

UC Berkeley

IGS Poll

Title

Tabulations from a Late October 2024 Poll of California Likely Voters About Voting in the State's November General Election

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8qr7x3vt>

Author

DiCamillo, Mark

Publication Date

2024-11-01



University of California, Berkeley
Institute of Governmental Studies
109 Moses Hall, #2370
Berkeley, CA 94720-2370
Tel: 510-642-1473
Fax: 510-642-3020
Email: igs@berkeley.edu

**Tabulations from a Late October 2024 Poll of
California Likely Voters About Voting in the
State's November General Election**

by the
Berkeley IGS Poll
Institute of Governmental Studies
University of California, Berkeley

October 22-29, 2024

Introduction

The statistical tabulations in this volume are based on a *Berkeley IGS Poll* completed online in English and Spanish October 22-29, 2024 among 4,341 Californians considered likely to vote or had already voted in the state's November 2024 general election. The survey was conducted by the Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) at the University of California, Berkeley. Funding for the poll was provided in part by the *Los Angeles Times*.

The poll was conducted by distributing email invitations to stratified random samples of the state's registered voters. Each email invited voters to participate in a non-partisan survey conducted by the University and provided a link to the IGS website where the survey was housed. Reminder emails were distributed to non-responding voters and an opt out link was provided for voters not wishing to receive further email invitations.

Samples of California registered voters with email addresses were derived from information contained on the official voter registration rolls and provided to IGS by Political Data, Inc., a leading supplier of registered voter lists.

To protect the anonymity of respondents, voters' email addresses and all other personally identifiable information derived from the original voter listing were purged from the data file and replaced with a unique and anonymous identification number during data processing. In addition, after the completion of data collection, post-stratification weights were applied to the survey data file to align the sample of registered voters to population characteristics of the registered voters statewide and within major regions of the state.

The sampling error associated with the survey results is difficult to calculate precisely because of sample stratification and the post-stratification weighting. Nevertheless, it is likely that findings based on the likely voter subsample are subject to a sampling error of approximately +/-2.5 percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

Regional definitions referenced in this report

The results from the state's geographic regions referenced in this volume are comprised of the following counties:

Los Angeles County (Los Angeles County)

Orange County (Orange County)

San Diego County (San Diego County)

Inland Empire (Riverside, San Bernardino and Imperial counties)

Central Coast (Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, and San Benito counties)

Sacramento Valley (Shasta, Tehama, Glenn, Butte, Colusa, Yuba, Placer, Sutter, Yolo, El Dorado, and Sacramento counties)

San Joaquin Valley (San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Madera, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, and Kern counties)

Central Valley (net) (includes all counties in the Sacramento Valley and San Joaquin Valley referenced above)

SF Bay Area (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, San Mateo, Santa Clara).

North Coast/Sierras (Del Norte, Siskiyou, Modoc, Humboldt, Trinity, Lassen, Mendocino, Plumas, Sierra, Nevada, Lake, Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Tuolumne, Mono, Mariposa, Inyo counties.)

About the Institute of Governmental Studies

The Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) is an interdisciplinary organized research unit that pursues a vigorous program of research, education, publication and public service. A component of the University of California system's flagship Berkeley campus, IGS is the oldest organized research unit in the UC system and the oldest public policy research center in the state. IGS's co-directors are Professor Eric Schickler and Associate Professor G. Cristina Mora.

IGS conducts periodic surveys of public opinion in California on matters of politics and public policy through its *Berkeley IGS Poll*. The poll seeks to provide a broad measure of contemporary public opinion, and to generate data for subsequent scholarly analysis. The director of the *Berkeley IGS Poll* is veteran pollster Mark DiCamillo. For a complete listing of stories issued by the *Berkeley IGS Poll* and a more detailed description of the methods used to conduct each survey go to <https://www.igs.berkeley.edu/research/berkeley-igs-poll>.

October 2024 Berkeley IGS Poll — Statewide Likely Voters

Table 1: Q4 Below are the candidates and party tickets that were listed on the California election ballot for President and Vice President. For whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Region											Party Registration					Likely Voters				Method of voting		
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely voters (net)	Voted	Not voted yet	Not likely voters	Mail	Drop off	In person
unweighted n	4341.00	1205.00	429.00	277.00	411.00	277.00	754.00	417.00	337.00	896.00	92.00	2035.00	1527.00	529.00	250.00	779.00	4341.00	1923.00	2418.00	0	1941.00	1899.00	492.00
weighted n	3788.00	935.00	359.00	299.00	427.00	249.00	651.00	358.00	293.00	790.00	77.00	1791.00	1048.00	690.00	259.00	948.00	3788.00	1238.00	2549.00	0	1643.00	1619.00	520.00
Kamala Harris - Tim Walz, Democrat	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.46	0.50	0.61	0.46	0.51	0.39	0.69	0.49	0.89	0.07	0.56	0.45	0.53	0.57	0.63	0.55	0	0.63	0.60	0.30
Donald Trump - JD Vance, Republican	0.35	0.28	0.34	0.45	0.42	0.31	0.47	0.42	0.55	0.25	0.40	0.06	0.88	0.27	0.36	0.30	0.35	0.34	0.35	0	0.29	0.32	0.61
Robert F. Kennedy Jr. - Nicole Shanahan, American Independent	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	0	0.01	0.01	0.02
Jill Stein - Rudolph Ware, Green Party	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0	0.02	0.01	0.01
Claudia De La Cruz - Karina Garcia, Peace and Freedom Party	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0	0.00	0.01	0.00
Chase Oliver - Mike Ter Maat, Libertarian Party	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.11	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.02	0.05	0	0.04	0.04	0.06

Table 2: Q4 Below are the candidates and party tickets that were listed on the California election ballot for President and Vice President. For whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Gender						Age					Race / Ethnicity					
		Male (total)	Married men	Unmarried men	Female (total)	Married women	Unmarried women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black
unweighted n	4341.00	2069.00	1245.00	759.00	2271.00	1096.00	1080.00	363.00	447.00	635.00	1440.00	1456.00	2416.00	1596.00	819.00	918.00	372.00	348.00
weighted n	3788.00	1824.00	1065.00	732.00	1963.00	899.00	1019.00	486.00	581.00	592.00	992.00	1136.00	1994.00	1159.00	834.00	918.00	540.00	215.00
Kamala Harris - Tim Walz, Democrat	0.57	0.52	0.50	0.56	0.62	0.57	0.67	0.64	0.56	0.50	0.56	0.60	0.58	0.67	0.45	0.57	0.56	0.77
Donald Trump - JD Vance, Republican	0.35	0.38	0.41	0.34	0.31	0.37	0.26	0.27	0.33	0.39	0.37	0.35	0.36	0.26	0.50	0.34	0.35	0.16
Robert F. Kennedy Jr. - Nicole Shanahan, American Independent	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01
Jill Stein - Rudolph Ware, Green Party	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01
Claudia De La Cruz - Karina Garcia, Peace and Freedom Party	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.01
Chase Oliver - Mike Ter Maat, Libertarian Party	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.05

Table 3: Q4 Below are the candidates and party tickets that were listed on the California election ballot for President and Vice President. For whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Political Ideology					Tenure		Education				Household Income					Union HH		
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewha con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewha lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Owner	Renter / Other	High school grad or less	Some col- lege/ trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Yes	No
unweighted n	4341.00	570.00	742.00	1327.00	832.00	722.00	2740.00	1601.00	382.00	1191.00	1348.00	1285.00	229.00	319.00	418.00	809.00	1431.00	874.00	985.00	3200.00
weighted n	3788.00	465.00	658.00	1224.00	780.00	608.00	2171.00	1617.00	413.00	1372.00	1246.00	719.00	277.00	348.00	420.00	780.00	1142.00	681.00	821.00	2898.00
Kamala Harris - Tim Walz, Democrat	0.57	0.06	0.19	0.59	0.91	0.91	0.54	0.61	0.52	0.50	0.63	0.67	0.60	0.65	0.61	0.52	0.56	0.62	0.55	0.58
Donald Trump - JD Vance, Republican	0.35	0.90	0.75	0.29	0.04	0.01	0.38	0.30	0.38	0.44	0.29	0.25	0.28	0.31	0.33	0.40	0.37	0.30	0.35	0.35
Robert F. Kennedy Jr. - Nicole Shanahan, American Independent	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02
Jill Stein - Rudolph Ware, Green Party	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01
Claudia De La Cruz - Karina Garcia, Peace and Freedom Party	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
Chase Oliver - Mike Ter Maat, Libertarian Party	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.04

Table 4: Q4 Below are the candidates and party tickets that were listed on the California election ballot for President and Vice President. For whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Party ID											Vote Choice for Pres					2020 Pres Vote					
	Total	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Harris Walz	Trump Vance	Kennedy-Shanahan	Stein Ware	Others	Undecided / do not recall	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	Other
unweighted n	4341.00	2339.00	1383.00	953.00	1543.00	796.00	746.00	1232.00	500.00	411.00	320.00	2463	1544	50	54	41.00	156	480.00	3861.00	2288.00	1231.00	250.00
weighted n	3788.00	2123.00	1160.00	961.00	1275.00	649.00	625.00	1213.00	505.00	363.00	344.00	2171	1312	55	50	36.00	148	498.00	3290.00	2002.00	1097.00	259.00
Kamala Harris - Tim Walz, Democrat	0.57	0.95	0.97	0.92	0.04	0.01	0.08	0.47	0.93	0.01	0.27	1	0	0	0	0.00	0	0.63	0.56	0.89	0.02	0.45
Donald Trump - JD Vance, Republican	0.35	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.91	0.98	0.83	0.36	0.00	0.87	0.34	0	1	0	0	0.00	0	0.29	0.35	0.04	0.94	0.36
Robert F. Kennedy Jr. - Nicole Shanahan, American Independent	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.03	0.00	0.06	0.05	0	0	1	0	0.00	0	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.08
Jill Stein - Rudolph Ware, Green Party	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.09	0	0	0	1	0.00	0	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02
Claudia De La Cruz - Karina Garcia, Peace and Freedom Party	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.03	0	0	0	0	0.53	0	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.02
Chase Oliver - Mike Ter Maat, Libertarian Party	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.04	0	0	0	0	0.47	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02
Undecided / Don't recall/didn't vote on this	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.18	0	0	0	0	0.00	1	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.05

Table 5: Q4 Below are the candidates and party tickets that were listed on the California election ballot for President and Vice President. For whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Latino Likely Voters)

	Total	Dominant Language		Generational status			Gender and age						Education			Party Reg			Tenure	
		Spanish dominant	English dominant/bilingual	1st Gen	2nd Gen	3rd+ Gen	Male (total)	Males 18-39	Males 40+	Female (total)	Females 18-39	Females 40+	HS or less	Some college/trade school	BA+	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Owner	Renter / Other
unweighted n	918.00	248.00	667.00	310.00	319.00	276.00	458.00	148.00	310.00	460.00	139.00	321.00	159.00	331.00	426.00	472.00	277.00	169.00	456.00	462.00
weighted n	918.00	244.00	664.00	300.00	363.00	234.00	440.00	207.00	232.00	478.00	200.00	278.00	185.00	482.00	250.00	514.00	178.00	226.00	348.00	570.00
Kamala Harris - Tim Walz, Democrat	0.57	0.64	0.55	0.61	0.57	0.52	0.49	0.48	0.50	0.64	0.69	0.61	0.59	0.53	0.63	0.79	0.05	0.48	0.49	0.62
Donald Trump - JD Vance, Republican	0.34	0.27	0.36	0.29	0.32	0.43	0.39	0.38	0.41	0.28	0.21	0.33	0.30	0.38	0.27	0.12	0.92	0.36	0.43	0.28
Robert F. Kennedy Jr. - Nicole Shanahan, American Independent	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01
Jill Stein - Rudolph Ware, Green Party	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02
Claudia De La Cruz - Karina Garcia, Peace and Freedom Party	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.02
Chase Oliver - Mike Ter Maat, Libertarian Party	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.10	0.05	0.05

Table 6: Q4 Below are the candidates and party tickets that were listed on the California election ballot for President and Vice President. For whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Female Likely Voters)

	Total	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity					Marital Status		
		Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2271.00	1244.00	681.00	346.00	186.00	216.00	333.00	743.00	793.00	1283.00	833.00	450.00	460.00	176.00	192.00	1096.00	1080.00
weighted n	1963.00	1024.00	507.00	432.00	266.00	284.00	295.00	497.00	621.00	1015.00	562.00	453.00	478.00	291.00	109.00	899.00	1019.00
Kamala Harris - Tim Walz, Democrat	0.62	0.91	0.07	0.60	0.71	0.60	0.54	0.60	0.65	0.62	0.74	0.48	0.64	0.58	0.84	0.57	0.67
Donald Trump - JD Vance, Republican	0.31	0.04	0.89	0.26	0.22	0.31	0.36	0.33	0.31	0.33	0.21	0.47	0.28	0.35	0.10	0.37	0.26
Robert F. Kennedy Jr. - Nicole Shanahan, American Independent	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02
Jill Stein - Rudolph Ware, Green Party	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01
Claudia De La Cruz - Karina Garcia, Peace and Freedom Party	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Chase Oliver - Mike Ter Maat, Libertarian Party	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.07	0.01	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.03

