UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Similar Microglial Cell Densities across Brain Structures and Mammalian Species: Implications for Brain Tissue Function

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8tp3d06x

Journal Journal of Neuroscience, 40(24)

ISSN 0270-6474

Authors

Dos Santos, Sandra E Medeiros, Marcelle Porfirio, Jairo <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2020-06-10

DOI

10.1523/jneurosci.2339-19.2020

Peer reviewed

Cellular/Molecular

Similar Microglial Cell Densities across Brain Structures and Mammalian Species: Implications for Brain Tissue Function

Sandra E. Dos Santos,¹ Marcelle Medeiros,² [©] Jairo Porfirio,² William Tavares,³ Leila Pessôa,³ Lea Grinberg,^{4,5} Renata E.P. Leite,⁵ Renata E.L. Ferretti-Rebustini,^{5,6} Claudia K. Suemoto,⁵ Wilson Jacob Filho,⁵ Stephen C. Noctor,⁷ Chet C. Sherwood,^{8,9} Jon H. Kaas,¹ Paul R. Manger,¹⁰ and [©]Suzana Herculano-Houzel^{1,11,12} ¹Department of Psychology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37240, ²Instituto de Ciências Biomédicas, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 21941-902, Brazil, ³Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 21941-902, Brazil, ⁴Memory and Aging Center, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California 94158, ⁵Biobank for Aging Studies, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP 01246-903, Brazil, ⁶Escola de Enfermagem da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP 01246-903, Brazil, ⁷School of Medicine, University of California at Davis, Davis, California 95817, ⁸Department of Anthropology, George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052, ⁹Center for the Advanced Study of Human Paleobiology, George Washington University, Washington, DC 20052, ¹⁰School of Anatomical Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Braamfontein, 2000, South Africa, Johannesburg, ¹¹Department of Biological Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37212, and ¹²Vanderbilt Brain Institute, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37232

Microglial cells play essential volume-related actions in the brain that contribute to the maturation and plasticity of neural circuits that ultimately shape behavior. Microglia can thus be expected to have similar cell sizes and even distribution both across brain structures and across species with different brain sizes. To test this hypothesis, we determined microglial cell densities (the inverse of cell size) using immunocytochemistry to Iba1 in samples of free cell nuclei prepared with the isotropic fractionator from brain structures of 33 mammalian species belonging to males and females of five different clades. We found that microglial cells constitute \sim 7% of non-neuronal cells in different brain structures as well as in the whole brain of all mammalian species examined. Further, they vary little in cell density compared with neuronal cell densities within the cerebral cortex, across brain structures, across species within the same clade, and across mammalian clades. As a consequence, we find that one microglial cell services as few as one and as many as 100 neurons in different brain regions and species, depending on the local neuronal density. We thus conclude that the addition of microglial cells to mammalian brains is governed by mechanisms that constrain the size of these cells and have remained conserved over 200 million years of mammalian evolution. We discuss the probable consequences of such constrained size for brain function in health and disease.

Key words: cell density; cell numbers; comparative; evolution; microglia

Significance Statement

Microglial cells are resident macrophages of the CNS, with key functions in recycling synapses and maintaining the local environment in health and disease. We find that microglial cells occur in similar densities in the brains of different species and in the different structures of each individual brain, which indicates that these cells maintain a similar average size in mammalian evolution, suggesting in turn that the volume monitored by each microglial cell remains constant across mammals. Because the density of neurons is highly variable across the same brain structures and species, our finding implies that microglia-dependent functional recovery may be particularly difficult in those brain structures and species with high neuronal densities and therefore fewer microglial cells per neuron.

Received Sep. 29, 2019; revised Dec. 10, 2019; accepted Jan. 5, 2020.

Author contributions: S.E.D.S., M.M., and J.P. performed research; S.E.D.S. and S.H.-H. analyzed data; S.E.D.S. wrote the first draft of the paper; W.T., L.P., L.G., R.E.P.L., R.E.L.F.-R., C.K.S., WJ.F., S.C.N., C.C.S., J.H.K., P.R.M., and S.H.-H. contributed unpublished reagents/analytic tools; C.C.S., P.M., and S.H.-H. edited the paper; S.H.-H. designed research; S.H.-H. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

This work was supported by the Carlos Chagas Filho Foundation for Research Support of the State of Rio de Janeiro-FAPERJ (SDS fellowship), generous crowdfunding support by Brazilian supporters to the former S.H.-H. laboratory at Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, the James S. McDonnell Foundation Grant 220020232 to C.C.S. and Grant 220020293 to S.H.-H., and Vanderbilt University laboratory startup funds to S.H.-H. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. We thank Anderson Miller and Louise Botelho for help in experiments; Mary Ann Raghanti for providing marsupial brains; and Laura Trice for sectioning the brains used for immunohistochemistry.

Correspondence should be addressed to Suzana Herculano-Houzel at suzana.herculano@vanderbilt.edu. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2339-19.2020

Copyright © 2020 the authors

Introduction

Microglial cells are mesoderm-derived macrophages that reside in the CNS; and together with neuroectoderm-derived astrocytes and oligodendrocytes, as well as endoderm-derived endothelial cells, they compose the non-neuronal population of brain cells. Microglia play key surveillance and housekeeping roles as sensors of their local environment, responding to injury, phagocytosing apoptotic cells, pathogens, and cellular debris, and releasing inflammatory modulators and cytokines (Wake et al., 2013; Hickman et al., 2018). During development, they engulf both seemingly healthy and apoptotic neural precursors and immature neurons, thus contributing to establishing the final number of neurons in brain structures (and consequently tissue volume) (Cunningham et al., 2013; Kabba et al., 2018). In adult neurogenesis, microglia support survival, proliferation, and migration of neuronal precursors from the subventricular zone to the olfactory bulbs through the rostral migratory system (Xavier et al., 2015); and in neural plasticity, they regulate synaptic formation, maturation, and elimination (Wake et al., 2009, 2013; Tremblay et al., 2010; Kettenmann et al., 2011; Paolicelli et al., 2011; Schafer et al., 2012; Parkhurst et al., 2013).

All of the above functions concern formation and maintenance of brain tissue volume (Nimmerjahn et al., 2005; Kettenmann et al., 2011). As macrophages that they are, individual microglia can be construed to be monitors of their local environment, each in charge of its respective volume in the parenchyma, a view that is compatible with their typically homogeneous distribution in all adult cerebral cortices examined to date (Nimmerjahn et al., 2005; Cunningham et al., 2013; but for noncortical structures, see Lawson et al., 1990; Mittelbronn et al., 2001). Given that their functions are volume-based, a question of fundamental importance for understanding healthy and diseased brain function is how the volume of brain tissue monitored by the average individual microglial cell compares across species.

We have previously found that, while neuronal densities vary by 1000-fold across brain structures in >60 mammalian species, densities of non-neuronal cells as a whole vary only by 10-fold in the same sample, and are thus comparatively restricted, which is compatible with volume-related functions of non-neuronal cells (Herculano-Houzel and Dos Santos, 2018). As a consequence, the lower the neuronal density (i.e., the larger the average size of neurons), the larger the ratio of non-neuronal cells per neuron found in a tissue (Herculano-Houzel, 2014; Mota and Herculano-Houzel, 2014).

Our finding of constant densities of non-neuronal cells across brain structures and species does not translate automatically into constant densities of each non-neuronal cell type (Herculano-Houzel and Dos Santos, 2018). In the few instances where microglia have been quantified, they constitute a very small minority of all brain cells, amounting to 5% of cells in the cerebral cortex (Cx) or corpus callosum and 12% of cells in the substantia nigra of the mouse brain (Lawson et al., 1990), from 0.3% of cells in the gray matter of the cerebellum (Cb) to 11% of cells in the medulla oblongata of the human brain (Mittelbronn et al., 2001), and to 5% of glial cells in the human cortical gray matter (Pelvig et al., 2008). These small percentages imply that, while the restricted densities of non-neuronal cells found in our previous studies may apply to the different non-neuronal cell types separately, they might also mask marked variations in microglial size and distribution (Herculano-Houzel and Dos Santos, 2018).

Here we undertake a systematic examination of microglial densities across a wide range of brain structures and species of mammals to determine the extent of their variation. Our analysis is directed to determine how microglial densities vary at four levels: (1) across different sites within a same structure (gray and white matter of the Cx); (2) across brain structures; (3) across species in the same clade; and (4) across mammalian clades.

Materials and Methods

We used 2069 samples of free nuclei prepared with the isotropic fractionator technique (Herculano-Houzel and Lent, 2005) from previous studies that determined total numbers of cells and the fraction of neurons in different brain structures from 33 mammalian species of five clades: marsupials, afrotherians, primates, carnivorans, and artiodactyls (Ribeiro et al., 2013; Herculano-Houzel et al., 2014; Kazu et al., 2014; Neves et al., 2014; Gabi et al., 2016; Dos Santos et al., 2017; Jardim-Messeder et al., 2017; compiled in Herculano-Houzel et al., 2015a). These clades encompass over 218 million years of evolution since their divergence from the last common ancestor shared exclusively by all of them (Murphy et al., 2001, 2004; Bininda-Emonds et al., 2007).

To determine microglial densities and infer total numbers of these cells in each brain structure, we subjected free nuclei in each frozen stored sample to immunocytochemistry against the universal microglial marker Iba1 (ionized calcium binding adapter molecule 1), which is structurally and functionally conserved among Metazoa (Imai et al., 1996; Imai and Kohsaka, 2002; Janušonis, 2018). Total numbers of cells in the structures examined as well as structure mass are available for each species in the original publications, as listed above. Applying the percentage of nuclei found to be Iba1⁺ to total numbers of cells/mg reported in the same structure gives us the microglial density in each structure analyzed.

Sample

The 33 species analyzed here consist of 10 species of marsupials (Dos Santos et al., 2017), 4 species of artiodactyls (Kazu et al., 2014), 8 species of primates (Ribeiro et al., 2013; Gabi et al., 2016), five species of afrotherians (Herculano-Houzel et al., 2014; Neves et al., 2014), and 6 species of carnivorans (Jardim-Messeder et al., 2017) for which we had samples of suspensions of free nuclei in storage (see below). This excluded all rodent and primate species of our initial studies. All were adults of either sex, except for the giraffe, which was a juvenile (Kazu et al., 2014), with no signs of extreme aging, neurologic diseases, or brain abnormalities. With the exception of a cat and two dog brains, which were not perfused, and the human brain, which was perfused several hours postmortem, almost all specimens were perfused shortly after death. The majority of freshly perfused specimens combined with the similarity of results obtained in the few species processed differently suggests that postmortem interval and any infiltration of the brain by blood macrophages are not significant issues for the present study. For 5 of the 33 species (Petrodromus tetradactylus, Elephantulus myurus, Procavia capensis, Canis lupus familiaris, and Mustela putorius furo), two specimens of each were available; thus, data reported for those species correspond to the average data of both specimens each, so as to avoid duplication and bias. For the other 28 species, we examined only one specimen of each. While a single specimen per condition would generally be deemed insufficient for biological comparisons across conditions, we consider that for a study of scaling of cell numbers and densities across multiple orders of magnitude, intraspecific variation is mathematically irrelevant, while remaining an interesting issue of its own, which we have addressed previously (Herculano-Houzel et al., 2015b).

For all species, only one hemisphere of the brain was analyzed, as reported previously. Each brain was separated into different structures of interest: Cb, Cx, and what we report as the rest of the brain (RoB), constituted by the remaining hindbrain, midbrain, diencephalon, striatum, and hypothalamus (Dos Santos et al., 2017; Watson et al., 2017). Only cerebral cortical structures were available from primates. For all species, the Cx was sectioned into coronal sections (1 mm thick for *Didelphis aurita*; 12.8 mm for *Loxodonta africana*; 2 mm for all other species), and gray and white matter dissected from each coronal section



Figure 1. Immunostaining of the microglial lba1 marker on mammalian cortical tissue sections. Coronal 50- μ m-thick sections of the Cx of two marsupial species (*D. aurita* in **A** and *M. rufogriseus* in **B**), two primate species (*O. garnettii* in **C** and *A. trivirgatus* in **D**), two afrotherian species (*L. africana* in **E** and *E. myurus* in **F**), two carnivoran species (*M. putorius furo* in **G** and **F**. *catus* in **H**), and two artiodactyl species (*A. marsupialis* in **I** and *S. scrofa domesticus* in **J**) are shown at 63× magnification with all cell nuclei stained with DAPI (blue) and microglial cells stained for lba1 (green). Scale bar, 50 μ m.

were processed separately for all species but the four smallest marsupials and afrotherians (*Metachirus nudicaudatus, Marmosops incanus, Petrodomus tetradactylus*, and *Elephantulus myurus*). The hippocampus was separated from the rest of the cortical gray matter and counted separately. Where a single Cx value is reported in a species, it corresponds to the ensemble of cortical gray matter, white matter, and hippocampus; whenever values apply exclusively to gray or white matter, that is so indicated. "Cortical sites" refer either to the various slabs taken from coronal sections in the original studies (*L. africana, C. familiaris, F. catus, P. leo, U. arctos, D.* goodfellowi, D. aurita, M. fuliginosus, M. parma, M. rufogriseus, S. harrisii, *W. bicolor*, and all primate cortices) (Ribeiro et al., 2013; Herculano-Houzel et al., 2014; Gabi et al., 2016; Dos Santos et al., 2017; Jardim-Messeder et al., 2017) or to each of the whole coronal sections, as in Neves et al. (2014) and Kazu et al. (2014).

Immunostaining

All samples were isotropic suspensions of free isolated nuclei that had been stored in an antifreeze solution (30% glycerol, 30% ethylene glycol, 30% distilled water and 10% 0.244 M phosphate buffer $2xPO_4$) (Manger et al., 2009) at -20° C (Ribeiro et al., 2013; Herculano-Houzel et al.,

Table 1. Numbers and densities of total, neuronal, and microglial cells^a

Species name	Structure	Structure mass (g)	C	Ν	I	N/mg	l/mg	I/N	No. of samples
Dendrohyrax dorsalis	Сх	7.556	282 500 000	98 960 000	13 842 895	13 098	1832	0.140	3
Dendrohyrax dorsalis	Cb	1.918	438 500 000	360 929 350	2367 900	188 180	1235	0.007	1
Dendrohyrax dorsalis	RoB	3.328	197 138 000	44 671 471	10 982 209	13 423	3299	0.246	3
Dendrohyrax dorsalis	Ctx	6.830	257 310 000	90 644 478	12 325 149	13 272	1805	0.136	2
Dendrohyrax dorsalis	Нр	0.726	25 190 000	8312 700	1516 438	11 450	2089	0.182	1
Dendrohyrax dorsalis	Br	12.802	918 138 000	504 551 401	27 176 885	39 412	10 129	0.052	7
Elephantulus myurus	Cx*	0.450	46 970 000	21 847 255	1052 128	48 549	2338	0.048	3
Elephantulus myurus	Cb	0.168	112 681 000	89 312 372	822 571	531 494	4896	0.009	2
Elephantulus myurus	RoB	0.401	43 010 000	14 012 533	1324 708	34 520	3295	0.095	2
Elephantulus myurus	Ctx	0.356	34 554 000	16 422 814	580 507	46 132	1631	0.035	2
Elephantulus myurus	Hp*	0.086	12 080 000	5159 368	353 944	59 993	4116	0.069	1
Elephantulus myurus	Br*	0.958	195 628 000	124 /66 31/	2699 666	130 236	2818	0.022	/
Loxodonta africana	CX Ch	2847.954	160 509 115 4/8	5593 241 033	7025 (15 044	1964	4192	2.086	264
Loxodonta africana		11/1.63	28/226/5/500	250 /08 52/ 536	/025 615 044	213 983	5982	0.028	80
Loxodonta africana	KOB	564.674	28 148 254 366	/41/04 844	1947 975 176	1314	3450	2.626	44
Loxodonta africana	Ux.	2823.530	13/ 990 213 4/8	26 620 521	100 254 517	1908	4149	2.063	203
Loxodonica africana	Πμ D-	24.420	1/03 900 000	20 020 212	20 010 027 (70	1000	01/0	0.091	1
Loxoaomua amcana Dotrodromus totradactulus	DI	4108.020	4// 844 12/ 344	257 045 475 412	20 919 937 079	20 0/ 1	400Z	0.081	200
Petrodromus tetradactylus	Ck (h	0.204	74 455 000	55 947 000 110 652 150	3908/8/ 1279777	27 230	1206	0.115	4 2
Petrodromus tetradactylus	CD PoR	0.304	145 511 000	10 000 100	12/0/3/	12 606	4200	0.012	2
Petrodromus tetradactylus	NUD Ctv	0.094	40 430 000	12 231 011	1217 003	12 090	2019	0.100	2
Petrodromus tetradactulus	Lin Lin	0.908	12 052 000	6204.000	2921 104	20 300	2022	0.100	2
Petrodromus tetradactylus	Br	2.440	260 180 000	156 830 705	6564 788	23 104 6/ 10/	27/3	0.170	2
Procavia canonsis	Cr	10 478	564 554 000	197 933 000	38 108 306	10 1 1 2 4	2745	0.042	6
Procavia capensis	Ch (h	2 058	570 378 000	/88 3703 000	7806 /01	2/12/15	3801	0.155	2
Procavia capensis	RoR	2.030	232 343 000	400 3703 000 69 358 240	11 100 666	16 436	2594	0.010	2 4
Procavia capensis	Cty	9 184	488 188 000	171 217 186	33 099 146	18 643	3604	0.101	4
Procavia capensis	Hn	1 294	76 311 438	26 712 953	4994 695	21 712	3860	0.195	2
Procavia capensis	Br	16 853	1376 275 000	755 653 256	57 043 095	45 576	3389	0.075	12
Antidorcas marsunialis	Cx	68,806	4443 685 152	396 896 159	268 018 858	5768	3791	0.675	29
Antidorcas marsupialis	Cb	11.458	2724 458 750	2257 214 074	58 539 299	196 999	5147	0.026	2
Antidorcas marsupialis	RoB	25.810	1389 765 624	70 485 000	84 309 976	2731	3267	1.196	3
Antidorcas marsupialis	Ctx	65.372	4216 083 590	376 412 018	254 974 497	5758	3790	0.677	28
Antidorcas marsupialis	Нр	3.434	227 601 562	20 484 141	12 973 289	5965	3778	0.633	1
Antidorcas marsupialis	Br	106.074	8557 909 526	2724 595 233	402 984 165	25 686	3810	0.148	34
Giraffa camelopardalis	Сх	398.808	29 244 220 002	1730 513 460	2430 910 509	4339	6330	1.405	45
Giraffa camelopardalis	Cb	67.730	14 398 437 500	8878 076 563	298 047 656	131 080	4401	0.034	1
Giraffa camelopardalis	RoB	70.680	5021 562 500	142 697 625	495 014 837	2019	7005	3.469	5
Giraffa camelopardalis	Ctx	391.322	28 341 393 752	1671 925 960	2365 907 262	4272	6278	1.415	44
Giraffa camelopardalis	Нр	7.486	681 250 000	58 587 500	64 786 875	8435	9327	1.106	1
Giraffa camelopardalis	Br	537.218	48 442 643 752	10 751 287 650	3335 932 264	19 678	6278	0.310	51
Sus scrofa domesticus	Cx	42.202	3476 643 442	307 082 404	388 217 638	7276	9048	1.264	24
Sus scrofa domesticus	Cb	8.128	2207 031 250	1858 320 313	85 632 813	228 632	10 536	0.046	1
Sus scrota domesticus	RoB	13.850	1155 531 250	58 709 836	129 924 626	4238	9386	2.213	3
Sus scrota domesticus	Ctx	40.274	3300 354 380	289 142 456	359 /15 940	/1/9	8932	1.244	23
Sus scrota domesticus	Нр	1.928	146 289 062	12 907 084	22 141 064	6692	11 480	1./15	1
Sus scrota aomesticus	Br	64.180	6839 205 942	2224 112 553	598 831 830	34 654	9310	0.269	28
Tragelaphus strepsiceros	CX Ch	213.370	13 064 8/1 626	/62 56/ 1/8	837 474 821	35/4	3718	1.098	3/
Tragelaphus strepsiceros	CD DoP	31.//6	2516 260 752	4042 494 141	90 0 10 400	12/218	3041 4495	0.024	
Tragelaphus strepsiceros	KOD Ctv	01./10	3515309752	106 590 230	284 487 989	1/2/	4485	2.009	د ۲
Tragelaphus strepsiceros		202.434	12 392 809 378 525 156 350	720 411 190	799 590 074	2200 2504	377Z 1710	2.046	30 1
Tragolaphus strepsiceros	пµ Вr	206 860	21 751 020	20 203 201	29 320 303	2094 16.006	4/10	0.940 0.245	12
Canic familiaric	DI Cv	65 /01	30// 367 750	577 011 882	313 577 336	10 000 8061	1788	0.245	45 86
Canis familiaris	Ch	7 512	2525 212 500	1676 633 212	48 233 469	223 194	6471	0.524	200
Canis familiaris	RoB	13 459	976 243 750	48 149 310	103 384 213	3577	7681	2 147	6
Canis familiaris	Ctx	63 481	3801 711 500	519 305 298	302 616 235	8180	4767	0 583	84
Canis familiaris	Hp	2 010	142 656 250	8606 584	11 141 453	4787	5543	1 295	2
Canis familiaris	Br	86.462	7445 924 000	2252 694 404	465 370 250	26 054	5382	0.207	94
Felis catus	Cx	24.176	1346 938 750	249 834 196	73 677 550	10 334	3048	0.295	44
Felis catus	Cb	5.110	1269 000 000	942 902 390	21 826 800	184 521	4271	0.023	1
Felis catus	RoB	5.572	328 062 500	22 472 558	10 202 744	4033	1831	0.454	3
								(Table	continues.)

