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## ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

Occupational Cancer Risk in California Teachers and Risk Modification by Medication Use By

## Vikram Haridass

Doctor of Philosophy in Epidemiology
University of California, Irvine 2019
Professor Hoda Anton-Culver, Chair

Introduction: Teachers, as an occupational group, have been previously shown to have an elevated risk of breast, and endometrial cancers relative to the general population. However, no conclusive evidence regarding teacher-specific occupational risk factors driving this higher risk in cancer incidence have been established. Previous studies have found occupational physical activity levels to be inversely associated with risk of incident cancers, yet the conclusions regarding this association are not definitive.

The purpose of this dissertation is to assess cancer risk in participants of the California Teachers Study according to occupational characteristics and medication intake of school employees. The study will examine the association between various occupational characteristics and medication intakes among female school employees and cancer incidence of breast, endometrial, and malignant melanoma.

Methods: A prospective analysis of 133,479 female teachers and school administrators, aged 22-104 years, in the California Teachers Study cohort between 1995 and 2013 was conducted. Occupational characteristics and medication intakes were determined using participants' responses to the comprehensive questionnaire administered at baseline. Age-standardized incidence rates were calculated according to participants’
occupational characteristics and compared to the incidence rates of California women, obtained from the California Cancer Registry. Multivariable Cox proportional hazard regression models were constructed to provide hazard ratios and corresponding 95\% confidence intervals for estimates of cancer risk for breast, endometrial, and malignant melanoma according to occupational characteristics and medication intake of female teachers and school administrators.

Results: Throughout the eighteen years of follow-up in the California Teachers Study, there were $7,173,1,351$, and 1,919 teachers who developed breast cancer, endometrial cancer and malignant melanoma, respectively. There was an excess cancer incidence among California Teacher Study participants overall, and according to several occupational characteristics for breast, endometrial and malignant melanoma. Of note, lower levels of occupational physical activity was associated with an elevated risk across the three cancer types when compared to general female population of California and teachers with higher levels of occupational physical activity. Moreover, the elevated risk associated with minimal occupational physical activity levels was not mitigated by higher levels of recreational physical activity among participants of the study. Additionally, regular anti-inflammatory medication intake among study participants was associated with risk for breast cancer and malignant melanoma compared to non-regular users; there was no evidence for the difference in risk across the three cancer types according to statin use. Conclusion: This study showed that female teachers and school administrators are at an elevated risk of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma which was largely driven by a lack of occupational physical activity and regular anti-inflammatory medication intake.

## CHAPTER 1

## Introduction

Based on reports from the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics from 2016, there were $4,264,800$ individuals actively employed in the teaching profession in preschool, primary, and secondary schools with an estimated annual growth in employment of approximately 8.0\% [1]. Throughout the years in this growing occupation, teachers have been continually shown to be at an increased risk of developing breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma relative to the general population- in studies conducted in the US [2-5] and worldwide [6-12]. Findings from these past studies show teachers, as an occupational group, have substantially elevated cancer risks, yet the overall evidence regarding teachers-specific occupational risk factors remains inconclusive.

Given the reported higher cancer incidence rates among teachers, developing a better understanding of preventive strategies in this occupational group may help improve recommendations, advocacy, and policy. Previous studies have found nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), and cholesterol-lowering medication use to be inversely associated with risk of incident cancers [13-16]. However, the conclusions regarding this association are not definitive (with exception to NSAIDs and incident colorectal cancer). Therefore, further studies of these relationships among a cancersusceptible group, such as teachers, may provide evidence for potential medicationspecific preventive strategies.

Although teachers have been reported to have uniquely higher cancer incidence
rates compared to the general population, it remains unclear whether teacher-specific occupational risk factors and exposures, such as medication intake, were the reasons that cause this higher risk in cancer incidence. Specifically, this dissertation will assess occupational characteristics, exposures, including medication intake, on the risk of developing three cancer types in female teachers from a large prospective California Teachers Study (CTS). To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine occupational associations to the risk of developing three common cancers in a prospective cohort of female teachers.

### 1.1. Occupational exposures and cancer risk

Teachers as an occupational group have been reported to have an increased risk of several common cancers including malignant melanoma, breast, and endometrial cancers. However, the evidence regarding the effects of occupational characteristics and types and level of exposures associated with cancer risk is sparse and inconsistent. Although a relatively understudied matter of significance, past studies examining teachers have provided crucial evidence linking causative factors of cancer (i.e. allostatic load, pro-inflammatory immune response, immune system depression) to this occupational group [17-18]. Specifically, teachers with greater occupational stress levels were shown to have suppressed immune systems (i.e. lower levels of Natural Killer and CD4+ T cells) [17], and an elevated pro-inflammatory immune response (i.e. higher levels of TNF- $\alpha$, IL-6, IL-2, C-Reactive Protein) [17-18] compared to teachers with lower levels of occupational stress. This persistent dampening of the immune system and state of chronic tissue inflammation provide an optimal environment for tumorigenesis
through lack of immunosurveillance (i.e. loss of cell death mechanisms from an immunosuppressive state) and increased oxidative stress (i.e. increased mutagenic activity to promote genomic instability), across all cancer types [19-20]. However, this induced pro-inflammatory immune response is not solely accounted to the stressful working conditions of teachers, but also sedentary occupational practices (i.e. low occupational physical activity levels) in which increased physical activity has been show to significantly reduce inflammation and allostatic load (i.e. serum IL-6, C-Reactive Protein, TNF- $\alpha$, etc.) [21-22]. Yet, the evidence base regarding the association between occupational physical activity and incident MM, breast and endometrial cancer risk has not been conclusive with some studies observing no association [23-26] and others reporting an association [27-32]. Indeed, these results may be partially explained by the assessment of study populations consisting of heterogeneous occupational subgroups whose physical activity intensity levels largely differ from one another. Given inflammation's role as a "hallmark of cancer" [33], the prolonged exposure to occupational characteristics (i.e. occupational stress, sedentary occupational practices) associated with this pro-inflammatory immune response promote tumor formation across all cancer types, especially among inflammatory cancers such as breast and endometrial cancers [34], which may differ according to faculty position held in the educational services sector (i.e. more sedentary habits of administrators compared to teachers) and length of employment (i.e. $\geq 10 \mathrm{yrs}$. vs. $<10 \mathrm{yrs}$.).

Although the literature regarding occupational risk factors of teachers and cancer risk is sparse, the few available studies provide evidence that the incidence of melanoma, breast, and endometrial cancers significantly differs according to
employment position and the duration of employment. Findings from a previous prospective analysis examining incident cancer risk among teachers employed in CA from 1988-1992 found a relative excess incidence of MM, breast, and endometrial cancer when compared to the general population and was notably higher for teachers (i.e. preschool, elementary, junior high and senior high school) versus school employees in administrators/pupil services (incident breast cancer: $22 \%$ increase vs. $19 \%$ increase, incident endometrial cancer: $18 \%$ increase vs $3 \%$ increase, and incident malignant melanoma: $38 \%$ increase vs $29 \%$ increase) [3]. Additionally, duration of employment has been previously shown to increase the risk of MM, breast, and endometrial cancers ( $\sim 5$-fold) among a cohort of female junior high school teachers (SIR for $\geq 15$ years of employment: $4.89, P=0.0034$ ) [4]. However, these findings are not conclusive given the sparse evidence base as previous studies have also shown no association between the incidence of premenopausal breast cancer and duration of employment as a teacher [35].

Malignant melanoma risk in teachers has also been linked to other more cancer-specific occupational exposures shared among teachers. One such exposure is high-voltage frequency transients, often referred to as "dirty power", emitted from the electrical wiring found throughout school facilities. Specifically, a previous investigation into teachers of a La Quinta, CA junior-high school provided evidence of substantially elevated incidence of malignant melanoma (9.8-fold higher) when compared to the general population (SIR 9.8, $P=0.0008$ ), which became further exacerbated upon stratification according to classroom "dirty power" levels [4]. Additionally, ultraviolet radiation (UVR) exposures, solar and non-solar, among teachers have been previously
shown to increase the risk of malignant melanoma. Particularly, non-solar UVR exposures among teachers result from exposure to school-facility lighting in which schools widely implement the use of fluorescent bulbs due to their cost-effectiveness, but have also been shown to emit the highest level of UV radiation (i.e. Effective irradiance $=4$ ) relative to other lighting options [36] and therefore may be potentially carcinogenic after long periods of exposure. Past studies have shown an increased risk for skin cancer ( $75 \%$ increase) among teachers as an occupational group while accounting for all relevant risk factors, including skin type and solar-UVR exposures, therefore suggesting the importance of non-solar UVR sources in this cancer type [37]. Although the CTS does not directly measure occupational electromagnetic frequencies (from electrical wiring) and UVR (from fluorescent light exposures), our ability to examine the gradient across occupational characteristics in the CTS (i.e. years of employment, number of schools throughout employment, etc.) may serve as a qualitative measure of occupational EMF and UV radiation exposures, similar to the methodology of past studies which lack quantitative exposure levels [38].

The increase in cancer incidence among teachers has been reported to be associated with several lifestyle exposures (i.e. air pollution [39], BMI [40], recreational physical activity [41], diet [42], hormone replacement therapy [43], including the use of medications [44]. Previous studies have found non-steroidal anti-inflammatory, and cholesterol-lowering medication use had inverse association with risk of incident cancers [13-16]. Specifically, anti-inflammatory medications directly reduce the proinflammatory immune response, an established risk factor of cancer, through inhibition of the COX-2 enzyme and thus we expect this group to have wide-ranging effects
across all cancer types [34, 45-46], especially among inflammatory cancer types such as breast [13, 47], endometrial [14, 48], and malignant melanoma [16, 49]. The use of cholesterol lowering medications (i.e. Statins) in relation to cancer risk have been of interest given their ability to interfere with the proto-oncogenic mevalonate pathway that has been shown to promote cellular proliferation, differentiation, and survival allowing for successful tumor formation [50-51]. Given this role of Statin's interference in oncogenic pathways, we anticipate regular Statin use to serve as a protective modifiable factor associated with melanoma, breast, and endometrial cancer risk among teachers.

### 1.2. Significance

A notable drawback in the majority of teacher-centered studies is the utilization of cross-sectional study designs [52-63]. Though economically practical, cross-sectional study designs offer a single snapshot into a teacher's occupational characteristics and exposures, typically consisting of teachers who have already developed adverse health outcomes. In turn, vastly limiting our understanding of the temporal relationship between occupational characteristics of teachers and the development of adverse health outcomes. Furthermore, carrying out randomized trials with comprehensive interventional approaches is not feasible, thus longitudinal cohort studies are the strongest line of evidence to inform this topic. To begin addressing this gap, the Iongitudinal California Teachers Study (CTS) has provided an ideal study population to examine associations among the educational services sector (i.e. female teachers) to the risk of developing adverse health outcomes, including cancer [39-44, 58, 64].

Though these past investigations into the CTS have been more focused on lifestyle exposures (i.e. diet, BMI, etc.) as opposed to the characteristics of teachers as an occupational group (i.e. occupational stress, teacher type, duration of employment, occupational physical activity, etc.), and typically examine the risk for a single cancer type.

Understanding the association between occupational characteristics, medication intake, and the risk of developing cancer is a necessary step to inform policy and recommendations that have the potential to lower the elevated cancer risks among teachers [2-3]. Yet, the evidence regarding teacher-specific occupational risk factors driving this increase in cancer incidence is relatively sparse as studies examining teachers are primarily interested in mental health outcomes, somatic conditions, and other chronic diseases (i.e. Type 2 Diabetes, Cardiovascular disease, etc.) [17-18, 5261]. With help of the CTS, we were able to follow teachers throughout their employment and into retirement which may enable us to understand the association between occupational characteristics, modifying exposures (i.e. medication use), and incident cancer risk. Additionally, as a large number of these teachers have developed various incident cancers throughout the long period of follow-up (i.e. $20+$ years), such studies may provide crucial evidence which links certain occupational characteristics and exposures with the risk for the three cancer types of interest.

Past epidemiological studies have begun to investigate the association of medication use on the risk of various incident cancers. Previous studies examining the association between NSAID use and incident cancer risk for breast [13], endometrial [14], and malignant melanoma [15] have reported an inverse relationship. However, the
overall evidence is not conclusive as seen among other studies that have observed no association, although risk-adjusted estimates for regular NSAID use vs non-regular use were below one [47-49]. In addition, cholesterol-lowering medication use has been shown to lower the risk of breast cancer [16], endometrial cancer [65], and malignant melanoma $[15,65]$ in several earlier investigations. But these past findings regarding the protective role of cholesterol-lowering medication use have not been definitive [6670]. Specifically, a study of 8,813 subjects conducted across three US hospitals found no association between statin use and risk of the ten most common cancers [66]. Similar results have also been observed in other cohort and case-controls studies [6770].

Of note, these past studies investigating medication use have utilized study populations comprised of vastly different participants in terms of sociodemographic profiles which may introduce systematic errors (i.e. misclassification bias, confounding bias) that can potentially impact study results and hamper the ability to make statistical inferences. Additionally, the ability to detect significant differences in risk is limited when examining exposures with a proposed modest effect (i.e. anti-inflammatory and cholesterol lowering medications) in study populations that have low vulnerability for the outcome of interest and may also account for past inconsistent findings. Our investigation allowed us to examine the association between medication use and risk of incident cancers in a generally homogenous sociodemographic study population that has been shown to have an increased susceptibility for developing cancer compared to the general population [2]. Given the breadth of discordant findings, it is critically important to further examine the association of occupational characteristics and
exposures of teachers (i.e. medication use) and the potential risk of cancers to ultimately lower the elevated incidence rates among teachers through improving guidelines, policy, and advocacy in this field.

### 1.3. Specific Aims and hypotheses

Aim 1: Compare incidence rates of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma from 1995-2013 among teachers in the CTS cohort to the cancer incidence rates of general California women.

We will also examine the cancer incidence rates according to occupational characteristics (i.e. teacher type, number of schools throughout employment, duration of employment, power lines near school, occupational stress and physical activity).

## Hypothesis for Aim 1:

- Hypothesis 1.1: I hypothesize that teachers, as an occupational group, have uniquely higher age-adjusted incidence rates of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma than the rates among comparable California women.
- Hypothesis 1.2: I hypothesize that teachers and school administrators who report decreased occupational physical activity, have greater duration and number of schools throughout employment, and perceive greater occupational stress have higher age-adjusted incidence rates for breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma than the general population of California women.

Aim 2: Examine the association between occupational characteristics among female teachers in the CTS cohort population and the risk for breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma.

We will assess the same set of occupational characteristics as listed in Aim 1.

## Hypotheses for Aim 2:

- Hypothesis 2.1: I hypothesize that female teachers, especially school administrators, who report higher levels of occupational stress, and lower occupational physical activity levels have an elevated risk of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma.
- Hypothesis 2.2: I hypothesize that teachers with greater durations and number of schools during employment have an elevated risk of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma.


#### Abstract

Aim 3: Examine possible modifying effects of medication intake for anti-inflammatory and cholesterol-lowering medications on the risk of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma among teachers in the CTS.

We will examine the frequency and duration of intake for anti-inflammatory (i.e. aspirin, ibuprofen, and acetaminophen) and cholesterol-lowering (i.e. statins) medications.

\section*{Hypothesis for Aim 3:} - Hypothesis 3.1: I hypothesize that teachers reporting greater usage (i.e. greater duration and frequency) for anti-inflammatory and cholesterol-lowering medications have a lower risk of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma.


### 1.4. Chapter outline

Chapter 2: General methodology

Chapter 3: Rates of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma development among teachers and California Women

Chapter 4: The risk of incident breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma according to the occupational characteristics of teachers

Chapter 5: The risk of incident breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma according to the medication intake of teachers

Chapter 6: Conclusions

## CHAPTER 2

## Methods

### 2.1. Study population

The California Teachers Study (CTS) is an ongoing prospective cohort study that consists entirely of California female employees of the educational services sector (NAICS: 61). In order to be eligible for the CTS cohort, participants must have been active members of California Teachers Retirement System (STRS) in 1995 and at least actively employed in the CA school district for one full year. The STRS is a system established for public school teachers and administrators to receive retirement benefits and requires continual retirement contributions to remain actively enrolled with the program. Moreover, the STRS serves a representative sample of California school employees through its exhaustive coverage of educational institutions statewide. Specifically, members of the STRS are school employees found across 1,160 public school districts, community college districts, county offices of education, and statewide reporting agencies of California. In addition to active STRS membership and employment of at least one year, consent to participate in the CTS cohort further required completing the detailed 16-page mailed baseline questionnaire administered in 1995, which yielded the final CTS cohort consisting of 133,479 female public school teachers and administrators, aged 22-104 years old at enrollment. The establishment of the CTS cohort is as shown in Figure 2.1.


Figure 2.1: Flowchart of study selection process in the California Teachers Study cohort
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Teachers were excluded if they were not professional public school employees that were active members of STRS in 1995 with at least one full year of service in California schools, were not recently employed in the school system (within the past 72 months) or were not retired.
${ }^{b}$ Teachers were excluded if they did not complete the self-administered questionnaire mailed to the eligible STRS members in Fall 1995.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Mortality and causes of death, including dates, were obtained through the California Department of Public Health Data Statistical Master Files (DSMF) and the National Death Index.

### 2.1.1. Occupational characteristics and medication intake

Occupational characteristics were determined from teachers' responses to the detailed questionnaire administered at study entry. Specifically, teacher type (i.e. preschool, elementary school, junior high school, senior high school, administrator, pupil services, other/multiple roles), number of schools throughout employment (i.e. 1, 2-3, 4-$5,6-8,9-12,>12$ schools), number of years in the school system (i.e. <1, 1-4, 5-9, 10-$14,15-19, \geq 20$ yrs.), occupational physical activity (i.e. walking or standing at work, $0-$ $\geq 10 \mathrm{hrs}$./day), power lines near school (i.e. No power lines, power lines on poles, power lines on towers, power lines on poles and towers within 1 block of school property), and occupational stress levels (Likert scale, responses range from strongly agree to strongly disagree).

Moreover, the occupational stress measure was constructed according to the widely-used 'Effort-Reward Imbalance’ (ERI) originally developed by Johannes Siegrist for investigating occupational psychosocial factors related to human-health outcomes.

Briefly, the ERI defines occupational stress as an imbalance between perceived
personal costs ("effort") and personal gains ("reward") in the workplace and thus is expressed as a ratio where values close to zero indicate relatively low effort and high reward, and values above 1 indicate relatively high effort and low reward. Per Siegrist J. and colleagues suggestions, this ratio was categorized into a binary variable in which individuals with an ERI $\leq 1$ indicate low-risk stress, where as ERI values $>1$ indicate high-risk stress to allow for comparability across different studies [71].

Medication intake behaviors in the CTS cohort were also recorded at study entry. This information included usage duration (i.e. Not regular, less than 1 year, 1 year, 2 years, 3-4 years 5-9 years, 10+ years) and frequency (i.e. None, 1-3 days/week, 4-6 days/week, everyday) to allow for a comprehensive analysis of medication use with the risk of three incident cancers. Specifically, we will be examining the use of NSAIDs (i.e. aspirin, ibuprofen, acetaminophen- although not classically considered a NSAID), and Anti-Cholesterols (i.e. Statins).

Of note, occupational stress and anti-cholesterol medication use were recorded in the third (i.e. Spring 2000) and fourth follow-up questionnaire (i.e. Fall 2005), respectively, and therefore the available information when examining these exposures was determined from those teachers who responded to those questionnaires and were cancer-free at the time of its completion.

### 2.1.2. Sociodemographic characteristics, and cancer risk factors

In addition to occupational characteristics recorded, there were also numerous lifestyle and non-lifestyle characteristics recorded at study entry. Specifically, the baseline questionnaire collected information on common risk factors for the three
cancers of interest, along with other key demographic characteristics. Information included teacher's race (White, Black, Hispanic, Native American, Asian/Pacific Islander, Other/mixed), menopausal status (pre-, postmenopausal), hormone therapy (HRT) use (no HRT use, past HRT use, current estrogen therapy use, current estrogen progestin therapy use, other), parity status (non-parous, parous), oral contraceptive use (ever, never), age at menarche (y), age at menopause (y), family histories of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, malignant melanoma, and mole removal (no family history, at least one first degree relative, adopted/unknown). Additionally, information included personal histories of mole removal, breast cancer, and endometriosis (yes/no), as well as body mass index (BMI, kg/m²), socioeconomic status (SES), smoking status (never, former, current), alcohol intake (g/day), vitamin D intake (IU/day), vitamin use (non-user, current single vitamin user, current multivitamin user, current single and multivitamin user), recreational physical activity levels (hrs./day), number of sun blisters (never, 1-2, $3-4,5-9,10+$ times) age at first sun blister (years: 5 or less, $6-10,11-15,16-20,21-25$, $26+$ ), skin sensitivity to sun exposure without protection (severe burn and blister, severe burn and no blister, mild burn and tan, no burn and tan only, no burn or tan), and tanning habits from repeated sun exposure (deep tan, moderate tan, light tan, no tan).

### 2.1.3. Ascertainment of cancer incidence, mortality, person-years of follow-up

All Incident cases occurring in the CTS were identified through linkage with the California Cancer Registry (CCR) records, which is a population-based cancer registry for California residents. The state of California reports $>99 \%$ of all cancer diagnoses to
the CCR for current residents, so cohort members are actively followed for cancer outcomes without the need for further contact [72]. The incident cancer data captured all diagnoses for the cancers of interest among participants from 1995 to December 31, 2013. Cancers of interest were defined using ICD-10 codes: invasive breast (C50.050.9), in situ breast (D05.0-05.9), and invasive endometrial (C54.1), invasive malignant melanoma (C43.0-43.9), and in situ malignant melanoma (D03.0-03.9).

