UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Multiple paternity in leopard shark (*Triakis semifasciata*) litters sampled from a predominantly female aggregation in La Jolla, California, USA

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8m71r82d>

Authors

Nosal, AP
Lewallen, EA
Burton, RS

Publication Date

2013-08-01

DOI

10.1016/j.jembe.2013.05.002

Peer reviewed

Multiple paternity in leopard shark (*Triakis semifasciata*) litters sampled from a predominantly female aggregation in La Jolla, California, USA

Andrew P. Nosal ^{a*}, Eric A. Lewallen ^b, and Ronald S. Burton ^a

^a Marine Biology Research Division, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California – San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

^b Department of Biological Sciences, University of Toronto Scarborough, 1265 Military Trail, Toronto, Ontario, M1C 1A4, Canada

* Corresponding Author:

E-mail: anosal@ucsd.edu

Phone: +1 (908) 240 3404

Fax: +1 (858) 534 1305

Abstract

The number of sires per litter was determined for the leopard shark (Triakidae: *Triakis semifasciata*) to investigate the potential effect of female-biased aggregation behavior on the frequency of multiple paternity (F_{MP}). Four highly polymorphic microsatellite markers were developed and used to genotype 449 pups from 22 litters (20.4 ± 7.0 pups per litter) sampled from pregnant females collected from a female-dominated leopard shark aggregation in La Jolla, California, USA. Multiple paternity was detected in 8 of 22 litters ($F_{MP} = 36.4\%$), each having two sires per litter. The relatively low F_{MP} (compared to other shark species) is generally consistent with the hypothesis that female aggregation behavior reduces mating attempts by males and thus limits genetic polyandry. Significant interannual variability in F_{MP} observed between two years of the study (2010: $F_{MP} = 20.0\%$, $n = 10$, and 2011: $F_{MP} = 83.3\%$, $n = 6$) appears to be correlated with the frequency of males in the aggregation. Although females may benefit indirectly from mating with multiple males by promoting sperm competition and hedging against nonviable sperm, the most probable explanation for genetic polyandry in the leopard shark appears to be “convenience polyandry,” where females acquiesce to superfluous mating attempts if the costs of resistance outweigh the costs of capitulation. Thus, F_{MP} is expected to increase as the male-to-female ratio increases and the capacity of females to resist coercive males decreases at the time and place of mating.

Keywords

elasmobranch; microsatellite; multiple paternity; paternal skew; shark; sperm competition

1. Introduction

Multiply sired litters (multiple paternity) are common in elasmobranch fishes; however, the percentage of litters sired by multiple males (frequency of multiple paternity, F_{MP}) exhibits strong inter- and intraspecific variability (reviewed in Byrne and Avise, 2012). Recent work suggests F_{MP} is related to the encounter rate between potential mates (Daly-Engel et al., 2010), which varies among and within species depending on the extent of sexual segregation (reviewed in Wearmouth and Sims, 2008). For example, protracted sexual segregation might account for the low F_{MP} in the shortspine spurdog (*Squalus mitsukurii*, $F_{MP} = 11.1\%$; Daly-Engel et al., 2010) and the spiny dogfish (*S. acanthias*, $F_{MP} = 17.2\%$; Veríssimo et al., 2011), whereas the comparatively high F_{MP} in the brown smoothhound (*Mustelus henlei*, $F_{MP} = 93.0\%$) might be due to the formation of dense mixed-sex mating aggregations (Byrne and Avise, 2012). Predominantly female aggregations are particularly common in sharks (reviewed in Jacoby et al., 2011) and are often hypothesized to function as a means of reducing male harassment in the form of potentially injurious mating attempts (Economakis and Lobel, 1998; Klimley, 1985; Sims et al., 2001). If this is true, then female avoidance of superfluous copulations should limit genetic polyandry and result in a low F_{MP} . The present study tests this prediction using the leopard shark (Triakidae: *Triakis semifasciata*) as a model.

The leopard shark is a nearshore benthic species that forms dense aggregations of mature females throughout California, USA (Ebert and Ebert, 2005; Hight and Lowe, 2007; Nosal et al., 2013) and has an annual reproductive cycle; females give birth to 6 – 36 pups in April – June following a gestation period of 10 – 11 months, and thus mating, ovulation, and fertilization is expected to occur within a narrow window (1 – 2 months) following parturition (Castro, 2011; Ebert, 2003). Sperm storage and the potential for multiply sired litters are likely in *T.*

semifasciata, given the well-developed oviducal gland in mature females (Ebert and Ebert, 2005), where sperm storage has been documented in other triakid sharks, including *Mustelus canis* (Conrath and Musick, 2002), *M. antarcticus* (Storrie et al., 2008), and *M. asterias* (Farrell et al., 2010).

The present study examines F_{MP} for leopard shark litters acquired from pregnant females aggregating off La Jolla, California, USA. This site-specific aggregation, which forms annually in June – December and consists of > 95% mature females, has been hypothesized to function, in part, as a refuge from males after mating elsewhere (Nosal et al., 2013); thus, due to the local scarcity of males, F_{MP} was hypothesized to be low.

