UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Identifying the drivers of structural complexity on Hawaiian coral reefs

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8kt2k2q2

Authors

McCarthy, OS Smith, JE Petrovic, V <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2022-12-08

DOI 10.3354/meps14205

Supplemental Material

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8kt2k2q2#supplemental

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

1	Title: Identifying the drivers of structural complexity on Hawaiian coral reefs
2	
3	Authors: Orion S. McCarthy ^{1*} , Jennifer E. Smith ¹ , Vid Petrovic ² , Stuart A. Sandin ^{1*}
4	
5	Affiliations:
6	1. Center for Marine Biodiversity and Conservation, Scripps Institution of Oceanography,
7	University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92037, USA
8	2. Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of California San Diego,
9	La Jolla, California 92037, USA
10	
11	*Author e-mails for correspondence: ssandin@ucsd.edu (SAS)
12	
13	Keywords: Fractal dimension, linear rugosity, Structure from Motion, structural complexity,
14	coral reefs, geomorphology, Hawaii
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

²⁴ Abstract

25

26 Habitat structural complexity is created by biotic and abiotic processes that operate over a 27 range of scales. This can be seen clearly on coral reefs, where corals and reef geomorphology 28 create structure from mm to km scales. Here, we quantify the relative contribution of biotic and 29 abiotic structures to habitat complexity using Structure from Motion, a technology that allows 30 accurate 3D models of environments to be reconstructed from overlapping photographs. We 31 calculate the linear fractal dimension of these models using a virtual analogue of a profile gauge. 32 By adjusting the spacing between profile gauge rods, we partition structural complexity into a 33 series of scale intervals. We identify scales that are most indicative of coral-cover (0.5-16 cm) 34 and reef geomorphology (16-256 cm). We find that reefs in the Main Hawaiian Islands have 35 more complexity at finer scales than reefs in the Northwest Hawaiian Islands, which we attribute 36 to the latitudinal gradient in coral cover along the archipelago. At coarser scales, islands at each 37 end of the archipelago have sites with high structural complexity, with less complexity in the 38 center of the archipelago. These differences are consistent with geologic factors shaping island 39 uplift, subsidence, and reef formation. In addition, we find that different coral genera and 40 morphologies display unique patterns of fractal dimension, with branching *Porites* corals 41 creating the greatest amount of habitat structure at nearly all scales. This study demonstrates how 42 multi-scale approaches can be used to identify the processes responsible for reef structural 43 complexity and changes in structure over time.

- 44
- 45
- 46

47 **1. Introduction**

48

49 In many ecosystems, there is a strong relationship between species diversity and the 50 structural complexity of the associated habitat (Henderson & Robertson 1999, Tews et al. 2003, 51 Graham 2004, Ishii et al. 2004, Graham & Nash 2013), and coral reefs are no exception. Among 52 the most biodiverse ecosystems on the planet (Knowlton et al. 2010, Plaisance et al. 2011), coral 53 reefs are an amalgamation of abiotic and biotic structure shaped by the growth and accretion of 54 scleractinian corals (Grigg 1998, Perry & Álvarez-Filip 2019), hydrodynamic forces (Dollar 55 1982, Donovan et al. 2018, Levenstein et al. 2022), and island evolution (Clague 1996, Kench & 56 Mann 2017). Numerous studies have demonstrated a relationship between reef structure and 57 coral cover and morphology (Álvarez-Filip et al. 2011, Graham & Nash 2013, Darling et al. 58 2017). The substrate of a coral reef forms a patchwork of holes, crevices, overhangs, and other 59 refugia of various sizes, which in turn serves as habitat for fish and invertebrates across a range 60 of scales (Friedlander & Parrish 1998, Wilson et al. 2007, González-Rivero et al. 2017). 61 Structural complexity can be defined as the diversity and arrangement of habitat structure 62 in both the vertical and horizontal direction (Tokeshi & Arakaki 2012). On coral reefs, structural 63 complexity has been found to be a predictor of herbivory (Vergés et al. 2011, Santano et al. 64 2021, but see Oakley-Cogan et al. 2020), reef fish assemblage variation (Harborne et al. 2012, 65 González-Rivero et al. 2017, Ferrari et al. 2018), and reef resilience and recovery potential 66 (Graham et al. 2015, Burns et al. 2016). Furthermore, changes in structural complexity due to 67 climate-driven losses in coral cover are expected to negatively impact coral reef biodiversity in 68 the coming decades (Bozec et al. 2015). Thus, measuring structural complexity can serve as a 69 proxy for other aspects of reef condition, including habitat quality and benthic community

- ⁷⁰ composition, while repeated measurements can enable scientists, reef managers, and
- ⁷¹ conservation practitioners to track changes in reef condition over time.

72 Given the ecological importance of structural complexity on coral reefs, scientists have 73 invested considerable time and energy to develop and refine methods for quantifying the three-74 dimensional structure of these habitats. Linear rugosity, the ratio of the contour distance across a 75 surface to the linear horizontal distance that contour traverses, has historically been the most 76 commonly used metric to quantify structural complexity (Graham & Nash 2013, Young et al. 77 2017). Efforts to measure linear rugosity *in situ* date back to the 1970s with the advent of the 78 chain-and-tape approach (Risk 1972). Linear rugosity can also be measured *in situ* using a 79 profile gauge, an instrument that consists of a series of parallel rods in a linear frame. When 80 placed on the substrate from above, the rods slide independently to rest on the shallowest object 81 beneath, approximating the contour of the substrate along a line (McCormick 1994, Vermeij et 82 al. 2007). The spacing between profile gauge rods determines the resolution of the linear rugosity 83 measurement, and thus a profile gauge provides a scale-dependent measure of linear rugosity. 84 Although widely used, methods for measuring linear rugosity in situ are time consuming, prone 85 to user error, potentially damaging to the habitat, and impractical for measuring large areas of the 86 benthos across multiple scales (Storlazzi et al. 2016, Bayley et al. 2019).

Recently, there has been growing interest in using three-dimensional modeling to
quantify the structural complexity of coral reefs (D'Urban Jackson et al. 2020, Lepczyk et al.
2021, Ferrari et al. 2021). These studies, made possible by rapid advances in computing power
and data storage, use Structure from Motion (SfM) to reconstruct underwater environments using
the overlap between photographs (Westoby et al. 2012, Burns et al. 2015). As a type of
photogrammetry, SfM relies on parallax, the apparent displacement of an object when viewed

93 from multiple different directions, to reconstruct 3D scenes from 2D data (Anderson et al. 2019). 94 SfM allows researchers to conduct semi-automated "virtual field work" from these digital 95 representations of nature. This technique has the potential to transform the speed and scale at 96 which scientists measure structural complexity (Couch et al. 2021), while improving our ability 97 to make repeatable measurements and track change through time (Yuval et al. 2021). Already, 98 SfM has been used to quantify coral growth rates (Ferrari et al. 2017, Kodera et al. 2020, Sandin 99 et al. 2020), changes in structural complexity following disturbance events (Burns et al. 2016, 100 Ferrari et al. 2016), spatial heterogeneity in complexity (Leon et al. 2015), the influence of coral 101 colony morphology on complexity (Burns et al. 2015, Figueira et al. 2015), and the relationship 102 between fish abundance and structural complexity (González-Rivero et al. 2017, Ferrari et al. 103 2018).

104 One of the most promising applications of SfM is the ability to measure structural 105 complexity across a range of scales. The substrate of a coral reef is comprised of structures that 106 span several orders of magnitude in size, and these structures influence the biology and ecology 107 of reef organisms (Hatcher et al. 1987, Nash et al. 2014, Levenstein et al. 2022). For instance, the 108 way in which organisms interact with the structure of the reef depends on their body size. This 109 phenomenon, termed the textural discontinuity hypothesis, was first noted by Holling to describe 110 the size distribution of birds and mammals in relation to habitat structure (Holling 1992), and has 111 since been shown to apply to coral reef fish assemblages as well (Hixon & Beets, 1993, Nash et 112 al. 2013). Thus, it is important to consider how structural complexity varies across a range of 113 scales in order to draw ecological inferences about reef fish assemblages (Luckhurst & 114 Luckhurst 1978, Nash et al. 2014, Fukunaga et al. 2020b, Urbina-Barreto et al. 2022), mobile 115 invertebrates (Vytopil & Willis 2001, Lee 2006) and reef-scale hydrodynamics, from boundary

layer characteristics (Levenstein et al. 2022) to wave setup (Franklin et al. 2013, Monismith et al.
2013).

118 Prior to the widespread use of SfM, several studies used *in situ* methods to quantify 119 structural complexity across multiple scales. Chains with links of various sizes have been used to 120 examine the scale dependence of linear rugosity (Knudby & LeDrew 2007), and wheels of 121 varying diameter have been used to quantify the length of reef contours at different scales (Nash 122 et al. 2013, Richardson et al. 2017). SfM enables researchers to efficiently measure reef structure 123 at high resolution over a large extent of habitat with minimal effort in the field. Importantly, 124 researchers can use this data to calculate structural metrics at different scales (Bryson et al. 2017, 125 Fukunaga et al. 2020a), eliminating the need to identify a single measurement scale in situ.