Table 1. Continued

		Structure							No. of
Species name	Structure	mass (g)	C	Ν	Ι	N/mg	l/mg	I/N	samples
Felis catus	Ctx	23.300	1282 938 750	241 786 292	71 716 276	10 377	3078	0.297	43
Felis catus	Нр	0.876	64 000 000	8047 904	1952 000	9187	2228	0.243	1
Felis catus	Br Cu**	34.858	2944 001 250	1215 209 144	105 689 645	34 862	3032	0.087	48
Mungos mungo Mungos mungo	Ck ^{**}	NA 15/18	NA 470.000.000	NA 315 738 064	NA 8605.000	NA 203.066	NA 5617	NA 0.028	20
Mungos mungo Mungos mungo	RoB	3 454	174 060 000	22 514 320	6614 280	6518	1 915	0.028	3
Mungos mungo Mungos mungo	(tx**	NA J.+J+	NA	NA 22 514 520	NA 0014 200	NA	NA	NA	19
Mungos mungo	Нр	0.428	25 625 000	2664 224	863 563	6225	2018	0.324	1
Mungos mungo	Br	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	24
Mustela putorius furo	Сх	3.123	303 008 200	38 952 070	20 392 452	12 473	6530	0.524	24
Mustela putorius furo	Cb	0.920	430 860 000	351 264 942	8832 630	381 810	9601	0.025	2
Mustela putorius furo	RoB	1.386	143 542 500	14 210 998	12 847 054	10 253	9269	0.904	4
Mustela putorius furo	Ctx	2./94	2/0 508 200	35 /60 238	17 528 931	12 /99	62/4	0.490	22
Mustela putorius furo	нр Ви	0.329	32 500 000	3 191 834	2850750	9/02	8083 7759	0.895	20
Musieiu pulonus iuro Panthera leo	DI Cv	130 002	7806 210 250	404 420 012	42 113 / 14	74 494	5686	0.104	30 107
Panthera leo	Ch	24 882	7093 750 000	4049 750 938	124 140 625	162 758	4989	0.031	107
Panthera leo	RoB	34.162	2165 910 000	72 045 082	210 743 043	2109	6169	2.925	2
Panthera leo	Ctx	136.254	7615 560 250	531 700 630	784 402 706	3902	5757	1.475	106
Panthera leo	Нр	3.648	190 650 000	13 538 056	10 886 115	3711	2984	0.804	1
Panthera leo	Br	198.946	17 065 870 250	4667 034 704	1129 760 611	23 459	5679	0.242	110
Ursus arctos	Сх	222.000	10 404 967 500	250 969 270	843 842 866	1130	3801	3.362	116
Ursus arctos	Cb	45.106	11 550 000 000	9253 250 478	188 265 000	205 145	4174	0.020	1
Ursus arctos	RoB	47.898	2743 825 000	81 384 332	98 777 700	1699	2062	1.214	4
Ursus arctos	Ctx	217.870	10 14/ 192 500	242 359 888	835 113 943	1112	3833	3.446	115
Ursus arctos	пр Ри	4.130	257 775 000	8009 382	8815 905	2085	2135	0.110	171
Dendrolaaus acodfellowi	DI Cv	10 570	24 096 792 300	9363 004 060 273 /3/ 08/	80 / 30 000	30 430 13 072	3391 /110	0.110	121
Dendrolagus goodfellowi	Ch	4,236	1545 500 000	1237 018 200	10 200 300	292 025	2408	0.008	1
Dendrolagus goodfellowi	RoB	7.742	518 100 000	37 858 500	29 687 130	4890	3835	0.784	2
Dendrolagus goodfellowi	Ctx	18.820	1431 388 750	255 280 904	74 289 076	13 965	4064	0.291	45
Dendrolagus goodfellowi	Нр	1.290	100 800 000	18 154 080	6189 120	14 073	4798	0.341	1
Dendrolagus goodfellowi	Br	31.548	3595 788 750	1548 311 684	120 458 923	49 078	3818	0.078	49
Didelphis aurita	Сх	2.136	163 477 500	42 499 454	11 581 340	19 897	5511	0.273	31
Didelphis aurita	Cb	0.778	375 031 250	334 265 353	5362 947	429 647	6893	0.016	1
Didelphis aurita	RoB	1.378	108 137 500	15 732 651	8326 588	11 417	6043	0.529	3
Didelphis durita Didelphis gurita	UTX Un	1./50	133 / /5 000	35 269 454	9740 554	20 154	5679	0.276	30 1
Didelphis durita	пµ Br	0.300	643 138 750	7250 000	25 297 939	10/31 01///0	4700 5961	0.230	35
Macronus fuliainosus	Cx	40 194	2740 637 500	370 171 727	161 697 613	9210	4023	0.437	55
Macropus fuliginosus	Cb	7.358	2721 875 000	1989 962 813	22 863 750	270 449	3107	0.011	1
Macropus fuliginosus	RoB	15.172	1245 625 000	97 741 950	80 965 625	6442	5337	0.828	2
Macropus fuliginosus	Ctx	37.256	2513 387 500	325 403 477	145 776 475	8734	3913	0.448	53
Macropus fuliginosus	Нр	2.938	227 250 000	44 768 250	15 975 675	15 238	5438	0.357	1
Macropus fuliginosus	Br	62.724	6708 137 500	2457 876 490	265 642 245	39 186	4235	0.108	57
Macropus parma	Cx	9.914	869 532 500	135 625 473	48 259 054	13 680	4868	0.356	35
Macropus parma	(D	1.690	/21 68/ 500	558 080 944	5268 319	330 225	3117	0.009	1
Macropus parma Macropus parma	KOD Ctv	4.110	352 250 000	17 420 050	20 254 375	4238	4928	0.210	2/
Macropus parma	Hn	0.070 1.238	118 750 000	8478 750	40 407 177 7801 875	6849	4004 6302	0.318	54 1
Macropus parma Macropus parma	Br	15,714	1943 470 000	711 126 467	73 851 860	45 254	4700	0.104	38
Macropus rufoariseus	Cx	16.102	1423 953 750	256 784 370	98 537 600	15 947	6120	0.384	41
Macropus rufogriseus	Cb	3.572	1479 625 000	1022 568 838	13 612 550	286 273	3811	0.013	1
Macropus rufogriseus	RoB	7.320	571 812 500	42 476 569	36 538 819	5803	4992	0.860	2
Macropus rufogriseus	Ctx	14.306	1259 953 750	246 009 570	84 038 915	17 196	5874	0.342	40
Macropus rufogriseus	Нр	1.796	164 000 000	10 774 069	14 514 000	5999	8081	1.347	1
Macropus rufogriseus	Br	26.994	3475 391 250	1321 829 777	148 746 746	48 968	5510	0.113	44
Macropus rutus	Cb	4.786	1976 624 000	1532 871 912	31 230 659	320 282	6525	0.020	1
Marmosons incenses	r + M (v	2.886	22/ 500 000	19 337 500	17 881 500 007 1 100	6/00	6002	0.925	 ว
Marmosops incanus	Ch (h	0.408 0.159	27 272 000 106 500 000	20 4 13 493 82 200 050	2011/00 700 100	20 U22 521 512	0092 /088	0.138 0.010	2 1
Marmosops incanus	RoB	0.150	35 825 000	02 595 050 9738 790	700 100 2332 208	26 857	4700 6780	0.010	ו ז
Marmosops incanus	Ctx	0.348	51 700 000	17 831 330	2553 980	51 239	7339	0.143	1
,								(Table	continues.)

Table 1. Continued

		Structure							No. of
Species name	Structure	mass (g)	С	Ν	I	N/mg	l/mg	I/N	samples
Marmosops incanus	Нр	0.060	6275 000	2582 163	258 530	43 036	4309	0.100	1
Marmosops incanus	Br	0.910	200 300 000	112 051 333	5928 880	123 133	6515	0.053	6
Metachirus nudicaudatus	Сх	0.992	84 775 000	20 191 038	2653 458	20 354	2675	0.131	2
Metachirus nudicaudatus	Cb	0.342	166 312 500	134 131 031	1729 650	392 196	5057	0.013	1
Metachirus nudicaudatus	RoB	0.674	66 256 250	11 272 337	3670 596	16 725	5446	0.326	3
Metachirus nudicaudatus	Ctx	0.848	72 625 000	15 999 288	2222 325	18 867	2621	0.139	1
Metachirus nudicaudatus	Нр	0.144	12 150 000	4191 750	428 895	29 109	2978	0.102	1
Metachirus nudicaudatus	Br	2.008	317 343 750	166 594 406	8060 531	82 467	4014	0.049	6
Sarcophilus harrisii	Сх	5.228	437 941 000	71 655 547	9547 114	13 706	1826	0.133	26
Sarcophilus harrisii	Cb	1.434	735 437 500	601 073 069	7722 094	419 158	5385	0.013	1
Sarcophilus harrisii	RoB	3.140	276 752 500	20 966 121	5590 401	6677	1780	0.267	3
Sarcophilus harrisii	Ctx	4.594	367 941 000	59 013 547	7248 438	12 846	1578	0.123	25
Sarcophilus harrisii	Нр	0.634	70 000 000	12 642 000	2275 000	19 940	3588	0.180	1
Sarcophilus harrisii	Br	9.802	1450 131 000	693 694 737	22 912 070	70 771	2337	0.033	30
Wallabia bicolor	Сх	15.182	1239 254 750	208 113 314	95 174 765	13 708	6269	0.457	45
Wallabia bicolor	Cb	4.420	1528 750 000	1195 941 125	26 141 625	270 575	5914	0.022	1
Wallabia bicolor	RoB	10.410	687 750 000	31 865 550	54 676 125	3061	5252	1.716	2
Wallabia bicolor	Ctx	13.616	1115 954 750	195 142 154	88 272 021	14 332	6483	0.452	44
Wallabia bicolor	Нр	1.566	123 300 000	12 971 160	6892 470	8283	4401	0.531	1
Wallabia bicolor	Br	30.012	3455 754 750	1435 919 989	175 897 917	47 845	5861	0.122	48
Aotus trivirgatus	Сх	9.124	1303 931 000	451 671 982	23 875 354	49 504	2635	0.053	43
Cebus apella	Сх	53.784	3035 947 220	970 159 664	106 083 459	18 038	1991	0.109	78
Homo sapiens sapiens	Сх	696.708	58 983 401 216	9547 198 728	1634 984 719	13 703	2403	0.171	290
Homo sapiens sapiens	Ctx	693.422	58 732 501 216	9513 780 748	1612 228 351	13 720	2381	0.169	271
Homo sapiens sapiens	Нр	3.286	250 900 000	33 417 980	10 136 360	10 170	3085	0.303	19
Macaca fascicularis	Сх	40.000	4755 230 252	1404 683 000	192 394 153	35 117	4727	0.137	79
Macaca nemestrina	Сх	76.964	7787 044 390	2194 785 386	277 022 786	28 517	3668	0.126	106
Otolemur garnettii	Сх	4.876	494 053 510	196 070 964	39 378 618	40 211	8294	0.201	23
Papio anubis cvnocephalus	Сх	94.744	4934 116 014	1144 837 998	257 818 766	12 083	2773	0.225	102
Saguinus midas	Сх	5.030	603 175 002	220 924 134	16 398 445	43 921	3041	0.074	28

^{*a*}Cx includes gray matter, white matter, and hippocampus; RoB includes pons, medulla oblongata, mesencephalon, diencephalon, and striatum; P + M, Pons and medulla oblongata; Ctx includes gray and white matter, excluding hippocampus; Hp, hippocampus; Br, whole brain (corresponding to the joint value of the respective Cx, Cb, and RoB); C, total number of cells in the structure; *N*, total number of neurons in the structure; M, total number of microglia in the structure; *N*, microglia/neuron ratio. Structure mass, C, N, and *N*/mg list the values published previously for each species and for each structure in its entirety (Ribeiro et al., 2013; Herculano-Houzel et al., 2014; Kazu et al., 2014; Neves et al., 2014; Gabi et al., 2016; Dos Santos et al., 2017; Jardim-Messeder et al., 2017). I/mg and I/N list the values measured from the samples available for each species and structure (for some structures, some samples were no longer available for the present analysis). Absolute numbers of microglia cells (I) are estimated by applying the product of the I/N ratios measured in this study by the previously published number of neurons N in each structure and species (*I/N* × N). All values refer to the two hemispheres together, calculated as 2× the values obtained for one hemisphere, as in the original reports. **Data available for only one specimen of the species.

**Samples were only available for some cortical sites, so total values were not calculated (NA). In both, however, microglial densities and ratios between numbers of neurons and microglial cells from the samples available are included in analyses across sites of the Cx. For *M. rufus*, only two structures were available: Cb and Pons and Medulla (P + M).

2014; Kazu et al., 2014; Neves et al., 2014; Gabi et al., 2016; Dos Santos et al., 2017; Jardim-Messeder et al., 2017). The proportion of microglial cell nuclei in each sample was determined by immunocytochemical detection of the antigen Iba1, specifically expressed in both the nucleus and cytoplasm of active and resting microglia (Imai et al., 1996; Imai and Kohsaka, 2002), an antibody commonly used to label microglia both in vivo and in vitro (Sousa et al., 2017). We first incubated the samples of free nuclei in 0.2 M boric acid, pH 9.0, for 2 h at 70°C for antigen retrieval. To maximize the chances of compatibility across species, we used a rabbit polyclonal primary antibody against Iba1 (Wako, 019-19741) diluted 1:100 in a buffer made of 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4, 3% NGS and 2% BSA, incubated for 2 d at 4°C. All samples were further incubated with AlexaFluor-488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Abcam, ab150077) diluted 1:500 in a similar buffer as above and incubated for 2 d at 4°C, and finally labeled in 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4, with the fluorescent DNA marker DAPI (Invitrogen) at 2 mg/L for 30 min at room temperature. The fraction of DAPI⁺ cell nuclei that were Iba1⁺ was determined by unbiased systematic counting of contiguous squares in a modified Neubauer chamber, under a Carl Zeiss AxioImager A2 fluorescent microscope with a $40 \times$ objective (Carl Zeiss EC-Plan-NEOFLUAR 40×/0,75 420360-9900), until at least 500 DAPI⁺ nuclei had been scored. Depending on the percentage of Iba1⁺ nuclei, typically the latter were typically 10-30 of all nuclei scored for each brain structure analyzed.

