

UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

The efficiency paradox: How wasteful competitors forge thrifty ecosystems

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8rv5f1p1>

Journal

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 116(36)

ISSN

0027-8424

Author

Vermeij, Geerat J

Publication Date

2019-09-03

DOI

10.1073/pnas.1901785116

Peer reviewed



The efficiency paradox: How wasteful competitors forge thrifty ecosystems

Geerat J. Vermeij^{a,1}

Edited by Paul G. Falkowski, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, and approved July 25, 2019 (received for review January 30, 2019)

Organic waste, an inevitable byproduct of metabolism, increases in amount as metabolic rates (per capita power) of animals and plants rise. Most of it is recycled within aerobic ecosystems, but some is lost to the system and is sequestered in the crust for millions of years. Here, I identify and resolve a previously overlooked paradox concerning the long-term loss of organic matter. In this efficiency paradox, high-powered species are inefficient in that they release copious waste, but the ecosystems they inhabit lose almost no organic matter. Systems occupied by more efficient low-powered species suffer greater losses because of less efficient recycling. Over Phanerozoic time, ecosystems have become more productive and increasingly efficient at retaining and redistributing organic matter even as opportunistic and highly competitive producers and consumers gained power and became less efficient. These patterns and trends are driven by natural selection at the level of individuals and coherent groups, which favors winners that are more powerful, active, and wasteful. The activities of these competitors collectively create conditions that are increasingly conducive to more efficient recycling and retention of organic matter in the ecosystem.

ecosystems | metabolic power | competition | efficiency | Phanerozoic

Every living thing converts matter and energy into biological work. As long as they are alive, organisms create material and energy waste that they themselves cannot use. This waste, together with the organism's body when it is no longer alive, becomes available to other organisms in principle. Individual-level efficiency—the quantity of waste produced divided by the organism's mass—is an inevitable consequence of metabolism. It can be very high and costly, as in fast-growing plants that lose water through transpiration while capturing carbon dioxide during photosynthesis (1, 2), plants that seasonally drop leaves or twigs (3, 4), arthropods that molt their exoskeleton as they grow (5), mast-fruiting trees (6), mass-spawning and mucus-producing reef corals (7–9), pelagic tunicates that construct throw-away mucus houses (10, 11), and endothermic vertebrates that shed copious heat as they generate and maintain high body temperatures (12). These organisms have high metabolic rates (energy used by the whole body per unit time), and are therefore described as high-powered. Their wastefulness—large amount of unusable organic matter produced for a given whole-body mass—is a price

these organisms pay for competitive power and easy access to abundant resources.

At the opposite extreme are low-powered species that recycle waste efficiently: the island palm *Lodoicea* that recycles water and nutrients by channeling rain water to the roots (13), litter-collecting plants (14), plants with C_4 photosynthesis or crassulacean acid metabolism that reduce water loss by opening stomata during cool nights (15), hermit crabs that reuse shells (5), termites that oxidize a large fraction of the methane produced by their symbionts when digesting wood (16), and small herbivorous mammals that reingest their own feces (17).

Inefficiency also prevails in other ecological functions, including interception of light by photosynthesizing plants and phytoplankters (18, 19), the capture of prey by predators (20), particle retention by suspension-feeders (21, 22), securing mates (23), pollination (24), and other activities subject to adaptive evolution. These inefficiencies are important, but the emphasis here is on long-term loss of nutrients.

The concept of efficiency also applies to ecosystems (25, 26), defined here as total biomass in the

^aDepartment of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA 95616

Author contributions: G.J.V. wrote the paper.

The author declares no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Published under the [PNAS license](#).

¹Email: gjvermeij@ucdavis.edu.

