# **UC Santa Barbara**

# **UC Santa Barbara Previously Published Works**

### **Title**

Violating the quantum focusing conjecture and quantum covariant entropy bound in d  $\geqslant$  5 dimensions

## **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8nm767zx

## **Journal**

Classical and Quantum Gravity, 34(17)

### **ISSN**

0264-9381

### **Authors**

Fu, Zicao Koeller, Jason Marolf, Donald

## **Publication Date**

2017-09-07

### DOI

10.1088/1361-6382/aa80ba

Peer reviewed

# Violating the Quantum Focusing Conjecture and Quantum Covariant Entropy Bound in $d \geq 5$ dimensions

## Zicao Fu<sup>a</sup> Jason Koeller<sup>b,c</sup> Donald Marolf<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA

E-mail: zicaofu@physics.ucsb.edu, jkoeller@berkeley.edu, marolf@physics.ucsb.edu

ABSTRACT: We study the Quantum Focussing Conjecture (QFC) in curved spacetime. Noting that quantum corrections from integrating out massive fields generally induce a Gauss-Bonnet term, we study Einstein-Hilbert-Gauss-Bonnet gravity and show for  $d \geq 5$  spacetime dimensions that weakly-curved solutions can violate the associated QFC for either sign of the Gauss-Bonnet coupling. The nature of the violation shows that – so long as the Gauss-Bonnet coupling is non-zero – it will continue to arise for local effective actions containing arbitrary further higher curvature terms, and when gravity is coupled to generic  $d \geq 5$  theories of massive quantum fields. The argument also implies violations of a recently-conjectured form of the generalized covariant entropy bound. The possible validity of the QFC and covariant entropy bound in  $d \leq 4$  spacetime dimensions remains open.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Center for Theoretical Physics and Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Contents		
1	Introduction	1
2	Violating the QFC in Gauss-Bonnet Gravity	3
3	Violating the Generalized Covariant Entropy Bound	7
4	Discussion	8

## 1 Introduction

The gravitational focusing theorem plays a key role in the modern understanding of General Relativity. This key results states (see e.g. [1]) that the expansion of null congruences cannot increase toward the future in any solution to Einstein-Hilbert gravity sourced by matter satisfying the Null Energy Condition (NEC). It leads to the second law of black hole thermodynamics [2], singularity theorems [3, 4], the chronology protection theorem [5], topological censorship [6], and other fundamental results. It also guarantees essential properties of holographic entanglement entropy [7, 8] in the context of gauge/gravity duality.

However, the null energy condition is known to be violated by quantum effects [9]. This then raises the question of whether quantum corrections might enable fundamentally new and perhaps pathological gravitational phenomena. Indeed, it was recently established that traversable wormholes can be constructed in this way [10]. On the other hand, the conjectured Generalized Second Law of thermodynamics (GSL) would both limit the utility of traversable wormholes and prohibit even more troubling exotic physics [11].

Motivated in part by the GSL, and also in part by the covariant entropy conjecture [12], it was suggested in [13] that a generalization of the focusing theorem might continue to hold at the quantum level. Known as the Quantum Focusing Conjecture (QFC), it would imply both the GSL (for any causal horizon) and a form [13] of the covariant entropy bound of [12] related to the version discussed by Strominger and Thompson [14].

The QFC is formulated by noting that the expansion  $\theta$  of any null congruence can be expressed as a first functional derivative of the area of cuts of the congruence, and

that Einstein-Hilbert gravity associates a Bekenstein-Hawking entropy  $S_{\rm BH} = A/4G$  with many surfaces of area A. In particular, given a region  $\mathcal{R}$  with boundary  $\Sigma = \partial \mathcal{R}$  in some Cauchy surface, and also given a null congruence N orthogonal to  $\Sigma$ , we have

$$\theta[\Sigma, y] = \frac{4G}{\sqrt{\tilde{h}}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{BH}}}{\delta \Sigma(y)},\tag{1.1}$$

where y labels the space of null generators,  $\delta\Sigma(y)$  is an infinitesimal displacement of the surface along the null generator y, and  $\tilde{h}$  denotes the determinant of the transverse metric in the y-coordinate system on the null congruence N. For semi-classical gravity (and in particular where the metric itself may be treated classically), ref. [13] then defines the generalized expansion  $\Theta[\sigma, y]$  by replacing  $S_{\rm BH} = A/4G$  in (1.1) with the generalized entropy functional

$$S_{\text{gen}} = S_{\text{grav}} + S_{\text{out}}. (1.2)$$

Here  $S_{\text{grav}}$  is an appropriate gravitational entropy functional (say, from [15–18], which coincides with that of [19] for the case studied here) and  $S_{\text{out}}$  is a von Neumann entropy for quantum fields outside the null congruence. Finally, the statement of the QFC is simply that  $\Theta$  is semi-classically non-decreasing as we push the surface  $\Sigma$  toward the future or, in other words, that a corresponding second derivative of  $S_{\text{gen}}$  is negative or zero:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{h}(y)}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \Sigma(y_2)} \Theta[\Sigma; y_1] \le 0. \tag{1.3}$$

While (1.3) is divergent for  $y_1 = y_2$ , and in particular the contribution of the Einstein-Hilbert term to (1.3) is  $\dot{\theta}\delta(y_1 - y_2)$  where  $\dot{\theta} = k^a\nabla_a\theta$ , the quantity (1.3) remains meaningful when treated as a distribution.