To confirm that Iba1 is conserved enough that the same polyclonal antibody labels nuclei with anatomic characteristics of microglia in all the mammalian species analyzed, we conducted immunohistochemistry on 50 μ m coronal tissue sections of the Cx of 2 species of each clade (primates, Northern greater galago and owl monkey; artiodactyls, springbok and pig; marsupials, big-eared opossum and Bennett's wallaby; carnivorans, ferret and cat; afrotherians, African elephant and elephant shrew; Fig. 1). We incubated the tissue sections in 0.2 M boric acid, pH 9.0, for 2 h at 70°C for antigen retrieval and applied to them the same 1:100 dilution of anti-Iba1 antibody used on the respective free nuclei in the presence of goat and bovine sera (5% NGS; 3% BSA in 0.1 M PB/0.25% Triton X-100) for 2 d at 4°C followed by incubation with AlexaFluor-488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Abcam, ab150077) diluted at 1:500 in an incubation buffer made of 0.1 M PB, pH 7.4, 5% NGS, and 3% BSA for 2 d at 4°C and ultimately in 0.1 M PB, pH 7.4, with DAPI at 2 mg/L for 1 h at room temperature. As shown in Figure 1, Iba1⁺ cells have the typical shape of microglial cells with extensive thin processes, and their distribution seems homogeneous across different cortical and brain regions, as has been previously reported for the mouse Cx, where minimal distances across neighboring microglial cells were fairly constant across cortical layers (Nimmerjahn et al., 2005). Twodimensional photomontages were acquired on a Carl Zeiss AxioImager M2 fluorescent microscope under $5\times$, $20\times$, $40\times$, or $63\times$ objective magnification using StereoInvestigator software (MicroBrightField). Colabeling with antibodies against Iba1 and other glial and neuronal markers showed no overlap in mouse brain sections (Herculano-Houzel and Dos Santos, 2018), confirming the specificity of this marker for microglial cells only, in

Table 2. Microglia, % of all cells in different cortical sites a

	Gray matter			White matter			
	Range	Mean \pm SE	% versus N/mg, Spearman $ ho$ and p value	Range	Mean \pm SE	% versus 0/mg, Spearman $ ho$ and p	Wilcoxon, %l in gray versus white matter
All clades	[0.46–15.25%]	5.88 ± 0.10%	ho = -0.6836 ho < 0.0001	[1.01–15.37%]	5.67 ± 0.11%	$ \rho = -0.2625 $ $ p < 0.0001 $	<i>p</i> = 0.2650
Per clade							
Afrotheria	[4.45–13.25%]	9.22 ± 0.18%	$ \rho = -0.0467 $ p = 0.6123	[1.08–12.50%]	6.11 ± 0.18%	$ \rho = 0.1829 $ $ p = 0.2185 $	p < 0.0001
Artiodactyla	[3.74–15.10%]	$\textbf{8.70} \pm \textbf{0.39\%}$	$ \rho = 0.1455 $ p = 0.2758	[3.54–14.03%]	$\textbf{7.12} \pm \textbf{0.29\%}$	$\rho = 0.3189$ p = 0.0156	<i>p</i> = 0.0037
Carnivora	[1.86–15.25%]	7.79 ± 0.15%	$\rho = -0.4731$ n < 0.0001	[1.69–15.37%]	$8.85\pm0.24\%$	$\rho = 0.2088$ p = 0.0080	<i>p</i> = 0.0004
Marsupialia	[0.74–11.52%]	$\textbf{6.25} \pm \textbf{0.20\%}$	$\rho = -0.0797$ n = 0.3390	[1.16–9.56%]	$5.06\pm0.13\%$	$\rho = -0.1453$ p = -0.1058	p < 0.0001
Primata	[0.46-15.11%]	$\textbf{3.46} \pm \textbf{0.09\%}$	$\rho = -0.3786$ $\rho = -0.3786$	[1.01–12.46%]	$\textbf{3.87} \pm \textbf{0.10\%}$	$\rho = -0.0876$ $\rho = -0.1221$	<i>p</i> = 0.0004
Per species			p < 0.0001			p = 0.1221	
Afrotheria*							
L. africana	[4.45–13.25%]	$9.28\pm0.18\%$	$\rho = -0.0029$ p = 0.9752	[1.08–12.50%]	$\textbf{6.14} \pm \textbf{0.18\%}$	$\rho = 0.1916$ n = 0.2127	p < 0.0001
Artiodactyla			p 0.3732			p 0.2127	
G. camelopardalis	[5.70–15.10%]	11.07 ± 0.51%	$\rho = -0.2827$ n = 0.2272	[3.56–10.28%]	$7.24\pm0.41\%$	$\rho = -0.1000$ n = 0.6748	p < 0.0001
T. strepsiceros	[4.45-8.56%]	$\textbf{6.31} \pm \textbf{0.30\%}$	$\rho = 0.3147$ $\rho = 0.2352$	[4.26-8.67%]	$\textbf{6.26} \pm \textbf{0.31\%}$	$\rho = -0.2912$ p = -0.2739	<i>p</i> = 0.8358
S. scrofa domesticus	[8.41–14.01%]	$10.92\pm0.59\%$	$\rho = 0.2352$ $\rho = -0.7173$ n = 0.0105	[8.56–14.03%]	$10.56\pm0.62\%$	$\rho = -0.0500$ $\rho = -0.0500$	<i>p</i> = 0.6532
A. marsupialis	[3.74–7.28%]	$\textbf{6.11} \pm \textbf{0.32\%}$	$\rho = 0.3328$ $\rho = 0.3906$	[3.54–6.78%]	$5.50\pm0.26\%$	$\rho = 0.3364$ $\rho = 0.4685$ n = 0.1245	<i>p</i> = 0.1058
Carnivora			p = 0.2900			p = 0.1245	
M. mongo	[1.86-3.44%]	$\textbf{2.76} \pm \textbf{0.20\%}$	$\rho = 0.2143$ n = 0.6445	[1.69–5.20%]	$\textbf{3.58} \pm \textbf{0.29\%}$	$\rho = -0.0909$	<i>p</i> = 0.0832
U. arctos	[4.85–15.25%]	$8.59\pm0.27\%$	$\rho = -0.1508$ $\rho = -0.2267$	[5.06–13.88%]	$8.33\pm0.31\%$	$\rho = 0.7787$ $\rho = 0.0509$ n = 0.7282	<i>p</i> = 0.4334
F. catus	[3.37–7.83%]	$5.42\pm0.24\%$	$\rho = 0.2207$ $\rho = 0.3102$ n = 0.1152	[3.54-8.60%]	$5.58\pm0.34\%$	$\rho = -0.7262$ $\rho = -0.0912$ n = 0.7270	<i>p</i> = 0.8113
C. familiaris	[3.66–12.26%]	$7.38\pm0.26\%$	$\rho = -0.4702$ $\rho = -0.0006$	[5.27–13.52%]	$9.30\pm0.30\%$	$\rho = -0.2102$ $\rho = -0.2402$	p < 0.0001
M. putorius furo	[4.78–9.80%]	$8.07\pm0.48\%$	$\rho = -0.1364$	[6.63–15.37%]	$8.98\pm0.84\%$	$\rho = -0.6000$	<i>p</i> = 1.0000
P. leo	[4.72–12.19%]	$8.76\pm0.21\%$	p = 0.6893 $\rho = -0.5220$ r < 0.0001	[8.15–15.36%]	11.90 ± 0.30%	$\rho = -0.5297$	p < 0.0001
Marcunialia			<i>p</i> < 0.0001			p = 0.0004	
Marsupiana M. rufrogriseus	[1.94–10.23%]	$7.30\pm0.40\%$	$\rho = -0.3403$	[4.74–7.10%]	$\textbf{6.04} \pm \textbf{0.15\%}$	$\rho = -0.4684$	<i>p</i> = 0.0015
D. aurita	[4.87–11.49%]	$7.93\pm0.47\%$	p = 0.1312 $\rho = -0.2879$ p = 0.2466	[4.04–7.36%]	$5.74\pm0.32\%$	$\rho = -0.6643$	<i>p</i> = 0.0043
D. goodfellowi	[2.81-8.10%]	$5.04\pm0.27\%$	p = 0.2400 $\rho = -0.3313$ n = 0.1138	[2.70–7.72%]	$5.33\pm0.28\%$	$\rho = -0.2989$	<i>p</i> = 0.3568
M. parma	[2.81-8.36%]	$5.68\pm0.36\%$	$\rho = 0.1138$ $\rho = -0.0158$ $\rho = 0.0488$	[2.94–6.39%]	4.61 ± 0.26%	$\rho = -0.2377$ $\rho = -0.2377$	<i>p</i> = 0.0480
W. bicolor	[1.28–11.52%]	$8.24\pm0.51\%$	$\rho = 0.9488$ $\rho = -0.7087$	[1.78–9.56%]	$5.84\pm0.37\%$	$\rho = -0.1624$	<i>p</i> = 0.0002
S. harrisii	[0.74-3.02%]	1.76 ± 0.18%	$\rho = 0.0002$ $\rho = 0.2967$	[1.16-4.14%]	$\textbf{2.55} \pm \textbf{0.29\%}$	$\rho = 0.4819$ $\rho = -0.0629$	<i>p</i> = 0.0535
M. fuliginosus	[3.73–9.30%]	6.26 ± 0.29%	p = 0.3249 $\rho = 0.1845$	[2.01–5.87%]	$4.60\pm0.18\%$	$\rho = 0.8459$ $\rho = -0.2859$	<i>p</i> = 0.0001
Primata			p = 0.54/4			p = 0.1000	
P. anubis cynocephalus	[1.45–7.29%]	3.88 ± 0.21%	$\rho = -0.5007$	[2.25-8.43%]	$4.87\pm0.21\%$	$\rho = 0.0075$	<i>p</i> = 0.0014
M. fascicularis	[0.98–5.76%]	$\textbf{3.25} \pm \textbf{0.18\%}$	$\rho = -0.1461$ $\rho = -0.3740$	[1.01–9.58%]	$5.06\pm0.34\%$	$\rho = -0.9009$ $\rho = -0.4542$ n = 0.0070	p < 0.0001
0. garnettii	[3.64–15.11%]	9.15 ± 1.05%	$\rho = -0.6703$ $\rho = -0.0097$	[4.58–12.46%]	$7.36\pm0.84\%$	$\rho = 0.0070$ $\rho = 0.2167$ n = 0.5755	<i>p</i> = 0.2703
			p = 0.0007			$\mu = 0.5755$	(Table continues.)

Table 2. Continued

	Gray matter			White matter			
	Range	Mean \pm SE	% versus N/mg, Spearman $ ho$ and p value	Range	Mean \pm SE	% versus 0/mg, Spearman $ ho$ and p	Wilcoxon, %l in gray versus white matter
H. sapiens sapiens	[0.66–11.13%]	$3.34\pm0.12\%$	$ \rho = -0.5234 $ $ p < 0.0001 $	[1.06-8.06%]	$\textbf{2.89} \pm \textbf{0.11\%}$	$ \rho = 0.1011 $ p = 0.2865	<i>p</i> = 0.0041
A. trivirgatus	[0.93-3.14%]	$1.82\pm0.14\%$	$\rho = -0.4979$ p = 0.0113	[1.41-3.01%]	$2.22\pm0.11\%$	$\rho = -0.2012$ p = 0.4233	<i>p</i> = 0.0332
S. midas	[0.46-3.80%]	$2.60\pm0.20\%$	ho = -0.0518 ho = 0.8544	[1.79–5.67%]	$3.53\pm0.32\%$	$\rho = 0.1678$ p = 0.5837	<i>p</i> = 0.0303
M. nemestrina	[1.44–7.07%]	$3.50\pm0.17\%$	$\rho = -0.3023$ p = 0.0235	[1.83–7.68%]	4.57 ± 0.19%	$\rho = 0.1054$ p = 0.4807	p < 0.0001
C. apella	[1.19–6.01%]	$\textbf{2.94} \pm \textbf{0.19\%}$	ho = -0.6219 p<0.0001	[1.10–6.47%]	$3.62\pm0.23\%$	ho = -0.2720 p=0.1197	<i>p</i> = 0.0371

⁴%I, Microglial percentage of all cells; N/mg, number of neuronal cells per milligram of structure; O/mg: number of non-neuronal (other) cells per milligram of structure.

* For Afrotheria, analyses were done, including all the species of the clade (*D. dorsalis, E. myurus, L. africana, P. tetradactylus,* and *P. capensis*). Analyses within afrotherian species cortices were only possible in the elephant (*L. africana*), where multiple data points were available.

agreement with a recent report (Valério-Gomes et al., 2018). Heretofore, $Iba1^+$ cells and cell nuclei will be referred to as microglial cells (or I, for $Iba1^+$ cells or nuclei).

Data analysis

All statistical analyses were performed in JMP Pro 14.0 (SAS Institute). Regressions to power functions were performed to find the best fit for each distribution by applying linear fits to automatically log-transformed data. All averages and exponents are reported \pm SE and with the corresponding *p* value; 95% CI are not reported explicitly as they can be easily calculated as average or exponent \pm 2 SE. To evaluate the statistical dependence between two variables, we do not assume normality in the data and use a nonparametric Spearman's rank correlation coefficient instead. We consider a cutoff *p* value of *p* < 0.01 throughout the manuscript to estimate significance of our statistical analyses. Because we focus directly on the question of whether microglial cell densities are universal across species, we chose to analyze the raw data directly without introducing any inferences about phylogenetic relationships about the species analyzed. All data are presented in the tables for future use in other studies where accounting for phylogenetic relationships may be of interest.

Data reported in Table 1 represent the sums of masses, total microglial and neuronal cells, and their densities in each structure analyzed in the present study. Total numbers of microglial cells in each structure were estimated by applying the percentages of Iba1^+ nuclei in the samples to their respective recorded original total number of cell nuclei in the sample. Microglial cell density is the estimated total number of microglial cells obtained this way divided by the original sample mass, in cells/mg of tissue. Where a brain structure had been subdivided into multiple samples, we first calculated the absolute number of Iba1^+ cells in each sample, then the total tally for the whole structure, and only then calculated the average microglial cell density for the whole structure as above. That is, the averages reported in Table 1 represent the real average when sampling the entire tissue, not an average of averages in multiple samples.

Results

Microglial cells are \sim 6% of all cells in the mammalian Cx

We first focus on the percentage of microglia among all cells across the 1776 cerebral cortical samples of gray and white matter analyzed across 30 species (Table 2). There is an overall 33-fold variation in the percentage of cells that are microglia across cortical sites, from 0.46% in the red-handed tamarin gray matter to 15.37% in the ferret white matter, but these percentages span overlapping ranges across the 5 mammalian clades examined (Table 2; Fig. 2A). When all species and clades are considered together, microglia represent similar, small percentages of all cortical cells in gray and white matter ($5.88 \pm 0.10\%$ and $5.67 \pm 0.11\%$,

respectively; Wilcoxon p = 0.2650, Table 2; Fig. 2*B*). Compared across clades, the average percentage of microglia among all cortical cells is lowest in primate gray and white matter, and highest in afrotherian gray matter and carnivoran white matter (Fig. 2*C*; "all clades" in Table 2). Within each clade, we find significant differences in the percentage of cells that are microglial between gray and white matter (Wilcoxon *p* values all <0.01), but percentages were not systematically higher in either one (Fig. 2*C*; "per clade" in Table 2). Within an individual cortex, 12 of the 26 species with multiple cortical sites available have significantly different percentages of cells that are microglia between gray and white matter (Wilcoxon *p* values <0.01; "per species" in Table 2). In these cases, percentages of microglial cells were higher in the gray matter samples for all species, except carnivorans.

We next determined whether the smaller and larger percentages of cells that are microglial in primate and afrotherian cortical gray matter, respectively, are related to the high neuronal densities found in primate versus the low neuronal densities found in the elephant cortex (Herculano-Houzel et al., 2014; Gabi et al., 2016). When all data are combined, we find a strong correlation between local neuronal density and the percentage of cells that are microglial in gray matter cortical sites across species and clades, as shown in Figure 2D (Spearman correlation for all clades together: $\rho = -0.6836$, p < 0.0001). This correlation indicates that microglial cells are an up to 10-fold higher percentage of all gray matter cells where neuronal densities are lower. However, across cortical sites within each clade, this correlation only applies for carnivorans and primates; and within each species, only within C. familiaris, P. leo, W. bicolor, P. anubis cynocephalus, O. garnettii, H. sapiens sapiens, and C. apella.

A slight but detectable correlation between the local non-neuronal cell density and the percentage of microglial cells among all cells in each white matter cortical site across species and clades is observed, as shown in Figure 2*E* (Spearman correlation for all clades together: $\rho = -0.2625$, p < 0.0001). However, across cortical sites within a clade, this correlation only applies for carnivorans; and within each species, only in *P. leo* and *M. fascicularis*. It thus appears more likely that any apparent correlation between local non-neuronal cell density and percentage of microglial cells in the gray or white matter of the Cx is related to clade-specific (evolutionary) differences, and not to biological scaling.