Table 7: Q4 Below are the candidates and party tickets that were listed on the California election ballot for President and Vice President. For whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Male Likely Voters)

	Total	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity					Marital Status		
		Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2069.00	790.00	846.00	433.00	177.00	231.00	302.00	697.00	662.00	1133.00	763.00	369.00	458.00	196.00	156.00	1245.00	759.00
weighted n	1824.00	767.00	541.00	516.00	220.00	297.00	297.00	495.00	515.00	979.00	597.00	381.00	440.00	249.00	106.00	1065.00	732.00
Kamala Harris - Tim Walz, Democrat	0.52	0.87	0.08	0.47	0.57	0.52	0.46	0.51	0.54	0.53	0.60	0.42	0.49	0.52	0.71	0.50	0.56
Donald Trump - JD Vance, Republican	0.38	0.08	0.88	0.33	0.34	0.35	0.42	0.40	0.39	0.40	0.32	0.52	0.39	0.35	0.22	0.41	0.34
Robert F. Kennedy Jr. - Nicole Shanahan, American Independent	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02
Jill Stein - Rudolph Ware, Green Party	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02
Claudia De La Cruz - Karina Garcia, Peace and Freedom Party	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.01
Chase Oliver - Mike Ter Maat, Libertarian Party	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.01	0.01
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.11	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.04

Table 8: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (1) Donald Trump, former President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Region											Party Registration					Likely Voters				Method of voting		
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely voters (net)	Voted yet	Not voted yet	Not likely voters	Mail	Drop off	In person
unweighted n	4341.00	1205.00	429.00	277.00	411.00	277.00	754.00	417.00	337.00	896.00	92.00	2035.00	1527.00	529.00	250.00	779.00	4341.00	1923.00	2418.00	0	1941.00	1899.00	492.00
weighted n	3788.00	935.00	359.00	299.00	427.00	249.00	651.00	358.00	293.00	790.00	77.00	1791.00	1048.00	690.00	259.00	948.00	3788.00	1238.00	2549.00	0	1643.00	1619.00	520.00
Favorable (net)	0.35	0.28	0.34	0.45	0.41	0.33	0.49	0.40	0.59	0.23	0.46	0.08	0.86	0.28	0.34	0.30	0.35	0.34	0.35	0	0.29	0.33	0.60
Strongly favorable	0.26	0.19	0.24	0.36	0.32	0.25	0.35	0.29	0.42	0.18	0.34	0.04	0.69	0.17	0.24	0.19	0.26	0.25	0.26	0	0.20	0.23	0.49
Somewhat favorable	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.14	0.11	0.17	0.06	0.12	0.04	0.17	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.09	0	0.09	0.09	0.11
Unfavorable (net)	0.62	0.68	0.62	0.53	0.56	0.63	0.50	0.58	0.41	0.73	0.53	0.89	0.13	0.69	0.62	0.67	0.62	0.63	0.62	0	0.68	0.65	0.37
Somewhat unfavorable	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.05	0	0.05	0.03	0.04
Strongly unfavorable	0.58	0.65	0.57	0.50	0.51	0.60	0.45	0.51	0.36	0.70	0.53	0.86	0.10	0.61	0.57	0.60	0.58	0.61	0.57	0	0.63	0.63	0.32
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0	0.03	0.02	0.03

Table 9: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (1) Donald Trump, former President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Gender							Age					Race / Ethnicity					
	Total	Male (total)	Married men	Unmarried men	Female (total)	Married women	Unmarried women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black
unweighted n	4341.00	2069.00	1245.00	759.00	2271.00	1096.00	1080.00	363.00	447.00	635.00	1440.00	1456.00	2416.00	1596.00	819.00	918.00	372.00	348.00
weighted n	3788.00	1824.00	1065.00	732.00	1963.00	899.00	1019.00	486.00	581.00	592.00	992.00	1136.00	1994.00	1159.00	834.00	918.00	540.00	215.00
Favorable (net)	0.35	0.38	0.40	0.35	0.32	0.37	0.27	0.28	0.33	0.42	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.25	0.50	0.36	0.35	0.17
Strongly favorable	0.26	0.28	0.30	0.25	0.23	0.25	0.21	0.22	0.25	0.28	0.27	0.25	0.27	0.17	0.40	0.26	0.23	0.12
Somewhat favorable	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.13	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.05
Unfavorable (net)	0.62	0.59	0.57	0.63	0.65	0.60	0.71	0.71	0.62	0.56	0.62	0.63	0.64	0.75	0.50	0.58	0.62	0.80
Somewhat unfavorable	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.02
Strongly unfavorable	0.58	0.54	0.51	0.58	0.63	0.57	0.69	0.67	0.57	0.50	0.57	0.61	0.61	0.71	0.47	0.53	0.57	0.78
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.03	0.02

Table 10: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (1) Donald Trump, former President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Political Ideology					Tenure		Education				Household Income					Union HH		
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewha con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewha lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Owner	Renter / Other	High school grad or less	Some col- lege/ trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Yes	No
unweighted n	4341.00	570.00	742.00	1327.00	832.00	722.00	2740.00	1601.00	382.00	1191.00	1348.00	1285.00	229.00	319.00	418.00	809.00	1431.00	874.00	985.00	3200.00
weighted n	3788.00	465.00	658.00	1224.00	780.00	608.00	2171.00	1617.00	413.00	1372.00	1246.00	719.00	277.00	348.00	420.00	780.00	1142.00	681.00	821.00	2898.00
Favorable (net)	0.35	0.88	0.72	0.31	0.05	0.01	0.38	0.30	0.40	0.45	0.28	0.24	0.30	0.32	0.33	0.41	0.36	0.29	0.37	0.34
Strongly favorable	0.26	0.78	0.53	0.19	0.02	0.01	0.27	0.23	0.32	0.34	0.20	0.15	0.20	0.26	0.26	0.32	0.26	0.19	0.25	0.26
Somewhat favorable	0.09	0.11	0.19	0.12	0.03	0.00	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.09
Unfavorable (net)	0.62	0.09	0.24	0.65	0.95	0.98	0.60	0.65	0.52	0.53	0.70	0.75	0.61	0.64	0.62	0.59	0.62	0.69	0.62	0.63
Somewhat unfavorable	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04
Strongly unfavorable	0.58	0.08	0.19	0.59	0.91	0.97	0.57	0.61	0.47	0.49	0.66	0.71	0.57	0.61	0.58	0.55	0.58	0.64	0.58	0.59
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03

Table 11: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (1) Donald Trump, former President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Party ID										Vote Choice for Pres					2020 Pres Vote					
		Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Re- pub- li- can	Not strong Re- pub- li- can	Independent/ other (net)	Democrat	Lean Re- pub- li- can	Pure In- de- pendent/ other	Harris Walz	Trump Vance	Kennedy- Shana- han	Stein- Ware	Others	Undecided / do not re- call	Non- voters	Voters (net)	Biden vot- ers	Trump vot- ers	Other
unweighted n	4341.00	2339.00	1383.00	953.00	1543.00	796.00	746.00	1232.00	500.00	411.00	320.00	2463.00	1544.00	50.00	54.00	41.00	156.00	480.00	3861.00	2288.00	1231.00	250.00
weighted n	3788.00	2123.00	1160.00	961.00	1275.00	649.00	625.00	1213.00	505.00	363.00	344.00	2171.00	1312.00	55.00	50.00	36.00	148.00	498.00	3290.00	2002.00	1097.00	259.00
Favorable (net)	0.35	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.88	0.97	0.79	0.36	0.02	0.85	0.34	0.02	0.94	0.33	0.01	0.02	0.16	0.32	0.35	0.05	0.92	0.34
Strongly favorable	0.26	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.69	0.86	0.51	0.21	0.01	0.56	0.15	0.01	0.72	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.21	0.26	0.03	0.71	0.24
Somewhat favorable	0.09	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.20	0.12	0.28	0.14	0.01	0.29	0.19	0.01	0.22	0.32	0.01	0.02	0.16	0.11	0.09	0.02	0.21	0.11
Unfavorable (net)	0.62	0.94	0.95	0.94	0.11	0.02	0.20	0.61	0.98	0.13	0.58	0.96	0.05	0.66	0.87	0.94	0.67	0.64	0.62	0.92	0.07	0.62
Somewhat unfavorable	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.03	0.03	0.17	0.03	0.21	0.24	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.06
Strongly unfavorable	0.58	0.91	0.93	0.89	0.07	0.01	0.13	0.54	0.93	0.05	0.48	0.93	0.02	0.49	0.84	0.73	0.42	0.59	0.58	0.88	0.03	0.57
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.08	0.03	0.00	0.01	0.12	0.04	0.17	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.04

Table 12: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (1) Donald Trump, former President (Statewide Latino Likely Voters)

	Total	Dominant Language		Generational status			Gender and age						Education			Party Reg			Tenure	
		Spanish dom- inant	English dom- i- nant/ biling- ual	1st Gen	2nd Gen	3rd+ Gen	Male (to- tal)	Males 18- 39	Males 40+	Female (to- tal)	Females 18- 39	Females 40+	HS or less	Some col- lege/trade school	BA+	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Owner	Renter / Other
unweighted n	918.00	248.00	667.00	310.00	319.00	276.00	458.00	148.00	310.00	460.00	139.00	321.00	159.00	331.00	426.00	472.00	277.00	169.00	456.00	462.00
weighted n	918.00	244.00	664.00	300.00	363.00	234.00	440.00	207.00	232.00	478.00	200.00	278.00	185.00	482.00	250.00	514.00	178.00	226.00	348.00	570.00
Favorable (net)	0.36	0.34	0.35	0.33	0.34	0.42	0.42	0.38	0.46	0.30	0.22	0.35	0.34	0.41	0.27	0.16	0.90	0.37	0.46	0.29
Strongly favorable	0.26	0.19	0.29	0.21	0.25	0.36	0.32	0.30	0.33	0.21	0.16	0.25	0.25	0.30	0.20	0.08	0.77	0.27	0.34	0.21
Somewhat favorable	0.09	0.15	0.07	0.13	0.10	0.06	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.06	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.13	0.10	0.12	0.08
Unfavorable (net)	0.58	0.51	0.61	0.51	0.63	0.58	0.51	0.58	0.45	0.64	0.72	0.58	0.52	0.54	0.69	0.75	0.08	0.57	0.49	0.63
Somewhat unfavorable	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.07
Strongly unfavorable	0.53	0.43	0.57	0.46	0.56	0.55	0.45	0.49	0.42	0.60	0.69	0.53	0.44	0.50	0.65	0.70	0.06	0.52	0.47	0.56
No opinion	0.07	0.15	0.03	0.16	0.03	0.01	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.14	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.02	0.07	0.05	0.08

Table 13: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (1) Donald Trump, former President (Statewide Female Likely Voters)

	Total	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity						Marital Status	
		Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non- Hispanic (net)	White non- Hispanic and college grad	White non- Hispanic and non- college gradu- ate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2271.00	1244.00	681.00	346.00	186.00	216.00	333.00	743.00	793.00	1283.00	833.00	450.00	460.00	176.00	192.00	1096.00	1080.00
weighted n	1963.00	1024.00	507.00	432.00	266.00	284.00	295.00	497.00	621.00	1015.00	562.00	453.00	478.00	291.00	109.00	899.00	1019.00
Favorable (net)	0.32	0.06	0.87	0.26	0.22	0.31	0.39	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.20	0.48	0.30	0.36	0.16	0.37	0.27
Strongly favorable	0.23	0.03	0.71	0.16	0.17	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.25	0.14	0.39	0.21	0.25	0.09	0.25	0.21
Somewhat favorable	0.08	0.04	0.16	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.06	0.11	0.06
Unfavorable (net)	0.65	0.90	0.12	0.71	0.77	0.62	0.59	0.63	0.67	0.67	0.80	0.52	0.64	0.62	0.81	0.60	0.71
Somewhat unfavorable	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.03
Strongly unfavorable	0.63	0.87	0.09	0.67	0.73	0.60	0.54	0.60	0.66	0.65	0.78	0.50	0.60	0.57	0.80	0.57	0.69
No opinion	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02

Table 14: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (1) Donald Trump, former President (Statewide Male Likely Voters)