2. Methods

2.1. Sample Collection and DNA Extraction

Seventeen dams were captured by hook and line from the La Jolla aggregation site (32.853°N, 117.263°W) between the months of September and November of 2007 – 2011 (well after ovulation and fertilization, so as to not artificially reduce the period in which additional copulations might have been procured) and transported to an open flow-through aquarium facility at Scripps Institution of Oceanography. In captivity, eight females gave birth naturally to litters of term pups, three were sacrificed, and six died of undetermined causes and prematurely delivered some or all of their pups shortly before death. Dead dams were dissected, whereas surviving dams and pups were donated to other laboratories, educational facilities, or released with permission from the California Department of Fish and Game. In addition to live-collected sharks, five pregnant females were found dead at the aggregation site during the course of the study (also between the months of September and November), salvaged, and included in the

multiple paternity analysis. Fin clips were collected from all dams and pups and preserved in 95% ethanol and stored at -80°C. To obtain baseline population genetic information, fin clips were collected from an additional 126 adult *T. semifasciata* at the aggregation site (tagged and released) during the same period. Total genomic DNA was extracted from each fin clip using a DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.2. Microsatellite Marker Development and Genotyping

Four microsatellite markers (*Tse01*, *Tse02*, *Tse03*, and *Tse04*) were developed from enriched DNA libraries constructed specifically for *T. semifasciata* and screened according to the methods described by Jones et al. (2002). Briefly, total genomic DNA was digested with a cocktail of seven blunt-end restriction enzymes. Resulting fragments in the size range of 300 to 750 base pairs were hybridized to 5-prime-biotinylated oligonucleotides (microsatellite probes) and subjected to streptavidin magnetic bead capture (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Captured fragments were eluted and then amplified, cloned, and sequenced. Microsatellite-containing fragment sequences were identified by inspection and PCR primers were designed to anneal to flanking regions using DesignerPCR v1.03 (Research Genetics, Inc., Huntsville, AL). Forward primers were 5-prime-labeled with 6-FAM, HEX, or TET dyes for fluorescent visualization (Table 1).

Optimized PCR reactions for loci *Tse01*, *Tse03*, and *Tse04* consisted of 25 µl of 1x GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega Corporation, Fitchburg, WI), 10 µM each forward and reverse primer, and 50 – 100 ng of DNA template. For locus *Tse02*, the optimized PCR reaction consisted of 25 µl of 1x iTaq Buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 200 µM each dNTP, 10 µM each forward and reverse primer, and contained 1.25 units iTaq

DNA Polymerase (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA) and 50 – 100 ng DNA template. PCR amplification on a MyCycler thermal cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA) consisted of an initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 33, 28, 32, or 35 cycles (for loci *Tse01*, *Tse02*, *Tse03*, and *Tse04*, respectively) of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 56°C, and 1 min at 72°C, followed by a final extension at 72°C for 45 min. PCR products (including negative controls), ROX-labeled DNA ladder (MegaBACE ET550-R; GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ), and locus-specific allelic standards (positive controls) were resolved concurrently on 0.4 mm thick, large-format (33 x 39 cm) vertical polyacrylamide denaturing gels according to the methods described by Gruenthal and Burton (2008). Gels were electrophoresed at 60 W for 2 – 4 h and fluorescently scanned on a Typhoon 9410 Variable Mode Imager (Molecular Dynamics, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA). Allele sizes were determined using ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA) and manually scored, independently by two laboratory personnel. Discrepancies between scorers were rare, and settled by re-screening those particular individuals to confirm the genotype.

2.3. Statistical Analyses

For each microsatellite locus, the number of alleles, allele frequency distribution, observed and expected heterozygosity, and conformance to the expectations of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were determined for the population sample ($n = 148$, including the 22 dams) using Genepop v4.1 (Rousset, 2008). MicroChecker v1 (van Oosterhout et al., 2004) was used to infer potential genotyping errors due to null alleles and stutter peaks. Genotypic arrays of each litter were evaluated manually to ensure all progeny shared a maternal allele at each locus and to count the number of paternal alleles. A litter having three or more paternal alleles at one

or more loci was considered to be multiply sired. Gerud v2.0 (Jones, 2005) was used to estimate the minimum number of sires for each litter and determine the genotype of each sire. If no single solution of paternal genotypes could explain the progeny genotypic array, alternative solutions were ranked by relative probability based on patterns of Mendelian segregation and expected genotypic frequencies in the population (Jones, 2005). The most probable solution of paternal genotypes was used to assign a sire to each of the progeny and thus determine paternal skew for each litter.