Microglial cells are a variable percentage of all cortical nonneuronal cells across cortical sites and clades

To avoid the confound of variable percentages of cortical cells that are neurons across mammalian species, depending on



Figure 2. Average percentages of PI microglial cells represent 6% of all cortical cells in gray and white matter and correlate only slightly with neuronal and non-neuronal cell densities. *A*, Distribution of the percentage of all cortical cells that are microglia cells in the gray and white matter per clade. The ranges of distribution and means of the percentage of all cortical cells that are microglia are similar across dades within the cortical gray matter (values in Table 2). *B*, Average percentages of total cells that are microglia across clades and species represent a constant $\sim 6\%$ in both cortical gray and white matter. Wilcoxon p = 0.2650 between gray

cortical mass and clade (Herculano-Houzel, 2017), we next analyzed the representation of microglial cells among only the non-neuronal cells in each sample. In this case, microglia are found to represent a slightly larger average percentage of non-neuronal cells in gray matter sites ($7.56 \pm 0.11\%$) than in white matter sites ($5.86 \pm 0.11\%$, Wilcoxon p < 0.0001; Fig. 2*F*,*G*; Table 3, "all clades"). This is the case in each clade separately, except in carnivorans (Table 3, "per clade"). Again, microglial cells are a higher percentage of non-neuronal

and white matter in all mammalian species. C, Average percentages of total cells that are microglia in gray and white matter across clades and species. In the gray matter, significant differences are found across all pairs of clades (Wilcoxon, p < 0.0001), except between Artiodactyla and both Afrotheria (Wilcoxon, p = 0.1000; nonsignificant) and Carnivora (Wilcoxon, p = 0.0963). In the white matter, significant differences are found across all pairs of clades (p < 0.01 for all pairs), except between Artiodactyla and Afrotheria (p = 0.0121). For each clade individually, we find significant differences in the percentage of all cells that are microglial between white and gray matter samples (Wilcoxon p < 0.0001 for Afrotheria and Marsupialia; p = 0.0037 for Artiodactyla; p = 0.0004 for Carnivora and Primata). **D**, Percentages of microglial cells among all cells in the gray matter fail to correlate systematically with the neuronal cell density in the sample. Spearman ρ and pvalues for each clade are given in Table 2. E, Percentages of microglial cells among all cells in the white matter fail to correlate systematically with the non-neuronal cell density in the sample. Spearman ρ and p values for each dade are given in Table 2. F, Distribution of the percentage of cortical non-neuronal cells that are microglial cells in the gray and white matter per clade. Ranges and means are listed in Table 3. G, Microglia are a larger average percentage of all non-neuronal cells in cortical gray matter $(7.56 \pm 0.11\%)$ than in the white matter $(5.86 \pm 0.13\%)$. Wilcoxon p < 0.0001). **H**, Average percentages of nonneuronal cells that are microglia in gray and white matter across clades and species. In the gray matter, significant differences are found across all pairs of clades (Wilcoxon, p < 0.01 for all clades), except between Artiodactyla and Afrotheria, Marsupialia, and Carnivora (p = 0.2645,p = 0.0240, and 0.2106, respectively) and between Marsupialia and Carnivora (p = 0.0837). In the white matter, significant differences are found across all pairs of clades (Wilcoxon, p = 0.0002 between Artiodactyla and Afrotheria; and p < 0.0001 for all other pairs), except between Afrotheria and Marsupialia (p = 0.8582). *I*, Percentages of microglial cells among non-neuronal cells in the gray matter do not correlate systematically with the non-neuronal cell density in the sample. Spearman correlation ρ and p values are given in Table 3. J, Percentages of microglial cells among non-neuronal cells in the white matter do not correlate systematically with the neuronal cell density in the sample. Spearman correlation ρ and p values are given in Table 3. D, E, I, J, Each data point represents the values found per cortical site examined for each species colored by clade as in the key. Filled circles represent cortical gray matter sites of the Cx, including the hippocampus. *White matter sites. G. *Significance.

cells in the gray matter in afrotherians (10.18 \pm 0.20%) and lower in primates (5.44 \pm 0.16%). Across white matter sites, a higher percentage of microglia is found in carnivorans (9.15 \pm 0.24% of all non-neuronal cells), and the lowest percentage in primates (4.06 \pm 0.11% of all non-neuronal cells; Fig. 2*F*,*H*). Within each clade, with the exception of carnivorans, microglial cells are a significantly higher percentage of non-neuronal cells in the gray matter than in the white matter (Fig. 2*H*; Table 3, "per clade"). Within each individual cortex, 12 of the 26 species with multiple data points available have significantly different percentages of microglia among other cells between gray and white matter (Wilcoxon *p* values <0.01; Table 3, "per species") with percentages of microglial cells higher in the gray matter than in the white matter for all 12 species, except carnivorans.

When data are combined across all species and clades, we find a detectable correlation between local neuronal density and the percentage of microglial cells among non-neuronal cells in each gray matter cortical site across species and clades, as shown in Figure 2*I* (Spearman correlation for all clades together: $\rho = -0.4805$, p < 0.0001). However, this correlation only applies across cortical sites within carnivorans, and only applies within the species *F. catus*, *P. leo*, *H. sapiens sapiens*, and *C. apella*.

In the white matter, a slight but detectable correlation is observed between local non-neuronal cell density and the percentage of microglial cells among non-neuronal cells in each cortical site across species and clades, as shown in Figure 2*I* (Spearman correlation for all clades together: $\rho = -0.2613$, p < 0.0001). However, this correlation does not apply across cortical sites within each clade, and only applies within each species in *P. leo*, *D. aurita*, *D. goodfellowi*, and *M. fascicularis*.

It thus again appears more likely that any apparent correlation between local non-neuronal cell density and percentage of non-neuronal cells that are microglial in the gray or white matter of the Cx is related to clade-specific (evolutionary) differences, and not to biological scaling. Overall, we find that microglial cells constitute systematically <10% of all non-neuronal cells in both gray and white matter of the Cx across all species examined, with an exact percentage that is smaller in primates than in other clades, and only slightly and nonsystematically variable across cortical sites in most species, independently of local neuronal densities.

No systematic variation of microglial density within the Cx

Although microglial cells are a slightly higher percentage of nonneuronal cells in the gray matter than in the white matter (Fig. 2G), we find that the average absolute density of microglial cells across all species and clades examined is actually higher in the white matter (5016 \pm 93 microglia/mg) than in the gray matter $(3620 \pm 63 \text{ microglia/mg}, \text{Wilcoxon } p < 0.0001; \text{ Fig. } 3A, \text{ middle};$ Table 4, "all clades"), due to the overall slightly higher densities of non-neuronal cells in the former than in the latter (Herculano-Houzel and Dos Santos, 2018). The vast majority of individual gray matter sites examined has microglial densities between 1000 and 10,000 microglia/mg, whereas neuronal densities at the same sites vary between 1000 and 100,000 neurons/ mg (Fig. 3D). Average microglial cell densities in gray and white matter by clade are shown in Figure 3A (bottom). Across clades, the lowest average microglial cell densities are found in primates, both in gray $(2890 \pm 92 \text{ microglia/mg})$ and white matter $(3965 \pm 123 \text{ microglia/mg}; \text{ Table 4, "per clade"})$, although the broad overall ranges of microglial cell densities encompass largely overlapping distributions across clades and cortical sites (Fig. 3A, top, bottom). Within each clade, there is not much variation in microglial densities across species (Table 4, "per species"). Within an individual cortex, microglial densities are significantly higher in white matter sites compared with those in gray matter in 12 of the species sampled, including the human cortex (Table 4).

Across all cortical gray and white matter sites analyzed (n = 980 and n = 796, respectively), we find that the mass of each cortical site varies as a power function of the number of microglial cells found in it that is significantly different from linearity whether gray and white matter sites are analyzed together (exponent, 0.905 \pm 0.008, p < 0.0001) or separately (exponents 0.882 \pm 0.010, p < 0.0001 and 0.945 \pm 0.012, p < 0.0001 for gray and white matter, respectively; Fig. 3B,B',B''). These strong correlations still hold within individual cortices, with significant sublinear or linear relationships between mass and number of microglial cells, except for four species (M. mungo gray and white matter, and gray matter in S. scrofa, F. catus, and S. harrisii; Table 4). With exponents that include unity, a linear relationship cannot be excluded for gray and/or white matter in 21 of the 26 species analyzed, which suggests that microglial density does not really vary systematically within the Cx gray and white matter in these species. Indeed, across all cortical gray and white matter sites, the local density of microglial cells increases only weakly with their number across species and clades, with a significant but weak correlation across gray and white matter sites together (Spearman $\rho = 0.2373$, p < 0.0001) or separately (Spearman $\rho = 0.2942$, p < 0.0001 and Spearman $\rho = 0.1614$, p < 0.0001 for gray and white matter, respectively; Figure 3C, C', C''). Within individual cortices, weak correlations, most of the times nonsignificant, within both gray and white mater sites or at least one cortical region are observed in 23 of the 26 species (Table 4). Thus, microglial cells appear to be added in highly variable numbers but fairly similar densities to different cortical sites.

The slight but significant variation in microglial cell density across cortical sites could reflect local variations in neuronal density, which is known to be significant even within an individual Cx (Ribeiro et al., 2013; Herculano-Houzel et al., 2015b; Gabi et al., 2016). However, variations in local microglial densities in the cortical gray matter are only poorly correlated with local neuronal densities when data points from all sites are pooled together across species and clades (Spearman $\rho = -0.1108$, p = 0.0005; Fig. 3D), and the fit to a power function is not significant (p = 0.1165; Fig. 3D).

Within each clade, pooling cortical sites across species reveals in all clades, except Afrotheria, a significant positive relationship between local microglial density and neuronal density in the cortical gray matter (Fig. 3*D*; Table 4). However, most individual cortices do not present significant relationships between local microglial and neuronal densities (Table 4). Thus, any apparent systematic variation in microglial cell density seen here is most likely the result of pooling data across clades, and not of a systematic, biological relationship between local microglial and neuronal densities.

Similarly, local microglial densities in the cortical white matter sites are slightly correlated with local densities of non-neuronal cells when data points from all sites are pooled together across species and clades (Spearman $\rho = 0.2172$, p < 0.0001; Fig. *3E*), with a significant fit (exponent, 0.596 ± 0.010 , p < 0.0001) but with a very low r^2 of 0.112. As for the gray matter, pooling cortical sites across species within each clade reveals a significant positive relationship between local microglial density and non-

Table 3. Microglia percentage of non-neuronal cells in different cortical sites^a

	Gray matter			White matter			
	Range	Mean \pm SE	1% versus <i>N</i> /mg, Spearman <i>r</i> , and <i>p</i> value	Range	Mean \pm SE	% versus O/mg, Spearman r, and p value	Wilcoxon, 1%0 in gray versus white matter
All clades	[0.88–28.15%]	$\textbf{7.56} \pm \textbf{0.11\%}$	ho = -0.4805 ho < 0.0001	[1.09–16.73%]	$5.86\pm0.11\%$	$ \rho = -0.2613 $ $ p < 0.0001 $	p < 0.0001
Per clade Afrotheria	[4.67–16.39%]	10.18 ± 0.20%	$\rho = 0.1082$ p = 0.2393	[2.64–10.12%]	5.67 ± 0.27%	$\rho = 0.1285$ n = 0.3895	p < 0.0001
Artiodactyla	[4.02–17.76%]	$9.82\pm0.43\%$	$\rho = 0.2185$ p = 0.0994	[3.60–14.64%]	$7.40\pm0.31\%$	$\rho = 0.2997$ p = 0.0235	p < 0.0001
Carnivora	[2.69–15.95%]	$9.02\pm0.16\%$	$\rho = -0.1793$ p = 0.0069	[1.80–16.73%]	$9.15\pm0.24\%$	$\rho = 0.2002$ p = 0.0112	<i>p</i> = 0.6766
Marsupialia	[0.89–15.45%]	8.40 ± 0.27%	$ \rho = 0.0954 $ p = 0.2521	[1.26–12.18%]	5.53 ± 0.16%	$ \rho = -0.1915 $ p = 0.0324	p < 0.0001
Primata	[0.88–28.15%]	5.44 ± 0.16%	ho = -0.1184 ho = 0.0148	[1.09–13.65%]	4.06 ± 0.11%	ho = -0.0805 ho = 0.1554	p < 0.0001
Per species							
L. africana	[4.67–14.73%]	10.12 ± 0.20%	$ \rho = 0.0834 $ $ \rho = 0.3710 $	[2.64–9.13%]	$5.60\pm0.27\%$	$ \rho = 0.1787 $ $ \rho = 0.2458 $	<i>p</i> < 0.0001
Artiodactyla							
G. camelopardalis	[6.81–17.76%]	12.47 ± 0.57%	$ \rho = -0.1083 $ p = 0.6496	[3.60-10.64%]	7.43 ± 0.42%	$ \rho = -0.1293 $ p = 0.5869	<i>p</i> < 0.0001
T. strepsiceros	[4.91–9.79%]	7.06 ± 0.34%	$ \rho = 0.3147 $ $ p = 0.2352 $	[4.45–8.85%]	6.41 ± 0.30%	$ \rho = -0.3294 $ $ p = 0.2128 $	p = 0.1468
S. scrofa domesticus	[9.18–15.19%]	$12.25\pm0.60\%$	$ \rho = -0.6121 $ p = 0.0600	[9.22–14.64%]	$11.25\pm0.63\%$	$ \rho = 0.0333 $ p = 0.9322	<i>p</i> = 0.3913
A. marsupialis	[4.02-8.56%]	$\textbf{7.06} \pm \textbf{0.41\%}$	$\rho = 0.4895$ p = 0.1063	[3.82-6.96%]	$5.79\pm0.24\%$	$\rho = 0.3636$ p = 0.2453	<i>p</i> = 0.0166
Carnivora			,			,	
M. mongo	[2.69–5.62%]	4.18 ± 0.41%	$ \rho = 0.5357 $ $ p = 0.2152 $	[1.80-5.60%]	$3.93 \pm 0.31\%$	$ \rho = -0.1748 $ $ p = 0.5868 $	<i>p</i> = 0.6420
U. arctos	[4.98–15.95%]	$9.02\pm0.28\%$	$\rho = -0.0980$ p = 0.4335	[5.13–13.93%]	$8.40\pm0.31\%$	$\rho = 0.0486$ p = 0.7403	<i>p</i> = 0.1126
F. catus	[4.52–10.35%]	$\textbf{7.44} \pm \textbf{0.35\%}$	$ \rho = 0.5275 $ p = 0.0047	[3.64-9.68%]	$\textbf{6.10} \pm \textbf{0.40\%}$	$\rho = -0.1265$ p = 0.6407	<i>p</i> = 0.0317
C. familiaris	[4.77–15.05%]	$9.24\pm0.31\%$	$\rho = -0.2504$ p = 0.0794	[5.51–14.23%]	$9.87\pm0.32\%$	$\rho = -0.2209$ p = 0.2166	<i>p</i> = 0.1064
M. putorius furo	[5.31–13.20%]	$9.70\pm0.64\%$	$\rho = 0.3909$ p = 0.2345	[6.76–16.73%]	$9.42\pm0.96\%$	$\rho = -0.6667$ p = 0.0499	<i>p</i> = 0.0946
P. leo	[5.88–13.74%]	$9.91\pm0.22\%$	$\rho = -0.3891$ p = 0.0014	[8.40–15.54%]	$12.13\pm0.30\%$	$\rho = -0.5305$ p = 0.0004	p < 0.0001
Marsupialia							
M. rufrogriseus	[5.31–13.38%]	10.08 ± 0.45%	ho = -0.0870 ho = 0.7076	[4.92–12.18%]	6.72 ± 0.35%	$ \rho = -0.3404 $ $ p = 0.1539 $	p < 0.0001
D. aurita	[6.68–15.45%]	11.24 ± 0.63%	$\rho = -0.1909$ p = 0.4479	[4.63-8.45%]	6.57 ± 0.37%	$\rho = -0.7413$ p = 0.0058	p < 0.0001
D. goodfellowi	[3.63-10.43%]	$7.03\pm0.36\%$	$\rho = -0.0400$ p = 0.8528	[2.94-8.18%]	$\textbf{6.07} \pm \textbf{0.29\%}$	$\rho = -0.5727$ p = 0.0067	<i>p</i> = 0.0670
M. parma	[3.40-11.19%]	$7.43\pm0.47\%$	$\rho = 0.1561$ p = 0.5233	[3.20-6.51%]	$4.84\pm0.26\%$	$ \rho = -0.1893 $ p = 0.4993	<i>p</i> = 0.0001
W. bicolor	[1.81–14.31%]	$10.91\pm0.63\%$	$\rho = -0.4674$ p = 0.0245	[1.86–10.05%]	$\textbf{6.25} \pm \textbf{0.39\%}$	$\rho = -0.1922$ p = 0.4039	p < 0.0001
S. harrisii	[0.89-3.85%]	$2.30\pm0.25\%$	$\rho = 0.4231$ p = 0.1497	[1.26–4.38%]	$\textbf{2.72} \pm \textbf{0.30\%}$	$\rho = -0.0699$ p = 0.8290	<i>p</i> = 0.3412
M. fuliginosus	[4.68–12.13%]	$\textbf{7.90} \pm \textbf{0.39\%}$	$\rho = 0.3914$ p = 0.0395	[2.44-6.07%]	$\textbf{4.84} \pm \textbf{0.18\%}$	$\rho = -0.3038$ p = 0.1398	p < 0.0001
Primata							
P. anubis cynocephalus	[1.98–10.77%]	5.33 ± 0.31%	$ \rho = -0.3339 $ p = 0.0127	[2.27-8.88%]	5.03 ± 0.22%	$ \rho = 0.0117 $ p = 0.9391	p = 0.9779
M. fascicularis	[1.29–11.00%]	$5.45\pm0.34\%$	$ \rho = 0.0935 $ $ p = 0.5712 $	[1.09–10.06%]	$5.47\pm0.38\%$	$ \rho = -0.4674 $ $ p = 0.0053 $	<i>p</i> = 0.8726
0. garnettii	[10.60-28.15%]	18.60 ± 1.59%	$ \rho = -0.4462 $ $ p = 0.1098 $	[4.88–13.65%]	$8.04\pm0.94\%$	$ \rho = 0.2000 $ p = 0.6059	<i>p</i> = 0.0003
							(Table continues.)

