

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

The crystal structure of TiMgCl_3 from 290 K to 725 K

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8mm8b9tz>

Journal

Acta Crystallographica Section E: Crystallographic Communications, 76(Pt 11)

ISSN

1600-5368

Authors

Onken, Drew R

Perrodin, Didier

Vogel, Sven C

et al.

Publication Date

2020-11-01

DOI

10.1107/s2056989020013201

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed



The crystal structure of TlMgCl_3 from 290 K to 725 K

Drew R. Onken,^{a*} Didier Perrodin,^a Sven C. Vogel,^b Edith D. Bourret^a and Federico Moretti^a

^aLawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA, and ^bLos Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA. *Correspondence e-mail: DOnten@lbl.gov

Received 15 September 2020

Accepted 29 September 2020

Edited by W. T. A. Harrison, University of Aberdeen, Scotland

Keywords: TlMgCl_3 ; scintillator; crystal structure; high-temperature neutron diffraction.

CCDC reference: 2034695


Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

The title compound, thallium magnesium trichloride, has been identified as a scintillator with both moderate gamma-stopping power and moderate light yield. Knowledge of its crystal structure is needed for further development. This work determines the crystal structure of TlMgCl_3 to be hexagonal $P6_3/mmc$ (No. 194) and isostructural with RbMgCl_3 , contrary to previously reported data. This structure was obtained by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and was further confirmed by neutron diffraction measurements. Extending neutron diffraction measurements to high temperature, the data show that TlMgCl_3 maintains this crystal structure from 290 K up through 725 K, approaching the melting point of 770 K. Anisotropic thermal expansion coefficients increase over this temperature range, from 31 to $38 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$ along the a axis and from 19 to $34 \times 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$ along the c axis.

1. Chemical context

In the ongoing search for inorganic scintillators with high gamma-stopping power, TlMgCl_3 has been identified. As a result of the presence of thallium, TlMgCl_3 has a high effective atomic number, $Z_{\text{eff}} = 67$ [calculation methodology (Derenzo & Choong, 2009) in the supporting information], and a moderate density, $\rho = 4.47 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ (determined in this work). A pair of initial crystal growths of TlMgCl_3 have been conducted to assess the scintillation properties: Fujimoto *et al.* (2016) measured $46,000 \text{ ph MeV}^{-1}$ light yield with 5% energy resolution at 662 keV, and Hawrami *et al.* (2017) measured $30,600 \text{ ph MeV}^{-1}$ light yield with 3.7% energy resolution at 662 keV.

To develop this compound further, a precise determination of the crystal structure is necessary. This will enable first-principles calculations of the electronic configuration and may be useful in assessing challenges that arise during synthesis (*e.g.* from thermal stresses). This work reports the crystal structure of TlMgCl_3 between 290 K and 725 K, approaching the melting point of 770 K. Previous work on TlMgCl_3 by Beznosikov (1978) used powder diffraction to report the space group at room temperature as orthorhombic ($a = 6.54$, $b = 9.22$, $c = 6.99 \text{ \AA}$). However, despite using the same synthesis procedure, the structure reported by Beznosikov does not fit the diffraction data reported herein. Arai *et al.* (2020) published diffraction data but did not provide information on the crystal structure.



OPEN ACCESS

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Tl1—Cl1	3.5126 (2)	Tl2—Cl2 ^{iv}	3.622 (5)
Tl1—Cl2 ⁱ	3.576 (5)	Mg1—Cl2	2.448 (6)
Tl2—Cl1 ⁱⁱ	3.510 (3)	Mg1—Cl1	2.499 (6)
Tl2—Cl2 ⁱⁱⁱ	3.5146 (3)	Mg2—Cl2	2.476 (4)
Mg1 ^v —Cl1—Mg1	78.5 (3)	Mg1—Cl2—Mg2	178.8 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-y+1, x-y, z$; (ii) $-y, x-y, z$; (iii) $x-1, y, -z+\frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $x-y, x, z-\frac{1}{2}$; (v) $x, y, -z+\frac{1}{2}$.