	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity					Marital Status			
	Total	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2069.00	790.00	846.00	433.00	177.00	231.00	302.00	697.00	662.00	1133.00	763.00	369.00	458.00	196.00	156.00	1245.00	759.00
weighted n	1824.00	767.00	541.00	516.00	220.00	297.00	297.00	495.00	515.00	979.00	597.00	381.00	440.00	249.00	106.00	1065.00	732.00
Favorable (net)	0.38	0.09	0.84	0.33	0.35	0.34	0.45	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.30	0.52	0.42	0.34	0.19	0.40	0.35
Strongly favorable	0.28	0.05	0.66	0.21	0.28	0.24	0.30	0.29	0.28	0.28	0.20	0.41	0.32	0.21	0.16	0.30	0.25
Somewhat favorable	0.10	0.04	0.18	0.12	0.08	0.10	0.15	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.03	0.10	0.10
Unfavorable (net)	0.59	0.87	0.15	0.63	0.64	0.62	0.52	0.60	0.58	0.61	0.70	0.48	0.51	0.63	0.80	0.57	0.63
Somewhat unfavorable	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.10	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.05
Strongly unfavorable	0.54	0.84	0.10	0.54	0.60	0.55	0.46	0.54	0.54	0.56	0.64	0.45	0.45	0.56	0.76	0.51	0.58
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.02

Table 15: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (2) Kamala Harris, Vice President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Region											Party Registration					Likely Voters				Method of voting		
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely voters (net)	Voted	Not voted yet	Not likely voters	Mail	Drop off	In person
unweighted n	4341.00	1205.00	429.00	277.00	411.00	277.00	754.00	417.00	337.00	896.00	92.00	2035.00	1527.00	529.00	250.00	779.00	4341.00	1923.00	2418.00	0	1941.00	1899.00	492.00
weighted n	3788.00	935.00	359.00	299.00	427.00	249.00	651.00	358.00	293.00	790.00	77.00	1791.00	1048.00	690.00	259.00	948.00	3788.00	1238.00	2549.00	0	1643.00	1619.00	520.00
Favorable (net)	0.57	0.62	0.59	0.48	0.48	0.59	0.45	0.48	0.42	0.68	0.45	0.88	0.08	0.55	0.41	0.51	0.57	0.61	0.55	0	0.62	0.60	0.31
Strongly favorable	0.38	0.44	0.33	0.32	0.35	0.43	0.29	0.31	0.27	0.44	0.34	0.64	0.04	0.32	0.19	0.29	0.38	0.45	0.35	0	0.42	0.40	0.22
Somewhat favorable	0.19	0.18	0.26	0.16	0.13	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.24	0.11	0.25	0.05	0.23	0.21	0.23	0.19	0.17	0.19	0	0.21	0.19	0.09
Unfavorable (net)	0.40	0.35	0.38	0.49	0.51	0.36	0.52	0.50	0.54	0.28	0.53	0.10	0.89	0.41	0.53	0.44	0.40	0.37	0.42	0	0.35	0.38	0.63
Somewhat unfavorable	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.05	0.04	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
Strongly unfavorable	0.35	0.29	0.33	0.45	0.42	0.32	0.48	0.44	0.52	0.25	0.49	0.07	0.84	0.31	0.41	0.34	0.35	0.32	0.37	0	0.30	0.33	0.58
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.03	0	0.03	0.02	0.05

Table 16: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (2) Kamala Harris, Vice President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Gender							Age					Race / Ethnicity					
	Total	Male (total)	Married men	Unmarried men	Female (total)	Married women	Unmarried women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black
unweighted n	4341.00	2069.00	1245.00	759.00	2271.00	1096.00	1080.00	363.00	447.00	635.00	1440.00	1456.00	2416.00	1596.00	819.00	918.00	372.00	348.00
weighted n	3788.00	1824.00	1065.00	732.00	1963.00	899.00	1019.00	486.00	581.00	592.00	992.00	1136.00	1994.00	1159.00	834.00	918.00	540.00	215.00
Favorable (net)	0.57	0.51	0.49	0.55	0.62	0.57	0.68	0.63	0.57	0.50	0.55	0.60	0.56	0.65	0.44	0.59	0.55	0.77
Strongly favorable	0.38	0.31	0.32	0.30	0.45	0.42	0.48	0.29	0.34	0.31	0.40	0.47	0.40	0.45	0.33	0.37	0.30	0.63
Somewhat favorable	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.25	0.17	0.15	0.20	0.34	0.23	0.19	0.14	0.13	0.16	0.20	0.11	0.22	0.25	0.14
Unfavorable (net)	0.40	0.46	0.49	0.42	0.35	0.41	0.29	0.35	0.38	0.48	0.42	0.38	0.43	0.34	0.54	0.36	0.40	0.21
Somewhat unfavorable	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05
Strongly unfavorable	0.35	0.40	0.44	0.36	0.30	0.36	0.25	0.28	0.32	0.42	0.37	0.34	0.37	0.29	0.49	0.31	0.35	0.16
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.03

Table 17: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (2) Kamala Harris, Vice President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Political Ideology					Tenure		Education				Household Income					Union HH		
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewha con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewha lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Owner	Renter / Other	High school grad or less	Some col- lege/ trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	1285.00	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Yes
unweighted n	4341.00	570.00	742.00	1327.00	832.00	722.00	2740.00	1601.00	382.00	1191.00	1348.00	1285.00	229.00	319.00	418.00	809.00	1431.00	874.00	985.00	3200.00
weighted n	3788.00	465.00	658.00	1224.00	780.00	608.00	2171.00	1617.00	413.00	1372.00	1246.00	719.00	277.00	348.00	420.00	780.00	1142.00	681.00	821.00	2898.00
Favorable (net)	0.57	0.08	0.21	0.58	0.91	0.89	0.54	0.61	0.55	0.49	0.62	0.66	0.64	0.64	0.60	0.51	0.55	0.60	0.54	0.58
Strongly favorable	0.38	0.06	0.12	0.37	0.65	0.59	0.38	0.38	0.41	0.33	0.40	0.45	0.43	0.42	0.38	0.35	0.38	0.39	0.36	0.39
Somewhat favorable	0.19	0.01	0.08	0.21	0.25	0.30	0.15	0.23	0.13	0.16	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.16	0.16	0.21	0.18	0.19
Unfavorable (net)	0.40	0.88	0.77	0.38	0.08	0.10	0.44	0.35	0.40	0.48	0.36	0.32	0.30	0.32	0.37	0.46	0.43	0.38	0.44	0.40
Somewhat unfavorable	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.05
Strongly unfavorable	0.35	0.86	0.71	0.31	0.05	0.05	0.39	0.29	0.35	0.43	0.32	0.26	0.28	0.29	0.31	0.40	0.39	0.33	0.37	0.35
No opinion	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03

Table 18: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (2) Kamala Harris, Vice President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Party ID							Vote Choice for Pres						2020 Pres Vote							
		Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Re- pub- li- can	Not strong Re- pub- li- can	Independent/ Democrat	Lean Re- pub- li- can	Pure In- de- pendent/ other	Harris Walz	Trump Vance	Kennedy- Shanahan	Stein Ware	Others	Undecided/ do not re- call	Non- voters	Voters (net)	Biden vot- ers	Trump vot- ers	Other	
unweighted n	4341.00	2339.00	1383.00	953.00	1543.00	796.00	746.00	1232.00	500.00	411.00	320.00	2463.00	1544.00	50.00	54.00	41.00	156.00	480.00	3861.00	2288.00	1231.00	250.00
weighted n	3788.00	2123.00	1160.00	961.00	1275.00	649.00	625.00	1213.00	505.00	363.00	344.00	2171.00	1312.00	55.00	50.00	36.00	148.00	498.00	3290.00	2002.00	1097.00	259.00
Favorable (net)	0.57	0.93	0.98	0.87	0.05	0.01	0.09	0.46	0.87	0.03	0.29	0.96	0.02	0.25	0.23	0.09	0.17	0.66	0.56	0.86	0.02	0.41
Strongly favorable	0.38	0.65	0.80	0.47	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.22	0.45	0.01	0.10	0.66	0.01	0.08	0.03	0.00	0.05	0.44	0.38	0.60	0.01	0.19
Somewhat favorable	0.19	0.28	0.18	0.41	0.03	0.00	0.06	0.24	0.42	0.02	0.19	0.30	0.01	0.18	0.20	0.09	0.12	0.22	0.18	0.27	0.02	0.21
Unfavorable (net)	0.40	0.06	0.01	0.11	0.93	0.96	0.89	0.50	0.12	0.94	0.61	0.04	0.94	0.72	0.75	0.91	0.62	0.33	0.41	0.12	0.93	0.53
Somewhat unfavorable	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.08	0.05	0.02	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.03	0.05	0.10	0.05	0.10	0.33	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.11
Strongly unfavorable	0.35	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.87	0.94	0.80	0.41	0.02	0.86	0.49	0.00	0.90	0.62	0.70	0.81	0.29	0.28	0.36	0.07	0.89	0.41
No opinion	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.10	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.21	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.07

Table 19: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (2) Kamala Harris, Vice President (Statewide Latino Likely Voters)

	Total	Dominant Language		Generational status			Gender and age						Education			Party Reg			Tenure	
		Spanish dom-inant	English dom-inant/bilingual	1st Gen	2nd Gen	3rd+ Gen	Male (total)	Males 18-39	Males 40+	Female (total)	Females 18-39	Females 40+	HS or less	Some college/trade school	BA+	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Owner	Renter / Other
unweighted n	918.00	248.00	667.00	310.00	319.00	276.00	458.00	148.00	310.00	460.00	139.00	321.00	159.00	331.00	426.00	472.00	277.00	169.00	456.00	462.00
weighted n	918.00	244.00	664.00	300.00	363.00	234.00	440.00	207.00	232.00	478.00	200.00	278.00	185.00	482.00	250.00	514.00	178.00	226.00	348.00	570.00
Favorable (net)	0.59	0.65	0.57	0.61	0.61	0.52	0.51	0.52	0.51	0.67	0.72	0.63	0.65	0.55	0.62	0.81	0.07	0.51	0.50	0.65
Strongly favorable	0.37	0.43	0.35	0.43	0.31	0.37	0.31	0.25	0.37	0.42	0.36	0.46	0.47	0.33	0.37	0.53	0.03	0.26	0.37	0.37
Somewhat favorable	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.19	0.29	0.15	0.20	0.27	0.14	0.25	0.36	0.16	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.28	0.03	0.25	0.13	0.28
Unfavorable (net)	0.36	0.26	0.40	0.31	0.35	0.47	0.44	0.43	0.45	0.29	0.26	0.32	0.27	0.41	0.34	0.16	0.87	0.44	0.44	0.31
Somewhat unfavorable	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.10	0.06	0.05
Strongly unfavorable	0.31	0.19	0.35	0.25	0.29	0.43	0.39	0.36	0.41	0.24	0.20	0.28	0.21	0.38	0.26	0.12	0.83	0.34	0.39	0.27
No opinion	0.04	0.10	0.02	0.07	0.04	0.01	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.03

Table 20: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (2) Kamala Harris, Vice President (Statewide Female Likely Voters)

	Total	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity					Marital Status		
		Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2271.00	1244.00	681.00	346.00	186.00	216.00	333.00	743.00	793.00	1283.00	833.00	450.00	460.00	176.00	192.00	1096.00	1080.00
weighted n	1963.00	1024.00	507.00	432.00	266.00	284.00	295.00	497.00	621.00	1015.00	562.00	453.00	478.00	291.00	109.00	899.00	1019.00
Favorable (net)	0.62	0.90	0.08	0.60	0.71	0.60	0.55	0.60	0.66	0.61	0.73	0.46	0.67	0.58	0.84	0.57	0.68
Strongly favorable	0.45	0.69	0.04	0.36	0.39	0.39	0.34	0.46	0.56	0.47	0.56	0.36	0.42	0.38	0.75	0.42	0.48
Somewhat favorable	0.17	0.21	0.04	0.24	0.32	0.21	0.21	0.14	0.10	0.14	0.17	0.10	0.25	0.21	0.09	0.15	0.20
Unfavorable (net)	0.35	0.08	0.88	0.35	0.27	0.34	0.42	0.37	0.33	0.38	0.27	0.51	0.29	0.35	0.14	0.41	0.29
Somewhat unfavorable	0.04	0.02	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.03	0.06	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.04
Strongly unfavorable	0.30	0.06	0.83	0.28	0.20	0.31	0.36	0.33	0.30	0.33	0.23	0.46	0.24	0.32	0.09	0.36	0.25
No opinion	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.03

Table 21: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (2) Kamala Harris, Vice President (Statewide Male Likely Voters)