As a *post-hoc* power assessment, the probability of detecting multiple paternity (PrDM) was calculated in PrDM v1 (Neff and Pitcher, 2002). This program used a Monte Carlo simulation to generate 10,000 reconstructed genotypes of multiply sired litters based on the number of microsatellite loci, the number of alleles and allelic frequency distribution at each locus, maternal genotype, litter size, numbers of sires (at least two), and hypothetical skew of sire reproductive success in the litter (paternal skew; 50:50, 60:40, 70:30, 80:20, 90:10, and 95:5). PrDM is the proportion of 10,000 multiply sired litters that contain at least three unique paternal alleles at one or more loci and would therefore be correctly identified as multiply sired. This test was particularly relevant for apparently singly sired litters. The Bayesian program FMM v1 (Neff et al., 2002) was used to generate a 95% confidence interval (CI) for the observed frequency of multiple paternity (F_{MP} = number of multiply sired litters / total number of litters analyzed * 100) based on the expected F_{MP} given the allelic frequency distribution of the population.

Finally, to investigate why some litters might have exhibited multiple paternity, but not others, the following tests were conducted. A Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine whether dam total length (TL) and litter sizes were each significantly different between multiply

and singly sired litters. A chi-squared (χ^2) test was used to determine if paternal skew in multiply sired litters was significantly different from 50:50, and whether paternal skew inside each uterine horn was significantly different from the overall paternal skew. Lastly, Fisher's Exact Tests were used to determine whether the number of singly and multiply sired litters differed significantly between dams collected in 2010 and 2011, and whether the number of litters containing unfertilized ova differed between those sired by single and multiple males.

3. Results

Mean TL $\pm$ SD of the dams ($n = 22$) was 142.6 ± 7.0 cm (range: 130 – 156 cm). Litters of term pups ($n = 8$) were born in captivity between 4 April and 1 July (mean date of birth $\pm$ SD = 16 May $\pm$ 34 d). Mean litter size $\pm$ SD ($n = 20$) was 21.8 ± 5.6 pups (range: 11 – 33 pups); litters I and K were excluded from this calculation because each was partially consumed by several large swell sharks (*Cephaloscyllium ventriosum*) being held temporarily in the same tank at the time of birth. The surviving pups from litters I ($n = 9$) and K ($n = 4$) were nevertheless genotyped; thus, the mean number of progeny genotyped $\pm$ SD was 20.4 ± 7.0 pups (range: 4 – 33 pups). The ratio of male to female pups did not deviate from 50:50 (Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test; $W = 4.0$, $n = 18$, $P = 0.924$), nor did the ratio of pups found in the left to right horns of the uterus ($W = -4.0$, $n = 11$, $P = 0.857$). These findings are summarized in Table 2. A positive linear relationship was observed between litter size and dam TL (excluding litters H and K; Fig. 1) with a slope (b) that was significantly different from zero ($b = 0.526$, $r^2 = 0.429$, $n = 20$, $P = 0.002$). In each of eight litters (36.4%), 1 – 3 unfertilized eggs were found either at the anterior end of the uterine horns, or delivered along with the pups. Mating scars were not evident in any of the 148 females sampled.

The suite of four microsatellite markers exhibited moderate to high polymorphism (9 – 23 alleles per locus; Appendix 1) in the screened population sample ($n = 148$, including the 22 dams) and conformed to the expectations of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Table 1). There was no evidence of linkage disequilibrium between the four loci (tested in Genepop v4.1; Rousset, 2008).

In total, 22 dams and 449 pups were genotyped for paternity analysis. Multiple paternity was detected in 8 of 22 litters ($F_{MP} = 36.4\%$, Bayesian estimate of 95% CI: 19 – 57%). No more than four paternal alleles were observed at each locus, consistent with having one or two sires per litter (Table 2). Paternal skew was high in the multiply sired litters; the dominant of the two males (having higher reproductive success) sired up to 95.5% of the pups in a given litter (mean $\pm$ SD = $76.0 \pm 18.1\%$). Paternal skew deviated significantly from the expected ratio of 50:50 in multiply sired litters R, T, U, and V ($\chi^2 = 13.500 - 20.571$, d.f. = 1, $n = 22 - 28$, $p < 0.0002$), but not in litters E, I, K, and S ($\chi^2 < 3.841$, d.f. = 1, $n = 4 - 30$, $p > 0.05$). Of the eight multiply sired litters, GERUD 2.0 produced a unique paternal skew solution for five litters (E, I, R, S, and U) and two possible paternal skew solutions for three litters (K, T, and V). However, the most likely (reported) solutions for two of these litters (T and V) were $> 4 \times 10^3$ times more probable than their alternatives. Two nearly equally likely paternal skew solutions (3:1 and 2:2) were returned for litter K. Given that high paternal skew decreases PrDM, the empirically derived F_{MP} of 36.4% might underestimate the true F_{MP} . For example, if one half of the apparently singly sired litters ($n = 7$) had paternal skews of 90:10 (two thirds, $n = 4.67$) or 90:5 (one third, $n = 2.33$), and given a mean *post-hoc* PrDM of 0.865 (90:10) and 0.647 (95:5) for the apparently singly sired litters, then 1 – 2 of these might actually have been sired by multiple males, and not detected. Thus, F_{MP} for *T. semifasciata* might be as high as 40.9 – 45.5%, which still falls within

the 95% CI. Finally, significant interannual variability in F_{MP} was observed between two years of the study (2010: $F_{MP} = 20.0\%$, $n = 10$, and 2011: $F_{MP} = 83.3\%$, $n = 6$; Fisher's Exact Test, $n = 16$, $P < 0.035$) (Table 2).

