# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Structural and biochemical studies of an NB-ARC domain from a plant NLR immune receptor

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/8xx056jh

Journal PLOS ONE, 14(8) ISSN 1932-6203

Authors

Steele, John FC Hughes, Richard K Banfield, Mark J

Publication Date

2019

DOI

10.1371/journal.pone.0221226

Peer reviewed



# GOPEN ACCESS

**Citation:** Steele JFC, Hughes RK, Banfield MJ (2019) Structural and biochemical studies of an NB-ARC domain from a plant NLR immune receptor. PLoS ONE 14(8): e0221226. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221226

Editor: Kornelius Zeth, Roskilde Universitet, DENMARK

Received: March 21, 2019

Accepted: August 1, 2019

Published: August 28, 2019

**Copyright:** © 2019 Steele et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the manuscript. The structural model and the diffraction data used to generate this have been deposited at the Protein DataBank with accession number 6S2P.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC, UK, www.bbsrc.ac.uk), including grant nos. BB/P012574, BB/J004553, both awarded to MJB, and the John Innes Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data **RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

# Structural and biochemical studies of an NB-ARC domain from a plant NLR immune receptor

#### John F. C. Steele<sup>\*</sup>, Richard K. Hughes<sup>\*</sup>, Mark J. Banfield<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Biological Chemistry, John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, England, United Kingdom

 Current address: Environmental Genomics and Systems Biology Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States of America
\* mark.banfield@jic.ac.uk

# Abstract

Plant NLRs are modular immune receptors that trigger rapid cell death in response to attempted infection by pathogens. A highly conserved nucleotide-binding domain shared with <u>APAF-1</u>, various <u>R</u>-proteins and <u>CED-4</u> (NB-ARC domain) is proposed to act as a molecular switch, cycling between ADP (repressed) and ATP (active) bound forms. Studies of plant NLR NB-ARC domains have revealed functional similarities to mammalian homologues, and provided insight into potential mechanisms of regulation. However, further advances have been limited by difficulties in obtaining sufficient yields of protein suitable for structural and biochemical techniques. From protein expression screens in *Escherichia coli* and *Sf9* insect cells, we defined suitable conditions to produce the NB-ARC domain from the tomato NLR NRC1. Biophysical analyses of this domain showed it is a folded, soluble protein. Structural studies revealed the NRC1 NB-ARC domain had co-purified with ADP, and confirmed predicted structural similarities between plant NLR NB-ARC domains and their mammalian homologues.

# Introduction

Plants mount sophisticated responses to attack by pathogens following the detection of specific microbe-derived signals. General responses including callose deposition [1], production of reactive oxygen species [2], and upregulation of defence-specific genes [3] can be triggered by the detection of conserved microbe-derived pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) such as fungal chitin [4,5] or bacterial flagellin [6,7] at the cell surface.

Such responses are generally effective at preventing or reducing colonisation of plants by non-adapted microbes, however they are less effective against specialised plant pathogens. Various sub-populations of plant pathogens have evolved to infect specific cultivars of a given host plant in a gene-for-gene manner [8,9]. A key feature of biotrophic or hemi-biotrophic plant pathogens are translocated effector proteins that enter the host cell, typically to subvert cell surface-based immune responses [10–13]. Plants have in turn evolved intracellular receptors capable of detecting the presence [14–16] or activity [17,18] of such effectors. Upon

collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

**Competing interests:** The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

detection, these receptors trigger an immune response, typically characterised by localised programmed cell-death known as the hypersensitive response [19].

The majority of these plant intracellular receptors belong to the nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat (NLR) protein family [20,21], similar to those involved in certain animal celldeath or innate immune responses [22–24]. Plant NLRs share a common architecture with animal NLRs, containing nucleotide binding (NB) and Leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domains, but can be further classified into CNLs and TNLs, dependent on whether they have an N-terminal coiled-coil (CC) domain or N-terminal toll/interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) domain. Recently, variations on this general structural framework have been identified that include the integration of additional domains into the classical NLR architecture [25,26].

Bioinformatic and *in planta* studies have assigned specific functions to plant NLR domains. The N-terminal CC or TIR domains are typically described as required for downstream signalling following perception of pathogens [27–30], the LRR is implicated in auto-inhibition and/ or effector detection [31-34], and the central NB-ARC domain is posited as a regulatory domain [35,36], acting as a "switch" that determines whether the protein is in an active or inactive state [37]. In plant NLRs, this central NB-ARC domain is presumed to be structurally related to a large family of nucleotide binding proteins [38], and biochemical investigations using protein refolded from E. coli inclusion bodies indicate that plant NB-ARC domains are able to bind to, and hydrolyse, ATP [39]. Expression of full-length plant NLRs such as MLA27 [29] and the flax rust resistance protein M [40] have shown that full-length proteins co-purify with ADP, similar to what has been observed for mammalian NLRs [41-43]. Further investigation of full-length plant NLRs have been hampered by low yields in expression systems, and more sensitive biochemical and structural investigations addressing the NB-ARC domain are constrained by the use of refolded [39], or truncated protein [44]. This leaves many fundamental explanations for mutant phenotypes reliant on predicted similarities to mammalian homologues, notably the well-characterised APAF-1 protein [35,37,45-47].

NB-ARC domains of animal (also known as NACHT domains) and plant NLRs belong to the AAA+ protein superfamily, with several shared motifs known to contribute to protein function. Key amongst these are the Walker A motif (or P-loop), which is important for nucleotide binding, and Walker B motif, which is required for ATP hydrolysis. Well-established mutations that target these sites include replacing the charged lysine residue in the Walker A motif with alanine or arginine, resulting in a loss of nucleotide binding, and mutating the charged amino acids of the Walker-B  $\phi \phi \phi \phi D(D/E)$  motif (four hydrophobic amino acids followed by an aspartate then either a second aspartate or glutamate) to alanine or glutamine, which inhibits ATPase activity ([48], and references therein) Additional NB-ARC motifs that have been studied include the conserved "GLPL" (glycine-leucine-proline-leucine) motif, and the "MHD" motif (methionine-histidine-aspartate) which, when mutated, usually results in an autoactive phenotype [34,49]. Biochemical investigation of the flax resistance protein M was able to link autoactivation caused by disruption of the MHD motif to the state of the bound nucleotide [40].

Here we characterise the NB-ARC domain from the tomato NLR NRC1, which we have expressed and purified from both bacterial (*E. coli*) and insect (*Sf9*) cell culture. Biophysical analysis of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain by circular dichroism, analytical gel filtration, and differential scanning fluorimetry indicate the protein is a well-folded, stable monomer in solution. We also crystallised and determined a structure of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain. The structural analysis highlights the protein's similarity to the equivalent region of the mammalian NLR APAF-1, and identified ADP as a co-purifying ligand.

# Results

## Defining the boundaries of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain

We used several bioinformatics resources to help define the boundaries of the NB-ARC domain from the canonical CNL NRC1 [50], with the aim of producing soluble, stable protein (Fig 1). A putative NB-ARC domain spanning residues 165 to 441 was identified by Pfam [51,52]. However, this region did not include the conserved MHD motif (valine-histidine-aspartate, VHD, in NRC1) towards the C-terminus of the domain, a region known to be functionally relevant [34,35,49,50,53]. Therefore, we extended our analyses to include a combination of LRR-specific bioinformatic searches (LRR Finder [54] and LRRsearch [55]), secondary structure prediction (Phyre2 [56]), and disorder predictions (RONN [57]) to define a C-terminal boundary of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain. Based on the results of these searches, we assigned the NRC1 NB-ARC domain C-terminus at residue 494. The secondary structure predictions also suggested that truncating the protein at position 165 (putative N-terminus) could disrupt an  $\alpha$ -helix. With this information, we re-assigned the NRC1 NB-ARC domain N-terminus at position 150, within a predicted disordered region between the CC and NB-ARC domains.