As evidence for the QFC, one may recall [13] that in Einstein-Hilbert gravity, taking a weakly-gravitating  $(G \to 0)$  limit implies quantum fields satisfy a so-called Quantum Null Energy Condition (QNEC) generalizing the classical NEC, and that this QNEC has now been established in a variety of contexts [26, 27]. In such cases, an associated QFC follows immediately at first order in the coupling G of such theories to Einstein-Hilbert gravity.

However, we argue here that for  $d \geq 5$  spacetime dimensions the QFC generally fails. To do so, we recall that integrating out massive fields typically induces a Gauss-Bonnet term in the gravitational effective action; see e.g. [28]. Classical Einstein-Hilbert-Gauss-Bonnet gravity is analyzed in section 2, and is shown to violate the QFC

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>S_{\text{out}}$  presumably includes an appropriate set of boundary terms for gauge fields as in e.g. [20–25].

at weak curvature for  $d \geq 5.^2$  The form of this violation shows that similar issues arise at the quantum level, and also in the presence of arbitrary higher derivative terms controlled by a single length scale so long as the coefficient of the Gauss-Bonnet term is non-zero. The QFC is thus violated in generic  $d \geq 5$  theories of semi-classical gravity coupled to massive quantum fields, and presumably in the presence of massless quantum fields as well. Our example also leads in section 3 to violations of the generalized covariant entropy bound (also called the quantum Bousso bound) conjectured in [13].<sup>3</sup> We close in section 4 with further discussion emphasizing future directions and the possibility that a reformulated QFC and quantum Bousso bound may nevertheless hold.

## 2 Violating the QFC in Gauss-Bonnet Gravity

Consider the Einstein-Hilbert-Gauss-Bonnet action

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} R + \gamma \int d^d x \sqrt{-g} \left( R_{abcd} R^{abcd} - 4R_{ab} R^{ab} + R^2 \right). \tag{2.1}$$

As noted above, we will first treat this theory classically and identify violations of the associated QFC (1.3). We will then note that explicit quantum corrections are subleading in a long-wavelength expansion so our classical violation extends directly to the quantum level.

We work in the weak curvature limit, taking the Weyl tensor to be first order in some small quantity  $\epsilon$ :

$$C_{abcd} = O\left(\epsilon\right). \tag{2.2}$$

In this limit, iteratively solving the equation of motion yields

$$R_{ab} = \frac{16\pi G\gamma}{d-2} C_{cdef} C^{cdef} g_{ab} - 32\pi G\gamma C_{acde} C_b^{cde} + O\left(\epsilon^3\right). \tag{2.3}$$

Note that since the right-hand side is non-zero only due to contributions to the equations of motion from the variation of the Gauss-Bonnet term, the Gauss-Bonnet theorem requires it to vanish for d = 4. It also vanishes for d < 4 where the Weyl tensor is identically zero.

Now consider a null hypersurface N generated by a hypersurface-orthognal null normal vector field  $k^a$ . For simplicity, we choose both the expansion  $\theta$  and the shear

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Causality violations implying pathologies for non-stringy theories with large Gauss-Bonnet couplings were found in [29]. By contrast, we emphasize that the QFC violation found in this paper is present for the less restrictive class of theories containing even a small effective field theory Gauss-Bonnet term.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This conjecture is closely related to the Strominger-Thompson proposal [14].

 $\sigma_{ab}$  of N to vanish at some point p, or equivalently that the extrinsic curvature along k vanishes there for any cut  $\Sigma$  of N through p; i.e.,

$$K_{ab}^{(k)}|_{p} := (\tilde{h}_{a}{}^{c}\tilde{h}_{b}{}^{d}\nabla_{c}k_{d})|_{p} = 0,$$
 (2.4)

where  $\tilde{h}_a{}^c$  is the projector onto  $\Sigma$ . As in e.g. [30], we will use the notation  $K_{ab}^{(X)} := \tilde{h}_a{}^c \tilde{h}_b{}^d \nabla_c X_d$  below for any vector field  $X_d$  orthogonal to  $\Sigma$ . Note that (2.4) does not restrict the spacetime at p in any way; given any p in any spacetime, we may choose  $\Sigma$  and then define the orthogonal null congruence N so that the above conditions are satisfied. We use indices  $a, b, c, d, \ldots$  to denote coordinates in spacetime and indices  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \ldots$  to denote coordinates on  $\Sigma$ .

