

# UC Merced

## UC Merced Previously Published Works

### Title

Light-Induced GFP Expression in Zebrafish Embryos using the Optogenetic TAE/C120 System

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8w188399>

### Authors

LaBelle, Jesselynn

Woo, Stephanie

### Publication Date

2021-08-19

### DOI

10.3791/62818-v

### Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

1 **“Light-activated gene expression in zebrafish embryos with the TAE 2.0**  
2 **system”**

3 Jesselynn LaBelle and Stephanie Woo

4 Department of Molecular Cell Biology, University of California, Merced, CA 95348

5

6 **Summary**

7

8 Optogenetics is a powerful tool with wide-ranging applications. In this protocol, we  
9 demonstrate how to achieve light-inducible gene expression in zebrafish embryos  
10 using the blue light-responsive TAE 2.0 system.

11

12 **Abstract**

13

14 Inducible gene expression systems are an invaluable tool for studying biological  
15 processes. By using light as the inducing agent, optogenetic expression systems  
16 can provide precise control over the timing, location, and amplitude of gene  
17 expression. In this protocol, we use a blue light-activated expression system called  
18 TAE 2.0 to achieve light-inducible gene expression in zebrafish embryos.

19

20 **Introduction**

21

22 Inducible gene expression systems are extremely useful for providing control over  
23 the location, amount, and timing of gene expression. However, achieving very  
24 precise spatial and temporal control in multicellular organisms has been  
25 challenging. Temporal control is most commonly achieved through addition of small

26 molecule compounds <sup>1</sup> or activation of heat shock promoters <sup>2</sup>, but both approaches  
27 are vulnerable to issues of timing, induction strength, and off-target stress  
28 responses. Spatial control is mostly achieved by use of tissue-specific promoters <sup>3</sup>,  
29 but this approach requires a suitable promoter or regulatory element, which are not  
30 always available, and it is not conducive to sub-tissue level induction.

31

32 In contrast to such conventional approaches, light-activated optogenetic  
33 transcriptional activators have the potential for finer spatial and temporal control of  
34 gene expression <sup>4</sup>. Here, we will use the blue light-responsive TAE/C120 system  
35 that was developed and optimized for use in zebrafish embryos <sup>5,6</sup>. This system is  
36 based on an endogenous light-activated transcription factor from the bacterium *E.*  
37 *litoralis* <sup>7,8</sup>. The TAE/C120 system consists of a transcriptional activator called TAE  
38 that contains a Gal-TA4 transactivation domain coupled to a blue light-responsive  
39 LOV (light-oxygen-voltage sensing) domain <sup>5</sup>. When illuminated, the LOV domains  
40 undergo a conformational change that allow two TAE molecules to dimerize and  
41 activate transcription downstream of a TAE-responsive C120 promoter <sup>5,8</sup>. We have  
42 shown that the TAE/C120 system exhibits rapid and robust induction with minimal  
43 toxicity and can be activated by several different light delivery modalities. Recently,  
44 we made improvements to the TAE/C120 system by adding a nuclear localization  
45 signal to TAE (TAE-N) and by coupling the C120 regulatory element to a cFos  
46 basal promoter (C120F). These modifications, collectively referred to as TAE 2.0,  
47 improved induction levels by more than 15-fold <sup>6</sup>.

48

49 In this protocol, we will use a simple LED panel to activate the TAE 2.0 system and  
50 induce ubiquitous expression of a reporter gene, GFP. Expression induction can be

51 monitored qualitatively by observing fluorescence intensity or quantitatively by  
52 measuring transcript levels using qRT-PCR. This protocol will demonstrate the TAEI/  
53 C120 system as a versatile, easy to use tool that enables robust regulation of gene  
54 expression *in vivo*.