	Gray matter			White matter			
	Range	${\rm Mean} \pm {\rm SE}$	1% versus <i>N</i> /mg, Spearman <i>r</i> , and <i>p</i> value	Range	${\rm Mean} \pm {\rm SE}$	% versus O/mg, Spearman <i>r</i> , and <i>p</i> value	Wilcoxon, I%O in gray versus white matter
H. sapiens sapiens	[1.13–15.94%]	4.71 ± 0.15%	$ \rho = -0.3137 $ $ p < 0.0001 $	[1.11-8.86%]	2.98 ± 0.12%	$ \rho = 0.0787 $ p = 0.4075	p < 0.0001
A. trivirgatus	[1.56–5.12%]	$\textbf{3.14} \pm \textbf{0.23\%}$	$\rho = -0.1377$ p = 0.5116	[1.70-3.24%]	$2.42\pm0.11\%$	$\rho = -0.1476$ p = 0.5590	<i>p</i> = 0.0503
S. midas	[0.88–6.75%]	4.77 ± 0.36%	$\rho = 0.1464$ p = 0.6025 p = 0.6286	[2.02-6.40%]	4.04 ± 0.37%	$ \rho = 0.1484 $	<i>p</i> = 0.0972
M. nemestrina	[2.75–12.55%]	6.11 ± 0.30%	$\rho = -0.1228$ p = 0.3671	[1.92-8.40%]	$4.84\pm0.21\%$	$ \rho = 0.1164 $ $ p = 0.4357 $	<i>p</i> = 0.0048
C. apella	[2.31–10.75%]	$5.07\pm0.30\%$	$\rho = -0.4000$ p = 0.0087	[1.16–6.70%]	$3.73\pm0.25\%$	$\rho = -0.2834$ p = 0.1043	<i>p</i> = 0.0022

⁹1%0, Microglial percentage of non-neuronal cells; N/mg, number of neuronal cells per milligram of structure; O/mg, number of non-neuronal (other) cells per milligram of structure.

* For Afrotheria, analyses were done including all the species of the clade (D. dorsalis, E. myurus, L. africana, P. tetradactylus and P. capensis); Analyses within afrotherian species cortices were only possible where at least three data points were available (L. africana).

neuronal cell density for all clades (Fig. 3E; Table 4). However, only half of the species analyzed present significant relationships between local microglial and non-neuronal cell densities within an individual Cx (Fig. 3E; Table 4), again suggesting that any apparent relationship in the overall dataset is the result of clade-specific differences, with slightly lower densities in primate cortices.

Microglia/neuron ratio in the cortical gray matter is a universal function of neuronal density across sites, species, and clades

We find that there are typically fewer microglial cells than neurons in the cortical gray matter: that was the case in 973 of all 1776 sites analyzed in the 30 species. More microglial cells than neurons in the cortical gray matter as a whole were only found in 5 species, all of them the largest-brained nonprimate species of carnivorans, artiodactyls, or the African elephant. Even in those cases, there were at most 2-3 microglial cells per neuron in the cortical gray matter. Generally, we find that there are 1-100 neurons per microglial cell in the gray matter of most mammalian species (Table 1, I/N).

As reported previously (Herculano-Houzel, 2014; Herculano-Houzel and Dos Santos, 2018), we find that the number of nonneuronal cells per neuron varies inversely with neuronal density in our current dataset pooled across all cortical sites, species, and clades as a sublinear power function of local neuronal density (exponent -0.793 ± 0.009 , p < 0.0001, $r^2 = 0.888$; Fig. 4A). There is a similar trend of fewer microglial cells per neuron (i.e., a smaller I/N ratio) where neuronal densities are higher: the I/N ratio varies as a power function of neuronal density across all gray matter cortical sites and across species and clades with an exponent that is not significantly different from inverse linearity $(-1.020 \pm 0.016, p < 0.0001, r^2 = 0.799;$ Fig. 4*B*). This correlation holds within each clade, except for Artiodactyla (Table 4). Within the cortex of each species, strong correlations, with significant sublinear or linear relationships between I/N and neuronal density, are found for all but six species, and are present in the only afrotherian species included, the African elephant (Table 4). In contrast with neuronal density, there is no single scaling relationship that applies between the I/N ratio and microglial density across all cortical sites, clades, and species (Fig. 4C; Table 4). These findings strongly indicate that variations in I/N across cortical sites and species are due to variations in neuronal density in the presence of only slight variations in microglial cell density.

Similar microglial proportions and densities across brain structures and species

We next turn to the question of how microglial cell density compares across brain structures in different mammalian species. Relative to the total number of cells in the structure, microglial cells amount to 5.77 \pm 0.43% in the Cx as a whole, 1.50 \pm 0.15% in the Cb, and $6.39 \pm 0.51\%$ in the RoB (Fig. 5A,B; Table 5). These average percentages, calculated among all species and clades, are significantly higher in both Cx and RoB compared with Cb (Wilcoxon, p < 0.0001), but not significantly different between the former two structures (Wilcoxon p = 0.4283) (Fig. 5*B*; Table 5). The percentage of all cells that are microglial in each major brain division varies both across structures in the same clade and within the same structure across clades (Fig. 5C). Variation in the percentage of all cells that are microglial correlates strongly with the neuronal density in the respective structure, as shown in Figure 5D (Spearman correlation: $\rho = -0.8058$, p <0.0001 for all clades): the higher the neuronal density, the lower the percentage of all cells that is microglial.

However, within the non-neuronal cell population in each structure, microglial cells are a much less variable subpopulation in all brain structures, species, and clades examined, representing \sim 7% of all non-neuronal cells in any structure (Table 5; Fig. 5*F*). Even if the exact percentage per structure varies from 2.18% in the Tasmanian devil's RoB to 24.56% in the pig Cb (Fig. 5E), the distribution of the percentages of non-neuronal cells that are microglial spans a very similar range across different structures and clades (Fig. 5E), and average percentages do not vary much across structures and clades (Fig. 5F,G). Moreover, the percentage of microglial cells among non-neuronal cells does not correlate significantly with the density of neurons in each structure as shown in Figure 5*H* (Spearman $\rho = -0.2190$, p = 0.0525). Thus, as brain structures vary in size, number of neurons, and neuronal density across mammalian species and clades, microglial cells appear to remain a fairly constant percentage of all non-neuronal cells.

Similar densities of microglial cells across brain structures and mammalian species

Like the percentage of non-neuronal cells that are microglial, average microglial cell densities are remarkably similar across brain structures and mammalian species of all clades: 4374 ± 341 microglia/mg in the Cx (gray and white matter combined, including hippocampus), 5021 ± 406 microglia/mg in the Cb



Figure 3. Little variation of microglial density in cortical gray and white matter. *A*, Distribution of microglial density in the cortical gray and white matter at individual sites per clade (top), average across all samples (middle), and average per clade (bottom). Values are given in Table 4. Average microglial densities in gray matter cortical sites differ significantly across clades at the p < 0.01 level, except between Artiodactyla and Afrotheria (Wilcoxon, p = 0.1877; not significant), Afrotheria and Marsupialia (p = 0.0442), and Artiodactyla and Marsupialia (p = 0.7454), and in the white matter, between Artiodactyla and Carnivora (p = 0.8260). Differences in microglial cell density between white and gray matter are significant (Wilcoxon p < 0.01) in all clades (see Table 4), except Afrotheria (p = 0.2835) and Marsupialia (p = 0.0151). **B**, Relationship between structure mass and number of microglial cells across cortical sites and mammalian species. **C**, Function plotted applies to all species and gray and white matter together with exponent (0.905 ± 0.008 , p < 0.0001, $r^2 = 0.878$). **B**', **B**'', This same relationship for gray (**B**') and white (**B**'') matter sites separately. Spearman correlations, p values, and other fit values in each clade and species are listed in Table 4. **C**, Relationship between the density of microglial cells and the number of microglial cells across cortical sites and mammalian species. **C'**, **C'**.', This same relationship for gray (**C**') and white (**C**'') matter sites separately. Spearman correlation ρ and p values are given in Table 4. **E**, Relationship between microglial density and non-neuronal density across cortical white matter sites and mammalian species. Spearman coefficients and p values as well as exponents and p values for each clade and species and p values for each clade and species colored by clade as in the key. Filled circles represent cortical gray matter sites of the Cx, including the hippocampus (GM). *White matter sites (W

(which also combines gray and white matter), and 4664 ± 464 microglia/mg in the RoB, with an overall average of 4667 ± 230 microglia/mg (all pairwise comparisons, Wilcoxon p > 0.1; Fig. 6*A*, middle, bottom; Table 5). In each of these structures, the coefficient of variation of microglial cell density is <0.10, both across species and across clades (Table 5). Whereas neuronal densities vary by >1000-fold across brain structures and mammalian species combined, microglial cell density varies by only 5-fold to 8-fold (Fig. 6*E*; Tables 1, 5), similar to densities of non-neuronal cells as a whole (which include astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and vasculature-associated cells) (Herculano-Houzel and Dos Santos, 2018). When all brain structures, species, and clades are pooled

together, there is no systematic variation in the density of microglial cells in the major brain divisions analyzed with variation in the total number of microglial cells in them (Spearman $\rho =$ 0.1435, p = 0.2071; Fig. 6B). Similarly, no correlation is observed between those variables across species and clades when each structure is analyzed individually (Spearman, p = 0.3649 for Cx, p = 0.3076 for Cb, and p = 0.1351 for RoB). Thus, microglial cells appear to be added in highly variable numbers but fairly similar densities to all major brain structures, within and across brains and species.

Further support of no significant variation in microglial density across brain structures and species is the linear relationship

Table 4. Microglial density and microglia/neuron ratio in different cortical sites^a

	Gray matter (microglia/mg)								White matter (microglia/mg)				
	l/mg					I/N		l/mg					
	(range and mean ± SE)	(I/mg vs I, Spearman ρ and ρ value)	(I/mg vs N/mg, Spearman ρ and p value)	(I/mg vs N/mg, exponent and p value)	M vs. I, (exponent and p value)	(I/mg vs N/mg, exponent, and p value)	(I vs I/mg, exponent, and p value)	(range and mean ± SE)	(I/mg vs I, Spearman ρ, and ρ value)	(l/mg vs O/mg, Spearman ρ, and p value)	(I/mg vs O/mg exponent, and p value)	M vs. l, (exponent and p value)	Wilcoxon I/mg versus white matter
All clades	[106-16.061]	a=0.2942	a=-0.1108	_0.025 + 0.016	0.882 + 0.010	-1.020 + 0.016	1 104 + 0 063	[271-19 827]	a=0.1614	a=0.2172	0 596 + 0 064	0.945 + 0.012	n < 0.0001
Per clade	3620 ± 63	p < 0.0001	p = 0.0005	p = 0.1165	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	5016 ± 93	p < 0.0001	p=0.2172	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001
Afrotheria	[930-7971]	ρ=0.3005	ρ=0.1538	$\textbf{0.083} \pm \textbf{0.066}$	0.760 ± 0.050	-0.917 ± 0.066	0.840 ± 0.126	[371-10 673]	ρ=0.4252	ρ=0.5710	1.144 ± 0.149	$\textbf{0.628} \pm \textbf{0.054}$	p = 0.2835
	4140 ± 97	p = 0.0009	p = 0.0936	p = 0.2094	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	4482 ± 163	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	
Artiodactyla	[1075-15 647]	$\rho = 0.4382$	$\rho = 0.5049$	$\textbf{0.715} \pm \textbf{0.136}$	0.847 ± 0.071	-0.285 ± 0.136	0.536 ± 0.088	[2576-16 518]	ho = 0.2862	ho = 0.7154	$\textbf{1.472} \pm \textbf{0.202}$	$\textbf{0.882} \pm \textbf{0.039}$	p = 0.0002
	4975 ± 345	<i>p</i> = 0.0006	<i>p</i> = 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	<i>p</i> = 0.0397	p < 0.0001	6833 ± 387	<i>p</i> = 0.0309	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	
Carnivora	[919-10 728]	ρ=0.2386	ρ=0.2954	0.122 ± 0.026	0.863 ± 0.025	-0.878 ± 0.026	0.276 ± 0.156	[741-19 827]	ρ=0.3512	ρ=0.7023	1.323 ± 0.103	0.844 ± 0.028	p < 0.0001
Marcunialia	3/22 ± 98	p = 0.0003	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001 0.791 + 0.040	p < 0.0001 -0.632 ± 0.092	p = 0.0775 0.730 ± 0.068	6/40 ± 242	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001 0.474 ± 0.128	p < 0.0001	n = 0.0151
marsupiana	4648 ± 175	p = 0.2004 p = 0.0003	p = 0.5015 p < 0.0001	n = 0.0001	n < 0.0001	n < 0.0001	n < 0.0001	5242 ± 162	p = 0.2302 p = 0.0080	p = 0.4002 n < 0.0001	n = 0.0003	n < 0.0001	p = 0.0151
Primata	[106-16 061]	ρ=0.1876	ρ=0.3226	0.301 ± 0.036	0.893 ± 0.022	-0.657 ± 0.037	0.537 ± 0.054	[271-12 511]	$\rho = -0.0689$	ρ=0.3998	0.864 ± 0.088	1.019 ± 0.027	p < 0.0001
	2890 ± 92	p = 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	3965 ± 123	p = 0.2197	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	
Per species													
Afrotheria*													
L. africana	[930-7971] 4170 ± 97	$\rho = 0.2704$ p = 0.0032	$\rho = 0.1538$ p = 0.0936	0.280 ± 0.080 p = 0.0006	0.791 ± 0.054 p < 0.0001	-0.719 ± 0.080 p < 0.0001	0.652 ± 0.099 p < 0.0001	[371-10 673] 4511 ± 166	ρ=0.4081 p<0.0001	$\rho = 0.5491$ p = 0.0001	1.240 ± 0.154 p < 0.0001	0.604 ± 0.058 p < 0.0001	<i>p</i> = 0.2732
Artiodactyla													
G. camelopardalis	[2764-9975]	ρ=0.6361	ρ=0.2060	0.228 ± 0.170	0.604 ± 0.141	-0.772 ± 0.170	0.602 ± 0.297	[3769-10 746]	ρ=0.5789	ρ=0.4226	0.827 ± 0.365	0.876 ± 0.101	<i>p</i> = 0.0223
T stransisaras	5825 ± 352	p = 0.0026	p = 0.3835	p = 0.1969	p = 0.0004	p = 0.0003	p = 0.05/6	/19/ ± 466	p = 0.0075	p = 0.0634	p = 0.0361	p < 0.0001	n -< 0.0001
1. strepsiceros	[1075-4296] 2847 + 214	p = 0.0118 n = 0.0118	p = 0.0024 n = 0.0036	n = 0.0002	n = 0.0002	n = 0.7581	n = 0.0054	[3302-0604] 5070 + 231	p = 0.3335 n = 0.0326	p = 0.4471 n = 0.0825	n = 0.2663	n < 0.0001	p < 0.0001
S. scrofa	[5584-15 647]	$\rho = 0.0110$ $\rho = 0.1879$	$\rho = -0.1758$	p = 0.0002 0.400 ± 0.250	p = 0.0002 1.170 ± 0.089	-0.600 ± 0.250	p = 0.000 + 0.000 + 0.000 + 0.00000 + 0.00000 + 0.00000 + 0.0000000 + 0.00000 + 0.00000 + 0.0000 + 0.00000 + 0.0000 + 0.0000 + 0.0000 + 0.0000 +	[8595-16 518]	$\rho = 0.0320$ $\rho = 0.5333$	$\rho = 0.0025$ $\rho = 0.5000$	p = 0.2005 0.983 ± 0.525	0.907 ± 0.139	p = 0.0101
domesticus	8481 ± 939	p = 0.6032	p = 0.6272	p = 0.1478	p < 0.0001	p = 0.0430	p = 0.3305	11 742 ± 803	p = 0.1392	p = 0.1705	p = 0.1032	p = 0.0003	,
A. marsupialis	[1941-6161]	$\rho = -0.1189$	ρ=0.8531	0.625 ± 0.114	1.138 ± 0.164	-0.375 ± 0.114	-0.203 ± 0.218	[2576-7398]	ρ=0.4685	ρ=0.9231	1.285 ± 0.220	0.831 ± 0.041	p = 0.0194
	3476 ± 377	p = 0.7129	<i>p</i> = 0.0004	p = 0.0003	p < 0.0001	<i>p</i> = 0.0080	p = 0.3754	4894 ± 399	<i>p</i> = 0.1245	p < 0.0001	<i>p</i> = 0.0002	p < 0.0001	
Carnivora													
M. mongo	[919-2130]	ρ=0.3214	ρ=0.7857	0.698 ± 0.201	0.398 ± 0.511	-0.302 ± 0.201	-0.014 ± 0.292	[740-2918]	ρ=0.7343	ρ=0.1678	1.391 ± 0.916	0.250 ± 0.193	p = 0.0572
11	1400 ± 172	p = 0.4821	p = 0.0362	p = 0.0177	p = 0.4715	p = 0.1926	p = 0.9642	1926 ± 156	p = 0.0065	p = 0.6021	p = 0.1600	p = 0.2235	0.0001
U. arcios	[1432-5/74] 2801 + 04	$\rho = 0.1000$	$\rho = -0.0423$	0.022 ± 0.088	0.953 ± 0.032	-0.978 ± 0.088	0.956 ± 0.178	[19/0-11905] 5738 + 281	$\rho = 0.3883$	$\rho = 0.5573$	1.178 ± 0.184	0.953 ± 0.047	p < 0.0001
F. catus	[1536-4755]	p = 0.1020 q = 0.3510	p = 0.7339 $\rho = 0.4829$	p = 0.0050 0.588 ± 0.211	p < 0.0001 0.846 ± 0.078	p < 0.0001 -0.412 ± 0.211	p < 0.0001 0.598 ± 0.145	[2276-5590]	$\rho = 0.0038$ $\rho = 0.6941$	$\rho = 0.3824$	p < 0.0001 0.492 ± 0.376	p < 0.0001 0.850 ± 0.070	n = 0.0899
	2902 ± 186	p = 0.0726	p = 0.0107	p = 0.0101	p < 0.0001	<i>p</i> = 0.0622	<i>p</i> = 0.0004	3406 ± 227	p = 0.0029	p = 0.1439	p = 0.2117	p < 0.0001	1
C. familiaris	[1867-6866]	ρ=0.2634	ρ=0.1200	$\textbf{0.085} \pm \textbf{0.076}$	0.948 ± 0.041	-0.915 ± 0.076	0.699 ± 0.268	[4846-15 717]	ρ=0.2406	$\rho = 0.6086$	0.668 ± 0.142	$\textbf{1.018} \pm \textbf{0.048}$	p < 0.0001
	4170 ± 132	p = 0.0646	p = 0.4065	p = 0.2666	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p = 0.0121	8094 ± 358	<i>p</i> = 0.1773	<i>p</i> = 0.0002	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	
M. putorius furo	[4523-10 728]	ho = 0.1636	$\rho = 0.4455$	0.260 ± 0.160	$\textbf{0.892} \pm \textbf{0.190}$	-0.740 ± 0.160	$\textbf{0.131} \pm \textbf{0.536}$	[10 348-19 827]	ho = -0.0833	$\rho = -0.1333$	-0.583 ± 0.562	$\textbf{1.039} \pm \textbf{0.144}$	<i>p</i> = 0.0003
	7366 ± 525	p = 0.6307	p = 0.1697	p = 0.1396	p = 0.0011	<i>p</i> = 0.0013	p = 0.8119	13278 ± 909	<i>p</i> = 0.8312	<i>p</i> = 0.7324	<i>p</i> = 0.3343	<i>p</i> = 0.0002	
P. Ieo	[2192-8166]	$\rho = 0.2756$	$\rho = 0.0137$	0.081 ± 0.070	0.895 ± 0.051	-0.919 ± 0.070	0.740 ± 0.223	[5816-10 588]	$\rho = 0.4866$	$\rho = 0.3747$	0.472 ± 0.132	0.943 ± 0.026	p < 0.0001
Marsunialia	4285 <u>1</u> 20	<i>p</i> = 0.0263	p = 0.9139	p = 0.2482	<i>p</i> < 0.0001	<i>p</i> < 0.0001	p = 0.0015	8123 ± 199	p = 0.0013	p = 0.0158	p = 0.0010	p < 0.0001	
Marsapiana M. rufoariseus	[4090-7414]	ρ=0.0610	$\rho = 0.1117$	0.058 ± 0.075	1.053 ± 0.087	-0.942 ± 0.075	0.476 ± 0.679	[5303-9640]	$\rho = -0.0561$	ρ=0.2614	0.224 ± 0.247	1.053 ± 0.053	p = 0.0127
,	5753 ± 225	p = 0.7927	p = 0.6298	p = 0.4500	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p = 0.4919	6775 ± 257	p = 0.8194	p = 0.2797	p = 0.3770	p < 0.0001	1
D. aurita	[3290-8565]	ρ=0.8576	ρ=0.6182	0.485 ± 0.173	0.461 ± 0.100	-0.515 ± 0.173	0.319 ± 0.242	[4113-8611]	ρ=0.1678	ρ=0.6573	0.553 ± 0.131	0.884 ± 0.166	p = 0.5677
	5801 ± 425	p < 0.0001	<i>p</i> = 0.0063	p = 0.0126	p = 0.0003	<i>p</i> = 0.0088	p = 0.2069	5506 ± 402	<i>p</i> = 0.6021	<i>p</i> = 0.0202	<i>p</i> = 0.0018	<i>p</i> = 0.0003	
D. goodfellowi	[1780-7000]	ρ=0.2591	$\rho = 0.2417$	$\textbf{0.275} \pm \textbf{0.168}$	$\textbf{0.991} \pm \textbf{0.076}$	-0.725 ± 0.168	$\textbf{0.608} \pm \textbf{0.241}$	[3536-7873]	$\rho = 0.4442$	ho = 0.1558	0.259 ± 0.272	$\textbf{0.826} \pm \textbf{0.068}$	p < 0.0001
	3465 ± 239	<i>p</i> = 0.2214	<i>p</i> = 0.2551	<i>p</i> = 0.1174	p < 0.0001	<i>p</i> = 0.0003	<i>p</i> = 0.0192	5382 ± 246	<i>p</i> = 0.0437	<i>p</i> = 0.4999	<i>p</i> = 0.3529	p < 0.0001	
M. parma	[2351-15 098]	$\rho = -0.0912$	ρ=0.7123	0.510 ± 0.164	1.117 ± 0.174	-0.490 ± 0.164	0.287 ± 0.228	[2671-5518]	ρ=0.4071	ρ=0.5179	0.484 ± 0.248	0.831 ± 0.067	<i>p</i> = 0.1270
W. bicolor	554/±640	p = 0.7103	p = 0.0006	p = 0.0063	p < 0.0001 0.726 + 0.076	p = 0.0082	p = 0.2257 1 145 ± 0 222	4316 ± 222	p = 0.1320	p = 0.0480	p = 0.0730	p < 0.0001	n — 0.6052
w. Dicolor	[1105-0000] 6191 + 330	p = 0.5468 n = 0.1049	p = -0.0524 n = 0.8124	-0.150 ± 0.209 n = 0.5223	n < 0.0001	-1.150 ± 0.209 n < 0.0001	n = 0.000044	[4194-12 132] 6966 + 479	p = 0.2405 n = 0.2942	p = 0.5020 n = 0.0202	n = 0.1987	n < 0.0001	p = 0.0052
S. harrisii	[600-2571]	ρ=0.3187	ρ=0.5495	0.945 ± 0.411	0.807 ± 0.226	-0.055 ± 0.411	0.657 ± 0.149	[1220-4633]	ρ=0.7273	ρ=0.3007	0.483 ± 0.634	0.765 ± 0.146	p = 0.0006
	1394 ± 156	p = 0.2886	p = 0.0518	p = 0.0422	p = 0.0045	p = 0.8956	<i>p</i> = 0.0011	2971 ± 324	p = 0.0074	p = 0.3423	p = 0.4638	<i>p</i> = 0.0004	
M. fuliginosus	[2113-5441]	$\rho = 0.5047$	ρ=0.2906	$\textbf{0.328} \pm \textbf{0.171}$	$\textbf{0.916} \pm \textbf{0.060}$	-0.672 ± 0.171	$\textbf{0.621} \pm \textbf{0.197}$	[1945-5161]	ρ=0.2538	$\rho = 0.5646$	$\textbf{0.881} \pm \textbf{0.301}$	$\textbf{0.832} \pm \textbf{0.026}$	<i>p</i> = 0.1277
	3725 ± 197	<i>p</i> = 0.0062	<i>p</i> = 0.1335	p = 0.0657	p < 0.0001	<i>p</i> = 0.0006	<i>p</i> = 0.0041	4029 ± 166	p = 0.2208	p = 0.0033	<i>p</i> = 0.0076	p < 0.0001	
Primata													
P. anubis	[856-8907]	ρ=0.0651	ρ=0.2333	0.200 ± 0.087	1.023 ± 0.050	-0.800 ± 0.087	0.546 ± 0.197	[1983-11 992]	ρ=0.2047	ρ=0.6150	1.071 ± 0.169	0.960 ± 0.103	p < 0.0001
cynocephalus	2402 ± 154	<i>p</i> = 0.6337	<i>p</i> = 0.0865	p = 0.0254	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p = 0.0077	4385 ± 320	p = 0.1724	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	р < 0.0001 (ТаЫл	continues
												(Tuble	continues.)