2. Structural commentary

Single crystal X-ray diffraction (SC-XRD) determined TlMgCl_3 to have a hexagonal structure (space group $P6_3/mmc$, No. 194) with lattice parameters $a = 7.0228$ (4), $c = 17.4934$ (15) Å at 290 K. Fig. 1 visualizes the unit cell, which shows a three-dimensional corner- and face-sharing framework of six-coordinated Mg atoms encapsulating the 12-coordinated Tl atoms. There are six formula units in the unit cell. There are two thallium, two magnesium and two chlorine atoms in the asymmetric unit of TlMgCl_3 , with site symmetries of $\bar{6}m2$ and $3m$; $3m$ and $\bar{3}m$; $mm2$ and m , respectively; key bond distances and angles are listed in Table 1. Pairs of Mg2-centered octahedra share faces (*via* $3 \times \text{Cl1}$) and these octahedral pairs share corners (*via* Cl2) with the Mg1 octahedra to generate an *ABACBC* hexagonal stacking sequence of the chloride ions in the *c*-axis direction with the thallium cations occupying the vacant 12-coordinate sites. The coordination polyhedra of the chloride ions are distorted ClMg_2Tl_4 octahedra with the Mg^{2+} ions in a *cis* disposition for Cl1 and a *trans* disposition for Cl2. The title compound is isostructural with RbMgCl_3 as reported by Devaney *et al.* (1981) and RbMnCl_3 as reported by Goodyear *et al.* (1977), who describe the structure in more detail. This structure is more complex than that of CsMgCl_3 (McPherson *et al.*, 1970), which also has space group $P6_3/mmc$ but only requires two formula units per unit cell and has an *AB* hexagonal stacking sequence of the chloride ions in the *c*-axis direction.

Neutron diffraction (ND) conducted on powder samples produced diffraction patterns that were in agreement with the crystal structure determined by SC-XRD. Neutron diffraction was conducted at temperatures ranging from 300 K to 725 K.




Figure 1
The unit cell of TlMgCl_3 , with the MgCl_6 octahedra shown in polyhedral representation.




Figure 2
The hexagonal lattice parameters of TlMgCl_3 as a function of temperature, from neutron diffraction data. Vertical error bars from Rietveld fitting are within the size of the symbols and are omitted. The dashed lines are second-order polynomial fits to the data.

TlMgCl_3 maintains the same $P6_3/mmc$ crystal structure over this measured temperature range (see supporting information for more details on the powder ND data and fits). Fig. 2 shows the lattice parameters as a function of temperature. From these data, the thermal expansion along each axis is calculated




Figure 3
Thermal expansion coefficients as a function of temperature, calculated from the second-order polynomial fit of the lattice parameters in Fig. 2.

Table 2
Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	TiMgCl ₃
M_r	335.03
Crystal system, space group	Hexagonal, $P6_3/mmc$
Temperature (K)	290
a, c (Å)	7.0228 (4), 17.4934 (15)
V (Å ³)	747.18 (11)
Z	6
Radiation type	Mo $K\alpha$
μ (mm ⁻¹)	33.97
Crystal size (mm)	0.10 × 0.10 × 0.10
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2004)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.578, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] reflections	4109, 489, 387
R_{int}	0.047
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\text{max}}$ (Å ⁻¹)	0.718
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.047, 0.113, 1.42
No. of reflections	489
No. of parameters	21
$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}}, \Delta\rho_{\text{min}}$ (e Å ⁻³)	1.88, -2.11

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2004), SHELXT2014/4 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015b) and VESTA (Momma & Izumi, 2011).

(Fig. 3). The thermal expansion is greater along the a axis than the c axis. Besides the anisotropy in the lattice parameters, the atomic positions did not vary significantly with temperature, and therefore the bond lengths change with temperature as dictated by the lattice parameters alone.

3. Synthesis and crystallization

Crystals of TiMgCl₃ were grown from the melt using the vertical Bridgman method. High purity beads of TiCl₃ and MgCl₂ were combined in a stoichiometric ratio and sealed in a quartz ampoule under vacuum (10⁻⁶ Torr). The crystal was grown with a translation speed of 0.5 mm h⁻¹ and was cooled over 72 h. To protect the moisture-sensitive reactants and products, all preparations before and after synthesis were conducted inside an argon-filled glove box.

4. Refinement

SC-XRD was conducted on a Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD diffractometer. The crystal was protected from moisture by oil during mounting and by an Oxford dry nitrogen gas cryo-stream system during data collection at 290 K. Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2.