126 While linear rugosity is the most widely used metric of coral reef structural complexity 127 (Graham & Nash 2013), fractal dimension is arguably a more relevant metric because it accounts 128 for the scale dependence of reef structure (Fukunaga et al. 2020a). Fractal dimension is a 129 measure of an object's ability to fill Euclidean space and describes the complexity of a shape 130 (Mandelbrot 1983, Sugihara & May 1990, Halley et al. 2004). In the context of linear structural 131 complexity, fractal dimension represents the rate at which the apparent length of the reef contour 132 increases as the resolution of measurement becomes finer, and can be calculated using 133 measurements of linear rugosity made at different levels of resolution (Nash et al. 2013). In 134 ecological terms, fractal dimension is related to the amount space along the reef contour that is 135 available to organisms of a certain size class, and may change depending on the scale of 136 measurement and characteristics of the underlying substrate (Sugihara & May 1990, Tokeshi & 137 Arakaki 2012). For example, if the contour of a coral colony is measured at both 1 cm and 10 cm 138 resolution, the difference between the lengths of those contours can be attributed to structural

features of the colony that are between 1 cm and 10 cm in size (Figure 1). In this sense, fractal
dimension can be used to partition complexity by scale, quantify the abundance of structures
(and thus habitat availability) within a given size range, and contextualize the gain or loss of
structural complexity over time.

143 Few studies have utilized multi-scale patterns of structural complexity to gain insights 144 into coral reef ecology, geology, and natural history. While some studies have used fractal 145 dimension to study coral reef structural complexity across multiple scales (Bradbury 1984, Nash 146 et al. 2013, Young et al. 2017, Fukunaga et al. 2020a), these studies were either limited in their 147 geographic scope or calculated a single value of fractal dimension across a large range of scales. 148 Specifically, this study addresses the following questions: 1) how does the fractal dimension of 149 the reef substrate change across scales, 2) do biotic and abiotic reef structures exhibit different 150 patterns of fractal dimension across scales, and 3) do different coral genera and growth forms 151 exhibit distinct site- and patch-scale patterns of fractal dimension? Here we use the term biotic 152 structure to refer to structure created by living or recently living benthic organisms, and the term 153 abiotic structure to refer to reef geomorphology created by either geologic or biogenic processes 154 over longer timescales (i.e., spur and groove formations, boulder fields, etc.). We demonstrate 155 that our approach can be used to identify the scale(s) where reef structure is most complex, 156 which allows us to determine the relative importance of biotic and abiotic processes in creating 157 habitat. This in turn can inform decisions about what scales are most relevant for monitoring 158 changes in coral reef structural complexity over time. To our knowledge, this study represents 159 one of the first archipelago-scale efforts to partition coral reef structural complexity into different 160 scale intervals using fractal dimension and SfM.

161

¹⁶² **2. Methods**

163

164 <u>2.1 Study design</u>

165 This analysis of biotic and abiotic drivers of structural complexity is based on data from 166 coral reefs in the Main Hawaiian Islands (MHI) and Northwest Hawaiian Islands (NWHI). The 167 Hawaiian archipelago is an ideal location for this study because it spans a gradient of latitude 168 (from 18.9°N to 28.4°N) and geologic age (from 0.4 million years [Hawai'i] to 29.8 million 169 vears [Kure Atoll]; Clague 1996), with older high latitude reefs in the northwest and younger low 170 latitude reefs in the southeast. Millions of years of weathering, erosion, and subsidence have 171 shaped the geomorphology of older islands and atolls, which can be reasonably expected to have 172 different nearshore topography than younger islands. Similarly, coral cover varies throughout the 173 archipelago as a function of latitude: coral cover and coral growth decrease as latitude increases 174 (Vroom & Braun 2010, Jouffray et al. 2015), reaching a threshold north of Kure Atoll beyond 175 which the rate of coral growth drops below the rate of reef subsidence (Grigg 1982). Baseline-176 surveys in the archipelago have demonstrated the effect of both geology and latitude on 177 Hawaiian benthic communities and fish assemblages (Rooney et al. 2008, Friedlander et al. 178 2009, Vroom & Braun 2010, Jouffray et al. 2015). Thus, the Hawaiian archipelago represents a 179 unique opportunity to explore the effects of biology and geomorphology on coral reef structural 180 complexity.

We surveyed 65 forereef sites spanning six of the MHI (Hawai'i, Maui, Kaho'olawe,
Lāna'i, Moloka'i, and O'ahu) and four atolls in the NWHI (French Frigate Shoals, Lisianski,
Pearl & Hermes Reef, and Kure; Figure 2). Sites were surveyed between July 2016 and August
2017 as part of five separate research expeditions. The distance between sites varied by island,

185	but the median distance between neighboring sites was 4.83 km, with the closest sites located
186	1.11 km apart. Sites had a mean depth of 11.3 m (range from 4.5 m to 17.7 m, SD of 2.7 m) and
187	were located on the leeward side of islands in the MHI to control for the effect of wave energy
188	on coral assemblages. We did not restrict sampling to leeward coastlines for the NWHI, since
189	past studies have found forereef zonation patterns in the NWHI to be complex and variable
190	between atolls (Vroom et al. 2005, Schopmeyer et al. 2011), suggesting that leeward vs.
191	windward exposure may not be the primary driver of benthic community composition in the
192	NWHI, as it is in the MHI. The number of sites per island was standardized by the amount of
193	nearshore habitat, using the length of an island's leeward 10 m isobath as a proxy (or total 10 m
194	isobath for the NWHI). The number of sites per island ranged from 11 (Hawai'i) to 4 (Kure,
195	Lisianski, and French Frigate Shoals; Figure S1).

196

197 <u>2.2 Field procedures</u>

198 We used SfM to construct a 3D model of each forereef site from underwater photographs. 199 The field procedures for establishing and photographing sites using a SfM workflow have been 200 previously documented (Sandin et al. 2020) and are only briefly described here. At each site, a 201 team of divers placed six calibration tiles to delineate the boundaries of a 10 x 10 m site (three 202 tiles per inshore site boundary; Figure S2). Divers recorded the depth of each tile and placed four 203 50 cm scale bars within the site's boundaries. Following site setup, one diver swam 204 approximately 1.5 m above the benthos carrying a custom-built camera rig. At the majority of 205 sites, the camera rig was equipped with two D7000 SLR Nikon cameras (18 mm and 55 mm 206 lenses) in Ikelite underwater housings. Sites from two expeditions were photographed using a 207 different camera setup (35 mm lens DSLR Nikon and a GoPro 11). To account for differences

between these camera setups, we excluded sites (n = 4) where low quality GoPro imagery led to
errors in model reconstruction (i.e., model warping, erroneous "floating" points). Cameras were
programmed to take a photograph every second, recording approximately 5,000 images per site.
The diver carrying the camera rig swam slowly in a gridded pattern to ensure maximal overlap
between successive images, and swam several meters beyond the edge of the 10 x 10 m site to
ensure full coverage and minimize edge distortion.

214

215 <u>2.3 Model construction and data collection</u>

216 Photographs from each site were used to generate a dense point cloud reconstruction of the coral reef benthos using the commercially available software *Metashape* (Agisoft LLC., St. 217 Petersburg, Russia). While other SfM studies commonly convert these point clouds into "mesh" 218 reconstructions of the benthos, mesh outputs require interpolation which can introduce structural 219 error, especially in high relief areas. Since SfM cannot reconstruct regions of the reef where 220 overlap between photos is limited, the resulting point cloud is in actuality a 2.5D representation 221 of the reef surface, with empty space in areas with limited photo coverage (e.g., overhangs, the 222 interstitial space of a branching coral colony). To best account for this error and avoid 223 224 interpolation, we opt to measure linear rugosity directly from the point cloud itself, since the point cloud represents the most geometrically accurate reconstruction of the substrate. We use 225 linear rugosity, a one-dimensional measurement of structural complexity, because linear rugosity 226 227 calculations require no interpolation, are easily interpretable and computationally transparent, and are comparable with *in situ* approaches such as a profile gauge. Furthermore, these factors 228 229 make it transparent to interpret cross-scale patterns of rugosity, which is the crux of this study.

230 We analyzed the point cloud directly using a custom-built software, Viscore (Petrovic et al. 2014). Once the point cloud for each site was constructed, we used *Viscore* to perform all 231 further postprocessing and analysis. As a precursor to any analysis, we oriented each point cloud 232 with respect to the sea surface using *in situ* depth measurements and adjusted the scale using the 233 50 cm scale bars as a reference. After scaling and orienting the point cloud, we used the Virtual 234 Point Intercept tool (VPI) to analyze benthic community composition and the Virtual Profile 235 Gauge tool (VPG) to measure structural complexity. Both VPI and VPG are designed as virtual 236 analogues of traditional *in situ* survey methods (random point intercept method and a profile 237 238 gauge, respectively). The mechanics of these *Viscore* tools are described in detail elsewhere (Fox et al. 2019 for VPI, supplemental materials for VPG) and are described in limited detail here. 239 240 We used VPI to assess benthic composition within each 10 x 10 m site following a 241 stratified random sampling design. We chose these dimensions to match the footprint of our 242 rugosity measurements and because this scale has been shown to be sufficient to capture benthic 243 patchiness and taxonomic heterogeneity on coral reefs (Palma et al. 2017). For this study, we 244 divided each site into a 32 x 32 cell grid and used VPI to identify a single random point from 245 within each grid cell, resulting in approximately 10 points/ m^2 (Dumas et al. 2009, Figure S3). A 246 single researcher with training in Hawaiian benthic taxonomy identified the substrate under each 247 random point by referencing the raw imagery used to generate the point cloud. We identified 248 points to the finest taxonomic resolution possible, typically either to genus or species level, and 249 then grouped these IDs into one of six functional categories: turf algae, macroalgae, crustose 250 coralline algae, sand, coral, or other. The "other" category included cryptic encrusting organisms 251 not considered to contribute meaningfully to structural complexity on Hawaiian reefs (primarily 252 zoanthids, sponges, and tunicates), and only once exceeded 1% of the total benthic cover. For

²⁵³ points landing on coral, we recorded the growth form of the colony as well (branching,

encrusting, massive, plating, or corymbose).