It is convenient to also introduce an auxiliary null vector field  $l^a$  orthogonal to  $\Sigma$  and satisfying  $g_{ab}k^al^b = -1$ . The spacetime metric can then be written

$$g_{ab} = \tilde{h}_{ab} - k_a l_b - l_a k_b, \tag{2.5}$$

where the transverse part  $\tilde{h}_{ab} = \tilde{h}_a{}^c g_{cb}$  is the induced metric on  $\Sigma$ . We will reserve k and l "indices" to denote contractions with  $k^a$  and  $l^a$ , as in e.g.  $A_{kl} := A_{ab}k^a l^b$ . Substituting (2.5) into equation (2.3) and noticing that  $C_{klk\alpha} = -C_{k\beta\alpha}^{\quad \beta}$  for all d, the Raychaudhuri equation  $\dot{\theta} = -\frac{\theta^2}{d-2} - \sigma^{ab}\sigma_{ab} - R_{ab}k^ak^b$  for hypersurface-orthogonal null congruences satisfying equation (2.4) yields

$$\dot{\theta}|_{p} = -R_{ab}k^{a}k^{b} = 32\pi G\gamma C_{acde}C_{b}^{cde}k^{a}k^{b} + O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right) 
= 32\pi G\gamma \left(C_{k\alpha\beta\gamma}C_{k}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} - 2C_{k\beta\alpha}^{\beta}C_{k\gamma}^{\alpha\gamma} - 4C_{k\alpha k\beta}C_{kl}^{\alpha\beta}\right) + O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right).$$
(2.6)

As noted above, (2.6) vanishes for d=4. One may see this explicitly by using the d=4 identity  $C_{k\alpha\beta\gamma}C_k^{\ \alpha\beta\gamma}=2C_{k\beta\alpha}^{\ \beta}C_{k\gamma}^{\ \alpha\gamma}$  from [31] so that the first two terms cancel in (2.6). To deal with the final term we again use the d=4 results from [31] to write  $C_{k\alpha l\beta}$  as  $C_{k\alpha l\beta}=-\frac{1}{4}\tilde{A}\tilde{h}_{\alpha\beta}+\frac{1}{2}B\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$  where  $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$  is the area element of  $\Sigma$  and A and B are independent scalars; in particular, there is no traceless symmetric term. The final term in (2.6) then vanishes since  $C_{k\alpha k}^{\ \alpha}=0=C_{k\alpha k\beta}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$  identically for all d.

To study the QFC, recall [15, 32] that the entropy functional associated with the Gauss-Bonnet term is

$$S_{\rm GB} = -8\pi\gamma \int_{\Sigma} d^{d-2}y \sqrt{\tilde{h}} \tilde{R}, \qquad (2.7)$$

where  $\tilde{R}$  is the scalar curvature of the induced metric  $\tilde{h}_{\alpha\beta}$ . Let us introduce a deformation vector field  $X^a = fk^a$  on N, where f is a scalar function of the null generators y. Taking  $f = \delta(y - y_p)$ , when  $\Sigma$  is is deformed along  $X^a$  the first derivative of entropy

(2.7) is

$$\delta_X S_{\text{GB}} = -8\pi\gamma \int_{\Sigma} d^{d-2}y \sqrt{\tilde{h}} \left( \tilde{R}^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R} \tilde{h}^{ab} \right) \delta_X \tilde{h}_{ab} 
= -16\pi\gamma \int_{\Sigma} d^{d-2}y \sqrt{\tilde{h}} \left( \tilde{R}^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R} \tilde{h}^{ab} \right) K_{ab}^{(X)} 
= -16\pi\gamma \sqrt{\tilde{h}} \left( \tilde{R}^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R} \tilde{h}^{ab} \right) K_{ab}^{(k)}.$$
(2.8)

Here, to obtain the second line, we used  $\delta_X \tilde{h}_{ab} = 2K_{ab}^{(X)}$  (i.e. equation (3.10) of [30]). We now introduce another vector field  $Z = \delta(y - y_Z)k^a$ . Recalling that  $K_{ab}^{(k)}$  vanishes at p, we find the second derivative

$$\delta_Z \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{h}}} \delta_X S_{GB} \right) = -16\pi \gamma \left( \tilde{R}^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R} \tilde{h}^{ab} \right) (\delta_Z K_{ab}^{(k)})|_p. \tag{2.9}$$