Mortality and causes of death, including dates, were obtained through the California Department of Public Health Data Statistical Master Files (DSMF) and the National Death Index. Similar to the CCR, the mortality data captured all deaths occurring among participants of the CTS from 1995 to 2013. In addition, participants who do not currently reside in California were identified through notification from participants, annual mailings, and linkage with other records. Teachers in the CTS cohort were censored if a death occurred or when they moved out of California. Furthermore, person-years of follow-up in the CTS begin from the date teachers completed the baseline questionnaire until diagnosis of cancer, death, a move out of California, or the end of follow-up (December 31, 2013), whichever occurred first.

### 2.2. Analytic cohort selection

Given our interest in the risk of multiple incident cancers, when we examined a given cancer all participants with a diagnosis of that cancer, those who had an unknown prior history of cancer, those who voluntarily withdrew from the CTS, those who did not reside in California at baseline, and those who consented to participate only in breast cancer research (for endometrial cancer and malignant melanoma risk analyses only)
were excluded $\left(n_{\text {Breast }}=15,219\right.$, and $n_{\text {Endometrial }}=11,167, n_{\text {Melanoma }}=11,627$ excluded $)$. Additionally, when we studied incident malignant melanoma, teachers who were not non-Hispanic white were further excluded ( $n=15,733$ excluded), as non-Hispanic whites comprise 87\% of the CTS cohort. Based upon the above-mentioned exclusion criteria, of the 133,479 female teachers and school administrators in the CTS study population-base- we observed the analytic sample numbers for those truly at-risk for the cancers of interest to be as shown in Figure 2.2

FIGURE 2.2: Flowchart of the study selection process of Aim 1 analytic sample

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Exclusion criteria 1 included participants who were diagnosed with the cancer of interest prior to baseline (breast cancer: 6,212 excluded; endometrial cancer: 1,619 excluded; malignant melanoma: 2,079 excluded), those who had an unknown prior history of cancer, those who voluntarily withdrew from the CTS ( $n=1$ excluded), and those who did not reside in California prior to baseline ( $n=8,867$ excluded)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Further exclusion included those teachers who consented to participate only in breast cancer research ( $\mathrm{n}=18$ excluded).
${ }^{\circ}$ For malignant melanoma analyses, additional exclusions included CTS participants who were not non-Hispanic white (i.e. Hispanic, Black, Native American, Asian/Pacific Islander, Other) ( $n=15,733$ excluded).

Given our interest in incident cancer risk among teachers according to their occupational characteristics, further exclusions for Aim 2 included CTS teachers who lacked complete data concerning the occupational characteristics of interest (i.e. teacher type, duration of employment, number of schools throughout employment, occupational stress, occupational physical activity, and power lines near school). Excluding those with missing information for the occupational exposures of interest
helps to ensure the examination of cancer risk among teachers according to occupational characteristics is conducted among a uniform group of teachers which prevents the introduction of any additional biases due to missingness. Therefore, after implementing the necessary exclusion criteria for Aim 2- we observed the following number of teachers to be at-risk for the cancers of interest and have complete occupational characteristic data (Figure 2.3).

FIGURE 2.3: Flowchart of the study selection process of Aim 2 analytic sample

a Exclusion criteria for Aim 2 analyses included further exclusions of CTS teachers who lacked complete data regarding their occupational characteristic information.

For the third aim of our study, we further excluded those teachers who had missing information for the medication intakes of interest. Building upon the exclusions from Aim 1 and 2 analyses ensures the study examines the risk in the most uniform analytic cohort across the three specific aims of this study. Specifically, teachers who lacked complete data for the frequency and duration of aspirin, ibuprofen, acetaminophen, and statin use were further excluded from the Aim 3 analyses (Figure 2.4).

FIGURE 2.4: Flowchart of the study selection process of Aim 3 analytic sample

a Exclusion criteria for Aim 3 analyses included further exclusions of CTS teachers who lacked complete data regarding their medication intake characteristic information.

### 2.3. Summary of statistical analyses

### 2.3.1. Cancer Incidence Rates

Only primary tumors, as defined by ICD-10 codes, diagnosed from 1995-2013 were included in the analysis. Incidence rates were calculated using the first eighteen years of follow-up from date of entry through December 31, 2013. Cancer incidence rates were computed in the overall analytic samples and among occupational characteristic subgroups. Incidence rates were calculated for the following occupational characteristics. Additionally, when applicable, cancer incidence rates according to occupational characteristics were computed among prognostic subgroups of teachers in the CTS (i.e. recreational physical activity, etc.). In this analysis, cancer incidence rates are reported among the following age-specific subgroups: $<50,50-69$, and $\geq 70$ years old. Moreover, incidence rates were age-standardized (to the 2000 US population) and compared to the age-standardized statewide incidence rates of California women from 1995 to 2013 via the California Cancer Registry. Lastly, we calculated rate ratios (RR)
comparing the age-adjusted incidence rates of teachers in the CTS to those for California women, and estimated the $95 \%$ confidence interval for the $\log _{\mathrm{e}} R \mathrm{R}$.

### 2.3.2. Incident cancer risk according to occupational characteristics

 and exposuresMultivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models, using age (in days) as the time metric and stratified by age at baseline (in years), were utilized to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) along with 95\% confidence intervals (95\% Cls) of breast cancer (in situ and invasive), endometrial cancer (invasive), and malignant melanoma (in situ and invasive) according to the occupational characteristics and medication intakes of teachers. The occupational characteristics we examined in relation to risk for these three cancer types were: teacher type, number of school throughout employment, years of employment in school system, occupational physical activity, power lines near school, and occupational stress. Furthermore, the medication intake exposures we examined were: aspirin, ibuprofen, acetaminophen, statins. As with the calculation of cancer incidence rates, all primary incident cases occurring after study entry and prior to the end of study follow-up (December 31, 2013) were included in this analysis. The proportional hazard assumption was assessed through modeling interaction terms of follow-up time with each of the occupational characteristics and medication intakes of interest. The cancer-specific cox regression models contained distinct adjustments in regards to specific confounders for each cancer type and all relevant potential confounders were determined a priori. The final multivariable models for each cancer type were constructed based on the evidence for parsimoniousness (i.e. lower AIC and

BIC values, Higher LRT and F-statistic values) as determined through a stepwise model-selection process (critical value $(\alpha) \leq 0.05$ ).

In addition, secondary effect modification analyses were conducted through modeling multiplicative interaction terms and models stratified by potential effect modifier (i.e. recreational physical activity, BMI, etc.) to better explain associations observed in the overall study population. When necessary, Wald's test for trend were carried out across the semi-quantitative occupational characteristics and medication intakes by using an ordinal variable coded as the median value of the characteristic category. All statistical tests were 2-sided and conducted with SAS software (version 9.4; SAS Institute). Each statistical method used for each specific aim will be further discussed in subsequent chapters: Chapters 3, 4, \& 5.

## CHAPTER 3

## Rates of Breast Cancer, Endometrial Cancer, and Malignant Melanoma Development Among Teachers and California Women

### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

Teachers as an occupational group have been shown to be at an increased risk for developing the most common cancers, including breast and melanoma, relative to the general population [2-5]. Specifically, female teachers were shown to have an elevated risk of breast cancer (67\% higher), endometrial cancer (72\% higher), and malignant melanoma (59\% higher) than the general population (based on US racespecific statewide incidence rates) [2]. Similar findings have been reported for teachers worldwide [6-12]. Moreover, past studies have reported female teachers to have notably higher rates of mortality from malignant melanoma (MM), breast, and endometrial cancers compared to the general population [73-74]. Although findings suggest teachers have uniquely higher risks of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma, no definitive evidence regarding teacher-specific occupational risk factors driving this higher risk in cancer incidence have been established.

The longitudinal California Teachers Study (CTS) has provided an ideal study population to examine associations among professional school employees (i.e. teachers, administrators.) to the risk of developing various cancers [42-43, 75-76]. Yet, these past investigations into cancer risk of teachers in the CTS have been more focused on lifestyle exposures (i.e. diet, BMI, etc.), and have not addressed the relative incidence of teachers as a group according to their occupational characteristics (i.e. teacher type, length of employment, occupational physical activity, etc.).

With the reported higher cancer incidence rates among teachers, research addressing occupational characteristics and risk of various incident cancers in teachers has the potential to lower this rate by improving recommendations, policy, and advocacy. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to compare the rate of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma development according to the occupational characteristics among teachers of the large prospective California Teachers Study to the rates observed in the general population of California women.

### 3.2 METHODS

A detailed description of the California Teachers Study (CTS) population, selection process of the analytic cohort, and assessment of teacher's occupational characteristics can be found in Chapter 2.

### 3.2.1 Cancer incidence rates

Of 133,479 female teachers and school administrators in the CTS study population, $118,260,122,312$, and 106,119 teachers were found to be truly at-risk of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma at study entry, respectively. Cancer diagnoses of interest among participants were identified through annual linkage with the CCR. Only primary tumors, as defined by ICD-10 codes, diagnosed from 1995-2013 were included in the analysis. Incidence rates were calculated using the first eighteen years of follow-up from date of entry through December 31, 2013. Person-months of follow-up were accrued from the date of receipt for the completed baseline questionnaire until the date of cancer diagnosis, death, move out of California, or end of study follow-up (i.e. December 31, 2013), whichever occurs first.

Cancer incidence rates were computed in the overall analytic samples and among occupational characteristic subgroups. Incidence rates were calculated for the following occupational characteristics: teacher type (multiple subjects, single subject, pupil services, administrator, multiple roles/other), number of school throughout employment (schools: 1, 2-3, $\geq 4$ ), years of employment in school system (years: $<10$, $10-19, \geq 20$ ), occupational physical activity (hours/day: none, $\leq 1,2-6, \geq 7$ ), power lines near school (no, yes, unsure), and occupational stress (stress level: low-risk, moderaterisk, high-risk). Additionally, when applicable, cancer incidence rates according to occupational characteristics were computed among prognostic subgroups of teachers in the CTS (i.e. recreational physical activity, BMI, etc.).

### 3.2.1.1 Age-Specific and age-standardized incidence rates

The above-mentioned CTS cancer incidence rates are reported as age-specific incidence rates (ASIR) among the following age-specific subgroups: $<50,50-69$, and $\geq 70$ years old. Moreover, incidence rates were age-standardized (to the 2000 US population) and compared to the age-standardized statewide incidence rates of California women from 1995 to 2013 via the California Cancer Registry. Lastly, we calculated rate ratios $(R R)$ comparing the age-standardized incidence rates of teachers in the CTS to those for California women, and estimated the 95\% confidence interval for the $\log _{e} R R$.

### 3.3 RESULTS

### 3.3.1 Age-standardized incidence rates in the CTS study cohort

Table 3.1 shows the average annual age-adjusted incidence rates (standardized to the 2000 US population) for invasive and in situ breast cancer among eligible CTS
participants overall and according to their occupational characteristics. The maximum length of follow-up since study entry was 218 months (1995-2013). Throughout the entire study follow-up, 5,962 and 1,440 teachers were diagnosed with invasive and in situ breast cancer, respectively. These incidence rates were compared to those for women age 20 years or older in California for the years 1995 through 2013. We observed the age-standardized breast cancer incidence rates for teachers in the CTS to be 155.3 and 38.0 per 100,000 woman-years for invasive and in situ tumor types, respectively. The results align with findings from previous reports of high rates of breast cancer among teachers, with relative excess risk in the CTS of $23 \%$ and $40 \%$ for invasive and in situ cancer types, respectively, compared to the general female population of California. The age-adjusted invasive breast cancer incidence rate for CTS teachers sitting throughout the entire work day, 176.4 per 100,000 woman-years, was $40 \%$ higher than that of comparable California women. The rate of in situ breast cancer was substantially elevated for those working in pupil services (RR 1.67, 95\% CI: 1.34-2.11) and multiple roles (RR $1.49,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.30-1.74$ ) relative to California women. Moreover, the relative excess incidence of in situ breast cancer was similarly large for those teachers employed for twenty years or more (59\% higher), and those teachers standing or walking at work for one hour or less per day (64\% higher).

Results from the secondary stratified analyses provided evidence the rate of invasive breast cancer development for decreased occupational physical activity levels was not attenuated by higher levels of recreational physical activity among teachers (recreational physical activity of $<1.5$ hrs./wk.: $41 \%$ higher, recreational physical activity of $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{hrs} . / \mathrm{wk} .: 43 \%$ higher), nor lower levels of BMI of among the eligible CTS
teachers (RR among $\mathrm{BMI}<25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ teachers: $1.43,95 \% \mathrm{CI} 1.32-1.55$ ) (Table 3.2). As expected, the rate of invasive breast cancer among premenopausal teachers was not elevated by lower levels of occupational physical activity (RR $0.97,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.71-1.32$ ).

|  | Invasive Breast Cancer <br> AAIR ( $n$ cases) | In Situ Breast Cancer AAIR ( $n$ cases) | Invasive BrCa <br> Rate Ratio (95\% CI) | In Situ BrCa <br> Rate Ratio (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA Women 1995-2013 (CCR) | 126.3 | 27.2 | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
| CTS teachers overall: Characteristic: | $155.3(5,962)$ | $38.0(1,440)$ | 1.23 (1.19-1.26) | 1.40 (1.33-1.47) |
| Teacher type: |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple subject teachers | $154.7(3,007)$ | 36.7 (719) | 1.22 (1.18-1.27) | 1.35 (1.26-1.46) |
| Single subject teachers | $156.5(1,541)$ | 38.7 (373) | 1.24 (1.18-1.31) | 1.42 (1.30-1.59) |
| Pupil services | 142.1 (249) | 45.4 (75) | 1.12 (0.99-1.27) | 1.67 (1.34-2.11) |
| Administrators | 157.9 (201) | 35.8 (43) | 1.25 (1.08-1.44) | 1.32 (0.99-1.80) |
| Multiple roles, other | 151 (777) | 40.4 (188) | 1.20 (1.11-1.28) | 1.49 (1.30-1.74) |
| Number of schools throughout employment: |  |  |  |  |
| 1 school | 151.6 (854) | 37.8 (214) | 1.20 (1.12-1.28) | 1.39 (1.22-1.59) |
| 2-3 schools | $153(2,349)$ | 36.7 (565) | 1.21 (1.16-1.26) | 1.35 (1.24-1.47) |
| $\geq 4$ schools | $156.7(2,572)$ | 39.2 (619) | 1.24 (1.20-1.29) | 1.44 (1.33-1.56) |
| Years of employment in school system: |  |  |  |  |
| < 10 yrs. | 146.8 (938) | 36.8 (265) | 1.16 (1.10-1.25) | 1.35 (1.20-1.53) |
| 10-19 yrs. | $155.2(1,731)$ | 36.0 (420) | 1.23 (1.17-1.29) | 1.32 (1.20-1.46) |
| $\geq 20 \mathrm{yrs}$. | $148.8(3,106)$ | 43.3 (713) | 1.18 (1.14-1.22) | 1.59 (1.48-1.72) |
| Occupational physical activity: |  |  |  |  |
| none | $176.4(1,036)$ | 41.4 (200) | 1.40 (1.31-1.49) | 1.52 (1.33-1.75) |
| $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day | 161.5 (796) | 44.7 (197) | 1.28 (1.19-1.37) | 1.64 (1.43-1.89) |
| 2-6 hrs./day | $149(3,254)$ | 37.4 (827) | 1.18 (1.14-1.22) | 1.38 (1.29-1.47) |
| $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 151.7 (689) | 34.7 (174) | 1.20 (1.11-1.29) | 1.28 (1.10-1.48) |
| Power lines near school: |  |  |  |  |
| No power lines present | 157.1 (769) | 37.1 (195) | 1.24 (1.16-1.34) | 1.37 (1.19-1.57) |
| Power lines present | $153.8(3,520)$ | 37.7 (871) | 1.22 (1.18-1.26) | 1.39 (1.30-1.48) |
| Don't Know | $157.6(1,486)$ | 39.5 (332) | 1.25 (1.19-1.31) | 1.45 (1.31-1.62) |
| Occupational stress ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| Low Stress | 154.8 (310) | 45.4 (90) | 1.23 (1.10-1.37) | 1.67 (1.36-2.06) |
| Moderate Stress | 156.9 (908) | 39.0 (228) | 1.24 (1.16-1.33) | 1.43 (1.26-1.63) |
| High Stress | $166.6(2,573)$ | 39.5 (627) | 1.32 (1.27-1.37) | 1.45 (1.34-1.57) |
| Rates calculated using the US 2000 Standard population age distribution, AAIR depicted as rate per 100,000 woman-years. <br> Age distribution for eligible CTS population ranges from 22-104 y. <br> ${ }^{1}$ Rates computed only among those respondents of the third follow-up questionnaire (Spring 2000) who had no prior breast cancer diagnosis ( $n=67,934$ ). |  |  |  |  |


| CTS teachers overall: |  | Occupational physical activity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 1 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day | none |
|  | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | $149.9(3,943)$ | 161.5 (796) | $176.4(1,036)$ |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 1.19 (1.15-1.22) | 1.28 (1.19-1.37) | 1.40 (1.31-1.49) |
| Recreational physical activity: |  |  |  |  |
| <1.5 hrs./wk. | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | $150.1(1,827)$ | 170.5 (404) | 178 (471) |
|  | RR ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ) | 1.19 (1.14-1.24) | 1.35 (1.22-1.49) | 1.41 (1.29-1.54) |
| $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{hrs}$./wk. | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | $149.8(2,116)$ | 150.4 (392) | 180.8 (565) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 1.19 (1.14-1.24) | 1.19 (1.08-1.31) | 1.43 (1.32-1.55) |
| BMI ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| < Overweight | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | $147.3(2,359)$ | 159.2 (456) | 181.1 (597) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 1.17 (1.12-1.21) | 1.26 (1.15-1.38) | 1.43 (1.32-1.55) |
| $\geq$ Overweight | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | $153.1(1,584)$ | 165.6 (340) | 159.3 (439) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 1.21 (1.15-1.27) | 1.31 (1.18-1.46) | 1.26 (1.15-1.38) |
| Menopausal status: |  |  |  |  |
| Premenopausal | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | $114.0(1,220)$ | 124.6 (220) | 122.1 (39) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 0.90 (0.85-0.95) | 0.99 (0.86-1.13) | 0.97 (0.71-1.32) |
| Postmenopausal | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | $150.7(2,723)$ | 127.4 (576) | 158.8 (997) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 1.19 (1.15-1.24) | 1.01 (0.92-1.09) | 1.26 (1.18-1.34) |
| ${ }^{1}$ Rates calculated using the US 2000 Standard population age distribution, age-adjusted incidence rates (AAIR) depicted as rate per 100,000. Rate ratio (RR) compares the age-adjusted incidence rate of CTS teachers to the rate of California women from 1995-2013 (AAIR-California Women= 126.3 per 100,000 woman-years). <br> ${ }^{2}$ Overweight as defined by clinical BMI cutpoints, < Overweight: $<25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $\geq$ Overweight: $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.3 shows the comparison between average annual incidence rates for endometrial cancer among CTS teachers and California women. Throughout the followup period, 1,400 incident endometrial cancer cases were identified among teachers in the study cohort. The average annual incidence rate of endometrial cancer among teachers was observed to be 27.3 per 100,000 woman-years. In relation of teacher's risk to the general population, we found the rate of endometrial cancer development among teachers to be $21 \%$ higher than that of comparable California women (RR 1.21, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 1.15-1.27$ ). This relative excess in endometrial cancer was not limited to teachers overall, but was notably higher when examining their risk according to occupational characteristics. The age-adjusted incidence rate of those CTS teachers standing or walking at work for one hour or less per day, 29.7 per 100,000 womanyears, was substantially elevated than expected based on the statewide rate after eighteen years of follow-up (RR $1.32,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : 1.20-1.45). Additionally, the endometrial cancer incidence rate for teachers in the CTS with multiple roles was $31 \%$ greater than that of California women (RR 1.31, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.14-1.50$ ).

Table 3.4 shows the average annual incidence rates for invasive endometrial cancer according to occupational physical activity levels among teacher subgroups of the CTS. In the overall analytic sample, we observed the age-adjusted incidence rate for teachers with $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day of occupational physical activity to be $32 \%$ higher than the average-annual rate among general California women (RR 1.32, 95\% CI: 1.20-1.45). Upon further analyses, the relative excess incidence for minimal occupational physical activity was notably exacerbated among teachers with greater recreational physical
activity levels (i.e. $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{hrs} . / \mathrm{wk}$.). Specifically, the age-adjusted incidence rate among teachers of the CTS with greater recreational physical activity levels and $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day of occupational physical activity, 32.1 per 100,000 woman-years, was $42 \%$ higher than that of comparable California women (RR 1.42, 95\% 1.25-1.62). Conversely, the rate according to occupational physical activity levels was not monotonically inverse among teachers with lower levels of recreational physical activity (occupational physical activity: $>1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day: $28 \%$ higher vs. $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day: $23 \%$ higher). Additionally, this elevated rate of invasive endometrial cancer for sedentary occupational practices of teachers compared to the general population was limited to teachers with BMIs $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ (i.e. overweight and obese) (RR among $\geq$ overweight teachers: $1.75,95 \%: 1.53-2.00$ ). While the risk among normal and underweight teachers did not significantly differ from the general female population of California according to their occupational physical activity levels (RR among < overweight teachers: $1.07,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 0.94-1.22$ ).