255 We used VPG to measure the structural complexity of each site. To mimic a profile 256 gauge (McCormick 1994), VPG drops virtual rods orthogonally from the plane describing the 257 sea surface onto the point cloud below (Figure 1). Each rod records the depth of the first (i.e., 258 shallowest) point encountered in the point cloud at the given two-dimensional coordinate. Virtual 259 rods are arranged continuously along a transect, and the spacing between the center of each rod 260 is equivalent to the resolution of the profile gauge. The contour distance along each profile gauge 261 is simply the sum of the Euclidean distances between adjacent rod termini. We calculated the 262 linear rugosity for each profile gauge by dividing the contour distance by the length of the profile 263 gauge. To minimize the impact of substrate slope on rugosity, we measured reef contours in the 264 alongshore rather than inshore direction (Figure S7). We measured reef structure using 100 265 virtual profile gauges per site, each with a resolution of 0.5 cm. Profile gauges were 10.24 m 266 long to enable data sub setting by a base two geometric series (to simulate 10 different 267 resolutions of measurement, from 0.5 cm to 256 cm) and were spaced 10.24 cm apart. These 268 settings were chosen to assess the structural complexity of benthic communities across a range of 269 scales: 10.24 m profile gauges are long enough to intersect numerous coral colonies or other 270 structural features, and the minimum resolution of 0.5 cm is detailed enough to capture intra-271 colony detail while approaching the resolution limits of the point cloud. Sub-setting profile 272 gauges via a base two geometric series from 0.5 cm to 256 cm created equivalent scale intervals 273 on the log-log plot of linear rugosity vs. resolution, which simplified calculations of fractal 274 dimension (Nash et al. 2013). The choice of 100 transects per site reflected a tradeoff between 275 exhaustive sampling and computational efficiency. For each site, we averaged the linear rugosity

276	of all 100 profile gauges to obtain a single site-level value of linear rugosity at each level of
277	resolution. We did not quantify site-level variance of linear rugosity due to spatial
278	autocorrelation of neighboring profile gauges. Any gaps in the point cloud or missing depth
279	values were excluded from linear rugosity calculations (Figure S6).
280	We also measured the structural complexity of the most common coral morphotypes
281	(branching Montipora spp., encrusting Montipora spp., branching Porites spp., massive Porites
282	spp., and encrusting Porites spp.) and non-living substrate (dead coral skeletons and sand/rubble)
283	across a range of scales. Using a subset of our 65 models, we identified patches of reef with
284	uniform benthic composition and measured the rugosity of each patch using a 3 m long virtual
285	profile gauge.
286	

287 <u>2.4 Fractal dimension</u>

288 We used linear rugosity measurements from 10 different levels of resolution to calculate 289 the fractal dimension (D) of the substrate. We calculated fractal dimension as D = 1 - S, where S 290 is the slope between each successive rugosity value on a log-log plot of linear rugosity vs. profile 291 gauge resolution (Figure 1; Sugihara & May 1990, Nash et al. 2013). Values of D range from 1 292 to 2; D = 1 for Euclidean shapes, where contour length is constant regardless of scale, and D = 2293 for shapes where the apparent contour length increases toward infinity when measured at finer 294 and finer levels of resolution. While other studies have calculated a single value of D between 295 one fine and one coarse resolution (Fukunaga et al. 2020a), we calculated nine values of D, one 296 for each scale interval. This approach allowed us to partition structural complexity by scale and 297 frame reef structure in the context of its ecological function (i.e., habitat provisioning for 298 organisms of certain size classes; Nash et al. 2013). Before using this approach to assess the

fractal dimension of our SfM point clouds, we tested it using simulated data. We simulated reef
profiles with small-, intermediate-, and large-scale reef features of known size, and found that
values of D peaked in scale intervals that corresponded to the size of simulated reef structures
(Figure S4).

303

304 <u>2.5 Statistical analysis</u>

305 To identify the scales at which corals and reef geomorphology contribute to overall reef 306 structure throughout the Hawaiian archipelago, we constructed generalized additive models 307 (GAMs) to test the relationship between fractal dimension and three explanatory variables (coral 308 cover, RMS height, and latitude) at each scale interval. We used root mean square height (RMS 309 height), a metric that has been used to quantify topography in terrestrial settings (Shepard et al. 310 2001), as a proxy for reef geomorphology. To calculate RMS height, we calculated the distance 311 between each profile gauge rod terminus and the plane of best fit through the model, and then 312 calculated the standard deviation of these distances for each site. To test if RMS height was an 313 adequate proxy for reef geomorphology, we visually assessed the geomorphology of our models 314 and assigned each site a score between 0 (low relief) and 5 (high relief) following the methods of 315 Wilson et al. (2007). We found a strong correlation between these visual assessments of 316 geomorphology and RMS height (r = 0.865), which supports our use of RMS height as a proxy 317 for reef geomorphology. We also tested for relationships between RMS height, coral cover, and 318 latitude, and found no strong correlations between these explanatory variables.

We chose to use GAMs because fractal dimension exhibited a non-linear relationship with our explanatory variables (coral cover, RMS height, and latitude) at certain scale intervals, and based on the assumption that these variables were additive in terms of predicting our

response variable. We used a Gaussian error distribution and untransformed data. Smoothing terms were selected through generalized cross validation, and diagnostic tests indicated that a basis dimension of k = 9 was appropriate for model fit for coral cover and RMS height, while k =5 was appropriate for latitude. One site with extreme RMS height (PHR 52) had high leverage (Cooks distance >> 1), so we excluded it from this analysis.

327 To investigate the effect of coral morphotype on fractal dimension, we categorized sites 328 based on their most abundant coral genus and morphology, which resulted in the following 329 levels: branching *Montipora* reefs (n = 6), encrusting *Montipora* reefs (n = 12), branching 330 *Porites* reefs (n = 13), massive *Porites* reefs (n = 8), and encrusting *Porites* reefs (n = 2). We 331 excluded sites with less than 15% coral cover from this analysis based on natural breaks in our 332 data and the assumption that corals contribute only marginally to overall reef structure below that 333 percent cover threshold. We also excluded encrusting *Porites* sites, given the limited sample size 334 (n = 2).

335 We treated the categorical variables of coral morphotype as a fixed effect and tested for 336 differences in fractal dimension (D) between levels using analysis of variance. We performed a 337 one-way ANOVA at each scale interval using generalized least squares to explicitly account for 338 heterogeneity of variance between factor levels. Other ANOVA assumptions were met: the data 339 were normally distributed in almost all instances, and sites were separated by >1 km and could 340 thus be considered independent (i.e., sites were not close enough to overlap the same reef 341 structural features). To account for multiple comparisons, we used a reduced alpha value of $\alpha =$ 342 0.01 to consider both GAM and ANOVA results significant, and performed Tukey's test of 343 multiple comparisons to identify differences between levels for each ANOVA fixed effect. 344 Analyses were conducted using the mgcv, nlme, multcomp, and rstatix packages in R version

4.0.5 (Hothorn et al. 2008, Wood 2011, Pinheiro et al. 2018, R Core Team 2022, Kassambara
2019).

- 347
- ³⁴⁸ **3. Results**
- 349

Site-level fractal dimension varied depending on the scale interval considered, but ranged between 1.289 and 1.001 across all sites and scales. Overall, fractal dimension was highest at the 2-4 cm scale interval ($D_{2-4} = 1.145 \pm 0.064 (\pm 1 \text{ SD})$) and lowest at the 128-256 cm scale interval ($D_{128-256} = 1.017 \pm 0.015$).

354

355 <u>3.1 Coral cover and fractal dimension</u>

356 We found a significant relationship between total coral cover and fractal dimension at all 357 scales finer than 16 cm (Figure 3). At these scales, adjusted R^2 values ranged from 0.584 (0.5-1 358 cm scale) to 0.666 (4-8 cm scale; Figure 4). At the finest scale intervals (0.5-4 cm), fractal 359 dimension increased monotonically with coral cover, while at coarser scales (4-16 cm) fractal 360 dimension was highest at reefs with intermediate coral cover, and lower at reefs with very high 361 or very low coral cover. For example, at the 8-16 cm scale interval, the fractal dimension of reefs 362 with >70% coral cover had comparable fractal dimension to reefs with 20% coral cover (Figure 363 3).

When considering only sites with >20% coral cover, fractal dimension did not exhibit a significant relationship with coral cover at any scale. However, differences between sites became apparent when the identity of specific corals was considered, rather than total percent coral cover. Using 3 m long profile gauges to measure reef structure at the patch scale, we found

different patterns of fractal dimension between genera (*Porites* and *Montipora*) and growth
forms (branching, encrusting, and massive; Figure 5A). Branching corals in particular displayed
higher fractal dimension across scales than massive or encrusting corals. We found that skeletons
of dead corals also created reef structure; patch-scale measurements of coral skeletons produced
values of fractal dimension comparable to those of encrusting corals. Surveys of coral rubble and
sand patches produced the lowest values of fractal dimension of all benthic categories
considered.