#### Expression and purification of wild-type NRC1 NB-ARC domain

Based on our bioinformatic analysis, a construct spanning NRC1 residues 150 to 494 was cloned into both the pOPIN-F and pOPIN-S3C expression vectors [60], which allow for protein expression with a cleavable N-terminal 6xHis tag or N-terminal 6xHis-SUMO (small ubiquitin-like modifier protein) tag respectively.

We used the high-throughput capacity of Oxford Protein Production Facility, UK, to screen for expression/purification of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain in various *E. coli* strains/media and



**Fig 1. NRC1 domain assignment.** The extent of the coiled-coil domain was predicted as residues 4–134 (green) by CCHMM [58] and MARCOILS [59], the NB-ARC domain to be residues 150 to 494 (blue) by Pfam [51,52] (predicted domain spanning residues 165–441 in bold), and the LRR domain from 718–888 (dark orange) by LRR Finder [54] and LRRsearch [55]. Light orange indicates a putative LRR region. Predicted secondary structure elements are shown as red cylinders (helices) and blue arrows (beta-strands), as defined by Phyre2 [56], and dashed lines (disordered loop regions) from RONN [57].

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221226.g001

in insect cells. We found the best conditions for soluble expression used pOPIN-S3C in *E. coli* strain Lemo21(DE3), grown in PowerBroth media, and baculovirus-mediated expression in *Sf9* cells using a pOPIN-F construct. Using a combination of immobilised metal ion chromatography (IMAC) followed by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) we were able to purify a protein of molecular weight consistent with the 6xHis SUMO-tagged NRC1 NB-ARC domain from *E. coli* lysates (Fig 2A). Removal of the N-terminal tag (with 3C protease) followed by subtractive IMAC and a second round of SEC resulted in protein at high purity (Fig 2B). A similar purification procedure for 6xHis-tagged protein expressed in *Sf9* cells also yielded pure NRC1 NB-ARC protein (Fig 2B).

#### Expression and purification of NRC1 NB-ARC domain mutants

We designed several point mutants in the NRC1 NB-ARC domain to perturb sequence motifs with established roles in animal and plant NLRs. These included regions important for ATP-binding (Walker-A motif; K191A), ATP hydrolysis (Walker-B motif; D268N, D267N, D267N/D268N) and NLR regulation (MHD motif; D481V, H480F, H480A). Unfortunately, we were unable to express and purify any of these mutants in *E. coli*, despite screening multiple strains



**Fig 2. Purification of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain from** *E. coli* and *Sf9* cells. a) Coomassie-stained SDS-PAGE showing the purification of NRC1 NB-ARC from *E coli* lysates. Lane 1. Molecular weight marker, 2. Whole-cell lysate, 3. Total soluble protein, 4. 6xHis-SUMO tagged NRC1 NB-ARC following one-step IMAC/gel filtration purification. b) SDS-PAGE showing final purified protein following N-terminal tag removal. Lane 1. Molecular weight marker, 2. Wild-type protein purified from *E. coli*, 3. Wild-type protein purified from *Sf9* cells, 4. NRC1 NB-ARC <sup>D268N</sup> purified from *Sf9* cells. Black arrow indicates position of NRC1 NB-ARC protein.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221226.g002

(including BL21(DE3), BL21\*, soluBL21, Rosetta2 pLysS, Lemo21(DE3), and BL21-AIpLysS) at either 37°C or 18°C with different growth media (LB, Terrific Broth, Powerbroth), or with additives to the media to improve soluble protein expression (DMSO, glycerol [61], or ethanol [62]).

Having identified that baculovirus-mediated expression in *Sf9* cells was able to generate soluble wild-type protein, we returned to insect-cell expression to screen NB-ARC mutant constructs using the pOPIN-F vector. With these screens, we could produce the mutant D268N (Walker B motif), and purify it to homogeneity in yields similar to the wild-type protein (Fig 2B). The other mutants were recalcitrant to soluble expression/purification.

#### Biophysical characterisation of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain

To investigate the biophysical properties of wild-type NRC1 NB-ARC domain, and the NB-ARC<sup>D268N</sup> mutant, we used three approaches: analytical gel filtration, circular dichroism, and thermal denaturation.

Firstly, we used analytical gel filtration and showed that both wild-type protein (produced in *E. coli* or insect cells) and the D268N mutant behave as monomers in solution, and elute at a retention volume consistent with their predicted molecular mass of 40kDa (Fig 3A and 3B).

Secondly, we assessed NRC1 NB-ARC domain folding by circular dichroism (CD). Each wild-type sample gave a spectrum consistent with a well-folded protein, possessing mixed secondary structure (Fig 3C, Table 1). Disruption of the Walker B motif (with the NRC1 NB-ARC<sup>D268N</sup> mutant) had little effect on protein secondary structure as measured by CD (Fig 3C, Table 1).

Finally, we used differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF) to assess the thermal stability of the three proteins. In this assay, an increase in Sypro orange fluorescence is associated with a transition from a folded protein to denatured state as internal hydrophobic surfaces are exposed. For all three NB-ARC proteins, a single inflection point for change in fluorescence was observed at ~50°C, consistent with a single unfolding event (Fig 3D, Table 1).

Taken together, these data are consistent with the wild-type NRC1 NB-ARC domain, and D268N mutant, adopting a stable, folded state in solution.

#### NRC1 NB-ARC domain purifies in an ADP-bound form

The full length flax NLR protein M, and the barley NLR MLA27, were both shown to co-purify with ADP as a bound nucleotide, with a small fraction of MLA27 bound to ATP [29,40]. Individual NB-ARC domains from animal systems have also been shown to co-purify with nucleotides, but these vary between ADP and ATP bound states [42,43,65]. We employed a luciferase-based assay ([40], also as described in the Materials and Methods) to quantify the relative occupancy of these nucleotides in the purified NRC1 NB-ARC domain. Using this assay, we were unable to measure any ATP co-purifying with the protein. We then converted any ADP present to ATP using pyruvate kinase, and used this as a proxy for bound ADP. As no ATP was bound to the protein, we express ADP occupancy as a percentage, calculated from relative protein/nucleotide concentrations. These data show that all three NRC1 NB-ARC proteins co-purified with ADP with high occupancy (Fig 4). Based on the higher affinity of APAF-1 for ATP over ADP [66], and ATPase activity displayed by other NB-ARC proteins, we expect this high ADP occupancy is due to intrinsic ATPase activity of NRC1 NB-ARC domain. Surprisingly, NRC1 NB-ARC<sup>D268N</sup>, a mutant designed to abolish ATPase activity, also co-purified with ADP suggesting either preferential binding of ADP over ATP, or residual ATPase activity.



