# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Recent Work** 

# Title

CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF EUROPIUM T UNGSTATE

### Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8k07418n

# Authors

Templeton, David H. Zalkin, Allan.

# Publication Date 1962-06-05

# University of California Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

#### TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

# Berkeley, California

#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California. UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

#### CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF EUROPIUM TUNGSTATE

David H. Templeton and Allan Zalkin

June-5, 1962

#### CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF EUROPIUM TUNGSTATE

David H. Templeton and Allan Zalkin Advanced Systems Development Division Laboratory, International Business Machines Corp., San Jose, California, U.S.A., and Department of Chemistry and Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

University of California, Berkeley, California, U.S.A.

The structure of europium tungstate,  $\operatorname{Eu}_2(WO_4)_3$ , has been determined by single-crystal x-ray diffraction data. The crystals are monoclinic, space group C2/c, with a = 7.676 ± 0.003, b = 11.463 ± 0.003, c = 11.396 ± 0.005 A,  $\beta$  = 109.63 ± 0.04°, Z = 4, d = 7.37 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. The atomic arrangement may be described as a scheelite superlattice with ordered vacancies in the cation positions, but atoms are displaced by considerable distances from the scheelite locations. Each W atom has four oxygen neighbors at average distances of 1.74 or 1.78 A. Some W atoms have a fifth neighbor at 2.19 A. Each Eu atom has eight oxygen neighbors at an average distance of 2.43 A.

This work was done in part under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

#### Introduction

The rare earth elements form a series of isomorphous tungstates with the generic formula  $R_2(WO_4)_3$ , where R stands for a rare earth element, and some of these compounds have interesting fluorescence properties (MacDonald, Vogel and Brookman, 1962). We have investigated single crystals of the europium compound by x-ray diffraction and have determined the structure. The atomic arrangement is closely related to that of CaWO<sub>4</sub> (scheelite), and it may be described as a scheelite superlattice with ordered vacancies in the cation positions. Considerable distortions of the structure occur around these vacancies. These results may give an indication of the structure at defects in scheelite solid solutions.

Single crystals of the isomorphous compound  $\operatorname{Ce}_2(WO_4)_3$  were studied by Nelson and McKee (1946) who determined the unit cell and probable space group in agreement with our results.

#### Experimental

- The synthesis of europium tungstate from europium oxide and tungstic acid and the growth of single crystals at temperatures near the melting point (1130 ± 15°C) has been described by MacDonald, Vogel and Brookman (1962). We had available single crystals up to several mm in size. Photographs of several crystals by Weissenberg and precession techniques established the symmetry and suggested the main features of the structure. The final results reported here are based on data from a crystal with dimensions approximately  $0.11 \times 0.07 \times 0.06$  mm which was measured with the General Electric XRD-5 x-ray goniostat and MoK $\alpha$  radiation. Unit cell dimensions are based on  $\lambda = 0.70929$  A for MoK $\alpha_1$ . Intensities were measured with a scintillation counter equipped with a pulse height discriminator for the 839 independent reflections permitted by the space group in the sphere with  $\sin\theta/\lambda$  less than 0.596 (20 < 50°); of these, 36 were assigned zero intensity. The data were corrected for absorption assuming that the crystal was a sphere with  $\mu r = 2.3$ , according to the table given by Bond (1959). No correction was made for extinction. The limiting factors in the accuracy of the intensity data are extinction and the error in the absorption correction.

Calculations were made with the IBM-709 and IBM-7090 computers. The least-squares refinement was accomplished with a program written by P. K. Gantzel, R. A. Sparks and K. N. Trueblood, with minor changes. Atomic scattering factors for  $\text{Eu}^{+3}$  and neutral W (Thomas and Umeda, 1957) were modified for dispersion by adding -0.4 for Eu and -1.1 for W (Templeton, 1962). The imaginary dispersion term was neglected. Scattering factors for oxygen were estimated for  $0^{-1/2}$  by interpolation in the tables of Ibers (1962).