Since  $K_{ab}^{(k)}|_p = 0$  and  $Z^a = \delta(y - y_Z)k^a$ , the derivative of  $K_{ab}^{(k)}$  at p takes the simple form [30]

$$(\delta_Z K_{ab}^{(k)})|_p = (-\tilde{h}_a{}^c \tilde{h}_b{}^d Z^e k^f R_{ecfd})|_p \tag{2.10}$$

and (2.9) becomes  $\delta_Z \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tilde{h}}} \delta_X S_{\text{GB}} \right) = \delta(y_p - y_Z) S_{\text{GB}}^{"}$  for

$$S_{\text{GB}}^{"} = 16\pi\gamma \left(\tilde{R}^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{R}\tilde{h}^{ab}\right) R_{kakb}. \tag{2.11}$$

Since we treat the theory classically, we save for the end of this section consideration of any explicit  $S_{\text{out}}$  term in equation (1.2) associated with the entropy of gravitons and thus find

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \Sigma (y_Z)} \Theta [\Sigma; y_p] = \sqrt{\tilde{h}} Q \delta(y_p - y_Z)$$
 (2.12)

for

$$Q = \dot{\theta} + 4GS_{GB}''. \tag{2.13}$$

Since  $K_{ab}^{(k)}|_p = 0$ , the Gauss equation (i.e. equation (2.14) of [30]) at p is simply

$$(\tilde{R}_{abcd})|_{p} = (\tilde{h}_{a}^{e} \tilde{h}_{b}^{f} \tilde{h}_{c}^{g} \tilde{h}_{d}^{h} R_{efgh})|_{p}, \qquad (2.14)$$

and expression (2.11) becomes

$$S_{\text{GB}}^{"} = 16\pi\gamma \left( R_{cedf} \tilde{h}^{cd} \tilde{h}^{ae} \tilde{h}^{bf} - \frac{1}{2} R_{cedf} \tilde{h}^{cd} \tilde{h}^{ef} \tilde{h}^{ab} \right) R_{kakb}. \tag{2.15}$$

In the weak curvature limit, we may use (2.2) and (2.3) to further write

$$S_{\text{GB}}^{"} = 16\pi\gamma \left( C_{cedf} \tilde{h}^{cd} \tilde{h}^{ae} \tilde{h}^{bf} - \frac{1}{2} C_{cedf} \tilde{h}^{cd} \tilde{h}^{ef} \tilde{h}^{ab} \right) C_{kakb} + O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right)$$

$$= 32\pi\gamma C_{k\alpha k\beta} C_{kl}^{\alpha\beta} + O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right), \tag{2.16}$$

where in the last step we have used  $\tilde{h}^{ab}C_{kakb} = C_{kkkl} + C_{klkk}$  which vanishes since the Weyl tensor is anti-symmetric in pairs of indices  $(C_{abcd} = -C_{bacd} = -C_{abdc})$ . Combining (2.6) and (2.16) with the definition (2.13) yields

$$Q = 32\pi G\gamma \left( C_{k\alpha\beta\gamma} C_k^{\ \alpha\beta\gamma} - 2C_{k\beta\alpha}^{\ \beta} C_{k\gamma}^{\ \alpha\gamma} \right) + O\left(\epsilon^3\right). \tag{2.17}$$

As with (2.6), expression (2.17) vanishes for d=4. To show that it generally does not vanish for d=5, we use further results from [31] to write it in terms of independent components of the Weyl tensor; the Weyl tensor at a point is constrained by its symmetries, tracelessness, and the algebraic Bianchi identity. The block  $C_{k\alpha\beta\gamma}$ , which has boost weight -1, can be written in terms of 8 independent components as

$$C_{k\alpha\beta\gamma} = \tilde{h}_{\alpha\beta}v_{\gamma} - \tilde{h}_{\alpha\gamma}v_{\beta} + \epsilon_{\beta\gamma}^{\ \delta}n_{\delta\alpha}, \text{ for } d = 5,$$
 (2.18)

where  $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  is the area element of  $\Sigma$ ,  $v_{\gamma}$  is a vector containing 3 independent components and  $n_{\delta\alpha}$  is a traceless symmetric matrix containing 5 independent components. Thus,

$$Q = 64\pi G\gamma \left(n_{\alpha\beta}n^{\alpha\beta} - 2v_{\gamma}v^{\gamma}\right) + O\left(\epsilon^{3}\right), \text{ for } d = 5.$$
(2.19)

Furthermore, for d > 5 we may again use [31] to take the block  $C_{k\alpha\beta\gamma}$  to be of the form (2.18), although (2.18) is no longer the most general form for  $C_{k\alpha\beta\gamma}$  and of course the number of components of each object above increases with the spacetime dimension d.