Table 4. Continued

	Gray matter (m	icroglia/mg)						White matter (microglia/mg)					
	l/mg					I/N		l/mg					
	(range and mean ± SE)	(I/mg vs I, Spearman ρ and p value)	(l/mg vs N/mg, Spearman ρ and p value)	(I/mg vs N/mg, exponent and p value)	M vs. I, (exponent and p value)	(l/mg vs N/mg, exponent, and p value)	(I vs I/mg, exponent, and p value)	(range and mean ± SE)	(I/mg vs l, Spearman ρ, and p value)	(I/mg vs O/mg, Spearman ρ, and p value)	(l/mg vs O/mg exponent, and p value)	M vs. I, (exponent V and I, p value) v	Wilcoxon I/mg versus white matter
M. fascicularis	[989-11 057]	ρ=0.6443	ρ=0.4089	$\textbf{0.482} \pm \textbf{0.178}$	$\textbf{0.756} \pm \textbf{0.048}$	-0.518 ± 0.178	$\textbf{0.657} \pm \textbf{0.127}$	[1620-10 255]	ρ=0.3559	ρ=0.1566	$\textbf{0.095} \pm \textbf{0.220}$	$\textbf{0.873} \pm \textbf{0.059}$	p < 0.0001
	3718 ± 257	p < 0.0001	p = 0.0097	p = 0.0102	p < 0.0001	<i>p</i> = 0.0061	p < 0.0001	5997 ± 348	p = 0.0306	p = 0.3764	p = 0.6700	p < 0.0001	
0. garnettii	[3384-16 061]	$\rho = 0.2264$	$\rho = 0.0637$	$\textbf{0.021} \pm \textbf{0.291}$	0.472 ± 0.265	-0.979 ± 0.291	$\textbf{0.979} \pm \textbf{0.286}$	[2226-12 240]	$\rho = 0.8833$	$\rho = 0.5000$	$\textbf{1.251} \pm \textbf{0.341}$	-0.063 ± 0.221	p = 0.3951
	9259 ± 909	p = 0.4364	p = 0.8286	p = 0.9434	p = 0.1001	p = 0.0057	p = 0.0050	7912 ± 1172	<i>p</i> = 0.0016	p = 0.1705	p = 0.0080	p = 0.7835	
H. sapiens sapiens	[664-7812]	ho = 0.3915	ho = -0.1368	-0.138 ± 0.058	$\textbf{0.889} \pm \textbf{0.023}$	-0.993 ± 0.065	1.222 ± 0.087	[915-9079]	$\rho = 0.1703$	ho = 0.6150	$\textbf{1.244} \pm \textbf{0.201}$	$\textbf{0.999} \pm \textbf{0.050}$	p = 0.0005
	2379 ± 81	p < 0.0001	p = 0.0695	p = 0.0192	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	2891 ± 133	p = 0.0713	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	
A. trivirgatus	[1155-4145]	ho =0.4946	$\rho = 0.2215$	0.099 ± 0.121	0.815 ± 0.090	-0.901 ± 0.121	0.714 ± 0.350	[1782-4366]	$\rho = 0.1909$	$\rho = 0.5872$	$\textbf{0.991} \pm \textbf{0.254}$	$\textbf{0.966} \pm \textbf{0.108}$	<i>p</i> = 0.4381
	2612 ± 180	p = 0.0120	p = 0.2872	p = 0.4215	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p = 0.0530	2863 ± 193	p = 0.4479	p = 0.0104	p = 0.0013	p < 0.0001	
S. midas	[691-7771]	$\rho = 0.2750$	$\rho = 0.5821$	$\textbf{0.705} \pm \textbf{0.230}$	0.491 ± 0.268	-0.295 ± 0.230	$\textbf{0.404} \pm \textbf{0.194}$	[1987-8209]	ρ=0.2912	ho=0.6648	$\textbf{1.194} \pm \textbf{0.389}$	$\textbf{0.715} \pm \textbf{0.305}$	<i>p</i> = 0.1173
	3304 ± 532	p = 0.3212	p = 0.0228	p = 0.0089	p = 0.0898	<i>p</i> = 0.2206	p = 0.0582	4437 ± 550	<i>p</i> = 0.3344	p = 0.0132	p = 0.0107	p = 0.0390	
M. nemestrina	[1413-8300]	ho = 0.0196	$\rho = 0.4969$	0.469 ± 0.110	1.045 ± 0.062	-0.531 ± 0.110	0.467 ± 0.126	[1510-12 511]	$\rho = 0.2114$	$\rho = 0.6303$	$\textbf{1.112} \pm \textbf{0.172}$	$\textbf{0.902} \pm \textbf{0.080}$	<i>p</i> = 0.0030
	3732 ± 223	p = 0.8839	p = 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p = 0.0005	4782 ± 295	p = 0.1493	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	
C. apella	[106-2901]	$\rho = 0.4959$	$\rho = 0.2610$	0.505 ± 0.106	0.633 ± 0.081	-0.495 ± 0.106	0.282 ± 0.150	[271-7371]	$\rho = 0.5126$	$\rho = 0.3580$	0.856 ± 0.164	0.400 ± 0.146	p < 0.0001
	1626 ± 96	<i>p</i> = 0.0007	p = 0.0950	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	<i>p</i> = 0.0684	2985 ± 244	<i>p</i> = 0.0016	<i>p</i> = 0.0376	p < 0.0001	<i>p</i> = 0.0100	

⁹I, Number of microglial cells; I/mg, number of microglial cells per milligram of structure; N/mg, number of neuronal cells per milligram of structure; O/mg, number of non-neuronal (other) cells per milligram of structure; M, structure mass; I/N, microglia/neuron ratio.

* For Afrotheria, analyses were done, including all the species of the clade (*D. dorsalis, E. myurus, L. africana, P. tetradactylus*, and *P. capensis*). Analyses within afrotherian species cortices were only possible where at least three data points were available (*L. africana*).

we find between structure mass and the number of microglial cells (Fig. 6*C*; exponent, 0.962 \pm 0.024, p < 0.0001). This linear relationship approximates that of the distribution of non-neuronal cells as a whole for all mammalian species analyzed previously (exponent, 1.056 ± 0.013 , p < 0.0001) (Dos Santos et al., 2017; Jardim-Messeder et al., 2017). The linearity of this relationship implies that brain structures of similar size are composed of similar numbers of microglial cells across different mammalian brains, whatever the structure identity, species, and even clade. Similar linear relationships between structure mass and number of Iba⁺ cells are also found across structures and species within each clade (p < 0.0001; Fig. 6*C*).

Similarly, there is no significant correlation between microglial cell density and structure mass across species and clades for any particular brain structure (Spearman, p = 0.9117, p = 0.8753, and p = 0.6103 for Cx, Cb, and RoB, respectively), and also no correlation across species within or across clades when all structures are considered together (Fig. 6*D*). Despite the >1000-fold variation in neuronal density in the dataset, microglial cell density in each major brain structure is not correlated with neuronal density across structures and species neither within nor across clades (Fig. 6*E*). Thus, as brain structures vary in size, number of neurons, and neuronal density across mammalian species and clades, microglial cells both remain a fairly constant percentage of all non-neuronal cells and occur at fairly constant densities in each brain and its various structures (Fig. 6*D*,*E*).

Microglia/neuron ratio scales universally with neuronal density across structures and species

As a consequence of the very large variation in neuronal densities with little variation in microglial density across brain structures in the different species, the number of microglial cells found per neuron in each structure (the I/N ratio) is enormously variable, from >100 neurons per microglial cell in the Cb of several species to ~3 microglial cells per neuron in the Cx or RoB of large nonprimate brains (Fig. 7*A*; Tables 1, 5). Typically, there are more neurons than microglial cells in most brain structures, with an exact I/N ratio that correlates strongly with the local density of neurons (Fig. 7B). In contrast, I/N ratios vary only loosely with structure mass across species and clades taken together (Fig. 7A). Finally, and as expected, the I/N ratio is highly correlated with the total numbers of non-neuronal cells per neuron (O/N; Spearman $\rho = 0.9479$, p < 0.0001), and consistently with the finding that microglial cells are a fairly constant percentage of all nonneuronal cells in any brain structure and species, the ratio I/N can be described as a power function of O/N with an exponent that is indistinguishable from linearity (1.020 \pm 0.031, p < 0.0001, $r^2 =$ 0.932; Fig. 7C). These findings thus demonstrate that, with a relatively constant density of microglial cells, brain structures with low neuronal densities (and thus large neurons) (Mota and Herculano-Houzel, 2014) have more microglial cells per neuron, whereas brain structures with high neuronal densities (and thus small neurons) have few microglial cells per neuron.

Microglial cell numbers and densities in the whole brain

Across the 23 species examined for which all brain structures were available, total brain mass varies by 5038-fold, and the total estimated number of microglial cells in the brain varies by a fairly similar 7749-fold (Table 1). In contrast, and as previously reported, numbers of brain neurons vary by only 2300-fold across the same species. Overall, microglial cells amount to $4.42 \pm 1.65\%$ of all cells and to $7.60 \pm 2.06\%$ of non-neuronal cells in the whole brain.

Microglial cell densities in the brain as a whole vary <3-fold across species of all clades (Table 1), with an overall average of 4658 ± 371 microglia/mg. We find no differences in average microglial cell density across clades nor across species within each clade (Wilcoxon, all p > 0.05). However, because neuronal densities are enormously variable, the I/N ratio calculated for the whole brain varies in our dataset between 3 neurons per microglial cell in the giraffe brain and 45 neurons for every microglial cell in the elephant shrew brain (Table 1).