-2-

#### Unit Cell and Space Group

The dimensions of the monoclinic unit cell of  $Eu_2(WO_4)_3$  are:

a =  $7.676 \pm 0.003$  A b =  $11.463 \pm 0.003$ c =  $11.396 \pm 0.005$  $\beta = 109.63 \pm 0.04^{\circ}$ 

The corresponding dimensions reported for  $\operatorname{Ce}_2(WO_4)_3$  are a = 7.68, b = 11.72, c = 11.51 A,  $\beta$  = 109.8° (Nelson and McKee, 1946, changed from kX and with a and c interchanged). These cells are very similar except for a five percent difference in volume in line with the lanthanide contraction. With four formula units ( $\operatorname{Eu}_2(WO_4)_3$ ) per unit cell, the density is calculated to be 7.37 g cm<sup>-3</sup>. The density measured by MacDonald, Vogel and Brookman (1962) is 7.44 g cm<sup>-3</sup>.

Reflections are systematically absent if h+k is odd, showing that the lattice is C-centered. Reflections hOl are absent if l is odd (or if h is odd), suggesting Cc and C2/c as probable space groups. Morphological evidence and failure to detect a pyroelectric effect are cited by Nelson and McKee (1946) as indicating centric symmetry for the cerium crystals. The success of our structure determination with the assumption of a center of symmetry confirms C2/c ( $C_{2h}^{6}$ ) as the space group. Powder diffraction data show that  $Eu_2(WO_4)_3$ ,  $Ce_2(WO_4)_3$ , and several other rare earth tungstates are isomorphous (MacDonald, Vogel and Brookman, 1962).

The lattice is pseudo-orthorhombic. A face-centered cell with double the volume of the one given above and which is called the "second setting" (Fig. 1) has dimensions:

di a sata di

a<sub>2</sub> = 7.676 A

 $b_2 = 11.463$  $c_2 = 21.468$  $\beta_2 = 90.06^{\circ}$ 

For this setting the space group symbol is F2/d. It is possible that the deviation of  $\beta$  from 90<sup>°</sup> is less than the experimental error, and this cell is convenient for the indexing of powder patterns. While the spot positions on the single crystal photographs fit an orthorhombic lattice, the monoclinic symmetry is clearly evident in the intensities. Twinning might be expected in this lattice with the result that the intensities would mimic orthorhombic symmetry, but no evidence of twinning was observed in any of the photographs.

Because the lattice is so nearly orthogonal, there exists a C-centered third setting (Fig. 1) which is easy to confuse with the first setting given above. It has the same a and b axes, but  $c_3 = 11.403$  A and  $\beta_3 = 109.73^{\circ}$ . This c axis is  $[\bar{1}0\bar{1}]$  in the first setting. If  $\beta = 90^{\circ}$  for the face-centered setting, the two C-centered settings have identical dimensions. All subsequent discussion in this paper is in terms of the first setting.

a an an an an an an Aragan an a

the set of the best of the set of the set of the

#### Determination of the Structure

The structure was found by starting with the assumption, suggested by Dr. R. A. Pasternak, that it is related to that of  $CaWO_4$  (scheelite). Scheelite is body-centered tetragonal (space group  $I4_1/a$ ) with a = 5.22 and c = 11.45 A (Sillen and Nylander, 1943). It was observed that a lattice similar to that of europium tungstate can be fitted to that of scheelite with its b axis corresponding to the c axis of scheelite and its a and c axes related to scheelite as shown in Fig. 1. Furthermore, the symmetry C2/c is a subgroup of  $I4_1/a$  when the lattices are related in this way and the origins are chosen in each case at the center of symmetry. The fact that europium tungstate is not orthorhombic in spite of its orthogonal lattice is understood when it is pointed out that there is no orthorhombic subgroup of  $I4_1/a$ .