Table 3.3- Average annual age-adjusted endometrial cancer incidence rates among members of CTS cohort and CA women, 1995-2013 ( $N=122,312$ )

|  | Invasive Endometrial Cancer |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AAIR (n Cases) | Rate Ratio (95\% CI) |
| CA Women 1995-2013 (CCR) | 22.6 | 1.00 (ref.) |
| CTS teachers overall: Characteristic: | $27.3(1,400)$ | 1.21 (1.15-1.27) |
| Teacher type: |  |  |
| Multiple subject teachers | 26.7 (688) | 1.18 (1.09-1.27) |
| Single subject teachers | 28.4 (368) | 1.26 (1.14-1.40) |
| Pupil services | 24.7 (57) | 1.10 (0.84-1.42) |
| Administrators | 16.2 (30) | 0.72 (0.51-1.03) |
| Multiple roles, other | 29.6 (208) | 1.31 (1.14-1.50) |

Number of schools throughout employment:

| 1 school | $28.1(204)$ | $1.25(1.08-1.43)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $2-3$ schools | $28.1(568)$ | $1.25(1.15-1.35)$ |
| $\geq 4$ schools | $26.1(579)$ | $1.16(1.07-1.25)$ |
| Years of employment in school system: |  |  |
| $<10$ yrs. | $25.6(189)$ | $1.14(0.98-1.31)$ |
| $10-19$ yrs. | $26.0(389)$ | $1.15(1.04-1.27)$ |
| $\geq 20$ yrs. | $26.7(773)$ | $1.19(1.11-1.27)$ |

## Occupational physical activity:

| $\leq 1$ hr./day | $29.7(439)$ | $1.32(1.20-1.45)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2-6$ hrs./day | $27.5(788)$ | $1.22(1.14-1.31)$ |
| $\geq 7$ hrs./day | $21.0(124)$ | $0.93(0.78-1.11)$ |
| Power lines near school: |  |  |
| No power lines present | $29.9(193)$ | $1.33(1.15-1.53)$ |
| Power lines present | $27.1(820)$ | $1.20(1.12-1.29)$ |
| Don't Know | $25.4(338)$ | $1.13(1.01-1.25)$ |

Occupational stress ${ }^{1}$ :

| Low Stress | $32.5(86)$ | $1.44(1.17-1.78)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Moderate Stress | $25.7(211)$ | $1.14(0.99-1.30)$ |
| High Stress | $26.5(557)$ | $1.17(1.08-1.28)$ |

Rates calculated using the US 2000 Standard population age distribution, AAIR depicted as rate per 100,000 woman-years.
Age distribution for eligible CTS population ranges from 22-104 y .
${ }^{1}$ Rate computed for those respondents of the third follow-up questionnaire (Spring 2000) who had no prior endometrial cancer diagnosis ( $n=70,284$ ).

|  |  | Occupational physical activity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 1 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day |
| CTS teachers overall: | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | 26.3 (912) | 29.7 (439) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 1.17 (1.09-1.24) | 1.32 (1.20-1.45) |
| Recreational physical activity: |  |  |  |
| <1.5 hrs./wk. | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | 28.9 (454) | 27.7 (204) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 1.28 (1.17-1.41) | 1.23 (1.07-1.41) |
| $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{hrs}$./wk. | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | 24.1 (458) | 32.1 (235) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 1.07 (0.98-1.17) | 1.42 (1.25-1.62) |
| BMI ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |  |  |  |
| < Overweight | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | 20.7 (437) | 24.2 (226) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 0.92 (0.83-1.01) | 1.07 (0.94-1.22) |
| $\geq$ Overweight | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | 35.8 (475) | 39.4 (213) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 1.59 (1.45-1.74) | 1.75 (1.53-2.00) |
| ${ }^{1}$ Rates calculated using the US 2000 Standard population age distribution, age-adjusted incidence rates (AAIR) depicted as rate per 100,000. Rate ratio (RR) compares the age-adjusted incidence rate of CTS teachers to the rate of California women from 1995-2013 (AAIR-California Women= 126.3 per 100,000 woman-years). <br> ${ }^{2}$ Overweight as defined by clinical BMI cutpoints, < Overweight: $<25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $\geq$ Overweight: $\geq 25$ $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. |  |  |  |

The average annual incidence rate of malignant melanoma in CTS teachers and California women are shown in Table 3.5. In total, 1,015 and 904 teachers developed invasive and in situ melanoma throughout follow-up, respectively. The age-adjusted incidence rate of malignant melanoma (MM) in teachers of the CTS was observed to be 33.6 and 26.1 per 100,000 woman-years for invasive and in situ tumor types, respectively. These rates of MM in the CTS show teachers as having substantially higher risk of MM (invasive: $44 \%$ higher; in situ: $82 \%$ higher) when compared to average annual rates of non-Hispanic white California women. Most notably, the rate of invasive MM among CTS teachers who stand or walk at work for one hour or less per day was double that of comparable California women (RR 2.00, 95\% CI: 1.79-2.23) and monotonic in trend. Moreover, we observed substantially elevated invasive MM risk among teachers in the CTS with multiple roles (2.05-fold higher), and two to three schools throughout employment (1.65-fold higher) relative to the risk of comparable California women. The rates of in situ MM in single-subject teachers (i.e. junior high, high school) were $96 \%$ higher than would be expected based on the statewide rate after eighteen years of follow-up.

The examination of the rate of invasive MM among teachers according to subgroups defined by recreational physical activity and BMI levels are shown in Table 3.6. In the overall analytic sample, minimal occupational physical activity (i.e. $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day) was observed to elevate the risk of invasive MM development by 2-fold than would be expected based on race-specific statewide incidence rates after eighteen years of follow-up. Notably, the relative excess of invasive malignant melanoma for minimal
occupational physical activity was substantially exacerbated among teachers of the CTS with lower levels of recreational physical activity (2.44-fold higher). Additionally, we observed lesser but still elevated rates of malignant melanoma development for minimal occupational physical activity among teachers with greater levels of recreational physical activity (RR for occupational physical activity of $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day: $1.53,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $1.32-$ 1.77). Moreover, the rate of invasive malignant melanoma for decreased occupational physical activity levels was more pronounced among teachers who are not overweight (i.e. $<25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) in which the age-standardized incidence rate for $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day of occupational physical activity corresponded with a 2.14-fold increase when compared to general California women (RR 2.14, 95\% CI: 1.86-2.46). The rate of invasive malignant melanoma development among overweight and obese teachers was observed to be lesser but still elevated than comparable California women (RR for occupational physical activity of $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day among normal and underweight teachers: $1.72,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ : 1.44-2.04).

Table 3.5- Average annual age-adjusted malignant melanoma incidence rates among members of CTS cohort and CA women, 1995-2013 ( $N=106,119$ )

|  |  |  | Invasive <br> Melanoma <br> Rate Ratio $(95 \% \mathrm{Cl})$ | In Situ Melanoma Rate Ratio $(95 \% \mathrm{Cl})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CA Women 1995-2013 (CCR) | 23.3 | 14.3 | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
| CTS teachers overall: | $33.6(1,048)$ | 26.1 (932) | 1.44 (1.35-1.53) | 1.82 (1.71-1.95) |
| Characteristic: |  |  |  |  |
| Teacher type: |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple subject teachers | 34.0 (560) | 24.8 (464) | 1.46 (1.34-1.58) | 1.73 (1.58-1.90) |
| Single subject teachers | 30.9 (257) | 28.1 (236) | 1.33 (1.17-1.50) | 1.96 (1.73-2.23) |
| Pupil services | 29.4 (42) | 24.3 (45) | 1.26 (0.93-1.71) | 1.69 (1.27-2.27) |
| Administrators | 28.3 (40) | 24.5 (29) | 1.21 (0.89-1.65) | 1.71 (1.19-2.46) |
| Multiple roles, other | 47.8 (116) | 26.0 (130) | 2.05 (1.71-2.46) | 1.82 (1.53-2.16) |
| Number of schools throughout employment: |  |  |  |  |
| 1 school | 29.7 (152) | 27.7 (144) | 1.27 (1.09-1.49) | 1.93 (1.64-2.28) |
| 2-3 schools | 38.5 (449) | 26.0 (394) | 1.65 (1.50-1.81) | 1.82 (1.64-2.01) |
| $\geq 4$ schools | 26.4 (414) | 25.4 (366) | 1.13 (1.03-1.25) | 1.77 (1.60-1.97) |
| Years of employment in school system: |  |  |  |  |
| < 10 yrs . | 34.5 (225) | 25.5 (173) | 1.48 (1.30-1.69) | 1.78 (1.53-2.07) |
| 10-19 yrs. | 33.1 (292) | 23.7 (280) | 1.42 (1.27-1.59) | 1.66 (1.47-1.86) |
| $\geq 20 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 21.6 (498) | 24.4 (451) | 0.93 (0.85-1.01) | 1.70 (1.55-1.87) |
| Occupational physical activity: |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day | 46.6 (328) | 21.7 (241) | 2.00 (1.79-2.23) | 1.52 (1.33-1.72) |
| 2-6 hrs./day | 33.1 (589) | 26.8 (558) | 1.42 (1.31-1.54) | 1.87 (1.72-2.04) |
| $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 26.1 (98) | 26.4 (105) | 1.12 (0.92-1.36) | 1.84 (1.52-2.23) |
| Power lines near school: |  |  |  |  |
| No power lines present | 32.4 (150) | 25.3 (130) | 1.39 (1.18-1.63) | 1.77 (1.49-2.10) |
| Power lines present | 33.3 (625) | 25.9 (548) | 1.43 (1.32-1.55) | 1.81 (1.66-1.97) |
| Don't Know | 34.9 (240) | 25.8 (226) | 1.50 (1.32-1.70) | 1.80 (1.58-2.05) |
| Occupational stress ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| High-risk | 22.8 (373) | 20.4 (139) | 0.98 (0.88-1.08) | 1.42 (1.21-1.68) |
| Low-risk | 21.8 (173) | 16.4 (370) | 0.93 (0.81-1.09) | 1.15 (1.03-1.27) |

Rates calculated using the US 2000 Standard population age distribution, AAIR depicted as rate per 100,000 woman-years.
Age distribution for eligible CTS population ranges from 22-104 y.
${ }^{1}$ Rate computed for those respondents of the third follow-up questionnaire (Spring 2000) who had no prior malignant melanoma diagnosis ( $n=62,328$ ).

| CTS teachers overall |  | Occupational physical activity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 2-6 hrs./day | $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day |
|  | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | 26.1 (98) | 33.1 (589) | 46.6 (328) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 1.12 (0.92-1.36) | 1.42 (1.31-1.54) | 2.00 (1.79-2.23) |
| Recreational physical activity: |  |  |  |  |
| < 1.5 hrs ./wk. | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | 22.9 (40) | 27.7 (226) | 57.0 (151) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 0.98 (0.72-1.34) | 1.19 (1.04-1.35) | 2.44 (2.08-2.87) |
| $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{hrs}$./wk. | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | 28.2 (58) | 37.6 (363) | 35.7 (177) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 1.21 (0.93-1.56) | 1.61 (1.45-1.79) | 1.53 (1.32-1.77) |
| BMI ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| < Overweight | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | 28.4 (65) | 34.4 (375) | 49.9 (197) |
|  | RR ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ) | 1.22 (0.95-1.55) | 1.48 (1.33-1.63) | 2.14 (1.86-2.46) |
| $\geq$ Overweight | AAIR ( $n$ cases) | 20.0 (33) | 29.9 (214) | 40.0 (131) |
|  | RR (95\% CI) | 0.86 (0.61-1.21) | 1.28 (1.12-1.47) | 1.72 (1.44-2.04) |

${ }^{1}$ Rates calculated using the US 2000 Standard population age distribution, age-adjusted incidence rates (AAIR) depicted as rate per 100,000 woman-years. Rate ratio (RR) compares the age-adjusted incidence rate of CTS teachers to the rate of California women from 1995-2013 (AAIR Invasive $=23.3$, AAIR In Situ $=14.3$ ).
${ }^{2}$ Overweight as defined by clinical BMI cut-points, $<$ Overweight: $<25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $\geq$ Overweight: $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$.

### 3.3.2 Overall age-specific incidence rates in the CTS study cohort

The relative excess cancer incidence among CTS teachers compared to the general female population of California can be seen across all age groups in Figures 3.1A-E. The age-specific incidence rate of invasive breast cancer was observed to be $131.9,411.2$, and 531.9 cases per 100,000 woman-years for teachers aged $<50 \mathrm{y}, 50-$ 69 y , and $\geq 70 \mathrm{y}$; respectively. These observed rates were higher than the rates of comparable California women across all age groups (Figure 3.1A). Similarly, in situ breast cancer risk was notably higher among teachers of the CTS when compared to the age-specific incidence rates of general California women (CTS vs. CA ASIRs per $100,000 \mathrm{w}-\mathrm{y},<50 \mathrm{y}: 39.2$ vs. $15.0 ; 50-69$ y: 104.4 vs. $73.2 ; \geq 70$ y: 109.4 vs. 69.8 )
(Figure 3.1B). Moreover, the rate of endometrial cancers among teachers across the various age groups was observed to be higher than the rates among comparable CA women (CTS vs. CA ASIRs per 100,000 w-y, <50 y:10.2 vs. 7.6; 50-69 y: 82.0 vs. 65.5 ; $\geq 70$ y: 115.4 vs. 76.6 ) (Figure 3.1 C). Lastly, we observed a similar relative excess incidence of malignant melanoma (invasive and in situ tumor types) among teachers of the CTS when compared to the statewide age-specific incidence rates from 1995-2013 (Figures 3.1D-E).

Figure 3.1A: Age-specific invasive breast cancer incidence rates among teachers and California women
Invasive Breast Cancer


Rates calculated among those women $\geq 20 \mathrm{y}$ in the CTS and California for the years 1995 through 2013.

Figure 3.1B: Age-specific in situ breast cancer incidence rates among teachers and California women
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Rates calculated among those women $\geq 20 \mathrm{y}$ in the CTS and California for the years 1995 through 2013.

Figure 3.1C: Age-specific invasive endometrial cancer incidence rates among teachers and California women


Rates calculated among those women $\geq 20 \mathrm{y}$ in the CTS and California for the years 1995 through 2013.

Figure 3.1D: Age-specific invasive malignant melanoma incidence rates among teachers and California women


Rates calculated among those women $\geq 20 \mathrm{y}$ in the CTS and California for the years 1995 through 2013.

Figure 3.1E: Age-specific in situ malignant melanoma incidence rates among teachers and California women
In Situ Malignant Melanoma
An
Rates calculated among those women $\geq 20 \mathrm{y}$ in the CTS and California for the years 1995 through 2013.

### 3.3.3 Age-specific incidence rates of CTS teachers according to occupational characteristics

We observed notable differences in the age-specific cancer incidence rates among teachers who have certain distinct occupational characteristics. The relative cancer incidence of teachers with these occupational characteristics are shown in Figures 3.2A-E. The relative excess incidence of invasive breast cancer among administrators, teachers employed $\geq 20 \mathrm{y}$, teachers with $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day of occupational physical activity, and teachers with high occupational stress compared to the overall CTS study population is apparent across all age groups. Similarly, the rate of in situ breast cancer among teachers in the CTS was subtly elevated for those working in pupil services, $\geq 4$ schools throughout employment, $\geq 20$ years throughout employment, and $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day of occupational physical activity when compared to rates observed in the overall study population. Moreover, teachers in the CTS with multiple roles, $\geq 20$ y of employment, and $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day of occupational physical activity had higher rates of endometrial cancer development across all age groups compared to all CTS teachers. The risk of malignant melanoma among teachers was also observed to notably differ according to their occupational characteristics. Specifically, CTS teachers with $\leq 1$ hr./day of occupational physical activity, and administrators had an excess rate of invasive MM compared to the rate of all CTS teachers. The relative excess risk of in situ MM tumor types in the CTS was similarly observed for administrators, and multiple role teachers as well. Conversely, we observed a decreased rate of in situ MM among those teachers with minimal occupational physical activity and low occupational stress compared the overall CTS population.

Figure 3.2A- Age-specific invasive breast cancer incidence rates according to the occupational characteristics of CTS teachers


Occupational PA: Occupational Physical activity, hours per day standing or walking at work.
Rates calculated among those women $\geq 20$ y in the CTS and California for the years 1995 through 2013.

Figure 3.2B- Age-specific in situ breast cancer incidence rates according to the occupational characteristics of CTS teachers
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Occupational PA: Occupational Physical activity, hours per day standing or walking at work
Rates calculated among those women $\geq 20$ y in the CTS and California for the years 1995 through 2013.

Figure 3.2C- Age-specific endometrial cancer incidence rates according to the occupational characteristics of CTS teachers


Occupational PA: Occupational Physical activity, hours per day standing or walking at work.
Rates calculated among those women $\geq 20$ y in the CTS and California for the 1995 through 2013.

Figure 3.2D- Age-specific invasive malignant melanoma incidence rates according to the occupational characteristics of CTS teachers


Occupational PA: Occupational Physical activity, hours per day standing or walking at work.
Rates calculated among those women $\geq 20$ y in the CTS and California for the years 1995 through 2013.

Figure 3.2E- Age-specific in situ malignant melanoma incidence rates according to the occupational characteristics of CTS teachers


Occupational PA: Occupational Physical activity, hours per day standing or walking at work.
Rates calculated among those women $\geq 20$ y in the CTS and California for the years 1995 through 2013.

### 3.4 DISCUSSION

Throughout eighteen years of follow-up in the CTS, we observed California female teachers to have uniquely higher risks for incident breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma when compared to the general female population of California. Specifically, we observed the greatest relative excess risk for malignant melanoma, followed by breast and endometrial cancers among teachers. Additionally, the excess rates of cancer incidence were notably higher among teachers in the CTS who exhibit several distinct occupational characteristics.

These results regarding the cancer risk of teachers in this study align with previous research that examined cancer incidence of teachers in the United States [2-5] and worldwide [6-12]. An earlier investigation into the CTS found the rate of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma to be substantially elevated than would be expected based on the statewide rate after three years of follow-up (19951998). Specifically, Bernstein, et al. observed a significantly elevated rate of breast cancer (invasive: $51 \%$ higher, in situ: $67 \%$ higher), endometrial cancer ( $72 \%$ ), and malignant melanoma (59\%) among teachers compared to California women [2]. Additionally, a study of cancer incidence consisting of 15 million people from five Nordic countries found substantially elevated risks of breast cancer (SIR 1.22, 95\% CI 1.201.24), endometrial cancer (SIR 1.11, 95\%CI: 1.07-1.15), and malignant melanoma (SIR $1.35,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.29-1.41$ ) among teachers compared to the general population [6]. Furthermore, studies into women of Shanghai, China have continually shown elevated rates of breast cancer associated with the occupation of teaching, although nonsignificant which may be partly accounted to the limited number cases thus precluding
strong statistical inference [8-9]. The overall trend in results from these past studies are consistent with the excess risk of cancer among teachers observed in this study.

The excess cancer risk was not solely observed in the overall study population of teachers in the CTS, but we also identified several distinct occupational characteristics associated with notably higher rates of cancer development when compared to California women. In brief, the occupational characteristics related to notably higher rates of cancer development among teachers in this study were employment position, duration of employment, and occupational physical activity levels. We observed teachers in the CTS with a multiple role employment position to have substantially higher rates of invasive malignant melanoma and endometrial cancer. Additionally, administrators and multiple role teachers had notably higher rates of in situ malignant melanoma and pupil service workers had an elevated rate of in situ breast cancer. Although findings pertaining this topic are sparse $[3,9,77]$ a previous study examining cancer incidence rates of teachers according to employment position found teachers to have significantly higher rates of malignant melanoma, breast, and endometrial cancers. Moreover, "non-teachers" (i.e. administrators and pupil services) were shown to be at a lesser but still elevated risk of breast cancer, and malignant melanoma although nonsignificant which may be accounted to the low number in observed cases [3]. The rate of in situ breast cancer in this study was notably higher among teachers with $\geq 20$ years of employment, while rates among the remaining cancer types did not increase notably according to duration of employment. The overall evidence regarding duration of employment as a teacher and cancer risk is inconsistent due in part to the sparse evidence base [4-5, 8, 11]. Milham and Morgan identified an excess risk of cancer (i.e.
breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma) among teachers with a greater duration of employment compared to risk in the general population [4]. Another investigation into occupational breast cancer risk among French participants found elevated risk estimates among teachers with greater durations of employment ( $\geq 10$ years) compared to teachers with shorter durations, however the limited number of cases in this study precludes strong statistical inference [11]. While these previous studies align more closely with our findings, others have not observed elevated rates of breast cancer with greater durations of employment as a teacher [5, 8].

The strongest consistent relationship we observed between cancer incidence and occupational characteristics in the CTS was with occupational physical activity (PA) levels. Specifically, we observed substantially elevated rates of breast cancer (invasive and in situ), endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma (invasive only) among teachers with lower levels of occupational physical activity, regardless of recreational physical activity levels. As stated earlier, studies examining cancer risk in teachers according to their occupational PA levels are sparse. However, previous studies have examined this relationship among study populations consisting of heterogeneous occupational titles. Specifically, previous studies have reported an inverse association between occupational physical activity and various incident cancer risks, but the evidence informing this topic is inconsistent. Some studies report an inverse association between occupational physical activity and incident MM, breast and endometrial cancer risk [27-30, 32, 78], and others observed no association [23-26, 31]. Although past findings are mixed, higher physical activity levels has a large body of evidence from observational and experimental studies supporting the beneficial effects on pathways
such as oxidative stress and inflammation which are established "hallmarks of cancer" [21-22, 33]. Moreover, this notion is further supported by previous findings regarding the inverse association between incident cancer risk and recreational physical activity [79].

There are several strengths of this study. This is the first study to examine the cancer risk of teachers according to multiple occupational characteristics. Having the ability to identify occupational characteristics linked to notably higher rates of cancer development among teachers is a novel contribution to the evidence base informing this topic. Additionally, the CTS is the largest cohort study of female teachers and school administrators and provides a large active workforce which serves optimally to address the research question in this study. Another strength of this study can be accounted to our ability to calculate incidence rates adjusted for sex and age which allows for comparison the rates observed in a population-base of $\sim 20$ million female California residents. Lastly, given the large sample size and number of cases observed throughout the extensive follow-up period (i.e. 18 years) we were able to generate precise estimates of cancer incidence which improves our ability to make strong statistical inferences.

Limitations in this study include the slight non-representativeness of the CTS study population which consists of $87 \%$ white teachers and $45 \%$ high SES teachers. However, the increased rate of cancer development among teachers has been observed worldwide, regardless of race, and previous studies examining the relationship between SES and cancer risk have not provided any definitive conclusions regarding this matter. Additionally, information beyond race and gender is not available in the California Cancer Registry and thus findings in this study may be partly accounted
for by disparities of health insurance coverage in the state of California. Lastly, the selfreport of occupational characteristic among teachers in the CTS introduces some level of misclassification bias, however this is likely non-differential with respect to disease status and thus would push estimates towards the null.