Figure 4. Microglia/neuron ratio varies uniformly with neuronal density, but not with microglial density across cortical gray matter sites. **A**, Non-neuronal cells/neuron ratio (0/N) is plotted as a function of neuronal density in the gray matter sites across species and clades. *p* value for Spearman correlation for all clades together is p < 0.0001. Exponent, *p* value, and r^2 for the relationship plotted in **A** (-0.793 ± 0.009 , p < 0.0001, 0.888). **B**, Microglia/neuron ratio is plotted as a function of neuronal density in the gray matter sites across species and clades. *p* values for Spearman correlations are all

Discussion

Here we find that microglial cells occur in fairly similar and low densities across brain structures as different as multiple sites along the anteroposterior axis of the cerebral cortical gray and white matter; the Cb; the remaining structures in the hindbrain, midbrain, and forebrain; and across a wide sample of mammalian species that diverged as much as 218 million years ago (Murphy et al., 2004; Meredith et al., 2011). Finding consistent microglial cell densities across brain structures in such a wide range of mammalian species is indicative of little variation in the average size of these cells, as found previously for macrophages across species (Haley et al., 1991; Krombach et al., 1997). The unlikelihood that such consistent microglial cell densities occur by chance across so many brain structures and species indicates that microglial cell size and distribution have been conserved in mammalian evolution, whether due to biological, physiological, or physical reasons. An example of biological constraint would be the existence of fundamental morphologic, genetic, or regulatory mechanisms that constrain cell size, which may have been lifted only in some cell types, such as neurons (Savage et al., 2007; Herculano-Houzel and Dos Santos, 2018). There might also be physiological constraints in place, for instance, due to the volume-based macrophage-like functions of microglial cells, that render unviable any major variation in their size and/or distribution. Additionally, if microglial cell size is constrained and microglial cell proliferation is self-controlled such that it is arrested by contact inhibition, when confluence is reached in any tissue during development, a physical (mechanical) constraint to microglial cell distribution will follow, resulting in fairly constant microglial cell densities, as observed here. In support of such paired biological-physical constraints to microglial cell densities, contact inhibition has been reported during

p < 0.0001, except for Artiodactyla (p = 0.1819). The power function plotted in **B** applies to all gray matter sites together and mammalian species with exponent (-1.020 ± 0.016 , p < 0.0001, $r^2 = 0.799$). Exponents and p values for each clade and species are listed in Table 4. **C**, Microglia/neuron ratio is plotted as a function of microglial density in the gray matter sites across species and clades. p values for Spearman correlations are as follows: p < 0.0001 for all clades together and each clade separately except for Carnivora (p = 0.8414). Exponents and p values for each clade and species are listed in Table 4. Each data point represents the values found per cortical gray matter site examined for each species colored by clade as in the key.



Figure 5. Average percentages of microglial cells vary across brain structures but correlate with numbers of non-neuronal cells of which they represent a constant $\sim 7\%$. *A*, Distribution of the percentage of all cells that are microglial cells in the three main brain structures per clade. The ranges of distribution and means of the percentage of all cells that are microglia differ across clades and brain structures (values in Table 5). *B*, Average percentages of total cells that are microglia differ across brain structures. Wilcoxon p < 0.0001 between Cb and both Cx and RoB in all mammalian species. Wilcoxon p = 0.4283 between Cx and RoB in all mammalian species. *C*, Average percentages of total cells that are microglia in brain structures across clades and species. In the Cb, significant differences are found between Marsupialia and both Artiodactyla and Carnivora (Wilcoxon, p = 0.0058 and p = 0.0020, respectively). In the Cx and RoB no significant differences are found between clades, Wilcoxon p = 0.0081 and between Cb and RoB Wilcoxon p = 0.0051; and Marsupialia, between Cb and Cx Wilcoxon p = 0.0003 and between Cb and RoB Wilcoxon p = 0.0003. *D*, Percentages of microglial cells among all cells across all brain structures and species correlate strongly with the neuronal cell density in the

Table 5.	Microglial	percentage	s and	densities i	in	different	brain	structures
					_			

	Сх				Cb				RoB			
	1% all cells (range and mean \pm SE)	1% 0 cells (range and mean \pm SE)	l/mg (range and mean ± SE)	I/N (range and I/mg vs N/mg, exponent. and p value)	1% all cells (range and mean \pm SE)	1% 0 cells (range and mean ± SE)	l/mg (range and mean ± SE)	I/N (range and I/mg vs N/mg, exponent and p value)	1% all cells (range and mean \pm SE)	1% 0 cells (range and mean \pm SE)	l/mg (range and mean ± SE)	I/N (range and I/mg vs N/mg, exponent, and p value)
All clades	[1.84-10.98%] 5.77 ± 0.43%	[2.61-14.03%] 7.24 ± 0.50%	[1826-9048] 4375 ± 341	[0.053-3.362] -1.038 ± 0.088 p < 0.0001	[0.54-3.88%] 1.50 ± 0.15%	[2.98-24.56%] 7.37 ± 1.05%	[1234-10 536] 5021 ± 406	[0.007-0.046] -0.720 ± 0.224 p = 0.0038	[2.02-11.25%] 6.39 ± 0.51%	[2.18-11.85%] 7.20 ± 0.50%	[1780-9386] 4664 ± 464	[0.094-3.469] −1.033 ± 0.121 p < 0.0001
Per clade												
Afrotheria	[4.90-7.46%]	[7.54-10.39%]	[1832-4192]	[0.115-2.086]	[0.54-2.43%]	[3.05-18.29%]	[1234-5982]	[0.007-0.028]	[3.08-6.92%]	[4.57-7.20%]	[1845-3450]	[0.094-2.626]
	$6.04\pm0.64\%$	$8.65\pm1.31\%$	3170 ± 506	-1.137 ± 0.200 p = 0.0296	$1.19\pm0.34\%$	$\textbf{7.45} \pm \textbf{2.90\%}$	4024 ± 789	-0.298 ± 0.725 p = 0.7084	$4.89\pm0.65\%$	$\textbf{6.53} \pm \textbf{0.50\%}$	2897 ± 302	-1.075 ± 0.113 p = 0.0025
Artiodactyla	[5.86-10.98%]	[6.42-12.03%]	[3718-9048]	[0.675-1.405]	[1.82-3.88%]	[5.40-24.56%]	[3040-10 536]	[0.024-0.046]	[6.07-11.25%]	[6.39-11.85%]	[3267-9386]	[1.196-3.469]
	$\textbf{7.97} \pm \textbf{1.17\%}$	$\textbf{8.61} \pm \textbf{1.29\%}$	5722 ± 1264	-0.104 ± 0.714 p = 0.8971	$2.48\pm0.47\%$	$12.67\pm4.28\%$	5781 ± 1644	0.557 ± 0.590 p = 0.4444	$8.76\pm1.13\%$	9.13 ± 1.19%	6036 ± 1361	-0.458 ± 0.723 p = 0.5910
Carnivora	[5.47-10.19%]	[6.72-10.96%]	[3048-6530]	[0.295-3.362]	[1.63-2.05%]	[4.08-11.10%]	[4174-9601]	[0.020-0.031]	[3.11-10.59%]	[3.34-11.14%]	[1831-9269]	[0.294-2.925]
	$7.69\pm0.78\%$	$\textbf{8.58} \pm \textbf{0.72\%}$	4770 ± 626	-0.929 ± 0.176 p = 0.0132	$1.82\pm0.06\%$	$6.90 \pm 1.01\%$	5845 ± 826	-0.078 ± 0.253 p = 0.7732	$6.63 \pm 1.42\%$	7.09 ± 1.49%	4821 ± 1351	-0.721 ± 0.547 p = 0.2580
Marsupialia	[2.18-7.68%]	[2.61-9.75%]	[1826-6892]	[0.131-0.457]	[0.66-1.71%]	[2.98-13.16%]	[2408-6893]	[0.008-0.022]	[2.02-7.95%]	[2.18-9.01%]	[1780-6780]	[0.252-1.716]
	$5.40\pm0.61\%$	$\textbf{6.82} \pm \textbf{0.77\%}$	4699 ± 567	-0.680 ± 0.328 p = 0.0771	$1.07\pm0.12\%$	$5.51 \pm 1.02\%$	4721 ± 487	-0.278 ± 0.494 p = 0.5894	$\textbf{6.01} \pm \textbf{0.57\%}$	$6.80\pm0.68\%$	4932 ± 476	-0.811 ± 0.202 p = 0.0050
Primata	[1.84-8.26%]	[2.82-14.03%]	[1991-8294]	[0.053-0.225]	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	$3.75\pm0.69\%$	5.48 ± 1.27%	3692 ± 722	-0.569 ± 0.298 p = 0.1044								

⁹% all cells, Microglial percentage of all cells; 1% 0 cells, microglial percentage of non-neuronal (other) cells; 1/mg, number of microglial cells per milligram of structure; 1/N, microglia/neuron ratio.

microglial progenitor cell proliferation (Gomez-Nicola and Perry, 2015; Askew et al., 2017) as well as during active scanning of the parenchyma, maintaining the mosaic distribution of microglial cells in the tissue (Nimmerjahn et al., 2005). Such a constant mosaic (tiled) distribution of microglial cells is also consistent with our finding of fairly constant densities of microglial cells across brain structures and species. Consequently, each microglial cell seems to take care of a territory of fairly similar volume across all brain structures and mammalian species.

Importantly, the remarkable constancy in microglial density reported here does not imply that microglial cells are functionally similar or equivalent across structures, and there is evidence to the effect that they are not (Xavier et al., 2015). It remains to be determined whether microglial cell densities are also constant across brain structures and species during development. While developmental trajectories appear to differ across mammalian species (Ueno and Yamashita, 2014; Matcovitch-Natan et al., 2016; Hanamsagar et al., 2017), the process of embryonic colonization by microglia is highly conserved across vertebrate species (Perry et al., 1985; Ashwell, 1991; Herbomel et al., 2001; Verney et al., 2010; Schlegelmilch et al., 2011; Swinnen et al., 2013). In mice, total numbers of microglial cells were found to peak at 3 weeks after birth and to decrease thereafter, showing similar densities at 1 and 9 months of age (Nikodemova et al., 2015). Aging has been shown in several species to affect microglial cell morphology and soma size, but not microglial cell density (Davies et al., 2017; Chan et al., 2018; Ali et al., 2019), which is consistent with our findings of relatively similar microglial densities across a wide range of species whose chronological age we cannot ascertain due to the opportunistic nature of our specimen collection.

Because microglial densities are similar while neurons are distributed unevenly and variably across brain structures and species, it follows that the number of neurons in the territory of each microglial cell is widely dissimilar across structures and species. Here we find that there is only rarely more than one microglial cell to each neuron in the brain; typically, there are one or more neurons per microglial cell in any brain tissue, in any mammalian species. As a consequence, to the extent that the physiological load on microglial function depends on the number of neurons served or monitored, microglial function should be more strained where there are more neurons per microglial cell (i.e., where neuronal density is higher), for instance, in the granular layers of the hippocampus and Cb, and in the densest regions of the Cx, such as entorhinal cortex. It is thus possible that the high vulnerability of these regions to neurodegenerative diseases, such as Alzheimer's disease (Braak et al., 1993; Larner, 1997; Mu and Gage, 2011; Jacobs et al., 2018), is at least partly associated with a high susceptibility to inflammation given their heavy neuronal load per microglial cell. Given the evidence that aging affects microglial function and morphology but not densities, as mentioned above, compensatory

sample. The power function plotted in **D** applies to brain structures together and mammalian species with exponent (-0.378 ± 0.028 , p < 0.0001, $r^2 = 0.709$). Spearman ρ values are indicated between brackets for each clade when significant (otherwise, indicated as nonsignificant) with respective p values falling to <0.01, except for Artiodactyla (p = 0.0386; nonsignificant) and Primata (p = 0.6932). **E**, Distribution of the percentage of non-neuronal cells that are microglial cells in the three main brain structures per clade. The ranges of distribution and means of the percentage of non-neuronal cells that are microglia are similar across clades and brain structures (values in Table 5). F, Average percentages of non-neuronal cells that are microglia represent a constant \sim 7% across brain structures and clades in all mammalian species. Wilcoxon p values > 0.01 for all pairs of structures. **G**, Average percentages of non-neuronal cells that are microglia in brain structures across clades and species. Across each structure, all Wilcoxon, p > 0.01. Within each structure across all clades, all Wilcoxon, p > 0.01. **H**, Percentages of microglial cells among non-neuronal cells across all brain structures and species fail to correlate significantly with the neuronal cell density in the sample. Spearman, all p values >0.01. **D**, **H**, Each data point represents the values found per brain structure examined for each species colored by clade as in the key. Filled circles represent the Cx (including the hippocampus). Squares represent the Cb. Triangles represent the RoB. **B**, **C**, *Significance.



Figure 6. Constant average microglial density across brain structures, mammalian clades, and species. *A*, Distribution of microglial density in the different brain structures per clade (top), average across all samples (middle), and average per clade (bottom). Values are given in Table 5. Densities vary 8.5-, 5.0-, and 5.3-fold across species in Cb, Cx, and RoB, respectively (top; values in Table 1). Invariant average microglial density across brain structures in all mammalian species together (middle; Wilcoxon *p* values >0.01 for each pair) and across mammalian clades (bottom; Wilcoxon *p* values across clades are >0.01 across brain structures and clades). *B*, Relationship between the density of microglial cells and the number of microglial cells across brain structures and clades). *B*, Relationship between the density of microglial cells and the number of microglial cells across brain structures and mammalian species. *P* values for Spearman correlations when all structures are analyzed together, except for Primata, Cx only, are all >0.01. *C*, Relationship between structure mass and number of microglial cells across brain structures and mammalian species. Function plotted in *C* applies to all structures and species with exponent (0.962 ± 0.024 , p < 0.0001, $r^2 = 0.952$). *p* values for each clade separately are all p < 0.0001. *p* values for Spearman correlations all fall to <0.01. *D*, Relationship between microglial density and structure mass across brain structures and mammalian species. *p* values for Spearman correlations when all structures are analyzed together, except for Primata, Cx only, are all superior to 0.01. *E*, Relationship between microglial density and neuronal density across brain structures and mammalian species. *p* values for Spearman correlations when all structures are analyzed together, except for Primata, Cx only, are all superior to 0.01. *E*, Relationship between microglial density and neuronal density across brain structures and mammalian species. *p*



Figure 7. Microglial cell/neuron ratio varies uniformly with neuronal density but not with structure mass across brain structures and mammalian species. Microglial cell/neuron ratio is plotted as a function of the brain structure mass (*A*), neuronal density (*B*), or non-neuronal cell/neuron ratio (*C*). *A*, *p* values for Spearman correlations when all structures are analyzed together, except for Primata, Cx only, fall below p < 0.01 for all clades together and individually, except for Carnivora (p = 0.0483; not significant), Primata (p = 0.3851), and Artiodactyla (p = 0.2453). Spearman *p* values when Cb is analyzed individually are

alterations are unlikely, such that different neuron/ microglia ratios across brain sites probably have a lasting role in establishing susceptibility of different brain regions to insults over the lifespan. Similarly, one could expect the Cx to be more susceptible to inflammation in mammalian species with higher neuronal densities, such as mice and rats, compared with the human Cx. In that case, the laboratory rabbit, with neuronal densities closer to those found in humans (McMullen et al., 1994), would be a better model to study inflammation in the human cortex.

We report microglial percentages among non-neuronal cells in mammalian cortices that are comparable with the 5% found previously in the human cortical gray matter (Pelvig et al., 2008). Strikingly, not only the density of microglial cells is fairly constant across brain structures and species, but they also represent a fairly constant 7% of all non-neuronal cells. The latter include vasculature-related cells, which are possibly also a fairly constant (and small) percentage of all non-neuronal cells, given that capillary density appears to be consistently low across the few species sampled so far (Lauwers et al., 2008; Ventura-Antunes and S.H.-H., manuscript in preparation). A constant microglial percentage of all non-neuronal cells is consistent with neural tissue being composed of fixed percentages of astrocytes and oligodendrocytes as well (Herculano-Houzel and Dos Santos, 2018). Importantly, constant densities of each non-neuronal cell type in any brain structure and species would follow naturally if the average cell size of each cell type were invariable (Savage et al., 2007). Alternatively, the lack of much variation in cell density might be particular to microglial cells, since they do not originate from the same precursors that give rise to neurons and macroglial cells (astrocytes and oligodendrocytes) during development (for review, see Herculano-Houzel and Dos Santos, 2018). Our ongoing studies estimating directly the densities of astrocytes and oligodendrocytes will address whether or not macroglial cells also occur in similar densities across brain structures and species. Importantly, microglial cells are no exception in occurring at invariant densities and thus presumably constant average cell size: this seems to

nonsignificant, except when all clades are analyzed together (p = 0.0006). Spearman *p* values when Cx is analyzed individually are nonsignificant, except when all clades are analyzed together (p = 0.0015) and for Afrotheria (p < 0.0001). Spearman p values when RoB is analyzed individually are nonsignificant, except when all clades are analyzed together (p < 0.0001). **B**, p values for Spearman correlations when all structures are analyzed together, except for Primata, Cx only, fall below p < 0.01 for all clades together and individually, except for Primata (p = 0.1600) and Artiodactyla (p = 0.0016). The power function plotted in **B** has an exponent of -0.973 ± 0.029 $(p < 0.0001; r^2 = 0.936)$ and applies to all mammalian species and brain structures. Exponents and p values for each clade individually are listed in Table 5. *C*, *p* values for Spearman correlations when all structures are analyzed together, except for Primata, Cx only, fall all below 0.01, except for Primata (p = 0.4198). The power function plotted in **C** has an exponent of 1.020 ± 0.031 (p < 0.0001; $r^2 = 0.932$) and applies to all brain structures and mammalian species. All clades have a significant relationship, except Primata (p = 0.2252). Each data point represents the values found per brain structure examined for each species colored by clade as in the key. Filled circles represent the Cx, including the hippocampus. Squares represent the Cb. Triangles represent the RoB. B, C, Values are exponent \pm SE.

be the case of most somatic cell types other than neurons (with the possible exception of alveolar macrophages and adipocytes) (Savage et al., 2007). Neurons might thus be one of the rare cell types that escape the limitations that constrain cell size that have applied to other cell types over 200 million years of mammalian evolution.