It is clear from (2.19) that (2.17) is generally non-zero for  $d \geq 5$ . Furthermore, while the QFC requires Q to be non-positive, for  $\gamma > 0$  it can be made positive by setting  $v_{\gamma} = 0$  and taking  $n_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ , and for  $\gamma < 0$  we can make Q positive by taking  $n_{\alpha\beta} = 0$  with  $v_{\gamma} \neq 0$ .

Violations of the QFC thus occur for either sign of the Gauss-Bonnet coupling  $\gamma$  and the QFC generally fails for classical  $d \geq 5$  Einstein-Hilbert-Gauss-Bonnet gravity. We may immediately extend this result to the quantum level by noting that graviton contributions to the  $S_{\text{out}}$  term of equation (1.2) are of order G while our violation above is of order  $G\gamma$ . The key point here is that  $\gamma$  has dimensions  $(Length)^{-(d-4)}$  so that the  $G\gamma$  term is more important at large length scales than the G term in  $S_{\text{out}}$ . In other words, the classical contributions to (1.2) will dominate in the long-distance limit.

Let us now consider more general (perhaps, effective) theories of gravity with higher derivative terms. First, it is trivial to add a cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  to the action (2.1).

Noticing that  $C_{k\alpha k}^{\alpha} = 0$  identically for all d, one finds no change to equation (2.16). Next, recall that at the four-derivative level, up to total derivatives there are only two further independent terms that we may add to the action, and we may choose to write both in terms of the square of the Ricci tensor (so that they do not depend on the Weyl tensor). Thus Ricci-flat metrics continue to solve the theory with  $\gamma = 0$ , and there continue to be solutions of the form (2.3) in the presence of such terms, and in such cases we again find (2.17) (up to additional corrections that are also of order  $\epsilon^2$  but involve additional derivatives and so remains smaller in the long-distance limit). Finally, so long as they are controlled by a common length scale, in a long-distance expansion any terms in the action with more than four derivatives can be ignored relative to those already discussed so that (2.3) continues to hold in that regime.

The key point, however, is the associated implication for generic quantum theories of massive fields when coupled to semi-classical gravity. Since integrating out massive fields gives an effective action of the above type, so long as the resulting Gauss-Bonnet coefficient<sup>4</sup> is non-zero the theory will violate the associated QFC.

## 3 Violating the Generalized Covariant Entropy Bound

Bousso's original covariant entropy bound [12] involved the concept of "entropy flux through a non-expanding null surface" and conjectured this to be bounded by  $(\frac{1}{4G}$  times) the area of the largest cut. There has been much discussion of how this concept might be properly defined, with one seemingly-natural choice involving entropy defined directly on the null surface. This version was proven for free and interacting theories in the  $G \to 0$  limit from the monotonicity property of the relative entropy [33, 34]. Alternatively, Strominger and Thompson [14] suggested focusing on the case where any cut of the null surface N is closed and bounds a spacelike surface. One may then discuss the von Neumann entropy  $S_{vN}$  of the region enclosed, and replace the "flux of entropy across N" with the change in  $S_{vN}$  between the initial and final surfaces.

As noted in [13], this choice gives rise to a putative (generalized) covariant entropy bound which is intrinsically finite and does not require renormalization. The conjecture of [13] states that if some set of null generators has non-positive quantum expansion  $(\Theta \leq 0)$  on some cut  $C_{\text{initial}}$  of N, then any cut  $C_{\text{final}}$  obtained by moving  $C_{\text{initial}}$  to the future along these generators will have smaller or equal generalized entropy  $S_{\text{gen}}$  so

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The final Gauss-Bonnet coefficient is of course formally the sum of the Gauss-Bonnet coefficient in the gravitational action and the coefficient induced by integrating out the matter. For  $d \geq 5$  the latter is generally divergent, so the former must be as well if the effective action is to be finite. In this sense, as usual, there is generally no meaning to attempting to couple the massive field theory to Einstein-Hilbert gravity alone.

long as no caustic lies between  $C_{\text{initial}}$  and  $C_{\text{final}}$ . The non-increase of  $S_{\text{gen}}$  is equivalent to the claim

$$\Delta S \le \Delta A/4G,\tag{3.1}$$

which is a generalized covariant entropy bound of the form first discussed in [35]. Note, however, that the condition  $\Theta|_{C_{\text{initial}}} \leq 0$  under which this was conjectured in [13] differs from the assumption used in [12, 35] which requires the classical expansion  $\theta$  to be non-positive on all intermediate cuts. Furthermore, equation (3.1) follows directly from the QFC in cases where the latter is valid [13].