	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity					Marital Status			
	Total	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2069.00	790.00	846.00	433.00	177.00	231.00	302.00	697.00	662.00	1133.00	763.00	369.00	458.00	196.00	156.00	1245.00	759.00
weighted n	1824.00	767.00	541.00	516.00	220.00	297.00	297.00	495.00	515.00	979.00	597.00	381.00	440.00	249.00	106.00	1065.00	732.00
Favorable (net)	0.51	0.86	0.08	0.44	0.55	0.54	0.44	0.50	0.52	0.51	0.58	0.40	0.51	0.52	0.69	0.49	0.55
Strongly favorable	0.31	0.56	0.03	0.23	0.17	0.29	0.28	0.35	0.36	0.32	0.35	0.28	0.31	0.21	0.50	0.32	0.30
Somewhat favorable	0.20	0.30	0.05	0.21	0.37	0.25	0.17	0.15	0.16	0.19	0.23	0.12	0.20	0.31	0.19	0.17	0.25
Unfavorable (net)	0.46	0.13	0.89	0.52	0.45	0.41	0.54	0.46	0.45	0.48	0.42	0.57	0.44	0.45	0.27	0.49	0.42
Somewhat unfavorable	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07
Strongly unfavorable	0.40	0.09	0.86	0.39	0.39	0.34	0.48	0.41	0.39	0.42	0.35	0.52	0.39	0.39	0.22	0.44	0.36
No opinion	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03

Table 22: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (3) Tim Walz, Democratic nominee for Vice President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Region											Party Registration					Likely Voters				Method of voting		
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely voters (net)	Voted yet	Not voted yet	Not likely voters	Mail	Drop off	In person
unweighted n	4341.00	1205.00	429.00	277.00	411.00	277.00	754.00	417.00	337.00	896.00	92.00	2035.00	1527.00	529.00	250.00	779.00	4341.00	1923.00	2418.00	0	1941.00	1899.00	492.00
weighted n	3788.00	935.00	359.00	299.00	427.00	249.00	651.00	358.00	293.00	790.00	77.00	1791.00	1048.00	690.00	259.00	948.00	3788.00	1238.00	2549.00	0	1643.00	1619.00	520.00
Favorable (net)	0.56	0.62	0.56	0.45	0.48	0.57	0.46	0.49	0.42	0.68	0.50	0.86	0.09	0.57	0.42	0.53	0.56	0.60	0.54	0	0.60	0.60	0.32
Strongly favorable	0.35	0.38	0.33	0.29	0.30	0.40	0.28	0.30	0.26	0.43	0.37	0.60	0.03	0.28	0.13	0.24	0.35	0.40	0.33	0	0.37	0.39	0.19
Somewhat favorable	0.21	0.24	0.23	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.26	0.13	0.26	0.06	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.21	0.20	0.22	0	0.24	0.21	0.13
Unfavorable (net)	0.36	0.30	0.37	0.48	0.43	0.31	0.45	0.42	0.49	0.25	0.46	0.08	0.84	0.31	0.44	0.34	0.36	0.34	0.37	0	0.31	0.34	0.58
Somewhat unfavorable	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.11	0.08	0.14	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.07	0	0.06	0.07	0.06
Strongly unfavorable	0.29	0.22	0.27	0.41	0.34	0.25	0.40	0.37	0.45	0.21	0.41	0.06	0.73	0.22	0.29	0.24	0.29	0.28	0.29	0	0.24	0.26	0.52
No opinion	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.08	0.06	0.09	0	0.09	0.06	0.09

Table 23: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (3) Tim Walz, Democratic nominee for Vice President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Gender							Age					Race / Ethnicity					
	Total	Male (total)	Married men	Unmarried men	Female (total)	Married women	Unmarried women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black
unweighted n	4341.00	2069.00	1245.00	759.00	2271.00	1096.00	1080.00	363.00	447.00	635.00	1440.00	1456.00	2416.00	1596.00	819.00	918.00	372.00	348.00
weighted n	3788.00	1824.00	1065.00	732.00	1963.00	899.00	1019.00	486.00	581.00	592.00	992.00	1136.00	1994.00	1159.00	834.00	918.00	540.00	215.00
Favorable (net)	0.56	0.52	0.50	0.56	0.60	0.55	0.65	0.59	0.58	0.49	0.55	0.59	0.57	0.66	0.45	0.55	0.56	0.73
Strongly favorable	0.35	0.31	0.30	0.32	0.39	0.36	0.42	0.36	0.30	0.30	0.37	0.39	0.37	0.42	0.29	0.32	0.33	0.50
Somewhat favorable	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.24	0.21	0.19	0.23	0.23	0.28	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.24	0.16	0.22	0.23	0.23
Unfavorable (net)	0.36	0.41	0.45	0.36	0.31	0.35	0.26	0.30	0.31	0.41	0.38	0.36	0.39	0.31	0.49	0.30	0.36	0.18
Somewhat unfavorable	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.06
Strongly unfavorable	0.29	0.34	0.38	0.28	0.25	0.30	0.20	0.23	0.25	0.34	0.31	0.30	0.32	0.24	0.42	0.25	0.27	0.12
No opinion	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.15	0.08	0.09

Table 24: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (3) Tim Walz, Democratic nominee for Vice President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Political Ideology					Tenure		Education				Household Income					Union HH		
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewha con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewha lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Owner	Renter / Other	High school grad or less	Some col- lege/ trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Yes	No
unweighted n	4341.00	570.00	742.00	1327.00	832.00	722.00	2740.00	1601.00	382.00	1191.00	1348.00	1285.00	229.00	319.00	418.00	809.00	1431.00	874.00	985.00	3200.00
weighted n	3788.00	465.00	658.00	1224.00	780.00	608.00	2171.00	1617.00	413.00	1372.00	1246.00	719.00	277.00	348.00	420.00	780.00	1142.00	681.00	821.00	2898.00
Favorable (net)	0.56	0.09	0.21	0.58	0.86	0.91	0.54	0.59	0.51	0.48	0.62	0.67	0.56	0.57	0.56	0.54	0.57	0.60	0.56	0.57
Strongly favorable	0.35	0.05	0.09	0.32	0.54	0.69	0.34	0.37	0.34	0.30	0.37	0.42	0.33	0.35	0.36	0.34	0.35	0.37	0.36	0.35
Somewhat favorable	0.21	0.03	0.12	0.26	0.31	0.23	0.20	0.22	0.17	0.18	0.24	0.25	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.23	0.20	0.22
Unfavorable (net)	0.36	0.86	0.71	0.30	0.08	0.06	0.40	0.30	0.37	0.42	0.33	0.28	0.28	0.30	0.32	0.40	0.37	0.36	0.38	0.35
Somewhat unfavorable	0.07	0.04	0.13	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.07
Strongly unfavorable	0.29	0.82	0.58	0.22	0.04	0.03	0.33	0.23	0.32	0.35	0.26	0.21	0.22	0.25	0.27	0.31	0.32	0.27	0.31	0.28
No opinion	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.12	0.06	0.03	0.06	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.16	0.13	0.12	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.08

Table 25: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (3) Tim Walz, Democratic nominee for Vice President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Party ID							Vote Choice for Pres						2020 Pres Vote							
		Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/ Democrat	Lean Democrat	Pure Independent/ other	Harris Walz	Trump Vance	Kennedy- Shahan	Stein- Ware	Others	Undecided/ do not recall	Non- voters	Voters (net)	Biden vot- ers	Trump vot- ers	Other	
unweighted n	4341.00	2339.00	1383.00	953.00	1543.00	796.00	746.00	1232.00	500.00	411.00	320.00	2463.00	1544.00	50.00	54.00	41.00	156.00	480.00	3861.00	2288.00	1231.00	250.00
weighted n	3788.00	2123.00	1160.00	961.00	1275.00	649.00	625.00	1213.00	505.00	363.00	344.00	2171.00	1312.00	55.00	50.00	36.00	148.00	498.00	3290.00	2002.00	1097.00	259.00
Favorable (net)	0.56	0.91	0.96	0.85	0.07	0.03	0.11	0.48	0.89	0.06	0.30	0.92	0.05	0.15	0.33	0.29	0.30	0.63	0.55	0.85	0.05	0.42
Strongly favorable	0.35	0.60	0.74	0.43	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.21	0.44	0.01	0.09	0.60	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.07	0.40	0.34	0.54	0.02	0.13
Somewhat favorable	0.21	0.31	0.22	0.42	0.05	0.02	0.09	0.26	0.45	0.05	0.22	0.32	0.03	0.15	0.31	0.21	0.23	0.23	0.21	0.31	0.03	0.29
Unfavorable (net)	0.36	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.85	0.91	0.80	0.42	0.06	0.87	0.48	0.03	0.86	0.53	0.63	0.64	0.42	0.28	0.37	0.09	0.86	0.44
Somewhat unfavorable	0.07	0.02	0.00	0.04	0.12	0.06	0.18	0.11	0.03	0.17	0.17	0.02	0.11	0.27	0.17	0.27	0.23	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.11	0.14
Strongly unfavorable	0.29	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.73	0.84	0.62	0.30	0.02	0.70	0.30	0.01	0.75	0.26	0.46	0.38	0.19	0.22	0.30	0.05	0.76	0.29
No opinion	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.22	0.05	0.09	0.33	0.04	0.07	0.28	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.14

Table 26: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (3) Tim Walz, Democratic nominee for Vice President (Statewide Latino Likely Voters)

	Total	Dominant Language		Generational status			Gender and age						Education			Party Reg			Tenure	
		Spanish dom- inant	English dom- i- nant/ biling- ual	1st Gen	2nd Gen	3rd+ Gen	Male (to- tal)	Males 18- 39	Males 40+	Female (to- tal)	Females 18- 39	Females 40+	HS or less	Some col- lege/trade school	BA+	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Owner	Renter / Other
unweighted n	918.00	248.00	667.00	310.00	319.00	276.00	458.00	148.00	310.00	460.00	139.00	321.00	159.00	331.00	426.00	472.00	277.00	169.00	456.00	462.00
weighted n	918.00	244.00	664.00	300.00	363.00	234.00	440.00	207.00	232.00	478.00	200.00	278.00	185.00	482.00	250.00	514.00	178.00	226.00	348.00	570.00
Favorable (net)	0.55	0.57	0.54	0.54	0.56	0.52	0.50	0.51	0.50	0.59	0.61	0.57	0.54	0.51	0.62	0.74	0.07	0.48	0.50	0.58
Strongly favorable	0.32	0.30	0.34	0.30	0.32	0.36	0.30	0.28	0.31	0.35	0.32	0.37	0.32	0.32	0.33	0.46	0.03	0.24	0.29	0.35
Somewhat favorable	0.22	0.27	0.20	0.25	0.24	0.17	0.21	0.22	0.19	0.24	0.30	0.20	0.23	0.18	0.29	0.27	0.04	0.25	0.21	0.23
Unfavorable (net)	0.30	0.16	0.35	0.25	0.28	0.41	0.36	0.35	0.38	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.33	0.27	0.12	0.77	0.35	0.37	0.26
Somewhat unfavorable	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.05
Strongly unfavorable	0.25	0.13	0.30	0.21	0.24	0.35	0.32	0.31	0.33	0.19	0.16	0.21	0.23	0.28	0.21	0.09	0.70	0.27	0.32	0.21
No opinion	0.15	0.27	0.11	0.21	0.16	0.06	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.17	0.14	0.19	0.19	0.16	0.11	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.16

Table 27: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (3) Tim Walz, Democratic nominee for Vice President (Statewide Female Likely Voters)

	Total	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity						Marital Status	
		Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2271.00	1244.00	681.00	346.00	186.00	216.00	333.00	743.00	793.00	1283.00	833.00	450.00	460.00	176.00	192.00	1096.00	1080.00
weighted n	1963.00	1024.00	507.00	432.00	266.00	284.00	295.00	497.00	621.00	1015.00	562.00	453.00	478.00	291.00	109.00	899.00	1019.00
Favorable (net)	0.60	0.86	0.10	0.58	0.64	0.58	0.50	0.59	0.65	0.61	0.73	0.47	0.59	0.57	0.78	0.55	0.65
Strongly favorable	0.39	0.62	0.04	0.27	0.43	0.28	0.29	0.42	0.46	0.42	0.51	0.32	0.35	0.38	0.56	0.36	0.42
Somewhat favorable	0.21	0.24	0.06	0.31	0.21	0.30	0.21	0.17	0.19	0.19	0.22	0.16	0.24	0.19	0.22	0.19	0.23
Unfavorable (net)	0.31	0.07	0.81	0.26	0.23	0.30	0.35	0.33	0.30	0.33	0.23	0.46	0.25	0.33	0.15	0.35	0.26
Somewhat unfavorable	0.06	0.03	0.12	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.07
Strongly unfavorable	0.25	0.05	0.70	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.29	0.28	0.24	0.28	0.18	0.40	0.19	0.24	0.10	0.30	0.20
No opinion	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.16	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.17	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.09

Table 28: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (3) Tim Walz, Democratic nominee for Vice President (Statewide Male Likely Voters)