375 Analysis of reef structure at the site-scale mirrored patch-scale results, with significant 376 differences in fractal dimension emerging for different coral morphotypes at seven of nine scale 377 intervals (Figure 5B; Table S1). Reefs where branching *Porites* was most abundant were most 378 structurally complex overall and had significantly higher fractal dimension than all other types of 379 reefs at three scale intervals (1-2 cm, 2-4 cm, and 4-8 cm). Conversely, branching Montipora 380 reefs had moderate fractal dimension at small scale intervals but the lowest fractal dimension of 381 all reef types at scale intervals larger than 4 cm. Reefs characterized by encrusting Montipora 382 had similar fractal dimension to branching *Montipora* reefs at small scales but were more similar 383 to branching *Porites* reefs at larger scale intervals. Finally, reefs characterized by massive 384 *Porites* had the lowest fractal dimension of all reef types at scales finer than 4 cm, but 385 increasingly high fractal dimension relative to other reefs at larger scale intervals. While patterns 386 of fractal dimension varied across scales, the maximum fractal dimension for all reef types was 387 observed in the 2-4 cm scale range ($D_{2-4} = 1.240 \pm 0.037$ for branching *Porites*, $D_{2-4} = 1.170 \pm 0.037$ for branching *Porites*, $D_{2-4} = 0.037 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.037$ for branching *Porites*, $D_{2-4} = 0.037 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.037$ for branching *Porites*, $D_{2-4} = 0.037 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.037$ for branching *Porites*, $D_{2-4} = 0.037 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.037$ for branching *Porites*, $D_{2-4} = 0.037 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.037$ for branching *Porites*, $D_{2-4} = 0.037 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.037$ for branching *Porites*, $D_{2-4} = 0.037 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.037 \pm 0.037$ for branching *Porites*, $D_{2-4} = 0.037 \pm 0.037$ 388 0.017 for branching *Montipora*, $D_{2-4} = 1.192 \pm 0.030$ for encrusting *Montipora*, and $D_{2-4} = 1.146$ 389 ± 0.039 for massive *Porites*). Average coral cover differed between reef types as well: reefs 390 dominated by branching *Montipora* had the highest average coral cover (75.7% \pm 6.3), followed

by encrusting *Montipora* reefs (56.6% \pm 14.1), branching *Porites* reefs (38.9% \pm 15.1), and massive *Porites* reefs (26.1% \pm 8.4).

393

394 <u>3.2 RMS height and fractal dimension</u>

We found a significant relationship between RMS height and fractal dimension at all scale intervals coarser than 16 cm (Figure 3). At these scales, adjusted R^2 values peaked at the 64-128 cm scale interval ($R^2 = 0.708$). At the coarsest scale intervals, fractal dimension increased with increasing RMS height until a reaching a threshold of 40-50 cm RMS height, above which fractal dimension either leveled off or began to decrease.

400

401 <u>3.3 Latitudinal trends</u>

402 Coral cover and RMS height varied between sites and displayed regional patterns (Figure 403 2). Average coral cover was highest in the Maui Nui region (Moloka'i: $65.6\% \pm 7.6$, Lāna'i: 404 $57.6\% \pm 25.1$, Kaho'olawe: $48.1\% \pm 30.5$, Maui: $40.8\% \pm 13.6$) and lowest in the westernmost 405 atolls of the NWHI (Kure: $6.4\% \pm 3.8$, Pearl & Hermes Atoll: $5.7\% \pm 5.8$). Other islands had 406 intermediate levels of coral cover (Hawai'i: 17.9% \pm 7.7, O'ahu: 13.7 % \pm 15.4, French Frigate 407 Shoals: $15.1\% \pm 19.2$, Lisianski: $31.5\% \pm 17.4$). Conversely, islands at both ends of the 408 archipelago had sites with the largest RMS height (Hawai'i: $36.67 \text{ cm} \pm 16.74$, Kaho'olawe: 409 $34.55 \text{ cm} \pm 13.93$, Lisianski: $31.62 \text{ cm} \pm 5.85$, Pearl & Hermes: $46.50 \text{ cm} \pm 34.99$, Kure: 36.27410 $cm \pm 16.38$) while islands in the middle of the archipelago had sites with the lowest RMS height 411 (Maui: 23.24 cm \pm 6.62, Lāna'i: 24.12 cm \pm 7.54, Moloka'i: 19.35 cm \pm 6.89, O'ahu: 15.55 cm \pm 412 9.75, French Frigate Shoals: $23.02 \text{ cm} \pm 6.06$)

413	Fractal dimension also varied throughout the island chain and was significantly related to
414	latitude at most scale intervals (0.5-64 cm). At these scales, low latitude reefs from Hawai'i and
415	the Maui Nui region generally had the highest fractal dimension, with the lowest fractal
416	dimension at reefs from O'ahu and intermediate fractal dimension in the NWHI (Figure 3).
417	Latitude was a significant predictor of fractal dimension at all scales that coral cover was
418	significant, and two of the four scale intervals where RMS height was significant (16-32 cm, 32-
419	64 cm).
420	
421	4. Discussion
422	
423	Studying the fractal dimension of reef contours across a range of scales can help to
424	contextualize the role of biotic and abiotic processes in creating structure on reefs. Notably, we
425	found a significant relationship between coral cover and fractal dimension at scales finer than 16
426	cm, which demonstrates that biotic processes primarily create reef structure at fine scales.
427	Conversely, we found a significant relationship between fractal dimension and RMS height, our
428	proxy for reef geomorphology, only at scales greater than 16 cm, suggesting that abiotic
429	processes are primarily responsible for creating reef structure at larger scales. Across all sites,
430	fractal dimension was highest at the 2-4 cm scale interval, and reefs overall had higher fractal
431	dimension at small scales than larger scales. Additionally, we found that increases in coral cover
432	do not necessarily correspond to increases in fractal dimension. For instance, at the 4-8 cm and
433	8-16 cm scale intervals, reefs with intermediate (30-60%) coral cover had the highest fractal
434	dimension.

435 Our results demonstrate that the influence of biotic and abiotic processes on reef 436 structural complexity can be detected across distinct and finite ranges of scales. In the Hawaiian 437 archipelago, the relative influence of biotic and abiotic processes on reef structure appears to 438 transition around the 16 cm scale (Figure 4). Previous studies have identified abrupt shifts in 439 cross-scale patterns of reef fractal dimension at a similar range of scales: between 16 cm and 32 440 cm in the Seychelles (Nash et al. 2013) and between 10 cm and 20 cm in the Great Barrier Reef 441 (Bradbury 1984). These similarities suggest that a transition zone between biotic structure and 442 abiotic structure may be a widespread characteristic of coral reefs at this range of scales, 443 although the precise boundaries of this transition zone will likely shift in response to coral 444 colony size structure and community composition. In the context of the textural discontinuity 445 hypothesis, this suggests that small and large bodied reef organisms may be interacting with 446 different structural features in their habitat, features that are created by distinct processes and 447 change in response to distinct environmental forcings.

448 Researchers should be cognizant of this transition zone. Measurements with a resolution 449 of centimeters will be more ecologically relevant for studies focused on biotic structure than 450 measurements with a resolution of decimeters or meters, which may not be able to detect fine-451 scale structural changes. While other researchers have used remote sensing data with meter 452 resolution to characterize reef structure over large spatial extents in the MHI (Asner et al. 2021), 453 our results suggest that measurements with such coarse resolution will lack the sensitivity needed 454 to characterize many changes in biotic reef structure over time. High-resolution data collected 455 over spatial extents of 100s of square meters, such as the SfM models used here, provide the 456 resolution needed to track fine-scale changes in reef structure over ecologically relevant areas. 457 Integrating these data with LIDAR or satellite-based remote sensing (Swetnam et al. 2018, Deng

et al. 2019, Slocum et al. 2020) could enable researchers to answer additional questions across an
even broader range of scales (i.e., entire coastlines to islands). However, when used in isolation,
these coarser-scale remote sensing approaches appear to be insufficient for characterizing biotic
structural change on reefs.

462 It is important to note that using fractal dimension to quantify coral reef complexity does 463 not mean that coral reefs are fractal structures (Halley et al. 2004). For an object to be considered 464 fractal, it must exhibit self-similarity and scale invariance, meaning that any part of the object 465 must resemble the entire object and that patterns reoccur across scales (Mandelbrot 1983, Purkis 466 et al. 2006). By this definition, coral reefs are not fractals: reefs are composed of a diversity of 467 biotic and abiotic structures that are not self-similar (i.e., a corallite doesn't necessarily resemble 468 a coral colony). Additionally, our results demonstrate that rugosity does not exhibit a power law 469 relationship with measurement resolution across all scale intervals (Figure 5), which violates the 470 condition of scale invariance for fractals. Instead, the departure from a true power law 471 relationship that we observed in this study indicates that more structural complexity exists at 472 certain scale intervals than others. However, while objects in nature are rarely if ever truly 473 fractal, they may exhibit fractal properties across a finite range of scales (Halley et al. 2004, 474 Purkis et al. 2006).

We utilized a fractal approach to identify the relative contribution of coral cover and geomorphology to overall reef structure across a latitudinal gradient in the Hawaiian archipelago. With the exception of O'ahu, the MHI had greater fractal dimension than the NWHI at scales associated with coral cover (smaller than 16 cm). This is consistent with our benthic cover analysis, which showed that Moloka'i, Lāna'i, Maui, and Kaho'olawe had the highest levels of coral cover in the island chain, while atolls in the NWHI had low or intermediate coral cover.

481 Despite having some of the most pristine reefs in the world, the NWHI are characterized by 482 relatively low coral cover (Friedlander et al. 2009, Vroom & Braun 2010), due in part to their 483 northerly location. Corals on high latitude reefs, such as the NWHI, face greater competition 484 with macroalgae and more extreme temperature fluctuations, factors that reduce coral growth 485 rates, accretion, and reproductive capacity (Kleypas et al. 1999, Abdo et al. 2012). However, it is 486 important to note that other studies have found coral cover in the NWHI to be patchy, with 487 concentrated areas of up to 80% coral cover in some locations and higher cover in lagoons than 488 forereef habitats (Vroom & Braun 2010). Given that our surveys were confined to the forereef of 489 four atolls, our results may not paint a complete picture of coral-driven complexity on NWHI 490 reefs.

