INTRODUCTION

Since its original description over 60 years ago by Aubaniac, the subclavian vein (SCV) has been an important vessel for central venous cannulation.1 The SCV cannulation offers several advantages when compared to the common alternative sites for central venous access. These advantages may include fewer cases of thrombosis, infectious complications, better patient comfort, and increased ability to remain patent in hypovolemic states.2-8 Unfortunately, cannulation of the SCV is not without complications such as catheter malposition, arterial puncture, hematoma, pneumothorax, hemothorax, and nerve injury. The rate of clinically relevant mechanical complications has been shown to be as high as 18.8%, likely due to the traditional landmark (LM)-guided or “blind” approach, and also dependent on user experience.4-6 As a result, alternative approaches to SCV cannulation, including ultrasound (US)-guided techniques, have been explored and determined to have improved safety and reduced complications particularly when using real-time US in the longitudinal or “in-plane” method.9,10 In this article, we review the evidence supporting the use of US guidance for SCV catheterization, and discuss technical aspects of several approaches.

ULTRASOUND GUIDANCE

Wide availability and improved technology have made bedside US a valuable tool for establishing vascular access. It allows for direct visualization of and evaluation for the vessel of choice in addition to precise needle positioning during cannulation.11,12 Multiple studies have compared US-guided central vein catheterization to LM techniques and found US superior with a 12% reduction of unsuccessful line placement, 1.19 fewer attempts, and a 71% reduction in overall catheter-related complications for internal jugular vein (IJV) placement.9,13 As a result, multiple national and international organizations, including The American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP), National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE), and the Agency for Healthcare
Research Quality (AHRQ), recommend the use of US in central vein cannulation.\textsuperscript{14,15}

At first glance, the SCV seems difficult to visualize on US because it travels beneath the highly reflective clavicle bone. This, along with the higher complication rate of the LM-guided approach, has resulted in the SCV falling out of favor for elective central vein cannulation in many modern clinical settings. To explore this notion, recent studies have compared the use of US to the LM approach in SCV cannulation (Table) and results suggest a significant impact of US on the safety and feasibility of SCV cannulation. In a prospective randomized control trial by Fragou et al comparing real-time US guidance with the LM technique, US guidance was found to improve success rates, 100\% vs. 87.5\% and reduce the rate of mechanical complications, including arterial puncture and hematoma formation.\textsuperscript{10} Additionally, there was a reduction in the rate of pneumothorax (4.9\%) using US, likely secondary to the ability to visualize the needle and prevent posterior vessel wall penetration.\textsuperscript{10,16} Two recent meta-analyses also showed a significant reduction in arterial puncture and hematoma formation, as well as improved rate of successful cannulation when using real-time US with a longitudinal “in-plane” infraclavicular approach.\textsuperscript{9,17} Similarly, Randolph et al demonstrated the use of US was associated with a reduced risk of catheter placement failure (relative risk 0.32; 95\% confidence interval 0.18 to 0.55), lower overall complication rates (relative risk 0.22; 95\% confidence interval 0.10 to 0.45), and a reduced number of needle sticks before successful placement (relative risk 0.60; 95\% confidence interval 0.45 to 0.79), for both SCV and IJV cannulation.\textsuperscript{11} Gualtieri et al demonstrated that the use of US improved the SVC cannulation success rate in less-experienced operators (92\% vs 44\%).\textsuperscript{16} The benefits of US guidance make the SCV an excellent option for central venous cannulation.

ULTRASOUND TECHNIQUES: LONGITUDINAL VS. SHORT AXIS VIEW

Positioning the long footprint of the US probe perpendicular to the course of the vessel gives rise to a short axis view (Figure 1a). This view allows for visualization of the target vessel and surrounding structures, and offers the operator a good midline orientation. This view allows for an “out-of-plane” needle-guided approach, which does not offer the optimal ability to visually control the needle tip during the cannulation process. This is because the needle artifact on the screen only shows a cross section of the needle. This may be the needle tip, but it could also be any part of the needle shaft – they look identical on US. Alternatively, the longitudinal, or long axis view, is obtained with the transducer and vessel axes in parallel (Figure 1b). This view identifies the target vessel along its length. Using this view for obtaining vascular access allows one to insert the needle using an “in-plane” needle-guided approach which allows for direct and full visualization of both the needle tip and needle shaft during catheterization. The needle is easily witnessed entering the target vessel and, importantly, the guidewire’s direction of travel can be verified. The challenge with the “in-plane” technique requires the operator to have the dexterity needed to line up the one millimeter thickness of sound beam with the one millimeter thickness of needle, all within the midline axis of the vessel’s longitudinal plane. Another potential limitation of the long axis approach is not being able to simultaneously see both

