Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

A new technique for luminosity measurement using 3D pixel modules in the ATLAS IBL detector

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8wp3j9z7>

Author

Liu, P

Publication Date

2019-04-21

DOI

10.1016/j.nima.2018.09.027

Peer reviewed

A new technique for luminosity measurement using 3D pixel modules in the ATLAS IBL detector

Peilian Liu¹, on behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

Abstract

The Insertable B-Layer (IBL) is the innermost layer of the ATLAS tracking system. It consists of planar pixel modules in the central region and 3D pixel modules at the two extremities. We use the longitudinal cluster-size distributions in 3D modules of the IBL to determine the number of pixel clusters per bunch crossing produced by primary charged particles in randomly triggered collision events, and to suppress the associated backgrounds. This Pixel-Cluster-Counting algorithm can provide both bunch-integrated and bunch-by-bunch relative-luminosity measurements, and thereby contribute independent constraints to the understanding and the evaluation of the systematic uncertainties that dominate the luminosity determination at the ATLAS experiment.

Keywords: Luminosity, 3D Pixel Module, Pixel Cluster

1. Introduction

An accurate measurement of the delivered luminosity is a key component of the ATLAS [1] physics programme. For cross section measurements, the uncertainty in the delivered luminosity is often one of the major systematic uncertainties. Searches for, and eventual discoveries of, physical phenomena beyond the Standard Model also rely on accurate information about the delivered luminosity to evaluate background levels and determine sensitivity to the signatures of new phenomena.

In LHC Run 2, the primary ATLAS luminometer is LUCID [2], a photomultiplier-based Cherenkov detector specifically designed to measure the bunch-by-bunch luminosity in every bunch crossing. It is complemented by bunch-by-bunch luminosity-sampling algorithms such as track counting,

¹Corresponding author, email: peilianliu@lbl.gov
Preprint submitted to Elsevier

as well as by several bunch-integrating algorithms that do not provide information on individual colliding-bunch pairs. The measurements of these luminometers are compared to assess and control the systematic uncertainties of the luminosity measurements at ATLAS. The level of consistency across the various methods, over the full range of luminosities and beam conditions, and across many months of LHC operation, provides a direct test of the accuracy and the stability of the results. New algorithms such as Pixel-Cluster-Counting (PCC) can provide additional, independent constraints on the understanding of some of the instrumental biases (such as long-term drifts, or the pileup dependence of luminosity measurements) and to the evaluation of the associated systematic uncertainties. This algorithm has been significantly improved since the first presentation in Ref. [3].

2. Principle of the luminosity measurement in ATLAS

The bunch luminosity $\mathcal{L}_b$ produced by a single pair of colliding bunches can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_b = \frac{\mu f_r}{\sigma_{\text{inel}}} \quad (1)$$

where the pileup parameter μ is the average number of inelastic interactions per bunch-crossing, f_r is the bunch revolution frequency (11245.5 Hz at LHC), and σ_{inel} is the pp inelastic cross section.

ATLAS monitors the delivered luminosity by measuring μ_{vis} , the visible interaction rate per bunch crossing (BC). The bunch luminosity can then be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_b = \frac{\mu_{\text{vis}} f_r}{\sigma_{\text{vis}}} \quad (2)$$

where $\mu_{\text{vis}} = \varepsilon \mu$, ε is the efficiency of the detector and algorithm under consideration, and the visible cross section for that same detector and algorithm is defined by $\sigma_{\text{vis}} = \varepsilon \sigma_{\text{inel}}$. μ_{vis} is a directly measurable quantity. The visible cross section σ_{vis} is calibrated by the van der Meer (*vdM*) method [2] under specialized beam conditions.

3. Insertable B-Layer

The ATLAS pixel detector is the innermost detector component of the ATLAS tracking system. Currently, the pixel-detector layer closest to the

Table 1: η and ϕ indices of the 3D and planar modules from the negative- z side of IBL to the positive- z side. The IBL is constructed of 14 staves each of which consists of 20 modules.

Structure	3D	Planar	3D
η index	-10 $\rightarrow$ -7	-6 $\rightarrow$ 5	6 $\rightarrow$ 9
ϕ index	0 $\rightarrow$ 13		

beam pipe is the insertable b-layer (IBL) [4], which was installed in 2014 between the existing pixel detector and a new smaller radius beam-pipe at a radius of 3.3 cm, to maintain the performance of the pixel detector with increasing luminosity. The IBL is constructed of 14 staves laid around the beam pipe. Each IBL staff is instrumented along 64 cm and consists of 20 modules, with four 3D sensor modules at each end and 12 planar sensor modules in the central section. Planar sensors are conventional devices made with surface implants and uniform bulk, and 3D sensors use implants vertically through the bulk [5]. Table 1 lists the η and ϕ indices of the 3D and planar modules¹.