The trial structure was obtained by transforming the coordinates of the atoms in scheelite to the monoclinic coordinate system. This process gives:

(0,0,0; 1/2,1/2,0) +

4  $W_1$  in (e): ±(0, y, 1/4) with y = 1/8.

8 W<sub>2</sub> in (f):  $\pm(x,y,z; x, -y, z+1/2)$  with x = 1/6, y = 3/8, z = 1/12. Calcium atoms are in the same positions with each y coordinate increased by 1/2. If two-thirds of the calcium positions are occupied by europium atoms, they must be in the 8(f) positions. We chose the coordinates: 8 Eu in (f) with x = 1/3, y = 3/8, z = 5/12.

The oxygen atoms in scheelite surround each tungsten atom at the corners of a tetrahedron. There are four distinct ways that these tetrahedra can be oriented in the monoclinic lattice which are equivalent to the scheelite structure. The one which most nearly resembles our final structure is shown

-5-

in Fig. 2. In any case, 48 oxygen atoms are located in the general sets 8(f) taken 6 times.

We attempted to refine this trial structure with least squares, first using only W and Eu atoms and about half of the data. The unreliability factor  $R = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c||/\Sigma |F_0|$  was decreased quickly to 0.29. Introduction of oxygen atoms at the coordinates derived from scheelite for two of the distinct structures, after further refinement, achieved the same agreement with unreallistically large temperature factors. Introduction of oxygen atoms in certain other positions derived from a difference function gave no better results.

The initial shifts calculated by least squares from a structure like this with special coordinates often are unreliable because many terms with small or zero calculated structure factors may be assigned incorrect signs. Therefore we went back to only Eu and W atoms and arbitrarily reversed the initial shifts of some of the coordinates from the ideal structure. Experimentation with the x and z coordinates converged to another structure with R = 0.45.

An examination of the early calculations revealed that agreement was better in the layers with k even, and that for the  $hO\ell$  reflections R was 0.17. The agreement was especially bad for some reflections with large odd values of k. Simple calculations made obvious that the initial shifts in y were defective, and that the agreement would be improved by reversing these shifts.

The next refinement with half the data (low angles) reduced R from 0.28 to 0.18 in one cycle. Further cycles gave R = 0.17. A parallel refinement with the high-angle data gave R = 0.15 and nearly the same coordinates. In both cases the y coordinate of  $W_1$  reversed its shift and went back to the direction achieved in the early calculations.

-6-

An electron density difference function (Eu and W atoms subtracted out) revealed a clear peak for each oxygen atom and peaks corresponding to anisotropic motion of each heavy atom. All other peaks were much smaller than an oxygen atom. This function was in striking contrast to the earlier difference function based on the incorrect heavy atom positions, which was a confusion of poorly shaped peaks.

Introduction of oxygen atoms with isotropic temperature factors for all atoms reduced R to 0.13 for 839 reflections. With anisotropic temperature factors for W and Eu atoms, R fell to 0.076 for 807 reflections with  $(\sin\theta/\lambda)$ greater than 0.2. The resulting parameters are listed in Tables 1 and 2, and the observed and calculated structure factors are given in Table 3.

A further refinement with anisotropic temperature factors for all atoms gave negligible improvement and is not reported here.

The thermal parameters (Table 2) do not correspond to physically realistic thermal motion because of the negative values of  $\beta_{22}$ . These results show that the intensities for low k are weaker than they should be relative to those for higher k, probably because of insufficient correction for absorption. It is possible that extinction effects also contribute to this error. The similarity of the thermal parameters for the three heavy atoms suggests that the anisotropic refinement is mainly correcting for these effects in an empirical way rather than describing anisotropic thermal motion. Our objective in this work was to determine the atomic coordinates, which are not expected to be sensitive to these errors. A proper description of the thermal motion requires additional experimental work.