Published online August 16, 2019.

system relative to the quantity of biomass exported to sediments, where it is buried or tectonically sequestered for millions of years. Inefficient ecosystems include contemporary tundra and permafrost in the Arctic (27–29), peat bogs (30), white-sand tropical forests and the black-water rivers that drain them (31, 32), anoxic and euxinic zones in lakes and oceans (33, 34), and the coal swamps of the Carboniferous and Permian periods (35–37). Other systems keep permanent losses of degraded biomass to a minimum through storage in long-lived organisms or through highly efficient recycling. In forests, storage in trees can result in depletion of soil nutrients (38, 39), perhaps as the consequence of the removal of abundant large herbivores (40). Efficient systems include tropical and temperate forests on fertile soils (41, 42), grasslands, and coral reefs (7–9, 43). Large-scale efficiency is achieved through exchange of waste between adjacent ecosystems by mobile animals (44). Such exchanges are known between the pelagic zone of the ocean and the benthos (45–47), rivers and their banks (48, 49), coral reefs and mangroves (50), and reefs and offshore waters (51).

Today's biosphere loses less than 0.1% of waste to burial (52), 50 to 90% of it originating in the oceans (53). This very high efficiency, however, obscures spatial and temporal variation indicated by the geological record. Stanley (54) identified 26 major fluctuations in carbon isotopic ratios over the last 540 My, implying that long-term losses of organic carbon to geological reservoirs often exceeded inputs from ecosystems. Where and when ecosystems are inefficient and how adaptive evolution affects nutrient losses are key questions that are increasingly urgent at a time when humans are fundamentally altering both the adaptive landscape for species and the flow of nutrients in ecosystems.

A previously underappreciated pattern, which I call the efficiency paradox, emerges from these considerations. This counterintuitive idea is that highly effective but inefficient organisms with rapid metabolism and large power budgets thrive in ecosystems that are highly efficient in redistributing and recycling material resources and keeping losses of those resources to geological reservoirs small. Conversely, ecosystems dominated by low-powered organisms are inefficient because they do not develop or maintain the ecological networks that are necessary for the retrieval and recycling of waste products.

It was already known that the carbon-use efficiency of land plants rises as the carbon-use efficiency of microbes that decompose organic matter in the soil falls (55), but this finding refers to nutrients accumulating and being temporarily stored in the soil, not permanent loss of material resources. Storage of resources in sediments or in the bodies of long-lived organisms on time scales from decades to centuries slows the flow of nutrients among organisms, but does not necessarily imply sequestration at time scales of millions of years.

Insofar as long-term losses were considered in previous studies, the conditions that promote the long-term accumulation of coals, peats, and marine black shales were thought to be generated by processes beyond the primary control of organisms. These conditions—especially anoxia associated with the accumulation of organic matter that is not oxidized—were thought to prevail during periods of global warming, sluggish ocean circulation (and reduced exchange between bottom and surface water because of a stratified water column), and externally imposed mass extinction (35, 54, 56–58). The role of organisms in creating and maintaining conditions favorable to high ecosystem-level efficiency has generally not been emphasized.

Related to the efficiency paradox is a long-term historical trend toward greater ecosystem-level and global efficiency and productivity. As increasingly powerful (and wasteful) competitors replaced less active equivalents in different clades over Phanerozoic time, ecosystems supporting these high-powered producers and consumers became increasingly effective at retrieving, retaining, and recycling material resources (carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and metals). Evidence for increased efficiency and productivity comes from 1) deepening and intensification of bioturbation (sediment disturbance and oxygenation by organisms), both in the oceans and on land, which promotes exchange of buried organic matter with the aerobic part of the biosphere (59, 60); 2) increased photosynthetic capacity (and oxygen production) by land plants (61) and phytoplankton (62); 3) redistribution of nutrients vertically and horizontally in the water column by increasingly active swimming animals and vertically migrating planktonic organisms, which entrain water and nutrients as they move (63–65); 4) a long-term decrease in the formation of coal, marine black shale, and shelf carbonates precipitated by organisms, all sinks for organic carbon on geological time scales (66, 67); 5) deepening of anoxic zones in the oceans, which decreases the size and extent of carbon sinks over time (66, 68); 6) increasing extraction of inorganic nutrients by land plants aided by fungi that chemically break down previously unavailable minerals in rocks (69–72); 7) progressively intense and deep bioerosion of rock and wood, again unlocking nutrients (5); and 8) expansion of energy sources tapped by organisms (73).