55

## 56 **Protocol**

57

58 *Step 1. Zebrafish crossing and embryo collection.* We maintain separate transgenic  
59 zebrafish lines containing either the TAEI transcriptional activator or the C120-  
60 controlled reporter gene. Adult zebrafish from each line are crossed together  
61 produce double transgenic embryos that contain both the TAEI and C120  
62 components. Breeding is performed using standard methods<sup>9</sup>. For this protocol, we  
63 crossed a C120-responsive GFP reporter line (*Tg(C120F:GFP)<sup>ucm107</sup>*) with a transgenic  
64 line that expresses TAEI-N ubiquitously (*Tg(ubb:TAEI-N)<sup>ucm113</sup>*). Alternatively, both  
65 components can be expressed transiently through microinjection of mRNA or  
66 plasmid DNA using standard methods<sup>10</sup>

67

68 *Step 2. Global light induction.* A blue-light (465 nm) LED panel placed in an  
69 incubator is used to deliver activating blue light (Fig. 1A). In this demonstration, we  
70 used a MARS AQUA 165W dimmable LED aquarium light. Actual power of light  
71 received is approximately 1.5 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>. We have found that pulsed light at intervals  
72 of 1 hour on/1 hour off induced higher expression levels compared to constant  
73 illumination, potentially because of reduced photodamage to the TAEI  
74 transcriptional activator<sup>5,8</sup>. Light pulses are achieved by coupling the LED panel to a  
75 timer relay. For this protocol, embryos are exposed to pulsed illumination for 6

76 hours starting at approximately 24 hours post-fertilization. The duration of  
77 illumination may need to be optimized for specific applications. While illuminated,  
78 petri dish lids are removed to minimize light scattering from condensation. Dark  
79 controls for all conditions are placed in a lightproof box in the same incubator as  
80 light-treated samples.

81

82 *Step 3. Quantitative assessment of induction by qRT-PCR.* Light-activated induction  
83 of gene expression can be quantitatively assessed by measuring mRNA transcript  
84 levels with quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). In this protocol, we used qRT-PCR  
85 to quantify GFP expression at various time points after exposure to activating blue  
86 light (Fig. 1B).

87 *Step 3.1 RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis.* RNA was extracted from embryos  
88 exposed to 30 minutes, 1 hour, 3 hours, or 6 hours light treatment as well as  
89 embryos kept in the dark for the same duration of time. 30-50 embryos were  
90 used for each sample and RNA extraction was performed using the illustra™  
91 RNASpin Mini kit (GE Healthcare). For each sample, 1 µg total RNA was then used  
92 for cDNA synthesis with qScript XLT cDNA SuperMix (Quantabio).

93 *Step 3.2. qRT-PCR.* qPCR reactions were performed with PerfeCTa® SYBR green  
94 fast mix (Quantabio) and carried out in a QuantStudio3 (Applied Biosystems) real  
95 time PCR machine. The housekeeping gene *ef1a* was used as a reference. For  
96 each sample, three technical replicates were performed. qPCR primers used in  
97 this protocol are GFP forward: 5'-ACGACGGCAACTACAAGCACC-3'; GFP reverse:  
98 5'-GTCCTCCTTGAAGTCGATGC-3'; *ef1a* forward 5'-CACGGTGACAACATGCTGGAG-  
99 3'; *ef1a* reverse: 5'-CAAGAAGAGTAGTACCGCTAGCAT-3'

100 *Step 3.3. Analysis.* Light-activated induction of GFP expression was calculated as  
101 fold change relative to GFP expression of embryos kept in the dark using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$   
102  $\Delta\Delta Ct$  method <sup>11</sup>. Statistical significance was determined with Prism software  
103 (GraphPad).

104

105 *Step 4. Qualitative assessment of induction by fluorescence microscopy.* Because  
106 we used a fluorescent reporter line to demonstrate this protocol, light-activated  
107 expression can also be assessed by fluorescence microscopy. Here, we qualitatively  
108 assessed GFP induction by observing fluorescence intensity at various time points  
109 after exposure to activating blue light (Fig. 1C-J).

110 *Step 4.1.* After 30 minutes, 1 hour, 3 hours, or 6 hours of light treatment,  
111 embryos were embedded in 3% methylcellulose (Sigma-Aldrich) containing  
112 0.01% tricaine (Sigma-Aldrich) in glass depression slides.