Powder high-temperature ND measurements were obtained using the high-pressure preferred orientation (HIPPO) neutron diffractometer at the short-pulsed spallation neutron source of the Lujan Neutron Scattering Center at Los Alamos National Laboratory (Wenk *et al.*, 2003; Vogel *et al.*, 2004). Powder samples were sealed under argon in vanadium tubes to

protect from moisture during data collection. Time-of-flight data were collected with HIPPO detector panels of ³He detector tubes arranged on five rings with nominal diffraction angles of $2\theta = 39, 60, 90, 120, \text{ and } 144^\circ$. Count times were 90 minutes per dwell time. ND data were analyzed for all five rings simultaneously using the Rietveld method implemented in the GSAS code (Larson & Von Dreele, 2004) and automated by scripts through gsaLANGUAGE (Vogel, 2011). To yield reliable absolute lattice parameters, the DIFC instrument calibration parameters were fitted for the room-temperature data using the lattice parameters from SC-XRD and were kept constant for the rest of the ND data at higher temperatures. For more details on the data collection and refinement of these neutron diffraction data, see Onken *et al.* (2018).

The thermal expansion tensor was generated using a quadratic fit to the lattice parameters ($R^2 = 0.999$), using the Thermal Expansion Visualization (TEV) program (Langreiter & Kahlenberg, 2015).

Acknowledgements

The single-crystal structure determination was provided by the X-ray Analytical Facility at the University of California, Santa Barbara (Dr Guang Wu, Lab Manager).

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: U.S. Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA) [contract No. HDTRA19-31194 to Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) authors]; U.S. Department of Energy, National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation (DNN) (contract No. AC02-05CH11231 to LBNL authors); U.S. Department of Energy, NNSA [contract No. 89233218NCA000001 to Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL)].

References

- Arai, M., Fujimoto, Y., Koshimizu, M., Yanagida, T. & Asai, K. (2020). *J. Alloy Compd.* **823**, 3–7.
- Beznosikov, B. V. (1978). *Sov. Phys. Crystallogr.* (translated from *Kristallografiya*), **23**, 61–63.
- Bruker (2004). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Derenzo, S. E. & Choong, W.-S. (2009). *IEEE Nucl. Sci. Sym. Conf. R.* pp. 1–6.
- Devaney, K. O., Freedman, M. R., McPherson, G. L. & Atwood, J. L. (1981). *Inorg. Chem.* **20**, 140–145.
- Fujimoto, Y., Koshimizu, M., Yanagida, T., Okada, G., Saeki, K. & Asai, K. (2016). *Jpn J. Appl. Phys.* **55**, 090301.
- Goodyear, J., Steigmann, G. A. & Ali, E. M. (1977). *Acta Cryst.* **B33**, 256–258.
- Hawrami, R., Ariesanti, E., Wei, H., Finkelstein, J., Glodo, J. & Shah, K. S. (2017). *J. Cryst. Growth*, **475**, 216–219.
- Langreiter, T. & Kahlenberg, V. (2015). *Crystals*, **5**, 143–153.
- Larson, A. C. & Von Dreele, R. B. (2004). GSAS. Report LAUR 86-748. Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico, USA.
- McPherson, G. L., Kistenmacher, T. J. & Stucky, G. D. (1970). *J. Chem. Phys.* **52**, 815–824.
- Momma, K. & Izumi, F. (2011). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **44**, 1272–1276.

- Onken, D. R., Williams, R. T., Perrodin, D., Shalapska, T., Bourret, E. D., Tremis, A. S. & Vogel, S. C. (2018). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **51**, 498–504.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). *Acta Cryst.* **A71**, 3–8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). *Acta Cryst.* **C71**, 3–8.
- Vogel, S. C. (2011). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **44**, 873–877.
- Vogel, S. C., Hartig, C., Lutterotti, L., Von Dreele, R. B., Wenk, H.-R. & Williams, D. J. (2004). *Powder Diffr.* **19**, 65–68.
- Wenk, H.-R., Lutterotti, L. & Vogel, S. (2003). *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A*, **515**, 575–588.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2020). E76, 1716-1719 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989020013201]

The crystal structure of TlMgCl_3 from 290 K to 725 K

Drew R. Onken, Didier Perrodin, Sven C. Vogel, Edith D. Bourret and Federico Moretti

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2004); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT2014/4* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *VESTA* (Momma & Izumi, 2011); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015b).

