## UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

## Title

Architecture of the Short External Rotator Muscles of the Hip

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8sk2t7gz

**Journal** BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 20(1)

**ISSN** 1471-2474

## **Authors**

Parvaresh, Kevin C Chang, Charles Patel, Ankur <u>et al.</u>

**Publication Date** 

2019-12-01

## DOI

10.1186/s12891-019-2995-0

Peer reviewed

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

### **Open Access**

# Architecture of the Short External Rotator Muscles of the Hip



Kevin C. Parvaresh<sup>1</sup>, Charles Chang<sup>1</sup>, Ankur Patel<sup>1</sup>, Richard L. Lieber<sup>1,2</sup>, Scott T. Ball<sup>1</sup> and Samuel R. Ward<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** Muscle architecture, or the arrangement of sarcomeres and fibers within muscles, defines functional capacity. There are limited data that provide an understanding of hip short external rotator muscle architecture. The purpose of this study was thus to characterize the architecture of these small hip muscles.

**Methods:** Eight muscles from 10 independent human cadaver hips were used in this study (*n* = 80 muscles). Architectural measurements were made on pectineus, piriformis, gemelli, obturators, quadratus femoris, and gluteus minimus. Muscle mass, fiber length, sarcomere length, and pennation angle were used to calculate the normalized muscle fiber length, which defines excursion, and physiological cross-sectional area (PCSA), which defines force-producing capacity.

**Results:** Gluteus minimus had the largest PCSA (8.29 cm<sup>2</sup>) followed by obturator externus (4.54 cm<sup>2</sup>), whereas superior gemellus had the smallest PCSA (0.68 cm<sup>2</sup>). Fiber lengths clustered into long (pectineus - 10.38 cm and gluteus minimus - 10.30 cm), moderate (obturator internus - 8.77 cm and externus - 8.04 cm), or short (inferior gemellus - 5.64 and superior gemellus - 4.85). There were no significant differences among muscles in pennation angle which were all nearly zero. When the gemelli and obturators were considered as a single functional unit, their collective PCSA (10.00 cm<sup>2</sup>) exceeded that of gluteus minimus as a substantial force-producing group.

**Conclusions:** The key findings are that these muscles have relatively small individual PCSAs, short fiber lengths, and low pennation angles. The large collective PCSA and short fiber lengths of the gemelli and obturators suggest that they primarily play a stabilizing role rather than a joint rotating role.

Keywords: Hip, Muscle, Rotators, Architecture, Stability, Joint, Biomechanics, Fiber length

#### Background

There is growing interest in hip joint function and pathology that has been accompanied by recent technological progress in biomechanical research. Much of the literature has focused on bony [1-3], labral [4-6], and capsular [7-9] morphology. Prior studies have shown that specific movement patterns are related to each of these pathologies [10-13]. Detailed architectural properties of the muscles surrounding the hip and the neighboring bony structures (Fig. 1) are essential to understanding the functional biomechanics of hip movement and stability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Departments of Bioengineering, University of California, San Diego, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article





© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: s1ward@ucsd.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Departments of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of California, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, San Diego, CA 92093-0863, USA

Parvaresh et al. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders (2019) 20:611



muscle measurements are distorted based on the fixation position of the cadaver. We previously showed that sarcomere length measurements eliminate this problem. These metrics are the only ones that are proportional to muscle excursion [17] and force generating capacity [18], respectively. Normalized architectural measurements are therefore required to understanding the role these muscles play in coordinating hip motion.

The purpose of this study was to measure the architectural properties of selected small muscles of the hip including the gluteus minimus, pectineus, piriformis, gemelli, obturators, and quadratus femoris. Our goal was to define the architectural properties of these muscles in order to better understand their functional role in hip joint biomechanics. We hypothesized that the architecture of these muscles would support their putative role in controlling joint position and providing stability.

#### Methods

Whole cadaveric lower extremity specimens were obtained from the University of California, San Diego's body donations program and were bisected along the midline. The regions of the hip and thigh were dissected through the deep fascia, and each muscle was visualized and obtained by removal from its most proximal origin to distal tendon attachment. Eight muscles (Table 1) from each of 10 formaldehyde-fixed human lower extremities (mean age  $\pm$  standard deviation; 83  $\pm$  9 years; male:female ratio, 5:5; height, 168.4  $\pm$  9.3 cm; mass, 82.7  $\pm$  15.3 kg, femoral head diameter 49.43  $\pm$  1.1 mm) were carefully excised and stored in 1X phosphate-buffered saline (PBS).