In conclusion, the analyses of cancer risk among teachers in this study have provided evidence for the uniquely higher risk of developing breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma among teachers compared to the general population. Additionally, the rate across the three cancer types was observed to significantly differ, non-uniformly, according to the distinct occupational characteristics of teachers such as employment position, duration of employment, and number of schools throughout employment. Of note, lower levels of occupational physical activity was associated with elevated incidence rate for the cancers of interest in this study.

## CHAPTER 4

## The Risk of Incident Breast Cancer, Endometrial Cancer, and Malignant Melanoma According to the Occupational Characteristics of Teachers

### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

The incidence of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma among teachers has been previously shown to differ according to their occupational characteristics [3-4, 9, 77]. These past findings align with the growing body of evidence regarding uniquely higher risks of various incident cancers among teachers, as an occupational group, in the United States [2-5] and worldwide [6-12, 77]. The occupational characteristic information available in these past studies was limited in comparison to the information provided by those participants of the California Teachers Study (CTS), the largest cohort study comprised of female school teachers and administrators. Additionally, previous studies have not examined whether the incidence of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, malignant melanoma among teachers differs according to their occupational characteristics. Thus, the investigation of cancer risk among teachers according to their occupational characteristics is warranted in this population.

Indeed, the lack of evidence regarding occupational factors and cancer risk among teachers is partly due to the lack of daily exposures to chemical and mutagenic compounds as seen among industrial and factory workers. However, a study examining cancer incidence rates among teachers compared to the general population found notably higher rates of cancer among teachers with greater durations of employment
[4], and particular teacher types [3, 9, 77]. Additionally, past studies have provided crucial evidence linking occupational stress and physical activity levels to an elevated pro-inflammatory immune response and oxidative stress levels [17, 21], which are established "hallmarks of cancer" [19, 33, 80]. Currently, the studies examining the association between occupational characteristics and incident breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma among teachers are lacking in the literature. Therefore, no definitive conclusions have been established regarding the occupational characteristics driving the higher risks for several incident cancers among those employed in the educational services sector (i.e. teachers).

Given the growing evidence base regarding the uniquely higher risk for various incident cancers among teachers compared to the general population, developing a better understanding of the distinct occupational characteristics associated with higher cancer risk has the potential to improve cancer prevention practices among teachers. To do so, we examined various occupational characteristics in relation to incident breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma risk in female teachers from the large prospective California Teachers Study (CTS).

### 4.2 METHODS

Chapter 2 contains the detailed description of the California Teachers Study (CTS) population, as well as the assessment of teacher's occupational and cancer risk factor characteristics.

Given our interest in incident cancer risk among teachers according to their occupational characteristics, teachers who lacked complete data concerning the occupational characteristics of interest were further excluded from the Aim 1 analytic samples (Figure 4.1).

FIGURE 4.1: Flowchart of the study selection process of Aim 2 analytic sample

a Exclusion criteria for Aim 2 analyses included further exclusions of CTS teachers who lacked complete data regarding their occupational characteristic information.

### 4.2.1 Incident cancer risk according to occupational characteristics

Multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models, using age (in days) as the time metric and stratified by age at baseline (in years), were utilized to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) along with 95\% confidence intervals (95\% Cls) of breast cancer (in situ and invasive), endometrial cancer (invasive), and malignant melanoma (in situ and invasive) according to the occupational characteristics of teachers. The occupational characteristics we examined in relation to risk for these three cancer types were: teacher type (multiple subjects, single subject, pupil services, administrator, multiple roles/other), number of school throughout employment (schools: 1, 2-3, $\geq 4$ ), years of employment in school system (years: $<10,10-19, \geq 20$ ), occupational physical activity (hours per day standing or walking at work: none/ $\leq 1,2-6, \geq 7$ ), power lines near school (no, yes, unsure), occupational stress (stress level: low-risk, moderate-risk stress, highrisk stress). Teachers were followed from the date they completed the baseline questionnaire until diagnosis with the given cancer type, death, a move out of California, or the end of follow-up (December 31, 2013), whichever occurred first. There was no
evidence the proportional hazard assumption was violated through modeling interaction terms of follow-up time with each of the occupational characteristics of interest.

Considering our interest in multiple cancer types, distinct adjustments were made in regards to specific confounders for each cancer type (to increase the precision of estimates for occupational associations with incident cancer risk). Thus, each cancer type-specific multivariable model differed but there was also overlap in adjustments across models due to the strong confounding role played by lifestyle factors in various cancer types (i.e. BMI, socioeconomic status (SES)). Potential confounders for the multivariable regression analyses were determined a-priori, and only adjusted for if its inclusion contributed to the predictive ability of the final model for a given cancer type as determined through statistical model-selection processes (i.e. automatic forwardselection and backwards-elimination methodology). For the hormone-dependent cancers (i.e. breast, endometrial) we adjusted for race, BMI, physical activity level, SES, parity status, hormone replacement therapy use, age at menarche, menopausal status, age at menopause, family history of the particular cancer type, smoking status, alcohol intake, and personal history of breast cancer and endometriosis (endometrial cancer models only) [81-82]. Lastly, we adjusted for recreational physical activity, number of sun blisters, age at first sun blister, skin tendency to sun exposure without protection, skin tanning behaviors, family history of melanoma, family history of mole removal, and personal history of mole removal to assess occupational associations with malignant melanoma risk [83]. Additionally, secondary analyses in which the outcome was stratified upon an established prognostic characteristic for the given cancer type were conducted to better explain associations observed in the overall study population. When
necessary, Wald's test for trend were carried out across the semi-quantitative occupational characteristics by using an ordinal variable coded as the median value of the occupational characteristic category. All statistical tests were 2-sided and conducted with SAS software (version 9.4; SAS Institute).

### 4.3 RESULTS

### 4.3.1 Incident breast cancer risk among teachers in the CTS

### 4.3.1.1 Breast cancer risk factors and occupational characteristics

Of the 118,260 teachers who were truly at-risk for invasive and in situ breast cancer at baseline, 114,662 teachers were found to have complete occupational characteristic data and thus eligible for this study. Of the eligible participants, 5,775 and 1,398 teachers were diagnosed with invasive and in situ breast cancer, respectively, throughout the eighteen-year follow-up period (1995-2013). The sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics for the overall CTS cohort and according to incident cancer status are shown in Table 4.1. Female teachers of the CTS were primarily non-Hispanic white ( $87 \%$ ), postmenopausal (58\%), non-smokers (67\%), moderate drinkers (60\%), of high SES (45\%), parous (80\%), and had no family history of breast cancer (85\%). The teachers diagnosed with incident invasive and in situ breast cancer were more often older, current users of estrogen and progestin therapy (EPT), had higher smoking rates, alcohol intake, later ages of menopause, and at least one first degree relative diagnosed with breast cancer.

Table 4.2 shows the occupational characteristics of CTS teachers overall and according to incident breast cancer status. Teachers in the CTS were primarily multiplesubject teachers (i.e. pre-school and elementary) (53\%), with two to three schools
throughout employment (43\%), twenty or more years throughout employment (42\%), moderately active throughout the workday (60\%), teaching at schools with power lines present (62\%), and had high-risk stress levels (62\%). The teachers diagnosed with invasive breast cancer during follow-up were more often administrators, with four or more schools throughout employment, twenty or more years of employment, and had lower levels of occupational physical activity. Teachers who developed in situ breast cancer during the study were more likely to be working in pupil services, with greater years of employment and lower occupational physical activity levels.

| Characteristic: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Cases } \\ & (n=107,489) \end{aligned}$ | Invasive Cases ( $n=5,775$ ) | In Situ Cases ( $n=1,398$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overall } \\ (N=114,662) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N (\%)* | N (\%)* | N (\%)* | N (\%) |
| Age (y) | $52 \pm 14$ | $57 \pm 12$ | $55 \pm 11$ | $53 \pm 14$ |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $24.8 \pm 5.1$ | $25.1 \pm 4.8$ | $24.6 \pm 4.9$ | $24.8 \pm 5.0$ |
| Recreational physical activity (hrs./wk.) | $2.2 \pm 2.6$ | $2.3 \pm 2.7$ | $2.3 \pm 2.7$ | $2.3 \pm 2.6$ |
| Age at first pregnancy (y) | $25.5 \pm 4.2$ | $25.8 \pm 4.3$ | $25.9 \pm 4.4$ | $26 \pm 4$ |
| Age at menarche (y) | $5.5 \pm 1.4$ | $5.5 \pm 1.4$ | $5.5 \pm 1.5$ | $6 \pm 1$ |
| Race: |  |  |  |  |
| White | 14,551 (94.9) | 606 (4.0) | 170 (1.1) | 99,335 (86.6) |
| Non-white | 92,938 (93.6) | 5,169 (5.2) | 1,228 (1.2) | 15,327 (13.4) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Menopausal and HRT status: |  |  |  |  |
| Premenopausal | 46,094 (95.9) | 1,479 (3.1) | 471 (1.0) | 48,044 (41.9) |
| Post, no HRT use | 25,563 (93.3) | 1,550 (5.7) | 289 (1.1) | 27,402 (23.9) |
| Post, past HRT use | 7,273 (93.4) | 413 (5.3) | 98 (1.3) | 7,784 (6.8) |
| Post, current ET use | 14,016 (92.5) | 945 (6.2) | 199 (1.3) | 15,160 (13.2) |
| Post, current EPT use | 14,543 (89.4) | 1,388 (8.5) | 341 (2.1) | 16,272 (14.2) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Smoking status: |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 72,326 (94.3) | 3,453 (4.5) | 905 (1.2) | 76,684 (66.9) |
| Former | 29,787 (92.5) | 1,961 (6.1) | 443 (1.4) | 32,191 (28.1) |
| Current | 5,376 (92.9) | 361 (6.2) | 50 (0.9) | 5,787 (5.1) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Second-hand smoke exposure: |  |  |  |  |
| No exposure | 21,059 (94.9) | 904 (4.1) | 231 (1.0) | 22,194 (19.4) |
| Childhood only | 29,047 (94.1) | 1,462 (4.7) | 372 (1.2) | 30,881 (26.9) |
| Adulthood only | 23,909 (93.4) | 1,396 (5.5) | 290 (1.1) | 25,595 (22.3) |
| Both child/adulthood | 33,474 (93.0) | 2,013 (5.6) | 505 (1.4) | 35,992 (31.4) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Alcohol intake: |  |  |  |  |
| None | 34,634 (94.3) | 1,710 (4.7) | 397 (1.1) | 36,741 (32.0) |
| < $20 \mathrm{~g} /$ day | 64,696 (93.7) | 3,460 (5.0) | 861 (1.2) | 69,017 (60.2) |
| $\geq 20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ | 8,159 (91.6) | 605 (6.8) | 140 (1.6) | 8,904 (7.8) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Vitamin use: |  |  |  |  |
| Non-user | 37,671 (94.2) | 1,842 (4.6) | 493 (1.2) | 40,006 (34.9) |
| Current single vitamin Use | 13,215 (93.1) | 820 (5.8) | 166 (1.2) | 14,201 (12.4) |
| Current multivitamin Use | 23,791 (94.2) | 1,200 (4.8) | 262 (1.0) | 25,253 (22.0) |
| Current single and multivitamin |  |  |  |  |
| use | 32,812 (93.2) | 1,913 (5.4) | 477 (1.4) | 35,202 (30.7) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |


| SES: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low | 4,796 (94.6) | 229 (4.5) | 45 (0.9) | 5,070 (4.4) |
| Medium-low | 18,771 (94.5) | 888 (4.5) | 214 (1.1) | 19,873 (17.3) |
| Medium-high | 36,420 (94.1) | 1,823 (4.7) | 460 (1.2) | 38,703 (33.8) |
| High | 47,502 (93.1) | 2,835 (5.6) | 679 (1.3) | 51,016 (44.5) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Parity status: |  |  |  |  |
| Nulliparous | 22,149 (94.3) | 1,069 (4.6) | 274 (1.2) | 23,492 (20.5) |
| Parous | 85,340 (93.6) | 4,706 (5.2) | 1,124 (1.2) | 91,170 (79.5) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Age at menopause: |  |  |  |  |
| Periods haven't stopped | 51,848 (95.4) | 1,946 (3.6) | 561 (1.0) | 54,355 (47.4) |
| <50 y | 31,903 (93.1) | 1,935 (5.6) | 439 (1.3) | 34,277 (29.9) |
| $\geq 50 \mathrm{y}$ | 23,738 (91.2) | 1,894 (7.3) | 398 (1.5) | 26,030 (22.7) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Family history of breast cancer: |  |  |  |  |
| No 1st degree relative | 91,364 (94.2) | 4,593 (4.7) | 1,079 (1.1) | 97,036 (84.6) |
| At least one 1st degree relative | 12,192 (90.6) | 978 (7.3) | 280 (2.1) | 13,450 (11.7) |
| Adopted, unknown | 3,933 (94.2) | 204 (4.9) | 39 (0.9) | 4,176 (3.6) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Continuous characteristics prese *Proportions depict percent of canc characteristics. | ted as mean valu ncer cases acc | $\pm$ standard ing to socio | ion. <br> raphic and | men's health |

Table 4.2- Occupational characteristics according to incident breast cancer status ( $N=114,662$ )

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non-Cases } \\ (n=107,489) \end{gathered}$ | Invasive Cases ( $n=5,775$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { In Situ } \\ \text { Cases } \\ (n=1,398) \end{gathered}$ | Overall $(N=114,662)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic: | N(\%)* | N (\%)* | N (\%)* | N (\%) |
| Teacher type: |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple subject teachers | 57,345 (93.9) | 3,007 (4.9) | 719 (1.2) | 61,071 (53.3) |
| Single subject teachers | 29,039 (93.8) | 1,541 (5.0) | 373 (1.2) | 30,953 (27.0) |
| Pupil services | 4,583 (93.4) | 249 (5.1) | 75 (1.5) | 4,907 (4.3) |
| Administrator | 2,892 (92.2) | 201 (6.4) | 43 (1.4) | 3,136 (2.7) |
| Multiple roles, other | 13,630 (93.4) | 777 (5.3) | 188 (1.3) | 14,595 (12.7) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Number of schools throughout employment: |  |  |  |  |
| 1 school | 19,403 (94.8) | 854 (4.2) | 214 (1.0) | 20,471 (17.9) |
| 2-3 schools | 45,758 (94.0) | 2,349 (4.8) | 565 (1.2) | 48,672 (42.5) |
| $\geq 4$ schools | 42,328 (93.0) | 2,572 (5.7) | 619 (1.4) | 45,519 (39.7) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Years of employment in school system: |  |  |  |  |
| < 10 yrs . | 29,590 (96.1) | 938 (3.0) | 265 (0.9) | 30,793 (26.9) |
| 10-19 yrs. | 33,268 (93.9) | 1,731 (4.9) | 420 (1.2) | 35,419 (30.9) |
| $\geq 20 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 44,631 (92.1) | 3,106 (6.4) | 713 (1.5) | 48,450 (42.3) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Occupational physical activity: |  |  |  |  |
| none | 14,425 (92.1) | 1,036 (6.6) | 200 (1.3) | 15,661 (13.7) |
| $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day | 13,671 (93.2) | 796 (5.4) | 197 (1.3) | 14,664 (12.8) |
| 2-6 hrs./day | 64,365 (94.0) | 3,254 (4.8) | 827 (1.2) | 68,446 (59.7) |
| $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 15,028 (94.6) | 689 (4.3) | 174 (1.1) | 15,891 (13.9) |
| Total: Power lines near school: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| No power lines present | 14,626 (93.8) | 769 (4.9) | 195 (1.3) | 15,590 (13.6) |
| Power lines present | 66,179 (93.8) | 3,520 (5.0) | 871 (1.2) | 70,570 (61.6) |
| Don't know | 26,684 (93.6) | 1,486 (5.2) | 332 (1.2) | 28,502 (24.9) |
| Total: | 107,489 | 5,775 | 1,398 | 114,662 |
| Occupational stress ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| High-risk | 42,273 (93.0) | 2,573 (5.7) | 627 (1.3) | 45,473 (62.4) |
| Moderate-risk | 15,713 (93.2) | 908 (5.4) | 228 (1.4) | 16,849 (23.1) |
| Low-risk | 5,212 (92.9) | 310 (5.5) | 90 (1.6) | 5,612 (7.7) |
| Total: | 63,198 | 3,791 | 945 | 67,934 |

*Proportions depict percent of cancer cases according to occupational characteristics.
${ }^{1}$ Computed only among those respondents of the third follow-up questionnaire (Spring 2000) who had no prior breast cancer diagnosis ( $n=67,934$ ).

### 4.3.1.2 Associations between occupational characteristics and incident breast cancer risk

Table 4.3 displays the hazard ratios for incidence of invasive pre- and postmenopausal breast cancer according to the occupational characteristics of teachers. Throughout the eighteen years of follow-up in the CTS, we observed significantly elevated risks of post- and premenopausal invasive breast cancer among teachers with decreased occupational physical activity levels when compared to highlyactive teachers (HR for none vs $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs}$./day: $1.13,95 \% \mathrm{Cl} 1.01-1.28$, HR for $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$. vs $\geq 7$ hrs./day: $1.19,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 1.00-1.41, respectively). Lastly, we observed no difference in the risk for invasive breast cancer among pre- and postmenopausal teachers according to the remaining occupational characteristics of interest.

The hazard ratios for the incidence of pre- and postmenopausal in situ breast cancer according to the various occupational characteristics of CTS teachers can be found in Table 4.4. Overall, there was no association between the occupational characteristics and incident in situ breast cancer among pre- and postmenopausal teachers.

Notably, the association between occupational physical activity and invasive breast cancer risk was observed to differ according to several prognostic factors of teachers (Table 4.5). Specifically, the elevated risk observed among teachers with no daily occupational physical activity was greater among pre- and postmenopausal teachers with BMI levels $\geq 30 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( $23 \%$ and $42 \%$ higher, respectively); whereas estimates for normal and overweight teachers more closely resembled those of the overall analytic sample. Additionally, the elevated risk was subtly higher among pre- and
postmenopausal teachers with a family history of breast cancer. Interestingly, greater levels of recreational physical activity did not attenuate the elevated risk among sedentary teachers (i.e. none, $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day occupational physical activity).

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Postmenopausal } \\ \text { ( } N=66,618 / 4,296 \text { Cases) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Premenopausal } \\ (N=48,044 / 1,479 \text { Cases }) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ Cases/ $N$ | HR (95\% CI) | $N$ Cases/ $N$ | HR (95\% CI) |
| Characteristic: |  |  |  |  |
| Teacher Type: |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple subject teachers | 2,206/34,439 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 801/26,632 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Single subject teachers | 1,124/17,441 | 1.00 (0.93-1.08) | 417/13,512 | 1.00 (0.89-1.12) |
| Pupil services | 188/3,094 | 0.89 (0.77-1.04) | 61/1,813 | 1.01 (0.82-1.25*) |
| Administrators | 167/2,358 | 1.07 (0.91-1.25) | 34/778 |  |
| Multiple roles, other | 611/9,286 | 1.04 (0.95-1.13) | 166/5,309 | 0.91 (0.77-1.08) |
| Number of schools throughout employment: |  |  |  |  |
| 1 school | 582/8,962 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 272/11,509 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| 2-3 schools | 1,672/26,529 | 0.96 (0.87-1.05) | 677/22,143 | 1.11 (0.97-1.28) |
| $\geq 4$ schools | 2,042/31,127 | 0.98 (0.90-1.08) | 530/14,392 | 1.11 (0.96-1.29) |
| Years of employment in school system: |  |  |  |  |
| < 10 yrs. | 420/7,317 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 518/23,476 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| 10-19 yrs. | 1,127/18,274 | 1.00 (0.90-1.12) | 604/17,145 | 1.06 (0.94-1.20) |
| $\geq 20 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 2,749/41,027 | 1.01 (0.91-1.12) | 357/7,423 | 1.04 (0.89-1.21) |
| Occupational physical activity: |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 435/6,998 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 254/8,893 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| 2-6 hrs./day | 2,288/35,927 | 1.01 (0.91-1.12) | 966/32,519 | 0.96 (0.83-1.10) |
| $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . / \mathrm{day}$ | 576/9,158 | 1.00 (0.88-1.13) | 220/5,506 | 1.19 (1.00-1.41**) |
| none | 997/14,535 | 1.13 (1.01-1.28) | 39/1,126 |  |
| Power Lines near school: |  |  |  |  |
| No power lines present | 546/8,664 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 223/6,926 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Power lines present | 2,563/39,460 | 1.01 (0.92-1.10) | 957/31,110 | 1.03 (0.89-1.19) |
| Don't Know | 1,187/18,494 | 1.03 (0.93-1.15) | 299/10,008 | 1.03 (0.87-1.23) |
| Occupational Stress ${ }^{2}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| High-risk | 1,880/25,777 | 1.00 (Ref) | 693/19,696 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Moderate-risk | 663/9,708 | 0.93 (0.86-1.02) | 245/7,141 | 0.99 (0.85-1.14) |
| Low-risk | 246/3,476 | 0.98 (0.86-1.12) | 64/2,136 | 0.82 (0.64-1.06) |
| ${ }^{1}$ Cox proportional hazards regression models for postmenopausal invasive breast cancer risk adjusted for: age, hormone therapy use, family history of breast cancer, age at menopause, age at menarche, smoking status, alcohol intake (g/day), BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), and SES. Premenopausal models adjusted for: age, family history of breast cancer, parity status, and physical activity (hrs./wk.). <br> ${ }^{2}$ Estimates computed only among those respondents of the third follow-up questionnaire (Spring 2000) who had no prior breast cancer diagnosis ( $n=67,934$ ). <br> * Estimate for combined group of administrators and pupil services. <br> $* *$ Estimate for combined group of teachers with $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day and no daily occupation physical activity. |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Postmenopausal } \\ \text { ( } N=66,618 / 927 \text { Cases) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Premenopausal } \\ \text { ( } N=48,044 / 471 \text { Cases) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ Cases/N | ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ ) | $N$ Cases/ $N$ | R (95\% CI) |
| Characteristic: |  |  |  |  |
| Teacher type: |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple subject teachers | 486/34,439 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 233/26,632 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Single subject teachers | 235/17,441 | 0.95 (0.81-1.11) | 138/13,512 | 1.14 (0.92-1.41) |
| Administrators and Pupil services | 85/5,452 | 1.06 (0.84-1.34) | 33/2,591 | 1.17 (0.81-1.70) |
| Multiple roles, other | 121/9,286 | 0.94 (0.77-1.14) | 67/5,309 | 1.25 (0.95-1.64) |
| Number of schools throughout employment: |  |  |  |  |
| 1 school | 119/8,962 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 95/11,509 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| 2-3 schools | 362/26,529 | 1.00 (0.81-1.23) | 203/22,143 | 0.95 (0.74-1.21) |
| $\geq 4$ schools | 446/31,127 | 1.03 (0.84-1.26) | 173/14,392 | 1.02 (0.79-1.32) |
| Years of employment in school system: |  |  |  |  |
| < 10 yrs . | 89/7,317 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 176/23,476 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| 10-19 yrs. | 239/18,274 | 1.05 (0.82-1.34) | 181/17,145 | 0.90 (0.73-1.12) |
| $\geq 20 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 599/41,027 | 1.08 (0.86-1.36) | 114/7,423 | 1.07 (0.82-1.40) |
| Occupational physical activity: |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 5 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 300/21,345 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 238/25,498 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| 2-4 hrs./day | 313/21,580 | 1.07 (0.91-1.25) | 150/15,914 | 0.92 (0.75-1.13) |
| $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day | 314/23,693 | 1.09 (0.92-1.30) | 83/6,632 | 1.20 (0.94-1.55) |
| Power lines near school: |  |  |  |  |
| No power lines present | 131/8,664 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 64/6,926 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Power lines present | 567/39,460 | 0.93 (0.77-1.12) | 304/31,110 | 1.16 (0.89-1.52) |
| Don't Know | 229/18,494 | 0.89 (0.72-1.11) | 103/10,008 | 1.24 (0.91-1.69) |
| Occupational stress ${ }^{2}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| High-risk | 415/25,777 | 1.00 (Ref) | 212/19,696 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Moderate-risk | 151/9,708 | 0.97 (0.81-1.17) | 77/7,141 | 1.05 (0.83-1.33*) |
| Low-risk | 64/3,476 | 1.18 (0.91-1.54) | 26/2,136 |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Cox proportional hazards regression models for postmenopausal in situ breast cancer risk adjusted for: age, family history of breast cancer, hormone therapy use, parity status, smoking status, and alcohol intake (g/day). Premenopausal models adjusted for: age, family history of breast cancer, age at menarche, and $\mathrm{BMI}\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Estimates computed only among those respondents of the third follow-up questionnaire (Spring 2000) who had no prior breast cancer diagnosis ( $n=67,934$ ). <br> *HR estimate for combined group of low-risk stress and moderate-risk stress teachers. |  |  |  |  |