491 The non-linear relationship between fractal dimension and latitude may be the result of 492 anthropogenic impact (i.e., low coral cover and low fractal dimension on reefs around human 493 population centers in O'ahu) and the geologic history of the island chain. As recently as 20,000 494 years ago, the islands of Moloka'i, Lāna'i, Kaho'olawe and Maui were connected as a single 495 island known as Maui Nui (Price & Elliott-Fisk 2004, Field et al. 2019). As a result of their 496 separation, the channels separating these islands are shallow and the reefs are geologically 497 young, which may explain why many of our sites from the Maui Nui region had relatively low 498 RMS height. The placement of these islands also shields their coastlines from wave energy 499 (Field et al. 2019), allowing dense monocultures of Porites compressa and Montipora capitata to 500 form in sheltered and relatively flat nearshore environments. This contrasts sharply with the 501 island of Hawai'i, which has steep nearshore topography and is still actively volcanizing (Clague 502 & Dalrymple 1987). This geologic history was evident in several of our sites from the south-west 503 coast, which had large boulders, steep topography, and high fractal dimension at large scales.

504 Although several sites in the NWHI also displayed steep underlying topography, the 505 geomorphology of these reefs differs from that of younger islands. The underlying volcanic rock 506 forming the NWHI has long since subsided, and is now capped by limestone from millions of 507 years of reef growth to form atolls (Rooney et al. 2008). Any extreme topography at these atolls 508 is a result of erosion or growth of the reef itself, rather than the geomorphology of the original 509 island. Baseline studies in the region have noted that islands in the northwest end of the 510 archipelago have more pronounced spur and groove geomorphology (Friedlander et al. 2009), 511 which could be a result of greater exposure to wave energy (Rooney et al. 2008). So, while reefs 512 at both ends of the archipelago have more extreme RMS height, the drivers shaping reef 513 geomorphology are likely different.

514 In addition to detecting differences in reef structure across a latitudinal gradient, we also 515 detected differences in coral-driven structure at the scale of monospecific patches and entire 516 100m² sites. Our finding that branching *Porites* creates the most structure of the selected coral 517 morphotypes is consistent with other studies (Richardson et al. 2017, Burns et al. 2019) and 518 suggests that branching *Porites* represents a keystone structure forming taxon on Hawaiian reefs. 519 Patch and site-scale results were largely consistent, with the exception of encrusting *Montipora*, 520 which had lower fractal dimension at the patch scale than the site scale. One explanation for this 521 observation is that reefs dominated by encrusting Montipora tended to have higher percent cover 522 of Porites, while reefs dominated by branching Montipora had almost no Porites cover. This 523 suggests that communities with a greater diversity of coral genera and growth forms may provide 524 greater amounts of structure than monospecific reefs, even if coral cover on monospecific reefs 525 is high. Indeed, percent cover of live corals was twice as high on branching *Montipora* reefs than 526 on branching *Porites* reefs, yet branching *Porites* reefs had higher fractal dimension at nearly all

scales. By examining the fractal dimension of different coral communities, we were able to
detect differences in structure that were not apparent when comparing reefs based on their total
amount of coral cover alone. Taken together, these results support the findings of past studies
that have found that structural complexity is not merely a function of coral cover (Álvarez-Filip
et al. 2011, Richardson et al. 2017, González-Barrios & Álvarez-Filip 2018, Burns et al. 2019);
the habitat a reef creates is dependent on the genera and growth form of its corals.

533 The ability to detect growth form and genus-specific patterns of fractal dimension is 534 important because benthic communities are dynamic and shift in response to both anthropogenic 535 and biophysical drivers (Gove et al. 2013, Jouffray et al. 2015, Jouffray et al. 2019). Donovan et 536 al. (2018) demonstrated the existence of multiple reef regimes in the MHI and found that reefs 537 may switch between regimes over time. Similarly, Rodgers et al. (2015) documented a change in 538 the relative abundance of coral species in the MHI between 1999 and 2012 (encrusting 539 Montipora spp. increased in abundance while P. compressa, the primary form of branching 540 *Porites* in Hawai'i, decreased). It is possible that community composition in the MHI has shifted 541 further since 2012, especially given that a severe bleaching event occurred in the archipelago 542 from 2014-2015 (Couch et al. 2017, Chung et al. 2019). As ocean temperatures continue to 543 warm, climate change is expected to impact benthic communities on coral reefs (Hoegh-544 Guldberg et al. 2007, Hughes et al. 2018, Eakin et al. 2019), which may in turn impact structural 545 complexity due to a loss of total coral cover or a shift in the dominance of certain coral species 546 (Burns et al. 2016, Ferrari et al. 2016, Wilson et al. 2019). Given our findings that coral 547 skeletons contribute to overall reef structure, we expect that the scale and magnitude of structural 548 change following bleaching events (where coral tissue is lost but coral skeletons remain for 549 several years; Couch et al. 2017) would differ from disturbances such as storms (where the

underlying coral skeleton may be destroyed; Burns et al. 2016). Because bleaching, storms, and
shifts in community composition may impact reef structure and habitat availability in different
ways, it will be important to employ multi-scale methods to contextualize these changes over
time using a variety of remote sensing approaches. Furthermore, since biotic structures are likely
more vulnerable to climatic disturbance than abiotic structures and create structure at smaller
scales, our results reaffirm the need for fine-scale structural complexity measurements to assess
the resilience of biotic reef structure across space and time.

- 557
- ⁵⁵⁸ **5.** Conclusion
- 559

560 Our findings demonstrate that multi-scale analyses of structural complexity can provide 561 much needed context about the degree to which biotic and abiotic processes contribute to 562 structural complexity on coral reefs. By using fractal dimension to partition reef structure into a 563 series of scale intervals, we were able to estimate the role of corals and reef geomorphology in 564 creating reef structure across a latitudinal gradient in the Hawaiian archipelago. Interpreting 565 these findings in the context of the textural discontinuity hypothesis, our results can serve as a 566 proxy for habitat availability for reef organisms of different size classes. However, while several 567 studies have shown that the relationship between fish assemblages and reef structure is 568 dependent on body size (Friedlander & Parrish 1998, Harborne et al. 2012, Nash et al. 2013, 569 Agudo-Adriani et al. 2019), further research using fractal methods is needed to test whether the 570 textural discontinuity hypothesis holds true for reef fish assemblages across a wide range of 571 oceanographic conditions, human impacts, and geographies.

572	Furthermore, our study highlights the limitations of structural complexity studies
573	conducted at a single scale. These approaches paint a singular picture of reef structure that is
574	relevant to only one size class of reef organisms. When time or resources prevent research
575	programs from using multiple scales of measurement, care should be taken to choose the
576	appropriate scale for the ecological question at hand. However, with technological advances
577	made possible by SfM, it is increasingly practical to conduct multi-scale studies of numerous
578	ecological phenomena, including structural complexity. This study highlights how SfM can be
579	harnessed to expand the utility of existing metrics, such as linear rugosity and fractal dimension,
580	to allow us to learn something new that would not be feasible using conventional field
581	approaches. SfM will allow researchers to innovate and learn more from coral reefs than was
582	ever possible before, with direct applications to reef ecology and conservation.
583	
584	Acknowledgements
585	
586	We would like to thank Lindsay Bonito, Zach Caldwell, Samantha Clements, Eric Conklin,
587	Clinton Edwards, Emily Kelly, Christian McDonald, Nicole Pedersen, Chris Sullivan, Melissa
588	Torres, Darla White, and Brian Zgliczynski for their help with data collection in the field. We
589	also thank the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, the Hawai'i Division of
590	Aquatic Resources, and The Nature Conservancy - Hawai'i, and the Center for Marine
591	Biodiversity and Conservation for field and logistical support, as well as the staff of all research
592	vessels involved including the Aloha Kai, Alyce C, and Hi'ialikai. Special thanks to Nicole
593	Pedersen for her help with 3D model construction and data storage, and to Adi Khen for creating
594	the coral artwork used in Figures 1 and 5. Brice Semmens and Greg Rouse also provided

595	valuable input during the early stages of project conception. We would also like to thank the two
596	anonymous reviewers whose feedback helped improve this study. This work was made possible
597	by the efforts of the 100 Island Challenge, which has been supported by various organizations
598	including the Scripps Family Foundation and the Moore Family Foundation. In addition, this
599	work was also supported by the Bohn Family Foundation and Orion's NSF Graduate Research
600	Program Fellowship. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
601	
602	Literature Cited
603	
604	Abdo DA, Bellchambers LM, Evans SN. (2012) Turning up the heat: Increasing temperature and
605	coral bleaching at the high latitude coral reefs of the Houtman Abrolhos Islands. PLoS
606	ONE 7(8): e43878. 10.1371/journal.pone.0043878.
607	Agudo-Adriani E, Cappelletto J, Cavada-Blanco F, Cróquer A. (2019). Structural complexity and
608	benthic cover explain reef-scale variability of fish assemblages in Los Roques National
609	Park, Venezuela. Frontiers in Marine Science, 6, 1-12.
610	Álvarez-Filip L, Côté I, Gill J, Watkinson A, Dulvy N. (2011). Region-wide temporal and spatial
611	variation in Caribbean reef architecture: Is coral cover the whole story? Global Change
612	Biology, 17(7), 2470-2477.
613	Anderson K, Westoby M, James M. (2019). Low-budget topographic surveying comes of age:
614	Structure from Motion photogrammetry in geography and the geosciences. Progress in
615	<i>Physical Geography</i> , <i>43</i> (2), 163-173.