However, it turns out the QFC violation constructed above is also a counterexample to the generalized covariant entropy bound (i.e. the quantum Bousso bound) of [13]. The key point is that the Gauss-Bonnet contribution (2.8) to the quantum expansion vanishes at p since  $K_{ab}^{(X)} = 0$ . But since  $\theta|_p = 0$  as well, the full quantum expansion  $\Theta$  also vanishes at p.

From here we need only note that we can then achieve  $\Theta \leq 0$  near p on  $C_{\text{initial}}$  by taking the classical expansion  $\theta$  sufficiently negative near p; i.e., by simply choosing  $C_{\text{initial}}$  to have large enough extrinsic curvature of the appropriate sign. We then find that later cuts  $C_{\text{final}}$  differing from  $C_{\text{initial}}$  only very near p and by small affine parameter distance along the QFC-violating generators must have larger generalized entropy  $S_{\text{gen}}$ , violating the conjecture of [13]. Indeed, in the appropriate limit the increase of  $S_{\text{gen}}$  is determined by  $(\pounds_k\Theta)|_p > 0$ .

### 4 Discussion

Using an explicit calculation for classical Einstein-Hilbert-Gauss-Bonnet gravity, we argued that the QFC of [13] is violated in generic  $d \geq 5$  theories of gravity coupled to massive quantum fields. The key point is that integrating out the massive fields generically induces a Gauss-Bonnet term which, at least for a certain class of solutions, dominates in the long-distance limit. There we may use the explicit Einstein-Hilbert-Gauss-Bonnet calculation of section 2. We expect similar violations to continue to arise when massless quantum fields are included as well. Our construction also provides a counterexample to the generalized covariant entropy bound (i.e. the quantum Bousso bound) conjectured in [13]. It remains an open question whether the QFC and covariant entropy bound could hold for  $d \leq 4$ , and it would be interesting to investigate the affect of Ricci-squared terms in this context. As mentioned in the introduction, the QFC is closely related to the Quantum Null Energy Condition (QNEC). Indeed, when a matter theory satisfying the Quantum Null Energy Condition is coupled to Einstein-Hilbert gravity, the QFC will hold at least to first order in the gravitational coupling G. The

reader may thus ask whether our results are in tension with the QNEC proofs in [26] and [27]. The answer is no, as those results prove the QNEC only for congruences N through p that form bifurcate Killing horizons at G = 0. And on a bifurcate Killing horizon components of the Weyl tensor with non-zero boost weight must vanish. This would then force  $C_{k\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$  and thus Q = 0 in (2.17), reproducing the expected result that the QFC hold at first order in  $G\gamma$  for such cases.<sup>5</sup>

Conversely, taking the limit  $G\gamma \to 0$  of our results shows that for  $d \geq 5$  the renormalized QNEC must generally fail<sup>6</sup> for surfaces  $\Sigma$  defining null congruences N that are only locally stationary at p; i.e., which satisfy  $\theta = \sigma_{ab} = R_{ab}k^ak^b = 0$  in the background spacetime. However, one may ask if the QNEC can hold at locally stationary points of null congruences for d < 5 or where further conditions are satisfied. The forthcoming work [38] will provide results of this kind, including a proof for  $d \leq 3$  holographic theories at locally stationary points.

It is natural to ask if our QFC violation also provides a perturbative counterexample to the GSL. While Einstein-Hilbert-Gauss-Bonnet gravity is known to violate the GSL at the non-perturbative level [19, 39, 40], these are of lesser interest as higher derivative theories of gravity are expected [29] to approximate UV-complete theories only when treated perturbatively as an effective field theory valid at lengths longer than some cutoff scale  $\ell_c$ . And indeed, as in section 3, one can certainly find cases where the generalized entropy inside the horizon increases and thus that outside decreases. But the GSL is naturally conjectured to hold at most for causal horizons (see e.g. [41], [42]), and determining whether a given null N is a causal horizon requires understanding the very far future. Analyzing the constraints on N, thus requires going well beyond the local approximations used here, and thus beyond the scope of this work, though see [18, 43, 44] for further work on the GSL for higher derivative gravity and more thorough reviews.

Finally, one may ask if some version of the QFC or quantum Bousso bound might yet be salvaged for general  $d \geq 5$  theories. In particular, we recall again that higher derivative gravity should be treated as an effective field theory with a cutoff  $\ell_c$ . But the QFC, and in particular our construction of a counterexample, requires the choice of a null congruence N that is taken to be arbitrarily well localized in the transverse directions. Furthermore, since the Gauss-Bonnet term should be treated as perturba-

 $<sup>^{5}</sup>$ Indeed, a result of [36] shows that the QFC holds for any Lovelock theory of gravity (a class which includes the Einstein-Hilbert-Gauss-Bonnet gravity) when evaluated at first order in G about a Killing horizon. This result was then generalized in [37] and extended to arbitrary higher-derivative theories of gravity in [18].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>As will be discussed in more detail in [38], the QNEC may still hold in some sense for appropriate bare quantities. But finite renormalized quantities cannot satisfy a QNEC-like bound.