**Fig 3. Biophysical characterisation of NRC1 NB-ARC proteins.** a) Elution of NRC1 NB-ARC proteins plotted on a standard curve determined from the elution of Aprotinin (A, 6.5kDa), Ribonuclease A (RA, 13.7kDa), Carbonic anhydrase (CA, 29.0kDa), Ovalbumin (O, 44.0kDa), and Conalbumin (C, 75.0kDa), with dextran used to calculate column void volume. Error bars represent standard error of the mean from three experiments using two independent purifications. b) Elution of purified NRC1 NB-ARC domain proteins by analytical gel filtration. Single elution peaks were observed for wild-type proteins at ~10.2mL, with the D268N mutant eluting slightly later, at ~10.5mL. c) Circular dichroism spectra of wild-type and mutant NRC1 NB-ARC domains is consistent with folded protein. d) First derivative plot of fluorescence against temperature during thermal melting differential scanning fluorimetry experiments of wild-type and mutant NRC1 NB-ARC domain expressed in *E. coli*, WT-IC: Wild-type NRC1 NB-ARC domain expressed in *Sf9* cells, D268N: mutated NRC1 NB-ARC domain.

#### NRC1 NB-ARC domain shows structural similarities to APAF-1

We generated a selenomethionine (SeMet) derivative of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain, which readily crystallised to form long, tapered rods. Crystals were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and X-ray data collected at the Diamond Light Source (UK). The resulting data were processed to

Protein	Helix (%)	Strand (%)	Turn (%)	Disordered (%)	Calculated MW (kDa)	Melting temperature (°C)
Wild-type (E. coli)	17	27.6	19.5	35.9	45.4 (1.4)	51.3 (0.1)
Wild-type (Insect cells)	15.8	28.8	17.7	38.3	46.1 (2.8)	51.2 (0.3)
D268N	15.8	28.2	17.7	38.3	47.7 (1.5)	48.2 (0.3)

Secondary structure elements expressed as % of protein fold were calculated using Contin on the Dichroweb server [63,64] from CD data. Normalised root-mean-square deviation (NRMSD) for assignments are 0.103, 0.143 and 0.099 for WT-*E. coli*, WT-insect cells and D268N mutant data respectively. Calculated molecular weights are from gel filtration, and melting temperatures from DSF. Values in parentheses represent standard error for calculated molecular weights and standard deviation of the mean for melting temperatures.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221226.t001





3.03 Å resolution. Isomorphous native crystals of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain were generated, and X-ray data collected to 2.5 Å resolution (Table 2).

Structure solution (by single wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD)) and auto-building using the Phenix autosol pipeline, with subsequent phase extension to the high-resolution dataset, yielded an interpretable electron density map. The initial autobuilding placed 171 residues in 15 fragments. Iterative manual rebuilding and refinement resulted in a final structure consisting of 252 of a total 346 residues present in the expressed protein construct. Several regions of the protein could not be modelled due to discontinuous electron density, including much of the ARC1 subdomain, and the solvent-exposed  $\alpha$ -helices of the NB domain. Submission of this model to the DALI server [67] returns APAF-1 as the major structural homologue, despite sharing little (24%) sequence identity (Fig 5C). Side-by-side comparison of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain structure with the corresponding region from APAF-1 highlights the overall structural similarity in subdomain organisation (Fig 5A and 5B).

#### Table 2. X-ray data collection and refinement statistics for the native and SeMet NRC1 NB-ARC domain.

	NRC1 NBARC	NRC1 NBARC
	Selenomethionine	Native
Data collection statistics		
Wavelength (Å)	0.9797	0.9795
Space group	P6 <sub>4</sub>	P64
Cell dimensions		
a, b, c (Å)	110.50, 110.50, 53.55	110.52, 110.52, 53.34
Resolution (Å)*	38.45-3.03 (3.08-3.03)	50.00-2.50 (2.60-2.50)
R <sub>merge</sub> (%)	11.0 (217.7)	5.4 (73.6)
Ι/σΙ	23.6 (1.9)	24.0 (3.5)
Completeness (%)		
Overall	100 (100)	100 (100)
Anomalous	100 (5.1)	
Unique reflections	7415 (372)	13066 (1463)
Redundancy		
Overall	36.8 (25.2)	10.3 (10.3)
Anomalous	19.2 (13.0)	
CC(1/2) (%)	100 (71.0)	100 (74.5)
Refinement and model statistics		
Resolution (Å)*		50.00-2.50 (2.60-2.50)
$R_{\rm work}/R_{\rm free}$ (%)		30.5 (40.1)/33.7 (45.0)
No. atoms		2081
Protein		2054
Ligand		27
B-factors		
Protein		83.6
Ligand		62.4
R.m.s deviations		
Bond lengths (Å)		0.002
Bond angles (°)		0.487
Ramachandran plot (%)**		
Favoured		92.6
Outliers		1.3
MolProbity Score		1.71 (99 <sup>th</sup> percentile)

\*The highest resolution shell is shown in parentheses

\*\*As calculated by MolProbity

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221226.t002

## ADP is bound in the nucleotide binding pocket of NRC1 NB-ARC domain

The electron density within the nucleotide-binding pocket of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain, and the surrounding regions, was well resolved and allowed us to unambiguously position a molecule of ADP, and the side-chains of interacting residues. These included lysine 191 of the Walker A (P-loop) motif, aspartate 267 and 268 of the Walker B motifs, and histidine 480 of the MHD motif (Fig 6A).

Using PDBe PISA [69], we defined a network of side-chain and main-chain interactions between the bound ADP and the protein (Fig 6B, Table 3). This network includes lysine 191 (P-loop motif) and histidine 480 (MHD motif), as well as hydrophobic interactions from the GLPL motif, and other surrounding residues, resulting in a ligand-binding pocket with over



**Fig 5. Structure of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain compared to APAF-1.** Domain organisation of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain (a) and the equivalent region of APAF-1 (b, PDB: 126t). Subdomains are colour-coded showing the nucleotide binding (NB) region in blue, ARC1 in green, and ARC2 in lilac. The subdomains show similar arrangements despite the low sequence identity outside of conserved functional motifs such as the Walker-B motif aligned to the "β3" strand of APAF-1 (c) Structure-based sequence alignment produced by ESPript [68].

90% of the ADP surface area buried within the protein. The result of this extensive interaction surface is a binding pocket partially occluded by the positioning of the ARC2 subdomain, suggesting structural rearrangements will be required to allow nucleotide release (Fig 6C).

Despite some regions of the refined electron density being well-resolved, the crystallographic R-factors for the final model remained high compared to other structures determined at similar resolutions. To help validate our model, we used the X-ray data set collected from SeMet-labelled protein crystals to generate an unbiased, experimentally-derived electron density map into which we docked the final model. We observed excellent correspondence of the docked model with this map (Fig 7). We were also able to position methionine residues at the experimentally determined heavy atom sites. Finally, electron density in this map confirmed the position of the bound ADP.

#### Discussion

Plant NLRs are a key focus of research into plant-pathogen interactions due to their use in reducing pre-harvest crop losses to disease. Generalised models for plant NLR function and regulation have been proposed, primarily based on bioinformatic and *in vivo* studies [36,37]. Recent biochemical investigations have validated many of these predictions, and have developed a more mechanistic understanding of NLR regulation and activation [28,39,70,71]. Advances in our knowledge of NLR structures and their biochemical activities have relied on the limited number of NLRs where purified protein could be produced. The availability of new NLR proteins for in vitro study has generally led to novel insights into NLR behaviour [29,72,73].