	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity					Marital Status			
	Total	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2069.00	790.00	846.00	433.00	177.00	231.00	302.00	697.00	662.00	1133.00	763.00	369.00	458.00	196.00	156.00	1245.00	759.00
weighted n	1824.00	767.00	541.00	516.00	220.00	297.00	297.00	495.00	515.00	979.00	597.00	381.00	440.00	249.00	106.00	1065.00	732.00
Favorable (net)	0.52	0.85	0.08	0.49	0.54	0.58	0.47	0.50	0.52	0.53	0.59	0.42	0.50	0.54	0.68	0.50	0.56
Strongly favorable	0.31	0.56	0.03	0.22	0.29	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.31	0.35	0.26	0.30	0.26	0.44	0.30	0.32
Somewhat favorable	0.22	0.29	0.06	0.27	0.26	0.27	0.17	0.19	0.22	0.21	0.25	0.16	0.21	0.28	0.24	0.20	0.24
Unfavorable (net)	0.41	0.09	0.86	0.41	0.38	0.33	0.47	0.42	0.43	0.44	0.39	0.53	0.36	0.39	0.22	0.45	0.36
Somewhat unfavorable	0.07	0.02	0.10	0.13	0.09	0.04	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.04	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.08
Strongly unfavorable	0.34	0.07	0.76	0.28	0.28	0.29	0.39	0.33	0.37	0.36	0.31	0.45	0.32	0.30	0.15	0.38	0.28
No opinion	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.13	0.06	0.11	0.05	0.08

Table 29: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (4) J.D. Vance, Republican nominee for Vice President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Region											Party Registration					Likely Voters				Method of voting		
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely voters (net)	Voted yet	Not voted yet	Not likely voters	Mail	Drop off	In person
unweighted n	4341.00	1205.00	429.00	277.00	411.00	277.00	754.00	417.00	337.00	896.00	92.00	2035.00	1527.00	529.00	250.00	779.00	4341.00	1923.00	2418.00	0	1941.00	1899.00	492.00
weighted n	3788.00	935.00	359.00	299.00	427.00	249.00	651.00	358.00	293.00	790.00	77.00	1791.00	1048.00	690.00	259.00	948.00	3788.00	1238.00	2549.00	0	1643.00	1619.00	520.00
Favorable (net)	0.35	0.27	0.34	0.43	0.42	0.32	0.48	0.40	0.57	0.25	0.47	0.08	0.85	0.28	0.34	0.29	0.35	0.34	0.35	0	0.29	0.32	0.60
Strongly favorable	0.25	0.18	0.25	0.35	0.30	0.25	0.37	0.31	0.44	0.14	0.28	0.03	0.67	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.25	0.25	0.24	0	0.20	0.22	0.47
Somewhat favorable	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.14	0.11	0.18	0.05	0.17	0.11	0.15	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.11	0	0.09	0.10	0.13
Unfavorable (net)	0.58	0.64	0.58	0.51	0.51	0.57	0.47	0.55	0.37	0.68	0.52	0.84	0.11	0.62	0.57	0.61	0.58	0.60	0.57	0	0.62	0.63	0.33
Somewhat unfavorable	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.11	0.04	0.08	0.01	0.06	0.03	0.11	0.16	0.12	0.07	0.06	0.08	0	0.08	0.07	0.06
Strongly unfavorable	0.51	0.56	0.50	0.46	0.45	0.53	0.39	0.44	0.34	0.60	0.51	0.78	0.08	0.51	0.41	0.49	0.51	0.55	0.49	0	0.54	0.56	0.27
No opinion	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.11	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.01	0.08	0.04	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.08	0	0.09	0.05	0.07

Table 30: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (4) J.D. Vance, Republican nominee for Vice President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Gender							Age					Race / Ethnicity					
	Total	Male (total)	Married men	Unmarried men	Female (total)	Married women	Unmarried women	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black
unweighted n	4341.00	2069.00	1245.00	759.00	2271.00	1096.00	1080.00	363.00	447.00	635.00	1440.00	1456.00	2416.00	1596.00	819.00	918.00	372.00	348.00
weighted n	3788.00	1824.00	1065.00	732.00	1963.00	899.00	1019.00	486.00	581.00	592.00	992.00	1136.00	1994.00	1159.00	834.00	918.00	540.00	215.00
Favorable (net)	0.35	0.40	0.43	0.35	0.30	0.35	0.26	0.29	0.29	0.41	0.35	0.36	0.36	0.27	0.48	0.33	0.34	0.18
Strongly favorable	0.25	0.27	0.29	0.24	0.22	0.27	0.19	0.22	0.20	0.29	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.19	0.38	0.22	0.22	0.09
Somewhat favorable	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.08
Unfavorable (net)	0.58	0.55	0.52	0.60	0.61	0.56	0.67	0.64	0.60	0.51	0.57	0.59	0.61	0.70	0.49	0.52	0.56	0.71
Somewhat unfavorable	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.08
Strongly unfavorable	0.51	0.47	0.45	0.51	0.55	0.50	0.60	0.57	0.50	0.43	0.49	0.55	0.56	0.64	0.44	0.46	0.44	0.63
No opinion	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.14	0.10	0.11

Table 31: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (4) J.D. Vance, Republican nominee for Vice President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Political Ideology					Tenure		Education				Household Income					Union HH		
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewhat con- ser- va- tive	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Owner	Renter / Other	High school grad or less	Some col- lege/trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Yes	No
unweighted n	4341.00	570.00	742.00	1327.00	832.00	722.00	2740.00	1601.00	382.00	1191.00	1348.00	1285.00	229.00	319.00	418.00	809.00	1431.00	874.00	985.00	3200.00
weighted n	3788.00	465.00	658.00	1224.00	780.00	608.00	2171.00	1617.00	413.00	1372.00	1246.00	719.00	277.00	348.00	420.00	780.00	1142.00	681.00	821.00	2898.00
Favorable (net)	0.35	0.86	0.74	0.28	0.06	0.02	0.39	0.29	0.37	0.43	0.29	0.26	0.28	0.32	0.34	0.38	0.36	0.32	0.37	0.34
Strongly favorable	0.25	0.75	0.50	0.18	0.02	0.01	0.28	0.20	0.26	0.32	0.20	0.17	0.21	0.23	0.27	0.26	0.21	0.26	0.26	0.24
Somewhat favorable	0.10	0.11	0.24	0.11	0.04	0.01	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.10
Unfavorable (net)	0.58	0.07	0.18	0.61	0.89	0.96	0.56	0.61	0.46	0.50	0.65	0.70	0.57	0.55	0.55	0.55	0.61	0.65	0.58	0.59
Somewhat unfavorable	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.11	0.10	0.01	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.11	0.08	0.07
Strongly unfavorable	0.51	0.04	0.13	0.50	0.79	0.95	0.49	0.53	0.37	0.45	0.56	0.63	0.50	0.50	0.49	0.49	0.55	0.54	0.50	0.52
No opinion	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.05	0.02	0.05	0.10	0.17	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.15	0.13	0.10	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.07

Table 32: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (4) J.D. Vance, Republican nominee for Vice President (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Party ID							Vote Choice for Pres						2020 Pres Vote							
		Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Harris Walz	Trump Vance	Kennedy-Shanahan	Stein Ware	Others	Undecided / do not recall	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	Other
unweighted n	4341.00	2339.00	1383.00	953.00	1543.00	796.00	746.00	1232.00	500.00	411.00	320.00	2463.00	1544.00	50.00	54.00	41.00	156.00	480.00	3861.00	2288.00	1231.00	250.00
weighted n	3788.00	2123.00	1160.00	961.00	1275.00	649.00	625.00	1213.00	505.00	363.00	344.00	2171.00	1312.00	55.00	50.00	36.00	148.00	498.00	3290.00	2002.00	1097.00	259.00
Favorable (net)	0.35	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.86	0.95	0.77	0.36	0.04	0.84	0.32	0.03	0.90	0.30	0.06	0.06	0.22	0.31	0.35	0.06	0.89	0.34
Strongly favorable	0.25	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.66	0.81	0.51	0.20	0.01	0.54	0.13	0.01	0.68	0.10	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.21	0.25	0.03	0.67	0.19
Somewhat favorable	0.10	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.20	0.14	0.26	0.16	0.03	0.30	0.20	0.02	0.22	0.20	0.01	0.04	0.21	0.09	0.10	0.03	0.22	0.15
Unfavorable (net)	0.58	0.90	0.92	0.86	0.09	0.02	0.16	0.57	0.95	0.09	0.51	0.91	0.03	0.50	0.88	0.93	0.52	0.59	0.58	0.87	0.05	0.57
Somewhat unfavorable	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.12	0.04	0.00	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.07	0.13	0.08	0.02	0.28	0.11	0.35	0.20	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.03	0.16
Strongly unfavorable	0.51	0.82	0.87	0.74	0.05	0.01	0.08	0.46	0.83	0.02	0.38	0.83	0.01	0.21	0.77	0.57	0.31	0.54	0.51	0.78	0.02	0.41
No opinion	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.02	0.06	0.17	0.06	0.06	0.20	0.06	0.01	0.26	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.09

Table 33: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (4) J.D. Vance, Republican nominee for Vice President (Statewide Latino Likely Voters)

	Total	Dominant Language		Generational status			Gender and age						Education			Party Reg			Tenure	
		Spanish dom-inant	English dom-i-nant/bilin-gual	1st Gen	2nd Gen	3rd+ Gen	Male (to-tal)	Males 18-39	Males 40+	Female (to-tal)	Females 18-39	Females 40+	HS or less	Some col-lege/trade school	BA+	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Owner	Renter / Other
unweighted n	918.00	248.00	667.00	310.00	319.00	276.00	458.00	148.00	310.00	460.00	139.00	321.00	159.00	331.00	426.00	472.00	277.00	169.00	456.00	462.00
weighted n	918.00	244.00	664.00	300.00	363.00	234.00	440.00	207.00	232.00	478.00	200.00	278.00	185.00	482.00	250.00	514.00	178.00	226.00	348.00	570.00
Favorable (net)	0.33	0.30	0.33	0.31	0.30	0.41	0.41	0.35	0.47	0.26	0.20	0.30	0.30	0.37	0.28	0.15	0.84	0.35	0.45	0.26
Strongly favorable	0.22	0.14	0.25	0.19	0.21	0.29	0.27	0.24	0.31	0.17	0.14	0.20	0.17	0.26	0.18	0.06	0.65	0.25	0.30	0.17
Somewhat favorable	0.11	0.16	0.09	0.13	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.11	0.17	0.08	0.06	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.19	0.10	0.15	0.08
Unfavorable (net)	0.52	0.38	0.59	0.43	0.58	0.55	0.48	0.56	0.41	0.57	0.65	0.50	0.43	0.50	0.65	0.67	0.09	0.52	0.42	0.59
Somewhat unfavorable	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.03	0.09	0.04	0.08
Strongly unfavorable	0.46	0.29	0.53	0.35	0.52	0.49	0.40	0.48	0.34	0.51	0.61	0.44	0.33	0.45	0.56	0.61	0.06	0.43	0.37	0.51
No opinion	0.14	0.32	0.08	0.26	0.12	0.04	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.18	0.15	0.20	0.28	0.13	0.07	0.18	0.06	0.13	0.13	0.15

Table 34: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (4) J.D. Vance, Republican nominee for Vice President (Statewide Female Likely Voters)

	Total	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity						Marital Status	
		Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2271.00	1244.00	681.00	346.00	186.00	216.00	333.00	743.00	793.00	1283.00	833.00	450.00	460.00	176.00	192.00	1096.00	1080.00
weighted n	1963.00	1024.00	507.00	432.00	266.00	284.00	295.00	497.00	621.00	1015.00	562.00	453.00	478.00	291.00	109.00	899.00	1019.00
Favorable (net)	0.30	0.06	0.84	0.23	0.22	0.25	0.35	0.32	0.31	0.32	0.20	0.46	0.26	0.32	0.15	0.35	0.26
Strongly favorable	0.22	0.02	0.69	0.16	0.19	0.17	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.26	0.16	0.39	0.17	0.21	0.09	0.27	0.19
Somewhat favorable	0.07	0.04	0.15	0.07	0.03	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.07
Unfavorable (net)	0.61	0.85	0.11	0.64	0.70	0.59	0.51	0.60	0.64	0.65	0.76	0.51	0.57	0.55	0.71	0.56	0.67
Somewhat unfavorable	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.11	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.06
Strongly unfavorable	0.55	0.79	0.07	0.53	0.64	0.51	0.45	0.52	0.60	0.59	0.70	0.46	0.51	0.49	0.66	0.50	0.60
No opinion	0.09	0.09	0.05	0.12	0.08	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.18	0.13	0.14	0.10	0.08

Table 35: Q5 Regardless of whom you supported, is your opinion of the each of the following candidates generally favorable or unfavorable, or do you not know enough about them to say? (4) J.D. Vance, Republican nominee for Vice President (Statewide Male Likely Voters)