Brief reversals (0.5 to 10 Mya in duration) have occurred throughout the Phanerozoic, as indicated by carbon-isotopic excursions that were particularly intense during times of high sea level and during or following mass extinctions (68), but these did not alter the overall trajectory of increasing efficiency and productivity. The input of inorganic nutrients and of oxidized carbon (as carbon dioxide) from the mantle through volcanic activity (74) further stimulated production and efficiency by adding raw materials, increasing weathering, and reducing transpiration costs for plants on a global scale, especially during the later Mesozoic era.

These trends increased the rate of turnover of organic matter and oxygen within aerobic ecosystems and promoted exchanges of nutrients among ecosystems, speeding up and spatially expanding the utilization of essential nutrients that were previously buried and unavailable to most organisms. The higher turnover and more effective uptake of resources by increasingly powerful and productive ecosystems indicate greater ecosystem efficiency over time as well as the spread of oxygenated conditions (63, 75), because fewer nutrients became sequestered in sediments where biological activity was reduced or absent (68). Note that the increased recycling and production of organic matter within aerobic ecosystems are not reflected in the carbon-isotopic record because this organic matter is not fossilized. It is unclear whether these trends have resulted in a global increase in biomass, which would imply a long-term concentration of carbon in Earth's outermost layer. This possibility is countered by Hayes and Waldbauer (74), who infer a steady-state flux of carbon between the mantle and the crust.

Here, I propose a mechanistic link between the above empirical trends in ecosystem-level efficiency and productivity on the one hand and the universal evolutionary process of natural selection, which operates at the level of individuals and coherent groups, on the other. In a conceptual analysis, I show how

selection leading to increasingly powerful competitors makes competitive winners less efficient but the ecosystems they inhabit more efficient. Long-term increases in ecosystem-level efficiency emerge from the cumulative effects of selection among competitors and from the stimulating effects of powerful agents on the efficiency of recycling by other members of the system.

Conceptual Model

The key to an ecosystem's efficiency is accessibility of organic matter to remineralization by organisms. Organic matter becomes inaccessible when it is deeply buried in sediments (33), bound to clay particles (76, 77), or chemically resistant to breakdown (31, 78). Remineralization is also inhibited by low temperature, an abundance of sulfides, and a lack of oxygen. Accessibility increases by at least 3 mechanisms: the evolution of appropriate enzymes with high catalytic activity for breaking down refractory compounds (lignin, suberin, and cutin) produced by land plants (79, 80); bioturbation, which exposes organic matter to aerobic respiration (81, 82); and interception by consumers such as herbivores, suspension-feeders, and predators before organic matter reaches the soil or sediment (83).

All 3 mechanisms—enzymes, bioturbation, and interception—are energetically expensive. They are therefore most effective when an organism's power budget is large, that is, when allocation to expensive functions such as breaking down or retrieving resources without interfering with other essential functions becomes feasible. A large power budget is enabled when temperatures and metabolic rates are high. Rapid metabolism, in turn, is enabled by a system in which productivity—the rate at which biomass is fixed from inorganic sources—is high. Efficient recycling in the presence of inefficient powerful producers and consumers should therefore be associated with high productivity.

These processes and conditions are affected by the ways in which co-occurring species that differ in competitive status acquire and defend resources and by the interactions among these species. Grime (84) recognized 3 classes of species: opportunists, which exploit temporarily plentiful resources by growing and reproducing quickly during a short period of activity; competitive dominants, which are superior at capturing and retaining resources over a long lifespan by expending high power as the need arises; and low-powered species, which exist as long-lived individuals that take up resources slowly and protect them with constitutive defenses in settings of chronically low resource supply thanks to low competitive status, an infertile environment, or low temperatures, all associated with slow metabolism. Selection favors high power in species of the first 2 categories. Opportunists and competitive dominants produce abundant wastes that decomposers and other consumers can rapidly recycle (41, 62, 85, 86). Wastes released by low-powered species tend to resist degradation and recycling because organisms either cannot break them down or do so very slowly; they therefore tend to accumulate on long time scales (62, 85, 86). An efficient ecosystem in which high-powered species thrive therefore depends on diffuse collusion or evolved cooperation between wasteful competitors and more efficient recyclers.