In comparing singly and multiply sired litters, no significant differences were found in dam TL (Mann-Whitney Test, $U = 73$, $n = 22$, $P = 0.259$) or litter size (excluding litters I and K; Mann-Whitney Test, $U = 52$, $n = 20$, $P = 0.435$). In litter S, the paternal skew was significantly different from the overall skew of 17:13 in both the left (13:1, $\chi^2 = 7.476$, $n = 14$, d.f. = 1, $P = 0.006$) and right uterine horns (4:12, $\chi^2 = 6.543$, $n = 16$, d.f. = 1, $P = 0.011$); however, no other litter exhibited this pattern.

4. Discussion

The present study is the first to demonstrate multiple paternity and estimate F_{MP} for *T. semifasciata*. The observed F_{MP} of 36.4% is not the lowest reported for sharks (*S. mitsukurii*, $F_{MP} = 11.1\%$; Daly-Engel et al., 2010); however, it certainly falls to the lower end of the spectrum of F_{MP} reported for other species (reviewed in Byrne and Avise, 2012) and thus generally supports the hypothesis that female aggregation behavior limits genetic polyandry. Nevertheless, multiply sired litters were detected; the simplest explanation for these is that they arose as a consequence of sexual conflict without any benefit to the females (Daly-Engel et al., 2010). However, it is important to address alternative explanations for these multiply sired litters and for the relatively low observed F_{MP} .

Pratt and Carrier (2001) suggested repeated copulations might be required in some shark species to ensure complete fertilization of ova; thus, multiple mating could increase fecundity. Consistent with this hypothesis, unfertilized ova were present in eight of the 22 leopard shark

litters examined (36.4%), which, in dissected dams ($n = 6$), were located at the anterior end of either or both uterine horns, nearest the oviducal gland. Assuming conservation of uterine position throughout gestation, thus indicative of ovulation sequence (Smale and Compagno, 1997; Smale and Goosen, 1999), these unfertilized ova were last to pass through the sites of fertilization, when sperm had perhaps already been depleted. However, because unfertilized ova were found in both singly and multiply sired litters with no significant difference between the two, multiple mating clearly did not ensure complete fertilization. Moreover, there was no significant difference in litter size between multiply and singly sired litters, suggesting mating multiply does not increase fecundity in *T. semifasciata*.

Females might benefit indirectly from multiple mating because the simultaneous presence of sperm from multiple males could promote sperm competition and cryptic female sperm choice (Fitzpatrick et al., 2012). If sperm competitiveness is heritable, then male progeny of males with competitive sperm should have greater reproductive success (Keller and Reeve, 1995). Alternatively, if sperm competitiveness is related to genetic quality of the corresponding male more generally, then promoting sperm competition could increase the chances that offspring will inherit “good genes” (Jennions and Petrie, 2000; Sheldon, 1994; Yasui, 1997). Finally, cryptic female choice among ejaculates may similarly increase offspring genetic quality or complementarity (Fitzpatrick et al., 2012; Olsson and Madsen, 2001; Simmons, 2005). Consistent with these hypotheses are the high paternal skews observed in four multiply sired *T. semifasciata* litters (mean = 91.7:8.3), which suggest some form of post-copulatory sperm selection, or else are an artifact of mating order. However, direct evidence supporting “good genes” hypotheses (e.g., trading up, genetic bet-hedging) is lacking in elasmobranch fishes (Daly-Engel et al., 2007; DiBattista et al., 2008).

Finally, interspecific differences in oviducal gland ultrastructure could generate variation in sperm storage capability, and perhaps affect F_{MP} (Pratt, 1993). For example, Daly-Engel et al. (2010) suggested the underdeveloped oviducal gland in the family Squalidae could limit sperm storage (Hamlett, 2005; Hamlett et al., 1998), which could at least partly explain the low F_{MP} in *Squalus mitsukurii*. Comparatively low F_{MP} in *S. acanthias* supports this hypothesis (Lage et al., 2008; Veríssimo et al., 2011), as does the high F_{MP} for *M. henlei* (Byrne and Avise, 2012), a member of the family Triakidae, in which complex partitioning and sperm storage in the oviducal gland have been documented (Conrath and Musick, 2002; Farrell et al., 2010; Storrie et al., 2008). Given the apparent conservation of oviducal gland ultrastructure within taxonomic families, *T. semifasciata* is expected to have a structurally complex oviducal gland; thus, limited sperm storage does not readily explain the comparatively low F_{MP} observed for *T. semifasciata*.