Muscle architectural measurements were made based on the same methods described by Sacks and Roy [19], modified by Lieber et al. [20], and adapted for the lower extremity by Ward et al. [21]. Briefly, muscle length (Lm) was measured as the distance from most proximal fibers to the most distal fibers. Raw fiber length (Lf') was measured for each muscle in 3 regions; proximal, middle, and distal; using a digital caliper (accuracy, 0.01 mm). Surface pennation angle was measured with a goniometer as the angle between the fibers and the distal tendon. Values for normalized fiber length (Lf) were calculated based on the following equation [22]:

$$Lf = Lf'(2.7 \ \mu m/Ls)$$

where Ls is the measured sarcomere length and  $2.7 \,\mu$ m is the optimum sarcomere length for human muscle [22]. Normalizing fiber length is key as it allows for comparisons among muscles fixed in various degrees of tension and sarcomere lengths [23]. Normalized Lm was calculated using a similar equation. The Lf/Lm ratio was also determined to assess excursion design comparisons across muscles [15]. PCSA was calculated according to the following equation: [18].

$$\text{PCSA}\left(\text{cm}^{2}\right) = (\text{M}\left(\text{g}\right) \times \ \text{cos}\theta) / \left(\rho \left(\text{g}/\text{cm}^{3}\right) \times \text{Lf}\left(\text{cm}\right)\right)$$

where M is mass,  $\theta$  is pennation angle, and  $\rho$  is muscle density (1.056 g/cm3) [24], accounting for dehydration that occurs during fixation.

Multiple measurements were made on each muscle (n = > 3), then averaged for each sample, yielding grand means which are presented. All data are reported as mean ± standard error unless otherwise noted. Between-muscle and between-muscle group comparisons of mass, mean fiber length, and total PCSA were made with one-way ANOVAs after confirming the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances were met. Comparisons to gluteus medius and maximus were made using previously reported data from similarly aged specimens [21]. Post hoc Tukey's tests were used to identify specific muscle differences. All analyses were performed using SPSS<sup>®</sup> software (Version 20.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Significance was set to p < 0.05 for the ANOVA and post hoc tests.

 Table 1 Muscle Architectural Properties

Muscle	Mass (g)	Muscle Length (cm)	Fiber Length (cm)	Ls (µm)	Pennation (deg)	PCSA (cm2)	LFN/LMN ratio
Pectineus <sup>a</sup>	$24.95 \pm 2.31^{\text{c-f,h}}$	12.27 ± 0.52 <sup>c,e-g</sup>	$10.38 \pm 0.53^{b-g}$	$2.72 \pm 0.05^{b,d,e,g}$	0.17 ± 0.80	$2.27 \pm 0.17^{c-h}$	$0.85 \pm 0.03^{b,d,f}$
Piriformis <sup>b</sup>	$19.10 \pm 1.59^{a,c-f,h}$	11.83 ± 0.66 <sup>c,e-g</sup>	$8.37 \pm 0.51^{a,c,e,g,h}$	$2.47 \pm 0.03^{a,c,f,h}$	2.83 ± 1.00	2.17 ± 0.15 <sup>c-h</sup>	$0.65 \pm 0.03^{a,c,e-h}$
Superior Gemellus <sup>c</sup>	$3.52 \pm 0.61^{a,b,d,f-h}$	$5.83 \pm 0.26^{a,b,d,f-g}$	$4.85 \pm 0.32^{a,b,d,f-h}$	$2.85 \pm 0.09^{b,d-g}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$0.68 \pm 0.12^{\text{a-b,d,f-h}}$	$0.87 \pm 0.02^{b,d,f}$
Obturator Internus d	$34.50 \pm 2.60^{\text{a-c,e,h}}$	13.12 ± 0.27 <sup>c,e-g</sup>	$8.77 \pm 0.34^{a,c,g,h}$	2.57 ± 0.03 <sup>a,c,h</sup>	2.50 ± 1.12	$3.76 \pm 0.28^{\text{a-c,e-f,h}}$	$0.63 \pm 0.02^{a,c,e-h}$
Inferior Gemellus <sup>e</sup>	$5.90 \pm 0.59^{a,b,d,f-h}$	$6.37 \pm 0.31^{a,b,d,f,h}$	$5.64 \pm 0.25^{a,b,d,f,h}$	$2.45 \pm 0.03^{a,c,f,h}$	0.50 ± 0.50	$1.02 \pm 0.11^{a-b,d,f-g}$	$0.81 \pm 0.03^{b,d}$
Obturator Externus	$38.80 \pm 2.50^{\text{a-c,e-h}}$	$10.26 \pm 0.51^{a-h}$	$8.04 \pm 0.42^{a,c,e,g-h}$	$2.64 \pm 0.04^{b,c,e}$	1.67 ± 1.24	$4.54 \pm 0.16^{\text{a-e,g-h}}$	$0.77 \pm 0.03^{a-d}$
Quadratus Femoris	$25.29 \pm 2.89^{c,e,f,h}$	$7.20 \pm 0.41^{a-d,f,h}$	$6.37 \pm 0.34^{a-d,f,h}$	$2.53 \pm 0.02^{a,c,h}$	$0.00 \pm 0.00$	$3.75 \pm 0.42^{\text{a-c,e-f,h}}$	$0.83 \pm 0.02^{b,d}$
Gluteus Minimus <sup>h</sup>	92.15 ± 10.87 <sup>a-g</sup>	13.11 ± 0.85 <sup>c,e-g</sup>	$10.30 \pm 0.77^{b-g}$	$2.79 \pm 0.06^{b,d,e,g}$	1.67 ± 1.45	$8.29 \pm 0.51^{a-g}$	$0.81 \pm 0.01^{b,d}$

Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard error.  $L_s$  Sarcomere Length. PCSA Physiologic Cross Sectional Area.  $L_m$  Muscle length normalized to neutral sarcomere length of 2.7  $\mu$ m

<sup>5</sup> Significantly different than pectineus, <sup>5</sup> Significantly different than piriformis, <sup>5</sup> Significantly different than superior gemellus, <sup>d</sup> Significantly different than obturator internus, <sup>e</sup> Significantly different than inferior gemellus, <sup>f</sup> Significantly different than obturator externus, <sup>g</sup> Significantly different than quadratus femoris,

<sup>h</sup> Significantly different than gluteus minimus

#### Results

Architecturally, there were many significant differences among the selected muscles in terms of mass, Lm, Lf, Ls, PCSA and Lf/Lm ratio (p < 0.001, Table 1). Importantly, values for PCSA clustered into very small (superior and inferior gemelli), small (pectineus and piriformis), moderate (obturator internus and externus and quadratus femoris) or large (gluteus minimus) values. However, the large gluteus minimus PCSA  $(8.29 \pm 0.51 \text{ cm}^2)$  was still much smaller than the value previously reported for gluteus medius  $(33.8 \pm 14.4 \text{ cm}^2)$  and gluteus maximus  $(33.4 \pm 8.8 \text{ cm}^2)$  [21] (Fig. 2). The collective PCSA of the gemelli and obturators  $(10.0 \pm 0.67 \text{ cm}^2)$  was larger than the gluteus minimus.

Values for fiber length also clustered into very short (superior gemellus, inferior gemellus, and quadratus



Pi = piriformis, Pe = pectineus

femoris), short (piriformis, obturator internus and externus) or moderate (pectineus and gluteus minimus) lengths. On average, these values were similar to the short fiber length observed for gluteus medius (7.33 ± 1.57 cm) and shorter than the long fiber length observed for gluteus maximus (15.69 ± 2.57 cm) [21] (Fig. 2). Average Ls (2.63  $\mu$ m) tended to be shorter than optimal length (2.7  $\mu$ m), which was not surprising given the externally rotated position of the hips at the time of fixation. Fiber length to muscle lengths ratios tended to be long with the exception of piriformis (0.65 ± 0.03) and obturator internus (0.63 ± 0.02). There were no significant differences in pennation angles which were nearly zero for all muscles.

#### Discussion

In this study we report the detailed the architectural properties of the rotational muscles of the hip, including pectineus, piriformis, gemelli, obturator internus and externus, quadratus femoris, and gluteus minimus, corrected for muscle sarcomere length. The key findings were the relatively small individual PCSAs, short fiber lengths, short sarcomere lengths, and uniformly low pennation angles. To our knowledge there are no prior publications on the properties of these selected hip muscles utilizing sarcomere length to provide normalized values for fiber length and PCSA.