The 3 mechanisms of increasing access to previously unavailable resources all involve adaptive evolution, and all entail increased metabolic costs. Remineralization will be slow, and ecological efficiency will be low, if the metabolic rates of recyclers

are constrained by low temperatures or scarce resources; however, if mineralization takes place inside, or in close association with, productive high-powered organisms such as endothermic animals or fast-growing plants, it will be rapid despite the high metabolic costs. This explains the efficiency paradox.

The fossil record offers ample evidence for increasing performance among opportunists and dominant competitors. Older, lower powered opportunists and dominants were displaced to more marginal environments by higher powered species in different clades in land plants (61), phytoplankton (62), suspension-feeders (5), sediment-dwelling animals (59, 87), swimmers (65), predators (5), herbivores (83), and wood-digesting organisms (85). The evolution of endotherms permitted faster recycling of nutrients even in the cold, and therefore reduced losses of organic matter at low temperatures.

Under exceptional circumstances, ecosystems become inefficient when resident organisms are unable to capture or recycle a sudden increase in resource supply. This can happen under conditions of mass mortality, when decomposers are overwhelmed by dead organisms; during floods, when primary producers cannot capture a large influx of raw materials; and, most importantly, when previously sequestered organic matter is released at high rates, as happened during massive intrusions of magma and collisions between Earth and extraterrestrial bodies, exposing carbon-rich deposits to chemical weathering and erosion. Such releases are documented for crises at the end of the Permian (88–90), Triassic (91), and Cretaceous (92, 93). Ecosystem inefficiency also increased during crises because of the extinction of high-powered predators, herbivores, and suspension-feeders, whose loss would imply lower productivity and turnover.

I speculate that the elimination of high-powered swimmers, vertically migrating plankton, and active suspension-feeders during mass extinction events likely led to a decrease in biologically generated water movement and oxygen distribution (63, 64), adding to the reduction in oxygen concentration in the oceans due to a high influx of nutrients from the land and to ocean warming (34, 94) characteristic of past oceanic anoxic events and the present Anthropocene (95).

Conclusions

The role of natural selection and history in explaining previously overlooked patterns in the efficiency of resource retrieval, retention, and recycling in ecosystems is critical to a deeper understanding and mitigation of the destructive human footprint on the biosphere. The conceptual model proposed here opens the door to a more complete integration of ecosystem science and evolutionary biology, disciplines that have largely gone their separate ways. By highlighting a comparative approach to ecosystems, adaptive types, and successive phases in Earth history, the model also points to promising directions for measuring resource flows and for tests of hypotheses about the effects of evolution on resource dynamics. Like so much else in biology and economics, sustainability depends on evolution and on the ways in which life modifies its own environment.

Acknowledgments

I thank Alyssa Henry for technical assistance. The question that motivated this paper occurred to me as I prepared lectures and examination questions for a graduate core course on population biology at the University of California, Davis. I am therefore grateful for the opportunity to teach this class. Two anonymous reviewers have been extraordinarily helpful and diligent in improving this manuscript.