| Occupational PA ${ }^{2}$ : |  | Postmenopausal Invasive Breast Cancer ( $n=66,618$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 2-6 hrs./day | $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day | none |
| BMI: |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 24.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (0.88-1.15) | 0.97 (0.82-1.15) | 1.12 (0.95-1.31) |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 254/4,165 | 1,310/21,260 | 310/5,221 | 572/8,871 |
| $25.0-29.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 0.98 (0.81-1.18) | 0.96 (0.76-1.21) | 1.09 (0.87-1.36) |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 131/1,875 | 660/9,496 | 163/2,416 | 289/3,858 |
| $\geq 30.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.15 (0.85-1.56) | 1.27 (0.90-1.79) | 1.42 (1.00-2.02) |
|  | $n$ cases/ $n$ | 50/976 | 318/5,171 | 103/1,521 | 136/1,806 |
| Breast cancer family history ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| No family history | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 0.98 (0.87-1.09) | 0.97 (0.85-1.12) | 1.12 (0.98-1.29) |
|  | $n$ cases/ $n$ | 355/5,879 | 1,808/30,050 | 454/7,556 | 793/11,799 |
| At least one first degree relative |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.26 (0.96-1.65) | 1.19 (0.87-1.64) | 1.19 (0.87-1.63) |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 62/877 | 411/4,675 | 104/1,268 | 160/2,033 |
| Recreational physical activity: |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 1.5 hrs ./wk. | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 0.92 (0.80-1.07) | 0.95 (0.79-1.13) | 1.14 (0.95-1.37) |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 211/3,197 | 1,016/16,474 | 288/4,689 | 448/6,885 |
| $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{hrs} . / \mathrm{wk}$. | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.09 (0.95-1.26) | 1.05 (0.88-1.26) | 1.15 (0.98-1.36) |
|  | $n$ cases/ $n$ | 224/3,801 | 1,272/19,453 | 288/4,469 | 549/7,650 |
| Occupational PA ${ }^{2}$ : |  | Premenopausal Invasive Breast Cancer ( $n=48,044$ ) |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 2-6 hrs./day | $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . / \mathrm{day}^{4}$ |  |
| BMI: |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 24.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 0.94 (0.79-1.12) | 1.19 (0.96-1.47) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 169/6,135 | 626/21,951 | 171/4,448 |  |
| $25.0-29.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.14 (0.83-1.57) | 1.13 (0.75-1.71) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 46/1,733 | 207/6,464 | 45/1,360 |  |
| $\geq 30.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 0.78 (0.55-1.12) | 1.23 (0.80-1.91) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 39/1,025 | 133/4,104 | 43/824 |  |
| Breast cancer family history ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |
| No family history | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 0.89 (0.77-1.04) | 1.19 (0.98-1.44) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 213/7,742 | 753/28,249 | 217/5,761 |  |
| At least one first degree relative |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.21 (0.84-1.75) | 1.28 (0.81-2.04) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 34/810 | 168/3,123 | 39/664 |  |
| Recreational physical activity: |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 1.5 hrs ./wk. | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 0.91 (0.75-1.10) | 1.14 (0.90-1.45) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 128/4,051 | 472/15,649 | 139/3,546 |  |
| $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{hrs}$./wk. | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (0.82-1.22) | 1.23 (0.96-1.58) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/ $n$ | 126/4,842 | 494/16,870 | 120/3,086 |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Cox proportional hazards regression models for postmenopausal invasive breast cancer risk adjusted for: age, hormone therapy use, family history of breast cancer, age at menopause, age at menarche, smoking status, alcohol intake (g/day), BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), and SES. Premenopausal models adjusted for: age, family history of breast cancer, parity status, and recreational physical activity (hrs./wk.) when the outcome is not stratified among the particular covariable. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Occupational PA: Occupational Physical activity, hours/day for standing and/or walking at work. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Estimates not shown for teachers with unknown family history and/or are adopted ( $n=4,176$ ). <br> ${ }^{4}$ Estimates for none and $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day category combined due to a low number cases. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.3.2 Incident endometrial cancer risk among teachers in the CTS

### 4.3.2.1 Endometrial cancer risk factors and occupational characteristics

Of the 122,312 teachers that had no diagnosis of endometrial cancer prior to baseline, 118,481 were observed to have complete data regarding the occupational characteristics of interest and thus were eligible for this study. Of the eligible participants, 1,351 teachers developed invasive endometrial cancer throughout the eighteen-year follow-up period. The baseline descriptive characteristics among these teachers who developed invasive endometrial cancer and those who were cancer-free throughout follow-up are shown in Table 4.6. In the overall analytic sample, teachers were primarily non-Hispanic white (87\%), postmenopausal (59\%), non-smokers (67\%), moderate drinkers (60\%), of high SES (45\%), parous (80\%), had no family history of endometrial cancer (94\%) and no personal histories of breast cancer (95\%) and endometriosis (91\%). Additionally, teachers who developed invasive endometrial cancer were more often current EPT users, former smokers, nulliparous, older at menopause, and had a previous breast cancer diagnosis.

The occupational characteristics of CTS teachers according to incident endometrial cancer status are presented in Table 4.7. Teachers in the CTS were primarily multiple-subject teachers (i.e. pre-school and elementary) (53\%), with two to three schools throughout employment (42\%), twenty or more years throughout employment (43\%), moderately active throughout the workday (60\%), teaching at schools with power lines present (61\%), and had high-risk stress levels (67\%). The teachers diagnosed with invasive endometrial cancer during follow-up were more often
multiple role teachers, with twenty or more years of employment, and had lower levels of occupational physical activity.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Cases } \\ & (n=117,130) \end{aligned}$ | Invasive Cases ( $n=1,351$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overall } \\ (N=118,481) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic: | N (\%)* | N (\%)* | N(\%) |
| Age (y) | $53 \pm 14$ | $58 \pm 11$ | $53 \pm 14$ |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $24.8 \pm 5.0$ | $27.0 \pm 6.6$ | $24.8 \pm 5.0$ |
| Recreational physical activity (hrs./wk.) | $2.3 \pm 2.6$ | $2.2 \pm 2.6$ | $2.3 \pm 2.6$ |
| Age at first pregnancy ( y ) | $26 \pm 4$ | $25 \pm 4$ | $26 \pm 4$ |
| Age at menarche ( y ) | $6 \pm 1$ | $5 \pm 1$ | $6 \pm 1$ |
| Race: |  |  |  |
| White | 101,584 (98.8) | 1,205 (1.2) | 102,789 (86.8) |
| Non-white | 15,546 (99.1) | 146 (0.9) | 15,692 (13.2) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Menopausal and HRT status: |  |  |  |
| Premenopausal | 47,931 (99.2) | 371 (0.8) | 48,302 (40.8) |
| Post, no HRT use | 29,281 (98.6) | 423 (1.4) | 29,704 (25.1) |
| Post, past HRT use | 9,171 (98.5) | 136 (1.5) | 9,307 (7.9) |
| Post, current ET use | 14,776 (99.6) | 57 (0.4) | 14,833 (12.5) |
| Post, current EPT use | 15,971 (97.8) | 364 (2.2) | 16,335 (13.8) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Smoking status: |  |  |  |
| Never | 77,980 (98.9) | 862 (1.1) | 78,842 (66.5) |
| Former | 33,241 (98.7) | 426 (1.3) | 33,667 (28.4) |
| Current | 5,909 (98.9) | 63 (1.1) | 5,972 (5.0) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Second-hand smoke exposure: |  |  |  |
| No exposure | 22,474 (99.1) | 210 (0.9) | 22,684 (19.2) |
| Childhood only | 31,355 (99.0) | 320 (1.0) | 31,675 (26.7) |
| Adulthood only | 26,375 (98.8) | 328 (1.2) | 26,703 (22.5) |
| Both child/adulthood | 36,926 (98.7) | 493 (1.3) | 37,419 (31.6) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Alcohol intake: |  |  |  |
| None | 37,500 (98.8) | 468 (1.2) | 37,968 (32.1) |
| < $20 \mathrm{~g} /$ day | 70,439 (98.9) | 770 (1.1) | 71,209 (60.1) |
| $\geq 20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{day}$ | 9,191 (98.8) | 113 (1.2) | 9,304 (7.9) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Vitamin use: |  |  |  |
| Non-user | 40,539 (99.0) | 404 (1.0) | 40,943 (34.6) |
| Current single vitamin use | 14,684 (98.7) | 189 (1.3) | 14,873 (12.6) |
| Current multivitamin use | 25,637 (99.0) | 272 (1.0) | 25,909 (21.9) |
| Current single and multivitamin use | 36,270 (98.7) | 486 (1.3) | 36,756 (31.0) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |


| SES: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low | 5,166 (99.1) | 48 (0.9) | 5,214 (4.4) |
| Medium-low | 20,184 (98.9) | 228 (1.1) | 20,412 (17.2) |
| Medium-high | 39,421 (98.8) | 463 (1.2) | 39,884 (33.7) |
| High | 52,359 (98.8) | 612 (1.2) | 52,971 (44.7) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Parity status: |  |  |  |
| Nulliparous | 23,822 (98.7) | 322 (1.3) | 24,144 (20.4) |
| Parous | 93,308 (98.9) | 1,029 (1.1) | 94,337 (79.6) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Age at menopause: |  |  |  |
| Periods haven't stopped | 54,227 (99.1) | 492 (0.9) | 54,719 (46.2) |
| <50 y | 35,933 (99.3) | 261 (0.7) | 36,194 (30.6) |
| $\geq 50 \mathrm{y}$ | 26,970 (97.8) | 598 (2.2) | 27,568 (23.3) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Family history of endometrial cancer: |  |  |  |
| No 1st degree relative | 109,857 (98.9) | 1,260 (1.1) | 111,117 (93.8) |
| At least one 1st degree relative | 3,524 (98.5) | 54 (1.5) | 3,578 (3.0) |
| Adopted, unknown | 3,749 (99.0) | 37 (1.0) | 3,786 (3.2) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Personal history of breast cancer: |  |  |  |
| No | 113,641 (98.9) | 1,292 (1.1) | 112,925 (95.3) |
| Yes | 3,489 (98.3) | 59 (1.7) | 5,556 (4.7) |
| Personal history of endometriosis: |  |  | 118,481 |
| No | 106,484 (98.8) | 1,285 (1.2) | 107,769 (91.0) |
| Yes | 10,646 (99.4) | 66 (0.6) | 10,712 (9.0) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Continuous characteristics presented as mean value $\pm$ standard deviation. <br> *Proportions depict percent of cancer cases according to sociodemographic and women's health characteristics. |  |  |  |


|  | Non-Cases ( $n=117,130$ ) | Invasive Cases ( $n=1,351$ ) | Overall ( $N=118,481$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic: | N(\%)* | N (\%)* | N (\%) |
| Teacher type: |  |  |  |
| Multiple subject teachers | 62,351 (98.9) | 688 (1.1) | 63,039 (53.2) |
| Single subject teachers | 31,645 (98.9) | 368 (1.1) | 32,013 (27.0) |
| Administrator and Pupil services | 8,288 (99.0) | 87 (1.0) | 8,375 (7.1) |
| Multiple roles, other | 14,846 (98.6) | 208 (1.4) | 15,054 (12.7) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Number of schools throughout employment: |  |  |  |
| 1 school | 20,809 (99.0) | 204 (1.0) | 21,013 (17.7) |
| 2-3 schools | 49,595 (98.9) | 568 (1.1) | 50,163 (42.3) |
| $\geq 4$ schools | 46,726 (98.8) | 579 (1.2) | 47,305 (39.9) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Years of employment in school system: |  |  |  |
| < 10 yrs. | 30,942 (99.4) | 189 (0.6) | 31,131 (26.3) |
| 10-19 yrs. | 36,093 (98.9) | 389 (1.1) | 36,482 (30.8) |
| $\geq 20 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 50,095 (98.5) | 773 (1.5) | 50,868 (42.9) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Occupational physical activity: |  |  |  |
| $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./ day | 31,429 (98.6) | 439 (1.4) | 31,868 (26.9) |
| 2-6 hrs./day | 69,610 (98.9) | 788 (1.1) | 70,398 (59.4) |
| $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 16,091 (99.2) | 124 (0.8) | 16,215 (13.7) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Power lines near school: |  |  |  |
| No power lines present | 15,848 (98.8) | 193 (1.2) | 16,041 (13.5) |
| Power lines present | 71,963 (98.9) | 820 (1.1) | 72,783 (61.4) |
| Don't Know | 29,319 (98.9) | 338 (1.1) | 29,657 (25.0) |
| Total: | 117,130 | 1,351 | 118,481 |
| Occupational stress ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |
| High-risk | 46,495 (98.8) | 552 (1.2) | 47,047 (66.9) |
| Moderate-risk | 17,220 (98.8) | 205 (1.2) | 17,425 (24.8) |
| Low-risk | 5,728 (98.6) | 84 (1.4) | 5,812 (8.3) |
| Total: | 69,443 | 841 | 70,284 |

### 4.3.2.2 Associations between occupational characteristics and incident endometrial cancer risk

The hazard ratios for incident endometrial cancer according to the occupational characteristics of CTS teacher are depicted in Table 4.8. Throughout the eighteen years of follow-up in the CTS, we observed significantly elevated risks of invasive endometrial cancer among teachers of the CTS with decreased occupation physical activity levels when compared to highly-active teachers (HR for $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$. vs. $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs} . /$ day: $1.25,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ 1.02-1.54). Moreover, we observed significantly elevated risk of endometrial cancer among multiple role teachers when compared to multiple subject teachers (HR 1.17, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.00-1.37$ ). Notably, the association among administrators and pupil service workers was marginally insignificant which may be due to opposing effect sizes among these occupational subgroups. Upon analyzing the association in these occupational subgroups separately (Table 4.9), the risk of endometrial cancer among administrators was significantly reduced, while the risk among pupil service teachers did not differ significantly from those multiple subject teachers (HR for administrator vs multiple subject teachers: $0.66,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 0.46-0.95 ; \mathrm{HR}$ for pupil services vs multiple subject teachers: $0.93,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 0.71-1.22)$.

There were apparent differences in the estimates of effect for occupational physical activity (PA) and endometrial cancer risk after conducting several sensitivity analyses (Table 4.10). Results from the univariate age-adjusted model provide significant evidence for the inverse monotonic relationship between occupational physical activity and incident endometrial cancer among teachers ( $P$-trend $=0.007$ ).

Additionally, the elevated risk associated with no daily occupational physical activity in the overall analytic cohort was most notably exacerbated among teachers in the CTS who were never parous (HR for $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$. vs. $\geq 7 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day: $1.57,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 1.00-2.44, P$-trend $=$ 0.048). Moreover, we observed a similar strengthening of the inverse relationship between occupational physical activity and endometrial cancer risk among teachers who are only postmenopausal, not overweight (i.e. $\left.\mathrm{BMI}<25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)(P$-trend $=0.053)$, and those with higher recreational PA levels ( $P$-trend $=0.005$ ).

Table 4.8-Association between occupational characteristics and invasive
endometrial cancer risk $(N=118,481)^{1}$

| Characteristic: | $\underline{N}$ Cases/ $\boldsymbol{N}$ |  | }{} |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Teacher type: |  |  |  |
| Multiple subject teachers | $688 / 63,039$ |  | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Single subject teachers | $368 / 32,013$ |  | $1.03(0.91-1.17)$ |
| Administrators and Pupil services | $87 / 8,375$ |  | $0.82(0.65-1.02)$ |
| Multiple roles, other | $208 / 15,054$ | $1.17(1.00-1.37)$ |  |

Number of schools throughout employment:

| 1 school | $204 / 21,013$ | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2-3 schools | $568 / 50,163$ | 1.01 (0.86-1.18) |
| $\geq 4$ schools | $579 / 47,305$ | 0.92 (0.79-1.08) |
| Years of employment in school system: |  |  |
| < 10 yrs. | $189 / 31,131$ | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| $10-19$ yrs. | $389 / 36,482$ | 1.02 (0.85-1.22) |
| $\geq 20$ yrs. | $773 / 50,868$ | 1.02 (0.85-1.21) |
| Occupational physical activity: |  |  |
| $\geq 7$ hrs./day | $124 / 16,215$ | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| 2-6 hrs./day | $788 / 70,398$ | 1.25 (1.04-1.52) |
| $\leq 1$ hr./day | $439 / 31,868$ | $1.25(1.02-1.54)$ |
| Power lines near school: |  |  |
| No power lines present | $193 / 16,041$ | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Power lines present | $820 / 72,783$ | 0.91 (0.77-1.06) |
| Don't Know | $338 / 29,657$ | 0.90 (0.76-1.08) |
| Occupational stress ${ }^{2}:$ |  |  |
| High-risk | $552 / 47,047$ | 1.00 (Ref) |
| Moderate-risk | $205 / 17,425$ | 0.99 (0.84-1.16) |
| Low-risk | $84 / 5,812$ | 1.18 (0.94-1.49) |

${ }^{1}$ Cox proportional hazards regression models for invasive endometrial cancer risk adjusted for: age, menopausal status, hormone therapy use, personal history of endometriosis, age at menopause, BMI , parity status, and age at menarche.
${ }^{2}$ Estimates computed for those respondents of the third follow-up questionnaire (Spring 2000) who had no prior endometrial cancer diagnosis ( $n=70,284$ ).

| Table 4.9- Association between employment position and invasive <br> endometrial cancer risk $(\boldsymbol{N}=\mathbf{1 1 8 , 4 8 1})^{1}$ | $\underline{\boldsymbol{N} \text { Cases/ } \boldsymbol{N}}$ | $\underline{\text { HR (95\% CI) }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic: | $688 / 63,039$ | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Teacher Type: | $368 / 32,013$ | $1.03(0.91-1.17)$ |
| Multiple subject teachers | $57 / 5,079$ | $0.93(0.71-1.22)$ |
| Single subject teachers | $30 / 3,296$ | $0.66(0.46-0.95)$ |
| Pupil services | $208 / 15,054$ | $1.17(1.00-1.37)$ |
| Administrators | Multiple roles, other |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Cox proportional hazards regression models for invasive endometrial cancer risk |  |  |
| adjusted for: age, menopausal status, hormone therapy status, personal history of |  |  |
| endometriosis, age at menopause, BMI, parity status, and age at menarche. |  |  |


| Age adjusted only | Occupational physical activity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HR (95\%CI) <br> n cases/n | $\geq \mathbf{7} \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 2-6 hrs./day | $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day | $\underline{P \text {-trend }}{ }^{2}$ |
|  |  | 1.00 (ref). | 1.30 (1.08-1.58) | 1.34 (1.09-1.65) | 0.0074 |
|  |  | 124/16,215 | 788/70,398 | 439/31,868 |  |
| Parity status: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never parous | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.39 (0.92-2.10) | 1.57 (1.00-2.44) | 0.0482 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 26/3,744 | 181/14,314 | 115/6,086 |  |
| Ever parous | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.22 (0.99-1.51) | 1.17 (0.92-1.48) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 98/12,471 | 607/56,084 | 324/25,782 |  |
| Menopausal status: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premenopausal | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.16 (0.86-1.56) | 1.12 (0.77-1.62) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 53/8,926 | 261/32,679 | 57/6,679 |  |
| Postmenopausal | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.32 (1.03-1.69) | 1.33 (1.03-1.74) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 71/7,289 | 527/37,719 | 382/25,171 |  |
| BMI: |  |  |  |  |  |
| < Overweight (< $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.29 (0.98-1.69) | 1.35 (1.00-1.83) | 0.0527 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 59/10,478 | 378/44,378 | 226/19,503 |  |
| $\geq$ Overweight ( $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.26 (0.97-1.64) | 1.22 (0.92-1.64) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 65/5,737 | 410/26,020 | 213/12,365 |  |
| Recreational physical activity: |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 1.5 hrs ./wk. | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.07 (0.83-1.39) | 1.00 (0.75-1.33) | 0.005 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 69/7,410 | 385/32,966 | 204/15,878 |  |
| $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{hrs}$./wk. | HR (95\% CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.48 (1.11-1.96) | 1.57 (1.16-2.13) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 55/8,805 | 403/37,432 | 235/15,990 |  |
| ${ }^{1}$ Cox proportional hazards regression models for invasive endometrial cancer risk adjusted for: age, menopausal status, hormone therapy status, personal history of endometriosis, age at menopause, BMI, parity status, and age at menarche when outcome not stratified on particular covariable. <br> ${ }^{2}$-Wald's test for trend across occupational physical activity levels by using an ordinal variable coded as the median value of the occupational physical activity category. |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.3.3 Incident malignant melanoma risk among teachers in the CTS

### 4.3.3.1 Malignant melanoma risk factors and occupational characteristics

Of the 106,119 teachers in the CTS who had no diagnosis of malignant melanoma prior to baseline and are non-Hispanic white, 102,447 teachers were observed to have complete information regarding the occupational characteristics of interest and thus were eligible for this study. Table 4.11 shows key demographic and lifestyle characteristics of teachers overall and according to incident malignant melanoma (MM) status. Female teachers of the CTS were primarily postmenopausal (62\%), and of high SES (46\%). In terms of skin health history, teachers in the CTS were more likely to have at least one sun blister (72\%), first sun blister at 11-20 years (46\%), mild skin burns from sun exposure without protection (48\%), moderately tan skin after repeated sun exposure (48\%), no personal history of mole removal (82\%), and no family histories of mole removal (80\%) and melanoma (91\%). Throughout follow-up, 1,015 and 904 teachers were diagnosed with invasive and in situ MM, respectively. The demographic profile for those teachers who developed invasive and in situ MM was more often older, postmenopausal, current users of hormone replacement therapy, had lower Vitamin D intakes, age ten or younger at first sun blister occurrence, had more sun blister occurrences, more severe burns from sun exposure without protection, no tan after repeated sun exposure, greater personal history of mole removal, and family histories of mole removal and melanoma.