In a comprehensive literature review of the short external rotators of the hip, Yoo et al. [25] found only one published article containing quantitative values of hip muscle architecture [16]. In that study, Friederich and Brand reported architectural measurements from two cadaveric specimens without sarcomere normalization. Regarding the short external rotators, they found the PCSAs of the piriformis  $(20.54 \text{ cm}^2)$  and quadratus femoris  $(21.00 \text{ cm}^2)$ were the largest, by approximately a 4 fold greater magnitude. Our data showed a similar range of distribution of PCSAs between the short external rotator muscles, but our PCSA values were significantly smaller (Fig. 2). The lack of sarcomere length measurements from their study makes it difficult to reconcile our data, highlighting the importance of this normalizing measurement for comparing muscle architecture because most sarcomere lengths they measured were below optimum.

This concept may be supported by comparing our data to the normalized data of Wickiewicz et al. [26]. In their pilot study, they report normalizing muscle architecture data to sarcomere length. Although their sarcomere length was based on an average from a separate study and not a direct measurement, it still provides a baseline for comparison. Their average measurements for pectineus muscle length (12.30 cm), fiber length (10.43 cm), pennation angle (0 degrees), and PCSA (2.9) are nearly identical to our values (Table 1). Although pectineus was the only muscle available for direct comparison, these findings underscore the importance of normalizing data in comparing muscle architecture.

Our data provide a number of insights into the design of the hip short external rotators. All muscles exhibited an almost parallel pennation angle of 0 degrees, suggesting force generation is maximized to act in a single axis of rotation. This may be helpful in maintaining low muscle mass and PCSA in constrained regions of the hip while still allowing sufficient force generation. From gross dissection, we know that the superior gemellus, obturator internus, inferior gemellus, and obturator externus are essentially fused. If these muscles are considered as a single functional unit, their collective PCSA becomes functionally relevant (Fig. 2). In fact, their combined PCSA exceeds that of gluteus minimus. With the addition of quadratus femoris and piriformis, the collective "short external rotators" become a substantial forceproducing unit. Considering them as a unit with a large PCSA and short fiber lengths, their design features correspond to a stabilizing role [27].

Although we did not directly measure joint geometry, these architectural data may be combined with known values previously reported to evaluate their role in muscle-joint kinematics. Due to the short external rotators' close proximity to the axis of rotation, muscle length does not change substantially relative to joint position and moment arms remain oriented toward external rotation [28]. Unlike the gluteal muscles, the short external rotators may therefore rotate the hip relatively independent of sagittal and coronal motion. Such independent movement provides valuable rotational control without otherwise affecting joint position. When combined with their rotational antagonists (gluteus minimus, pectineus, and adductors), these muscles appear to provide a stabilizing role to the hip joint [29]. With simultaneous internal and external rotational contraction, a medial compressive force is created to balance the lateralizing force of the abductors. Such balance may facilitate dynamic stabilization of the hip joint, though further studies are necessary to validate these hypotheses.

Additionally, our findings have implications for current surgical approaches to the hip. Decisions to release the short external rotators during hip surgery should represent a balance between achieving adequate surgical exposure and preserving soft tissue anatomy, which may lead to less post-operative pain, faster rehabilitation, and a more stable joint [30]. During traditional posterior approaches to the hip, the short external rotators are often sacrificed. Early in the practice of total hip arthroplasties, leaving the short external rotators unrepaired was believed to have no adverse effect on hip stability [8, 31]. The importance of these structures has become apparent in the recent years, however, as other reports have shown that adequate repair of the posterior structures greatly decreases the future risk of hip instability caused by soft-tissue attenuation [32, 33]. While recent meta-analyses have shown that surgical approach does not affect dislocation rate [34], few studies directly measured muscle function following surgery. Evolving techniques including the direct superior approach, which spares the external rotators, may offer a functional advantage [35], but long-term comparison studies are lacking. Further research should be dedicated to assessment of hip muscle function following hip surgery.

This study has several limitations. Fixation position was in an externally rotated joint configuration and may not reflect the clinically accepted definition of a neutral hip joint angle which is 0° abduction, flexion, and rotation. However, normalization of results with sarcomere length removes variation associated with position and therefore positioning should not significantly affect the results. Second, the advanced age of the cadaveric specimens may have led to lower PCSAs than would otherwise be observed in younger patients, but still likely provide a baseline for functional predictions and are comparable among muscles. Future studies may expand on these data for functional evaluation such as electromyographic studies of activation patterns during various movements and activities.