Table. Studies evaluating direct ultrasound-guided subclavian vein cannulation in comparison to landmark approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors/publication</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Enrollment</th>
<th>Operators</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fragou et al.\textsuperscript{10}</td>
<td>Prospective randomized single center</td>
<td>Mechanically ventilated and sedated patients in the medical ICU</td>
<td>LM group: N=201, US group: N=200</td>
<td>Multiple, with more than 6 years of experience in placement of central venous catheters</td>
<td>Increased success rate for experienced operators (100% vs 87.5%), Significantly decreased mechanical complication rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alic, Y et al.\textsuperscript{28}</td>
<td>Prospective randomized single center</td>
<td>ICU patients (type of ICU not specified)</td>
<td>LM group: N=35, US group: N=35</td>
<td>One physician experienced in both techniques</td>
<td>No significant difference between success at 1\textsuperscript{st} attempt, overall success, or complication rate between LM and US group.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palepu et al.\textsuperscript{29}</td>
<td>Prospective randomized single center</td>
<td>Combined medical and surgical ICU Patients</td>
<td>LM group: N=28, US group: N=17</td>
<td>Multiple operators with varying levels of experience</td>
<td>No significant difference between overall success (p=0.52), number of attempts (p=0.23) or complication rate (p&gt;0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gualtieri et al.\textsuperscript{30}</td>
<td>Prospective randomized single center</td>
<td>Combined trauma, surgical and medical ICU Patients</td>
<td>LM group: N=27, US group: N=25</td>
<td>More than one operator with varying levels of experience</td>
<td>Increased success rate for inexperienced operators (92% vs 44%) using direct US guidance, Reduced minor complications (4% vs 41%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICU, intensive care unit; LM, landmark; US, ultrasound
artery and vein on the screen as in the short axis approach. After identification of the vein in the long axis, it is possible that due to necessary coupling gel, that the operator’s hand could slide a few millimeters and be visualizing the artery. In the long axis veins and arteries can appear similar, particularly when they are in an area that is not conducive to compression.

A single-center randomized crossover control trial including 57 emergency medicine residents and attending physicians of varying US experience compared the short axis versus long axis approach for axillary vein cannulation using a torso phantom model. The long axis approach was superior for successful placement on initial attempt with fewer needle redirections and reduced complications. When surveyed, the long axis approach was also the preferred approach of the examined operators. In another prospective study comparing emergency medicine trainees’ skills in obtaining an adequate view for catheterization using a human torso model, the long axis SCV view led to quicker access time, reduced redirections, and significantly fewer posterior wall penetrations compared to the short axis probe orientation.

SUPRACLAVICULAR SUBCLAVIAN VEIN CANNULATION UNDER ULTRASOUND GUIDANCE

Multiple studies have demonstrated the advantages of a supraclavicular approach to SCV cannulation, but results have been dependent on operator experience. The approach has a well-defined insertion LM - the clavisternomastoid angle, with insertion from above the clavicle. This approach offers a shorter, more direct course to the SCV, traversing only fascial planes, whereas with an infraclavicular approach, it must traverse the pectoralis major muscle, which may lead to increased catheter malpositioning. In a randomized prospective comparative study of infraclavicular vs. supraclavicular approaches using a LM technique, there was a 9% incidence of catheter malpositioning in the infraclavicular group compared to 0.5% in the supraclavicular group. In another prospective comparative study evaluating 144 patients requiring central venous catheterization, a supraclavicular approach had a statistically significant higher success rate in comparison to an infraclavicular approach.

There are limited published data comparing supraclavicular to infraclavicular approaches with real-time US guidance. In one prospective anatomical study of normovolemic patients, Stachura et al demonstrated that identifying the SCV in the supraclavicular region using US is technically easier compared to the infraclavicular region. The use of real-time US for supraclavicular SCV cannulation is limited by a lack of space in the supraclavicular area for both the US probe and the needle used for cannulation. Understanding this limitation, Mallin et al described a supraclavicular approach using an endocavitary probe with a smaller footprint, creating adequate space for real-time US-guided cannulation. As most US systems are not routinely equipped with endocavitary probes, it is not surprising that currently available literature favors the infraclavicular approach as the preferred approach for SCV cannulation. Future studies focused on smaller US vascular probes may lead to better understanding of the value of the supraclavicular approach.

