UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Phase separation and doped-hole segregation in La2CuO4+ δ and La2-xSrxCuO4+ δ

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8m43484q

Journal

Physica C Superconductivity, 235(PART 1)

ISSN

0921-4534

Authors

Johnston, DC Bayless, WR Borsa, F <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

1994-12-01

DOI

10.1016/0921-4534(94)91362-5

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

Phase Separation and Doped-Hole Segregation in La₂CuO_{4+ δ} and La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_{4+ δ}*

D. C. Johnston^a, W. R. Bayless^b, F. Borsa^{a,c}, P. C. Canfield^a, S-W. Cheong^d, J. H. Cho^{a,e}, F. C. Chou^{a,f}, Z. Fisk^e, J. D. Jorgensen^g, A. Lascialfari^c, L. L. Miller^a, P. G. Radaelli^g, J. E. Schirber^b, A. J. Schultz^g, D. R. Torgeson^a, D. Vaknin^a, J. L. Wagner^g, J. Zarestky^a, and J. Ziolo^{a,h}

^aAmes Laboratory and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA, 50011, USA ^bSandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM 87185, USA

^cDipartimento de Fisica "A. Volta", Universita' di Pavia, 27100 Pavia, Italy

^dAT&T Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07974, USA

eLos Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

^fCenter for Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

^gArgonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439, USA

^hPresent address: Department of Physics, Silesian University, Universytecka 4, 40-007 Katowice, Poland

Herein, we review the magnetic, superconducting and structural phase diagrams of the title systems, with an emphasis on our recent results from magnetic and structural neutron diffraction, magnetic susceptibility and ¹³⁹La nuclear quadrupole resonance measurements. The results clarify the miscibility gap in the La₂CuO_{4+ δ} system, and indicate the occurrence of frustrated phase separation on a nanoscopic length scale in the La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ system with 0 < x \leq 0.05.

1. INTRODUCTION

Above $T_o = 530$ K, the non-metallic compound La₂CuO₄ has the tetragonal K₂NiF₄ structure. Below this temperature, a second-order orthorhombic structural distortion occurs resulting from tilting of the CuO₆ octahedra. The stoichiometric compound exhibits long-range antiferromagnetic (AF) order below the Néel temperature $T_N \approx 325$ K, and strong short-range dynamic two-dimensional (2D) AF order within the CuO₂ planes above T_N . Subjecting polycrystalline or single crystal La₂CuO₄ to high oxygen pressure at > 500 °C results in oxygen doped samples La₂CuO_{4+ δ}. The excess oxygen atoms occupy interstitial sites between adjacent LaO layers, and are located at the centers of La tetrahedra. Upon cooling below a temperature $T_{ps} \sim 300$ K, compositions of La₂CuO_{4+ δ} with $\delta \sim 0.03$ phase-separate into an antiferromagnetic insulator phase with $\delta' \approx 0.01$ and with $T_N \sim 250$ K, and a metallic phase with δ'' estimated to be in the range 0.05-0.08 and with superconducting transition temperature $T_c \sim 35$ K. For reviews of the above work, see e.g. Refs. 1 and 2.

^{*}Ames Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Iowa State University under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-82. The work at Ames was supported by the Director for Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. The work at Argonne was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract No. W-31-109-Eng-38 (JDJ, AJS) and the U.S. National Science Foundation contract No. DMR-91-20000 (PGR, JLW), at Sandia by the U.S. Department of Energy under contract No. DE-AC04-76DP00789, at Los Alamos under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy, and at M.I.T. under U.S. National Science Foundation Grant No. DMR90-22933.

It is important to identify the microscopic interactions driving the phase separation in $La_2CuO_{4+\delta}$, since these interactions may also be present in the metallic cuprate compositions exhibiting high temperature superconductivity and may therefore contribute to the superconducting mechanism. In this paper, we review our recent work which addresses this issue.

2. THE T- δ PHASE DIAGRAM OF La₂CuO_{4+ δ}

The structural phase diagram of $La_2CuO_{4+\delta}$. determined from neutron diffraction measurements on polycrystalline electrochemically oxidized [3] samples, is shown in Fig. 1 [4]. At $T \sim 150-200$ K. the miscibility gap limits were found to be $\delta \approx 0.01$ and $\delta \approx 0.06$ as shown in the figure. The vertical sides of the miscibility gap at lower temperatures is probably due to loss of mobility of the excess oxygen. A significant feature of the data is a cusp near the center of the miscibility gap; the maximum T_{ps} found was 415 K for $\delta = 0.03_2$; this value of T_{ps} is significantly higher than the previous maximum reported value $T_{ps} \approx 320$ K [5]. The miscibility gap boundaries in Fig. 1 are identical within the errors with those found by Hammel et al. from NMR measurements on a phase-separated single crystal produced under high oxygen pressure [6]. Superconducting and normal state $\chi(T)$ measurements have shown that within the miscibility gap, the $T_N \approx 250$ K of the oxygendeficient phase and the $T_c \approx 34$ K of the oxygen rich phase are nearly independent of overall composition δ , as expected [2].

