	1.8	$Ni(COD)_2$	50%/2	31[b]	**70**	**4**	**5**	**6**
5	2.4	$Ni(COD)_2$	50%/2	31[b]	**79**	**4**	**7**	**5**
6	2.4	$Ni(COD)_2$	50%/1	26[b]	**34**	**3**	**22**	**5**
7	2.4	$Ni(COD)_2$	50%/1	38[c]	**72**	**5**	**7**	**5**
8	2.4	$NiBr_2$•glyme	50%/1	38[c]	**82**	**6**	**5**	**4**
9	1.1	$NiBr_2$•glyme	50%/1	38[c]	**58**	**10**	**8**	**7**
10	1.8	$NiBr_2$•glyme	50%/1	38[c]	**68**	**8**	**10**	**7**
11	2.4	$NiBr_2$•glyme	50%/1	38[c]	**47**	**11**	**12**	**3**
12	2.4	$NiBr_2$•glyme	50%/1	38[c,d]	**65**	**7**	**14**	**5**

Reactions performed on 0.1 mmol scale, with 1-fluoronaphthalene as the external standard (^{19}F NMR yield for **3**,**4**,**6**, ^{1}H NMR yield for **5**). Entries 1-2 were performed at 0.04M, and entries 3-10 were performed at 0.057M. For reactions with 25% intensity, vials were placed 1.5 cm away from Kessil lamp and for 50% intensity, vials were placed 3cm away. Entries without (rsm) showed full conversion of the aziridine.

[a] NH_4Br was used instead of LiBr

[b] Three fans were used to cool the reaction.

[c] No fans were used to cool the reaction.

[d] Reaction was setup on the benchtop under an inert atmosphere. Reaction with either no light, no photocatalyst, no nickel, or no nickel/ligand all gave 0% yield of the desired product.

Table 2.

Reaction substrate scope with aziridines and benzaldehyde dialkyl acetals.



Reactions performed on 0.2 mmol scale. 0.48 mmol of the acetal coupling partner was used.

[a] 48 h instead of 20 h

[b] Ratio of ring-closed to ring-opened isomers.

[c] Performed on 0.1 mmol scale using 1 mol% photocatalyst and 1.1 equivalent of acetal at 25 °C.

[d] 5,5′-difluoro-2,2′-bipyridine was used instead dtbbpy.

[e] 1:1 *dr* at the benzylic stereogenic censer of the *trans* cyclobutane.

[f] diisopropyl benzaldehyde acetal was used as the coupling partner.