Thallium magnesium trichloride

Crystal data

TlMgCl_3	$D_x = 4.467 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 335.03$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
Hexagonal, $P6_3/mmc$	Cell parameters from 1053 reflections
$a = 7.0228 (4) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 3.6\text{--}28.2^\circ$
$c = 17.4934 (15) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 33.97 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 747.18 (11) \text{ \AA}^3$	$T = 290 \text{ K}$
$Z = 6$	Block, colorless
$F(000) = 864$	$0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD diffractometer	489 independent reflections
ω scans	387 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2004)	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.047$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.578$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.746$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 30.7^\circ$, $\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.3^\circ$
4109 measured reflections	$h = -8 \rightarrow 9$
	$k = -8 \rightarrow 8$
	$l = -23 \rightarrow 25$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	0 restraints
Least-squares matrix: full	Primary atom site location: dual
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + 23.1798P]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.113$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$S = 1.42$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
489 reflections	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 1.88 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
21 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -2.11 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Tl1	0.000000	0.000000	0.250000	0.0375 (5)
Tl2	0.333333	0.666667	0.09002 (7)	0.0395 (4)
Mg1	0.666667	0.333333	0.3404 (4)	0.0115 (14)
Mg2	1.000000	1.000000	0.500000	0.017 (2)
Cl1	0.5075 (4)	0.0150 (8)	0.250000	0.0211 (9)
Cl2	0.8336 (4)	0.6671 (9)	0.4185 (2)	0.0379 (10)

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Tl1	0.0378 (7)	0.0378 (7)	0.0369 (8)	0.0189 (3)	0.000	0.000
Tl2	0.0353 (5)	0.0353 (5)	0.0479 (7)	0.0176 (2)	0.000	0.000
Mg1	0.011 (2)	0.011 (2)	0.013 (3)	0.0053 (11)	0.000	0.000
Mg2	0.019 (4)	0.019 (4)	0.014 (5)	0.0094 (19)	0.000	0.000
Cl1	0.0224 (18)	0.011 (2)	0.0261 (19)	0.0055 (10)	0.000	0.000
Cl2	0.0418 (18)	0.027 (2)	0.0399 (18)	0.0135 (10)	-0.0123 (9)	-0.0246 (18)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Tl1—Cl1 ⁱ	3.5126 (2)	Tl2—Cl2 ^x	3.5146 (3)
Tl1—Cl1 ⁱⁱ	3.5126 (2)	Tl2—Cl2 ^{xvi}	3.5146 (3)
Tl1—Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ	3.5126 (2)	Tl2—Cl2 ^{xvii}	3.622 (5)
Tl1—Cl1	3.5126 (2)	Tl2—Cl2 ^{xviii}	3.622 (5)
Tl1—Cl1 ^{iv}	3.5126 (2)	Tl2—Cl2 ^{xix}	3.622 (5)
Tl1—Cl1 ^v	3.5126 (2)	Mg1—Cl2	2.448 (6)
Tl1—Cl2 ^{vi}	3.576 (5)	Mg1—Cl2 ⁱⁱ	2.448 (6)
Tl1—Cl2 ^{vii}	3.576 (5)	Mg1—Cl2 ^{vii}	2.448 (6)
Tl1—Cl2 ^{viii}	3.576 (5)	Mg1—Cl1 ^{vii}	2.499 (6)
Tl1—Cl2 ^{ix}	3.576 (5)	Mg1—Cl1	2.499 (6)
Tl1—Cl2 ^x	3.576 (5)	Mg1—Cl1 ⁱⁱ	2.499 (6)
Tl1—Cl2 ^{xi}	3.576 (5)	Mg1—Mg1 ^{xvi}	3.162 (13)
Tl2—Cl1 ⁱⁱ	3.510 (3)	Mg2—Cl2 ^{xx}	2.476 (4)
Tl2—Cl1 ^{xii}	3.510 (3)	Mg2—Cl2 ^{xxi}	2.476 (4)
Tl2—Cl1 ^{iv}	3.510 (3)	Mg2—Cl2 ^{xxii}	2.476 (4)
Tl2—Cl2 ^{xiii}	3.5146 (3)	Mg2—Cl2 ^{xxiii}	2.476 (4)
Tl2—Cl2 ^{xiv}	3.5146 (3)	Mg2—Cl2 ^{xxiv}	2.476 (4)
Tl2—Cl2 ^{viii}	3.5146 (3)	Mg2—Cl2	2.476 (4)
Tl2—Cl2 ^{xv}	3.5146 (3)		
Cl1 ⁱ —Tl1—Cl1 ⁱⁱ	120.0	Cl1 ^{iv} —Tl2—Cl2 ^{xvi}	123.81 (8)
Cl1 ⁱ —Tl1—Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ	57.02 (16)	Cl2 ^{xiii} —Tl2—Cl2 ^{xvi}	175.12 (14)
Cl1 ⁱⁱ —Tl1—Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ	177.02 (16)	Cl2 ^{xiv} —Tl2—Cl2 ^{xvi}	119.820 (11)
Cl1 ⁱ —Tl1—Cl1	62.98 (16)	Cl2 ^{viii} —Tl2—Cl2 ^{xvi}	119.820 (10)
Cl1 ⁱⁱ —Tl1—Cl1	57.02 (16)	Cl2 ^{xv} —Tl2—Cl2 ^{xvi}	59.85 (17)
Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ —Tl1—Cl1	120.0	Cl2 ^x —Tl2—Cl2 ^{xvi}	60.03 (17)