The occupational characteristics of CTS teachers according to incident MM status are presented in Table 4.12. Teachers in the CTS were primarily multiple-subject
teachers (i.e. pre-school and elementary) (53\%), with two to three schools throughout employment (42\%), twenty or more years throughout employment (44\%), moderately active throughout the workday (59\%), teaching at schools with power lines present (61\%), and had high-risk stress (67\%).The teachers diagnosed with invasive MM during follow-up were more often administrators, with a greater number of schools and years throughout employment, and had lower levels of occupational physical activity. Teachers who developed in situ MM during the study had a greater number of schools and years throughout employment, and perceived greater levels of stress.

| Characteristic: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Cases } \\ & (n=100,528) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Invasive } \\ \text { Cases } \\ (n=1,015) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | In Situ Cases ( $n=904$ ) | Overall $(N=102,447)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N (\%)* | N(\%)* | N(\%)* | N (\%) |
| Age (y) | $54 \pm 14$ | $55 \pm 13$ | $55 \pm 12$ | $54 \pm 14$ |
| Recreational physical activity |  |  |  |  |
| (hrs./wk.) | $2.3 \pm 2.7$ | $2.4 \pm 2.5$ | $2.7 \pm 2.8$ | $2.3 \pm 2.7$ |
| BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ) | $24.8 \pm 5.0$ | $24.7 \pm 5.0$ | $24.4 \pm 4.5$ | $24.8 \pm 5.0$ |
| Menopausal and HRT status: |  |  |  |  |
| Premenopausal | 38,141 (98.4) | 324 (0.8) | 284 (0.7) | 38,749 (37.8) |
| Post, no HRT use | 12,833 (98.1) | 134 (1.0) | 112 (0.9) | 13,079 (12.8) |
| Post, past HRT use | 8,384 (98.0) | 84 (1.0) | 83 (1.0) | 8,551 (8.3) |
| Post, current ET use | 13,241 (97.8) | 155 (1.1) | 143 (1.1) | 13,539 (13.2) |
| Post, current EPT use | 14,495 (97.7) | 165 (1.1) | 169 (1.1) | 14,829 (14.5) |
| Other | 13,434 (98.1) | 153 (1.1) | 113 (0.8) | 13,700 (13.4) |
| Total: | 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Vitamin use: |  |  |  |  |
| Non-user | 33,676 (98.4) | 311 (0.9) | 252 (0.7) | 34,239 (33.4) |
| Current single vitamin use | 12,706 (97.7) | 151 (1.2) | 148 (1.1) | 13,005 (12.7) |
| Current multivitamin use | 22,086 (98.2) | 221 (1.0) | 193 (0.9) | 22,500 (22.0) |
| Current single and multivitamin use | 32,060 (98.0) | 332 (1.0) | 311 (1.0) | 32,703 (31.9) |
| Total: | 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Vitamin D intake: |  |  |  |  |
| Low ( $\leq 143.11$ IU) | 25,643 (98.1) | 277 (1.1) | 223 (0.9) | 26,143 (25.5) |
| High (>143.11 IU) | 67,056 (98.1) | 672 (1.0) | 620 (0.9) | 68,348 (66.7) |
| Unknown, other | 7,829 (98.4) | 66 (0.8) | 61 (0.8) | 7,956 (7.8) |
| Total: | 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| SES: |  |  |  |  |
| Low | 3,748 (98.4) | 35 (0.9) | 25 (0.7) | 3,808 (3.7) |
| Medium-low | 16,998 (98.5) | 141 (0.8) | 121 (0.7) | 17,260 (16.8) |
| Medium-high | 33,962 (98.2) | 330 (1.0) | 283 (0.8) | 34,575 (33.7) |
| High | 45,820 (97.9) | 509 (1.1) | 475 (1.0) | 46,804 (45.7) |
| Total: | 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Sun blister status: |  |  |  |  |
| No | 28,688 (98.7) | 205 (0.7) | 185 (0.6) | 29,078 (28.4) |
| Yes | 71,840 (97.9) | 810 (1.1) | 719 (1.0) | 73,369 (71.6) |
| Total: | 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Age at first sun blister: |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 28,688 (98.7) | 205 (0.7) | 185 (0.6) | 29,078 (28.4) |
| 0-10 y | 17,043 (97.4) | 242 (1.4) | 207 (1.2) | 17,492 (17.1) |
| 11-20 y | 45,652 (98.0) | 495 (1.1) | 459 (1.0) | 46,606 (45.5) |
| $21+\mathrm{y}$ | 9,145 (98.6) | 73 (0.8) | 53 (0.6) | 9,271 (9.1) |
| Total: | 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Number of sun blister occurrences: |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 28,688 (98.7) | 205 (0.7) | 185 (0.6) | 29,078 (28.4) |
| 1-2 times | 32,699 (98.2) | 312 (0.9) | 286 (0.9) | 33,297 (32.5) |
| 3-4 times | 19,785 (97.8) | 239 (1.2) | 206 (1.0) | 20,230 (19.7) |
| $\geq 5$ times | 19,356 (97.6) | 259 (1.3) | 227 (1.1) | 19,842 (19.4) |
| Total: | 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |


| Tendency of skin in sun without protection: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No burn, tan only 15,389 (98.9) | 74 (0.5) | 98 (0.6) | 15,561 (15.2) |
| Mild burn, with tan 48,492 (98.3) | 455 (0.9) | 401 (0.8) | 49,348 (48.2) |
| Severe burn, no blister 22,297 (97.6) | 294 (1.3) | 254 (1.1) | 22,845 (22.3) |
| Severe burn, with blister 14,350 (97.7) | 192 (1.3) | 151 (1.0) | 14,693 (14.3) |
| Total: 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Tanning habits from repeated sun exposure: |  |  |  |
| No tan 4,432 (97.4) | 68 (1.5) | 52 (1.1) | 4,552 (4.4) |
| Light tan 28,100 (97.6) | 370 (1.3) | 308 (1.1) | 28,778 (28.1) |
| Moderate tan 48,050 (98.2) | 465 (1.0) | 408 (0.8) | 48,923 (47.8) |
| Deep tan 19,946 (98.8) | 112 (0.6) | 136 (0.7) | 20,194 (19.7) |
| Total: 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Personal history of mole removal: |  |  |  |
| No 82,696 (98.3) | 721 (0.9) | 675 (0.8) | 84,092 (82.1) |
| Yes 17,832 (97.2) | 294 (1.6) | 229 (1.2) | 18,355 (17.9) |
| Total: 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Family history of mole removal: |  |  |  |
| No 80,006 (98.2) | 758 (0.9) | 696 (0.9) | 81,460 (79.5) |
| Yes 20,522 (97.8) | 257 (1.2) | 208 (1.0) | 20,987 (20.5) |
| Total: 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Family history of melanoma: |  |  |  |
| No 1st degree relative 91,815 (98.2) | 901 (1.0) | 817 (0.9) | 93,533 (91.3) |
| At least one 1st degree relative 5,821 (97.5) | 89 (1.5) | 60 (1.0) | 5,970 (5.8) |
| Adopted, unknown 2,892 (98.2) | 25 (0.8) | 27 (0.9) | 2,944 (2.9) |
| Total: 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Continuous characteristics presented as mean value $\pm$ standard deviation. <br> *Proportions depict percent of cancer cases according to sociodemographic and skin health characteristics. |  |  |  |

Table 4.12- Occupational characteristics according to incident melanoma status ( $N=102,447$ )

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Cases } \\ & (n=100,528) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Invasive Cases ( $n=1,015$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { In Situ Cases } \\ (n=904) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overall } \\ (N=102,447) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic: | N (\%)* | N(\%)* | N (\%)* | N (\%) |
| Teacher type: |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple subject teachers | 53,194 (98.1) | 560 (1.0) | 464 (0.9) | 54,218 (52.9) |
| Single subject teachers | 27,701 (98.3) | 257 (0.9) | 236 (0.8) | 28,194 (27.5) |
| Pupil services | 4,346 (98.0) | 42 (0.9) | 45 (1.0) | 4,433 (4.3) |
| Administrator | 2,663 (97.5) | 40 (1.5) | 29 (1.1) | 2,732 (2.7) |
| Multiple roles, other | 12,624 (98.1) | 116 (0.9) | 130 (1.0) | 12,870 (12.6) |
| Total: | 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Number of schools throughout employment: |  |  |  |  |
| 1 school | 17,420 (98.3) | 152 (0.9) | 144 (0.8) | 17,716 (17.3) |
| 2-3 schools | 42,358 (98.0) | 449 (1.0) | 394 (0.9) | 43,201 (42.2) |
| $\geq 4$ schools | 40,750 (98.1) | 414 (1.0) | 366 (0.9) | 41,530 (40.5) |
| Total: | 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Years of employment in school system: |  |  |  |  |
| < 10 yrs . | 25,818 (98.5) | 225 (0.9) | 173 (0.7) | 26,216 (25.6) |
| 10-19 yrs. | 30,923 (98.2) | 292 (0.9) | 280 (0.9) | 31,495 (30.7) |
| $\geq 20 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 43,787 (97.9) | 498 (1.1) | 451 (1.0) | 44,736 (43.7) |
| Total: | 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Occupational physical activity: |  |  |  |  |
| $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day | 27,801 (98.0) | 328 (1.2) | 241 (0.8) | 28,370 (27.7) |
| 2-6 hrs./day | 59,206 (98.1) | 589 (1.0) | 558 (0.9) | 60,353 (58.9) |
| $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 13,521 (98.5) | 98 (0.7) | 105 (0.8) | 13,724 (13.4) |
| Total: | 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Power lines near school: |  |  |  |  |
| No power lines present | 13,843 (98.0) | 150 (1.1) | 130 (0.9) | 14,123 (13.8) |
| Power lines present | 61,656 (98.1) | 625 (1.0) | 548 (0.9) | 62,829 (61.3) |
| Don't know | 25,029 (98.2) | 240 (0.9) | 226 (0.9) | 25,495 (24.9) |
| Total: | 100,528 | 1,015 | 904 | 102,447 |
| Occupational stress ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| High-risk | 41,411 (98.2) | 373 (0.9) | 370 (0.9) | 42,154 (66.9) |
| Low-risk | 19,862 (98.5) | 173 (0.9) | 139 (0.7) | 20,174 (33.1) |
| Total: | 61,273 | 546 | 509 | 62,328 |

[^0]
### 4.3.3.2 Associations between occupational characteristics and incident

 malignant melanoma riskTable 4.13 displays the hazard ratios for incident malignant melanoma according to the occupational characteristics of teachers. There was an elevated risk of invasive malignant melanoma among administrators when compared to multiple subject teachers of the CTS (HR $1.38,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.00-1.91$ ). Notably, the risk of invasive malignant melanoma was strongly associated with the occupational physical activity levels of CTS teachers. Specifically, we observed a strong inverse dose-response relationship across the levels of occupational physical activity and invasive melanoma risk in the CTS cohort (2-6 hr./day: $33 \%$ increase, $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day: $59 \%$ increase; $P$-trend $=0.0001$ ). In situ MM risk among teachers was not observed to differ widely across the occupational characteristics of interest, however there was a significant positive association between occupational stress and in situ melanoma risk in the CTS cohort (HR for low-risk stress vs high-risk: $0.80,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 0.66-0.97)$.

Of note, results from the secondary stratified analyses provided evidence that the association between occupational physical activity and invasive melanoma risk differs according to various prognostic factors of teachers (Table 4.14). Specifically, the increased incidence of invasive MM related to lower occupational physical activity levels was substantially higher among teachers with lower recreational physical activity levels (HR=1.78), higher BMIs (HR=1.76), family history of melanoma (HR=2.89), no family history of mole removal ( $\mathrm{HR}=1.73$ ), and those with skin complexions that more readily burn (HR for light/no tan= 2.01). Additionally, we observed lesser but still elevated risk
associated with decreased occupational physical activity among teachers with greater recreational physical activity levels $(P$-trend $=0.02$ ), lower BMIs $(P$-trend $=0.006)$, no family history of melanoma ( $P$-trend $=0.002$ ), and skin types prone to medium tans $(P$ trend= 0.05). Conversely, the association was no longer significant for teachers with skin types that deeply tan and those with a family history of mole removal.

Table 4.13- Association between occupational characteristics and incident malignant melanoma risk ( $N=102,447)^{1}$

|  | Invasive Melano | ( $\mathrm{N}=1,015$ cases) | In Situ Mela | ( $\mathrm{N}=904$ cases) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ Cases/ $N$ | HR (95\% CI) | $N$ Cases/ $N$ | HR (95\% CI) |
| Characteristic: |  |  |  |  |
| Teacher type: |  |  |  |  |
| Multiple subject teachers | 560/54,218 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 464/54,218 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Single subject teachers | 257/28,194 | 0.92 (0.79-1.07) | 236/28,194 | 1.00 (0.86-1.17) |
| Pupil services | 42/4,433 | 0.88 (0.64-1.21) | 45/4,433 | 1.12 (0.88-1.44) |
| Administrators | 40/2,732 | 1.38 (1.00-1.91) | 29/2,732 |  |
| Multiple roles, other | 116/12,870 | 0.88 (0.72-1.08) | 130/12,870 | 1.15 (0.94-1.39) |
| Number of schools throug | hout employmen |  |  |  |
| 1 school | 152/17,716 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 144/17,716 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| 2-3 schools | 449/43,201 | 1.16 (0.96-1.40) | 394/43,201 | 1.03 (0.85-1.25) |
| $\geq 4$ schools | 414/41,530 | 1.04 (0.86-1.26) | 366/41,530 | 0.91 (0.75-1.11) |
| Years of employment in s | hool system: |  |  |  |
| < 10 yrs. | 225/26,216 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 173/26,216 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| 10-19 yrs. | 292/31,495 | 0.94 (0.78-1.14) | 280/31,495 | 1.03 (0.85-1.25) |
| $\geq 20 \mathrm{yrs}$. | 498/44,736 | 1.01 (0.83-1.23) | 451/44,736 | 0.91 (0.75-1.11) |
| Occupational physical act | vity: |  |  |  |
| $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 98/13,724 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 105/13,724 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| 2-6 hrs./day | 589/60,353 | 1.33 (1.08-1.65) | 558/60,353 | 1.14 (0.92-1.40) |
| $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day | 328/28,370 | 1.59 (1.25-2.02) | 241/28,370 | 1.00 (0.78-1.27) |
|  | $P$-trend ${ }^{2}$ : | 0.0001 |  |  |
| Power lines near school: |  |  |  |  |
| No power lines present | 150/14,123 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 130/14,123 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Power lines present | 625/62,829 | 0.91 (0.76-1.08) | 548/62,829 | 0.93 (0.77-1.12) |
| Don't Know | 240/25,495 | 0.89 (0.72-1.09) | 226/25,495 | 1.00 (0.80-1.24) |
| Occupational stress ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| High-risk | 373/42,154 | 1.00 (Ref) | 370/42,154 | 1.00 (Ref) |
| Low-risk | 173/20,174 | 0.97 (0.81-1.16) | 139/20,174 | 0.80 (0.66-0.97) |

${ }^{1}$ Cox proportional hazards regression models for invasive malignant melanoma risk adjusted for: age, recreational physical activity, family history of melanoma, age at first sun blister, personal history of mole removal, tendency of skin in sun without protection, and tanning habits from repeated sun exposure. In Situ melanoma models adjusted for age, recreational physical activity, age at first sun blister, personal history of mole removal, tendency of skin in sun without protection, and tanning habits from repeated sun exposure. ${ }^{2}$ Wald's test for trend across occupational physical activity levels by using an ordinal variable coded as the median value of the occupational physical activity category.
${ }^{3}$ Estimates computed for those respondents of the third follow-up questionnaire (Spring 2000) who had no prior malignant melanoma diagnosis ( $n=62,328$ ).

|  |  | Occupational physical activity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs}$./day | 2-6 hrs./day | $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$ //day | $\underline{P}$-trend ${ }^{1}$ |
| Recreational physical activity: |  |  |  |  |  |
| < 1.5 hrs ./wk. | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.25 (0.89-1.75) | $1.78 \text { (1.23-2.56) }$ | 0.002 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 40/6,046 | 226/27,197 | 151/13,737 |  |
| $\geq 1.5 \mathrm{hrs} . / \mathrm{wk}$. | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.40 (1.06-1.85) | 1.47 (1.08-2.00) | 0.02 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 58/7,678 | 363/33,156 | 177/14,633 |  |
| BMI ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| < Overweight | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.31 (1.01-1.71) | 1.51 (1.12-2.03) | 0.007 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 65/8,913 | 375/38,275 | 197/17,449 |  |
| $\geq$ Overweight | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.38 (0.96-1.99) | 1.76 (1.18-2.63) | 0.005 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 33/4,811 | 214/22,078 | 131/10,921 |  |
| Melanoma family history ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| No family history | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.28 (1.02-1.61) | 1.47 (1.15-1.89) | 0.002 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 89/12,392 | 523/55,075 | 289/26,066 |  |
| At least one first degree relative | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.79 (0.81-3.97) | 2.89 (1.22-6.83) | 0.01 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 7/925 | 51/3,643 | 31/1,402 |  |
| Mole removal family history: |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.45 (1.11-1.90) | 1.73 (1.30-2.32) | 0.0001 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 62/10,356 | 428/47,123 | 268/23,981 |  |
| Yes | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.12 (0.78-1.61) | 1.29 (0.83-1.99) | 0.28 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 36/3,368 | 161/13,320 | 60/4,389 |  |
| Skin tan levels from repeated sun exposure: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Light or no tan | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.57 (1.10-2.25) | 2.01 (1.37-2.97) | 0.0003 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 34/4370 | 248/19,529 | 156/9,431 |  |
| Medium tan | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.23 (0.91-1.68) | 1.40 (1.00-1.98) | 0.05 |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 49/6,356 | 274/28,868 | 142/13,699 |  |
| Deep tan | HR (95\%CI) | 1.00 (ref). | 1.14 (0.65-2.01) | 1.12 (0.57-2.18) |  |
|  | $n$ cases/n | 15/2,998 | 67/11,956 | 30/5,240 |  |
| ${ }^{1}$-Wald's test for trend across occupational physical activity levels by using an ordinal variable coded as the median value of the occupational physical activity category. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Overweight as defined by clinical BMI cut-points, $<$ Overweight: $<25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ and $\geq$ Overweight: $\geq 25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Estimates not shown for teachers with unknown family history and/or are adopted ( $n=2,944$ ). |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.4 DISCUSSION

In a population of female teachers in the CTS, after eighteen years of follow-up, we observed uniquely higher risk for endometrial cancers among teachers with multiple roles, and an elevated risk of invasive malignant melanoma among administrators. As with the comparison of cancer incidence rates among teachers and the female population of California reported in Chapter 3, occupational physical activity levels were continually shown to be associated with incidence of cancer among teachers. Specifically, we observed the risk of developing invasive breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma to be significantly elevated among teachers of the CTS with lower levels of occupational physical activity (i.e. none, $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr}$./day) when compared to teachers with greater occupational physical activity levels (i.e. $\geq 7 \mathrm{hrs} . / \mathrm{day}$ ). The elevated cancer incidence of invasive breast, endometrial, and malignant melanoma among teachers with decreased occupational physical activity compared to teachers with greater occupational physical activity was not dissipated by greater levels of recreational physical activity in this study. The risk adjusted estimates among teachers with minimal occupational (i.e. none, $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day) and greater recreational physical activity levels remained above one, and were observed to be higher than the estimates among teachers with both minimal occupational and recreational physical activity levels for invasive breast and endometrial cancers.