- Asner GP, Vaughn NR, Foo SA, Shafron E, Heckler J, Martin RE. (2021). Abiotic and human
- drivers of reef habitat complexity throughout the Main Hawaiian Islands. *Frontiers in Marine Science*. 10.3389/fmars.2021.631842
- Bayley D, Mogg A, Koldewey H, Purvis A. (2019). Capturing complexity: Field-testing the use
- 620 of 'structure from motion' derived virtual models to replicate standard measures of reef
- 621 physical structure. *PeerJ*, 7:e6540. 10.7717/peerj.6540
- Bozec Y, Álvarez-Filip L, Mumby P. (2015). The dynamics of architectural complexity on coral
 reefs under climate change. *Global Change Biology*, *21*(1), 223-235.
- Bradbury R, Reichelt R, Green D. (1984). Fractals in ecology: methods and interpretation.
- 625 *Marine Ecology Progress Series, 14, 295-296.*
- 626 Bryson M, Ferrari R, Figueira W, Pizarro O, Madin J, Williams S, Byrne M. (2017).
- 627 Characterization of measurement errors using structure-from-motion and
- photogrammetry to measure marine habitat structural complexity. *Ecology and Evolution*,
 7(15), 5669-5681.
- Burns J, Delparte D, Gates R, Takabayashi M. (2015). Integrating structure-from-motion
- 631 photogrammetry with geospatial software as a novel technique for quantifying 3D

ecological characteristics of coral reefs. *PeerJ*, *3:e1077*, 1-19.

- Burns J, Delparte D, Kapono L, Belt M, Gates R, Takabayashi M. (2016). Assessing the impact
- 634 of acute disturbances on the structure and composition of a coral community using
- 635 innovative 3D reconstruction techniques. *Methods in Oceanography*, *15-16*, 49-59.
- Burns J, Fukunaga A, Pascoe K, Runyan A, Craig B, Talbot J, Talbot J, Kosaki RK. (2019). 3D
- habitat complexity of coral reefs in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands is driven by coral

- assemblage structure. *ISPRS Annals of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences.* 42, 61-67.
- 640 Chung A, Wedding L, Meadows A, Moritsch M, Donovan M, Gove J, Hunter C. (2019).
- 641 Prioritizing reef resilience through spatial planning following a mass coral bleaching

642 event. *Coral Reefs*, *38*(4), 837-850.

- 643 Clague D. (1996). The growth and subsidence of the Hawaiian-Emperor volcanic chain. In D.
- 644 Clague, *The origin and evolution of the Pacific Island biotas, New Guinea to eastern*645 *Polynesia: patterns and processes* (pp. 35-50). SPB Academic Publishing.
- 646 Clague D, Dalrymple G. (1987). *The Hawaiian-Emperor volcanic chain*. US. Geological Survey
 647 Professional Paper 1350.
- 648 Couch C, Burns J, Liu G, Steward K, Gutlay T, Kenyon J, Eakin CM, Kosaki RK. (2017). Mass
- 649 coral bleaching due to unprecedented marine heatwave in Papahānaumokuākea Marine
- 650 National Monument (Northwestern Hawaiian Islands). *PLoS ONE*, *12*(9). 10.1371/
- 651 journal.pone.0185121.
- 652 Couch CS, Oliver TA, Suka R, Lamirand M, Asbury M, Amir C, Vargas-Ángel B, Winston M,
- Huntington B, Lichowski F, Halperin A, Gray A, Garriques J, Samson J. (2021)
- 654 Comparing coral colony surveys from in-water observations and Structure-from-Motion
- 655 imagery shows low methodological bias. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 8:647943.
- 656 10.3389/fmars.2021.647943.
- 657 Darling E, Graham N, Januchowski-Hartley F, Nash K, Pratchett M, Wilson S. (2017).
- 658 Relationships between structural complexity, coral traits, and reef fish assemblages.
- 659 *Coral Reefs*, *36*(2), 561-575.

660	Deng F, Rodgers M, Xie S, Dixon TH, Charbonnier S, Gallant EA, Vélez CML, Ordoñez M,
661	Malservisi R, Voss NK, Richardson JA. (2019). High-resolution DEM generation from
662	spaceborne and terrestrial remote sensing data for improved volcano hazard assessment -
663	A case study at Nevado del Ruiz, Colombia. Remote Sensing of the Environment,
664	233(111348), 1-19.
665	Dollar SJ. (1982) Wave stress and coral community structure in Hawaii. Coral Reefs, 1, 71-81.
666	Donovan M, Friedlander A, Lecky J, Jouffray J, Williams G, Wedding L, Crowder LB, Erickson
667	AL, Graham NAJ, Gove JM, Kappel CV, Karr K, Kittinger JN, Norström AV, Nyrström
668	M, Oleson KLL, Stamoulis KA, White C, Williams ID, Selkoe KA. (2018). Combining
669	fish and benthic communities into multiple regimes reveals complex reef dynamics.
670	Scientific Reports, 8(1). 10.1038/s41598-018-35057-4
671	Dumas P, Bertaud A, Peignon C, Léopold M, Pelletier D. (2009) A "quick and clean"
672	photographic method for the description of coral reef habitats. Journal of Experimental
673	Marine Biology and Ecology, 368(2), 161-168.
674	D'Urban Jackson T, Williams GJ, Walker-Springett G, Davies AJ. (2020) Three-dimensional
675	digital mapping of ecosystems: a new era in spatial ecology. Proceedings of the Royal
676	Society B, 287. 10.1098/rspb.2019.2383.
677	Eakin CM, Sweatman H, Brainard R. (2019). The 2014–2017 global-scale coral bleaching event:
678	insights and impacts. Coral Reefs, 38(4), 539-545.
679	Ferrari R, Bryson M, Bridge T, Hustache J, Williams S, Byrne M, Figueira W. (2016).
680	Quantifying the response of structural complexity and community composition to
681	environmental change in marine communities. Global Change Biology, 22(5), 1965-
682	1975.

683	Ferrari R, Figueira W, Pratchett M, Boube T, Adam A, Kobelkowsky-Vidrio T, Doo SS, Atwood
684	TB, Byrne M. (2017). 3D photogrammetry quantifies growth and external erosion of
685	individual coral colonies and skeletons. Scientific Reports, 7(1), 1-9.

- 686 Ferrari R, Malcolm H, Byrne M, Friedman A, Williams S, Schultz A, Jordan AR, Figueira W.
- 687 (2018). Habitat structural complexity metrics improve predictions of fish abundance and
 688 distribution. *Ecography*, *41*(7), 1077-1091.
- 689 Ferrari R, Lachs L, Pygas DR, Humanes A, Sommer B, Figueira WF, Edwards AJ, Bythell JC,
- Guest JR. (2021). Photogrammetry as a tool to improve ecosystem restoration. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 36*(12), 1093-1101.
- Field M, Storlazzi C, Gibbs A, D'antonio N, Cochran S. (2019). *The Major Coral Reefs of Maui Nui, Hawaii*. US Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey.
- Figueira W, Ferrari R, Weatherby E, Porter A, Hawes S, Byrne M. (2015). Accuracy and

695 precision of habitat structural complexity metrics derived from underwater

- 696 photogrammetry. *Remote Sensing*, 7(12), 16883-16900.
- 697 Fox M, Carter A, Edwards C, Takeshita Y, Johnson M, Petrovic V, Amir CG, Sala E, Sandin
- 698 SA, Smith JE. (2019). Limited coral mortality following acute thermal stress and
- 699 widespread bleaching on Palmyra Atoll, central Pacific. *Coral Reefs*, *38*(4), 701-712.
- Franklin GL, Mariño-Tapia I, Torres-Freyermuth A. (2013). Effects of reef roughness on wave
 setup and surf zone currents. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 65(2), 2005-2010.
- Friedlander A, Parrish J. (1998). Habitat characteristics affecting fish assemblages on a Hawaiian
 coral reef. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 224, 1-30.
- Friedlander A, Keller K, Wedding L, Clarke A, Monaco M. (2009). A marine biogeographic
- assessment of the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. In A. Friedlander, K. Keller, L.

706	Wedding, A. Clarke, & M. Monaco, NOAA Technical Memorandum NOS NCCOS 84.
707	Prepared by NCCOS's Biogeography Branch in cooperation with the Office of National
708	Marine Sanctuaries Papahanaumokuakea Marine National Monument. Silver Spring,
709	MD. 363 pp.
710	Fukunaga A, Burns J, Pascoe K, Kosaki R. (2020). Associations between benthic cover and
711	habitat complexity metrics obtained from 3D reconstruction of coral reefs at different
712	resolutions. Remote Sensing, 12(6). 10.3390/rs12061011
713	Fukunaga A, Kosaki RK, Pascoe KH, Burns JHR. (2020). Fish assemblage structure in the
714	Northwestern Hawaiian Islands is associated with the architectural complexity of coral-
715	reef habitats. Diversity, 12(11), 430. 10.3390/d12110430.
716	González-Barrios FJ & Álvarez-Filip L. (2018). A framework for measuring coral species-
717	specific contribution to reef functioning in the Caribbean. Ecological Indicaors 95(1),
718	877-886.
719	González-Rivero M, Harborne A, Herrera-Reveles A, Bozec Y, Rogers A, Friedman A, Ganase
720	A, Hoegh-Guldberg O. (2017). Linking fishes to multiple metrics of coral reef structural
721	complexity using three-dimensional technology. Scientific Reports, 7(1), 1-15.
722	Gove J, Williams G, McManus M, Heron S, Sandin SA, Vetter O, Foley D. (2013). Quantifying
723	climatological ranges and anomalies for Pacific coral reef ecosystems. PLoS ONE, 8(4).
724	10.1371/journal.pone.0061974
725	Graham M. (2004). Effects of local deforestation on the diversity and structure of southern
726	California giant kelp forest food webs. Ecosystems, 7(4), 341-357.
727	Graham N, Nash K. (2013). The importance of structural complexity in coral reef ecosystems.
728	Coral Reefs, 32(2), 315-326.