- 1 E. J. Chaisson, *Cosmic Evolution; The Rise of Complexity in Nature* (Harvard University Press, 2001).
- 2 P. J. Franks, D. J. Beerling, CO₂-forced evolution of plant gas exchange capacity and water-use efficiency over the Phanerozoic. *Geobiology* **7**, 227–236 (2009).
- 3 H. S. Horn, *The Adaptive Geometry of Trees* (Princeton University Press, 1971).
- 4 C. V. Looy, Natural history of a plant trait: Branch-system abscission in Paleozoic conifers and its environmental, autecological, and ecosystem implications in a fire-prone world. *Paleobiology* **39**, 235–252 (2013).
- 5 G. J. Vermeij, *Evolution, and Escalation: An Ecological History of Life* (Princeton University Press, 1987).
- 6 D. Kelly, V. L. Sork, Mast seeding in perennial plants: Why, how, where? *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **33**, 427–447 (2002).
- 7 C. Wild *et al.*, Degradation and mineralization of coral mucus in reef environments. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **267**, 159–171 (2004).
- 8 C. Wild, R. Tollrian, M. Huettel, Rapid recycling of coral mass-spawning products in permeable reef sediments. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **271**, 159–166 (2004).
- 9 L. Pomar, P. Hallock, Carbonate factories: A conundrum in sedimentary geology. *Earth Sci. Rev.* **87**, 134–169 (2008).
- 10 B. H. Robison, K. R. Reisenbichler, R. E. Sherlock, Giant larvacean houses: Rapid carbon transport to the deep sea floor. *Science* **308**, 1609–1611 (2005).
- 11 K. Katija, R. E. Sherlock, A. D. Sherman, B. H. Robison, New technology reveals the role of giant larvaceans in oceanic carbon cycle. *Sci. Adv.* **3**, e1602374 (2017).
- 12 R. T. Bakker, “Dinosaur heresy—Why we need endothermic archosaurs and a comprehensive theory of bioenergetic evolution” in *A Cold Look at the Warm-Blooded Dinosaurs*, R. D. K. Thomas, E. C. Olson, Eds. (Westview Press, 1980), pp. 351–462.
- 13 P. J. Edwards, F. Fleischer-Dogley, C. N. Kaiser-Bunbury, The nutrient economy of *Lodoicea maldivica*, a monodominant palm producing the world’s largest seed. *New Phytol.* **206**, 990–999 (2012).
- 14 S. Zona, M. J. M. Christenhusz, Litter-trapping plants: Filter-feeders of the plant kingdom. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* **179**, 553–586 (2015).
- 15 C. P. Osborne, L. Sack, Evolution of C4 plants: A new hypothesis for an interaction of CO₂ and water relations mediated by plant hydraulics. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **367**, 583–600 (2012).
- 16 P. A. Nauer, L. B. Hutley, S. K. Arndt, Termite mounds mitigate half of termite methane emissions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115**, 13306–13311 (2018).
- 17 H. Hirakawa, Coprophagy in leporids and other mammalian herbivores. *Mammal Rev.* **31**, 61–80 (2001).
- 18 C. B. Field, M. J. Behrenfeld, J. T. Randerson, P. Falkowski, Primary production of the biosphere: Integrating terrestrial and oceanic components. *Science* **281**, 237–240 (1998).
- 19 P. G. Falkowski, H. Lin, M. Y. Gorbunov, What limits photosynthetic energy conversion efficiency in nature? Lessons from the oceans. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **372**, 20160376 (2017).
- 20 G. J. Vermeij, Unsuccessful predation and evolution. *Am. Nat.* **220**, 701–720 (1982).
- 21 D. I. Rubenstein, M. A. R. Koehl, The mechanisms of filter feeding: Some theoretical considerations. *Am. Nat.* **111**, 981–994 (1977).
- 22 M. LaBarbera, Feeding currents and particle capture mechanisms in suspension-feeding animals. *Am. Zool.* **24**, 71–84 (1984).
- 23 T. Clutton-Brock, Sexual selection in males and females. *Science* **318**, 1882–1885 (2007).
- 24 D. L. Mulcahy, The rise of the angiosperms: A genecological factor. *Science* **206**, 20–23 (1979).
- 25 E. P. Odum, The strategy of ecosystem development. *Science* **164**, 262–270 (1969).
- 26 L. R. Pomeroy, The strategy of mineral cycling. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **1**, 171–190 (1970).
- 27 M. C. Mack, E. A. Schuur, M. S. Bret-Harte, G. R. Shaver, F. S. Chapin, Ecosystem carbon storage in arctic tundra reduced by long-term nutrient fertilization. *Nature* **431**, 440–443 (2004).
- 28 S. A. Zimov, Essays on science and society. Pleistocene Park: Return of the mammoth’s ecosystem. *Science* **308**, 796–798 (2005).
- 29 V. G. Salmon *et al.*, Adding depth to our understanding of nitrogen dynamics in permafrost soils. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **123**, 2497–2512 (2018).
- 30 A. Upton *et al.*, Does litter input determine carbon storage and peat organic chemistry in tropical peatlands? *Geoderma* **326**, 76–87 (2018).