The most likely explanation for the interannual variability in F_{MP} for *T. semifasciata* is interannual variability in the mate encounter rate. During a concurrent study of leopard shark movement patterns using passive acoustic telemetry (Nosal, 2013), the mean number of tagged males detected d^{-1} at the La Jolla aggregation (all males were tagged in July 2009 at a site 12 km north of the La Jolla aggregation) was 0.009 (range: 0 – 1 male detected d^{-1}) in 2010 (F_{MP} = 20.0%) and 0.784 (range: 0 – 5 males detected d^{-1}) in 2011 (F_{MP} = 83.3%). The increased male presence at the La Jolla aggregation site in 2011 suggests the mate encounter rate was also likely higher during this year, which in turn led to increased mating activity and the higher F_{MP} that year. Given this interannual variability in F_{MP} , multiple paternity likely arises in *T. semifasciata* as a consequence of sexual conflict; despite the need for cooperation for successful intromission, female sharks may acquiesce to most, if not all, mating attempts if the costs of resistance (e.g., incurring injury) are expected to exceed the costs of capitulation (Daly-Engel et al., 2010;

DiBattista et al., 2008; Portnoy et al., 2007). Such “convenience polyandry” does not necessarily exclude more elaborate evolutionary explanations of multiple paternity (e.g., genetic bet-hedging, trading up, hedging against insufficient or nonviable sperm); however, it would seem unlikely, for example, that female leopard sharks would hedge their bets or trade up to more attractive males more in one year than another.

In conclusion, the results of this study generally support the hypothesis that genetic polyandry is limited by female aggregation behavior and that F_{MP} should largely reflect the probability of encountering mates during each reproductive cycle (Daly-Engel et al., 2010). However, the lack of mating scars in sampled females suggests mating may be less violent than in other species, and that, at least for *T. semifasciata*, avoiding mating-related injuries *per se* may not be the primary incentive for aggregation. Alternatively, avoiding male harassment may be an additional benefit to aggregating near favorable resources such as foraging grounds and warm, calm water (Nosal et al., 2013).

Acknowledgements

We thank the many people who volunteered their time in various aspects of this project, particularly S. Pennebaker, A. Barker, E. Kisfaludy, T. Smith, P. Zerofski, and C. Jew. This work was conducted under University of California – San Diego Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) protocol No. S00080. A. Nosal was supported by Graduate Research Fellowship Program (GRFP) and Integrated Graduate Education and Research Traineeship (IGERT, No. 0333444) awards from the National Science Foundation. Additional funding was provided by various private donors, including a generous gift from the Nosal family. We would

299 like to dedicate this paper to Jeffrey B. Graham, who provided valuable insight and
300 encouragement during the early stages of this project, but passed away before its completion.

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

References

- Byrne, R.J., Avise, J.C., 2012. Genetic mating system of the brown smoothhound shark (*Mustelus henlei*), including a literature review of multiple paternity in other elasmobranch species. *Marine Biology* 159, 749-756
- Castro, J.I., 2011. *The Sharks of North America*. Oxford University Press, New York, NY
- Conrath, C.L., Musick, J.A., 2002. Reproductive biology of the smooth dogfish, *Mustelus canis*, in the northwest Atlantic Ocean. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 64, 367-377
- Daly-Engel, T.S., Grubbs, R.D., Bowen, B.W., Toonen, R.J., 2007. Frequency of multiple paternity in an unexploited tropical population of sandbar sharks (*Carcharhinus plumbeus*). *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 64, 198-204
- Daly-Engel, T.S., Grubbs, R.D., Feldheim, K.A., Bowen, B.W., Toonen, R.J., 2010. Is multiple mating beneficial or unavoidable? Low multiple paternity and genetic diversity in the shortspine spurdog *Squalus mitsukurii*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 403, 255-267
- DiBattista, J.D., Feldheim, K.A., Gruber, S.H., Hendry, A.P., 2008. Are indirect genetic benefits associated with polyandry? Testing predictions in a natural population of lemon sharks. *Molecular Ecology* 17, 783-795

- 344 Ebert, D.A., 2003. Sharks, rays and chimaeras of California. University of California Press,
345 Berkeley, CA
346
- 347 Ebert, D.A., Ebert, T.B., 2005. Reproduction, diet and habitat use of leopard sharks, *Triakis*
348 *semifasciata* (Girard), in Humboldt Bay, California, USA. Marine and Freshwater Research 56,
349 1089-1098
350
- 351 Economakis, A.E., Lobel, P.S., 1998. Aggregation behavior of the gray reef shark, *Carcharhinus*
352 *amblyrhynchos*, at Johnston Atoll, Central Pacific Ocean. Environmental Biology of Fishes 51,
353 129-139
354
- 355 Farrell, E.D., Mariani, S., Clarke, M.W., 2010. Reproductive biology of the starry smooth-hound
356 shark *Mustelus asterias*: geographic variation and implications for sustainable exploitation.
357 Journal of Fish Biology 77, 1505-1525
358
- 359 Fitzpatrick, J.L., Kempster, R.M., Daly-Engel, T.S., Collin, S.P., Evans, J.P., 2012. Assessing
360 the potential for post-copulatory sexual selection in elasmobranchs. Journal of Fish Biology 80,
361 1141-1158
362
- 363 Gruenthal, K.M., Burton, R.S., 2008. Genetic structure of natural populations of the California
364 black abalone (*Haliotis cracherodii* Leach, 1814), a candidate for endangered species status.
365 Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 355, 47-58
366

