Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

TEMPERATURE RISE PRODUCED BY SYNCHROTRON RADIATION

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8nh050w8>

Author

Lee, Edward P.

Publication Date

1981-08-01

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

Accelerator & Fusion Research Division

OCT 11 1982

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

TEMPERATURE RISE PRODUCED BY SYNCHROTRON RADIATION

Edward P. Lee

August 1981

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

LBID-582
BETA-24

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
Technical Report of the Betatron Design Study

TEMPERATURE RISE PRODUCED BY SYNCHROTRON RADIATION*

Edward P. Lee

August 1981

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Livermore, California 94550

*Sponsored by Defense Advance Research Projects Agency (DoD)
ARPA Order No. 3718, Amend. 37
Monitored by NSWC under Contract No. N60921-81-LT-W0031

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER BETA-24, LBID-582	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) TEMPERATURE RISE PRODUCED BY SYNCHROTRON RADIATION		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Edward P. Lee		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N60921-81-LT-W0031
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Livermore, California 94550		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS 61101E; 0; 0; OR40AA
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22209 Attn: Program Management/MIS		12. REPORT DATE August 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 5
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office) Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak, Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 Attn: Code R401		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) synchrotron radiation betatron heat diffusion		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The temperature rise produced by synchrotron radiation incident on the vacuum chamber wall of a betatron is evaluated for a general acceleration cycle.		

Temperature Rise Produced by Synchrotron Radiation*

by
Edward P. Lee

Synchrotron radiation heats a narrow band of the vacuum chamber wall in the orbital plane. The associated temperature rise of the surface, which depends sensitively on the system parameters and operating mode, has been estimated¹ for a high-energy betatron under study to be only on the order of 60°C [for a single pulse, and somewhat higher for a series]. Therefore no particular allowance in design need be made unless long periods of coasting (say 10 msec) at high energy are contemplated. The general formulations of this analysis are given here primarily to aid in any reevaluation of the heating and to correct some errors made in a previous report.² Details of specific examples are given in reference (1).

The net power radiated by the beam is³

$$P = (8.85 \times 10^7 \text{ watts}) \frac{E^4 \text{ GeV} I \text{ kA}}{R},$$

where E is particle energy, I is beam current, and R is the radius of curvature in meters. This power falls in a band of width A on the wall at radius $R_w > R$, with flux

$$W = \frac{P}{2\pi R_w A} = (1.41 \times 10^5 \text{ watt/cm}^2) \frac{E^4 \text{ GeV} I \text{ kA}}{R R_w A \text{ cm}}.$$

*Sponsored by Defence Advanced Research Projects Agency, ARPA Order No. 3718, Amend, 37, Contract Number N60921-81-LT-W0031.

The temperature rise (ΔT) in the wall can be determined for any specified flux $W(t)$ by solving the heat diffusion equation. In the following we assume the radiation is normally incident and totally absorbed. The material is aluminum, which has properties

thermal conductivity	$K = 2.52 \text{ w/}^\circ\text{C-cm,}$
specific heat	$C = .95 \text{ J/}^\circ\text{C-gm,}$
density	$\rho = 2.7 \text{ gm/cm}^3.$

Any change in these assumptions can be incorporated into the derived results with a single multiplicative factor.

The heat diffusion equation

$$\frac{C\rho}{K} \frac{\partial \Delta T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 \Delta T}{\partial x^2}$$

is to be solved subject to initial condition $\Delta T(t=0) = 0$ and the boundary condition on incident flux

$$W(t) = -K \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} (x=0)$$

Solution by the Laplace transform method yields

$$\Delta T = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{+i\infty} ds f(s) e^{st - a\sqrt{s}x},$$

where $a^2 \equiv C\rho/K$ and

$$f(s) = \int_0^\infty dt \frac{e^{-st}}{\sqrt{s}} \frac{W(t)}{Ka}.$$

We are interested only in ΔT evaluated at $x = 0$, where it is highest.

Two simple cases of $W(t)$ which are useful for quick estimates can be worked out using the formal solution:

$$\text{Case 1: } w = \begin{cases} w_0, & 0 < t < t_0, \\ 0, & t > t_0. \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta T(x=0) = \frac{2 w_0}{\sqrt{\pi CK\rho}} \cdot \begin{cases} \sqrt{t}, & t < t_0 \\ (\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{t - t_0}), & t > t_0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Case 2: } w = w_0 \left(\frac{t}{t_0} \right)^n,$$

$$\Delta T(x=0) = \frac{2 w_0}{\sqrt{\pi CK\rho}} \frac{t^{n+1/2}}{t_0^{n+1/2}} \left[\frac{\Gamma(n+1) \sqrt{\pi}}{2\Gamma(n+3/2)} \right]$$

For the particular case $n = 9/2$, corresponding to a linear energy ramp $E \propto t$ and $A \propto t^{-1/2}$, we have for the numerical factor

$$\left[\frac{\Gamma(11/2) \sqrt{\pi}}{2\Gamma(12/2)} \right] = \frac{63\pi}{512} = .3866.$$

The more complicated situations involving periods of coasting and acceleration are not conveniently treated by the transform method. However, a simple Green's function exists which makes this problem numerically tractable. We note that for an impulsive flux $\delta W = U \delta(t-t')$, that

$$f(s) = \frac{U}{Ka} \frac{e^{-st}}{\sqrt{s}}$$

the inverse transformation is readily evaluated to be

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta T(x=0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int ds \frac{e^{s(t-t')}}{\sqrt{s}} \frac{U}{Ka} \\ &= \frac{U}{Ka} H(t-t') \frac{(t-t')^{-1/2}}{\Gamma(1/2)}\end{aligned}$$

where H is the unit step. To get the general response we let $U \rightarrow W(t') dt'$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta T(x=0) &= \int_0^\infty dt' W(t') \frac{H(t-t') (t-t')^{-1/2}}{Ka \Gamma(1/2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi CK\rho}} \int_0^t dt' \frac{W(t')}{\sqrt{t-t'}}\end{aligned}$$

A very useful form for numerical evaluation is

$$\Delta T(x=0) = \frac{2 W_0 \sqrt{t_0}}{\sqrt{\pi CK\rho}} F$$

where $W_0 = W(t_0)$ and F is the numerical factor (of order unity)

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_0} \frac{dt}{t_0} \frac{W(t)/W_0}{\sqrt{1-t/t_0}}$$

References

- (1) LLNL Report, UCRL 15316-3.
- (2) LLNL Report, UCRL 15316-2.
- (3) J.D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, 1962 Wiley 472.

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720