tively small, correspondingly small changes in N can make  $\theta$ ,  $\sigma_{ab}$  non zero at p so that  $\dot{\theta} = -\frac{\theta^2}{d-2} - \sigma^{ab}\sigma_{ab} - R_{ab}k^ak^b$  becomes sufficiently negative at p that Q < 0 for the new surface. In other words, perturbatively close to any compact QFC-violating null congruence N lies a QFC-respecting null congruence N'. If this can be interpreted as a distinction finer than the cutoff scale  $\ell_c$ , there is room for the formulation of an effective QFC valid only at larger scales. But such an interpretation is not immediately clear as the above mentioned deformation from N to N' involves adding extrinsic curvature of a particular sign; it is not just a transverse smearing of the surface. And while it is attractive from many perspectives to conjecture that a QFC-like inequality may hold in an appropriately cutoff sense, both the form that this effective QFC might take and how in practice it would be used to restrict possible pathologies of NEC-violating spacetimes remain open questions for future investigation.

Note added in v2. After the appearance of our paper on the arXiv, it was pointed out in [45] that the violation described above is removed by restricting the QFC to apply only to variations of the entropy defined by surfaces that are smooth on the scale set by  $G\gamma$ , and which is presumably associated with the cut-off that defines the effective theory. This emphasizes the importance of studying the effect of  $R_{ab}R^{ab}$  terms in the action, which might contribute a different class of terms to the QFC.

# Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to thank Chris Akers, Raphael Bousso, Venkatesh Chandrasekaran, Netta Engelhardt, Zachary Fisher, Stefan Leichenauer, Adam Levine, Arvin Shahbazi Moghaddam, and Aron Wall for useful discussions. ZF and DM were supported in part by the Simons Foundation and by funds from the University of California. JK was supported in part by the Berkeley Center for Theoretical Physics, by the National Science Foundation (award numbers 1521446, and 1316783), by FQXi, and by the US Department of Energy under contract DE-AC02-05CH11231.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>We thank Aron Wall for this suggestion.

## References

- [1] R. M. Wald, General Relativity. University of Chicago Press, 1984.
- [2] S. W. Hawking, Gravitational Radiation from Colliding Black Holes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **26** (1971) 1344–1346.
- [3] R. Penrose, Gravitational Collapse and Space-Time Singularities, Phys. Rev. Lett. 14 (1965) 57–59.
- [4] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*. Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [5] S. W. Hawking, The Chronology Protection Conjecture, Phys. Rev. D46 (1992) 603-611.
- [6] J. L. Friedman, K. Schleich and D. M. Witt, Topological Censorship, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 1486–1489, [gr-qc/9305017].
- [7] A. C. Wall, Maximin Surfaces, and the Strong Subadditivity of the Covariant Holographic Entanglement Entropy, Class. Quant. Grav. 31 (2014) 225007, [1211.3494].
- [8] M. Headrick, V. E. Hubeny, A. Lawrence and M. Rangamani, Causality & Holographic Entanglement Entropy, JHEP 12 (2014) 162, [1408.6300].
- [9] H. Epstein, V. Glaser and A. Jaffe, Nonpositivity of Energy Density in Quantized Field Theories, Nuovo Cim. 36 (1965) 1016.
- [10] P. Gao, D. L. Jafferis and A. Wall, Traversable Wormholes via a Double Trace Deformation, 1608.05687.
- [11] A. C. Wall, The Generalized Second Law implies a Quantum Singularity Theorem, Class. Quant. Grav. 30 (2013) 165003, [1010.5513].
- [12] R. Bousso, A Covariant entropy conjecture, JHEP 07 (1999) 004, [hep-th/9905177].
- [13] R. Bousso, Z. Fisher, S. Leichenauer and A. C. Wall, Quantum focusing conjecture, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 064044, [1506.02669].
- [14] A. Strominger and D. M. Thompson, A Quantum Bousso bound, Phys. Rev. D70 (2004) 044007, [hep-th/0303067].
- [15] X. Dong, Holographic Entanglement Entropy for General Higher Derivative Gravity, JHEP 01 (2014) 044, [1310.5713].
- [16] R.-X. Miao and W.-z. Guo, Holographic Entanglement Entropy for the Most General Higher Derivative Gravity, JHEP 08 (2015) 031, [1411.5579].
- [17] X. Dong and R.-X. Miao, Generalized Gravitational Entropy from Total Derivative Action, JHEP 12 (2015) 100, [1510.04273].