- Graham N, Jennings S, MacNeil MA, Mouillot D, Wilson SK. (2015). Predicting climate-driven
 regime shifts versus rebound potential in coral reefs. *Nature*, *518*, 94-97.
- 731 Grigg R. (1982). Darwin point: A threshold for atoll formation. *Coral Reefs*, *1*, 29-34.
- Grigg R. (1998). Holocene coral reef accretion in Hawaii: a function of wave exposure and sea
 level history. *Coral Reefs*, *17*, 263-272.
- Halley J, Hartley S, Kallimanis A, Kunin W, Lennon J, Sgardelis S. (2004). Uses and abuses of
 fractal methodology in ecology. *Ecology Letters*, 7(3), 254-271.
- Harborne A, Mumby P, Ferrari R. (2012). The effectiveness of different meso-scale rugosity
- 737 metrics for predicting intra-habitat variation in coral-reef fish assemblages.
- *Environmental Biology of Fishes*, 94(2), 431-442.
- Hatcher B, Imberger J, Smith S. (1987). Scaling analysis of coral reef systems: an approach to
 problems of scale. *Coral Reefs*, *5*, 171-181.
- Henderson P, Robertson B. (1999). On structural complexity and fish diversity in an Amazonian
 floodplain. *Advances in Economic Botany*, 45-58.
- Hixon MA, Beets JP. (1993). Predation, prey refuges, and the structure of coral-reef fish
- assemblages. *Ecological Monographs*, 63(1), 77-101.
- Hoegh-Guldberg O, Mumby P, Hooten A, Steneck R, Greenfield P, Gomez E, Harvell CD, Sale
- 746 PF, Edwards AJ, Caldeira K, Knowlton N, Eakin CM, Iglesias-Prieto R, Muthiga N,
- Bradbury RH, Dubi A, Hatziolos ME. (2007). Coral reefs under rapid climate change and
 ocean acidification. *Science*, *318*(5857), 1737-1742.
- Holling C. (1992). Cross-scale morphology, geometry, and dynamics of ecosystems. *Ecological Monographs*, 62(4), 447-502.

Hothorn T, Bretz F, Westfall P. (2008). multcomp: Simultaneous inference in general parametric
models. Biometrical Journal, 50(3), 346-363.
Hughes T, Kerry J, Baird A, Connolly S, Dietzel A, Eakin CM, Heron SF, Hoey AS,
Hoogenboom MO, Liu G, McWilliam MJ, Pears RJ, Pratchett MS, Skirving WJ, Stella
JS, Torda G. (2018). Global warming transforms coral reef assemblages. Nature,
556(7702), 492-496.
Ishii H, Tanabe SI, Hiura T. (2004). Exploring the relationships among canopy structure, stand
productivity, and biodiversity of temperate forest ecosystems. Forest Science, 50(3), 342-
355.
Jouffray J, Nyström M, Norström A, Williams ID, Wedding L, Kittinger J, Williams G. (2015).
Identifying multiple coral reef regimes and their drivers across the hawaiian archipelago.
Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 370(1659), 1-8.
Jouffray J, Wedding L, Norström A, Donovan M, Williams G, Crowder LB, Erickson AL,
Friedlander AM, Graham NAJ, Gove JM, Kappel CV, Kittinger JN, Lecky J, Oleson
KLL, Selkoe KA, White C, Williams ID, Nyström M. (2019). Parsing human and
biophysical drivers of coral reef regimes. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
Sciences, 286(1896). 10.1098/rspb.2018.2544
Kassambara A. (2019). rstatix: Pipe-friendly framework for basic statistical tests. R package
version 0.3.1.
Kench PS, Mann T. (2017) Reef island evolution and dynamics: Insights from the Indian and
Pacific Oceans and perspectives for the Spermonde Archipelago. Frontiers in Marine
<i>Science</i> , <i>4</i> (<i>145</i>). 10.3389/fmars.2017.00145.

773	Kleypas JA, McManus JW, Menez LAB (1999) Environmental limits to coral reef development:
774	where do we draw the line? American Zoologist, 39, 146-159.
775	Knowlton N, Brainard R, Fisher R, Moews M, Plaisance L, Caley M. (2010). Coral Reef
776	Biodiversity. In N. Knowlton, R. Brainard, R. Fisher, M. Moews, L. Plaisance, M. Caley,
777	& Alasdair D. McIntyre (Ed.), Life in the World's Oceans (pp. 65-77). Blackwell
778	Publishing Ltd.
779	Knudby A, LeDrew E. (2007). Measuring structural complexity on coral reefs. Proceedings of
780	the American Academy of Underwater Sciences 26th Symposium (pp. 181-188). Dauphin
781	Island, A.L.: AAUS.
782	Kodera SM, Edwards CB, Petrovic V, Eynaud Y, Sandin SA. (2020) Quantifying life history
783	demographics of the scleractinian coral genus Pocillopora at Palmyra Atoll. Coral Reefs,
784	<i>39</i> , 1091-1105. 10.1007/s00338-020-01940-8.
785	Lee, SC. (2006) Habitat complexity and consumer-mediated positive feedbacks on a Caribbean
786	coral reef. Oikos 112(2), 442-447.
787	Leon J, Roelfsema C, Saunders M, Phinn S. (2015). Measuring coral reef terrain roughness using
788	'Structure-from-Motion' close-range photogrammetry. Geomorphology, 242, 21-28.
789	Lepczyk CA, Wedding LM, Asner GP, Pittman SJ, Goulden T, Linderman MA, Gang J, Wright
790	R. (2021). Advancing landscape and seascape ecology from a 2D to a 3D science.
791	BioScience, 71, 596-608.
792	Levenstein MA, Gysbers DJ, Marhaver KL, Kattom S, Tichy L. Quinlan Z, Tholen HM, Kelly
793	LW, Vermeij MJA, Johnson AJW, Juarez G. (2022) Millimeter-scale topography
794	facilitates coral larval settlement in wave-driven oscillatory flow. PLoS ONE 17(9).
795	10.1371/journal.pone.0274088

- Luckhurst BE, Luckhurst K. (1978). Analysis of the influence of substrate variables on coral reef
 fish communities. *Marine Biology*, 49, 317-323.
- 798 Mandelbrot B. (1983). *The fractal geometry of nature*. San Francisco: Freeman.
- 799 McCormick M. (1994). Comparison of field methods for measuring surface topography and their
- associations with a tropical reef fish assemblage. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 112,
 801 87-96.
- Monismith SG, Herdman LMM, Ahmerkamp S, Hench JL. (2013) Wave transformation and
 wave-driven flow across a steep coral reef. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, *43*(7),
 1356-1379.
- Nash K, Allen C, Angeler D, Barichievy C, Eason T, Garmestani A, Graham NAJ, Granholm D,
 Knutson M, Nelson RJ, Nyström M, Stow CA, Sundstrom SM. (2014). Discontinuities,
 cross-scale patterns, and the organization of ecosystems. *Ecology*, *95*(3), 654-667.
- Nash K, Graham N, Wilson S, Bellwood D. (2013). Cross-scale habitat structure drives fish body
 size distributions on coral reefs. *Ecosystems*, *16*(3), 478-490.
- 810 Oakley-Cogan A, Tebbett SB, Bellwood DR. (2020). Habitat zonation on coral reefs: Structural
- 811 complexity, nutritional resources and herbivorous fish distributions. *PLoS ONE 15*(6).
- 812 10.1371/journal.pone.0233498.
- Palma M, Rivas Casado M, Pantaleo U, Cerrano C. (2017) High resolution orthomosaics of
- African coral reefs: A tool for wide-scale benthic monitoring. *Remote Sensisng*, 7(705),
 1-26.
- Perry CT, Álvarez-Filip L. (2019). Changing geo-ecological functions of coral reefs in the
 Anthropocene. *Functional Ecology*, *33*, 976-988.

- 818 Petrovic V, Vanoni D, Richter A, Levy T, Kuester F. (2014). Visualizing high definition three-
- dimensional and two dimensional data of cultural heritage sites. *Mediterranean Archaeology and Archaeometry*, *14*(4), 93-100.
- 821 Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sakar D, R Core Team. (2018). nlme: Linear and nonlinear

mixed effects models. R package version 3.1-137.

- Price J, Elliott-Fisk D. (2004). Topographic history of the Maui Nui complex, Hawaii, and its
 implications for biogeography. *Pacific Science*, 58(1), 27-45.
- Purkis S, Riegl B, Dodge R. (2006). Fractal patterns of coral communities: evidence from remote
- sensing (Arabian Gulf, Dubai, U.A.E.). *Proceedings of the 10th International Coral Reef Symposium*, (pp. 1753-1762).
- R Core Team. (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria:
 R. Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- 830 Richardson L, Graham NAJ, Hoey A. (2017). Cross-scale habitat structure driven by coral
- species composition on tropical reefs. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1). 10.1038/s41598-017-

832 08109-4

- Risk M. (1972). Fish diversity on a coral reef in the Virgin Islands. *Atoll Research Bulletin*, *153*,
 1-6.
- Rodgers K, Jokiel P, Brown E, Hau S, Sparks R. (2015). Over a decade of change in spatial and
 temporal dynamics of Hawaiian coral reef communities. *Pacific Science*, 69(1), 1-13.
- 837 Rooney J, Wessel P, Hoeke R, Weiss J, Baker J, Parrish F, Fletcher C, Chojnacki J, Garcia M,
- Brainard R, Vroom P. (2008). Geology and geomorphology of coral reefs in the
- 839 Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. *Coral Reefs of the USA* (pp. 519-571). Springer
- 840 Netherlands.