**Fig 6.** The NRC1 NB-ARC domain binds ADP. a) The ligand binding site of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain showing ADP modelled in the electron density from the final refinement. The electron density coloured blue is the 2Fo-Fc map (contoured at  $1.7\sigma$ ), and electron density coloured green is the Fo-Fc map (contoured at  $3.0\sigma$ ), as output by Phenix with the atoms of ADP set to an occupancy of zero. Also shown are histidine 480 of the MHD motif, lysine 191 of the Walker A motif, and aspartate 267 and aspartate 268 of the Walker B motif. b) Dashed lines depicting the interactions between protein and bound ADP, as identified by PDBe PISA [69]. c) Surface representation of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain, with regions forming contacts with ADP highlighted in dark blue. The NB-ARC sub-domains are coloured as in Fig 5.

The expression, purification, and initial biophysical characterisation of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain demonstrated it was suitable for further biochemical and structural experiments. Using this purified NRC1 NB-ARC domain we have confirmed the hypothesised structural homology between a plant and animal NB-ARC domains [74]. The similarity of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain model to the equivalent region of APAF-1 validates the previous use of APAF-1 crystal structures to model and explain plant NLR mutant phenotypes [39,49,53].

Analysis of the nucleotide binding pocket of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain shows the presence of many residues from conserved NTPase motifs (Walker A, Walker B), as well as conserved NLR motifs that have established roles in protein regulation (GLPL, hhGRExE [49], and MHD). Further, the structure confirms that the NRC1 NB-ARC domain co-purifies with ADP suggesting that, like their animal counterparts, plant NLRs have high affinity for adenosine ligands and likely have either intrinsic ATPase activity, or have a binding preference for di-phosphate over tri-phosphate ligands. Low rates of ATP hydrolysis, and difficulties detecting ATPase activity, have previously been observed with studies of other NB-ARC proteins

NRC1 residue	Atom	Ligand atom	Distance (Å)	Motif	
Valine 158	0	N <sub>6</sub>	2.9	hhGRExE	
	N	N <sub>1</sub>	3.4		
Glycine 188	N	O <sub>3</sub> B	3.1	GLPL	
Glycine 190	N	$O_2B, O_3A$	3.1, 3.0	GLPL	
Lysine191	N	O <sub>2</sub> B	2.9	Walker A	
	Νζ	O <sub>2</sub> B	2.4		
Threonine 192	Ν	O <sub>1</sub> B	2.5	Walker A	
	Ογ1	O <sub>1</sub> B	2.8		
Threonine 193	N	O1A	3.1	Walker A	
	Ογ1	O <sub>1</sub> A	3.1		
Arginine 326	NH <sub>2</sub>	N <sub>3</sub>	3.1	RNBS-C	
Histidine 480	Νε2	O <sub>3</sub> B	3.2	MHD	

Table 3. Hydrogen bond interactions between the NRC1 NB-ARC domain and bound	ADP, as defined	by PDBe PISA [69]
------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	-------------------

[40,66,75], and thus may constitute a regulatory mechanism for this family of proteins. As the NRC1 NBARC domain co-purifies with bound ADP, and not as apo-protein, it has not been possible to quantify ligand binding affinities. Most likely NB-ARC domains will be inherently unstable as apo-proteins, or will require additional factors (such as the presence of additional NLR domains, or external factors) to trigger ADP release.

The NRC1 NB-ARC domain structure in the vicinity of the bound ADP is unambiguously defined by the electron density, as are the relative orientations of the NB, ARC1 and ARC2



**Fig 7. Experimentally-derived electron density map.** a) Final model of the NRC1 NB-ARC domain, docked into the experimental electron density map. b) ADP docked into the experimental electron density map within the NB-ARC ligand binding site. The NB-ARC sub-domains are coloured as in Fig 5.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221226.g007

domains. However, due to the presence of other unresolved regions in the model, we suggest caution in interpreting additional details of the structure. Nevertheless, this limitation does not prevent analysis of the oligomerisation state of the protein in the crystal. In animal NLRs, NB-ARC domains form key interfaces with each other, contributing to the formation of inflammasomes [76,77]. Here, the NRC1 NB-ARC domain behaves as a monomer in solution and packing in the crystal lattice does not suggest a functionally relevant oligomerisation state. It is likely that other NLR domains, or structural rearrangements on activation, are necessary for NB-ARC domain oligomerisation.

We have identified methods for producing a soluble, stable plant NLR NB-ARC domain to allow in vitro investigation of its properties. This resource, and our data, demonstrates how structural and biochemical studies can improve our knowledge of NB-ARC domain functions. Ultimately, in vitro studies of full-length plant NLRs will be required to place these new findings in a more biological context, and give a more global understanding of plant NLR regulation.

#### Materials and methods

#### **Construct design**

Initial bioinformatics analysis to identify a likely NB-ARC domain was performed by submitting the NRC1 ORF to the Pfam server [51,52]. The extent of the LRR and coiled-coil domains were predicted using LRR Finder [54] and LRRsearch [55], and CCHMM [58] and MAR-COILS [59]. Prediction of secondary structure elements used PHYRE2 [56] and was supplemented with disorder predictions using RONN [57]. Sequence comparison between the NRC1 NB-ARC domain and structurally analogous region of APAF-1 was performed using ESPript [88], with the structure of APAF-1 (PDB 1z6t) manually trimmed using COOT [78].

#### Cloning

Wild-type NRC1 NB-ARC domain was amplified by PCR to include compatible ends for Infusion cloning using forward primer: AAGTTCTGTTTCAGGGCCCGCCTGTGGTTGAGGAAGAT GATGTGG and reverse primer: ATGGTCTAGAAAGCTTTACTCTGTCGTAGCCTCTTGCCAGC. The 1078 bp region was then cloned into pOPIN-S3C, which encodes a cleavable N-terminal 6xHis-SUMO tag, and pOPIN-F, which encodes a cleavable N-terminal 6xHis tag, as per manufacturer's instructions (Clontech). Mutant sequences were synthesised by Genscript and cloned into pOPIN-S3C and pOPIN-F as above.

#### **Protein expression**

Lemo21(DE3) (NEB) *E. coli* cells transformed with the NRC1 NB-ARC pOPIN-S3C plasmid were grown in LB media with 100 µg/mL carbenicillin at 37 °C for 16 hrs with constant shaking. 20 mL of culture was used to inoculate one litre Powerbroth (Molecular Dimensions). Cultures were grown at 37 °C until an  $OD_{600nm}$  0.5–0.7 was reached and then rapidly chilled on ice prior to induction of expression with 1mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). Following induction, cultures were grown at 18 °C for 16 hrs, and harvested by centrifugation at 3500g for 10 mins. Pellets were then stored at -80 °C until use.

Insect cell-derived pellets expressing pOPIN-F:NRC1 NB-ARC were produced by baculovirus-mediated transformation of *Sf9* cells by the Oxford Protein Production Facility and stored at -80°C until use.

#### Protein purification, E. coli

Bacterial cell pellets were re-suspended in lysis buffer (50mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 50mM glycine, 5% glycerol, 500mM NaCl, 20mM imidazole, EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche)) and lysed by sonication. The extract was clarified by centrifugation at 37,000g for 30 mins at 4°C. Immobilised metal ion affinity chromatography (IMAC) was performed using HisTrap FF columns (GE Healthcare) pre-equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 50 mM glycine, 5% glycerol, 500 mM NaCl and 20 mM imidazole. Single-step elution was performed by the addition of the same buffer supplemented with 500 mM imidazole. Eluate was then directly loaded onto a HiLoad Superdex 75 26/60 preparative gel filtration column, pre-equilibrated with A4 buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl). 8 mL fractions were collected and assessed by SDS-PAGE.