C11 ⁱ —T11—C11 ^{iv}	177.02 (16)	C11 ⁱⁱ —T12—C12 ^{xvii}	176.96 (10)
C11 ⁱⁱ —T11—C11 ^{iv}	62.98 (16)	C11 ^{xii} —T12—C12 ^{xvii}	119.42 (7)
C11 ⁱⁱⁱ —T11—C11 ^{iv}	120.0	C11 ^{iv} —T12—C12 ^{xvii}	119.42 (7)
C11—T11—C11 ^{iv}	120.000 (1)	C12 ^{xiii} —T12—C12 ^{xvii}	58.64 (11)
C11 ⁱ —T11—C11 ^v	120.000 (1)	C12 ^{xiv} —T12—C12 ^{xvii}	58.64 (11)
C11 ⁱⁱ —T11—C11 ^v	119.999 (1)	C12 ^{viii} —T12—C12 ^{xvii}	88.00 (9)
C11 ⁱⁱⁱ —T11—C11 ^v	62.98 (16)	C12 ^{xv} —T12—C12 ^{xvii}	88.00 (9)
C11—T11—C11 ^v	177.02 (16)	C12 ^x —T12—C12 ^{xvii}	116.71 (6)
C11 ^{iv} —T11—C11 ^v	57.02 (16)	C12 ^{xvi} —T12—C12 ^{xvii}	116.71 (6)
C11 ⁱ —T11—C12 ^{vi}	60.17 (6)	C11 ⁱⁱ —T12—C12 ^{xviii}	119.42 (7)
C11 ⁱⁱ —T11—C12 ^{vi}	118.86 (6)	C11 ^{xii} —T12—C12 ^{xviii}	176.96 (10)
C11 ⁱⁱⁱ —T11—C12 ^{vi}	60.17 (6)	C11 ^{iv} —T12—C12 ^{xviii}	119.42 (7)
C11—T11—C12 ^{vi}	90.84 (4)	C12 ^{xiii} —T12—C12 ^{xviii}	88.00 (9)
C11 ^{iv} —T11—C12 ^{vi}	118.86 (6)	C12 ^{xiv} —T12—C12 ^{xviii}	116.71 (6)
C11 ^v —T11—C12 ^{vi}	90.84 (5)	C12 ^{viii} —T12—C12 ^{xviii}	58.64 (11)
C11 ⁱ —T11—C12 ^{vii}	90.84 (4)	C12 ^{xv} —T12—C12 ^{xviii}	116.71 (6)
C11 ⁱⁱ —T11—C12 ^{vii}	60.17 (6)	C12 ^x —T12—C12 ^{xviii}	58.64 (11)
C11 ⁱⁱⁱ —T11—C12 ^{vii}	118.86 (6)	C12 ^{xvi} —T12—C12 ^{xviii}	88.00 (9)
C11—T11—C12 ^{vii}	60.17 (6)	C12 ^{xvii} —T12—C12 ^{xviii}	58.07 (11)
C11 ^{iv} —T11—C12 ^{vii}	90.84 (4)	C11 ⁱⁱ —T12—C12 ^{xix}	119.42 (7)
C11 ^v —T11—C12 ^{vii}	118.86 (6)	C11 ^{xii} —T12—C12 ^{xix}	119.42 (8)
C12 ^{vi} —T11—C12 ^{vii}	147.12 (6)	C11 ^{iv} —T12—C12 ^{xix}	176.96 (10)
C11 ⁱ —T11—C12 ^{viii}	118.86 (6)	C12 ^{xiii} —T12—C12 ^{xix}	116.71 (6)