#### Conclusions

In summary, these findings characterize the architecture of selected muscles of the hip. These data support the hypothesis that these muscles act as dynamic stabilizers. Moreover, they highlight the functional importance of these muscles relative to hip pathology, surgery, and rehabilitation. We suggest that these data be expanded in the future to characterize the dynamic interactions among these muscles and other extra- and intra-articular structures as well as muscle adaptations to immobilization and pathology to further our knowledge of hip biomechanics.

#### Abbreviations

ANOVA: Analysis of variance; Lf: Normalized fiber length; Lf: Raw fiber length; Lm: Muscle length; Ls: Sarcomere length; M: Mass; PBS: Phosphate-buffered saline; PCSA: Physiological cross-sectional area;  $\theta$ : Pennation angle;  $\rho$ : Muscle density

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge our cadaveric specimen donors for providing the means for scientific exploration and advancement.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors made considerable contributions to constitute authorship for this study as defined in the journal's criteria for authorship. KP drafted the manuscript and interpreted the data. CC designed the project, acquired, and analyzed the data. AP acquired and analyzed the data. RL assisted with

project design, data interpretation, and manuscript review. SB assisted with project design and data interpretation. SW assisted with project design, data analysis and interpretation, and manuscript revision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript and have agreed to be personally accountable for their own contributions.

#### Funding

This manuscript was funded in part by a grant from the National Institutes of Health (PI: Lieber) (R01) HD048501. This work was supported (or supported in part) by Research Career Scientist Award Award Number IK6 RX003351 from the United States (U.S.) Department of Veterans Affairs Rehabilitation R&D (Rehab RD) Service.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available as a supporting file or from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Each author certifies that his or her institution has approved the protocol for this investigation and that all investigations were conducted in conformity with ethical principles of research. As this was a cadaveric study, no formal ethics approval was required.

#### Consent for publication

All authors give their consent to publish this manuscript.

#### **Competing interests**

All authors verify there are no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Departments of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of California, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, San Diego, CA 92093-0863, USA. <sup>2</sup>Departments of Bioengineering, University of California, San Diego, USA. <sup>3</sup>Departments of Radiology, University of California, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, San Diego, CA 92093-0863, USA.

#### Received: 15 August 2019 Accepted: 9 December 2019 Published online: 20 December 2019

#### References

- Ito K, Minka M, Leunig M, Werlen S, Ganz R. Femoroacetabular impingement and the cam-effect: a MRI-based quantitative anatomical study of the femoral head-neck offset. J Bone Jt Surg Br. 2001;83(2):171–6.
- Beck M, Kalhor M, Leunig M, Ganz R. Hip morphology influences the pattern of damage to the acetabular cartilage. J Bone Jt Surg Br. 2005;87(7):1012–8.
- Ganz R, Parvizi J, Beck M, Leunig M, Nötzli H, Siebenrock K. Femoroacetabular impingement: a cause for osteoarthritis of the hip. Clin Orthop. 2003;417:112–20.
- Ferguson SJ, Bryant JT, Ito K. The material properties of the bovine acetabular labrum. J Orthop Res. 2001;19(5):887–96.
- Ferguson SJ, Bryant JT, Ganz R, Ito K. An in vitro investigation of the acetabular labral seal in hip joint mechanics. J Biomech. 2003;36(2):171–8.
- Dy CJ, Thompson MT, Crawford MJ, Alexander JW, McCarthy JC, Noble PC. Tensile strain in the anterior part of the acetabular labrum during provocative maneuvering of the normal hip. J Bone Jt Surg Am. 2008;90(7): 1464–72.
- Lloyd-Roberts GC. The role of capsular changes in osteoarthritis of the hip joint. J Bone Jt Surg Br. 1953;35-B(4):627–42.
- Woo RY, Morrey BF. Dislocations after total hip arthroplasty. J Bone Jt Surg Am. 1982;64(9):1295–306.
- Hewitt J, Guilak F, Glisson R, Parker VT. Regional material properties of the human hip joint capsule ligaments. J Orthop Res. 2001;19(3):359–64.
- Kubiak-Langer M, Tannast M, Murphy SB, Siebenrock KA, Langlotz F. Range of motion in anterior femoroacetabular impingement. Clin Orthop. 2007; 458:117–24.
- Austin AB, Souza RB, Meyer JL, Powers CM. Identification of abnormal hip motion associated with acetabular labral pathology. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2008;38(9):558–65.
- Kennedy MJ, Lamontagne M, Beaulé PE. Femoroacetabular impingement alters hip and pelvic biomechanics during gait: walking biomechanics of FAI. Gait Posture. 2009;30(1):41–4.