#### Protein purification, insect cells

Cell pellets were resuspended in 50mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 30 mM imidazole, 0.2% v/v TWEEN20 and EDTA-free protease inhibitor tablets and lysed using a cell disruptor (Constant Systems Ltd) at 14,000 psi. Following lysis, 10–50 µL Benzonase was added, and incubated at room temperature for 15 mins. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 30,000g for 30–40 mins at 4°C. The supernatant was passed through 0.45 µm filters (Sartorius), with additional incubation with Benzonase if required, before loading onto a 5 mL His-Trap FF column pre-equilibrated with equilibration buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, and 30 mM imidazole). Bound proteins were eluted with equilibration buffer including 500 mM imidazole. The eluate was then loaded directly onto a Superdex S75 gel filtration column pre-equilibrated with 30 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, and 1 mM TCEP. Buffer was then exchanged for 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5 with 150 mM NaCl either by gel filtration following N-terminal tag cleavage, or by concentration and dilution.

## Generation of selenomethionine protein

*E. coli* carrying the pOPIN-S3C:NRC1 NB-ARC plasmid were grown overnight in minimal media consisting of M9 (0.6% w/v Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.3% w/v KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.05% w/v NaCl, 0.1% w/v NH<sub>4</sub>Cl), 0.4% w/v glucose, 2 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.8% w/v each valine, phenylalanine, isoleucine, leucine, glutamate, lysine, arginine, serine, threonine, tyrosine, histidine, glutamine, tryptophan, 0.001% thiamine, supplemented with carbenicillin. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 1900g for 10 mins and washed twice in 20 mL M9 plus carbenicillin, before being re-suspended in 20 mL M9 with carbenicillin. This was used to inoculate 2 L of minimal media, and cultures incubated at 37°C for 6–8 hrs with constant shaking. When cells reached an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.3, 0.01% w/v threonine, lysine, phenylalanine, 0.005% w/v leucine, isoleucine, valine, and 0.006% w/v selenomethionine was added. Cells were grown for a further 45 mins at 37°C before induction overnight at 18°C, initiated by the addition of 1 mM IPTG.

#### N-terminal tag removal

N-terminal 6xHis-SUMO (pOPIN-S3C) and N-terminal 6xHis (pOPIN-F) tags were removed by incubation with 3C protease overnight at 4°C. Protease and cleaved tag were then removed by subtractive IMAC prior to gel-filtration.

### Protein quantification

For crystallisation, analytical gel filtration, and CD, protein concentrations were determined using absorbance at 280 nm with a Nanodrop spectrophotometer. For ATPase assays and

ligand quantification, concentrations were determined using infrared absorbance using a Direct Detect.

#### Crystallisation

Sitting-drop vapour-diffusion experiments identified suitable crystallisation conditions for native protein from the PACT screen (QIAGEN), with optimisation identifying the best conditions for crystal formation as 6 mg/mL protein combined 1:1 with 8% PEG 1500, 0.1 M MMT (MES, malic acid and Tris,) pH 4.5. Crystals typically formed in under 48 hrs. Selenomethionine-derivative protein was crystallised in 0.1 M MMT buffer pH 5.0 with 15% PEG 1500. Crystals were cryoprotected in mother liquor with the addition of 20% ethylene glycol.

## Data collection and processing

Data were collected at Diamond Lightsource (UK) beamlines I02 (native) and I04 (SeMet) under beamline proposal mx7641. Data were processed using the Xia2 pipeline [79] using XDS [80] (native data), DIALS [81] (SeMet), POINTLESS [82,83] and AIMLESS. The structure was determined by SAD using the PHENIX AutoSol pipeline [84], and structure building was performed in COOT [78]. Refinement was performed with Refmac5 [83,85] and PHENIX, and validation performed with tools in PHENIX, COOT and MOLPROBITY [86].

## Analytical gel filtration

Analytical gel filtration was performed using a Superdex S75 10/300 GL column equilibrated in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5 and 150 mM NaCl. A standard curve was produced using a low-molecular weight calibration kit (GE Healthcare) for molecular weight estimation. Protein was diluted to 50  $\mu$ M in equilibration buffer prior to loading 100  $\mu$ L onto the column.

#### Differential scanning fluorimetry

DSF was performed as described in Walden *et al.* 2014 [87]. Briefly, assays used a Bio-Rad CFX96 real-time thermal cycler with a thermal range from 20°C to 90°C, increasing by 1°C per minute. Fluorescence readings were taken every 0.2°C interval. Protein was prepared by dilution to 1 mg/mL in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.5, with 5  $\mu$ L of dilution added to 45  $\mu$ L reaction buffer (40  $\mu$ L 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.5 plus 5  $\mu$ L 25x Sypro Orange (Invitrogen)). Assays were performed four times in 96-well plates, with five technical replicates per plate. Analysis was performed as described by Walden *et al.* 2014.

#### **Circular dichroism**

Circular dichroism was performed using a Chirascan Plus instrument (Applied Photophysics). Protein was diluted in 20 mM sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.5 to a concentration of 0.1 mg/ mL. Spectra were taken from 180 to 260 nm at 20°C in 0.5nm steps with four readings taken per step, averaged and buffer subtracted. Spectra at 20°C were taken from two independent purifications. Table 1 shows estimated secondary structure content from representative spectrum as analysed using CONTIN on the DichroWeb server [63,64].

#### Ligand quantification

ADP and ATP quantification assays were modified from Maekawa et al. [29] and Williams et al. [40]. Bound nucleotide was released from the protein by denaturation followed by centrifugation (which pellets unfolded protein). The resulting supernatant was then divided equally and either directly incubated in the luciferase assay (to determine the ATP bound fraction), or first treated with pyruvate kinase to convert ADP to ATP prior to incubation (ADP bound fraction was determined by subtracting the ATP calculated in the untreated sample from that of the treated sample). Here,  $15-20 \,\mu\text{M}$  protein was boiled in triplicate for 7 mins and centrifuged at 16000g for 12 mins at 4°C to precipitate denatured protein. The supernatant was removed and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen until used. To convert released ADP to ATP for quantification, supernatant was diluted 1 in 10 in pyruvate kinase reaction buffer (85 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 1.7 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> 3.5 mM phosphoenolpyruvate, 23U pyruvate kinase (Sigma-Aldrich) for 30 mins at room temperature. To quantify co-purifying ATP, protein extract was treated as above, with pyruvate kinase replaced by an equal volume of 50% glycerol. Reactions were stopped by heating to 95°C for 7 mins and centrifuged at 16000g for 12 mins. 100 µL of supernatant was added to an equal volume of ATP bioluminescent assay buffer (Sigma Aldrich cat. FLAA) and photon emissions read immediately using a Clariostar plate reader (BMG). Each assay included an internal control of ADP, which underwent identical treatment to allow reference to a standard curve of ATP. Assays were performed three times and normalised by buffer subtraction and calibration with the internal standard. Data from all the experiments are presented as box plots, generated using R v3.4.3 (https://www.r-project.org/) and the graphic package ggplot2 [88]. The centre line represents the median, the box top and bottom limits are the upper and lower quartiles, the whiskers are the 1.5× interquartile range and all data points are represented as dots.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Dr Simon Williams for advice performing ligand quantification assays, Dr Miriam Walden for advice performing DSF and CD analysis, and the Oxford Protein Production facility for expression screening and insect cell expression. We also thank Drs Adam Bentham and Marina Franceschetti for help preparing the manuscript.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization: John F. C. Steele, Richard K. Hughes, Mark J. Banfield.