C11 ⁱⁱ —T11—C12 ^{viii}	90.84 (5)	C12 ^{xiv} —T12—C12 ^{xix}	88.00 (9)
C11 ⁱⁱⁱ —T11—C12 ^{viii}	90.84 (5)	C12 ^{viii} —T12—C12 ^{xix}	116.71 (6)
C11—T11—C12 ^{viii}	118.86 (6)	C12 ^{xv} —T12—C12 ^{xix}	58.64 (11)
C11 ^{iv} —T11—C12 ^{viii}	60.17 (6)	C12 ^x —T12—C12 ^{xix}	88.00 (9)
C11 ^v —T11—C12 ^{viii}	60.17 (6)	C12 ^{xvi} —T12—C12 ^{xix}	58.64 (11)
C12 ^{vi} —T11—C12 ^{viii}	58.71 (11)	C12 ^{xvii} —T12—C12 ^{xix}	58.07 (11)
C12 ^{vii} —T11—C12 ^{viii}	147.12 (6)	C12 ^{xviii} —T12—C12 ^{xix}	58.07 (11)
C11 ⁱ —T11—C12 ^{ix}	118.86 (6)	C12—Mg1—C12 ⁱⁱ	91.8 (2)
C11 ⁱⁱ —T11—C12 ^{ix}	90.84 (5)	C12—Mg1—C12 ^{vii}	91.8 (2)
C11 ⁱⁱⁱ —T11—C12 ^{ix}	90.84 (5)	C12 ⁱⁱ —Mg1—C12 ^{vii}	91.8 (2)
C11—T11—C12 ^{ix}	118.86 (6)	C12—Mg1—C11 ^{vii}	91.84 (10)
C11 ^{iv} —T11—C12 ^{ix}	60.17 (6)	C12 ⁱⁱ —Mg1—C11 ^{vii}	91.84 (10)
C11 ^v —T11—C12 ^{ix}	60.17 (6)	C12 ^{vii} —Mg1—C11 ^{vii}	174.7 (3)
C12 ^{vi} —T11—C12 ^{ix}	147.12 (6)	C12—Mg1—C11	174.7 (3)
C12 ^{vii} —T11—C12 ^{ix}	58.71 (11)	C12 ⁱⁱ —Mg1—C11	91.84 (10)
C12 ^{viii} —T11—C12 ^{ix}	111.04 (14)	C12 ^{vii} —Mg1—C11	91.84 (10)
C11 ⁱ —T11—C12 ^x	90.84 (4)	C11 ^{vii} —Mg1—C11	84.3 (2)
C11 ⁱⁱ —T11—C12 ^x	60.17 (6)	C12—Mg1—C11 ⁱⁱ	91.84 (10)
C11 ⁱⁱⁱ —T11—C12 ^x	118.86 (6)	C12 ⁱⁱ —Mg1—C11 ⁱⁱ	174.7 (3)
C11—T11—C12 ^x	60.17 (6)	C12 ^{vii} —Mg1—C11 ⁱⁱ	91.84 (10)
C11 ^{iv} —T11—C12 ^x	90.84 (5)	C11 ^{vii} —Mg1—C11 ⁱⁱ	84.3 (2)
C11 ^v —T11—C12 ^x	118.86 (6)	C11—Mg1—C11 ⁱⁱ	84.3 (2)
C12 ^{vi} —T11—C12 ^x	58.71 (11)	C12 ^{xx} —Mg2—C12 ^{xxi}	90.17 (16)
C12 ^{vii} —T11—C12 ^x	111.04 (14)	C12 ^{xx} —Mg2—C12 ^{xxii}	89.83 (16)
C12 ^{viii} —T11—C12 ^x	58.71 (11)	C12 ^{xxi} —Mg2—C12 ^{xxii}	180.0