841	Sandin SA, Edwards CB, Pedersen NE, Petrovic V, Pavoni G, Alcantar E, Chancellor KS, Fox
842	MD, Stallings B, Sullivan CJ, Rotjan RD, Ponchio F, Zgliczynski BJ. (2020).

Considering the rates of growth in two taxa of corals across Pacific Islands. *Advances in Marine Biology*, 87, 167-191. 10.1016/bs.amb.2020.08.006.

845 Santano J, Milton IA, Navarro B, Warren RM, Barber PH, Fong P, Fong CR. (2021). Structural

- 846 complexity shapes the behavior and abundance of a common herbivorous fish, increasing
- herbivory on a turf-dominated, fringing reef. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, *537*(151515). 10.1016/j.jembe.2021.151515.

una Ecology, 357 (151515). 10.1010/j.jeinoc.2021.151515.

- 849 Schopmeyer SA, Vroom P, Kenyon JC. (2011) Spatial and temporal comparisons of benthic
- composition at Necker Island, Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. *Pacific Science*, 65(4),
 405-417.
- Shepard M, Campbell B, Bulmer M, Farr T, Gaddis L, Plaut J. (2001). The roughness of natural
 terrain: A planetary and remote sensing perspective. *Journal of Geophysical Research E:*

854 *Planets*, 106(E12), 32777-32795.

- 855 Slocum RK, Parrish CE, Simpson CH. (2020). Combined geometric-radiometric and neural
- network approach to shallow bathymetric mapping with UAS imagery. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, *169*, 351-363.
- Storlazzi C, Dartnell P, Hatcher G, Gibbs A. (2016). End of the chain? Rugosity and fine-scale
 bathymetry from existing underwater digital imagery using Structure-from-Motion (SfM)
 technology. *Coral Reefs*, 35(3), 889-894.
- Sugihara G, May R. (1990). Applications of fractals in ecology. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 5(3), 79-86.

- Swetnam TL, Gillian JK, Sankey TT, McClaran MP, Nichols MH, Heilman P, McVay J. (2018).
 Considerations for achieving cross-platform point cloud data fusion across different
- dryland ecosystem structural states. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 10.3389/fpls.2017.02144.
- Tews J, Brose U, Grimm V, Tielbörger K, Wichmann M, Schwager M, Jeltsch F. (2004). Animal
 species diversity driven by habitat heterogeneity/diversity: the importance of keystone
 structures. *Journal of Biogeography*, *31*, 79-92.
- 869 Urbina-Barreto I, Elise S, Guilhaumon F, Bruggemann JH, Pinel R, Kulbicki M, Vigliola L,
- 870 Mou-Tham G, Mahamadaly V, Facon M, Bureau S, Peignon C, Dutrieux E, Garnier R,
- 871 Penin L, Adjeroud M. (2022) Underwater photogrammetry reveals new links between
- coral reefscape traits and fishes that ensure key functions. *Ecosphere*, *13*(e3934).
- 873 10.1002/ecs2.3934.
- Vergés A, Vanderklift M, Doropoulos C, Hyndes G. (2011). Spatial patterns in herbivory on a
 coral reef are influenced by structural complexity but not by algal traits. *PLoS ONE*, 6(2),
- 876 1-12. 0.1371/journal.pone.0017115
- 877 Vermeij MJA, Sandin SA, Samhouri JF. (2007) Local habitat distribution determines the relative
- 878 frequency and interbreeding potential for two Caribbean coral morphospecies.
- 879 *Evolutionary Ecology*, *21*, 27-47.
- Vroom P, Page KN, Peyton KA, Kukea-Shultz JK. (2005) Spatial heterogeneity of benthic
- community assemblages with an emphasis on reef algae at French Frigae Shoals,
- 882 Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. *Coral Reefs*, 24(4), 574-581.
- Vroom P, Braun C. (2010). Benthic composition of a healthy subtropical reef: Baseline species-
- level cover, with an emphasis on algae, in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. *PLoS*
- 885 *ONE*, *5*(3). 10.1371/journal.pone.0009733

886	Vytopil E, Willis BL. (2001) Epifaunal community structure in Acropora spp. (Scleractinia) on
887	the Great Barrier Reef: implications of coral morphology and habitat complexity. Coral
888	Reefs, 20, 281-288.

889 Westoby MJ, Brasington J, Glasser NF, Hambrey MJ, Reynolds JM. (2012). 'Structure-from-

Motion' photogrammetry: A low-cost, effective tool for geoscience applications. *Geomorphology*, *179(1)*, 300-314.

- 892 Wilson S, Graham NAJ, Polunin N. (2007). Appraisal of visual assessments of habitat
- complexity and benthic composition on coral reefs. *Marine Biology*, *151*(3), 1069-1076.
- 894 Wilson S, Robinson J, Chong-Seng K, Robinson J, Graham NAJ. (2019). Boom and bust of

keystone structure on coral reefs. *Coral Reefs*, *38*(4), 625-635.

- Wood SN. (2011). Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood estimation
 of semiparametric generalized linear models. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society (B)*,
- 898 *73(1)*, 3-36.
- Young G, Dey S, Rogers A, Exton D. (2017). Cost and time-effective method for multiscale
 measures of rugosity, fractal dimension, and vector dispersion from coral reef 3D models. *PLoS ONE*, *12*(4), 1-18.
- 902 Yuval M, Alonso I, Eyal G, Tchernov D, Loya Y, Murillo AC, Treibitz T. (2021). Repeatable
- semantic reef-mapping through photogrammetry and label-augmentation. *Remote Sensing*, 13(4),
- 904 659.
- 905
- 906
- 907
- 908

909 Figure 1. A) Linear rugosity is the ratio of the contour distance across a surface to the linear horizontal distance that contour traverses. B) Linear rugosity can be measured using a profile 910 gauge, a tool that consists of a series of parallel rods in a linear frame. When placed on the 911 substrate, the rods slide independently to rest on the shallowest object beneath, approximating 912 the contour of the substrate along a line. The Virtual Profile Gauge tool was designed as an 913 analogue to a real profile gauge, and uses a series of virtual cylindrical rods to measure the 914 vertical height of the point cloud along virtual transects. Users can select the number of rods per 915 transect, the length of the transects, the number of transects, and the orientation of the transects 916 917 with respect to the point cloud. C-F) Linear rugosity is a function of the resolution of the measurement instrument (i.e., the profile gauge). The rate of change in rugosity between two 918 levels of resolution (C to D or D to E) can be used to calculate fractal dimension. Fractal 919 dimension is not constant for objects that are not true fractals, and can change between different 920 scale intervals. Panel F is adapted from Nash et al. 2013. 921



Figure 2. The Hawaiian archipelago, with labels indicating the ten islands surveyed in this study.
Red boxes indicate the location of inset maps, which show the location of all study sites (n = 65).
Sites are symbolized by coral cover (color) and root mean square (RMS) height (shape). Blue
lines indicate the location of the 10 m isobath around each island (leeward side only for the Main
Hawaiian Islands).



- **Figure 3.** The partial effect of coral cover, root mean square (RMS) height, and latitude on
- 932 fractal dimension is shown for each scale interval. . Shading indicates significant relationships at
- 933 $\alpha = 0.01$ (yellow for coral cover, blue for RMS height, and red for latitude) and 95% confidence
- 934 intervals. Fractal dimension was significantly related to coral cover at scales finer than 16 cm,
- and was significantly related to RMS height (a proxy for reef geomorphology) at scales coarser
- than 16 cm. Full results are shown in Table S2.



Figure 4. The adjusted R^2 value at each scale interval is shown for our GAM, which incorporates coral cover, root mean square (RMS) height, and latitude as explanatory variables for fractal dimension. Colors denote the scale intervals over which each explanatory variable was significant (at $\alpha = 0.01$). The decline in adjusted R^2 from 16 to 64 cm indicates that neither coral cover nor RMS height is a strong predictor of reef structure at intermediate scales, and that a transition zone between biotic and abiotic reef structure exists in this scale range.



954	Figure 5. A) The fractal dimension of different coral morphotypes, surveyed at the patch scale
955	across six different scale intervals. B) The fractal dimension of sites based on the most abundant
956	coral morphotype at each site. Sites with >15% total coral cover were classified into one of four
957	reef types: branching Montipora reefs, encrusting Montipora reefs, branching Porites reefs, and
958	massive Porites reefs. The average percent cover for each reef type is also shown. We tested for
959	differences between reef types at each scale interval using a one-way ANOVA with generalized
960	least squares. Results were considered significant if $p < 0.01$. Differences between reef types at
961	each scale interval are signified using post-hoc letters, which were determined using Tukey's test
962	of multiple comparisons. Error bars in both panels indicate standard error.







Most abundant coral	Sites	Avg. coral cover
A Branching Montipora	6	75.67%
🛕 Encrusting Montipora	12	56.58%
Branching Pontes	13	38.91%
Massive Pontes	8	26.08%
<15% coral cover	24	6.13%