INFRACLAVICULAR SUBCLAVIAN CANNULATION UNDER ULTRASOUND GUIDANCE

The axillary vein courses medially and becomes the SCV at the lateral border of the first rib. It continues its path under the clavicle, arching upward across the superior surface of the first rib and then inclines medially, downwards and across the insertion of the anterior scalene muscle. At this point, it enters the thorax as it unites with the IJV behind the sternoclavicular joint. SCV visualization via US is possible in the clavipectoral triangle, 2-3cm distal to the point where the SCV crosses below the clavicle. As a result, US-guided SCV cannulation using an infraclavicular approach is positioned near the border of the axillary vein, which is noticeably lateral to the LM approach.

The procedure begins with the patient placed in a supine position, prepared and draped in a sterile fashion. The subclavian and axillary veins are visualized by placing a high frequency linear transducer in the infraclavicular fossa (Figure 2a), in order to obtain a short axis view of the vein and artery (Figure 2b). After identification of the target vessel, the vein is positioned centrally on the screen and the transducer is rotated (Figure 2c), maintaining visualization of the vein, until a longitudinal view is obtained. This view enables visualization of axillary vein and distal SCV, as well as the pleural lining below the vessel (Figure 2d). Tilting the transducer cephalad enables visualization of the subclavian artery, and is used to identify and differentiate the vein from the artery. Vessel compressibility and venous pattern on pulse-wave Doppler are generally recommended for confirmation of the appropriate vessel (Figure 2e and 2f). In the longitudinal orientation, the needle is inserted in the midpoint of the small footprint of the transducer (Figure 3a), enabling an in-plane view. The inserting needle should be advanced slowly and visualized throughout the entire procedure while maintaining a view of the vessel and adjacent anatomical structures (Figure 3b-d). If needle visualization is lost, it is essential to avoid complications by ceasing to advance the needle, withdrawing slightly and then relocating the needle tip and shaft before proceeding. Once within the lumen of the vessel the guidewire is inserted with the J-tip pointing caudal and the direction of travel visualized in real time. The anticipated length of line insertion is, in general, 1-2cm longer in comparison to the length anticipated with subclavicular LM approach due to the more lateral approach described above.

This longitudinal, real-time, US-guided infraclavicular SCV cannulation approach offers several advantages to the LM technique. Using this approach, the operator can control the advancement of the needle, identify adjacent anatomical structures, including the pleura and posterior wall of the vessel.
This in turn allows for a decreased risk of posterior vessel wall puncture, lowering the subsequent risk of pneumothorax.\textsuperscript{16}
Additionally, the approach has been demonstrated to decrease the rate of arterial puncture and hematoma formation.\textsuperscript{9,17}
Additionally, real-time longitudinal views lead to a significantly increased overall success rate, with fewer attempts, redirections, or malpositioned catheters.\textsuperscript{10,16,25}
In a prospective study by Fragou et al., 401 sedated and mechanically ventilated patients were randomized to either real-time US guidance (n=201) or LM technique (n=200) for placement of subclavian catheters by experienced operators.\textsuperscript{10}
This study found the time to obtain vascular access and number of attempts were significantly lower using real-time US guidance (p<0.05).
It is, however, possible that with an inexperienced operator or due to US preparation time, US-guided line placement may be slightly longer in duration in comparison to LM approach.\textsuperscript{25}
Lastly, SCV cannulation can be learned on simulation models more rapidly with US guidance compared to the LM technique. In a study by Tokumine et al., 20 medical trainees received instruction on both LM and US-guided SCV cannulation using the longitudinal axis.\textsuperscript{23}
Sufficient skill to place an US-guided SCV catheter was achieved with three attempts compared to nine for the LM technique.

**CONCLUSION**

The SCV offers multiple advantages as a target for central venous access in the appropriately selected patient. The use of real-time US guidance for infraclavicular placement of SCV catheters allows for direct visualization of needle insertion and adjacent anatomical structures, as well as guidewire location and directionality, all of which can lead to decreased mechanical complications and improved cannulation success, compared to a LM technique. Although more research is needed, in our opinion the current literature supports the use of the infraclavicular longitudinal US-guided SCV catheterization as the preferred technique for cannulation of SCV when compared to LM approach and a solid alternative to cannulation of IJVs.
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