A combined structural and magnetic neutron diffraction study was carried out on a single crystal produced by annealing under 3 kbar oxygen at 575 °C [7]. The crystal showed $T_o \approx 350$ K, $T_{ps} = 260 \pm 5$ K and $T_N = 245 \pm 3$ K. These results show that long-range AF ordering cannot be the driving force for the phase-separation transition, since in this case one would expect $T_N > T_{ps}$.

We have also studied the structure and properties of single crystal [8] and polycrystalline [2,9] samples at higher δ values than



Figure 1. Phase diagram of La₂CuO_{4+ δ} [4].

studied in Fig. 1. The T_c increases from ≈ 34 K for $\delta \approx 0.06$ -0.08 to 40-45 K for $\delta \approx 0.11$ -0.12, and both T_c 's are observed for intermediate compositions. These data indicate that a two-phase region exists between these ranges of δ values. Neutron diffraction measurements on powders with $\delta \approx 0.08$ $(T_c = 32 \text{ K})$ and $\delta \approx 0.12 (T_c = 42 \text{ K})$ showed that these samples remained single-phase to 10 K. consistent with their compositions being beyond the upper miscibility gap boundary in Fig. 1 [10]. In addition, superstructure reflections were observed for these samples and for a crystal with $\delta \sim 0.1$. suggestive of oxygen ordering effects. Measurements of T_{c} under pressure indicated a thermal- and pressure-history dependent charge transfer from the LaO layers to the CuO₂ layers [11]. Measurements of the temperature-history and time-dependence of χ suggest that significant excess oxygen atom mobility develops, and therefore phase separation can proceed, above ~ 150 K [12].

To investigate how the phase separation transition is influenced by substitution of Sr for La. a study of the system $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_{4+\delta}$ was carried out with δ fixed at $\delta \approx 0.03$ [13]. The superconducting fraction was found to decrease to zero at $x \approx 0.03$, at which point T_c had decreased to about 20 K. These data indicate that for relatively small additional hole-doping (0.03 holes/Cu), T_{ps} is suppressed to below ~ 150-200 K where the excess oxygen mobility is lost.

3. MAGNETIC PHASE DIAGRAM OF LIGHTLY DOPED La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ ($0 \le x \le 0.05$)

3.1. The antiferromagnetic regime $0 \le x \le 0.02$

As is well-known, the long-range AF order at $T_N \approx 325$ K in La₂CuO₄ is depressed to ≈ 0 K in $La_{2-x}Sr_rCuO_4$ by $x \approx 0.02$, although short-range dynamic AF order survives [1,2]. Using $\chi(T)$ and ¹³⁹La NQR measurements, we have determined $T_N(x)$ to high precision and found that $T_N(x) \approx$ $T_N(0)$ [1 - $(x/x_c)^2$], where $x_c \approx 0.02$, as shown in Fig. 2 [13,14]. Further, $\chi(x,T)$ was found to scale as $\chi(x,T) = f(x)g[T - T_N(x)]$, where f(x) is an empirically determined function of composition x and g(T) is a universal function of temperature T, independent of x [13]. Independent analyses [13,14] indicated that the composition dependences of both $T_N(x)$ and f(x) arise from a nanoscopic segregation of the doped holes into walls separating weaklycoupled dynamically AF ordered undoped domains within the CuO₂ planes, where the linear size L of the domains varies as $L(x) \propto 1/x$. Thus, these data indicate that the doped holes are not randomly distributed; rather, the domains and domain walls form a configuration which is electronically and magnetically inhomogeneous on a nanoscopic scale.

From electrical resistivity measurements below T_N , the doped holes are found to localize upon cooling below ~ 50 K [15]. This implies that the above domain walls may be destroyed below this T. At still lower T, the 139 La NQR for La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ with x < 0.02 shows a strong peak in the spin-lattice relaxation rate R_1 at a temperature $T_f < 16$ K which is proportional to x: $T_f(x) = (815 \text{ K})x$, as shown in Fig. 2 [14]. This peak was identified as arising from freezing of the spin degrees of freedom associated with the localized doped holes. This identification was recently confirmed theoretically by Gooding et al., who reproduced our observed $T_f(x)$ to high precision [16]. In their model, the effective spin degrees of freedom associated with the doped holes are the transverse (to the AF easy axis) components of the Cu spins in the vicinity of the holes. We conclude that below T_f , the spin-glass ordering of the effective doped-hole spins coexists with the long-range AF ordering of the (longitudinal



Figure 2. Magnetic phase diagram of lightly-doped La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ [13,14]. Abbreviations: PM (paramagnetic), AF (antiferromagnetic), SG (spin glass), CSG (cluster spin glass), T_f (spin glass freezing temperature), T_g (cluster spin glass transition temperature).

components of the) Cu spins. The spin degrees of freedom of the doped holes and of the AF ordered Cu spins are thus distinct from each other, in contrast to the metallic doping regime ($x \ge 0.1$) where it is widely believed that the Cu and doped-hole spins combine to form a single unique spin degree of freedom of the system.