In each 3D module, one FE-I4B chip [6] is bump bonded to one 3D sensor. There are 26880 pixels arranged in 80 columns on $250\,\mu\text{m}$ pitch by 336 rows on $50\,\mu\text{m}$ pitch. The 3D sensor thickness is $230\,\mu\text{m}$.

4. Pixel-Cluster Counting Algorithm

The principle of the PCC-based luminosity measurement is that the number of clusters produced by primary particles (referred to as “primary clusters”) is assumed to be proportional to the luminosity. The absolute PCC luminosity scale is fixed by cross-calibrating it to LUCID in a reference run.

Only primary clusters in the 3D modules of the IBL, that are produced by primary particles from pp collisions, are counted. The number of background

¹ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point in the centre of the detector, and the z -axis along the beam line. The x -axis points from the interaction point to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upwards. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the beam line. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\ln \tan(\frac{\theta}{2})$.

clusters depends not only on the luminosity, but also on beam conditions and on material effects. The 3D modules are located at high $|\eta|$. Particles from the interaction point (IP) traverse 3D modules at shallow incidence, producing long clusters, which is key to signal-background separation. Figure 1 compares the experimental longitudinal cluster size distributions for the four 3D modules at positive- z (η indexed 6 through 9). The primary clusters contribute to the Gaussian component while the background clusters are shorter.

The module farthest from the interaction point (η indexed 9) sees the longest primary clusters. Data-volume constraints prevent saving all the pixel clusters in the entire IBL detector with reconstructed data. 3D modules were selected for saving all pixel clusters (as required for PCC) because they have the best signal-to-background ratio, due to their high $|\eta|$ location. Additionally, the 3D modules extend beyond the $|\eta| < 2.5$ acceptance of the rest of the ATLAS tracker, which makes PCC independent of the track-based luminosity measurement. There is an average of ~ 0.02 clusters produced in one 3D module by one event, so the probability of two particles producing overlapping clusters is low.

Figure 1: Comparison of longitudinal cluster size distributions for the four most forward 3D modules on the positive- z side of IBL.

82 *4.1. Gaussian distribution of the longitudinal cluster size for the primary*
83 *clusters*

The longitudinal size of primary clusters is sensitive to the particle incidence angle, and could be calculated by

$$\text{Longitudinal size} = \frac{\text{sensor thickness}}{\text{pixel pitch}} \cdot \frac{z_{\text{IP}} - z_{\text{3D}}}{r_{\text{IBL}}} \quad (3)$$

84 Here z_{3D} is the z position of the 3D module, z_{IP} is where the interaction occurs
85 in the z direction, and r_{IBL} is the radius of the IBL. Since the z_{IP} distribution
86 is approximately Gaussian, the longitudinal cluster size distribution of
87 individual 3D modules is also expected to exhibit an approximately Gaussian
88 shape.

89 The primary clusters produced on the module edge are shorter than
90 expected due to missing pixels. Similarly, clusters can be interrupted
91 (broken) by dead or inefficient pixels. Figure 2 illustrates the longitudinal size
92 distributions of broken and on-edge primary clusters as well as of complete
93 primary clusters. The shapes were obtained from simulated single-interaction
94 minimum-bias events. In collision data, on-edge clusters are avoided by
95 requiring cluster centers to be a minimum distance away from an edge. This
96 defines a fiducial area for each module. Broken clusters with a gap of a single
97 pixel constitute about 5.6% of all primary clusters, and they are removed
98 from the analysis.

99 *4.2. Background clusters*

100 In the products of pp collisions, aside from the primary particles produced
101 in the primary collisions, there are secondary particles from the interaction
102 of the primary particles and photons with the detector material, as well as
103 beam backgrounds. These lead to background clusters. There are also 1-hit
104 background clusters from noisy pixels. In addition, when charged particles
105 travel through the detector, they can activate the detector material. This
106 radioactivity causes small hits to be seen in the detector. It decays away
107 after a brief period of time. This “afterglow” effect is studied in special
108 runs in which several empty BCs are collected after the paired BC. The
109 afterglow effects contribute short clusters. In clusters longer than two pixels,
110 the background clusters from afterglow effects and noisy pixels are expected
111 to be negligible and treated as a systematic uncertainty.

Figure 2: Longitudinal size distributions of primary clusters in the most forward IBL 3D module on the negative- z side, obtained from simulated single-interaction minimum-bias events. Only clusters originating from primary particles are used.