Cl2 ^{ix} —T11—Cl2 ^x	147.12 (6)	Cl2 ^{xx} —Mg2—Cl2 ^{xxiii}	90.17 (16)
Cl1 ⁱ —T11—Cl2 ^{xi}	60.17 (6)	Cl2 ^{xxi} —Mg2—Cl2 ^{xxiii}	90.17 (17)
Cl1 ⁱⁱ —T11—Cl2 ^{xi}	118.86 (6)	Cl2 ^{xxii} —Mg2—Cl2 ^{xxiii}	89.83 (17)
Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ —T11—Cl2 ^{xi}	60.17 (6)	Cl2 ^{xx} —Mg2—Cl2 ^{xxiv}	89.83 (16)
Cl1—T11—Cl2 ^{xi}	90.84 (4)	Cl2 ^{xxi} —Mg2—Cl2 ^{xxiv}	89.83 (17)
Cl1 ^{iv} —T11—Cl2 ^{xi}	118.86 (6)	Cl2 ^{xxii} —Mg2—Cl2 ^{xxiv}	90.17 (17)
Cl1 ^v —T11—Cl2 ^{xi}	90.84 (5)	Cl2 ^{xxiii} —Mg2—Cl2 ^{xxiv}	180.0
Cl2 ^{vi} —T11—Cl2 ^{xi}	111.04 (14)	Cl2 ^{xx} —Mg2—Cl2	180.0
Cl2 ^{vii} —T11—Cl2 ^{xi}	58.71 (11)	Cl2 ^{xxi} —Mg2—Cl2	89.83 (17)
Cl2 ^{viii} —T11—Cl2 ^{xi}	147.12 (6)	Cl2 ^{xxii} —Mg2—Cl2	90.17 (17)
Cl2 ^{ix} —T11—Cl2 ^{xi}	58.71 (11)	Cl2 ^{xxiii} —Mg2—Cl2	89.83 (16)
Cl2 ^x —T11—Cl2 ^{xi}	147.12 (6)	Cl2 ^{xxiv} —Mg2—Cl2	90.17 (16)
Cl1 ⁱⁱ —T12—Cl1 ^{xii}	63.03 (10)	Mg1 ^{xvi} —Cl1—Mg1	78.5 (3)
Cl1 ⁱⁱ —T12—Cl1 ^{iv}	63.03 (10)	Mg1 ^{xvi} —Cl1—Tl2 ^{xxv}	87.89 (12)
Cl1 ^{xii} —T12—Cl1 ^{iv}	63.03 (10)	Mg1—Cl1—Tl2 ^{xxv}	166.36 (18)
Cl1 ⁱⁱ —T12—Cl2 ^{xiii}	123.81 (8)	Mg1 ^{xvi} —Cl1—Tl2 ^{xxvi}	166.36 (18)
Cl1 ^{xii} —T12—Cl2 ^{xiii}	91.92 (9)	Mg1—Cl1—Tl2 ^{xxvi}	87.89 (12)
Cl1 ^{iv} —T12—Cl2 ^{xiii}	60.79 (8)	Tl2 ^{xxv} —Cl1—Tl2 ^{xxvi}	105.74 (13)
Cl1 ⁱⁱ —T12—Cl2 ^{xiv}	123.81 (8)	Mg1 ^{xvi} —Cl1—Tl1 ^{xxvii}	91.16 (6)
Cl1 ^{xii} —T12—Cl2 ^{xiv}	60.79 (8)	Mg1—Cl1—Tl1 ^{xxvii}	91.15 (6)
Cl1 ^{iv} —T12—Cl2 ^{xiv}	91.92 (9)	Tl2 ^{xxv} —Cl1—Tl1 ^{xxvii}	89.10 (5)
Cl2 ^{xiii} —T12—Cl2 ^{xiv}	59.85 (17)	Tl2 ^{xxvi} —Cl1—Tl1 ^{xxvii}	89.10 (5)
Cl1 ⁱⁱ —T12—Cl2 ^{viii}	91.92 (9)	Mg1 ^{xvi} —Cl1—Tl1	91.15 (6)
Cl1 ^{xii} —T12—Cl2 ^{viii}	123.81 (8)	Mg1—Cl1—Tl1	91.15 (6)
Cl1 ^{iv} —T12—Cl2 ^{viii}	60.79 (8)	Tl2 ^{xxv} —Cl1—Tl1	89.10 (5)
Cl2 ^{xiii} —T12—Cl2 ^{viii}	60.03 (17)	Tl2 ^{xxvi} —Cl1—Tl1	89.10 (5)