Investigation: John F. C. Steele, Richard K. Hughes.

Methodology: John F. C. Steele, Mark J. Banfield.

Project administration: Mark J. Banfield.

Supervision: Richard K. Hughes, Mark J. Banfield.

Validation: John F. C. Steele, Mark J. Banfield.

Writing - original draft: John F. C. Steele, Mark J. Banfield.

Writing - review & editing: John F. C. Steele, Richard K. Hughes, Mark J. Banfield.

#### References

- 1. Hematy K, Cherk C, Somerville S. Host-pathogen warfare at the plant cell wall. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2009; 12: 406–413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.06.007 PMID: 19616468
- 2. Lamb C, Dixon RA. The oxidative burst in plant disease resistance. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol. 1997; 48: 251–275. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.48.1.251 PMID: 15012264
- Fujiwara S, Tanaka N, Kaneda T, Takayama S, Isogai A, Che FS. Rice cDNA microarray-based gene expression profiling of the response to flagellin perception in cultured rice cells. Mol Plant Microbe Interact. 2004; 17: 986–998. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2004.17.9.986 PMID: 15384489

- Baureithel K, Felix G, Boller T. Specific, High-Affinity Binding of Chitin Fragments to Tomato Cells and Membranes—Competitive-Inhibition of Binding by Derivatives of Chitooligosaccharides and a Nod Factor of Rhizobium. J Biol Chem. 1994; 269: 17931–17938. PMID: 8027050
- Barber MS, Bertram RE, Ride JP. Chitin Oligosaccharides Elicit Lignification in Wounded Wheat Leaves. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol. 1989; 34: 3–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/0885-5765(89)90012-X
- Gomez-Gomez L, Boller T. FLS2: An LRR receptor-like kinase involved in the perception of the bacterial elicitor flagellin in Arabidopsis. Mol Cell. 2000; 5: 1003–1011. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(00) 80265-8 PMID: 10911994
- Felix G, Duran JD, Volko S, Boller T. Plants have a sensitive perception system for the most conserved domain of bacterial flagellin. Plant J. 1999; 18: 265–276. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.1999.</u> 00265.x PMID: 10377992
- Flor HH. Host-Parasite Interaction in Flax Rust—Its Genetics and Other Implications. Phytopathology. 1955; 45: 680–685.
- 9. Flor HH. Inheritance of pathogenicity in Melampsora lini. Phytopathology. 1942; 32: 653–669.
- Xiang TT, Zong N, Zou Y, Wu Y, Zhang J, Xing WM, et al. Pseudomonas syringae effector AvrPto blocks innate immunity by targeting receptor kinases. Curr Biol. 2008; 18: 74–80. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cub.2007.12.020</u> PMID: <u>18158241</u>
- Zhang J, Shao F, Cui H, Chen LJ, Li HT, Zou Y, et al. A Pseudomonas syringae effector inactivates MAPKs to suppress PAMP-Induced immunity in plants. Cell Host Microbe. 2007; 1: 175–185. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2007.03.006 PMID: 18005697
- Li XY, Lin HQ, Zhang WG, Zou Y, Zhang J, Tang XY, et al. Flagellin induces innate immunity in nonhost interactions that is suppressed by Pseudomonas syringae effectors. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102: 12990–12995. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0502425102 PMID: 16123135
- King SRF, McLellan H, Boevink PC, Armstrong MR, Bukharova T, Sukarta O, et al. Phytophthora infestans RXLR Effector PexRD2 Interacts with Host MAPKKK epsilon to Suppress Plant Immune Signaling. Plant Cell. 2014; 26: 1345–1359. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.113.120055 PMID: 24632534
- Dodds PN, Lawrence GJ, Catanzariti AM, Teh T, Wang CIA, Ayliffe MA, et al. Direct protein interaction underlies gene-for-gene specificity and coevolution of the flax resistance genes and flax rust avirulence genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006; 103: 8888–8893. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0602577103 PMID: 16731621
- Ortiz D, de Guillen K, Cesari S, Chalvon V, Gracy J, Padilla A, et al. Recognition of the Magnaporthe oryzae Effector AVR-Pia by the Decoy Domain of the Rice NLR Immune Receptor RGA5. Plant Cell. 2017; 29: 156–168. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00435 PMID: 28087830
- Maqbool A, Saitoh H, Franceschetti M, Stevenson CEM, Uemura A, Kanzaki H, et al. Structural basis of pathogen recognition by an integrated HMA domain in a plant NLR immune receptor. Elife. 2015; 4. doi: ARTN e08709 https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.08709 PMID: 26304198
- Shao F, Golstein C, Ade J, Stoutemyer M, Dixon JE, Innes RW. Cleavage of Arabidopsis PBS1 by a bacterial type III effector. Science (80-). 2003; 301: 1230–1233. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.</u> 1085671 PMID: 12947197
- Axtell MJ, Staskawicz BJ. Initiation of RPS2-specified disease resistance in Arabidopsis is coupled to the AvrRpt2-directed elimination of RIN4. Cell. 2003; 112: 369–377. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(03)00036-9</u> PMID: 12581526
- **19.** Stakman EC. Relation between puccinia graminis and plants highly resistant to its attack. J Agric Res. 1915; 4: 193–199.
- Meyers BC, Dickerman AW, Michelmore RW, Sivaramakrishnan S, Sobral BW, Young ND. Plant disease resistance genes encode members of an ancient and diverse protein family within the nucleotidebinding superfamily. Plant J. 1999; 20: 317–332. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.1999.00606.x</u> PMID: 10571892
- Nimchuk Z, Eulgem T, Holt BE, Dangl JL. Recognition and response in the plant immune system. Annu Rev Genet. 2003; 37: 579–609. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.genet.37.110801.142628 PMID: 14616074
- Maekawa T, Kufer TA, Schulze-Lefert P. NLR functions in plant and animal immune systems: so far and yet so close. Nat Immunol. 2011; 12: 818–826. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2083 PMID: 21852785
- Jones JDG, Vance RE, Dangl JL. Intracellular innate immune surveillance devices in plants and animals. Science (80-). 2016; 354: aaf6395. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf6395 PMID: 27934708
- Mogensen TH. Pathogen Recognition and Inflammatory Signaling in Innate Immune Defenses. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2009; 22: 240–273. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00046-08 PMID: 19366914