- 367 Hamlett, W.C. (Ed.), 2005. Reproductive biology and phylogeny of Chondrichthyes: sharks,
368 batoids and chimaeras, Vol 3. Science Publishers, Enfield, NH
369
- 370 Hamlett, W.C., Knight, D.P., Koob, T.B., Jezior, M., Luon, T., Rozycki, T., Brunette, N., Hysell,
371 M.K., 1998. Survey of oviducal gland structure and function in elasmobranchs. Journal of
372 Experimental Zoology 282, 399-420
373
- 374 Hight, B.V., Lowe, C.G., 2007. Elevated body temperatures of adult female leopard sharks,
375 *Triakis semifasciata*, while aggregating in shallow nearshore embayments: Evidence for
376 behavioral thermoregulation? Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 352, 114-
377 128
378
- 379 Jacoby, D.M.P., Croft, D.P., Sims, D.W., 2011. Social behaviour in sharks and rays: analysis,
380 patterns and implications for conservation. Fish and Fisheries DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-
381 2979.2011.00436x
382
- 383 Jennions, M.D., Petrie, M., 2000. Why do females mate multiply? A review of the genetic
384 benefits. Biological Reviews 75, 21-64
385
- 386 Jones, A.G., 2005. GERUD 2.0: a computer program for the reconstruction of parental genotypes
387 from half-sib progeny arrays with known or unknown parents. Molecular Ecology Notes 5, 708-
388 711
389

- 390 Jones, K.C., Levine, K.F., Banks, J.D., 2002. Characterization of 11 polymorphic tetranucleotide
391 microsatellites for forensic applications in California elk (*Cervus elaphus canadensis*).
392 Molecular Ecology Notes 2, 425-427
393
- 394 Keller, L., Reeve, H.K., 1995. Why do females mate with multiple males - the sexually selected
395 sperm hypothesis. Advances in the Study of Behavior, Vol 24, 291-315
396
- 397 Klimley, A.P., 1985. Schooling in *Sphyrna lewini*, a species with low-risk of predation – a non-
398 egalitarian state. Zeitschrift Fur Tierpsychologie-Journal of Comparative Ethology 70, 297-319
399
- 400 Lage, C.R., Petersen, C.W., Forest, D., Barnes, D., Kornfield, I., Wray, C., 2008. Evidence of
401 multiple paternity in spiny dogfish (*Squalus acanthias*) broods based on microsatellite analysis.
402 Journal of Fish Biology 73, 2068-2074
403
- 404 Neff, B.D., Pitcher, T.E., 2002. Assessing the statistical power of genetic analyses to detect
405 multiple mating in fishes. Journal of Fish Biology 61, 739-750
406
- 407 Neff, B.D., Pitcher, T.E., Repka, J., 2002. A Bayesian model for assessing the frequency of
408 multiple mating in nature. Journal of Heredity 93, 406-414
409
- 410 Nosal, A.P., 2013. Demography, movement patterns, and mating system of leopard sharks
411 (*Triakis semifasciata*) aggregating along the open coast of southern California, USA. PhD
412 dissertation. University of California – San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA

413
414 Nosal A.P., Cartamil D.P., Long J.W., Lührmann M., Wegner N.C., Graham J.B., 2013.
415 Demography and movement patterns of leopard sharks (*Triakis semifasciata*) aggregating near
416 the head of a submarine canyon along the open coast of southern California, USA.
417 Environmental Biology of Fishes DOI: 10.1007/s10641-012-0083-5
418
419 Olsson, M., Madsen, T., 2001. Promiscuity in sand lizards (*Lacerta agilis*) and adder snakes
420 (*Vipera berus*): Causes and consequences. Journal of Heredity 92, 190-197
421
422 Portnoy, D.S., Piercy, A.N., Musick, J.A., Burgess, G.H., Graves, J.E., 2007. Genetic polyandry
423 and sexual conflict in the sandbar shark, *Carcharhinus plumbeus*, in the western North Atlantic
424 and Gulf of Mexico. Molecular Ecology 16, 187-197
425
426 Pratt, H.L., 1993. The storage of spermatozoa in the oviducal glands of western North Atlantic
427 sharks. Environmental Biology of Fishes 38, 139-149
428
429 Pratt, H.L., Carrier, J.C., 2001. A review of elasmobranch reproductive behavior with a case
430 study on the nurse shark, *Ginglymostoma cirratum*. Environmental Biology of Fishes 60, 157-
431 188
432
433 Rousset, F., 2008. GENEPOP '007: a complete re-implementation of the GENEPOP software
434 for Windows and Linux. Molecular Ecology Resources 8, 103-106
435