- 31 D. H. Janzen, Tropical blackwater rivers, animals, and mast fruiting in the Dipterocharpaceae. *Biotropica* **6**, 69–103 (1974).
- 32 P. Dustan, Terrestrial limitation of Amazon River productivity: Why the Amazon River is not green. *Evol. Ecol. Res.* **11**, 421–432 (2009).
- 33 R. Berner, R. Raiswell, Burial of organic carbon and pyrite sulfur in sediments over Phanerozoic time: A new theory. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **47**, 855–862 (1983).
- 34 R. J. Diaz, R. Rosenberg, Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems. *Science* **321**, 926–929 (2008).
- 35 M. P. Nelsen, W. A. DiMichele, S. E. Peters, C. K. Boyce, Delayed fungal evolution did not cause the Paleozoic peak in coal production. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **113**, 2442–2447 (2016).
- 36 D. Hibbett, R. Blanchette, P. Kenrick, B. Mills, Climate, decay, and the death of the coal forests. *Curr. Biol.* **26**, R563–R567 (2016).
- 37 G. Feulner, Formation of most of our coal brought Earth close to global glaciation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **114**, 11333–11337 (2017).
- 38 O. A. Chadwick *et al.*, Changing sources of nutrients during four million years of ecosystem development. *Nature* **397**, 491–497 (1999).
- 39 D. A. Wardle, L. R. Walker, R. D. Bardgett, Ecosystem properties and forest decline in contrasting long-term chronosequences. *Science* **305**, 509–513 (2004).
- 40 G. J. Vermeij, “Building a healthy economy: Learning from nature” in *Economia: Methods for Reclaiming Economy*, O. Mink, W. Oosterhuis, Eds. (Balsan Laboratories, 2018), pp. 53–76, 111–119.
- 41 E. G. Leigh, Jr, *Tropical Forest Ecology: A View from Barro Colorado Island* (Oxford University Press, 1999).
- 42 J. Kvaschenko *et al.*, Soil fertility in boreal forest relates to root-driven nitrogen retention and carbon sequestration in the mor layer. *New Phytol.* **221**, 1492–1502 (2019).
- 43 L. Rix *et al.*, Differential recycling of coral and algal dissolved organic matter via the sponge loop. *Funct. Ecol.* **31**, 778–798 (2017).
- 44 G. A. Polis, W. B. Anderson, R. D. Holt, Toward an integration of landscape and food web ecologies: The dynamics of spatially subsidized food webs. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **28**, 289–316 (1997).
- 45 J. Roman, J. J. McCarthy, The whale pump: Marine mammals enhance primary productivity in a coastal basin. *PLoS One* **5**, e13255 (2010).
- 46 J. Roman *et al.*, Whales as marine ecosystem engineers. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **12**, 377–385 (2014).
- 47 R. Reef, I. C. Feller, C. E. Lovelock, Mammalian herbivores in Australia transport nutrients from terrestrial to marine ecosystems via mangroves. *Int. J. Trop. Ecol.* **30**, 179–188 (2014).
- 48 J. L. Sabo, M. E. Power, River-watershed exchange: Effects of riverine subsidies on riparian lizards and their terrestrial prey. *Ecology* **83**, 1860–1869 (2002).
- 49 J. L. Bastow, J. L. Sabo, J. C. Finlay, M. E. Power, A basal aquatic-terrestrial trophic link in rivers: Algal subsidies via shore-dwelling grasshoppers. *Oecologia* **131**, 261–268 (2002).
- 50 P. J. Mumby *et al.*, Mangroves enhance the biomass of coral reef fish communities in the Caribbean. *Nature* **427**, 533–536 (2004).
- 51 J. J. Williams, Y. P. Papastamatiou, J. E. Caselle, D. Bradley, D. M. P. Jacoby, Mobile marine predators: An understudied source of nutrients to coral reefs in an unfished atoll. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **285**, 20172456 (2018). Erratum in: *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **286**, 20190246.
- 52 L. Van Valen, Energy and evolution. *Evol. Theory* **1**, 179–229 (1976).
- 53 T. M. Lenton, The role of land plants, phosphorus weathering and regulation of atmospheric oxygen. *Glob. Change Biol.* **7**, 613–629 (2001).
- 54 S. M. Stanley, Relation of Phanerozoic stable isotope excursions to climate, bacterial metabolism, and major extinctions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **107**, 19185–19189 (2010).
- 55 R. L. Sinsabaugh, D. L. Moorhead, X. Xu, M. E. Litvak, Plant, microbial and ecosystem carbon use efficiencies interact to stabilize microbial growth as a fraction of gross primary production. *New Phytol.* **214**, 1518–1526 (2017).
- 56 D. K. Jacobs, D. R. Lindberg, Oxygen and evolutionary patterns in the sea: Onshore/offshore trends and recent recruitment of deep-sea faunas. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **95**, 9396–9401 (1998).