The overall evidence regarding the risk of developing breast cancer, endometrial cancer and malignant melanoma among teachers according to their occupational characteristics is sparse. Fortunately, previous studies have compared the cancer incidence rates among teachers to the general population according to several
occupational characteristics. Findings from a study conducted by Reynolds et al. provided intriguing evidence for notably higher rates of cancer development among "teachers" when compared to general California women, while lesser but still elevated rates for breast and malignant melanoma among "administrators and pupil service workers" [3]. Additional studies have also observed differences in cancer incidence among teachers according to employment position [9, 77]. Moreover, the estimates of cancer risk in our study among teachers with greater durations of employment were subtly elevated when compared to teachers with lower durations. Although, reports regarding the risk of developing cancer among teachers according to their durations of employment are sparse, results in this study align with findings from previous casecontrol studies [5, 8].

The strongest consistent relationship we observed between cancer risk and occupational characteristics in the CTS was with occupational physical activity (PA) levels. The elevated risk of invasive breast cancer, endometrial cancer and malignant melanoma among teachers who are sedentary throughout the workday is a novel finding that has not been previously examined in a population of female teachers. However, previous studies have examined this relationship among study populations consisting of heterogeneous occupational titles. Specifically, previous studies have reported an inverse association between occupational physical activity and incident cancer risk, but the evidence informing this topic is inconsistent. Occupational physical activity was inversely associated with invasive breast cancer risk among postmenopausal participants of the NIH-AARP cohort (HR for $\geq 9 \mathrm{hrs}$. vs. $<3 \mathrm{hrs}$./day sitting at work: $1.19,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 1.02-1.39$ ) [27]. Similar findings regarding the inverse
association between occupational physical activity and invasive breast and endometrial cancer risk have been previously reported [23, 28-29, 32, 78]. Additionally, the risk for incident melanoma has been previously shown to be inversely associated to occupational physical activity levels among Canadian and Turkish study populations [30-31]. Although findings from these past studies are generally similar to the results of this study, the overall evidence regarding occupational physical activity and incident cancer risk is not definitive, as seen among other studies that did not observe an inverse association [24-26, 31, 84]. Nonetheless, higher physical activity levels has a large body of evidence from observational and experimental studies supporting the beneficial effects on pathways such as oxidative stress and inflammation which are well-established "hallmarks of cancer" $[19,33,80]$.

Greater recreational physical activity levels was not observed to mitigate the elevated risk of invasive breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma associated with lower levels of occupational physical activity (i.e. none, $\leq 1 \mathrm{hr} . /$ day). Past studies examining the association between physical activity and the incidence of breast cancer have provided evidence for the inverse association, especially among postmenopausal women [26, 32]. Similar findings have been shown for endometrial cancer risk, which aligns with cancer biology for the shared risk factors among hormone-dependent cancer types [29, 85]. Malignant melanoma risk has not been previously shown to be inversely associated with recreational physical activity levels in which past studies have hypothesized greater levels of recreational physical activity increase exposure to solar ultraviolet radiation exposures thus serving to increase the risk [79]. Although increased recreational physical activity has been previously shown to
reduce the risk of invasive breast and endometrial cancer, the risk-adjusted estimates remained above one for the three cancer types of interest among those teachers with increased recreational physical activity levels thus suggesting the importance of physical activity levels in the workplace where teachers spend majority of their time.

There are several strengths of this study. It is the first study to examine the relationship between occupational characteristics and risk for three common cancers in a study population consisting entirely of female teachers. Moreover, this investigation utilized participants from the largest cohort study of female teachers and school administrators, the California Teachers Study ( $N=133,479$ ). Another strength can be found in our ability to examine a broad range of occupational characteristics among teachers and school administrators which was not available in past studies that examined the cancer risk of teachers. Furthermore, many teachers with distinct occupational characteristics developed the cancers of interest throughout the long period of follow-up (1995-2013) to provide crucial evidence for occupational factors related to teachers' cancer risk.

Limitations include some level of misclassification bias with the self-reported occupational characteristics, although this is likely non-differential with respect to disease status thus pushing estimates toward the null. We also had to exclude $\sim 3 \%$ of the study population due to incomplete occupational characteristic data, although they did not differ in any material way from the analytic population with ascertained cancer status. The self-report of other lifestyle-related data may also result in non-differential misclassification and residual confounding in our models. The California Teachers Study population is comprised of $\sim 87 \%$ non-Hispanic white teachers and thus can
potentially be considered slightly non-representative, however a good understanding of the biological mechanism between occupational characteristics and incident cancer risk can overcome any issues with generalizability. Lastly, changes in occupational characteristics of teachers occurring after baseline would further inform the study results, but were not available.

In conclusion, across the occupational characteristics of interest, the risk for incident cancer was most consistently elevated among teachers with lower occupational physical activity levels among the three cancer types examined in this study. Additionally, the risk remained elevated among teachers who had greater exercise levels (i.e. recreational physical activity). Therefore, with the previous reports of uniquely higher risk for incident cancer among teachers, as an occupational group, inadequate occupational physical activity should be a topic of interest to inform future research, policy, and recommendations to potentially reduce the elevated rate of cancer development among teachers.

## CHAPTER 5

## The Risk of Incident Breast Cancer, Endometrial Cancer, and Malignant Melanoma According to the Medication Intake of Teachers <br> 5.1 INTRODUCTION

Gaining a better understanding of preventive factors related to cancer risk has the potential of reducing the reported elevated breast, endometrial, and malignant melanoma risk among teachers as an occupational group [2]. Specifically, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and cholesterol-lowering medication use has been a topic of interest in the scientific literature given their ability to interfere with tumorigenic pathways necessary for aggressive tumor formation [34, 45-46, 50-51]. The findings regarding NSAIDs use and risk of incident melanoma [49], breast [47], and endometrial cancers [48] were suggestively inverse but inconsistent thus precluding any definitive conclusions regarding this topic. Moreover, the overall findings from past studies examining Statin use on the risk of various incident cancers (i.e. breast, endometrial, and malignant melanoma) are shaky and inconsistent [65-66]

Indeed, the inconsistent findings across studies may be partially accounted to difference in measurement methods (i.e. regular use vs. long-term use) and the lack of assessment into cancer-susceptible populations, which suggests the need to further examine these relationships among the susceptible occupational cohort of the CTS [2]. Specifically, the ability to detect significant differences in risk is limited when examining exposures with a proposed modest effect (i.e. NSAIDs and Statins) in study populations that have a low vulnerability for the outcome of interest and may account for past inconsistent findings. Lastly, the assessment of study populations comprised of vastly
different participants in terms of sociodemographic profiles may introduce systematic errors (i.e. confounding bias) thus potentially hampering the ability to make statistical inferences regarding the association medication intake and incident cancer risk.

Therefore, this investigation examined the association between medication use and risk of three incident cancers (i.e. breast, endometrial, malignant melanoma) in a homogenous sociodemographic study population that has been shown to have an increased cancer vulnerability compared to the general population [2]. Due to the breadth of discordant findings regarding this topic, it is critically important to further examine the association of medication use and risk of various cancers to potentially lower the elevated incidence rate among teachers through improving guidelines, policy, and advocacy in this field.

### 5.2 METHODS

Chapter 2 contains the detailed description of the California Teachers Study (CTS) population, as well as the assessment of teacher's medication intake and cancer risk factor characteristics.

Given our interest in incident cancer risk among teachers according to their medication intakes, teachers who lacked complete data concerning the medication intakes of interest were further excluded from the Aim 2 analytic samples (Figure 5.1).

FIGURE 5.1: Flowchart of the study selection process of Aim 3 analytic sample

${ }^{a}$ Exclusion criteria for Aim 3 analyses included further exclusions of CTS teachers who lacked complete data regarding their medication intake characteristic information.

### 5.2.1 Incident cancer risk according to medication intake

Multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models, using age (in days) as the time metric and stratified by age at baseline (in years), were utilized to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) along with $95 \%$ confidence intervals ( $95 \% \mathrm{Cls}$ ) of breast cancer (in situ and invasive), endometrial cancer (invasive), and malignant melanoma (in situ and invasive) according to the medication intake of teachers. For the medication intake of interest (i.e. aspirin, ibuprofen, acetaminophen, statins), frequency of use was categorized into no use and regular use (i.e. 1-3 days/ week, 4-6 days/week, every day), and duration of use was assessed as not regular, less than 5 years, and greater than 5 years- similar to past studies [86]. Teachers were followed from the date they completed the baseline questionnaire until diagnosis with the given cancer type, death, a move out of California, or the end of follow-up (December 31, 2013), whichever occurred first. There was no evidence the proportional hazard assumption was violated through modeling interaction terms of follow-up time with each of the medication intakes
of interest. All statistical tests were 2-sided and conducted with SAS software (version 9.4; SAS Institute).

Chapter 4 contains a detailed description of the various adjustments for the cancerspecific multivariable cox proportional hazard regression models

### 5.3 RESULTS

### 5.3.1 Incident breast cancer risk among teachers in the CTS

### 5.3.1.1 Medication intake of teachers according to incident breast cancer case status

Of the 114,662 teachers that were included in the Aim 2 analyses, 113,031 teachers were found to have complete medication intake data and thus eligible for this study. Of the eligible participants, 5,698 and 1,388 teachers were diagnosed with invasive and in situ breast cancer, respectively, throughout the eighteen-year follow-up period (1995-2013). Table 5.1 shows the medication intake of CTS teachers overall and according to incident breast cancer status. Teachers in the CTS were primarily nonregular users of aspirin (78\%), acetaminophen (87\%), ibuprofen (80\%), and statins (76\%). Among those in the CTS with regular medication intake, teacher more often exhibited aspirin intake of $\geq 5$ years (14\%), acetaminophen intake of $\geq 5$ years ( $8 \%$ ), and ibuprofen intake of $<5$ years (13\%). The teachers diagnosed with invasive breast cancer during follow-up more often exhibited regular aspirin use with greater durations of use (i.e. $\geq 5$ years), non-regular acetaminophen use, regular ibuprofen use, and regular statin use. Teachers who developed in situ breast cancer during the study were more likely to be aspirin users with greater durations of use, acetaminophen and ibuprofen users with shorter durations of use (i.e. $<5$ years), and statin users.

| Characteristic: | Non-Cases $(n=105,945)$ | Invasive Cases $(n=5,698)$ | In Situ Cases $(n=1,388)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overall } \\ (N=113,031) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N (\%)* | $\underline{\mathrm{N}(\%)^{*}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{N}(\%)^{*}}$ | N (\%) |
| Aspirin Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 82,846 (94.0) | 4,247 (4.8) | 1,061 (1.2) | 88,154 (78.0) |
| Regular use | 23,099 (92.9) | 1,451 (5.8) | 327 (1.3) | 24,877 (22.0) |
| Total: | 105,945 | 5,698 | 1,388 | 113,031 |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 82,846 (94.0) | 4,247 (4.8) | 1,061 (1.2) | 88,154 (78.0) |
| Less than 5 years | 8,848 (93.4) | 515 (5.4) | 112 (1.2) | 9,475 (8.4) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 14,251 (92.5) | 936 (6.1) | 215 (1.4) | 15,402 (13.6) |
| Total: | 105,945 | 5,698 | 1,388 | 113,031 |
| Acetaminophen Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 92,003 (93.7) | 5,013 (5.1) | 1,196 (1.2) | 98,212 (86.9) |
| Regular use: | 13,942 (94.1) | 685 (4.6) | 192 (1.3) | 14,819 (13.1) |
| Total: | 105,945 | 5,698 | 1,388 | 113,031 |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 92,003 (93.7) | 5,013 (5.1) | 1,196 (1.2) | 98,212 (86.9) |
| Less than 5 years | 5,194 (94.1) | 241 (4.4) | 83 (1.5) | 5,518 (4.9) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 8,748 (94.1) | 444 (4.8) | 109 (1.2) | 9,301 (8.2) |
| Total: | 105,945 | 5,698 | 1,388 | 113,031 |
| Ibuprofen <br> Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 85,038 (93.8) | 4,506 (5.0) | 1,092 (1.2) | 90,636 (80.2) |
| Regular use: | 20,907 (93.4) | 1,192 (5.3) | 296 (1.3) | 22,395 (19.8) |
| Total: | 105,945 | 5,698 | 1,388 | 113,031 |
| Not regular | 85,038 (93.8) | 4,506 (5.0) | 1,092 (1.2) | 90,636 (80.2) |
| Less than 5 years | 13,719 (93.3) | 782 (5.3) | 203 (1.4) | 14,704 (13.0) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 7,188 (93.5) | 410 (5.3) | 93 (1.2) | 7,691 (6.8) |
| Total: | 105,945 | 5,698 | 1,388 | 113,031 |
| Statin use ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 39,403 (92.6) | 2,492 (5.9) | 629 (1.5) | 45,524 (76.1) |
| Regular use | 12,166 (91.2) | 916 (6.9) | 250 (1.9) | 13,332 (23.9) |
| Total: | 51,569 | 3,408 | 879 | 55,856 |

[^1]
### 5.3.1.2 Associations between medication intake and incident breast

## cancer risk

Table 5.2 displays the hazard ratios for incidence of invasive pre- and postmenopausal breast cancer according to the medication intake of teachers. Throughout the eighteen years of follow-up in the CTS, we observed significantly elevated risks of pre- and postmenopausal invasive breast cancer among various medication intakes. Specifically, the risk of breast cancer among postmenopausal teachers was significantly elevated among regular users of ibuprofen when compared to non-regular users in the CTS (HR 1.10, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 1.02-1.19). Moreover, the risk was observed to be greater among those teachers with longer durations of use (HR for $\geq 5$ years vs no regular use: $1.14,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 1.01-1.28, P$-trend= 0.01 ). There was evidence for a modest positive association between aspirin use and postmenopausal invasive breast cancer risk (HR for $\geq 5$ years vs no regular use: $1.08,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 1.00-1.17$ ). Conversely, premenopausal breast cancer risk was not observed to significantly differ according to the medication intake of teachers in the CTS cohort.

The hazard ratios for the incidence of pre- and postmenopausal in situ breast cancer according to the various medication intake of CTS teachers can be found in Table 5.3. Among postmenopausal teachers, we observed the incidence of in situ breast cancer to be moderately elevated among those who regularly use acetaminophen for less than 5 years when compared to non-regular users (HR 1.44, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 1.09-1.89)$. For premenopausal teachers, regular ibuprofen use for less than 5 years was associated with $28 \%$ higher risk when compared non-regular users (HR 1.28, $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 1.00-1.63)$. For the remaining medication intakes, we did not detect any
significant associations to in situ breast cancer risk among pre- and postmenopausal teachers. However, there were several marginally insignificant associations that suggest regular intake is related to the development of in situ breast cancer among teachers. Specifically, there was suggestive evidence for the elevated incidence of postmenopausal in situ breast cancer among regular statin users when compared to non-regular users (HR 1.14, 95\% CI: 0.96-1.35). Lastly, in situ breast cancer risk among premenopausal teachers was suggestively associated to greater durations of aspirin intake (24\% higher), and regular acetaminophen use (19\% higher).

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Postmenopausal } \\ \text { ( }=65,615 / 4,240 \text { Cases) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Premenopausal ( $N=47,416 / 1,458$ Cases) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ Cases/ $N$ | HR (95\% CI) | $\underline{N}$ Cases/ $N$ | HR (95\% CI) |
| Characteristic: |  |  |  |  |
| Aspirin |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 3,038/47,690 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1,209/40,464 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 1,202/17,925 | 1.05 (0.98-1.12) | 249/6,952 | 1.03 (0.90-1.18) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 3,038/47,690 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1,209/40,464 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Less than 5 years | 435/6,850 | 0.99 (0.90-1.10) | 80/2,625 | 0.92 (0.73-1.15) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 767/11,075 | 1.08 (1.00-1.17*) | 169/4,327 | 1.10 (0.93-1.29) |
|  | P-trend: | 0.0776 |  |  |
| Acetaminophen |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 3,761/57,721 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1,252/40,491 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 479/7,894 | 0.99 (0.90-1.09) | 206/6,925 | 0.99 (0.86-1.15) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 3761/57,721 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1252/40,941 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Less than 5 years | 169/2,974 | 0.93 (0.80-1.08) | 72/2,544 | 0.98 (0.77-1.24) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 310/4,920 | 1.03 (0.91-1.15) | 134/4,381 | 1.00 (0.84-1.20) |
| Ibuprofen |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 3376/53,238 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1130/37,398 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 864/12,377 | 1.10 (1.02-1.19) | 328/10,018 | 1.06 (0.93-1.19) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 3376/53,238 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1130/37,398 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Less than 5 years | 569/8,278 | 1.08 (0.99-1.18) | 213/6,426 | 1.06 (0.92-1.23) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 295/4,099 | 1.14 (1.01-1.28) | 115/3,592 | 1.05 (0.86-1.27) |
|  | P-trend: | 0.01 |  |  |
| Statin use ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 1711/22,027 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 781/20,497 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 794/10,508 | 0.93 (0.85-1.01) | 122/2,824 | 0.89 (0.73-1.08) |
| ${ }^{1}$ Cox proportional hazards regression models for postmenopausal invasive breast cancer risk adjusted for: age, hormone therapy status, family history of breast cancer, age at menopause, age at menarche, smoking status, alcohol intake ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{day}$ ), BMI ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ ), and SES. Premenopausal models adjusted for: age, family history of breast cancer, parity status, and recreational physical activity (hrs./wk.). <br> ${ }^{2}$-Wald's test for trend across medication intake levels by using an ordinal variable coded as the median value of the medication intake category. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Estimates computed only among those respondents of the fourth follow-up questionnaire who had no prior breast cancer diagnosis ( $n=55,856$ ). |  |  |  |  |


|  | Postmenopausal ( $N=65,615 / 921$ Cases) |  | Premenopausal( $N=47,416 / 467$ Cases) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ Cases/ $N$ | HR (95\% CI) | $N$ Cases/ $N$ | HR (95\% CI) |
| Characteristic: |  |  |  |  |
| Aspirin |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 676/47,690 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 385/40,464 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 245/17,925 | 0.98 (0.85-1.13) | 82/6,952 | 1.09 (0.86-1.39) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 676/47,690 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 385/40,464 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Less than 5 years | 89/6,850 | 0.95 (0.76-1.19) | 23/2,625 | 0.84 (0.55-1.28) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 156/11,075 | 1.00 (0.84-1.19) | 59/4,327 | 1.24 (0.94-1.63) |
| Acetaminophen |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 806/57,721 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 390/40,491 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 115/7,894 | 1.11 (0.91-1.35) | 77/6,925 | 1.19 (0.93-1.53) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 806/57,721 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 390/40,491 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Less than 5 years | 55/2,974 | 1.44 (1.09-1.89) | 28/2,544 | 1.23 (0.84-1.81) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 60/4,920 | 0.92 (0.70-1.19) | 49/4,381 | 1.17 (0.87-1.58) |
| Ibuprofen |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 740/53,238 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 352/37,398 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 181/12,377 | 1.04 (0.88-1.23) | 115/10,018 | 1.21 (0.98-1.49) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 740/53,238 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 352/37,398 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Less than 5 years | 124/8,278 | 1.07 (0.88-1.29) | 79/6,426 | 1.28 (1.00-1.63) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 57/4,099 | 0.98 (0.75-1.29) | 36/3,592 | 1.08 (0.76-1.52) |
| Statin use ${ }^{2}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 383/22,027 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 246/20,497 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 209/10,508 | 1.14 (0.96-1.35) | 41/2,824 | 1.05 (0.75-1.48) |
| ${ }^{1}$ Cox proportional hazards regression models for Postmenopausal in situ breast cancer risk adjusted for: age, family history of breast cancer, hormone therapy status, parity status, smoking status, and alcohol intake (g/day). Premenopausal models adjusted for: age, family history of breast cancer, age at menarche, and $\mathrm{BMI}\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Estimates computed only among those respondents of the fourth follow-up questionnaire who had no prior breast cancer diagnosis ( $n=55,856$ ). |  |  |  |  |

### 5.3.2 Incident endometrial cancer risk among teachers in the CTS

### 5.3.2.1 Medication intake of teachers according to incident endometrial cancer case status

Of the 118,481 teachers that were included in the Aim 2 analyses, 116,868 teachers were found to have complete medication intake data and thus eligible for this study. Of the eligible participants, 1,332 teachers were diagnosed with invasive endometrial cancer throughout the eighteen-year follow-up period (1995-2013). The medication intake of CTS teachers according to incident endometrial cancer status are presented in Table 5.4. Teachers in the CTS were primarily non-regular users of aspirin (78\%), acetaminophen (87\%), ibuprofen (80\%), and statins (76\%). Among those in the CTS with regular medication intake, teachers more often exhibited aspirin intake of $\geq 5$ years ( $14 \%$ ), acetaminophen intake of $\geq 5$ years ( $8 \%$ ), and ibuprofen intake of $<5$ years (13\%). The teachers diagnosed with invasive endometrial cancer during follow-up were more often regular users of aspirin with lower durations of use (i.e. $<5$ years), non-regular users of acetaminophen, regular users of ibuprofen with lower durations of use, and regular users of statins.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Non-Cases } \\ (n=115,536) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Invasive Cases $(n=1,332)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overall } \\ (N=116,868) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic: | N (\%)* | N (\%)* | N (\%) |
| Aspirin |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 90,069 (98.9) | 1,015 (1.1) | 91,084 (77.9) |
| Regular use | 25,467 (98.8) | 317 (1.2) | 25,784 (22.1) |
| Total: | 115,536 | 1,332 | 116,868 |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 90,069 (98.9) | 1,015 (1.1) | 91,084 (77.9) |
| Less than 5 years | 9,725 (98.7) | 131 (1.3) | 9,856 (8.4) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 15,742 (98.8) | 186 (1.2) | 15,928 (13.6) |
| Total: | 115,536 | 1,332 | 116,868 |
| Acetaminophen |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 100,419 (98.8) | 1,194 (1.2) | 101,613 (87.0) |
| Regular use | 15,117 (99.1) | 138 (0.9) | 15,255 (13.1) |
| Total: | 115,536 | 1,332 | 116,868 |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 100,419 (98.8) | 1,194 (1.2) | 101,613 (87.0) |
| Less than 5 years | 5,635 (99.0) | 56 (1.0) | 5,691 (4.9) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 9,482 (99.1) | 82 (0.9) | 9,564 (8.2) |
| Total: | 115,536 | 1,332 | 116,868 |
| Ibuprofen |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 92,734 (98.9) | 1,064 (1.1) | 93,798 (80.3) |
| Regular use | 22,802 (98.8) | 268 (1.2) | 23,070 (19.7) |
| Total: | 115,536 | 1,332 | 116,868 |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 92,734 (98.9) | 1,064 (1.1) | 93,798 (80.3) |
| Less than 5 years | 14,979 (98.8) | 182 (1.2) | 15,161 (13.0) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 7,823 (98.9) | 86 (1.1) | 7,909 (6.8) |
| Total: | 115,536 | 1,332 | 116,868 |
| Statin Use ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 43,201 (98.8) | 507 (1.2) | 43,708 (75.8) |
| Regular use | 13,710 (98.3) | 242 (1.7) | 13,952 (24.2) |
| Total: | 56,911 | 749 | 57,660 |
| * Proportions depict percent of cancer cases according to medication intake. <br> ${ }^{1}$ Computed only among those respondents of the fourth follow-up questionnaire who had no prior endometrial cancer diagnosis ( $n=57,660$ ). |  |  |  |