We found that microglial densities do vary somewhat between cortical gray and white matter, with higher densities found in the latter, which might be simply a direct consequence of the scarcity of neuronal cell bodies in the cortical white matter. A higher density of microglial cells in white than in gray matter agrees with previous results in the adult rat (Savchenko et al., 2000), mouse (Askew et al., 2017), and human brain (Mittelbronn et al., 2001; Askew et al., 2017). Our finding that similar proportions of all cells are microglial between the gray and white matter is in conflict with previous results where authors found either higher proportions of microglia in the gray matter in the mouse Cx (Lawson et al., 1990), or in the white matter in the human Cx (Mittelbronn et al., 2001). While these differences in proportions might be due to the different technique applied in our study (the isotropic fractionator, instead of stereological methods) (see von Bartheld et al., 2016), they are most likely explained by the use in previous studies of antibodies that are now known to not stain all the microglial cell population (F4/80) (Lawson et al., 1990) or CD68, LCA, LCF, and MHC-II (Mittelbronn et al., 2001).

The highly variable ratio of neurons served per microglial cell that results from the fairly constant microglial cell densities uncovered here might have a very fundamental consequence for brain tissue function due to the role of microglial cells in synaptic pruning (Paolicelli et al., 2011; Schafer et al., 2012; Ueno et al., 2013; Li and Barres, 2018). While it is possible that constant microglial densities might be imposed by constant synaptic densities (which has been largely assumed to be the case, but for which solid, systematic evidence is still lacking) (O'Kusky and Colonnier, 1982; Miki et al., 1997), we find it much more likely that it is the fairly constant microglial densities reported here that contribute to imposing fairly constant synaptic densities across brain structures and species. One reason is the very early invasion of the developing parenchyma by microglial progenitors, well before formation of the first synapses (Ginhoux et al., 2010). Similarly, we expect that fairly constant densities of astrocytes would also induce fairly constant densities of synapses across brain structures and species. To date, the only systematic survey of synaptic densities was described recently for the adult mouse brain (Zhu et al., 2018). Only a systematic analysis of the distribution and densities of synapses in a large set of mammalian species will allow these fundamental questions about brain tissue organization to be addressed.

References

- Ali S, Liu X, Queen NS, Patel RS, Wilkins RK, Mo X, Cao L (2019) Longterm environmental enrichment affects microglial morphology in middle age mice. Aging 11:2388–2402.
- Ashwell K (1991) The distribution of microglia and cell death in the fetal rat forebrain. Dev Brain Res 58:1–12.
- Askew K, Li K, Olmos-Alonso A, Garcia-Moreno F, Liang Y, Richardson P, Tipton T, Chapman MA, Riecken K, Beccari S, Sierra A, Molnár Z, Cragg MS, Garaschuk O, Perry HV, Gomez-Nicola D (2017) Coupled proliferation and apoptosis maintain the rapid turnover of microglia in the adult brain. Cell Rep 18:391–405.
- Bininda-Emonds OR, Cardillo M, Jones KE, MacPhee RD, Beck R, Grenyer R, Price SA, Vos RA, Gittleman JL, Purvis A (2007) The delayed rise of present-day mammals. Nature 446:507–512.
- Braak H, Braak E, Bohl J (1993) Staging of Alzheimer-related cortical destruction. Eur Neurol 33:403–408.
- Chan TE, Grossman YS, Bloss EB, Janssen WG, Lou W, McEwen BS, Dumitriu D, Morrison JH (2018) Cell-type specific changes in glial

morphology and glucocorticoid expression during stress and aging in the medial prefrontal cortex. Front Aging Neurosci 10:146.

- Cunningham CL, Martinez-Cerdeno V, Noctor SC (2013) Microglia regulate the number of neural precursor cells in the developing cerebral cortex. J Neurosci 33:4216–4233.
- Davies DS, Ma J, Jegathees T, Goldsbury C (2017) Microglia show altered morphology and reduced arborization in human brain during aging and Alzheimer's disease. Brain Pathol 27:795–808.
- Dos Santos SE, Porfirio J, da Cunha FB, Manger PR, Tavares W, Pessoa L, Raghanti M, Sherwood CC, Herculano-Houzel S (2017) Cellular scaling rules for the brains of marsupials: not as "primitive" as expected. Brain Behav Evol 89:48–63.
- Gabi M, Neves K, Masseron C, Ribeiro PF, Ventura-Antunes L, Torres L, Mota B, Kaas JH, Herculano-Houzel S (2016) No relative expansion of the number of prefrontal neurons in primate and human evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 113:9617–9622.
- Ginhoux F, Greter M, Leboeuf M, Nandi S, See P, Gokhan S, Mehler MF, Conway SJ, Ng L, Stanley RE, Samokhvalov IM, Merad M (2010) Fate mapping analysis reveals that adult microglia derive from primitive macrophages. Science 330:841–845.
- Gomez-Nicola D, Perry HV (2015) Microglial dynamics and role in the healthy and diseased brain. Neuroscientist 21:169–184.
- Haley P, Muggenburg B, Weissman D, Bice D (1991) Comparative morphology and morphometry of alveolar macrophages from six species. Am J Anat 191:401–407.
- Hanamsagar R, Alter MD, Block CS, Sullivan H, Bolton JL, Bilbo SD (2017) Generation of a microglial developmental index in mice and in humans reveals a sex difference in maturation and immune reactivity. Glia 65:1504–1520.
- Herbornel P, Thisse B, Thisse C (2001) Zebrafish early macrophages colonize cephalic mesenchyme and developing brain, retina, and epidermis through a M-CSF receptor-dependent invasive process. Dev Biol 238:274–288.
- Herculano-Houzel S (2014) The glia/neuron ratio: how it varies uniformly across brain structures and species and what that means for brain physiology and evolution. Glia 62:1377–1391.
- Herculano-Houzel S (2017) 2153–2180. What modern mammals teach us about the cellular composition of early brains and mechanisms of brain evolution. In: Evolution of nervous systems (Kaas JH, Herculano-Houzel S, eds), pp 2153–2180. New York: Elsevier.
- Herculano-Houzel S, Dos Santos SE (2018) You do not mess with the glia. Neuroglia 1:14.
- Herculano-Houzel S, Lent R (2005) Isotropic fractionator: a simple, rapid method for the quantification of total cell and neuron numbers in the brain. J Neurosci 25:2518–2521.
- Herculano-Houzel S, Avelino-de-Souza K, Neves K, Porfírio J, Messeder D, Feijó L, Maldonado J, Manger PR (2014) The elephant brain in numbers. Front Neuroanat 8:46.
- Herculano-Houzel S, Catania K, Manger PR, Kaas JH (2015a) Mammalian brains are made of these: a dataset of the numbers and densities of neuronal and nonneuronal cells in the brain of Glires, primates, Scandentia, Eulipotyphlans, Afrotherians and Artiodactyls, and their relationship with body mass. Brain Behav Evol 86:145–163.
- Herculano-Houzel S, Messeder DJ, Fonseca-Azevedo K, Pantoja NA (2015b) When larger brains do not have more neurons: increased numbers of cells are compensated by decreased average cell size across mouse individuals. Front Neuroanat 9:64.
- Hickman S, Izzy S, Sen P, Morsett L, Khoury J (2018) Microglia in neurodegeneration. Nat Neurosci 21:1359–1369.
- Imai Y, Ibata I, Ito D, Ohsawa K, Kohsaka S (1996) A novel geneiba1in the major histocompatibility complex class III region encoding an EF hand protein expressed in a monocytic lineage. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 224:855–862.
- Imai Y, Kohsaka S (2002) Intracellular signaling in M-CSF-induced microglia activation: role of Iba1. Glia 40:164–174.
- Jacobs HI, Hopkins DA, Mayrhofer HC, Bruner E, van Leeuwen FW, Raaijmakers W, Schmahmann JD (2018) The cerebellum in Alzheimer's disease: evaluating its role in cognitive decline. Brain 141:37–47.
- Janušonis S (2018) Some galeomorph sharks express a mammalian microglia-specific protein in radial ependymoglia of the telencephalon. Brain Behav Evol 91:17–30.
- Jardim-Messeder D, Lambert K, Noctor S, Pestana FM, de Leal ME, Bertelsen MF, Alagaili AN, Mohammad OB, Manger PR, Herculano-

Dos Santos et al. • Invariant Microglial Densities across Mammalian Brains

Houzel S (2017) Dogs have the most neurons, though not the largest brain: trade-off between body mass and number of neurons in the cerebral cortex of large carnivoran species. Front Neuroanat 11:118.

- Kabba J, Xu Y, Christian H, Ruan W, Chenai K, Xiang Y, Zhang L, Saavedra JM, Pang T (2018) Microglia: housekeeper of the central nervous system. Cell Mol Neurobiol 38:53–71.
- Kazu RS, Maldonado J, Mota B, Manger PR, Herculano-Houzel S (2014) Cellular scaling rules for the brain of Artiodactyla include a highly folded cortex with few neurons. Front Neuroanat 8:128.
- Kettenmann H, Hanisch UK, Noda M, Verkhratsky A (2011) Physiology of microglia. Physiol Rev 91:461–553.
- Krombach F, Münzing S, Allmeling A, Gerlach J, Behr J, Dörger M (1997) Cell size of alveolar macrophages: an interspecies comparison. Environ Health Perspect 105:1261–1263.
- Larner AJ (1997) The cerebellum in Alzheimer's disease. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 8:203–209.
- Lauwers F, Cassot F, Lauwers-Cances V, Puwanarajah P, Duvernoy H (2008) Morphometry of the human cerebral cortex microcirculation: general characteristics and space-related profiles. Neuroimage 39:936–948.
- Lawson LJ, Perry VH, Dri P, Gordon S (1990) Heterogeneity in the distribution and morphology of microglia in the normal adult mouse brain. Neuroscience 39:151–170.
- Li Q, Barres BA (2018) Microglia and macrophages in brain homeostasis and disease. Nat Rev Immunol 18:225–242.
- Manger PR, Pillay P, Maseko BC, Bhagwandin A, Gravett N, Moon DJ, Jillani N, Hemingway J (2009) Acquisition of brains from the African elephant (*Loxodonta africana*): perfusion-fixation and dissection. J Neurosci Methods 179:16–21.
- Matcovitch-Natan O, Winter DR, Giladi A, Vargas Aguilar S, Spinrad A, Sarrazin S, Ben-Yehuda H, David E, Zelada Gonzalez F, Perrin P, Keren-Shaul H, Gury M, Lara-Astaiso D, Thaiss CA, Cohen M, Bahar Halpern K, Baruch K, Deczkowska A, Lorenzo-Vivas E, Itzkovitz S, et al. (2016) Microglia development follows a stepwise program to regulate brain homeostasis. Science 353:8670.
- McMullen NT, Smelser CB, de Venecia RK (1994) A quantitative analysis of parvalbumin neurons in rabbit auditory neocortex. J Comp Neurol 349:493–511.
- Meredith RW, Janecka JE, Gatesy J, Ryder OA, Fisher CA, Teeling EC, Goodbla A, Eizirik E, Simao TLL, Stadler T, Rabosky DL, Honeycutt RL, Flynn JJ, Ingram CM, Steiner C, Williams TL, Robinson TJ, Burk-Herrick A, Westerman M, Ayoub NA, et al. (2011) Impacts of the cretaceous terrestrial revolution and KPg extinction on mammal diversification. Science 334:521–524.
- Miki T, Fukui Y, Itoh M, Hisano S, Xie Q, Takeuchi Y (1997) Estimation of the numerical densities of neurons and synapses in cerebral cortex. Brain Res Protoc 2:9–16.
- Mittelbronn M, Dietz K, Schluesener H, Meyermann R (2001) Local distribution of microglia in the normal adult human central nervous system differs by up to one order of magnitude. Acta Neuropathol 101:249–255.
- Mota B, Herculano-Houzel S (2014) All brains are made of this: a fundamental building block of brain matter with matching neuronal and glial masses. Front Neuroanat 8:1–21.
- Mu Y, Gage FH (2011) Adult hippocampal neurogenesis and its role in Alzheimer's disease. Mol Neurodegeneration 6:85.
- Murphy WJ, Eizirik E, Johnson WE, Zhang YP, Ryder OA, O'Brien SJ (2001) Molecular phylogenetics and the origins of placental mammals. Nature 409:614–618.
- Murphy WJ, Pevzner PA, O'Brien SJ (2004) Mammalian phylogenomics comes of age. Trends Genet 20:631–639.
- Neves K, Ferreira FM, Tovar-Moll F, Gravett N, Bennett NC, Kaswera C, Gilissen E, Manger PR, Herculano-Houzel S (2014) Cellular scaling rules for the brain of afrotherians. Front Neuroanat 8:5.
- Nikodemova M, Kimyon RS, De I, Small AL, Collier LS, Watters JJ (2015) Microglia numbers attain adult levels after undergoing a rapid decrease in cell number in the third postnatal week. J Neuroimmunol 278:280–288.
- Nimmerjahn A, Kirchhoff F, Helmchen F (2005) Resting microglial cells are highly dynamic surveillants of brain parenchyma in vivo. Science 308:1314–1318.
- O'Kusky J, Colonnier M (1982) A laminar analysis of the number of neurons, glia, and synapses in the visual cortex (area 17) of adult macaque monkeys. J Comp Neurol 210:278–290.

- Paolicelli RC, Bolasco G, Pagani F, Maggi L, Scianni M, Panzanelli P, Giustetto M, Ferreira T, Guiducci E, Dumas L, Ragozzino D, Gross CT (2011) Synaptic pruning by microglia is necessary for normal brain development. Science 333:1456–1458.
- Parkhurst CN, Yang G, Ninan I, Savas JN, Yates JR, Lafaille JJ, Hempstead BL, Littman DR, Gan WB (2013) Microglia promote learning-dependent synapse formation through brain-derived neurotrophic factor. Cell 155:1596–1609.
- Pelvig DP, Pakkenberg H, Stark AK, Pakkenberg B (2008) Neocortical glial cell numbers in human brains. Neurobiol Aging 29:1754–1762.
- Perry VH, Hume DA, Gordon S (1985) Immunohistochemical localization of macrophages and microglia in the adult and developing mouse brain. Neuroscience 15:313–326.
- Ribeiro PF, Ventura-Antunes L, Gabi M, Mota B, Grinberg LT, Farfel JM, Ferretti-Rebustini RE, Leite RE, Filho WJ, Herculano-Houzel S (2013) The human cerebral cortex is neither one nor many: neuronal distribution reveals two quantitatively different zones in the gray matter, three in the white matter, and explains local variations in cortical folding. Front Neuroanat 7:28.
- Savage VM, Allen AP, Brown JH, Gillooly JF, Herman AB, Woodruff WH, West GB (2007) Scaling of number, size, and metabolic rate of cells with body size in mammals. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:4718–4723.
- Savchenko VL, McKanna JA, Nikonenko IR, Skibo GG (2000) Microglia and astrocytes in the adult rat brain: comparative immunocytochemical analysis demonstrates the efficacy of lipocortin 1 immunoreactivity. Neuroscience 96:195–203.
- Schafer DP, Lehrman EK, Kautzman AG, Koyama R, Mardinly AR, Yamasaki R, Ransohoff RM, Greenberg ME, Barres BA, Stevens B (2012) Microglia sculpt postnatal neural circuits in an activity and complementdependent manner. Neuron 74:691–705.
- Schlegelmilch T, Henke K, Peri F (2011) Microglia in the developing brain: from immunity to behaviour. Curr Opin Neurobiol 21:5–10.
- Sousa C, Biber K, Michelucci A (2017) Cellular and molecular characterization of microglia: a unique immune cell population. Front Immunol 8:198.
- Swinnen N, Smolders S, Avila A, Notelaers K, Paesen R, Ameloot M, Brône B, Legendre P, Rigo J (2013) Complex invasion pattern of the cerebral cortex by microglial cells during development of the mouse embryo. Glia 61:150–163.
- Tremblay MÈ, Lowery RL, Majewska AK (2010) Microglial interactions with synapses are modulated by visual experience. PLoS Biol 8:e1000527.
- Ueno M, Fujita Y, Tanaka T, Nakamura Y, Kikuta J, Ishii M, Yamashita T (2013) Layer V cortical neurons require microglial support for survival during postnatal development. Nat Neurosci 16:543–551.
- Ueno M, Yamashita T (2014) Bidirectional tuning of microglia in the developing brain: from neurogenesis to neural circuit formation. Curr Opin Neurobiol 27:8–15.
- Valério-Gomes B, Guimaraes DM, Szczupak D, Lent R (2018) The absolute number of oligodendrocytes in the adult mouse brain. Front Neuroanat 12:1–19.
- Verney C, Monier A, Fallet-Bianco C, Gressens P (2010) Early microglial colonization of the human forebrain and possible involvement in periventricular white-matter injury of preterm infants. J Anat 217:436–448.
- von Bartheld CS, Bahney J, Herculano-Houzel S (2016) The search for true numbers of neurons and glial cells in the human brain: a review of 150 years of cell counting. J Comp Neurol 524:3865–3895.
- Wake H, Moorhouse AJ, Jinno S, Kohsaka S, Nabekura J (2009) Resting microglia directly monitor the functional state of synapses in vivo and determine the fate of ischemic terminals. J Neurosci 29:3974– 3980.
- Wake H, Moorhouse AJ, Miyamoto A, Nabekura J (2013) Microglia: actively surveying and shaping neuronal circuit structure and function. Trends Neurosci 36:209–217.
- Watson C, Mitchelle A, Puelles L (2017) A new mammalian brain ontology based on developmental gene expression. In: Evolution of nervous systems (Kaas JH, Herculano-Houzel S, eds), pp 253–275. New York: Elsevier.
- Xavier AL, Kress BT, Goldman SA, de Menezes JR, Nedergaard M (2015) A distinct population of microglia supports adult neurogenesis in the subventricular zone. J Neurosci 35:11848–11861.
- Zhu F, Cizeron M, Qiu Z, Benavides-Piccione R, Kopanitsa MV, Skene NG, Koniaris B, DeFelipe J, Fransén E, Komiyama NH, Grant S (2018) Architecture of the mouse brain synaptome. Neuron 99:781–799.e10.