- [18] A. C. Wall, A Second Law for Higher Curvature Gravity, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D24 (2015) 1544014, [1504.08040].
- [19] T. Jacobson and R. C. Myers, Black hole entropy and higher curvature interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** (1993) 3684–3687, [hep-th/9305016].
- [20] W. Donnelly, Decomposition of entanglement entropy in lattice gauge theory, Phys. Rev. **D85** (2012) 085004, [1109.0036].
- [21] W. Donnelly, Entanglement entropy and nonabelian gauge symmetry, Class. Quant. Grav. 31 (2014) 214003, [1406.7304].
- [22] S. Ghosh, R. M. Soni and S. P. Trivedi, On The Entanglement Entropy For Gauge Theories, JHEP 09 (2015) 069, [1501.02593].
- [23] S. Aoki, T. Iritani, M. Nozaki, T. Numasawa, N. Shiba and H. Tasaki, On the definition of entanglement entropy in lattice gauge theories, JHEP 06 (2015) 187, [1502.04267].
- [24] W. Donnelly and A. C. Wall, Entanglement entropy of electromagnetic edge modes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 111603, [1412.1895].
- [25] W. Donnelly and A. C. Wall, Geometric entropy and edge modes of the electromagnetic field, Phys. Rev. **D94** (2016) 104053, [1506.05792].
- [26] R. Bousso, Z. Fisher, J. Koeller, S. Leichenauer and A. C. Wall, Proof of the Quantum Null Energy Condition, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 024017, [1509.02542].
- [27] J. Koeller and S. Leichenauer, *Holographic Proof of the Quantum Null Energy Condition*, *Phys. Rev.* **D94** (2016) 024026, [1512.06109].
- [28] I. L. Shapiro, Effective Action of Vacuum: Semiclassical Approach, Class. Quant. Grav. 25 (2008) 103001, [0801.0216].
- [29] X. O. Camanho, J. D. Edelstein, J. Maldacena and A. Zhiboedov, Causality Constraints on Corrections to the Graviton Three-Point Coupling, JHEP 02 (2016) 020, [1407.5597].
- [30] L.-M. Cao, Deformation of Codimension-2 Surface and Horizon Thermodynamics, JHEP 03 (2011) 112, [1009.4540].
- [31] A. Coley and S. Hervik, Higher dimensional bivectors and classification of the Weyl operator, Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 015002, [0909.1160].
- [32] J. Camps, Generalized Entropy and Higher Derivative Gravity, JHEP **03** (2014) 070, [1310.6659].
- [33] R. Bousso, H. Casini, Z. Fisher and J. Maldacena, Proof of a Quantum Bousso Bound, Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 044002, [1404.5635].
- [34] R. Bousso, H. Casini, Z. Fisher and J. Maldacena, Entropy on a null surface for

- interacting quantum field theories and the Bousso bound, Phys. Rev. **D91** (2015) 084030, [1406.4545].
- [35] E. E. Flanagan, D. Marolf and R. M. Wald, Proof of classical versions of the Bousso entropy bound and of the generalized second law, Phys. Rev. D62 (2000) 084035, [hep-th/9908070].
- [36] S. Kolekar, T. Padmanabhan and S. Sarkar, Entropy Increase during Physical Processes for Black Holes in Lanczos-Lovelock Gravity, Phys. Rev. D86 (2012) 021501, [1201.2947].
- [37] S. Sarkar and A. C. Wall, Generalized second law at linear order for actions that are functions of Lovelock densities, Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 044017, [1306.1623].
- [38] Z. Fu, J. Koeller and D. Marolf, "The quantum null energy condition in curved space." 2017.
- [39] T. Liko, Topological deformation of isolated horizons, Phys. Rev. D77 (2008) 064004, [0705.1518].
- [40] S. Sarkar and A. C. Wall, Second Law Violations in Lovelock Gravity for Black Hole Mergers, Phys. Rev. D83 (2011) 124048, [1011.4988].
- [41] T. Jacobson and R. Parentani, *Horizon entropy*, *Found. Phys.* **33** (2003) 323–348, [gr-qc/0302099].
- [42] A. C. Wall, A proof of the generalized second law for rapidly changing fields and arbitrary horizon slices, Phys. Rev. **D85** (2012) 104049, [1105.3445].
- [43] S. Bhattacharjee, A. Bhattacharyya, S. Sarkar and A. Sinha, Entropy functionals and c-theorems from the second law, Phys. Rev. D93 (2016) 104045, [1508.01658].
- [44] S. Bhattacharyya, F. M. Haehl, N. Kundu, R. Loganayagam and M. Rangamani, Towards a second law for Lovelock theories, JHEP 03 (2017) 065, [1612.04024].
- [45] S. Leichenauer, The Quantum Focusing Conjecture Has Not Been Violated, 1705.05469.