113 *Step 4.2.* Fluorescence and brightfield images were acquired on an Olympus  
114 SZ51 stereomicroscope equipped with an X-Cite 120 LED light source, a 545 nm  
115 excitation filter, and Lumenera Infinity color CCD digital camera.

116 *Step 4.3.* For each time point, we also imaged control sibling embryos that had  
117 been kept in the dark. Identical image acquisition settings were used for all  
118 samples.

119 *Step 4.4.* Brightfield and fluorescence images were merged after acquisition with  
120 Adobe Photoshop software.

121

## 122 **Representative Results**

123

124 For this demonstration, we crossed a C120-responsive GFP reporter line  
 125 (*Tg(C120F:GFP)*) with a transgenic line that expresses TAEL-N ubiquitously  
 126 (*Tg(ubb:TAEL-N)*) to produce double transgenic embryos containing both elements.  
 127 Beginning at 24 hours post-fertilization, we exposed embryos to activating blue light  
 128 pulsed at a frequency of 1 hour on/1 hour off. Induction of GFP expression was  
 129 quantified by qRT-PCR at 30 minutes, 1 hour, 3 hours, and 6 hours post-activation  
 130 (Fig. 1B and Table 1). Compared to control sibling embryos kept in the dark, we  
 131 could detect induction of GFP expression as soon as 30 minutes after blue light  
 132 exposure. Levels of GFP expression then continued to steadily increase up to 6  
 133 hours post-activation.

134

135 We also qualitatively assessed GFP induction by examining fluorescence intensity at  
 136 the same time points post-activation (Fig. 1C-F). GFP fluorescence above  
 137 background levels was first observed at 3 hours post-activation and became  
 138 noticeably brighter at 6 hours post-activation. In contrast, sibling control embryos  
 139 kept in the dark did not exhibit any appreciable GFP fluorescence at all time points  
 140 (Fig. 1G-J).

141

<b>Time Post-activation</b>	<b>GFP Fold Induction (mean ± S.D.)</b>
30 minutes	5.36 <sup>+2.8</sup> <sub>-1.84</sub>
1 hour	23.44 <sup>+22.91</sup> <sub>-11.59</sub>
3 hours	48.09 <sup>+23.9</sup> <sub>-15.97</sub>
6 hours	131.46 <sup>+32.02</sup> <sub>-25.75</sub>

142 **Table 1.** Fold induction of GFP mRNA levels quantified by qRT-PCR after exposure  
143 to activating blue light for the indicated amount of time, normalized to control  
144 sibling embryos kept in the dark for the same duration. S.D., standard deviation.

145

146 **Figure 1. Schematic of TAEL 2.0 function and experimental design. A.** The  
147 TAEL 2.0 system consists of a transcriptional activator called TAEL fused to a  
148 nuclear localization signal (NLS) and a TAEL-responsive regulatory element called  
149 C120 coupled to a cFos basal promoter driving expression of a gene of interest.  
150 TAEL-dependent transcription is active in the presence of blue light, but not in the  
151 dark. B. In this protocol, a transgenic line the expresses TAEL-N ubiquitously  
152 (*Tg(ubb:TAEL-N)*) is crossed to a C120-driven GFP reporter line (*Tg(C120F:GFP)*) to  
153 produce double transgenic embryos. Starting at 24 hpf, embryos are exposed to  
154 activating blue light for various durations up to 6 hours. Illustrations created with  
155 BioRender.

156

157 **Figure 2. Representative results of light-activated gene expression with**  
158 **TAEL 2.0. A.** Our typical light activation set up includes a blue LED light source  
159 placed in an incubator. Note, petri dish lids are removed during light activation to  
160 minimize light scattering. **B.** Quantification of GFP mRNA levels induced at the  
161 indicated time points post-light activation by qRT-PCR. Data is presented as GFP fold  
162 induction relative to control embryos kept in the dark. Gray dots represent  
163 biological replicates (clutches). Solid horizontal bars represent mean. Error bars,  
164 standard deviation. \* $p < 0.05$ . \*\* $p < 0.01$ . \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ . **C-J.** Representative images  
165 showing GFP fluorescence intensity of embryos exposed to light treatment (C-F) or



166 kept in the dark (G-J). Fluorescent images (green) have been merged with  
167 corresponding brightfield images (grayscale). Scale bar, 500  $\mu\text{m}$ .