	Total	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity					Marital Status		
		Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2069.00	790.00	846.00	433.00	177.00	231.00	302.00	697.00	662.00	1133.00	763.00	369.00	458.00	196.00	156.00	1245.00	759.00
weighted n	1824.00	767.00	541.00	516.00	220.00	297.00	297.00	495.00	515.00	979.00	597.00	381.00	440.00	249.00	106.00	1065.00	732.00
Favorable (net)	0.40	0.11	0.85	0.35	0.38	0.32	0.46	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.34	0.51	0.41	0.37	0.20	0.43	0.35
Strongly favorable	0.27	0.05	0.66	0.18	0.25	0.22	0.32	0.26	0.28	0.28	0.23	0.37	0.27	0.24	0.09	0.29	0.24
Somewhat favorable	0.13	0.06	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.10	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.11
Unfavorable (net)	0.55	0.84	0.11	0.58	0.58	0.61	0.50	0.54	0.54	0.57	0.65	0.46	0.48	0.57	0.72	0.52	0.60
Somewhat unfavorable	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.13	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.17	0.12	0.07	0.10
Strongly unfavorable	0.47	0.76	0.08	0.45	0.50	0.50	0.40	0.46	0.48	0.52	0.59	0.42	0.40	0.39	0.59	0.45	0.51
No opinion	0.06	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.11	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.05

Table 36: Q6 In the full-term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Region											Party Registration					Likely Voters				Method of voting		
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely voters (net)	Voted yet	Not voted yet	Not likely voters	Mail	Drop off	In person
unweighted n	4341.00	1205.00	429.00	277.00	411.00	277.00	754.00	417.00	337.00	896.00	92.00	2035.00	1527.00	529.0	250.00	779.00	4341.00	1923.00	2418.00	0	1941.0	1899.00	492.00
weighted n	3788.00	935.00	359.00	299.00	427.00	249.00	651.00	358.00	293.00	790.00	77.00	1791.00	1048.00	690.0	259.00	948.00	3788.00	1238.00	2549.00	0	1643.0	1619.00	520.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.55	0.60	0.54	0.45	0.48	0.59	0.44	0.47	0.42	0.64	0.44	0.86	0.07	0.5	0.45	0.49	0.55	0.61	0.51	0	0.6	0.58	0.27
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.34	0.28	0.35	0.41	0.43	0.34	0.47	0.44	0.50	0.24	0.41	0.05	0.86	0.3	0.41	0.33	0.34	0.35	0.34	0	0.3	0.33	0.55
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.15	0.09	0.07	0.2	0.15	0.19	0.11	0.05	0.14	0	0.1	0.09	0.18

Table 37: Q6 In the full-term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Urbanicity			Gender		Age					Race / Ethnicity					
		Urban	Suburban	Rural	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black
unweighted n	4341.00	1777.00	1875.00	675.00	2069.00	2271.00	363.00	447.00	635.00	1440.00	1456.00	2416.00	1596.00	819.00	918.00	372.00	348.00
weighted n	3788.00	1627.00	1617.00	532.00	1824.00	1963.00	486.00	581.00	592.00	992.00	1136.00	1994.00	1159.00	834.00	918.00	540.00	215.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.55	0.60	0.52	0.46	0.51	0.58	0.60	0.53	0.46	0.53	0.59	0.56	0.64	0.45	0.54	0.52	0.71
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.34	0.27	0.38	0.47	0.41	0.29	0.24	0.28	0.40	0.39	0.36	0.37	0.29	0.48	0.32	0.33	0.12
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.13	0.16	0.19	0.14	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.14	0.15	0.16

Table 38: Q6 In the full-term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Political Ideology					Tenure		Education				Household Income					Union HH		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Owner	Renter / Other	High school or less	Some college or school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Yes	No
unweighted n	4341.00	570.00	742.00	1327.00	832.00	722.00	2740.00	1601.00	382.00	1191.00	1348.00	1285.00	229.00	319.00	418.00	809.00	1431.00	874.00	985.00	3200.00
weighted n	3788.00	465.00	658.00	1224.00	780.00	608.00	2171.00	1617.00	413.00	1372.00	1246.00	719.00	277.00	348.00	420.00	780.00	1142.00	681.00	821.00	2898.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.55	0.06	0.16	0.55	0.88	0.91	0.52	0.58	0.50	0.48	0.59	0.65	0.59	0.57	0.57	0.52	0.55	0.56	0.53	0.55
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.34	0.88	0.72	0.30	0.05	0.01	0.39	0.28	0.36	0.42	0.30	0.27	0.20	0.28	0.31	0.38	0.38	0.34	0.36	0.34
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.11	0.06	0.12	0.15	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.14	0.14	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.21	0.15	0.13	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.11

Table 39: Q6 In the full-term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Party ID										Vote Choice for Full-Term Senate			Vote Choice for Partial-Term Senate		
		Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/Lean other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Schiff	Garvey	Undecided / do not recall	Schiff	Garvey	Undecided / do not recall
unweighted n	4341.00	2339.00	1383.00	953.00	1543.00	796.00	746.00	1232.00	500.00	411.00	320.00	2390	1607	302	2385.00	1611.00	295.00
weighted n	3788.00	2123.00	1160.00	961.00	1275.00	649.00	625.00	1213.00	505.00	363.00	344.00	2065	1307	399	2081.00	1312.00	373.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.55	0.90	0.95	0.84	0.04	0.01	0.07	0.46	0.89	0.03	0.28	1	0	0	0.98	0.01	0.04
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.34	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.87	0.93	0.82	0.38	0.02	0.86	0.39	0	1	0	0.01	0.97	0.04
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.11	0.08	0.04	0.13	0.09	0.06	0.12	0.17	0.09	0.12	0.33	0	0	1	0.02	0.02	0.92

Table 40: Q6 In the full-term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Latino Likely Voters)

	Total	Dominant Language		Generational status			Gender and age						Education			Party Reg			Tenure	
		Spanish dominant	English dominant/bilingual	1st Gen	2nd Gen	3rd+ Gen	Male (total)	Males 18-39	Males 40+	Female (total)	Females 18-39	Females 40+	HS or less	Some college/trade school	BA+	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Owner	Renter / Other
unweighted n	918.00	248.00	667.00	310.00	319.00	276.00	458.00	148.00	310.00	460.00	139.00	321.00	159.00	331.00	426.00	472.00	277.00	169.00	456.00	462.00
weighted n	918.00	244.00	664.00	300.00	363.00	234.00	440.00	207.00	232.00	478.00	200.00	278.00	185.00	482.00	250.00	514.00	178.00	226.00	348.00	570.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.54	0.60	0.52	0.58	0.54	0.52	0.49	0.50	0.49	0.58	0.61	0.56	0.56	0.50	0.61	0.75	0.05	0.46	0.46	0.59
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.32	0.26	0.34	0.27	0.30	0.40	0.41	0.37	0.44	0.24	0.15	0.31	0.26	0.38	0.26	0.11	0.83	0.41	0.42	0.26
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.08	0.10	0.13	0.07	0.17	0.24	0.13	0.18	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.15

Table 41: Q6 In the full-term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Female Likely Voters)

	Total	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity					Marital Status		
		Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2271.00	1244.00	681.00	346.00	186.00	216.00	333.00	743.00	793.00	1283.00	833.00	450.00	460.00	176.00	192.00	1096.00	1080.00
weighted n	1963.00	1024.00	507.00	432.00	266.00	284.00	295.00	497.00	621.00	1015.00	562.00	453.00	478.00	291.00	109.00	899.00	1019.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.58	0.86	0.05	0.53	0.64	0.54	0.46	0.55	0.64	0.59	0.70	0.46	0.58	0.55	0.74	0.54	0.62
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.29	0.04	0.83	0.24	0.16	0.20	0.34	0.34	0.32	0.32	0.22	0.45	0.24	0.29	0.08	0.34	0.24
Undecided / Don't recall/didn't vote on this	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.23	0.19	0.26	0.20	0.10	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.17	0.17	0.19	0.12	0.13

Table 42: Q6 In the full-term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Male Likely Voters)

	Total	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity					Marital Status		
		Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2069.00	790.00	846.00	433.00	177.00	231.00	302.00	697.00	662.00	1133.00	763.00	369.00	458.00	196.00	156.00	1245.00	759.00
weighted n	1824.00	767.00	541.00	516.00	220.00	297.00	297.00	495.00	515.00	979.00	597.00	381.00	440.00	249.00	106.00	1065.00	732.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.51	0.85	0.08	0.45	0.54	0.52	0.45	0.51	0.53	0.52	0.58	0.44	0.49	0.49	0.69	0.48	0.56
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.41	0.08	0.88	0.40	0.34	0.35	0.47	0.43	0.41	0.42	0.35	0.53	0.41	0.38	0.17	0.46	0.32
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.06	0.11

Table 43: Q7 In the partial unexpired term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Region											Party Registration					Likely Voters				Method of voting		
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely voters (net)	Voted	Not voted yet	Not likely voters	Mail	Drop off	In person
unweighted n	4341.00	1205.00	429.00	277.00	411.00	277.00	754.00	417.00	337.00	896.00	92.00	2035.00	1527.00	529.00	250.00	779.00	4341.00	1923.00	2418.00	0	1941.0	1899.00	492.00
weighted n	3788.00	935.00	359.00	299.00	427.00	249.00	651.00	358.00	293.00	790.00	77.00	1791.00	1048.00	690.00	259.00	948.00	3788.00	1238.00	2549.00	0	1643.0	1619.00	520.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.55	0.61	0.54	0.45	0.48	0.57	0.45	0.48	0.42	0.64	0.44	0.86	0.07	0.51	0.45	0.50	0.55	0.60	0.53	0	0.6	0.59	0.27
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.35	0.29	0.34	0.40	0.44	0.35	0.46	0.44	0.49	0.24	0.42	0.06	0.85	0.29	0.41	0.32	0.35	0.35	0.34	0	0.3	0.32	0.56
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.15	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.08	0.08	0.20	0.14	0.18	0.10	0.05	0.13	0	0.1	0.09	0.17

Table 44: Q7 In the partial unexpired term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Urbanicity			Gender		Age					Race / Ethnicity					
		Urban	Suburban	Rural	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black
unweighted n	4341.00	1777.00	1875.00	675.00	2069.00	2271.00	363.00	447.00	635.00	1440.00	1456.00	2416.00	1596.00	819.00	918.00	372.00	348.00
weighted n	3788.00	1627.00	1617.00	532.00	1824.00	1963.00	486.00	581.00	592.00	992.00	1136.00	1994.00	1159.00	834.00	918.00	540.00	215.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.55	0.60	0.52	0.46	0.51	0.58	0.60	0.55	0.46	0.53	0.60	0.56	0.64	0.45	0.56	0.53	0.71
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.35	0.28	0.37	0.48	0.41	0.29	0.26	0.28	0.41	0.38	0.36	0.37	0.29	0.48	0.33	0.33	0.16
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.13	0.14	0.17	0.13	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.14	0.14

Table 45: Q7 In the partial unexpired term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Political Ideology					Tenure		Education				Household Income					Union HH		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Owner	Renter / Other	High school or less	Some college/school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Yes	No
unweighted n	4341.00	570.00	742.00	1327.00	832.00	722.00	2740.00	1601.00	382.00	1191.00	1348.00	1285.00	229.00	319.00	418.00	809.00	1431.00	874.00	985.00	3200.00
weighted n	3788.00	465.00	658.00	1224.00	780.00	608.00	2171.00	1617.00	413.00	1372.00	1246.00	719.00	277.00	348.00	420.00	780.00	1142.00	681.00	821.00	2898.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.55	0.07	0.17	0.56	0.88	0.91	0.52	0.58	0.52	0.48	0.59	0.65	0.59	0.59	0.57	0.53	0.54	0.57	0.54	0.56
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.35	0.88	0.72	0.30	0.05	0.01	0.39	0.28	0.36	0.43	0.30	0.27	0.24	0.29	0.30	0.38	0.38	0.34	0.36	0.34
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.10	0.06	0.11	0.14	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.13	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.08	0.17	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.10

Table 46: Q7 In the partial unexpired term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Party ID										Vote Choice for Full-Term Senate			Vote Choice for Partial-Term Senate		
		Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/Lean other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Schiff	Garvey	Undecided / do not recall	Schiff	Garvey	Undecided / do not recall
unweighted n	4341.00	2339.00	1383.00	953.00	1543.00	796.00	746.00	1232.00	500.00	411.00	320.00	2390.00	1607.00	302.00	2385	1611	295
weighted n	3788.00	2123.00	1160.00	961.00	1275.00	649.00	625.00	1213.00	505.00	363.00	344.00	2065.00	1307.00	399.00	2081	1312	373
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.55	0.90	0.94	0.85	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.46	0.89	0.03	0.30	0.99	0.01	0.08	1	0	0
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.35	0.02	0.01	0.04	0.87	0.93	0.82	0.38	0.03	0.86	0.38	0.01	0.98	0.05	0	1	0
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.11	0.08	0.05	0.11	0.16	0.08	0.11	0.31	0.01	0.01	0.87	0	0	1