- 436 Sheldon, B.C., 1994. Sperm competition in the chaffinch - the role of the female. *Animal*
 437 *Behaviour* 47, 163-173
 438
- 439 Simmons, L.W., 2005. The evolution of polyandry: sperm competition, sperm selection, and
 440 offspring viability. *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics* 36, 125-146
 441
- 442 Sims, D.W., Nash, J.P., Morritt, D., 2001. Movements and activity of male and female dogfish in
 443 a tidal sea lough: alternative behavioural strategies and apparent sexual segregation. *Marine*
 444 *Biology* 139, 1165-1175
 445
- 446 Smale, M.J., Compagno, L.J.V., 1997. Life history and diet of two southern African
 447 smoothhound sharks, *Mustelus mustelus* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Mustelus palumbes* Smith, 1957
 448 (Pisces : Triakidae). *South African Journal of Marine Science-Suid-Afrikaanse Tydskrif Vir*
 449 *Seewetenskap* 18, 229-248
 450
- 451 Smale, M.J., Goosen, A.J.J., 1999. Reproduction and feeding of spotted gully shark, *Triakis*
 452 *megalopterus*, off the Eastern Cape, South Africa. *Fishery Bulletin* 97, 987-998
 453
- 454 Storrie, M.T., Walker, T.I., Laurenson, L.J., Hamlett, W.C., 2008. Microscopic organization of
 455 the sperm storage tubules in the oviducal gland of the female gummy shark (*Mustelus*
 456 *antarcticus*), with observations on sperm distribution and storage. *Journal of Morphology* 269,
 457 1308-1324
 458

459 van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W.F., Wills, D.P.M., Shipley, P., 2004. MICRO-CHECKER:
460 software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Molecular
461 Ecology Notes 4, 535-538
462

463 Veríssimo, A., Grubbs, D., McDowell, J., Musick, J., Portnoy, D., 2011. Frequency of multiple
464 paternity in the spiny dogfish *Squalus acanthias* in the western North Atlantic. Journal of
465 Heredity 102, 88-93
466

467 Wearmouth V.J., Sims D.W., 2008. Sexual segregation in marine fish, reptiles, birds and
468 mammals: Behaviour patterns, mechanisms and conservation implications. Advances in Marine
469 Biology, Vol 54 54, 107-170
470

471 Yasui, Y., 1997. A "good-sperm" model can explain the evolution of costly multiple mating by
472 females. American Naturalist 149, 573-584
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481

Figure 1. Linear regression of *Triakis semifasciata* litter size versus dam total length. Litters H and K are excluded because these litter sizes were artificially low (see Section 3). Singly sired litters appear as open circles and multiply sired litters appear as closed circles. Both a singly sired and a multiply sired litter occur at (145, 22). Regression statistics: $r^2 = 0.429$, $p = 0.002$; litter size = $0.526 \times (\text{dam total length}) - 52.826$

Tables

Table 1

Summary of microsatellite characteristics for 148 presumably unrelated *Triakis semifasciata*. Annealing temperature (T_a); number of PCR cycles (Cycl. #); size in base-pairs of the cloned allele from which the primers were designed (Size); number of individuals screened (N_i); allelic diversity (N_a); expected heterozygosity (H_E); observed heterozygosity (H_o); p -values from Hardy-Weinberg exact tests for homozygote excess (P_{HW}); exclusion probability (P_E)

Locus	Primer Sequences	5' Label	T_a (°C)	Cycl. #	Repeat Motif	Size (bp)	N_i	N_a	H_E	H_o	P_{HW}	P_E
Tse01	F: 5'-TGTGCTTTTGTATTCCCTAATCC-3' R: 5'-CGGGAGTATGGTGGTATTC-3'	HEX	56	33	(CA) ₁₄	239	148	9	0.785	0.791	0.502	0.580
Tse02	F: 5'-CACCAGCAATCTGTCACTTG-3' R: 5'-CTGCTTAGCAATGGGCTCTGT-3'	6-FAM	56	28	(CA) ₁₀ C(CA) ₁₈	123	148	23	0.868	0.885	0.674	0.735
Tse03	F: 5'-CAGTATCTGGGATGGACTCTA-3' R: 5'-AAGCAGTGTCAGTGGTAGTAGG-3'	TET	56	32	(GATA) ₁₅	287	148	17	0.878	0.872	0.541	0.763
Tse04	F: 5'-CCTGCCCTGGTATTGACC-3' R: 5'-CCTGACTGAGGTGTGAAGATT-3'	HEX	56	35	(CTAT) ₁₆	140	148	18	0.873	0.885	0.780	0.757

501 **Table 2**

502 Summary information for litters of *Triakis semifasciata*. Multiply sired litters are indicated in bold. Dam identification letter (ID);
503 dam total length (TL) in cm; litter size (SIZE) in number of pups; ratio of female to male pups in a given litter (F:M); mean total
504 length of pups in a given litter ± standard deviation (MEAN TL ± SD) in cm; minimum number of sires suggested by GERUD (#
505 SIREs) and skew of male reproductive success (in parentheses; SKEW); probability of detecting multiple paternity (PrDM) for six
506 paternal skew scenarios

507
508 ^ Litter size artificially low because some pups were consumed by swell sharks (see Section 3)