-7-

#### Discussion of the Structure

Europium tungstate is described as the scheelite structure with ordered vacancies, but the actual structure (Fig. 3) shows substantial distortions from the ideal structure derived from scheelite (Fig. 2). Atoms Eu and W<sub>1</sub> are within about 0.1 A of the ideal positions, but W<sub>2</sub> is displaced about 0.5 A. The largest distortions are in the oxygen positions: Four oxygen atoms surround each W<sub>1</sub> at the corners of a tetrahedron whose edges range in length from 2.73 to 3.11 A. Each W<sub>2</sub> atom has four oxygen neighbors at the corners of a tetrahedron which is even more distorted. The distances of these four neighbors (Table 4) average 1.74 A for W<sub>1</sub> and 1.78 A for W<sub>2</sub>. A fifth oxygen neighbor is 2.19 A from W<sub>2</sub>. If the coordination is considered to be five-fold, the coordination polyhedron is an irregular trigonal bipyramid, and pairs of these polyhedra share edges across centers of symmetry (Fig. 4). Bond angles at W<sub>1</sub> and W<sub>2</sub> are listed in Table 5.

These distortions of oxygen positions have no drastic effect on the environment of the Eu atom. It has eight oxygen neighbors at an average distance of 2.43 A, and the individual distances (Table 4) differ by little more than the experimental error.

The oxygen coordinates in scheelite are not known with high accuracy. The coordinates proposed by Sillen and Nylander (1943) correspond to W-O distances of 1.75 A and Ca-O distances of 2.41 and 2.52 A, in close agreement with our results.

These results for  $\operatorname{Eu}_2(WO_4)_3$  show that the  $WO_4$  groups are not rigid tetrahedra and suggest that it is more accurate to regard this substance as a double oxide than as a salt of tungstic acid.

-%-

States to states the

Bond, W. L. (1959). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. II, p. 299. Birmingham: Kynock Press.
Ibers, J. A, (1962), International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Vol. III (in press).
McDonald, R. E., Vogel, M. J., and Brookman, J. W. (1962). IBM J. Research Develop. 6, (in press).
Nelson, J. B. and McKee, J. H. (1946). Nature 158, 753.
Sillen, L. G. and Nylander, A. L. (1943). Ark. Kemi Min. Geol. 17A, No. 4, 1.
Templeton, D. H. (1962). International Tables for X-ray Crystallography,

Thomas, L. H. and Umeda, K. (1957). J. Chem. Phys. 26, 293.

Vol. III. (in press).

References

parameter	'S •						
Atom	x	У	Z	$\sigma(\mathbf{x})$	σ(y)	σ(z)	B
Eu	0.3338	0.3768	0.4066	0.0002	0.0001	0.0002	0.3 <sup>b</sup>
Wl	0	0.1318	1/4		0.0002		0.4 <sup>b</sup>
W <sub>2</sub>	0.1452	0.3935	0.0507	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	0.1 <sup>b</sup>
° <u>1</u>	0.161	0.045	0.221	0.004	0.003	0.003	1.0
0 <sub>2</sub>	0.132	0.208	0.388	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.7
03	0.222	0.320	0.195	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.4
0 <sub>4</sub>	0.359	0.450	0.038	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.3
o <sub>5</sub>	0.053	0.459	0.423	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.8
0 <sub>6</sub>	0.448	0.214	0.058	0.004	0.003	0.003	1.2

Table 1. Atomic coordinates, standard deviations, and isotropic thermal parameters.

<sup>a</sup>The isotropic temperature factor is  $\exp(B\lambda^{-2}\sin^2\theta)$ .

<sup>b</sup>Isotropic parameter corresponding to the average anisotropic parameter (Table 2).

ab

	Table 2.	Anisotropic thermal parameters.					
Atom	β <sub>ll</sub>	β <sub>22</sub>	β 33	β <sub>12</sub>	β13	β <sub>23</sub>	
Eu	220	-117	213	-2	-10	0	
Wl	143	- 89	148	0	<b>-</b> 56	0	
<sup>w</sup> 2	55	-117	201	13	-18	17	

Each  $x 10^5$ .