- Cesari S, Bernoux M, Moncuquet P, Kroj T, Dodds PN. A novel conserved mechanism for plant NLR protein pairs: the "integrated decoy" hypothesis. Front Plant Sci. 2014; 5: 606. doi:UNSP 606 <a href="https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00606">https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00606</a> PMID: 25506347
- 26. Deslandes L, Olivier J, Theulieres F, Hirsch J, Feng DX, Bittner-Eddy P, et al. Resistance to Ralstonia solanacearum in Arabidopsis thaliana is conferred by the recessive RRS1-R gene, a member of a novel family of resistance genes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002; 99: 2404–2409. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.032485099 PMID: 11842188</u>
- Xu YW, Tao X, Shen BH, Horng T, Medzhitov R, Manley JL, et al. Structural basis for signal transduction by the Toll/interleukin-1 receptor domains. Nature. 2000; 408: 111–115. https://doi.org/10.1038/ 35040600 PMID: 11081518
- Bernoux M, Ve T, Williams S, Warren C, Hatters D, Valkov E, et al. Structural and Functional Analysis of a Plant Resistance Protein TIR Domain Reveals Interfaces for Self-Association, Signaling, and Autoregulation. Cell Host Microbe. 2011; 9: 200–211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.02.009 PMID: 21402359
- Maekawa T, Cheng W, Spiridon LN, Toller A, Lukasik E, Saijo Y, et al. Coiled-Coil Domain-Dependent Homodimerization of Intracellular Barley Immune Receptors Defines a Minimal Functional Module for Triggering Cell Death. Cell Host Microbe. 2011; 9: 187–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2011.02. 008 PMID: 21402358
- Wang GF, Ji JB, Ei-Kasmi F, Dangl JL, Johal G, Balint-Kurti PJ. Molecular and Functional Analyses of a Maize Autoactive NB-LRR Protein Identify Precise Structural Requirements for Activity. Plos Pathog. 2015; 11. doi:UNSP e1004674 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004674 PMID: 25719542
- **31.** Jones DA, Jones JDG. The role of leucine-rich repeat proteins in plant defences. Adv Bot Res Inc Adv Plant Pathol Vol 24. 1997; 24: 89–167.
- Kobe B, Kajava A V. The leucine-rich repeat as a protein recognition motif. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 2001; 11: 725–732. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-440x(01)00266-4 PMID: 11751054
- Qi D, DeYoung BJ, Innes RW. Structure-Function Analysis of the Coiled-Coil and Leucine-Rich Repeat Domains of the RPS5 Disease Resistance Protein. Plant Physiol. 2012; 158: 1819–1832. <u>https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.112.194035</u> PMID: 22331412
- Bendahmane A, Farnham G, Moffett P, Baulcombe DC. Constitutive gain-of-function mutants in a nucleotide binding site-leucine rich repeat protein encoded at the Rx locus of potato. Plant J. 2002; 32: 195–204. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.2002.01413.x PMID: 12383085
- Tameling WIL, Vossen JH, Albrecht M, Lengauer T, Berden JA, Haring MA, et al. Mutations in the NB-ARC domain of I-2 that impair ATP hydrolysis cause autoactivation. Plant Physiol. 2006; 140: 1233– 1245. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.105.073510 PMID: 16489136
- Takken FLW, Albrecht M, Tameling WIL. Resistance proteins: molecular switches of plant defence. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2006; 9: 383–390. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2006.05.009 PMID: 16713729
- Takken FLW, Goverse A. How to build a pathogen detector: structural basis of NB-LRR function. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2012; 15: 375–384. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2012.05.001 PMID: 22658703
- Lelpe DD, Koonin E V, Aravind L. STAND, a class of P-loop NTPases including animal and plant regulators of programmed cell death: Multiple, complex domain architectures, unusual phyletic patterns, and evolution by horizontal gene transfer. J Mol Biol. 2004; 343: 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2004. 08.023 PMID: 15381417
- Tameling WIL, Elzinga SDJ, Darmin PS, Vossen JH, Takken FLW, Haring MA, et al. The tomato R gene products I-2 and Mi-1 are functional ATP binding proteins with ATPase activity. Plant Cell. 2002; 14: 2929–2939. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.005793 PMID: 12417711
- Williams SJ, Sornaraj P, deCourcy-Ireland E, Menz RI, Kobe B, Ellis JG, et al. An Autoactive Mutant of the M Flax Rust Resistance Protein Has a Preference for Binding ATP, Whereas Wild-Type M Protein Binds ADP. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact. 2011; 24: 897–906. <u>https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-03-11-0052</u> PMID: 21539434
- Hu ZH, Yan CY, Liu PY, Huang ZW, Ma R, Zhang CL, et al. Crystal Structure of NLRC4 Reveals Its Autoinhibition Mechanism. Science (80-). 2013; 341: 172–175. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.</u> 1236381 PMID: 23765277
- Riedl SJ, Li WY, Chao Y, Schwarzenbacher R, Shi YG. Structure of the apoptotic protease-activating factor 1 bound to ADP. Nature. 2005; 434: 926–933. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03465</u> PMID: 15829969
- 43. Yan N, Chai JJ, Lee ES, Gu LC, Liu Q, He JQ, et al. Structure of the CED-4-CED-9 complex provides insights into programmed cell death in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature. 2005; 437: 831–837. https:// doi.org/10.1038/nature04002 PMID: 16208361

- Fenyk S, Campillo AD, Pohl E, Hussey PJ, Cann MJ. A Nucleotide Phosphatase Activity in the Nucleotide Binding Domain of an Orphan Resistance Protein from Rice. J Biol Chem. 2012; 287: 4023–4032. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.314450 PMID: 22157756
- 45. DeYoung BJ, Innes RW. Plant NBS-LRR proteins in pathogen sensing and host defense. Nat Immunol. 2006; 7: 1243–1249. https://doi.org/10.1038/ni1410 PMID: 17110940
- McHale L, Tan XP, Koehl P, Michelmore RW. Plant NBS-LRR proteins: adaptable guards. Genome Biol. 2006; 7. doi:ARTN 212 https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2006-7-4-212 PMID: 16677430
- Chen XR, Zhang BY, Xing YP, Li QY, Li YP, Tong YH, et al. Transcriptomic analysis of the phytopathogenic oomycete Phytophthora cactorum provides insights into infection-related effectors. BMC Genomics. 2014; 15. doi:Artn 980 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-15-980 PMID: 25406848
- **48.** Hanson PI, Whiteheart SW. AAA+ proteins: Have engine, will work. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2005; 6: 519–529. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1684 PMID: 16072036
- 49. Sueldo DJ, Shimels M, Spiridon LN, Caldararu O, Petrescu AJ, Joosten MHAJ, et al. Random mutagenesis of the nucleotide-binding domain of NRC1 (NB-LRR Required for Hypersensitive Response-Associated Cell Death-1), a downstream signalling nucleotide-binding, leucine-rich repeat (NB-LRR) protein, identifies gain-of-function mutations in t. New Phytol. 2015; 208: 210–223. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph. 13459 PMID: 26009937
- Gabriels SHEJ Vossen JH, Ekengren SK, van Ooijen G, Abd-El-Haliem AM, van den Berg GCM, et al. An NB-LRR protein required for HR signalling mediated by both extra- and intracellular resistance proteins. Plant J. 2007; 50: 14–28. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03027.x PMID: 17346268
- Finn RD, Bateman A, Clements J, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, et al. Pfam: the protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42: D222–D230. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1223 PMID: 24288371
- Finn RD, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J, Mitchell AL, et al. The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016; 44: D279–D285. https://doi.org/10. 1093/nar/gkv1344 PMID: 26673716
- Van Ooijen G, Mayr G, Kasiem MMA, Albrecht M, Cornelissen BJC, Takken FLW. Structure-function analysis of the NB-ARC domain of plant disease resistance proteins. J Exp Bot. 2008; 59: 1383–1397. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ern045 PMID: 18390848
- Offord V, Coffey TJ, Werling D. LRRfinder: A web application for the identification of leucine-rich repeats and an integrative Toll-like receptor database. Dev Comp Immunol. 2010; 34: 1035–1041. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.dci.2010.05.004 PMID: 20470819
- 55. Bej A, Sahoo BR, Swain B, Basu M, Jayasankar P, Samanta M. LRRsearch: An asynchronous serverbased application for the prediction of leucine-rich repeat motifs and an integrative database of NODlike receptors. Comput Biol Med. 2014; 53: 164–170. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2014.07</u>. 016 PMID: 25150822
- Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass MN, Sternberg MJE. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat Protoc. 2015; 10: 845–858. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.053</u> PMID: 25950237
- 57. Yang ZR, Thomson R, McNeil P, Esnouf RM. RONN: the bio-basis function neural network technique applied to the detection of natively disordered regions in proteins. Bioinformatics. 2005; 21: 3369–3376. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti534 PMID: 15947016
- Fariselli P, Molinini D, Casadio R, Krogh A. Prediction of structurally-determined coiled-coil domains with hidden Markov models. Lect Notes Comput Sci. 2007; 4414: 292–302.
- Delorenzi M, Speed T. An HMM model for coiled-coil domains and a comparison with PSSM-based predictions. Bioinformatics. 2002; 18: 617–625. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/18.4.617</u> PMID: 12016059
- Berrow NS, Alderton D, Sainsbury S, Nettleship J, Assenberg R, Rahman N, et al. A versatile ligationindependent cloning method suitable for high-throughput expression screening applications. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007; 35. doi:ARTN e45 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm047 PMID: 17317681
- Tatzelt J, Prusiner SB, Welch WJ. Chemical chaperones interfere with the formation of scrapie prion protein. Embo J. 1996; 15: 6363–6373. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1996.tb01027.x PMID: 8978663
- Georgiou G, Valax P. Expression of correctly folded proteins in Escherichia coli. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 1996; 7: 190–197. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0958-1669(96)80012-7 PMID: 8791338
- Whitmore L, Wallace BA. Protein secondary structure analyses from circular dichroism spectroscopy: Methods and reference databases. Biopolymers. 2008; 89: 392–400. https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.20853 PMID: 17896349