112 4.3. Extraction of the number of primary clusters in each 3D module

113 A two-component fit is performed to the longitudinal cluster size
 114 distribution, as illustrated in Figure 3. Clusters on the module edges and
 115 broken clusters have been removed. The fit components are a Gaussian to
 116 describe the signal clusters from primary particles and a template to describe
 117 the background clusters from secondary particles. The background template
 118 is derived from the minimum bias MC sample with one interaction per BC by
 119 selecting the pixel clusters originating from the secondary particles with the
 120 same selection as for the data. Clusters shorter than three pixels are excluded
 121 from the fit to minimize the systematic uncertainty from background sources
 122 that are not simulated, such as afterglow and noisy pixels.

123 4.4. Exclusion of modules suffering performance issues

A plain average of all modules at the same η can be biased when a module has a transient problem. In order to exclude such modules, we fit the azimuthal distribution of the number of primary clusters per module (integral of the Gaussian component of the fit described in Sec. 4.3) for the 14 modules at the same η (same index), to a cosine function excluding outliers:

$$\text{Number of clusters} = A \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{14}(\text{ID}_\phi - B)\right) + C, \quad (4)$$

Figure 3: Two-component fit to the longitudinal cluster size distribution of clusters longer than two pixels in the most forward IBL 3D module on the negative- z side. The data correspond to about one minute of data-taking and are extracted from randomly triggered events.

124 A cosine fit is needed to account for the beams not being perfectly centered
 125 in the IBL. ID_ϕ is the ϕ index of each module in the same η ring, which
 126 ranges from 0 to 13. B is the ϕ index of the module closest to the IP in the
 127 transverse plane, and is fixed to the value calculated from the reconstructed
 128 transverse position of the luminous centroid. This fixes the phase of the
 129 cosine to its known value. In the example shown in Figure 4, the module at
 130 ϕ index 8 has been excluded as an outlier. The area under the fitted curve is
 131 then taken as the correct cluster count in one η ring and is used as a proxy
 132 to estimate the luminosity.

133 4.5. Geometric-acceptance correction

134 The geometric acceptance of each module varies depending on the source
 135 point of the primary particles considered. The distribution of primary
 136 interaction vertices (known from track reconstruction) can therefore be used
 137 to correct for the precise acceptance of each module in each luminosity block
 138 (approximately 1 minute of data) analyzed. The transverse distribution is
 139 tightly constrained by the $\sim 10\mu\text{m}$ transverse beam size and the effect of
 140 the transverse beam position is accounted for in the sinusoidal fit described
 141 above. Therefore, we correct here for the distribution of the longitudinal
 142 position z_{IP} of individual collisions, as reflected in the mean longitudinal
 143 position and RMS spread of the luminous region.

Figure 4: Azimuthal dependence of the number of primary clusters for the modules in the most forward IBL η ring on the negative- z side. The data correspond to about one minute of data-taking and are extracted from randomly triggered events.

In Figure 5, simulated single-interaction minimum-bias events are used to study how the number of clusters depends on z_{IP} . The positive and negative modules behave inversely along z_{IP} as expected. The distribution of all clusters in all 3D modules produced by one interaction (n) as a function of z_{IP} is fitted with:

$$n = n_0 \cdot (1 + p_2 \cdot (z_{\text{IP}} - z_0)^2) \quad (5)$$

¹⁴⁴ n_0 is the total number of primary clusters produced by one interaction
¹⁴⁵ happening at the IBL center z_0 .

As already mentioned, the z_{IP} distribution of the multiple (μ) interactions in each BC is a Gaussian: $\text{Gauss}(z_{\text{IP}}; m_z, \sigma_z)$, where m_z is the longitudinal position of the luminous centroid and σ_z is the luminous length. The total number of clusters in all 3D modules produced by all interactions in the luminous region is obtained by integrating Equation (5) along $\text{Gauss}(z_{\text{IP}}; m_z, \sigma_z)$:

$$N = \int n_0 \cdot (1 + p_2 \cdot (z_{\text{IP}} - z_0)^2) \cdot \mu \cdot \text{Gauss}(z_{\text{IP}}; m_z, \sigma_z) dz_{\text{IP}} \quad (6)$$

$$= n_0 \cdot \mu \cdot (1 + p_2 \cdot ((m_z - z_0)^2 + \sigma_z^2)) \quad (7)$$

$$= N_0 \cdot (1 + p_2 \cdot ((m_z - z_0)^2 + \sigma_z^2)) \quad (8)$$

(a)

Figure 5: Number of clusters in the 3D modules on the positive- z (red) and the negative- z (blue) side of the IBL as a function of the longitudinal position of the interaction, obtained from simulated single-interaction minimum-bias events. The distribution of all clusters is fitted with a second-order polynomial.