### 5.3.2.2 Associations between medication intake and incident endometrial cancer risk

The hazard ratios for incident endometrial cancer according to the medication intake of CTS teachers are depicted in Table 5.5. Throughout the eighteen years of follow-up in the CTS, we observed no statistically significant association between any of the medications and invasive endometrial cancer risk. However, there were several marginally insignificant inverse associations among the medication intakes of interest. Specifically, the strongest evidence for association was observed among regular users of acetaminophen (HR regular use vs. non-regular use: $0.86,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.72-1.03$ ) which became stronger with greater durations of use (HR for $\geq 5$ years vs. non-regular use: $0.83,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 0.66-1.04)$. Additionally, the risk was suggestively lower among regular aspirin users with greater durations of use (HR for $\geq 5$ years vs. non-regular use: 0.91, 95\% CI: 0.78-1.07).

| Characteristic: | $N$ Cases/ $N$ | HR (95\% CI) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aspirin |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |
| Not regular | 1,015/91,084 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 317/25,784 | 0.95 (0.83-1.08) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |
| Not regular | 1,015/91,084 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Less than 5 years | 131/9,856 | 1.00 (0.83-1.20) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 186/15,928 | 0.91 (0.78-1.07) |
| Acetaminophen |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |
| Not regular | 1,194/101,613 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 138/15,255 | 0.86 (0.72-1.03) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |
| Not regular | 1,194/101,613 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Less than 5 years | 56/5,691 | 0.91 (0.69-1.19) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 82/9,564 | 0.83 (0.66-1.04) |
|  | P-trend: | 0.0872 |
| Ibuprofen |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |
| Not regular | 1,064/93,798 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 268/23,070 | 1.02 (0.89-1.17) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |
| Not regular | 1,064/93,798 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Less than 5 years | 182/15,161 | 1.05 (0.89-1.23) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 86/7,909 | 0.98 (0.79-1.22) |
| Statin use ${ }^{3}$ : |  |  |
| Not regular: | 507/43,708 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use: | 242/13,952 | 1.06 (0.91-1.24) |
| ${ }^{1}$ Cox proportional hazards regression models for invasive endometrial cancer risk adjusted for: age, menopausal status, hormone therapy status, personal history of endometriosis, age at menopause, BMI, parity status, and age at menarche. <br> ${ }^{2}$-Wald's test for trend across medication intake levels by using an ordinal variable coded as the median value of the medication intake category. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Estimates computed only among those respondents of the fourth follow-up questionnaire who had no prior endometrial cancer diagnosis ( $n=57,660$ ). |  |  |

### 5.3.3 Incident malignant melanoma risk among teachers in the CTS

### 5.3.3.1 Medication intake of teachers according to incident malignant melanoma case status

Of the 102,447 teachers that were included in the Aim 2 analyses, 101,137 teachers were found to have complete medication intake data and thus eligible for this study. Of the eligible participants, 1,007 and 891 teachers were diagnosed with invasive and in situ malignant melanoma, respectively, throughout the eighteen-year follow-up period (1995-2013). The medication intake of CTS teachers according to incident MM status are presented in Table 5.6. Teachers in the CTS were primarily non-regular users of aspirin (77\%), acetaminophen (87\%), ibuprofen (80\%), and statins (76\%). Among those in the CTS with regular medication intake, teacher more often exhibited aspirin intake of $\geq 5$ years ( $14 \%$ ), acetaminophen intake of $\geq 5$ years ( $8 \%$ ), and ibuprofen intake of <5 years (13\%). The teachers diagnosed with invasive MM cancer during follow-up were more often non-regular users of aspirin and acetaminophen, regular users of ibuprofen with greater durations of use (i.e. $\geq 5$ years), and regular statin users. Teachers who developed in situ MM during the study were more often non-regular users of aspirin, acetaminophen, and were equally likely to exhibit non-regular or regular intake for ibuprofen, and statins.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Non-Cases } \\ & (n=99,239) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Invasive Cases $(n=1,007)$ | In Situ Cases $(n=891)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Overall } \\ (N=101,137) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Characteristic: | N (\%)* | N (\%)* | N (\%)* | N (\%) |
| Aspirin <br> Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 76,366 (98.1) | 793 (1.0) | 696 (0.9) | 77,855 (77.0) |
| Regular use | 22,873 (98.2) | 214 (0.9) | 195 (0.8) | 23,282 (23.0) |
| Total: Duration of use (y): | 99,239 | 1,007 | 891 | 101,137 |
| Not Regular | 76,366 (98.1) | 793 (1.0) | 696 (0.9) | 77,855 (77.0) |
| Less than 5 years | 8,636 (98.2) | 77 (0.9) | 77 (0.9) | 8,790 (8.7) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 14,237 (98.2) | 137 (0.9) | 118 (0.8) | 14,492 (14.3) |
|  | 99,239 | 1,007 | 891 | 101,137 |
| Acetaminophen <br> Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 86,244 (98.1) | 880 (1.0) | 791 (0.9) | 87,915 (86.9) |
| Regular use | 12,995 (98.3) | 127 (1.0) | 100 (0.8) | 13,222 (13.1) |
| Total: <br> Duration of use (y): | 99,239 | 1,007 | 891 | 101,137 |
| Not regular | 86,244 (98.1) | 880 (1.0) | 791 (0.9) | 87,915 (86.9) |
| Less than 5 years | 4,812 (98.0) | 54 (1.1) | 42 (0.9) | 4,908 (4.9) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 8,183 (98.4) | 73 (0.9) | 58 (0.7) | 8,314 (8.2) |
| Total: | 99,239 | 1,007 | 891 | 101,137 |
| Ibuprofen |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 79,226 (98.1) | 796 (1.0) | 717 (0.9) | 80,739 (79.8) |
| Regular use | 20,013 (98.1) | 211 (1.0) | 174 (0.9) | 20,398 (20.2) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 79,226 (98.1) | 796 (1.0) | 717 (0.9) | 80,739 (79.8) |
| Less than 5 years | 13,087 (98.2) | 134 (1.0) | 104 (0.8) | 13,325 (13.2) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 6,926 (97.9) | 77 (1.1) | 70 (1.0) | 7,073 (7.0) |
| Total: | 99,239 | 1,007 | 891 | 101,137 |
| Statin use ${ }^{1}$ : |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 38,315 (98.8) | 242 (0.6) | 242 (0.6) | 38,799 (75.5) |
| Regular use | 12,412 (98.6) | 94 (0.8) | 78 (0.6) | 12,584 (24.5) |
| Total: | 50,727 | 336 | 320 | 51,383 |
| * Proportions depict percent of cancer cases according to medication intake. <br> ${ }^{1}$ Computed only among those respondents of the fourth follow-up questionnaire who had no prior malignant melanoma diagnosis ( $n=51,383$ ). |  |  |  |  |

### 5.3.3.2 Associations between medication intake and incident malignant melanoma risk

The multivariable hazard ratios for malignant melanoma risk according to medication intake of teachers are shown in Table 5.7. Overall, we observed a reduced risk of incident malignant melanoma among teachers who regularly use antiinflammatory medications. Specifically, regular aspirin use was moderately associated with a lower risk of invasive malignant melanoma (HR for regular vs non-regular use: $0.83,95 \% \mathrm{Cl}: 0.71-0.97$ ). Additionally, teachers with aspirin use of less than 5 years experienced a greater reduction in the risk of invasive MM (20\% lower) when compared to non-regular users (HR $0.80,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.63-1.01$ ). Moreover, the risk of in situ tumor types was significantly lower among teachers who regularly use aspirin (15\% lower), and those with greater durations of usage (18\% lower) $(P$-trend for duration of use $=$ 0.04). Additionally, we observed suggestive evidence for the inverse relationship between in situ MM risk and greater durations of acetaminophen use among teachers in the CTS cohort (HR for $\geq 5$ years vs. non-regular: $0.78,95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 0.60-1.02$ ).

| Invasive Melanoma ( $\mathrm{N}=1,007$ cases) |  |  | In Situ Melanoma ( $\mathrm{N}=891$ cases) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\boldsymbol{N}$ Cases/ $N$ | HR (95\% CI) | $N$ Cases/ $N$ | HR (95\% CI) |
| Characteristic: |  |  |  |  |
| Aspirin |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 793/77,855 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 696/77,855 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 214/23,282 | 0.83 (0.71-0.97) | 195/23,282 | 0.85 (0.73-1.00) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 793/77,855 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 696/77,855 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Less than 5 years | 77/8,790 | 0.80 (0.63-1.01) | 77/8,790 | 0.90 (0.71-1.14) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 137/14,492 | 0.85 (0.71-1.02) | 118/14,492 | 0.82 (0.68-1.00) |
|  |  |  | P-trend: | 0.04 |
| Acetaminophen: |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 880/87,915 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 791/87,915 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 127/13,222 | 0.95 (0.79-1.15) | 100/13,222 | 0.86 (0.70-1.06) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 880/87,915 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 791/87,915 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Less than 5 years | 54/4,908 | 1.10 (0.83-1.45) | 42/4,908 | 0.98 (0.72-1.34) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 73/8,314 | 0.87 (0.68-1.11) | 58/8,314 | 0.78 (0.60-1.02) |
| Ibuprofen |  |  |  |  |
| Frequency of use: |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 796/80,739 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 717/80,739 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 211/20,398 | 1.03 (0.88-1.20) | 174/20,398 | 0.95 (0.80-1.12) |
| Duration of use (y): |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 796/80,739 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 717/80,739 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Less than 5 years | 134/13,325 | 0.99 (0.83-1.19) | 104/13,325 | 0.85 (0.70-1.05) |
| $\geq 5$ years | 77/7,073 | 1.10 (0.87-1.39) | 70/7,073 | 1.13 (0.88-1.44) |
| Statin Use ${ }^{\text {3 }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Not regular | 242/38,799 | 1.00 (Ref.) | 242/38,799 | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Regular use | 94/12,584 | 1.05 (0.82-1.34) | 78/12,584 | 0.83 (0.64-1.07) |
| ${ }^{1}$ Cox proportional hazards regression models for invasive malignant melanoma risk adjusted for: age, family history of melanoma, age at first sun blister, personal history of mole removal, tendency of skin in sun without protection, and tendency of skin to repeated sun exposure. In Situ melanoma models adjusted for age, age at first sun blister, personal history of mole removal, tendency of skin in sun without protection, and tendency of skin to repeated sun exposure. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Wald's test for trend across medication intake levels by using an ordinal variable coded as the median value of the medication intake category. <br> ${ }^{3}$ Estimates computed only among those respondents of the fourth follow-up questionnaire who had no prior malignant melanoma diagnosis ( $n=51,383$ ). |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

### 5.4 DISCUSSION

After eighteen years of follow-up in the CTS (1995-2013), we identified several medication intake behaviors of teachers associated with incident cancer risk. Specifically, regular use of aspirin was moderately associated with lower risk for malignant melanoma and suggestive evidence for the modestly reduced risk of in situ malignant melanoma among regular acetaminophen and ibuprofen users. Moreover, there was an inverse association between aspirin, and acetaminophen and endometrial cancer risk, albeit marginally nonsignificant. Conversely, regular use of NSAIDs was observed to be positively associated with risk for postmenopausal breast cancer. In terms of Statin use, we observed mixed results across the three cancer types of interest. The association between statin use and risk of invasive breast cancer, and in situ malignant melanoma was suggestively inverse, while effect sizes for regular statin use were above one for invasive endometrial cancer and postmenopausal in situ breast cancer, although marginally nonsignificant.

Although past studies investigating cancer risk among teachers according to their medication intake are sparse, the relationship between medication intake and cancer risk has been a topic of interest in research and our findings generally align with the published reports. Specifically, regular aspirin use has been previously shown to increase the risk for incident breast cancer [46-47], and decrease the risk for endometrial cancer [14, 87] and malignant melanoma [46, 49, 88-89]. Furthermore, regular ibuprofen use has been previously shown to be positively associated with invasive breast cancer risk [90-92]. The results regarding acetaminophen use in this cohort were somewhat consistent with past investigations in which previous studies
observed suggestive evidence for lower endometrial cancer [14] and malignant melanoma risk [93-94], and suggestive evidence for the positive association with in situ postmenopausal breast cancer risk [95]. The studies examining Statin use on the risk of various incident cancers have provided more confusion than clarity regarding this matter. Specifically, a study conducted across three US hospitals found no association between statin use and risk of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma [66]. While other studies report mixed results as current statin use was associated with lower risks of incident malignant melanoma (21\% decrease), and endometrial cancer (35\% decrease), but was not observed with breast cancer (11\% increase) [65].

This study has several strengths. This is the first study to examine the risk of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma according to medication intake among female teachers, an occupational group that has been previously shown to have an increased susceptibility for the cancers of interest [2]. Additional strengths include the extensive period of follow-up (i.e. 1995-2013) in which many teachers with distinct medication intake behaviors developed the cancers of interest thus providing crucial evidence for medications related to cancer risk. Moreover, the large number of events across the three cancer types in this study coupled with the long follow-up period increases the precision of risk-adjusted estimates thus improving our ability to make strong statistical inferences. The detailed information regarding medication intake in the CTS is another strength of this study that allowed for a more comprehensive assessment of the association between medications and cancer risk.

Limitations included some level of misclassification bias with the self-reported medication intake, although this is likely non-differential with respect to disease status and thus may have pushed estimates towards the null. Additionally, we excluded $\sim 1 \%$ of the study population due to incomplete medication intake information, although they did not materially differ from the participants included in the analytic population. Information regarding changes in medication intake occurring after baseline and before the end of follow-up would further inform the study results, but were not available. Lastly, the self-report of other lifestyle-related data may also result in non-differential misclassification and residual confounding in our models.

In conclusion, across the medications of interest, the most notable and consistent association to incident cancer risk was with anti-inflammatory medication intake in this study. Specifically, regular use of aspirin was associated with a lower risk of incident malignant melanoma. There was suggestive evidence, albeit non-significant, for a reduced risk of endometrial cancer among regular anti-inflammatory medication users. Furthermore, regular anti-inflammatory medication use in the CTS was associated with an elevated risk of invasive and in situ breast cancer. Conversely, the association between regular statin use and risk for the cancers of interest in this study was overall null, however the evidence for a reduced risk of invasive breast cancer and in situ malignant melanoma was marginally insignificant thus implying the need for future research. Therefore, given the scientific literature regarding the elevated risk of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma among teachers, antiinflammatory medication use should be a topic of interest to inform future research, policy, and recommendation to potentially reduce the elevated risk of cancer.

## CHAPTER 6

## Summary and Conclusions

### 6.1 OVERALL SUMMARY

In summary, we observed female teachers of the CTS to have excess cancer incidences of breast, endometrial, and malignant melanoma than would be expected based on statewide incidence data after eighteen years of follow-up. Upon further investigation of cancer incidence according to the teachers' occupational characteristics, there were distinct occupational factors associated with each cancer type. Most notably, the strong evidence observed for the inverse relationship between occupational physical activity and cancer risk across the three cancer types of interest, which was not attenuated by greater levels of recreational physical activity. In addition, regular use of anti-inflammatory medications was associated with a reduced risk of malignant melanoma and a suggestively inverse association to endometrial cancer risk, whereas the risk of breast cancer was significantly elevated among teachers who regularly used these medications- consistent with previous findings. In our present analysis, the relationship between malignant melanoma, breast, and endometrial cancer risk and statin use is unclear.

### 6.2 STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS

The California Teachers Study is an ongoing prospective cohort study, currently in its $24^{\text {th }}$ year of follow-up, and is the largest occupational cohort consisting entirely of female teachers $(N=133,479)$ thus providing an ideal study population for this current investigation. Additionally, participants of the CTS were 22-104 years old at study
enrollment and thus is not limited in its ability to help inform recommendation, policy, and advocacy across all age groups unlike other existing study populations. All participants of the CTS are followed from the date they completed the baseline questionnaire until cancer diagnosis, death, the end of follow-up, or lost to follow up (e.g. move out of California without further follow-up), whichever occurs first. Furthermore, all incident cases are identified through linkage with the California Cancer Registry (CCR) records, a population-based cancer registry for California residents. The state of California reports $>99 \%$ of all cancer diagnoses to the CCR for current residents, so cohort members are actively followed for cancer outcomes without the need for further contact [72] and thus devoid of issues such as outcome misclassification bias that are inherent in study populations with self-reported health outcome status. Moreover, all participants of the CTS completed a comprehensive 16page baseline questionnaire that collected a wide range of information including occupational, familial, lifestyle and demographic characteristics, which bolsters the efficiency of statistical analyses by allowing for the calculation of more precise riskadjusted estimates that account for well-known risk factors and various confounders.

Previous investigations into cancer risk among teachers have been limited to examining the risk among teachers overall, due to their lack of information regarding occupational characteristics. This present study improves upon these earlier investigations by examining cancer incidence among teachers according to a broad range of occupational characteristics thus providing more insight into teacher-specific occupational risk factors associated with various cancer types. Furthermore, these earlier investigations relate the cancer risk of teachers to the general population through
calculating Standardized Incidence Ratios (SIRs) whereas this present study improved upon this through calculating age-adjusted incidence rates using the US 2000 Standard population which is a more stringent methodology to account for the age distribution of the general population and allows for direct comparison with other published ageadjusted cancer incidence rates. In addition, it is not feasible to carry out randomized trials relating occupational characteristics to cancer risk, therefore longitudinal cohort studies are the strongest line of evidence to inform this topic. Specifically, there was a large number of teachers who developed the cancers of interest throughout the long period of follow-up (i.e. 18 years) thus providing crucial evidence linking certain occupational characteristics with the risk of various incident cancers.

Limitations of this study include the composition of the CTS study population in which teachers tended to be non-Hispanic white ( $87 \%$ ), of high SES ( $\sim 45 \%$ ), and the majority of teachers have private medical insurance, which may contribute to various differences such as access to healthcare, and diet from the general populationtherefore potentially impacting the generalizability of results. Moreover, the comparison of cancer risk among teachers to the general female population of California may be partly explained by disparities of health insurance coverage in the state of California, as information beyond race and gender is not available in the California Cancer Registry. The self-reported occupational and medication intake introduces some level of misclassification bias, although this is likely non-differential with respect to disease status thus might have pushed HR estimates toward the null. Furthermore, as with all epidemiological studies it is not feasible to collect information regarding every prognostic factor and thus unmeasured factors introduces residual confounding in our
statistical models. Lastly, the repeated assessment of occupational and medication intake would have allowed us to examine the changes in exposure levels in relation to the risk of various incident cancers, but was not available.

### 6.3 CONCLUSION

Teachers have been continually shown to be at an elevated risk of breast cancer, endometrial cancer, and malignant melanoma in studies conducted in the United States and worldwide [2-12]. However, findings from past studies provided little evidence regarding the specific occupational characteristics driving this higher risk in cancer incidence among teachers.

The California Teachers Study has provided an intriguing study population to investigate occupational associations to cancer risk, although past investigations into this matter were non-existent thus findings from this present study provide integral evidence regarding the elevated cancer risk (i.e. breast cancer, endometrial cancer, malignant melanoma) among teachers according to their occupational characteristics and therefore has the potential to lower these risks by improving recommendations, policy, and advocacy

Occupational physical activity among teachers has not been widely investigated but findings from this present study provide evidence for the notably higher cancer incidence among teachers who are not physically active (i.e. standing, walking at work) throughout the workday thus suggesting the importance of reducing sedentary behaviors among teachers. Moreover, higher levels of recreational physical activity did not attenuate the elevated risk of cancer across the three cancer types, a novel finding that suggests the importance of workplace physical activity levels among teachers. As
teachers spend most of their day in the classroom, the lack of physical activity has been previously shown to elevate levels of inflammatory cytokines [21-22] which have been shown to increase the carcinogenic potential across all cancer types [33, 96]. Moreover, with the previous reports of uniquely higher cancer risks among teachers there remains little evidence for preventive strategies in which results from this present study suggest the importance of anti-inflammatory medication intake. Specifically, findings support the elevated risk of breast cancer, the reduced risk of malignant melanoma and suggestive evidence for the reduced risk of endometrial cancer among regular anti-inflammatory medication users in the CTS thus informing future research, policies, and recommendations regarding an additional modifiable factor to lower the elevated rates of cancer among teachers as an occupational group.
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[^0]:    *Proportions depict percent of cancer cases according to occupational characteristics.
    ${ }^{1}$ Computed for those respondents of the third follow-up questionnaire (Spring 2000) who had no prior malignant melanoma diagnosis ( $n=62,328$ ).

[^1]:    * Proportions depict percent of cancer cases according to medication intake.
    ${ }^{1}$ Computed only among those respondents of the fourth follow-up questionnaire who had no prior breast cancer diagnosis ( $n=55,856$ ).