- Casartelli NC, Maffiuletti NA, Item-Glatthorn JF, Staehli S, Bizzini M, Impellizzeri FM, et al. Hip muscle weakness in patients with symptomatic femoroacetabular impingement. Osteoarthr Cartil. 2011;19(7):816–21.
- 14. Gans C, Gaunt AS. Muscle architecture in relation to function. J Biomech. 1991;24(S1):53–65.
- Lieber RL, Friden J. Functional and clinical significance of skeletal muscle architecture. Muscle Nerve. 2000;23(11):1647–66.
- Friederich JA, Brand RA. Muscle fiber architecture in the human lower limb. J Biomech. 1990;23(1):91–5.
- Winters TM, Takahashi M, Lieber RL, Ward SR. Whole muscle length-tension relationships are accurately modeled as scaled sarcomeres in rabbit hindlimb muscles. J Biomech. 2011;44(1):109–15.
- Powell PL, Roy RR, Kanim P, Bello M, Edgerton VR. Predictability of skeletal muscle tension from architectural determinations in Guinea pig hindlimbs. J Appl Physiol. 1984;57(6):1715–21.
- 19. Sacks RD, Roy RR. Architecture of the hind limb muscles of cats: functional significance. J Morphol. 1982;173(2):185–95.
- Lieber RL, Fazeli BM, Botte MJ. Architecture of selected wrist flexor and extensor muscles. J Hand Surg. 1990;15(2):244–50.
- Ward SR, Eng CM, Smallwood LH, Lieber RL. Are current measurements of lower extremity muscle architecture accurate? Clin Orthop. 2009;467:1074–82.
- 22. Lieber RL, Loren GJ, Friden J. In vivo measurement of human wrist extensor muscle sarcomere length changes. J Neurophysiol. 1994;71(3):874–81.
- Felder A, Ward SR, Lieber RL. Sarcomere length measurement permits high resolution normalization of muscle fiber length in architectural studies. J Exp Biol. 2005;208(17):3275–9.
- Ward SR, Lieber RL. Density and hydration of fresh and fixed human skeletal muscle. J Biomech. 2005;38(11):2317–20.
- Yoo S, Dedova I, Pather N. An appraisal of the short lateral rotators of the hip joint. Clin Anat. 2015;28:800–12.
- Wickiewicz TL, Roy RR, Powell PL, Edgerton VR. Muscle architecture of the human lower limb. Clin Orthop. 1983;179:275–83.
- Ward SR, Winters TM, Blemker SS. The architectural design of the gluteal muscle group: implications for movement and rehabilitation. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. 2010;40(2):95–102.
- Delp SL, Hess WE, Hungerford DS, Jones LC. Variation of rotation moment arms with hip flexion. J Biomech. 1999;32(5):493–501.
- Giphart JE, Stull JD, Laprade RF, Wahoff MS, Philippon MJ. Recruitment and activity of the pectineus and piriformis muscles during hip rehabilitation exercises: an electromyography study. Am J Sports Med. 2012;40(7):1654–63.
- Solomon LB, Lee YC, Callary SA, Beck M, Howie DW. Anatomy of piriformis, obturator internus and obturator externus: implications for the posterior surgical approach to the hip. J Bone Jt Surg Br. 2010;92(9):1317–24.
- Stähelin T, Vienne P, Hersche O. Failure of reinserted short external rotator muscles after total hip arthroplasty. J Arthroplast. 2002;17(5):604–7.
- Robinson RP, Robinson HJJ, Salvati E. Comparison of the transtrochanteric and posterior approaches for total hip replacement. Clin Orthop. 1980;147:143–7.
- Pine J, Binns M, Wright P, Soames R. Piriformis and obturator internus morphology: a cadaveric study. Clin Anat. 2011;24(1):70–6.
- Higgins BT, Barlow DR, Heagerty NE, Lin TJ. Anterior vs. posterior approach for Total hip Arthroplasty, a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Arthroplast. 2015 Mar 1;30(3):419–34.
- Barrett AA, Ezzibdeh RM, Horst PK, Roger DJ, Amanatullah DF. Direct superior approach to the hip for Total hip Arthroplasty. JBJS Essent Surg Tech. 2019;9(2):e17.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