$N_0 = n_0 \cdot \mu$ would be the number of observed clusters if all the interactions happened exactly at z_0 . A longer or longitudinally off-center luminous region produces more clusters. BCs with identical luminosity produce different number of clusters, N , if they have luminous regions of different shapes or positions. Therefore, we always correct N to the ideal N_0 using the known shape of the luminous region:

$$N_0 = \frac{N}{1 + p_2 \cdot ((m_z - z_0)^2 + \sigma_z^2)} \quad (9)$$

146 The effect of this correction is shown in Figure 6.

147 5. Validation of the PCC method

148 The principle of the PCC algorithm is that the number of primary clusters
 149 is assumed to be proportional to the luminosity. To validate this assumption,
 150 the corrected number of pixel clusters in all 3D modules (N_0) is compared
 151 with the luminosity measured by LUCID ($\mathcal{L}_{\text{LUCID}}$). The history of the
 152 $N_0/\mathcal{L}_{\text{LUCID}}$ ratio over LHC fill 6024 is presented in Figure 7. The value
 153 of N_0 , integrated over the duration of the fill, is normalized to the LUCID-
 154 based integrated luminosity over the same period, to derive the PCC-based
 155 luminosity ($\mathcal{L}_{\text{PCC}}$) in one-minute bins. $\mathcal{L}_{\text{PCC}}/\mathcal{L}_{\text{LUCID}}$ is constant over LHC

fill 6024. In this fill, the bunch-averaged pileup parameter $\langle \mu \rangle$ ranges from
 ~ 40 to ~ 16 . Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{PCC}}/\mathcal{L}_{\text{LUCID}}$ is independent of $\langle \mu \rangle$.

6. Summary

A relative-luminosity monitoring technique based on pixel-cluster counting in the forward modules of the ATLAS IBL has been developed. The signal clusters (produced by the primary particles) are separated from backgrounds (mainly produced by secondary particles) by fitting the longitudinal cluster size distribution in the IBL 3D modules. Occasional readout failures of individual 3D modules are mitigated on the basis of the internal consistency of the azimuthal distribution of the number of clusters for the 14 modules at the same η . Geometric-acceptance effects associated with the imperfect centering and finite longitudinal extent of the luminous region are corrected using simulated collisions. The method has been validated on 2016 and 2017 ATLAS data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, and its implementation in the overall luminosity analysis is well advanced. The PCC algorithm will contribute to the constraint of the systematic uncertainty of luminosity measurements.

7. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science under contract DE-AC02-05CH11231.

8. References

- [1] ATLAS Collaboration, The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, JINST 3 (2008) S08003.
- [2] ATLAS Collaboration, Luminosity determination in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using the ATLAS detector at the LHC, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 653.
- [3] ATLAS collaboration, Pixel-Cluster Counting Luminosity Measurements In ATLAS, PoS ICHEP2016 (2017) 1064.
- [4] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Insertable B-Layer Technical Design Report, ATLAS-TDR-19, CERN/LHCC 2010-013, 2010.

- 185 [5] P. Grenier, Silicon sensor technologies for the ATLAS IBL upgrade,
186 TIPP2011 Conference Proceeding in press in Physics Procedia (2011).
- 187 [6] M. Garcia-Sciveres et al., The FE-I4 pixel readout integrated circuit,
188 Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 636 (2011)
189 S155-S159.

(a)

(b)

Figure 6: (a) Luminous-length dependence of the total number of primary clusters per inelastic collision, in the 3D modules of the IBL. The black points show the number of clusters obtained in the simulated minimum-bias samples, N , for different values of the luminous length (σ_z) but the same longitudinal position of the luminous centroid ($m_z = -2$ mm). The green points show the corrected number of clusters, N_0 . (b) Dependence on the longitudinal position of the luminous centroid, of the total number of primary clusters per inelastic collision in the 3D modules of the IBL. The black points show the number of clusters, N , obtained in the simulated minimum-bias samples for different longitudinal positions (m_z) of the luminous centroid, but with the same luminous length ($\sigma_z = 35$ mm). The green points show the corrected number of clusters, N_0 . The dashed lines show the expected number of clusters, N_0 , if all the interactions happened exactly at z_0 .

Figure 7: Stability of the PCC/LUCID luminosity ratio over the course of LHC fill 6024. The errors represent only the statistical error, which is dominated by PCC. The LUCID statistical uncertainty is negligible.