- Whitmore L, Wallace BA. DICHROWEB, an online server for protein secondary structure analyses from circular dichroism spectroscopic data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2004; 32: W668–W673. https://doi.org/10. 1093/nar/gkh371 PMID: 15215473
- Martino L, Holland L, Christodoulou E, Kunzelmann S, Esposito D, Rittinger K. The Biophysical Characterisation and SAXS Analysis of Human NLRP1 Uncover a New Level of Complexity of NLR Proteins. PLoS One. 2016; 11. doi:ARTN e0164662 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0164662</u> PMID: 27727326
- 66. Jiang XJ, Wang XD. Cytochrome c promotes caspase-9 activation by inducing nucleotide binding to Apaf-1. J Biol Chem. 2000; 275: 31199–31203. <u>https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C000405200</u> PMID: 10940292
- Holm L, Laakso LM. Dali server update. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016; 44: W351–W355. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw357 PMID: 27131377</u>
- Robert X, Gouet P. Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014; 42: W320–W324. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku316 PMID: 24753421
- Krissinel E, Henrick K. Inference of macromolecular assemblies from crystalline state. J Mol Biol. 2007; 372: 774–797. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2007.05.022 PMID: 17681537
- 70. Williams SJ, Sohn KH, Wan L, Bernoux M, Sarris PF, Segonzac C, et al. Structural Basis for Assembly and Function of a Heterodimeric Plant Immune Receptor. Science (80-). 2014; 344: 299–303. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1247357</u> PMID: 24744375
- 71. Bai SW, Liu J, Chang C, Zhang L, Maekawa T, Wang QY, et al. Structure-Function Analysis of Barley NLR Immune Receptor MLA10 Reveals Its Cell Compartment Specific Activity in Cell Death and Disease Resistance. Plos Pathog. 2012; 8. doi:ARTN e1002752 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat. 1002752 PMID: 22685408
- 72. Hao W, Collier SM, Moffett P, Chai JJ. Structural Basis for the Interaction between the Potato Virus X Resistance Protein (Rx) and Its Cofactor Ran GTPase-activating Protein 2 (RanGAP2). J Biol Chem. 2013; 288: 35868–35876. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.517417 PMID: 24194517
- 73. Casey LW, Lavrencic P, Bentham AR, Cesari S, Ericsson DJ, Croll T, et al. The CC domain structure from the wheat stem rust resistance protein Sr33 challenges paradigms for dimerization in plant NLR proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2016; 113: 12856–12861. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.</u> 1609922113 PMID: 27791121
- 74. Bentham A, Burdett H, Anderson PA, Williams SJ, Kobe B. Animal NLRs provide structural insights into plant NLR function. Ann Bot. 2017; 119: 689–702. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcw171</u> PMID: 27562749
- 75. Kim HE, Du FH, Fang M, Wang XD. Formation of apoptosome is initiated by cytochrome c-induced dATP hydrolysis and subsequent nucleotide exchange on Apaf-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102: 17545–17550. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507900102 PMID: 16251271
- 76. Zhou MY, Li YN, Hu Q, Bai XC, Huang WY, Yan CY, et al. Atomic structure of the apoptosome: mechanism of cytochrome c- and dATP-mediated activation of Apaf-1. Genes Dev. 2015; 29: 2349–2361. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.272278.115 PMID: 26543158
- 77. Yuan SJ, Yu XC, Topf M, Dorstyn L, Kumar S, Ludtke SJ, et al. Structure of the Drosophila Apoptosome at 6.9 angstrom Resolution. Structure. 2011; 19: 128–140. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2010.10.009</u> PMID: 21220123
- Emsley P, Cowtan K. Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. Acta Crystallogr Sect D-Biological Crystallogr. 2004; 60: 2126–2132. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444904019158 PMID: 15572765
- 79. Winter G, Lobley CMC, Prince SM. Decision making in xia2. Acta Crystallogr Sect D-Biological Crystallogr. 2013; 69: 1260–1273. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444913015308 PMID: 23793152
- Kabsch W.. Xds Acta Crystallogr Sect D-Biological Crystallogr. 2010; 66: 125–132. <u>https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909047337 PMID: 20124692</u>
- Waterman GW J D. G.. M. Parkhurst J. Hattne, A. Brewster, N. K. Sauter, G. Evans LF-M. The DIALS framework for integration software. CCP4 Newsl Protein Crystallogr. 2013; 49: 16–19.
- Evans P. Scaling and assessment of data quality. Acta Crystallogr Sect D-Structural Biol. 2006; 62: 72– 82. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444905036693 PMID: 16369096
- Winn MD, Ballard CC, Cowtan KD, Dodson EJ, Emsley P, Evans PR, et al. Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. Acta Crystallogr Sect D-Biological Crystallogr. 2011; 67: 235–242. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1107/S0907444910045749 PMID: 21460441</u>
- Adams PD, Afonine P V, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Crystallogr Sect D-Biological Crystallogr. 2010; 66: 213–221. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909052925 PMID: 20124702

- Murshudov GN, Skubak P, Lebedev AA, Pannu NS, Steiner RA, Nicholls RA, et al. REFMAC5 for the refinement of macromolecular crystal structures. Acta Crystallogr Sect D-Biological Crystallogr. 2011; 67: 355–367. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444911001314 PMID: 21460454
- Chen VB, Arendall WB, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, et al. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for macromolecular crystallography. Acta Crystallogr Sect D-Structural Biol. 2010; 66: 12–21. https://doi.org/10.1107/S0907444909042073 PMID: 20057044
- Walden M, Crow A, Nelson MD, Banfield MJ. Intramolecular isopeptide but not internal thioester bonds confer proteolytic and significant thermal stability to the S. pyogenes pilus adhesin Spy0125. Proteins-Structure Funct Bioinforma. 2014; 82: 517–527. https://doi.org/10.1002/prot.24420 PMID: 24123467
- 88. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer New York, {NY}; 2009.