168

## 169 **Discussion**

170

171 This protocol describes the use of the TAEI 2.0 system to achieve blue light-  
172 inducible gene expression. This system consists of a transcriptional activator, TAEI-  
173 N, that dimerizes upon illumination and activates transcription of a gene of interest  
174 downstream of a C120 regulatory element. Compared to drug- or heat shock-  
175 inducible expression systems, optogenetic expression systems like TAEI 2.0  
176 potentially offer better spatial and temporal control over expression by using light  
177 as the inducing agent. By qPCR, we can detect induced expression of a GFP reporter  
178 after as little as 30 minutes of light exposure, suggesting that TAEI 2.0 possesses  
179 relatively fast and responsive kinetics. Although we do not observe appreciable GFP  
180 fluorescence until 3 hours post-activation, this discrepancy is likely explained by the  
181 additional time needed for GFP synthesis, folding, and maturation - factors that are  
182 likely to vary depending on the gene of interest. Therefore, some optimization of  
183 illumination times may be needed depending on the application.

184

185 In this protocol, we presented the simplest method for activating the TAEI 2.0  
186 system using a blue light LED panel to globally illuminate zebrafish embryos. This  
187 approach has the advantages of both ease of use and cost effectiveness. However,  
188 activation of the TAEI 2.0 system can also be spatially controlled if needed. We  
189 previously demonstrated that TAEI-induced expression can be spatially restricted  
190 using multiple modalities to deliver user-defined, spatially patterned blue light <sup>5</sup>.

191 Additional spatial specificity can be achieved by using tissue-specific promoters to  
192 regulate expression of the TAEI-N transcriptional activator <sup>6</sup>.

193

194 In addition to TAEI 2.0, other light-activated transcriptional systems have been  
195 developed <sup>12-15</sup>. Compared to these systems, TAEI 2.0 is especially well-suited for  
196 use in zebrafish (and potentially other multicellular systems). First, the TAEI-N  
197 transcriptional activator functions as a homodimer, which simplifies the number of  
198 components that must be used. In addition, LOV domain-containing proteins like  
199 TAEI-N require a flavin chromophore for light absorption <sup>16</sup>. This cofactor is  
200 endogenously present within animal cells, removing the need to add an exogenous  
201 chromophore as with other systems.

202

203 In summary, this protocol demonstrates that TAEI 2.0 is a blue light-activated gene  
204 expression system that is easy to use, possesses fast and responsive kinetics, and  
205 particularly well-suited for *in vivo* applications.

206

## 207 **Disclosures**

208

209 No conflicts of interest declared.

210

## 211 **Acknowledgements**

212

213 We thank Stefan Materna and members of the Woo and Materna labs for helpful  
214 suggestions and comments on this protocol. We thank Anna Reade, Kevin Gardner,  
215 and Laura Motta-Mena for valuable discussion and insights while developing this

216 protocol. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health  
217 (NIH; R03 DK106358) and the University of California Cancer Research Coordinating  
218 Committee (CRN-20-636896) to S.W.