<sup>b</sup>These parameters in the temperature factor  $\exp(\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}\ell^2 + 2\beta_{12}hk + 2\beta_{13}h\ell + 2\beta_{23}k\ell)$  are those obtained by least squares. The cause of the negative values of  $\beta_{22}$  is discussed in the text. Obviously these values do not represent the actual thermal motion.

(CAL) for Eu	<sub>2</sub> (wo <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> .			in Anna in	. * *	
H K L OBS CAL	H K L OBS CAL	H K L OBS CAL	H K L OBS CAL	H K L OBS CAL	H K L OBS CAL	H K L OBS CAL
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-         -	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 3. Observed structure factors (OBS) and calculated structure factors

	11 The second		
Atoms	Distance (A)	、 Atoms	Distance (A)
Eu-O	2.42	W <sub>1</sub> -0 <sub>1</sub> (2)	1.70
-0 <sub>2</sub>	2.44	-0 <sub>2</sub> (2)	1.78
-0 <sub>2</sub>	2.47		
-0 <sub>3</sub>	2.36	W2-03	1.77
-0 <sub>4</sub>	2.38	-0 <sub>14</sub>	1.81
-0 <sub>)1</sub>	2.46	-0 <sub>5</sub>	1.81
-0 <sub>5</sub>	2.42	-06	1.72
-0 <sub>6</sub>	2.45	-05	2.19
			· · · · ·

Table 4. Interatomic distances in  $Eu_2(WO_4)_3$ .<sup>a</sup>

 $^{\rm a}{}_{\rm The}$  standard deviation is about 0.03 A for each distance.

Angles at  $W_1$ 

0<sub>1</sub>-W<sub>1</sub>-0<sub>1</sub> 0<sub>2</sub>-W<sub>1</sub>-0<sub>2</sub> 109<sup>0</sup>

1210

.

0<sub>1</sub>-W<sub>1</sub>-0<sub>2</sub> 103<sup>0</sup>(2), 110<sup>0</sup>(2)

Angles at W2

03-W2-04	109 <sup>0</sup>	0 <sub>4</sub> -W <sub>2</sub> -0 <sub>5</sub>	134 <sup>0</sup>
0 <sub>3</sub> -W <sub>2</sub> -0 <sub>5</sub>	95 <sup>0</sup>	04-M5-06	1110
03-W2-06	1050	°5-W2-06	104 <sup>0</sup>
0 <sub>3</sub> -W <sub>2</sub> -0 <sub>5</sub> ' <sup>b</sup>	157 <sup>0</sup>	°5-W2-°5'	72 <sup>0</sup>
0 <sub>4</sub> -W <sub>2</sub> -0 <sub>5</sub> '	77 <sup>0</sup>	°6 <sup>-W</sup> 2 <sup>-0</sup> 5'	96°

<sup>a</sup> The standard deviation of each angle is 2<sup>°</sup> or less.

<sup>b</sup>  $0_5'$  is the neighbor at 2.19 A.



MU-27275

Fig. 1. Relation of the unit cell of  $\operatorname{Eu}_2(WO_{l_1})_3$  to the tetragonal lattice of scheelite. The subscripts on a and c refer to the three settings mentioned in the text.



MU-27276

Fig. 2. Ideal structure of  $\operatorname{Eu}_2(WO_4)_3$ . The circles represent Eu atoms. The squares represent tetrahedra of oxygen atoms around each W atom. The numbers are y coordinates of the corresponding Eu or W atoms. The broken circles and squares represent Eu atoms and oxygen tetrahedra with y coordinates greater than 1/2.



MU-27277

Fig. 3. Actual structure of Eu $_2$  (WO $_{\rm h}$ ) $_3$ . Eu atoms and oxygen tetrahedra are represented as in Fig. 2.



Fig. 4. Oxygen neighbors of two W<sub>2</sub> atoms. The broken line indicates the neighbor at 2.19 A.

MU-27278

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.