- 57 H. J. Falcon-Lang, W. A. DiMichele, What happened to the coal forests during Pennsylvanian glacial phases? *Palaios* **25**, 611–617 (2010).
- 58 D. P. G. Bond, S. E. Grasby, On the causes of mass extinctions. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* **78**, 3–29 (2017).
- 59 C. W. Thayer, Biological bulldozers and the evolution of marine benthic communities. *Science* **203**, 458–461 (1979).
- 60 G. F. Genise *et al.*, “The Phanerozoic four revolutions and evolution of paleosols ichnofabrics” in *The Trace-Fossil Record of Major Evolutionary Events*, M. G. Mangano, L. A. Buatois, Eds. (Springer Science+Business Media, Dordrecht, 2016), vol. 2, pp. 301–370.
- 61 C. K. Boyce, A. B. Leslie, The paleontological context of angiosperm vegetative evolution. *Int. J. Plant Sci.* **73**, 561–568 (2012).
- 62 A. H. Knoll, M. J. Follows, A bottom-up perspective on ecosystem change in Mesozoic oceans. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **283**, 20161755 (2016).
- 63 N. J. Butterfield, Oxygen, animals and aquatic bioturbation: An updated account. *Geobiology* **16**, 3–16 (2018).
- 64 I. A. Houghton, J. R. Koseff, S. G. Monismith, J. O. Dabiri, Vertically migrating swimmers generate aggregation-scale eddies in a stratified column. *Nature* **556**, 497–500 (2018).
- 65 C. D. Whalen, D. E. G. Briggs, The Palaeozoic colonization of the water column and the rise of the global nekton. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **285**, 20180883 (2018).
- 66 K. M. Meyer, A. Ridgwell, J. L. Payne, The influence of the biological pump on ocean chemistry: Implications for long-term trends in marine redox chemistry, the global carbon cycle, and marine animal ecosystems. *Geobiology* **14**, 207–219 (2016).
- 67 S. E. Peters, Environmental determinants of extinction selectivity in the fossil record. *Nature* **454**, 626–629 (2008).
- 68 A. Bachan *et al.*, A model for the decrease in amplitude of carbon isotope excursions across the Phanerozoic. *Am. J. Sci.* **317**, 641–676 (2017).
- 69 P. Kenrick, C. Strullu-Derrien, The origin and early evolution of roots. *Plant Physiol.* **166**, 570–580 (2014).
- 70 D. Edwards, L. Chems, J. A. Raven, Could land-based early photosynthesizing ecosystems have bioengineered the planet in mid-Palaeozoic time? *Palaeontology* **58**, 803–837 (2015).
- 71 R. Braakman, M. J. Follows, S. W. Chisholm, Metabolic evolution and the self-organization of ecosystems. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **114**, E3091–E3100 (2017).
- 72 D. Z. Epihov *et al.*, N₂-fixing tropical legume evolution: A contributor to enhanced weathering through the Cenozoic. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **284**, 20170370 (2017).
- 73 O. P. Judson, The energy expansions of evolution. *Nature Ecol. Evol.* **1**, 138 (2017).
- 74 J. M. Hayes, J. R. Waldbauer, The carbon cycle and associated redox processes through time. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **361**, 931–950 (2006).
- 75 B. I. Jelen, D. Giovannelli, P. G. Falkowski, The role of microbial electron transfer in the coevolution of the biosphere and geosphere. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **70**, 45–62 (2016).
- 76 M. J. Kennedy, D. R. Pevear, R. J. Hill, Mineral surface control of organic carbon in black shale. *Science* **295**, 657–660 (2002).