509 * Significant departure from expected 50:50 ratio ($\chi^2 = 4.545$, n = 22, d.f. = 1, p = 0.033)

510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517

DAM			LITTER			PrDM									
TL ID (cm)	YEAR ACQUIRED	SIZE	F:M	MEAN TL ± SD (cm)	# SIREs (SKEW)	0.50:	0.60:	0.70:	0.80:	0.90:	0.95:				
A 150	2007	25	15:10	20.1 ± 0.6	1 (25:0)	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.995	0.922	0.714				
B 142	2007	22	10:12	21.5 ± 0.7	1 (22:0)	1.000	0.999	0.999	0.991	0.895	0.667				
C 132	2007	11	7:4	21.2 ± 0.6	1 (11:0)	0.997	0.994	0.975	0.907	0.675	0.423				
D 140	2009	15	n.d.	4.1 ± 0.7	1 (15:0)	0.999	0.998	0.993	0.959	0.784	0.526				
E 130	2009	13	5:8	13.5 ± 0.8	2 (7:6)	0.999	0.997	0.987	0.938	0.734	0.477				
F 147	2009	33	22:11	21.0 ± 0.5	1 (33:0)	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.999	0.965	0.809				
G 145	2010	22	n.d.	4.3 ± 0.8	1 (22:0)	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.989	0.891	0.664				
H 154	2010	25	n.d.	4.9 ± 0.9	1 (25:0)	1.000	1.000	0.999	0.995	0.921	0.713				
I 147	2010	9 ^a	4:5	12.8 ± 0.6	2 (5:4)	0.993	0.986	0.953	0.855	0.603	0.362				
J 142	2010	24	n.d.	3.8 ± 0.7	1 (24:0)	1.000	0.999	0.999	0.993	0.913	0.699				
K 150	2010	4 ^a	2:2	15.8 ± 0.6	2 (3:1)	0.801	0.772	0.685	0.536	0.313	0.167				
L 140	2010	13	7:6	13.0 ± 0.9	1 (13:0)	0.999	0.987	0.988	0.939	0.735	0.477				
M 137	2010	21	10:11	21.8 ± 0.7	1 (21:0)	0.999	0.999	0.998	0.988	0.882	0.645				
N 137	2010	22	7:15	19.5 ± 2.0	1 (22:0)	1.000	0.999	0.999	0.992	0.903	0.681				
O 132	2010	18	7:11	20.2 ± 0.7	1 (18:0)	0.999	0.999	0.997	0.973	0.825	0.574				
P 143	2010	21	13:8	20.7 ± 0.5	1 (21:0)	0.999	0.999	0.998	0.988	0.883	0.652				
Q 139	2011	25	9:16	15.5 ± 0.6	1 (25:0)	1.000	0.999	0.999	0.994	0.922	0.713				
R 156	2011	24	12:12	20.6 ± 0.6	2 (21:3)	1.000	0.999	0.999	0.994	0.914	0.700				
S 148	2011	30	17:13	20.2 ± 0.4	2 (17:13)	1.000	0.999	0.999	0.998	0.953	0.777				
T 145	2011	22	6:16 [*]	20.6 ± 0.5	2 (20:2)	1.000	0.999	0.999	0.990	0.893	0.667				
U 136	2011	22	12:10	18.3 ± 1.1	2 (21:1)	0.999	0.999	0.999	0.990	0.893	0.668				
V 145	2011	28	16:12	21.6 ± 0.4	2 (26:2)	1.000	0.999	0.999	0.997	0.941	0.752				

518

519

520

521

522 **Appendix 1**

523 Allele frequency distributions of four microsatellite loci for 148 presumably unrelated *Triakis semifasciata* individuals. Allele size

524 (SIZE) in bp; allele frequency (FREQ)

	Tse01		Tse02		Tse03		Tse04	
	SIZE	FREQ	SIZE	FREQ	SIZE	FREQ	SIZE	FREQ
	217	0.226	66	0.101	259	0.101	112	0.003
	229	0.041	68	0.010	263	0.280	116	0.064
	237	0.307	72	0.010	267	0.057	120	0.304
	239	0.243	86	0.037	271	0.074	124	0.068
	241	0.054	88	0.010	275	0.034	128	0.061
	243	0.020	96	0.003	279	0.017	132	0.024
	245	0.010	99	0.014	283	0.007	136	0.064
	251	0.088	100	0.003	287	0.034	140	0.051
	253	0.010	104	0.074	291	0.084	144	0.054
			106	0.007	295	0.088	148	0.071
			107	0.010	299	0.051	152	0.017
			108	0.014	303	0.034	156	0.074
			109	0.115	307	0.030	160	0.030
			110	0.003	311	0.061	164	0.037
			111	0.216	315	0.027	168	0.027
			113	0.226	319	0.007	172	0.007
			115	0.024	323	0.014	176	0.037
			117	0.003			180	0.007
			119	0.064				
			120	0.003				
			121	0.014				
			123	0.034				
			125	0.003				

525