## 219 **References**

- 220 1. Knopf, F., Schnabel, K., Haase, C., Pfeifer, K., Anastassiadis, K. & Weidinger, G.  
221 Dually inducible TetON systems for tissue-specific conditional gene expression  
222 in zebrafish. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*  
223 *States of America* **107** (46), 19933–19938, doi:10.1073/pnas.1007799107  
224 (2010).
- 225 2. Halloran, M. C., Sato-Maeda, M., *et al.* Laser-induced gene expression in  
226 specific cells of transgenic zebrafish. *Development (Cambridge, England)* **127**  
227 (9), 1953–1960 (2000).
- 228 3. Hesselton, D., Anderson, R. M., Beinat, M. & Stainier, D. Y. R. Distinct  
229 populations of quiescent and proliferative pancreatic beta-cells identified by  
230 HOTcre mediated labeling. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*  
231 *of the United States of America* **106** (35), 14896–14901,  
232 doi:10.1073/pnas.0906348106 (2009).
- 233 4. Tischer, D. & Weiner, O. D. Illuminating cell signalling with optogenetic tools.  
234 *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* **15** (8), 551–558, doi:10.1038/nrm3837  
235 (2014).
- 236 5. Reade, A., Motta-Mena, L. B., Gardner, K. H., Stainier, D. Y., Weiner, O. D. &  
237 Woo, S. TAE: a zebrafish-optimized optogenetic gene expression system with  
238 fine spatial and temporal control. *Development (Cambridge, England)* **144** (2),  
239 345–355, doi:10.1242/dev.139238 (2017).
- 240 6. LaBelle, J., Ramos-Martinez, A., *et al.* TAE 2.0: An Improved Optogenetic  
241 Expression System for Zebrafish. *Zebrafish* **18** (1), 20–28,  
242 doi:10.1089/zeb.2020.1951 (2021).
- 243 7. Rivera-Cancel, G., Motta-Mena, L. B. & Gardner, K. H. Identification of natural  
244 and artificial DNA substrates for light-activated LOV-HTH transcription factor  
245 EL222. *Biochemistry* **51** (50), 10024–10034, doi:10.1021/bi301306t (2012).
- 246 8. Motta-Mena, L. B., Reade, A., *et al.* An optogenetic gene expression system  
247 with rapid activation and deactivation kinetics. *Nature chemical biology* **10**  
248 (3), 196–202, doi:10.1038/nchembio.1430 (2014).
- 249 9. Avdesh, A., Chen, M., *et al.* Regular care and maintenance of a zebrafish  
250 (*Danio rerio*) laboratory: an introduction. *Journal of visualized experiments :*  
251 *JoVE* (69), e4196, doi:10.3791/4196 (2012).
- 252 10. Holder, N. & Xu, Q. Microinjection of DNA, RNA, and Protein into the Fertilized  
253 Zebrafish Egg for Analysis of Gene Function. *Molecular Embryology*, 487–490,  
254 doi:10.1385/1-59259-270-8:487 (1999).
- 255 11. Livak, K. J. & Schmittgen, T. D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using  
256 real-time quantitative PCR and the 2<sup>(-Delta Delta C(T))</sup> Method. *Methods (San*  
257 *Diego, Calif.)* **25** (4), 402–408, doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1262 (2001).
- 258 12. Wang, X., Chen, X. & Yang, Y. Spatiotemporal control of gene expression by a  
259 light-switchable transgene system. *Nature methods* **9** (3), 266–269,  
260 doi:10.1038/nmeth.1892 (2012).

- 261 13. Mruk, K., Ciepla, P., Piza, P. A., Alnaqib, M. A. & Chen, J. K. Targeted cell  
262 ablation in zebrafish using optogenetic transcriptional control. *Development*  
263 *(Cambridge, England)* **147** (12), dev183640, doi:10.1242/dev.183640 (2020).  
264 14. Liu, H., Gomez, G., Lin, S., Lin, S. & Lin, C. Optogenetic control of transcription  
265 in zebrafish. *PloS one* **7** (11), e50738, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0050738  
266 (2012).  
267 15. Shimizu-Sato, S., Huq, E., Tepperman, J. M. & Quail, P. H. A light-switchable  
268 gene promoter system. *Nature biotechnology* **20** (10), 1041–1044,  
269 doi:10.1038/nbt734 (2002).  
270 16. Krueger, D., Izquierdo, E., Viswanathan, R., Hartmann, J., Pallares Cartes, C. &  
271 De Renzis, S. Principles and applications of optogenetics in developmental  
272 biology. *Development (Cambridge, England)* **146** (20),  
273 doi:10.1242/dev.175067 (2019).  
274