3.2. The spin glass regime 0.02 < *x* < 0.05

A variety of measurements have indicated the occurrence of some type of spin glass transition at a temperature $T_g \sim 10$ K in La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄ for $0.02 < x \leq 0.1$ [2]. We have studied this transition via ¹³⁹La NQR measurements, which exhibit a peak in R₁ at T_g [17]. We find that $T_g \alpha 1/x$ as shown in Fig. 2, in strong contrast to the composition dependence $T_f \alpha x$ for x < 0.02 as discussed above. This qualitative difference demonstrates that there is a distinct crossover in the nature of the spin-glass transition at $x \approx 0.02$.

Independent analyses of $T_g(x)$ [14] and $R_1(T)$ [17] indicate that a cluster spin glass is formed at T_g in a collective transition involving freezing of the finite weakly coupled undoped domains discussed above, where the size of the undoped domains in the spin glass regime is found to be $L \propto 1/x^{1/2}$. This L(x) has the same composition dependence as for the 2D AF correlation length ξ in the CuO₂ planes found from inelastic neutron scattering measurements [18], and we identify $L(x) \sim \xi(x)$. The physical picture is then the same as in Section 3.1, in which the doped holes form walls separating weakly coupled undoped domains. In order that the domains be weakly coupled, we expect that the doped holes in the domain walls remain delocalized down to at least T_{φ} , in contrast to the region x < 0.02 in which the holes localize below ~ 50 K. Evidently, in the cluster spin glass regime, L is too small, the fluctuations in the local 2D staggered magnetization are too strong, and the intercluster magnetic interactions are too weak, to lead to long-range AF order of the staggered magnetization in the locally ordered undoped 2D domains. The occurrence of a cluster spin glass was predicted theoretically [19,20].

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the La₂CuO_{4+ δ} system, we have determined the structural T- δ phase diagram for $\delta \lesssim 0.1$. A miscibility gap is found between $\delta \approx 0.01$, which is an AF insulator, and $\delta \approx 0.06$, which is a superconductor with $T_c \approx 34$ K. A two-phase region appears to exist between the latter composition and $\delta \approx 0.11$ -0.12, which has $T_c \approx 40$ -45 K. Thermalhistory and time dependent $\chi(T)$ measurements suggest that diffusion of the excess oxygen is significant above ~ 150 K. In the $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_{4+\delta}$ system with $\delta \approx 0.03$, the macroscopic phase separation disappears by $x \approx 0.03$. For the $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ ($\delta \approx 0$) system in the lightly doped regime with $x \le 0.05$, evidence was presented that the doped holes form walls separating undoped domains on a nanoscopic length scale. Many of our results support the electronic mechanism for

frustrated phase separation in $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$, and therefore support the same electronic mechanism for macroscopic phase separation in $La_2CuO_{4+\delta}$, which was advanced by Emery and coworkers [20]. An assessment of some of our data in terms of that theory is given in Ref. 20.

REFERENCES

- D. C. Johnston, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 100 (1991) 218.
- D. C. Johnston et al., J. Alloys Compds. (in press); in Proc. 2nd Workshop on Phase Separation in Cuprate Superconductors, Cottbus, Germany, 4-10 Sept. 1993, to be published.
- 3. A. Wattiaux et al., Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. (Paris), 310 (1990) 1047.
- 4. P. G. Radaelli et al., Phys. Rev. B, 49 (1994) 6239.
- 5. J. D. Jorgensen et al., Phys. Rev. B, 38 (1988) 11337.
- P. C. Hammel et al., Physica B, 199&200 (1994) 235.
- 7. D. Vaknin et al., Phys. Rev. B, 49 (1994) 9057.
- 8. F. C. Chou et al., Physica C, 216 (1993) 66.
- 9. F. C. Chou et al., Physica C, 197 (1992) 303.
- P. G. Radaelli et al., Phys. Rev. B, 48 (1993) 499.
- 11. J. E. Schirber et al., Phys. Rev. B, 48 (1993) 6506.
- 12. F. C. Chou and D. C. Johnston, unpublished.
- 13. J. H. Cho, F. C. Chou and D. C. Johnston, Phys. Rev. Lett., 70 (1993) 222.
- F. C. Chou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 71 (1993) 2323.
- 15. C. Y. Chen et al., Phys. Rev. B, 43 (1991) 392.
- R. J. Gooding, N. M. Salem and A. Mailhot. Phys. Rev. B, 49 (1994) 6067.
- 17. J. H. Cho et al., Phys. Rev. B, 46 (1992) 3179.
- T. R. Thurston et al., Phys. Rev. B, 40 (1989) 4585.
- A. Aharony et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 60 (1988) 1330.
- V. J. Emery and S. A. Kivelson, Physica C, 209 (1993) 597, and unpublished.