- 77 M. J. Kennedy, S. C. Lühr, S. A. Fraser, E. T. Baruch, Direct evidence for organic carbon preservation as clay-organic nanocomposites in a Devonian black shale; from deposition to diagenesis. *Plant Sci. Lett.* **388**, 59–70 (2014).
- 78 J. A. Raven, Extrapolating feedback processes from the present to the past. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **353**, 19–28 (1998).
- 79 D. Floudas *et al.*, The Paleozoic origin of enzymatic lignin decomposition reconstructed from 31 fungal genomes. *Science* **336**, 1715–1719 (2012).
- 80 I. Ayuso-Fernández, F. J. Ruiz-Dueñas, A. T. Martínez, Evolutionary convergence in lignin-degrading enzymes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **115**, 6428–6433 (2018).
- 81 A. M. Lohrer, S. F. Thrush, M. M. Gibbs, Bioturbators enhance ecosystem function through complex biogeochemical interactions. *Nature* **431**, 1092–1095 (2004).
- 82 S. van de Velde, B. J. W. Mills, F. J. R. Meysman, T. M. Lenton, S. W. Poulton, Early Palaeozoic ocean anoxia and global warming driven by the evolution of shallow burrowing. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 2554 (2018).
- 83 G. J. Vermeij, D. R. Lindberg, Delayed herbivory and the assembly of marine benthic ecosystems. *Paleobiology* **26**, 419–430 (2000).
- 84 J. P. Grime, Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its relevance to ecological and evolutionary theory. *Am. Nat.* **111**, 1169–1194 (1977).
- 85 J. M. Robinson, The burial of organic carbon as affected by the evolution of land plants. *Hist. Biol.* **3**, 189–201 (1990).
- 86 J. Cebrián, C. M. Duarte, Plant growth-rate dependence of detrital carbon storage in ecosystems. *Science* **268**, 1606–1608 (1995).
- 87 G. J. Vermeij, Life in the arena: Infaunal gastropods and the late Phanerozoic expansion of marine ecosystems into sand. *Palaeontology* **60**, 649–661 (2017).
- 88 C. Li *et al.*, Magmatic anhydrite-sulfide assemblages in the plumbing system of the Siberian Traps. *Geology* **37**, 259–262 (2009).
- 89 H. Svensen *et al.*, Siberian gas venting and the end-Permian environmental crisis. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **267**, 490–500 (2009).
- 90 M. W. Broadley *et al.*, End-Permian extinction amplified by plume-induced release of recycled lithosphere volatiles. *Nat. Geosci.* **11**, 682–687 (2018).
- 91 J. H. F. L. Davies *et al.*, End-Triassic mass extinction started by intrusive CAMP activity. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15596 (2017).
- 92 C. M. Belcher, P. Finch, M. E. Collinson, A. C. Scott, N. V. Grassineau, Geochemical evidence for combustion of hydrocarbons during the K-T impact event. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **106**, 4112–4117 (2009).
- 93 K. Kaiho *et al.*, Global climate change driven by soot at the K-Pg boundary as the cause of the mass extinction. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 28427 (2016).
- 94 J. L. Payne, A. M. Bush, N. A. Heim, M. L. Knope, D. J. McCauley, Ecological selectivity of the emerging mass extinction in the oceans. *Science* **353**, 1284–1286 (2016).
- 95 D. Breitburg *et al.*, Declining oxygen in the global ocean and coastal waters. *Science* **359**, eaam7240 (2018).