Table 47: Q7 In the partial unexpired term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Latino Likely Voters)

	Total	Dominant Language		Generational status			Gender and age						Education			Party Reg			Tenure	
		Spanish dominant	English dominant/bilingual	1st Gen	2nd Gen	3rd+ Gen	Male (total)	Males 18-39	Males 40+	Female (total)	Females 18-39	Females 40+	HS or less	Some college/trade school	BA+	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	Owner	Renter / Other
unweighted n	918.00	248.00	667.00	310.00	319.00	276.00	458.00	148.00	310.00	460.00	139.00	321.00	159.00	331.00	426.00	472.00	277.00	169.00	456.00	462.00
weighted n	918.00	244.00	664.00	300.00	363.00	234.00	440.00	207.00	232.00	478.00	200.00	278.00	185.00	482.00	250.00	514.00	178.00	226.00	348.00	570.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.56	0.61	0.53	0.60	0.56	0.51	0.50	0.51	0.50	0.60	0.65	0.57	0.60	0.51	0.61	0.76	0.08	0.46	0.48	0.60
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.33	0.25	0.36	0.28	0.31	0.41	0.41	0.38	0.45	0.25	0.18	0.31	0.26	0.39	0.27	0.13	0.83	0.40	0.42	0.27
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.12	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.08	0.08	0.12	0.05	0.15	0.17	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.15	0.10	0.13

Table 48: Q7 In the partial unexpired term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Female Likely Voters)

	Party reg				Age					Race / Ethnicity					Marital Status		
	Total	Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2271.00	1244.00	681.00	346.00	186.00	216.00	333.00	743.00	793.00	1283.00	833.00	450.00	460.00	176.00	192.00	1096.00	1080.00
weighted n	1963.00	1024.00	507.00	432.00	266.00	284.00	295.00	497.00	621.00	1015.00	562.00	453.00	478.00	291.00	109.00	899.00	1019.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.58	0.86	0.06	0.54	0.64	0.58	0.47	0.55	0.65	0.60	0.70	0.46	0.60	0.54	0.73	0.54	0.63
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.29	0.05	0.83	0.23	0.18	0.21	0.34	0.34	0.31	0.32	0.22	0.44	0.25	0.30	0.09	0.33	0.25
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.13	0.09	0.12	0.22	0.18	0.21	0.19	0.12	0.04	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.15	0.17	0.18	0.13	0.12

Table 49: Q7 In the partial unexpired term election for United States Senator, for whom did you vote (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Male Likely Voters)

	Total	Party reg			Age					Race / Ethnicity						Marital Status	
		Dem	Rep	NPP / other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black	Married	All other
unweighted n	2069.00	790.00	846.00	433.00	177.00	231.00	302.00	697.00	662.00	1133.00	763.00	369.00	458.00	196.00	156.00	1245.00	759.00
weighted n	1824.00	767.00	541.00	516.00	220.00	297.00	297.00	495.00	515.00	979.00	597.00	381.00	440.00	249.00	106.00	1065.00	732.00
Adam B. Schiff, United States Representative, Democrat	0.51	0.85	0.09	0.46	0.55	0.52	0.45	0.51	0.53	0.52	0.58	0.43	0.50	0.51	0.69	0.48	0.56
Steve Garvey, Professional Baseball Representative, Republican	0.41	0.09	0.88	0.39	0.36	0.35	0.47	0.42	0.41	0.42	0.36	0.52	0.41	0.37	0.22	0.46	0.33
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.15	0.09	0.13	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.05	0.11

Table 50: Q8 PROPOSITION 32. RAISES MINIMUM WAGE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Raises minimum wage as follows: For employers with 26 or more employees, to \$17 immediately, \$18 on January 1, 2025. For employers with 25 or fewer employees, to \$17 on January 1, 2025, \$18 on January 1, 2026. Fiscal Impact: State and local government costs could increase or decrease by up to hundreds of millions of dollars annually. State and local revenues likely would decrease by no more than a few hundred million dollars annually. Supporters: None submitted. Opponents: California Chamber of Commerce; California Restaurant Association; California Grocers Association. How did you vote on Proposition 32 (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Region										Party Registration					Likely Voters				Method of voting		
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely voters (net)	Voted	Not voted yet	Not likely voters	Mail	Drop off	In person
unweighted n	4341.00	1205.00	429.00	277.00	411.00	277.00	754.00	417.00	337.00	896.00	92.00	2035.00	1527.00	529.00	250.00	779.00	4341.00	1923.00	2418.00	0	1941.00	1899.00	492.00
weighted n	3788.00	935.00	359.00	299.00	427.00	249.00	651.00	358.00	293.00	790.00	77.00	1791.00	1048.00	690.00	259.00	948.00	3788.00	1238.00	2549.00	0	1643.00	1619.00	520.00
Yes	0.47	0.49	0.40	0.41	0.48	0.46	0.36	0.35	0.38	0.57	0.47	0.68	0.13	0.44	0.47	0.45	0.47	0.48	0.46	0	0.49	0.49	0.33
No	0.39	0.33	0.47	0.43	0.41	0.38	0.50	0.51	0.48	0.28	0.44	0.17	0.76	0.37	0.41	0.38	0.39	0.42	0.37	0	0.36	0.37	0.53
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.15	0.18	0.12	0.16	0.10	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.09	0.16	0.11	0.19	0.12	0.17	0.15	0.11	0.17	0	0.16	0.14	0.13

Table 51: Q8 PROPOSITION 32. RAISES MINIMUM WAGE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Raises minimum wage as follows: For employers with 26 or more employees, to \$17 immediately, \$18 on January 1, 2025. For employers with 25 or fewer employees, to \$17 on January 1, 2025, \$18 on January 1, 2026. Fiscal Impact: State and local government costs could increase or decrease by up to hundreds of millions of dollars annually. State and local revenues likely would decrease by no more than a few hundred million dollars annually. Supporters: None submitted. Opponents: California Chamber of Commerce; California Restaurant Association; California Grocers Association. How did you vote on Proposition 32 (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Urbanicity			Gender		Age					Race / Ethnicity					
		Urban	Suburban	Rural	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black
unweighted n	4341.00	1777.00	1875.00	675.00	2069.00	2271.00	363.00	447.00	635.00	1440.00	1456.00	2416.00	1596.00	819.00	918.00	372.00	348.00
weighted n	3788.00	1627.00	1617.00	532.00	1824.00	1963.00	486.00	581.00	592.00	992.00	1136.00	1994.00	1159.00	834.00	918.00	540.00	215.00
Yes	0.47	0.52	0.44	0.40	0.44	0.50	0.55	0.52	0.39	0.46	0.45	0.44	0.49	0.36	0.52	0.48	0.67
No	0.39	0.31	0.42	0.52	0.46	0.32	0.28	0.31	0.46	0.38	0.44	0.43	0.39	0.49	0.32	0.38	0.18
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.15	0.18	0.14	0.09	0.10	0.19	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.15

Table 52: Q8 PROPOSITION 32. RAISES MINIMUM WAGE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Raises minimum wage as follows: For employers with 26 or more employees, to \$17 immediately, \$18 on January 1, 2025. For employers with 25 or fewer employees, to \$17 on January 1, 2025, \$18 on January 1, 2026. Fiscal Impact: State and local government costs could increase or decrease by up to hundreds of millions of dollars annually. State and local revenues likely would decrease by no more than a few hundred million dollars annually. Supporters: None submitted. Opponents: California Chamber of Commerce; California Restaurant Association; California Grocers Association. How did you vote on Proposition 32 (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Political Ideology					Tenure		Education				Household Income					Union HH		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Owner	Renter / Other	High school or less	Some college or trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$200,000	\$200,000 or more	Yes	No
unweighted n	4341.00	570.00	742.00	1327.00	832.00	722.00	2740.00	1601.00	382.00	1191.00	1348.00	1285.00	229.00	319.00	418.00	809.00	1431.00	874.00	985.00	3200.00
weighted n	3788.00	465.00	658.00	1224.00	780.00	608.00	2171.00	1617.00	413.00	1372.00	1246.00	719.00	277.00	348.00	420.00	780.00	1142.00	681.00	821.00	2898.00
Yes	0.47	0.13	0.18	0.45	0.64	0.87	0.40	0.56	0.47	0.43	0.49	0.51	0.54	0.59	0.55	0.46	0.43	0.41	0.48	0.47
No	0.39	0.73	0.72	0.39	0.19	0.03	0.47	0.27	0.34	0.41	0.38	0.38	0.24	0.25	0.29	0.39	0.46	0.46	0.40	0.39
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.15	0.15	0.10	0.15	0.18	0.10	0.13	0.17	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.11	0.22	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.14

Table 53: Q8 PROPOSITION 32. RAISES MINIMUM WAGE. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Raises minimum wage as follows: For employers with 26 or more employees, to \$17 immediately, \$18 on January 1, 2025. For employers with 25 or fewer employees, to \$17 on January 1, 2025, \$18 on January 1, 2026. Fiscal Impact: State and local government costs could increase or decrease by up to hundreds of millions of dollars annually. State and local revenues likely would decrease by no more than a few hundred million dollars annually. Supporters: None submitted. Opponents: California Chamber of Commerce; California Restaurant Association; California Grocers Association. How did you vote on Proposition 32 (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Party ID									Vote Choice for Prop 36			Vote Choice for Prop 32			Vote Choice for Prop 33			
		Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Yes	No	Undecided / do not recall	Yes	No	Undecided / do not recall	Yes	No	Undecided / do not recall
unweighted n	4341.00	2339.00	1383.00	953.00	1543.00	796.00	746.00	1232.00	500.00	411.00	320.00	2635.00	1064.00	539.00	1933	1830	495	1380.00	2151.00	715.00
weighted n	3788.00	2123.00	1160.00	961.00	1275.00	649.00	625.00	1213.00	505.00	363.00	344.00	2272.00	930.00	553.00	1768	1463	528	1298.00	1715.00	740.00
Yes	0.47	0.68	0.75	0.59	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.40	0.62	0.13	0.36	0.38	0.73	0.43	1	0	0	0.76	0.29	0.39
No	0.39	0.17	0.11	0.23	0.74	0.75	0.74	0.46	0.22	0.79	0.46	0.53	0.20	0.12	0	1	0	0.17	0.65	0.18
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.18	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.16	0.08	0.18	0.09	0.07	0.46	0	0	1	0.07	0.07	0.43

Table 54: Q9 PROPOSITION 33. EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act of 1995, which currently prohibits local ordinances limiting initial residential rental rates for new tenants or rent increases for existing tenants in certain residential properties. Fiscal Impact: Reduction in local property tax revenues of at least tens of millions of dollars annually due to likely expansion of rent control in some communities. Supporters: CA Nurses Assoc.; CA Alliance for Retired Americans; Mental Health Advocacy; Coalition for Economic Survival; Tenants Together. Opponents: California Council for Affordable Housing; Women Veterans Alliance; California Chamber of Commerce. How did you vote on Proposition 33 (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Region										Party Registration					Likely Voters				Method of voting			
	Total	Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely voters (net)	Voted	Not voted yet	Not likely voters	Mail	Drop off	In person
unweighted n	4341.00	1205.00	429.00	277.00	411.00	277.00	754.00	417.00	337.00	896.00	92.00	2035.00	1527.00	529.00	250.00	779.00	4341.00	1923.00	2418.00	0	1941.00	1899.00	492.00
weighted n	3788.00	935.00	359.00	299.00	427.00	249.00	651.00	358.00	293.00	790.00	77.00	1791.00	1048.00	690.00	259.00	948.00	3788.00	1238.00	2549.00	0	1643.00	1619.00	520.00
Yes	0.34	0.40	0.32	0.27	0.41	0.31	0.28	0.25	0.32	0.33	0.30	0.46	0.15	0.32	0.37	0.33	0.34	0.36	0.34	0	0.38	0.31	0.31
No	0.45	0.37	0.47	0.49	0.46	0.46	0.53	0.59	0.46	0.45	0.58	0.31	0.72	0.43	0.45	0.44	0.45	0.51	0.42	0	0.43	0.47	0.47
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.20	0.23	0.21	0.24	0.13	0.23	0.19	0.15	0.23	0.22	0.12	0.23	0.14	0.25	0.18	0.23	0.20	0.13	0.24	0	0.18	0.22	0.22