Cl2 ^{xiv} —T12—Cl2 ^{viii}	119.820 (10)	Tl1 ^{xxvii} —Cl1—Tl1	177.02 (16)
Cl1 ⁱⁱ —T12—Cl2 ^{xv}	91.92 (9)	Mg1—Cl2—Mg2	178.8 (3)
Cl1 ^{xii} —T12—Cl2 ^{xv}	60.79 (8)	Mg1—Cl2—Tl2 ^{xvi}	88.60 (7)
Cl1 ^{iv} —T12—Cl2 ^{xv}	123.81 (8)	Mg2—Cl2—Tl2 ^{xvi}	91.44 (7)
Cl2 ^{xiii} —T12—Cl2 ^{xv}	119.820 (10)	Mg1—Cl2—Tl2 ^{xxviii}	88.60 (7)
Cl2 ^{xiv} —T12—Cl2 ^{xv}	60.03 (17)	Mg2—Cl2—Tl2 ^{xxviii}	91.44 (7)
Cl2 ^{viii} —T12—Cl2 ^{xv}	175.12 (14)	Tl2 ^{xvi} —Cl2—Tl2 ^{xxviii}	175.12 (14)
Cl1 ⁱⁱ —T12—Cl2 ^x	60.79 (8)	Mg1—Cl2—Tl1 ^{xxix}	90.52 (17)
Cl1 ^{xii} —T12—Cl2 ^x	123.81 (8)	Mg2—Cl2—Tl1 ^{xxix}	90.67 (16)
Cl1 ^{iv} —T12—Cl2 ^x	91.92 (9)	Tl2 ^{xvi} —Cl2—Tl1 ^{xxix}	88.02 (8)
Cl2 ^{xiii} —T12—Cl2 ^x	119.820 (11)	Tl2 ^{xxviii} —Cl2—Tl1 ^{xxix}	88.02 (8)
Cl2 ^{xiv} —T12—Cl2 ^x	175.12 (14)	Mg1—Cl2—Tl2 ^{xxx}	89.9 (2)
Cl2 ^{viii} —T12—Cl2 ^x	59.85 (17)	Mg2—Cl2—Tl2 ^{xxx}	88.94 (11)
Cl2 ^{xv} —T12—Cl2 ^x	119.820 (11)	Tl2 ^{xvi} —Cl2—Tl2 ^{xxx}	91.99 (9)
Cl1 ⁱⁱ —T12—Cl2 ^{xvi}	60.79 (8)	Tl2 ^{xxviii} —Cl2—Tl2 ^{xxx}	91.99 (9)
Cl1 ^{xii} —T12—Cl2 ^{xvi}	91.92 (9)	Tl1 ^{xxix} —Cl2—Tl2 ^{xxx}	179.61 (13)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-y, x-y-1, z$; (ii) $-x+y+1, -x+1, z$; (iii) $-x+y, -x, z$; (iv) $-y, x-y, z$; (v) $x-1, y, z$; (vi) $x-1, y-1, -z+1/2$; (vii) $-y+1, x-y, z$; (viii) $-x+y, -x+1, -z+1/2$; (ix) $-x+y, -x+1, z$; (x) $-y+1, x-y, -z+1/2$; (xi) $x-1, y-1, z$; (xii) $x, y+1, z$; (xiii) $x-1, y, -z+1/2$; (xiv) $-y+1, x-y+1, -z+1/2$; (xv) $-x+y+1, -x+2, -z+1/2$; (xvi) $x, y, -z+1/2$; (xvii) $x-y, x, z-1/2$; (xviii) $-x+1, -y+1, z-1/2$; (xix) $y, -x+y+1, z-1/2$; (xx) $-x+2, -y+2, -z+1$; (xxi) $x-y+1, x, -z+1$; (xxii) $-x+y+1, -x+2, z$; (xxiii) $y, -x+y+1, -z+1$; (xxiv) $-y+2, x-y+1, z$; (xxv) $x, y-1, z$; (xxvi) $x, y-1, -z+1/2$; (xxvii) $x+1, y, z$; (xxviii) $x+1, y, -z+1/2$; (xxix) $x+1, y+1, z$; (xxx) $-x+1, -y+1, z+1/2$.