Table 55: Q9 PROPOSITION 33. EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act of 1995, which currently prohibits local ordinances limiting initial residential rental rates for new tenants or rent increases for existing tenants in certain residential properties. Fiscal Impact: Reduction in local property tax revenues of at least tens of millions of dollars annually due to likely expansion of rent control in some communities. Supporters: CA Nurses Assoc.; CA Alliance for Retired Americans; Mental Health Advocacy; Coalition for Economic Survival; Tenants Together. Opponents: California Council for Affordable Housing; Women Veterans Alliance; California Chamber of Commerce. How did you vote on Proposition 33 (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Urbanicity			Gender		Age					Race / Ethnicity						
	Total	Urban	Suburban	Rural	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black
unweighted n	4341.00	1777.00	1875.00	675.00	2069.00	2271.00	363.00	447.00	635.00	1440.00	1456.00	2416.00	1596.00	819.00	918.00	372.00	348.00
weighted n	3788.00	1627.00	1617.00	532.00	1824.00	1963.00	486.00	581.00	592.00	992.00	1136.00	1994.00	1159.00	834.00	918.00	540.00	215.00
Yes	0.34	0.39	0.31	0.30	0.32	0.36	0.44	0.38	0.31	0.32	0.32	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.45	0.30	0.49
No	0.45	0.38	0.50	0.55	0.52	0.39	0.31	0.35	0.47	0.48	0.53	0.51	0.51	0.51	0.35	0.51	0.26
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.20	0.23	0.20	0.15	0.16	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.23	0.20	0.15	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.20	0.25

Table 56: Q9 PROPOSITION 33. EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS’ AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act of 1995, which currently prohibits local ordinances limiting initial residential rental rates for new tenants or rent increases for existing tenants in certain residential properties. Fiscal Impact: Reduction in local property tax revenues of at least tens of millions of dollars annually due to likely expansion of rent control in some communities. Supporters: CA Nurses Assoc.; CA Alliance for Retired Americans; Mental Health Advocacy; Coalition for Economic Survival; Tenants Together. Opponents: California Council for Affordable Housing; Women Veterans Alliance; California Chamber of Commerce. How did you vote on Proposition 33 (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Political Ideology					Tenure		Education				Household Income					Union HH		
		Strongly con- ser- va- tive	Somewha con- ser- vative	Moderate	Somewha lib- eral	Strongly lib- eral	Owner	Renter / Other	High school grad or less	Some col- lege/ trade school	College grad- uate (BA)	Post grad- uate edu- ca- tion	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000- \$39,999	\$40,000- \$59,999	\$60,000- \$99,999	\$100,000- \$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Yes	No
unweighted n	4341.00	570.00	742.00	1327.00	832.00	722.00	2740.00	1601.00	382.00	1191.00	1348.00	1285.00	229.00	319.00	418.00	809.00	1431.00	874.00	985.00	3200.00
weighted n	3788.00	465.00	658.00	1224.00	780.00	608.00	2171.00	1617.00	413.00	1372.00	1246.00	719.00	277.00	348.00	420.00	780.00	1142.00	681.00	821.00	2898.00
Yes	0.34	0.17	0.17	0.34	0.43	0.58	0.26	0.45	0.40	0.36	0.32	0.33	0.45	0.44	0.46	0.38	0.30	0.22	0.37	0.34
No	0.45	0.68	0.67	0.46	0.33	0.21	0.57	0.29	0.33	0.45	0.48	0.51	0.24	0.30	0.36	0.39	0.54	0.62	0.45	0.46
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.20	0.16	0.16	0.20	0.24	0.21	0.17	0.26	0.27	0.20	0.20	0.17	0.31	0.25	0.18	0.22	0.16	0.16	0.18	0.20

Table 57: Q9 PROPOSITION 33. EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS’ AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Repeals Costa-Hawkins Rental Housing Act of 1995, which currently prohibits local ordinances limiting initial residential rental rates for new tenants or rent increases for existing tenants in certain residential properties. Fiscal Impact: Reduction in local property tax revenues of at least tens of millions of dollars annually due to likely expansion of rent control in some communities. Supporters: CA Nurses Assoc.; CA Alliance for Retired Americans; Mental Health Advocacy; Coalition for Economic Survival; Tenants Together. Opponents: California Council for Affordable Housing; Women Veterans Alliance; California Chamber of Commerce. How did you vote on Proposition 33 (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Party ID										Vote Choice for Prop 36			Vote Choice for Prop 32			Vote Choice for Prop 33		
		Democrat (net)	Strong Demo- crat	Not strong Demo- crat	Republican (net)	Strong Re- pub- lican	Not strong Re- pub- lican	Independent/ other (net)	Democrat	Lean Re- pub- lican	Pure Inde- pen- dent/ other	Yes	No	Undecided / do not re- call	Yes	No	Undecided / do not re- call	Yes	No	Undecided / do not re- call
unweighted n	4341.00	2339.00	1383.00	953.00	1543.00	796.00	746.00	1232.00	500.00	411.00	320.00	2635.00	1064.00	539.00	1933.00	1830.00	495.00	1380	2151	715
weighted n	3788.00	2123.00	1160.00	961.00	1275.00	649.00	625.00	1213.00	505.00	363.00	344.00	2272.00	930.00	553.00	1768.00	1463.00	528.00	1298	1715	740
Yes	0.34	0.46	0.50	0.42	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.32	0.46	0.14	0.31	0.30	0.52	0.25	0.56	0.15	0.17	1	0	0
No	0.45	0.31	0.29	0.33	0.70	0.69	0.71	0.49	0.34	0.74	0.46	0.57	0.35	0.17	0.28	0.76	0.22	0	1	0
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.20	0.23	0.22	0.25	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.12	0.22	0.13	0.13	0.58	0.16	0.09	0.61	0	0	1

Table 58: Q10 PROPOSITION 36. ALLOWS FELONY CHARGES AND INCREASES SENTENCES FOR CERTAIN DRUG AND THEFT CRIMES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Allows felony charges for possessing certain drugs and for thefts under \$950, if defendant has two prior drug or theft convictions. Fiscal Impact: State criminal justice costs likely ranging from several tens of millions of dollars to the low hundreds of millions of dollars annually. Local criminal justice costs likely in the tens of millions of dollars annually. Supporters: Crime Victims United of California; California District Attorneys Association; Family Business Association of California. Opponents: Diana Becton, District Attorney Contra Costa County; Crime Survivors for Safety and Justice. How did you vote on Proposition 36 (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Region										Party Registration					Likely Voters				Method of voting			
	Total	Los An-ge-les County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (to-tal)	Sacra-mento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other	Likely vot-ers (net)	Voted	Not voted yet	Not likely vot-ers	Mail	Drop off	In per-son
unweighted n	4341.00	1205.00	429.00	277.00	411.00	277.00	754.00	417.00	337.00	896.00	92.00	2035.00	1527.00	529.00	250.00	779.00	4341.00	1923.00	2418.00	0	1941.00	1899.00	492.00
weighted n	3788.00	935.00	359.00	299.00	427.00	249.00	651.00	358.00	293.00	790.00	77.00	1791.00	1048.00	690.00	259.00	948.00	3788.00	1238.00	2549.00	0	1643.00	1619.00	520.00
Yes	0.60	0.55	0.59	0.63	0.68	0.60	0.64	0.64	0.65	0.57	0.56	0.47	0.82	0.61	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.62	0.59	0	0.60	0.58	0.66
No	0.25	0.24	0.28	0.22	0.22	0.27	0.22	0.24	0.19	0.28	0.25	0.34	0.09	0.22	0.28	0.24	0.25	0.27	0.23	0	0.24	0.26	0.22
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.15	0.20	0.14	0.15	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.19	0.19	0.09	0.17	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.11	0.18	0	0.16	0.16	0.12

Table 59: Q10 PROPOSITION 36. ALLOWS FELONY CHARGES AND INCREASES SENTENCES FOR CERTAIN DRUG AND THEFT CRIMES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Allows felony charges for possessing certain drugs and for thefts under \$950, if defendant has two prior drug or theft convictions. Fiscal Impact: State criminal justice costs likely ranging from several tens of millions of dollars to the low hundreds of millions of dollars annually. Local criminal justice costs likely in the tens of millions of dollars annually. Supporters: Crime Victims United of California; California District Attorneys Association; Family Business Association of California. Opponents: Diana Becton, District Attorney Contra Costa County; Crime Survivors for Safety and Justice. How did you vote on Proposition 36 (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Urbanicity			Gender		Age					Race / Ethnicity					
		Urban	Suburban	Rural	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White non-Hispanic (net)	White non-Hispanic and college grad	White non-Hispanic and non-college graduate	Latino	AAPI	Black
unweighted n	4341.00	1777.00	1875.00	675.00	2069.00	2271.00	363.00	447.00	635.00	1440.00	1456.00	2416.00	1596.00	819.00	918.00	372.00	348.00
weighted n	3788.00	1627.00	1617.00	532.00	1824.00	1963.00	486.00	581.00	592.00	992.00	1136.00	1994.00	1159.00	834.00	918.00	540.00	215.00
Yes	0.60	0.54	0.64	0.66	0.64	0.57	0.41	0.53	0.64	0.62	0.68	0.60	0.58	0.64	0.61	0.64	0.44
No	0.25	0.27	0.22	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.41	0.29	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.26	0.29	0.23	0.23	0.20	0.38
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.15	0.20	0.13	0.10	0.12	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.15	0.18

Table 60: Q10 PROPOSITION 36. ALLOWS FELONY CHARGES AND INCREASES SENTENCES FOR CERTAIN DRUG AND THEFT CRIMES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Allows felony charges for possessing certain drugs and for thefts under \$950, if defendant has two prior drug or theft convictions. Fiscal Impact: State criminal justice costs likely ranging from several tens of millions of dollars to the low hundreds of millions of dollars annually. Local criminal justice costs likely in the tens of millions of dollars annually. Supporters: Crime Victims United of California; California District Attorneys Association; Family Business Association of California. Opponents: Diana Becton, District Attorney Contra Costa County; Crime Survivors for Safety and Justice. How did you vote on Proposition 36 (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Total	Political Ideology					Tenure		Education				Household Income					Union HH		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	Owner	Renter / Other	High school or less	Some college or trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$200,000 or more	Yes	No	
unweighted n	4341.00	570.00	742.00	1327.00	832.00	722.00	2740.00	1601.0	382.00	1191.00	1348.00	1285.00	229.00	319.00	418.00	809.00	1431.00	874.00	985.00	3200.00
weighted n	3788.00	465.00	658.00	1224.00	780.00	608.00	2171.00	1617.0	413.00	1372.00	1246.00	719.00	277.00	348.00	420.00	780.00	1142.00	681.00	821.00	2898.00
Yes	0.60	0.82	0.80	0.69	0.47	0.23	0.68	0.5	0.61	0.62	0.60	0.58	0.46	0.58	0.56	0.60	0.63	0.66	0.61	0.60
No	0.25	0.08	0.12	0.16	0.33	0.60	0.20	0.3	0.18	0.23	0.26	0.30	0.30	0.28	0.26	0.27	0.24	0.21	0.26	0.25
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.15	0.11	0.09	0.15	0.20	0.16	0.12	0.2	0.21	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.24	0.15	0.18	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.15

Table 61: Q10 PROPOSITION 36. ALLOWS FELONY CHARGES AND INCREASES SENTENCES FOR CERTAIN DRUG AND THEFT CRIMES. INITIATIVE STATUTE. Allows felony charges for possessing certain drugs and for thefts under \$950, if defendant has two prior drug or theft convictions. Fiscal Impact: State criminal justice costs likely ranging from several tens of millions of dollars to the low hundreds of millions of dollars annually. Local criminal justice costs likely in the tens of millions of dollars annually. Supporters: Crime Victims United of California; California District Attorneys Association; Family Business Association of California. Opponents: Diana Becton, District Attorney Contra Costa County; Crime Survivors for Safety and Justice. How did you vote on Proposition 36 (would you vote if the election were held today)? (Statewide Likely Voters)

	Party ID											Vote Choice for Prop 36			Vote Choice for Prop 32			Vote Choice for Prop 33		
	Total	Democrat (net)	Strong Democrat	Not strong Democrat	Republican (net)	Strong Republican	Not strong Republican	Independent/other (net)	Lean Democrat	Lean Republican	Pure Independent/other	Yes	No	Undecided / do not recall	Yes	No	Undecided / do not recall	Yes	No	Undecided / do not recall
unweighted n	4341.00	2339.00	1383.00	953.00	1543.00	796.00	746.00	1232.00	500.00	411.00	320.00	2635	1064	539	1933.00	1830.00	495.00	1380.00	2151.00	715.00
weighted n	3788.00	2123.00	1160.00	961.00	1275.00	649.00	625.00	1213.00	505.00	363.00	344.00	2272	930	553	1768.00	1463.00	528.00	1298.00	1715.00	740.00
Yes	0.60	0.47	0.46	0.48	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.63	0.46	0.82	0.67	1	0	0	0.48	0.83	0.40	0.52	0.75	0.41
No	0.25	0.35	0.35	0.34	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.23	0.37	0.08	0.17	0	1	0	0.38	0.13	0.12	0.37	0.19	0.16
Undecided / Don't recall/ didn't vote on this	0.15	0.18	0.18	0.18	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.15	0.17	0.10	0.15	0	0	1	0.14	0.05	0.48	0.11	0.06	0.43